



Modulation and functions of dopamine receptor heteromers in drugs of abuse-induced adaptations

Andry Andrianarivelo, Estefani Saint-Jour, Roman Walle, Pierre Trifilieff,
Peter Vanhoutte

► To cite this version:

Andry Andrianarivelo, Estefani Saint-Jour, Roman Walle, Pierre Trifilieff, Peter Vanhoutte. Modulation and functions of dopamine receptor heteromers in drugs of abuse-induced adaptations. *Neuropharmacology*, 2019, 152, pp.42-50. 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2018.12.003 . hal-01960222

HAL Id: hal-01960222

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01960222>

Submitted on 19 Dec 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License



Invited review

Modulation and functions of dopamine receptor heteromers in drugs of abuse-induced adaptations

Andry Andrianarivelo^{a,b,c}, Estefani Saint-Jour^{a,b,c}, Roman Walle^{d,e}, Pierre Trifilieff^{d,e}, Peter Vanhoutte^{a,b,c,*}

^a INSERM, UMR-S 1130, Neuroscience Paris Seine, Institute of Biology Paris Seine, F-75005, Paris, France

^b CNRS, UMR 8246, Neuroscience Paris Seine, F-75005, Paris, France

^c Sorbonne Université, UPMC Université Paris 06, UM CR18, Neuroscience Paris Seine, F-75005, Paris, France

^d Nutrition and Integrative Neurobiology, INRA UMR 1286, F-33076, Bordeaux, France

^e University of Bordeaux, F-33076, Bordeaux, France

HIGHLIGHTS

- Many DAR heteromers have been identified but their biological significance is only emerging.
- Targeting DAR heteromers has a strong therapeutic potential in the field of addiction.
- The selective inhibition of receptor heteromers is a major limitation to uncover their roles *in vivo*.

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Addiction
Striatum
Dopamine
Glutamate
Signaling
Receptor heteromers

ABSTRACT

Drug addiction is a chronic and relapsing disorder that leads to compulsive drug intake despite deleterious consequences. By increasing dopamine (DA) in the mesolimbic system, drugs of abuse hijack the brain reward circuitry, which is critical for the development of enduring behavioral alterations. DA mainly acts onto DA D1 (D1R) and D2 (D2R) receptor subtypes, which are positively and negatively coupled to adenylyl cyclase, respectively. Extensive research has aimed at targeting these receptors for the treatment of addiction, however this often results in unwanted side-effects due to the implication of DA receptors in numerous physiological functions. A growing body of evidence indicates that the physical interaction of DA receptors with other receptors can finely tune their function, making DA receptor heteromers promising targets for more specific treatment strategies. An increasing number of articles highlighted the ability of both D1R and D2R to form heteromers, however, most studies carried out to date stem from observations in heterologous systems and the biological significance of DA receptor heteromers *in vivo* is only emerging. We focused this review on studies that were able to provide insights into functions on D1R and D2R heteromers in drug-evoked adaptations and discuss the limitations of current approaches to study receptor heteromers *in vivo*.

1. Introduction

Drug addiction is a chronic and relapsing disorder that results from protracted drug consumption by vulnerable individuals, leading to compulsive drug intake despite deleterious consequences. Drug-evoked neuronal activity changes within the so-called brain reward circuit are

fundamental for the instatement of the enduring behavioral alterations that are characteristic of addiction (Lüscher and Malenka, 2011). Such modulations of synaptic efficacy are translated into specific patterns of signaling pathway activation and gene expression driving a long-lasting remodeling of neural circuits, likely involved in the transition from casual to compulsive drug intake and addiction (Nestler, 2001, 2014).

Abbreviations: A2AR, adenosine A2A receptor; BRET, bioluminescence resonance energy transfer; CaMKII, Calcium Calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II; CTD, C-terminal domain; DA, dopamine; D1R, dopamine receptor type 1; D2R, dopamine receptor type 2; ERK1/2, extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2; FRET, fluorescence resonance energy transfer; GPCR, G protein-coupled receptor; IL, intracellular loop; MSN, medium sized-spiny neurons; NAcc, nucleus accumbens; NMDAR, N-methyl-D-aspartate glutamate receptor; PET, positron emission tomography; PKC, protein kinase C; PLA, proximity ligation assay; PSD, post synaptic density; TM, transmembrane domain

* Corresponding author. INSERM, UMR-S 1130, Neuroscience Paris Seine, Institute of Biology Paris Seine, F-75005, Paris, France.

E-mail address: peter.vanhoutte@upmc.fr (P. Vanhoutte).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2018.12.003>

Received 27 September 2018; Received in revised form 27 November 2018; Accepted 3 December 2018
0028-3908/© 2018 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Table 1Modulation and functions of endogenous dopamine receptor heteromers in response to drugs of abuse *in vivo*.

Psychostimulant-Animal model	Dopamine receptor heteromer modulation	Impact on drug-evoked responses	References
Rat; acute cocaine (30mg/kg i.p)	D2R/GluN2B heteromers 30 min post-cocaine in the NAcc and dStr.	D2R/GluN2B disruption with Tat-D2R-IL3 (intravenous): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inhibition of cocaine-induced decrease in phospho-Ser¹³⁰³ GluN2B. Acute hyperlocomotion & stereotypies 	Liu et al., 2006
Mouse; cocaine (15mg/kg i.p) & striatal cultures	D1R/GluN1 10 min after a co-stimulation of D1R and NMDAR	D1R/GluN1 disruption with Tat-GluN1-C1 (infusion into the NAcc): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Blockade of striatal LTP. Inhibition of cocaine-induced ERK1/2 activation. Preservation of basal locomotion & acute hyperlocomotor response to cocaine. Alteration of cocaine-mediated locomotor sensitization induced by 2 injections. 	Cahill et al., 2014b
Rat; cocaine self-administration FR5 (0.5mg/kg per infusion i.v)	D2R/A2AR heteromers 2 hours after the last self-administration session in the NAcc shell.	D2R/A2AR disruption with SynthTM5 (infusion in the NAcc): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prevents the decrease in self-administration induced by i.p. administration of CGS 21680. 	Borroto-Escuela et al., 2017a; 2018a
Rat; amphetamine sensitization (1.5mg/kg i.p)		Subchronic D2R/D1R disruption with Tat-D1 (i.c.v. injection): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enhances locomotion Prevents the decrease in sensitization induced by s.c. administration of SKF83959. 	Shen et al., 2015b
Rat; cocaine locomotor sensitization (10mg/kg i.p); conditioned place preference (10 mg/kg i.p) cocaine self-administration (0.25 mg/infusion i.v)	Tat-D1 (i.c.v. injection) prevents the increase in D1R/D2R co-immunoprecipitation induced by SKF83959	D2R/D1R disruption with Tat-D1 (i.c.v. injection): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enhances the acute locomotor effect of cocaine as well as sensitization. Induces conditioned place-preference Enhances conditioned-place preference induced by cocaine administration Enhances reinstatement of self-administration response after extinction by a single cocaine injection. Enhances c-fos expression after repeated (7 days) injection. Prevents the conditioned place aversion induced by administration of SKF83959 Reverses the antagonistic effect of SKF83959 on cocaine conditioned place preference 	Perreault et al., 2016; Hasbi et al., 2018

Despite their distinct targets, all drugs of abuse hijack the natural reward system by increasing dopamine (DA) concentration in the mesolimbic system, especially in the striatum (Di Chiara and Imperato, 1988), resulting in alterations in glutamate transmission-dependent plasticity (Lüscher and Malenka, 2011). The striatum is considered a key target structure of drugs of abuse within the reward circuit because it is at the crossroad of converging glutamate signals arising from limbic, thalamic and cortical regions, which encode components of drug-associated stimuli and environment, along with DA transmission that mediates reward prediction error and incentive values.

This integration of DA and glutamate inputs is mainly accomplished by the Medium-sized Spiny GABAergic Neurons (MSN), which receive glutamate axon terminals and DA afferences converging on MSN dendritic spines (Moss and Bolam, 2008; Doig et al., 2010). MSN form primarily two segregated populations based on the expression of either DA D1 (D1R) or DA D2 (D2R) receptors, which are G protein-coupled receptors (GPCR) positively and negatively coupled to adenylyl cyclase through their respective coupling to G_{s/o} and G_{i/o} subtypes (Felder et al., 1991; Corvol et al., 2001), although a fraction of MSN in the ventral striatum (i.e. nucleus accumbens; NAcc) express both receptors (Bertran-Gonzalez et al., 2008).

These two sub-populations of MSN also display distinct projections within the cortico-basal ganglia network with the D1R-MSN and D2R-MSN forming the direct pathway (dMSN) and the indirect pathway (iMSN), respectively. In the dorsal part of the striatum, dMSN and iMSN exert opposite functions regarding the control of motor behavior. Optogenetic stimulations of dorsal dMSN and iMSN has been shown to promote and inhibit locomotion, respectively (Kravitz et al., 2010).

Within the NAcc, this functional dichotomy also applies to reward-

dependent learning since the activation of the direct pathway neurons promotes reward whereas the stimulation of indirect pathway neurons is associated with punishment (Hikida et al., 2010; Lobo et al., 2010; Kravitz et al., 2012).

The surge of DA induced by drugs of abuse thus triggers a stimulation of D1R that activates dMSN and promotes reinforcement, whereas the D2R-mediated inhibition iMSN opposes to aversion, explaining why a high rewarding value of a stimuli is set when both D1R and D2R are stimulated. Based on these observations, it has been proposed that the imbalance between the activity of dMSN and iMSN evoked by drugs of abuse may drive towards compulsive drug intake and addiction (Lobo and Nestler, 2011; Volkow and Morales, 2015).

We and others have started to identify the underlying cellular and molecular mechanisms (Girault et al., 2007; Cahill et al., 2014a; Pascoli et al., 2014a). We found that a single cocaine administration triggers a D1R-mediated facilitation of N-methyl-D-aspartate glutamate receptors (NMDAR) that activates in dMSN the extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 (ERK1/2), which controls epigenetic and genetic responses that are mandatory for the development of long-term behavioral alterations (Pascoli et al., 2011a, 2014a,b).

Although the implication of DA and glutamate signaling crosstalk in drug-evoked neuronal adaptations is well acknowledged, targeting the cognate receptors to alleviate symptoms is associated with a loss of efficacy over time and the appearance of severe side effects, likely due to the involvement of these receptors in fundamental physiological functions (Wang et al., 2012; Cahill et al., 2014a). Instead, a selective targeting of the molecular mechanisms responsible for the modulation of excitatory transmission by DA appears as a promising strategy.

Beside local intracellular cascades downstream from DA receptors, a

growing body of evidence supports that the physical interaction between receptors is a powerful mechanism by which receptors can mutually modify their functions through allosteric modulations. The formation of such receptor oligomers can engage two, or more, identical (homomers) or distinct (heteromers) receptors. These receptor complexes have been the subject of intense investigation because they can fine-tune downstream signaling and binding affinity of the component receptors in a spatio-temporal manner, which makes them attractive for the development of more selective pharmacological treatments for numerous neurological and psychiatric diseases (Missale et al., 2006, 2013; Borroto-Escuela et al., 2017a, 2017b). DA receptors have been shown – mostly in heterologous systems – to form many heteromers with other GPCR, ionotropic channels and transmembrane proteins, resulting in functional changes of partner receptors, modification of binding affinity for ligands and biased signaling. The diversity and biophysical properties of DA receptor heteromers have been exhaustively reviewed elsewhere (Wang et al., 2012; Ferré et al., 2016). Moreover, numerous studies showed the critical role of the physical interaction between DA receptors and NMDAR for their reciprocal modulation (Lee et al., 2002; Pei et al., 2004; Cepeda and Levine, 2006; Wang et al., 2012; Ladepeche et al., 2013), which makes them particularly relevant for drug addiction.

The current review focuses on reports that were able to provide proof of concept that endogenous DA receptor heteromers in the brain can be modulated in response to exposure to drugs of abuse and eventually participate to drug-evoked neuronal adaptations (Table 1). For each DA receptor heteromer, we start from its historical discovery in heterologous systems, the identification of the protein-protein interaction domains and associated changes in signaling, to finally discuss their modulation *in vivo* and the strategies used to establish their potential roles in drug-evoked adaptations.

2. D2R heteromers and addiction

Notably because alterations of D2R-mediated signaling have been associated with numerous pathologies, there has been extensive work to identify putative heteromers formed by the D2R. Most of them were characterized in heterologous systems by bioluminescence or fluorescence resonance transfer (BRET/FRET) analyses, co-immunoprecipitation, or indirectly through modulation of ligand binding affinity and signaling effectors. These studies led to the identification of numerous and diverse receptors that are able to form complexes with the D2R and alter downstream signaling through allosteric modulations, as extensively reviewed (see Fuxé et al., 2014; Borroto-Escuela and Fuxé, 2017). Herein, we focus on D2R heteromers for which a functional impact has been characterized *in vivo*.

2.1. D2R/A2AR heteromers


The purinergic system plays an antagonistic role onto DA transmission, an effect mainly achieved through the adenosine A2A receptor (A2AR), which expression is restricted to iMSN (Schiffman et al., 2007) where it is highly colocalized with the D2R. As suggested by proximity-ligation assay (PLA) on striatal tissue, a subset of A2AR and D2R are in close proximity, which supports the existence of A2AR/D2R heteromers *in vivo* (Trifilieff et al., 2011; Fernandez-Duenas et al., 2015; He et al., 2016; Borroto-Escuela et al., 2017a, 2018a).

Historically, the work on interactions between striatal adenosine and dopamine signaling began with the original observation that caffeine and theophyllamine, which antagonize adenosine receptors, were able to enhance the antiparkinson actions of levodopa and DAR agonists in a model of hemiparkinson rats (Fuxé and Ungerstedt, 1974). Later on, the first indirect evidence for the existence of D2R/A2AR heteromers came from the observation that the A2AR agonist CGS 21680 was able to decrease D2R affinity for the agonist apomorphine in native membranes prepared from the rat striatum, suggesting allosteric

modulations within D2R/A2AR complexes (Ferré et al., 1991). Since this discovery, extensive work, mainly by Ferré's and Fuxé's groups, characterized the biophysical and pharmacological properties of D2R/A2AR heteromers, which have become a model study of allosteric modulation between GPCR (Ferré et al., 2018; Borroto-Escuela et al., 2018a). The extensive description of the biophysical properties of D2R/A2AR heteromers is out of the scope of the current article but remarkable recent reviews can be recommended (Ferré et al., 2016, 2018). A current model developed by Ferré and collaborators propose that A2AR/A2AR and D2R/D2R homodimers, coupled to their respective G protein – $G_{s/o}$ and $G_{i/o}$, constitute an heterotetrameric structure forming a macromolecular complex with type 5 adenylate cyclase (AC5) (Navarro et al., 2018; Ferré et al., 2018). Electrostatic interactions between transmembrane domains (TM) 6 form the homodimer interface, while heteromeric interactions involve TM 4 and 5 of one receptor within each homodimer (see Navarro et al., 2018). D2R/A2AR heteromerization results in negative allosteric interactions by which A2AR ligands – agonists and antagonists - decrease the affinity and efficacy of D2R ligands (Azdad et al., 2009; Bonaventura et al., 2015) and, reciprocally, D2R agonists inhibit A2AR-dependent activation of AC5 (Navarro et al., 2018). In terms of signaling, this antagonistic interaction would result in a switch from cyclic AMP- to PLC- and arrestin-dependent pathways, whether stimulation of A2AR or D2R, respectively, is dominant (Borroto-Escuela et al., 2011; see Ferré et al., 2018 for review).

These unique properties of D2R/A2AR heteromers have opened new routes for pharmacological strategies for pathologies related to alterations in DA transmission. For instance, even though the direct dependence to D2R/A2AR heteromers has not been demonstrated, preclinical evidence support some efficacy of A2AR antagonists to alleviate symptoms in Parkinson's disease (Salamone et al., 2013; Podurgiel et al., 2016) or apathy – i.e. loss of motivation (Mott et al., 2009; Salamone et al., 2009; Pardo et al., 2012; Nunes et al., 2013; Randall et al., 2014; Lopez-Cruz et al., 2018). Recently, Volkow and colleagues showed that the psychostimulant caffeine, a potent A2AR antagonist, enhances D2R availability in healthy control subjects measure by Positron Emission Tomography (PET) (Volkow et al., 2015). It is unclear whether this effect results from upregulation of membrane expression of D2R or change in their binding affinity for the radioligand (^{11}C)-Raclopride, but Ferré and colleagues proposed that it could directly result from the “ability of caffeine to antagonize the effect of endogenous adenosine on the binding of exogenous D2R antagonist” (Ferré et al., 2018). This hypothesis remains to be tested but these observations highlight the potential interest of D2R/A2AR heteromerization in the modulation of D2R activity and binding affinity. Yet, a decrease in striatal D2R availability in subjects suffering from drug addiction is one of the most consistent findings in PET imaging (Koob and Volkow, 2016; Trifilieff et al., 2017). The causes for such decrease are still unclear and could be both a consequence of drug exposure and/or a vulnerability factor for drug abuse. Nevertheless, this neurobiological feature is likely to constitute a central mechanism for the development of addiction (Trifilieff and Martinez, 2014). Because the use of selective D2R ligands would impact crucial physiological functions and lead to severe unwanted side-effects, compounds that could act as allosteric modulators of D2R activity are a promising strategy in the field of addiction. In this context, D2R/A2AR heteromerization should be considered as a potential target.

Accordingly, preclinical data suggest that D2R/A2AR heteromerization could be involved in some aspects of addiction for psychostimulants. Extended cocaine self-administration resulted in an increased binding for $(^3\text{H})\text{-ZM} 241385$ – an A2AR antagonist – in the NAcc of rats, which was reversed after extinction or withdrawal (Marcellino et al., 2007; Frankowska et al., 2013). Moreover, cocaine self-administration increases D2R/A2AR complexes in the shell of the NAcc (Borroto-Escuela et al., 2017a). The functional significance of this increased D2R/A2AR heteromerization was assessed with an interfering



2 controlling cocaine-induced long-term adaptations (see Pascoli et al., 2011a, 2014a). Schematic representation of D1R/GluN1 (e) and D1R/GluN2A (f) heteromerization. (g) The disruption of D1R/GluN1 heteromers with the Tat-GluN1-C1 blocks the facilitation of Glu2NB-NMDAR by D1R and downstream ERK activation and striatal LTP in dMSN, while preserving the function of individual D1R and NMDAR (see Cahill et al., 2014b).

peptide corresponding to the TM5 of A2AR, which prevents D2R/A2AR interaction in heterologous system. Local administration of this peptide in the NAcc of rats the last 2 days of cocaine self-administration prevented the decrease in self-administration induced by injection of the A2AR agonist CGS 21680. Specificity of the TM5 interfering peptide was verified by showing that administration of a peptide corresponding to the TM2 of A2AR, which preserves D2R/A2AR interaction, had no effect (Borroto-Escuela et al., 2018b). Further work is necessary to characterize the impact of alterations of D2R/A2AR heteromers on psychostimulants self-administration. However, the fact that this allosteric interaction results in an inhibition of D2R-dependent transmission suggests that preventing the formation of D2R/A2AR heteromers could be an efficient strategy to modulate D2R activity, which is blunted in substance use disorders.

Of note, the D2R/A2AR interaction could also take place within higher-order oligomers. As an example, complexes with metabotropic glutamate type 5 receptors (mGluR5) have been detected by co-immunoprecipitation from striatal tissue (Cabello et al., 2009). Pharmacological experiments suggest that, within this receptor complex, A2AR and mGluR receptors could act synergistically to inhibit D2R (Beggiato et al., 2016). The D2R has also been shown to form higher-order heteromers with the sigma-1 receptor, which is able to directly bind cocaine (Navarro et al., 2013; Beggiato et al., 2017). These complexes have been detected in the striatum (Beggiato et al., 2017) but their functional implication in cocaine-induced adaptations remain to be demonstrated.

2.2. D2R/GluN2B heteromers

The integration of DA- and glutamate-dependent signaling within the reward circuitry plays functional roles in drugs of abuse-evoked long-lasting alterations (Lüscher and Malenka, 2011; Pascoli et al., 2014a; Bellone and Gardoni, 2015). In addition to mGluR, glutamate binds to ionotropic receptors of the α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole propionic acid (AMPAR), kainate and NMDA subtypes, which mediate most of the excitatory neurotransmission in the brain and play key roles in synaptic plasticity (Traynelis et al., 2010).

NMDAR are voltage-gated calcium (Ca^{2+}) channels playing pivotal roles in modulating synaptic transmission. Alterations of their functions have been associated with numerous developmental, neurological and psychiatric disorders, including addiction (Lau and Zukin, 2007). NMDAR are heteromeric structures composed of two obligatory GluN1 subunits (formerly named NR1) and two regulatory GluN2 (GluN2A,

GluN2B, GluN2C, GluN2D) and/or GluN3 subunits (GluN3A, GluN3B). Depending on the subunit composition, NMDAR fall into two main categories, the di-heteromeric (GluN1 with identical GluN2) or the tri-heteromeric ones, composed of GluN1 associated to a mixture of GluN2 and/or GluN3 subunits. Most differences between these subunits mainly reside in their intracellular C-terminal domains (CTD), which are subjected to post-translational modifications controlling NMDAR trafficking, protein interactions, Ca^{2+} dynamics and coupling to specific pathways (Paoletti et al., 2013). Among other post-translational modifications, Ca^{2+} /calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII) (Omkumar et al., 1996) and protein kinase C (PKC) (Liao et al., 2001) can phosphorylate the GluN2B CTD on Ser¹³⁰³, which enhances NMDA currents (Lieberman and Mody, 1994; Liao et al., 2001), notably by favoring NMDAR surface expression (Jin et al., 2015).

In an attempt to identify the molecular mechanisms underlying the integration of DA and NMDA-mediated signals in response to drugs of abuse, Liu and coworkers highlighted a direct physical interaction between D2R and GluN2B subunits in post synaptic density (PSD) fractions of the rat hippocampus, prefrontal cortex and striatum (Liu et al., 2006). They observed that a single cocaine injection triggers an increased D2R/GluN2B heteromerization in the NAcc and dorsal striatum, paralleled by a decrease of phospho-GluN2B-Ser¹³⁰³. This was strictly dependent on D2R signaling since the D2R antagonist Eticlopride blocked both cocaine-mediated inhibition of phospho-GluN2B-Ser¹³⁰³ and D2R/GluN2B interaction, while a D2R agonist had opposite effects. The protein domains involved in D2R/GluN2B interaction were then characterized and the residues R²²⁰-A²³⁴ within third intracellular loop of D2R (D2R-IL3) appeared as critical for the binding of D2R to the CTD of GluN2B (Fig. 1a). By using a penetrating peptide corresponding to this R²²⁰-A²³⁴-D2R-IL3 fragment, which disrupts D2R/GluN2B heteromers (Fig. 1b), it was shown that the cocaine-induced stimulation of D2R facilitates the D2R/GluN2B interaction, leading to a decrease of NMDAR currents in iMSN. This inhibition of NMDAR relies on a competition mechanism whereby D2R/GluN2B heteromers induced by cocaine disrupt the binding of CaMKII to GluN2B and reduces CaMKII activity (Fig. 1c). At the behavioral level, disruption of D2R/GluN2B interaction inhibits the acute hyperlocomotion and stereotypes induced by a single high dose of cocaine (Liu et al., 2006). This pioneer study strongly supports the hypothesis that D2R/GluN2B heteromers could mediate the inhibitory effects of psychostimulants on iMSNs. However, it raises several important questions that we are currently pursuing. With regard to the chronic nature of addiction-related disorders, it would be important to determine how D2R/GluN2B

heteromers are modulated in response to repeated exposure cocaine and if disrupting D2R/GluN2B interaction could overcome cocaine-evoked long-lasting responses. Furthermore, the R²²⁰-A²³⁴-D2R-IL3 peptide used to alter D2R/GluN2B interaction comprises a part of the Arginine-rich domain of D2R that is critical for its binding to A2AR (Ciruela et al., 2004; see section 2.1). Using a shorter D2R-IL3 peptide that selectively alters D2R/GluN2B interaction would definitely precise the specific role of D2R/GluN2B in long-term effects of drugs of abuse. This is particularly relevant in light of recent evidence showing that D2R-mediated inhibition of NMDAR also involves D2R/A2AR interaction (Azdad et al., 2009).

2.3. D1R/D2R heteromers

Another DA receptor heteromer that has been extensively studied, mostly by the group of Susan R. George, is the one formed by the D1R and D2R. Co-expression of both receptors in the striatum has been reported mostly in the shell of the NAcc (Deng et al., 2006; Hasbi et al., 2009; Perreault et al., 2010; Gangarossa et al., 2013; Frederick et al., 2015; Gagnon et al., 2017) and D1R/D2R complexes were detected by PLA *in situ* in the striatum of rodents and non-human primates (Perreault et al., 2016; Rico et al., 2017; Hasbi et al., 2018), even though there has been some controversy since the work from Frederick and co-workers showed D1R/D2R complexes were not detectable by using the same approach *in vivo* in mice within the fraction of MSN expressing both D1R and D2R receptors (Frederick et al., 2015). In heterologous systems, the formation of D1R/D2R complexes could lead to atypical signaling: instead of the canonical G_{s/o/f} and G_{i/o} proteins, D1R/D2R heteromers would be able to recruit G_q protein, leading to PLC-mediated intracellular Ca²⁺ release (Perreault et al., 2014). It was argued that the D1R ligand SKF83959 preferentially recruits D1R/D2R heteromer-dependent Ca²⁺ signaling, due to alterations of the D1R binding pocket when in the heteromeric complex, supporting the idea of an allosteric modulation within the D1R/D2R heteromers (Hasbi et al., 2009, 2010; Verma et al., 2010; Perreault et al., 2014).

To target D1R/D2R heteromers, Hasbi et al. (2014) designed and interfering peptide, based on putative interaction sites between both receptors involving 2 adjacent Arginine in the D2R-IL3 and 2 adjacent Glutamic acid of the D1R CTD (O'Dowd et al., 2012). This "Tat-D1" peptide, corresponding to amino acid 396–413 of the D1R CTD, was shown to perturb i) D1R/D2R – but not D2R-D5R - interaction, ii) SKF83959-induced Ca²⁺ transients *in vitro* and iii) co-immunoprecipitation from membrane extracts, while a scrambled peptide had no effect (Hasbi et al., 2014).

In the context of addiction, the Tat-D1 peptide was shown to reverse the SKF83959-induced inhibition of amphetamine sensitization (Shen et al., 2015a). The same group showed that the Tat-D1 peptide alone enhanced cocaine-induced locomotor sensitization and place-preference, as well as the reinstatement after self-administration (Perreault et al., 2016; Hasbi et al., 2018). It should be noted that the Tat-D1 peptide displayed, by itself, pro-locomotor effects (Shen et al., 2015a) and induced place-preference (Perreault et al., 2016; Hasbi et al., 2018). Importantly, the Tat-D1 peptide used to disrupt D1R/D2R interaction strongly overlaps with the so-called "Tat-D1R-t2 peptide" originally designed to block D1R/NMDAR heteromers (Nai et al., 2010; Ladepeche et al., 2013; see section 3.1). Furthermore, the Tat-D1R-t2 was shown to induce, by itself, ERK activation (Cahill et al., 2014b) and to potentiate glutamatergic synapses (Ladepeche et al., 2013), suggesting that this peptide could mimic D1R/NMDA interaction (see section 3.1), which could explain the pro-rewarding effect of the Tat-D1 peptide used by the George's group. The specificity of the Tat-D1 peptide in selectively impairing D1R/D2R interaction has therefore to be further validated. Moreover, most of the *in vivo* effects of the Tat-D1 peptide were assessed through its ability to abolish/attenuate the behavioral impact of SKF83959 (Hasbi et al., 2014, 2018; Shen et al., 2015a,b). Yet, the properties of SKF83959 as a preferential ligand for

D1R/D2R heteromers capable of recruiting G_q-dependent mechanisms has been recently challenged (Chun et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2014a,b; Frederick et al., 2015). Further work is needed to unravel the unique properties of this atypical subpopulation of MSN co-expressing both D1R and D2R and their potential regulation by putative D1R/D2R heteromers.

3. D1R heteromers and addiction

3.1. D1R/NMDA heteromers

As opposed to the inhibitory role of D2R on NMDAR currents (see section 2.3), there is a general consensus toward a facilitating effect of D1R stimulation on NMDAR functions (Flores-Hernandez et al., 2002; Wittmann et al., 2005; but see Lee et al., 2002). This positive feedback is bi-directional since NMDAR stimulation also favors D1R surface expression and downstream cAMP production (Pei et al., 2004). The mechanisms underlying the interplay between D1R and NMDAR signals have been intensely investigated, notably because targeting these processes offers the prospect of restoring the imbalance in D1R and NMDAR functions described in numerous psychiatric diseases (Cepeda and Levine, 2006; Missale et al., 2006; Yao et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2012).

The synergy between D1R and NMDAR plays functional roles in drug of abuse-mediated responses. We observed that psychostimulant-mediated striatal activation of ERK1/2, which controls long-term neuronal adaptations, relied on a concomitant stimulation of D1R and NMDAR (Valjent et al., 2000, 2005; Pascoli et al., 2011a). This convergence of DA and glutamate signals onto ERK1/2 involves a D1R-mediated phosphorylation of GluN2B-containing NMDAR on Tyr¹⁴⁷² via the tyrosine kinase Fyn, which triggers a Ca²⁺-dependent activation of ERK1/2 that launches cocaine-induced epigenetic, genic, morphological and behavioral changes (Fig. 1d; Pascoli et al., 2011a). In addition to signaling cascades linking D1R stimulation to a facilitation of GluN2B-NMDAR, the potential role D1R/NMDAR heteromers in drug of abuse-evoked plasticity is only emerging.

The seminal work of Fang Liu and colleagues led to the identification of a direct binding of D1R, but not D5R, to the GluN1 and GluN2A subunits of NMDAR in cultured cells overexpressing the receptors, and hippocampal tissues (Lee et al., 2002; Pei et al., 2004). These D1R/GluN1 complexes were also detected in the mouse striatum (Cahill et al., 2014b), including in PSD fractions (Fiorentini et al., 2003), as well as in human post-mortem caudate putamen tissues (AA, ESJ, RW, PT, PV unpublished observations). *In vitro* assays showed that the D1R-t2 (L³⁸⁷-L⁴¹⁶) and D1R-t3 (S⁴¹⁷-T⁴⁴⁶) domains of D1R CTD bind to the CTD of GluN1 and GluN2 subunits, respectively (Fig. 1e-f; Lee et al., 2002). The D1R-t2 domain binds to the C1 cassette of GluN1 (GluN1-C1; D⁸⁶⁴-T⁹⁰⁰; Lee et al., 2002; Fiorentini et al., 2003; Pei et al., 2004), which comprises multiple PKA and PKC phosphorylation sites (Tingley et al., 1997; Wang et al., 2014) and controls NMDAR trafficking (Ehlers et al., 1995; Scott et al., 2003). Pull-down assays coupled to mass spectrometry established that electrostatic interactions between the Arginine-rich epitope localized in GluN1-C1 and the acidic residues in the D1R-t2 region mediate D1R/GluN1 interaction (Woods et al., 2005). These findings highlight the importance of epitope-epitope electrostatic interactions for both D1R/NMDA and D2R/A2AR (see section 2.1), suggesting that they might represent a general mechanism for receptor heteromerization. Of note, the D1R-t2 and GluN1-C1 amino acid sequences are conserved across species, supporting the hypothesis that D1R/NMDAR heteromers might be involved in physiological functions that have been preserved through evolution (Woods et al., 2005).

Studies on the modulation of D1R/GluN1 interaction by receptor agonists or antagonists led to controversial findings, with authors reporting a D1R agonist-dependent decrease of endogenous D1R/GluN1 heteromers in cultured hippocampal neurons (Lee et al., 2002), whereas

BRET experiments performed on cell lines overexpressing the receptors showed no effect of D1R or NMDAR agonists and antagonists (Fiorentini et al., 2003).

To study whether cocaine could modulate endogenous D1R/GluN1 heteromerization, we first used cultured striatal neurons co-stimulated with a D1R agonist together with a low dose of glutamate, a model that we validated as instrumental to study cocaine-evoked signaling *in vivo* (Pascoli et al., 2011a; Cahill et al., 2014b). Notably, this co-stimulation paradigm, which induces a D1R-mediated facilitation of NMDAR as observed *in vivo* in response to cocaine, led to a significant increase of D1R/GluN1 heteromers (Cahill et al., 2014b). Their potential role in the convergence of D1R and NMDAR signals onto ERK1/2 activity was assessed with a cell-penetrating peptide corresponding to the C1 cassette of GluN1 (TAT-GluN1-C1; Fig. 1g). This peptide efficiently disrupted D1R/GluN1 heteromerization induced by the co-stimulation, as well as the D1R-mediated potentiation of Ca^{2+} transients through GluN2B-NMDAR and downstream ERK activation. Importantly, the TAT-GluN1-C1 peptide was able to block the D1R/NMDAR-dependent signaling, while preserving the functions of individual D1R and NMDAR (Cahill et al., 2014b). The inhibition of D1R/GluN2A interaction with a TAT-D1R-t3 peptide had no effect on ERK1/2 activation downstream of D1R and NMDAR. These observations posit D1R/GluN1 heteromers as the molecular bridge linking DA to the facilitation of NMDAR and ERK activation in an *in vitro* model of cocaine exposure.

Since drug-induced adaptive behavior has been causally linked to long-term synaptic plasticity of glutamate synapses onto dMSN (Pascoli et al., 2011b, 2014b), it seems critical to determine whether D1R/NMDAR heteromers are present at synaptic sites and can control synaptic plasticity.

On organotypic striatal cultures the lateral diffusion of D1R at the plasma membrane is greatly reduced upon NMDAR stimulation, which favors confined D1R expression within dendritic spines. This recruitment of D1R in spines depends on D1R/GluN1 heteromer formation, leading to the model of ‘diffusion trap system’ whereby agonist-induced allosteric changes of NMDAR enhances D1R/NMDAR interaction at synaptic sites (Scott et al., 2006). This model stipulates that upon glutamate release, D1R/NMDAR heteromers are preferentially formed within, or at the close vicinity of, synapses. Accordingly, the CTD of both GluN2 subunits of NMDAR and D1R are known to bind the synaptic protein PSD-95, which stabilizes their localization at synapses (Kornau et al., 1995; Niethammer et al., 1996; Kim and Sheng, 2004; Zhang et al., 2009; Ladepeche et al., 2013). The expression of both receptors is thus confined at synaptic sites owing to their interaction with PSD-95. However, Zhang and colleagues demonstrated that GluN1 and PSD-95 bind to the D1R CTD through docking sites that overlap at the level of the D1R-t2 domain (Zhang et al., 2009). Through a competition mechanism, PSD-95 is thus a limiting factor for D1R/GluN1 heteromerization at synapses, even though these receptors complexes are detected in striatal PSD fractions (Fiorentini et al., 2003).

To assess the role of D1R/GluN1 interaction in the modulation of synaptic transmission, electrophysiological recordings were performed from cortico-striatal slices prepared from the *drd1a-egfp* reporter mice to visualize dMSN (Gong et al., 2003). Inhibition of D1R/NMDAR interaction with the GluN1-C1 peptide fully blocked D1R-mediated facilitation of NMDA-mediated excitatory post-synaptic currents, while sparing basal synaptic transmission (Cahill et al., 2014b). A GluN2B antagonist was also able to abrogate the D1R-mediated facilitation of NMDA currents, whereas disruption of D1R/GluN2A heteromers with the D1R-t3 peptide had no effect. This suggests that D1R/GluN2A heteromers are not primarily involved in the modulation of NMDAR currents by DA in dMSN. It also shows that D1R/GluN1 interaction preferentially modulates GluN2B-NMDAR, even though the underlying mechanism is still unknown.

To study the role of D1R/GluN1 interaction in striatal plasticity, high frequency electrical stimulations (HFS) of the cortex triggering long-term potentiation (LTP) of striatal synapses in both dMSN and

iMSN were applied to cortico-striatal slices (Pascoli et al., 2011b). This allowed us to demonstrate the mandatory role of D1R/NMDAR heteromers for LTP in dMSN, but not iMSN (Cahill et al., 2014b). Accordingly, the facilitating effect of D1R on NMDAR-mediated LTP also required D1/NMDAR interaction in the hippocampus (Nai et al., 2010). In contrast, Ladepeche and co-workers found that blocking D1R/GluN1 interaction with the TAT-D1R-t2 peptide increased the number of potentiated synapses in a chemically-induced LTP in cultured hippocampal neurons (Ladepeche et al., 2013). The apparent discrepancy between these results is likely due to differences in experimental models (cortico-striatal slices v.s hippocampal neurons) and/or to the strategies used to block D1R/GluN1 heteromers. In fact, we found that the D1R-t2 peptide was able to activate NMDAR and ERK by itself (Cahill et al., 2014b), which could explain its permissive effect on chemical LTP. By contrast, when using the GluN1-C1 peptide, which disrupts D1R binding to NMDAR without affecting the functions of individual D1R and NMDAR, we observed a blockade of HFS-induced striatal LTP (see Andrianarivelo et al., 2018). Regarding cocaine-evoked responses *in vivo*, the infusion of the TAT-GluN1-C1 peptide into the NAcc was able to attenuate cocaine-induced ERK1/2 activation. Inhibiting D1R/GluN1 heteromers in the NAcc did not impact neither the basal locomotion nor the acute hyperlocomotor response to a first injection. By contrast, the disruption of D1R/GluN1 interaction prior and during the first injection of cocaine altered the locomotor sensitization induced by a second injection of cocaine administered a week later (Cahill et al., 2014b). This supports a role for D1R/GluN1 heteromers in the NAcc in the development of persistent cocaine-induced adaptations in acute models of cocaine exposure. To date, the impact of acute or chronic exposure on endogenous D1R/GluN1 heteromerization *in vivo* in the striatum and in other brain structures of the reward circuit is still not known. Whether or not these heteromers are involved in the development and maintenance of long-lasting structural and behavioral adaptation remains to be established. We are currently tackling these issues, which are critical because they will unravel whether of D1R/GluN1 and D2R/GluN2 heteromers are mediators of the excitatory and inhibitory actions of drugs of abuse on dMSN and iMSN, respectively.

4. Conclusions and perspectives

Numerous studies focused on the mechanisms underlying allosteric changes induced receptor heteromerization and associated modification of downstream signaling but only few reports described roles of endogenous heteromers *in vivo* (Table 1). This is likely due to limitations of current approaches to detect heteromers *in situ*. In fact, class C GPCRs form stable oligomers but class A GPCRs oligomerization seems highly dynamic, and its existence is still a matter of debate. Furthermore, most discoveries on receptor oligomers originate from studies in heterologous systems lacking the dense synaptic macroproteic complexes where receptors have multiple and dynamic interactors. These interactions, sometimes involving overlapping sites, represent a major challenge to selectively alter heteromer formation without impacting on the component receptor’s interaction with other partners. This implies a thorough characterization of potential off-target effects and caution when interpreting the results. Such strategy design could benefit from crystal structures of the receptors to model the interface of heteromers, as recently performed for D2R/A2R (Borroto-Escuela et al., 2018c). This could facilitate the identification of the minimal amino-acid residues necessary for receptor heteromerization, the design of non-peptidic interfering molecules or bi-valent compounds selectively targeting receptor oligomers (Soriano et al., 2009).

Several DA receptors heteromers with a therapeutic potential have been described, including D1R/D3R (Fiorentini et al., 2010), but their roles remain to be established. Moreover, most studies on receptor heteromers in addiction focused on the striatum because of its key role in the pathology and its enrichment in DA receptors. However, a thorough characterization of heteromer expression within the entire

brain reward circuit would be of importance considering the implication of various brain regions in distinct components of addiction. Moreover, the implication of DA receptor heteromers has been mainly studied in the initial phases of drug exposure. Addressing their roles in each phases of drug addiction (maintenance, craving and relapse) seems of crucial importance as it could open new routes for the development of selective strategies targeting these heteromers for the treatment of drug addiction.

Declaration of interest

The authors report not biomedical financial interest or conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

The work from PV's group was supported by Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), Sorbonne Université, Agence Nationale pour la Recherche (ANR-15-CE16-0017), Fondation Jérôme Lejeune, Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (FRM), the Bio-Psy labex cluster of excellence and the Ecole des Neurosciences de Paris (ENP). AA is the recipient of PhD fellowship from the French ministry of research. PT was supported by Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA, University of Bordeaux, Région Aquitaine, ANR "SynLip" (ANR-16-CE16-0022), Idex Bordeaux "chaire d'installation" (ANR-10-IDEX-03-02) and NARSAD Young Investigator Grant from the Brain and Behavior Foundation. RW is the recipient of PhD fellowship from the French ministry of research.

References

- Andrianarivelo, A., Saint-Jour, E., Trifilieff, P., Vanhoutte, P., 2018. Unraveling the functions of endogenous receptor oligomers in the brain using interfering peptide: the example of D1R/NMDAR heteromers. In: Fuxe, K., Borroto-Escuela, D.O. (Eds.), *Receptor-receptor Interactions in the Central Nervous System. Neuromethods-Springer Protocols*. Humana Press, New York, pp. 317–328.
- Azad, K., Gall, D., Woods, A.S., Ledent, C., Ferré, S., Schiffmann, S.N., 2009. Dopamine D2 and Adenosine A2A receptors regulate NMDA-mediated excitation in accumbens neurons through A2A-D2 receptor heteromerization. *Neuropharmacology* 34 (4), 972–986.
- Beggiato, S., Borelli, A.C., Borroto-Escuela, D., Corbucci, I., Tomasini, M.C., Marti, M., Antonelli, T., Tanganeli, S., Fuxé, K., Ferraro, L., 2017. Cocaine modulates allosteric D2-sigma1 receptor-receptor interactions on dopamine and glutamate nerve terminals from rat striatum. *Cell. Signal.* 40, 116–124.
- Beggiato, S., Tomasini, M.C., Borelli, A.C., Borroto-Escuela, D.O., Fuxé, K., Antonelli, T., Tanganeli, S., Ferraro, L., 2016. Functional role of striatal A2A, D2, and mGlu5 receptor interactions in regulating striatopallidal GABA neuronal transmission. *J. Neurochem.* 138 (2), 254–264.
- Bellone, C., Gardoni, F., 2015. Modulation of the glutamatergic transmission by dopamine: a focus on Parkinson, Huntington and addiction diseases. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 9, 25.
- Bertran-Gonzalez, J., Bosch, C., Maroteaux, M., Matamales, M., Hervé, D., Valjent, E., Girault, J.A., 2008. Opposing patterns of signaling activation in dopamine D1 and D2 receptor-expressing striatal neurons in response to cocaine and haloperidol. *J. Neurosci.* 28 (22), 5671–5685.
- Bonaventura, J., Navarro, G., Casado-Anguera, V., Azdad, K., Rea, W., Moreno, E., Brugarolas, M., Mallol, J., Canela, E.I., Lluis, C., Cortes, A., Volkow, N.D., Schiffmann, S.N., Ferre, S., Casado, V., 2015. Allosteric interactions between agonists and antagonists within the adenosine A2A receptor-dopamine D2 receptor heterotetramer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 112 (27), E3609–E3618.
- Borroto-Escuela, D.O., Wydra, K., Fuxé, K., 2018a. A2AR-D2R heteroreceptor complexes in cocaine reward and addiction. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 39 (12), 1008–1020.
- Borroto-Escuela, D.O., Wydra, K., Li, X., Rodriguez, D., Carlsson, J., Jastrzebska, J., Filip, M., Fuxé, K., 2018b. Disruption of A2AR-D2R heteroreceptor complexes after A2AR transmembrane 5 peptide administration enhances cocaine self-administration in rats. *Mol. Neurobiol.* 55, 7038–7048.
- Borroto-Escuela, D.O., Rodriguez, D., Romero-Fernandez, W., Kapla, J., Jaitech, M., Ranganathan, A., Lazarova, T., Fuxé, K., Carlsson, J., 2018c. Mapping the interface of a GPCR dimer: a structural model of the A2A adenosine and D2 dopamine receptor heteromer. *Front. Pharmacol.* 9, 829.
- Borroto-Escuela, D.O., Fuxé, K., 2017. Diversity and bias through dopamine D2R heteroreceptor complexes. *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.* 32, 16–22.
- Borroto-Escuela, D.O., Narvaez, M., Wydra, K., Pintosuk, J., Pinton, L., Jimenez-Beristain, A., Di Palma, M., Jastrzebska, J., Filip, M., Fuxé, K., 2017a. Cocaine self-administration specifically increases A2AR-D2R and D2R-sigma1R heteroreceptor complexes in the rat nucleus accumbens shell. Relevance for cocaine use disorder. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 155, 24–31.
- Borroto-Escuela, D.O., Carlsson, J., Ambrogini, P., Navarez, M., Wydra, K., Tarakanov, A.O., Li, X., Million, C., Ferraro, L., Cuppini, R., Tanganeli, S., Liu, F., Filip, M., Diaz-Cabiale, Z., Fuxé, K., 2017b. Understanding the role of GPCR heteroreceptor complexes in modulating the brain network in health and disease. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 11, 37.
- Borroto-Escuela, D.O., Romero-Fernandez, W., Tarakanov, A.O., Agnati, L.F., Fuxé, K., 2011. On the existence of a possible A2AR-D2-β-arrestin2 complex: a2A agonist modulation of D2 agonist-induced β -arrestin 2 recruitment. *J. Mol. Biol.* 406 (5), 687–699.
- Cabello, N., Gandia, J., Bertarelli, D.C., Watanabe, M., Lluis, C., Franco, R., Ferre, S., Lujan, R., Ciruela, F., 2009. Metabotropic glutamate type 5, dopamine D2 and adenosine A2a receptors form higher-order oligomers in living cells. *J. Neurochem.* 109 (5), 1497–1507.
- Cahill, E., Salery, M., Caboche, J., Vanhoutte, P., 2014a. Convergence of dopamine and glutamate signaling onto striatal ERK activation in response to drugs of abuse. *Front. Pharmacol.* 4, 172.
- Cahill, E., Pascoli, V., Trifilieff, P., Savoldi, D., Kappès, V., Lüscher, C., Caboche, J., 2014b. D1R/GluN1 complexes in the striatum integrate dopamine and glutamate signaling to control synaptic plasticity and cocaine-induced adaptations. *Mol. Psychiatr.* 19 (12), 1295–1304.
- Cepeda, C., Levine, M.S., 2006. Where do you think you are going? The NMDAR-D1 receptor trap. *Sci. STKE* 2006 (333) pe20.
- Chun, L.S., Free, R.B., Doyle, T.B., Huang, X.P., Rankin, M.L., Sibley, D.R., 2013. D1-D2 dopamine receptor synergy promotes calcium signaling via multiple mechanisms. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 84 (2), 190–200.
- Ciruela, F., Burgueno, J., Casado, V., Canals, M., Marcellino, D.M., Goldberg, S.R., Bader, M., Fuxé, K., Agnati, L.F., Lluis, C., Franco, R., Ferré, S., Woods, A.S., 2004. Combining mass spectrometry and pull-down techniques for the study of receptor heteromerization. Direct epitope-epitope electrostatic interactions between adenosine A2A and dopamine D2R receptors. *Anal. Chem.* 76 (18), 5354–5363.
- Corvol, J.C., Studler, J.M., Schonn, J.S., Girault, J.A., Hervé, D., 2001. Galphal(olf) is necessary for coupling D1 and A2a receptors to adenylyl cyclase in the striatum. *J. Neurochem.* 21 (12), 4390–4399.
- Deng, Y.P., Lei, W.L., Reiner, A., 2006. Differential perikaryal localization in rats of D1 and D2 dopamine receptors on striatal projection neuron types identified by retrograde labeling. *J. Chem. Neuroanat.* 32 (2–4), 101–116.
- Di Chiara, G., Imparato, A., 1998. Drugs abused by humans preferentially increase synaptic dopamine concentrations in the mesolimbic system of freely moving rats. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 85 (14), 5274–5278.
- Doig, N.M., Moss, J., Bolam, J.P., 2010. Cortical and thalamic innervation of direct and indirect pathway medium-sized spiny neurons in mouse striatum. *J. Neurosci.* 30 (44), 14610–14618.
- Ehlers, M.D., Tingley, W.G., Huganir, R.L., 1995. Regulated subcellular distribution of the NR1 subunit of the NMDA receptor. *Science* 269 (5231), 1734–1737.
- Felder, C.C., Williams, H.L., Axelford, J., 1991. A transduction pathway associated with receptors coupled to the inhibitory guanine nucleotide binding protein Gi that amplifies ATP-mediated arachidonic release. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 88 (15), 6477–6480.
- Fernandez-Duena, V., Taura, J.J., Cottet, M., Gomez-Soler, M., Lopez-Cano, M., Ledent, C., Watanabe, M., Trinquet, E., Pin, J.P., Lujan, R., Durroux, T., Ciruela, F., 2015. Untangling dopamine-adenosine receptor-receptor assembly in experimental parkinsonism in rats. *Dis. Model Mech.* 8 (1), 57–63.
- Ferre, S., Bonaventura, J., Zhu, W., Hatchett-Solis, C., Taura, J., Quiro, C., Cai, N.S., Moreno, E., Casado-Anguera, V., Kravitz, A.V., Thompson, K.R., Tomasi, D.G., Navarro, G., Cordomi, A., Pardo, L., Lluis, C., Dessauer, C.W., Volkow, N.D., Casado, V., Ciruela, F., Logothetis, D.E., Zwilling, D., 2018. Essential control of the function of the striatopallidal neuron by pre-coupled complexes of adenosine A2A-dopamine D2 receptor heterotetramers and adenylyl cyclase. *Front. Pharmacol.* 9, 243.
- Ferre, S., Bonaventura, J., Tomasi, D., Navarro, G., Moreno, E., Cortés, A., Lluis, C., Casado, V., Volkow, N.D., 2016. Allosteric mechanisms within the adenosine A2A-dopamine D2 receptor heterotetramer. *Neuropharmacology* 104, 154–160.
- Ferre, S., von Euler, G., Johansson, B.B., Fredholm, B.B., Fuxé, K., 1991. Stimulation of high-affinity adenosine A2 receptors decreases the affinity of dopamine D2 receptors in rat striatal membranes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 88 (16), 7238–7241.
- Fiorentini, C., Savoia, P., Savoldi, D., Missale, C., 2013. Receptor heteromers in Parkinson's disease and L-DOPA-induced dyskinesia. *CNS Neurol. Disord. - Drug Targets* 12 (8), 1101–1113.
- Fiorentini, C., Busi, C.B., Sano, P.F., Missale, C., 2010. Dimerization of dopamine D1 and D3 receptors in the regulation of striatal function. *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.* 10 (1), 87–92.
- Fiorentini, C., Gardoni, F., Spano, P.F., Di Luca, M., Missale, C., 2003. Regulation of dopamine D1 receptor trafficking and sensitization by oligomerization with glutamate N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278 (22), 20196–20202.
- Flores-Hernandez, J., Cepeda, C., Hernandez-Echeagaray, E., Calvert, C.R., Jokel, E.S., Fienberg, A.A., Greengard, P., Levine, M.S., 2002. Dopamine enhancement of NMDA currents in dissociated medium-sized striatal neurons: role of D1 receptors and DARPP-32. *J. Neurophysiol.* 88 (6), 3010–3020.
- Frankowska, M., Marcellino, D., Adamczyk, P., Filip, M., Fuxé, K., 2013. Effects of cocaine self-administration and extinction on D2-like and A2A receptor recognition and D2-like/Gi protein coupling in rat striatum. *Addict. Biol.* 18 (3), 455–466.
- Frederick, A.L., Yano, H., Trifilieff, P., Vishwasrao, H.D., Biezonki, D., Meszaros, J., Urizar, E., Sibley, D.R., Kellendonk, C., Sonntag, K.C., Graham, D.L., Colbran, R.J., Stanwood, G.D., Javitch, J.A., 2015. Evidence against dopamine D1/D2 receptor heteromers. *Mol. Psychiatr.* 20 (11) 1373–138.

- Fuxe, K., Tarakanov, A., Romero-Fernandez, W., Ferraro, L., Tanganelli, S., Filip, M., Agnati, L.F., Garriga, P., Diaz-Cabiale, Z., Borroto-Escuela, D.O., 2014. Diversity and bias through receptor-receptor interactions in GPCR heteroreceptor complexes. Focus on examples from the dopamine D2 receptor heteromerization. *Front. Endocrinol.* 5, 71.
- Fuxe, K., Ungerstedt, U., 1974. Action of caffeine and theophyllamine on supersensitive dopamine receptors: considerable enhancement of receptor response to treatment with DOPA and dopamine receptors. *Med. Biol.* 52 (1), 48–54.
- Gagnon, D., Petryszyn, S., Sanchez, M.G., Bories, C., Beaulieu, J.M., De Koninck, Y., Parent, A., Parent, M., 2017. Striatal neurons expressing D1 and D2 receptors are morphologically distinct and differently affected by dopamine denervation in mice. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 41432.
- Gangarossa, G., Espallergues, J., Mailly, P., De Bundel, D., de Kerchove d'Exaerde, A., Herve, D., Girault, J.A., Valjent, E., Krieger, P., 2013. Spatial distribution of D1R- and D2R-expressing medium-sized spiny neurons differs along the rostro-caudal axis of the mouse dorsal striatum. *Front. Neural Circ.* 7, 124.
- Girault, J.A., Valjent, E., Caboche, J., Hervé, D., 2007. ERK2: a logical and gate critical for drug-induced plasticity? *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.* 7 (1), 77–85.
- Gong, S., Zheng, C., Doughty, M.L., Losos, K., Didkovsky, N., Schambra, U.B., Nowak, N.J., Joyner, A., Leblanc, G., Hatten, M.E., Heintz, N., 2003. A gene expression atlas of the central nervous system based on bacterial artificial chromosomes. *Nature* 425 (6961), 917–925.
- Hasbi, A., O'Dowd, B.F., George, S.R., 2010. Heteromerization of dopamine D2 receptors with D1 or D5 receptors generates intracellular calcium signaling by different mechanisms. *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.* 10 (1), 93–99.
- Hasbi, A., Fan, T., Aljianiaram, M., Nguyen, T., Perreault, M.L., O'Dowd, B.F., George, S.R., 2009. Calcium signaling cascade links dopamine D1-D2 receptor heteromer to striatal BDNF production and neuronal growth. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106 (50), 21377–21382.
- Hasbi, A., Perreault, M.L., Shen, M.Y.F., Fan, T., Nguyen, T., Aljianiaram, M., Banasikowski, T.J., Grace, A.A., O'Dowd, B.F., Fletcher, P.J., George, S.R., 2018. Activation of dopamine D1-D2 receptor complex attenuates cocaine reward and reinstatement of cocaine-seeking through inhibition of DARPP-32, ERK, and ΔFosB. *Front. Pharmacol.* 8, 924.
- Hasbi, A., Perreault, M.L., Shen, M.Y., Zhang, L., To, R., Fan, T., Nguyen, T., Ji, X., O'Dowd, B.F., George, S.R., 2014. A peptide targeting an interaction interface disrupts the dopamine D1-D2 receptor heteromer to block signaling and function in vitro and in vivo: effective selective antagonism. *Faseb. J.* 28 (11), 4806–4820.
- He, Y., Li, Y., Chen, M., Pu, Z., Zhang, F., Chen, L., Ruan, Y., Pan, X., He, C., Chen, X., Li, Z., Chen, J.F., 2016. Habit formation after random interval training is associated with increased adenosine A2A receptor and dopamine D2 receptor heterodimers in the striatum. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 9, 151.
- Hikida, T., Kimura, K., Wada, N., Funabiki, K., Nakanishi, S., 2010. Distinct roles of synaptic transmission in direct and indirect striatal pathways to reward and aversive behavior. *Neuron* 66 (6), 896–907.
- Jin, D.Z., Xue, B., Mao, L.M., Wang, J.Q., 2015. Metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 up-regulates surface NMDA receptor expression in striatal neurons via CaMKII. *Brain Res.* 1624, 414–423.
- Kim, E., Sheng, M., 2004. PDZ domain proteins of synapses. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 5 (10), 771–781.
- Koob, G.F., Volkow, N.D., 2016. Neurobiology of addiction: a neurocircuitry analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry* 3 (8), 760–773.
- Kornau, H.C., Schenker, L.T., Kennedy, M.B., Seeburg, P., 1995. Domain interaction between NMDA receptor subunits and the postsynaptic density protein PSD-95. *Science* 269 (5231) 1737–1740.
- Kravitz, A.V., Tyre, L.D., Kreitzer, A.C., 2012. Distinct roles of direct and indirect pathway striatal neurons in reinforcement. *Nat. Neurosci.* 15 (6), 816–818.
- Kravitz, A.V., Freeze, B.S., Parker, P.R., Kay, K., Thwin, M.T., Deisseroth, K., Kreitzer, A.C., 2010. Regulation of parkinsonian motor behavior by optogenetic control of basal ganglia circuitry. *Nature* 466 (7306), 622–626.
- Ladepeche, L., Dupuis, J.P., Bouchet, D., Doudnikoff, E., Yang, L., Campagne, Y., Bézard, E., Hosy, E., Groc, L., 2013. Single-molecule imaging of the functional crosstalk between surface NMDA and dopamine receptors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110 (44), 18005–18010.
- Lau, C.G., Zukin, R.S., 2007. NMDA receptor trafficking in synaptic plasticity and neuropsychiatric disorders. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 8 (6), 413–426.
- Lee, S.M., Kant, A., Blake, D., Murthy, V., Boyd, K., Wyrick, S.J., Mailman, R.B., 2014a. SKF-83959 is not a highly-biased functionally selective D1 dopamine receptor ligand with activity at phospholipase C. *Neuropharmacology* 86, 145–154.
- Lee, S.M., Yang, Y., Mailman, R.B., 2014b. Dopamine D1 receptor signaling: does GalphaoQ-phospholipase C actually play a role? *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Therapeut.* 351 (1), 9–17.
- Lee, F.J.S., Xue, S., Pei, L., Vukusic, B., Chéry, N., Wang, Y., Wang, Y.T., Niznik, H.B., Yu, X.M., Liu, F., 2002. Dual regulation of NMDA receptor functions by direct protein-protein interactions with the dopamine D1 receptor. *Neuron* 111 (2), 219–230.
- Liao, G.Y., Wagner, D.A., Hsu, M.H., Leonard, J.P., 2001. Evidence for direct protein kinase-C mediated modulation of N-Methyl-D-aspartate receptor current. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 59 (5), 960–964.
- Lieberman, D.N., Mody, I., 1994. Regulation of NMDA channel function by endogenous Ca(2+)-dependent phosphatase. *Nature* 369 (6477), 235–239.
- Liu, X.Y., Chu, X.P., Mao, L.M., Wang, M., Lan, H.X., Li, M.H., Zhang, G.C., Parekh, N.K., Fibich, E.E., Haines, M., Neve, K.A., Liu, F., Xiong, Z.G., Wang, J.Q., 2006. Modulation of D2R-NR2B interaction in response to cocaine. *Neuron* 52 (5), 897–909.
- Lobo, M.K., Nestler, E.J., 2011. The striatal balancing act in drug addiction: distinct roles of direct and indirect pathway medium spiny neurons. *Front. Neuroanat.* 5, 41.
- Lobo, M.K., Covington 3rd, H.E., Chaudhury, D., Friedman, A.K., Sun, H., Damez-Werno, D., Dietz, D.M., Zaman, S., Koo, J.W., Kennedy, P.J., Mouzon, E., Mogri, M., Neve, R.L., Deisseroth, K., Han, M.H., Nestler, E.J., 2010. Cell type-specific loss of BDNF signaling mimics optogenetic control of cocaine reward. *Science* 330 (6002), 385–390.
- Lopez-Cruz, L., San Miguel, N., Carratala-Ros, C., Monferrer, L., Salamone, J.D., Correa, M., 2018. Dopamine depletion shifts behavior from activity based reinforcers to more sedentary ones and adenosine receptor antagonism reverses that shift: relation to ventral striatum DARPP32 phosphorylation patterns. *Neuropharmacology* 138, 349–359.
- Lüscher, C., Malenka, R.C., 2011. Drug-evoked synaptic plasticity in addiction: from molecular to circuit remodeling. *Neuron* 69 (4), 650–663.
- Marcellino, D., Roberts, D.C., Navarro, G., Filip, M., Agnati, L., Lluis, C., Franco, R., Fuxe, K., 2007. Increase in A2A receptors in the nucleus accumbens after extended cocaine self-administration and its disappearance after cocaine withdrawal. *Brain Res.* 1143, 208–220.
- Misale, C., Fiorentini, C., Busi, C., Collo, G., Spano, P.F., 2006. The NMDA/D1 receptor complex as a new target in drug development. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* 6 (8), 801–808.
- Moss, J., Bolam, J.P., 2008. A dopaminergic axon lattice in the striatum and its relationship with cortical and thalamic terminals. *J. Neurosci.* 28 (44), 11221–11230.
- Mott, A.M., Nunes, E.J., Collins, L.E., Port, R.G., Sink, K.S., Hockemeyer, J., Muller, C.E., Salamone, J.D., 2009. The adenosine A2A antagonist MSX-3 reverses the effects of the dopamine antagonist haloperidol on effort-related decision making in a T-maze cost/benefit procedure. *Psychopharmacology* 204 (1), 103–112.
- Nai, Q., Li, S., Wang, S.H., Liu, J., Le, F.J., Frankland, P.W., Liu, F., 2010. Uncoupling the D1-N-Methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor complex promotes NMDA-dependent long-term potentiation and working memory. *Biol. Psychiatry* 67 (3), 246–254.
- Navarro, G., Cordomi, A., Casado-Anguera, V., Moreno, E., Cai, N.S., Cortes, A., Canelas, E.I., Dessauer, C.W., Casado, V., Pardo, L., Lluis, C., Ferre, S., 2018. Evidence for functional pre-coupled complexes of receptor heteromers and adenylyl cyclase. *Nat. Commun.* 9 (1), 1242.
- Navarro, G., Moreno, E., Bonaventura, J., Brugarolas, M., Farre, D., Aguinaga, D., Mallol, J., Cortes, A., Casado, V., Lluis, C., Ferre, S., Franco, R., Canelas, E., McCormick, P.J., 2013. Cocaine inhibits dopamine D2 receptor signaling via sigma-1-D2 receptor heteromers. *PLoS One* 8 (4), e61245.
- Nestler, E.J., 2001. The neurobiology of cocaine addiction. *Sci. Pract. Perspect.* 3 (1), 4–10.
- Nestler, E.J., 2014. Epigenetic mechanisms of drug addiction. *Neuropharmacology* 76 Pt B, 259–268.
- Niethammer, M., Kim, E., Sheng, M., 1996. Interaction between the C terminus of NMDA receptor subunits and multiple members of the PSD-95 family of membrane-associated guanylate kinases. *J. Neurosci.* 16 (7), 2157–2163.
- Nunes, E.J., Randall, P.A., Hart, E.E., Freeland, C., Yohn, S.E., Baqi, Y., Muller, C.E., Lopez-Cruz, L., Correa, M., Salamone, J.D., 2013. Effort-related motivational effects of the VMAT-2 inhibitor tetrabenazine: implications for animal models of the motivational symptoms of depression. *J. Neurosci.* 33 (49), 19120–19130.
- O'Dowd, B.F., Ji, X., Nguyen, T., George, S.R., 2012. Two amino acids in each of D1 and D2 dopamine receptor cytoplasmic regions are involved in D1-D2 heteromer formation. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 417 (1), 23–28.
- Omkumar, R.V., Melinda, O., Kiely, M.J., Rosenstein, A.J., Min, K.T., Kennedy, M.B., 1996. Identification of a phosphorylation site for calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II in the NR2B subunit of the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor. *J. Biol. Chem.* 271 (49), 31760–31768.
- Paoletti, P., Bellone, C., Zhou, Q., 2013. NMDA receptor subunit diversity: impact on receptor properties, synaptic plasticity and disease. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 14 (6), 383–400.
- Pardo, M., Lopez-Cruz, L., Valverde, O., Ledent, C., Baqi, Y., Muller, C.E., Salamone, J.D., Correa, M., 2012. Adenosine A2A receptor antagonism and genetic deletion attenuate the effects of dopamine D2 antagonism on effort-based decision making in mice. *Neuropharmacology* 62 (5–6), 2068–2077.
- Pascali, V., Besnard, A., Kappé, V., Hervé, D., Pagès, C., Heck, N., Girault, J.A., Caboche, J., Vanhoutte, P., 2011a. Cyclic adenosine monophosphate-independent tyrosine phosphorylation of NR2B mediates cocaine-induced extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation. *Biol. Psychiatry* 69 (3), 218–227.
- Pascali, V., Turiault, M., Lüscher, C., 2011b. Reversal of cocaine-evoked synaptic potentiation resets drug-induced adaptive behavior. *Nature* 481 (7379), 71–75.
- Pascali, V., Cahill, E., Bellivier, F., Caboche, J., Vanhoutte, P., 2014a. Extracellular signal-regulated protein kinase 1 and 2 activation by addictive drugs: a signal towards pathological adaptation. *Biol. Psychiatry* 76 (12), 917–926.
- Pascali, V., Terrier, J., Espallergues, J., Valjent, E., O'Connor, E.C., Lüscher, C., 2014b. Contrasting forms of cocaine-evoked plasticity control components of relapse. *Nature* 509 (7501), 459–464.
- Pei, L., Lee, F.J., Moszczyńska, A., Vukusic, B., Liu, F., 2004. Regulation of D1 receptor function by physical interaction with NMDA receptors. *J. Neurosci.* 24 (5), 1149–1158.
- Perreault, M.L., Hasbi, A., Shen, M.Y.F., Fan, T., Navarro, G., Fletcher, P.J., Franco, R., Lanciego, J.L., George, S.R., 2016. Disruption of a dopamine receptor complex amplifies the actions of cocaine. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 26 (9), 1366–1377.
- Perreault, M.L., O'Dowd, B.F., George, S.R., 2014. Dopamine D1(D2) receptor heteromer regulates signaling cascades involved in addiction: potential relevance to adolescent drug susceptibility. *Dev. Neurosci.* 36 (3–4), 287–296.
- Perreault, M.L., Hasbi, A., Aljianiaram, M., Fan, T., Varghese, G., Fletcher, P.J., Seeman, P., O'Dowd, B.F., George, S.R., 2010. The dopamine D1-D2 receptor heteromer localizes in dynorphin/enkephalin neurons: increased high affinity state following amphetamine and in schizophrenia. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285 (47), 36625–36634.
- Podurgiel, S.J., Spencer, T., Kovner, R., Baqi, Y., Muller, C.E., Correa, M., Salamone, J.D., 2016. Induction of oral tremor in mice by the acetylcholinesterase inhibitor

- galantamine: reversal with adenosine A2A antagonism. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 140, 62–67.
- Randall, P.A., Lee, C.A., Nunes, E.J., Yohn, S.E., Nowak, V., Khan, B., Shah, P., Pandit, S., Vemuri, V.K., Makriyannis, A., Baqi, Y., Muller, C.E., Correa, M., Salamone, J.D., 2014. The VMAT-2 inhibitor tetrabenazine affects effort-related decision making in a progressive ratio/chow feeding choice task: reversal with antidepressant drugs. *PLoS One* 9 (6), e99320.
- Rico, A.J., Dopesto-Reyes, I.G., Matninez-Pinilla, E., Sucunza, D., Pignataro, D., Roda, E., Marin-Ramos, D., Labandeira-Garcia, J.L., George, S.R., Franco, R., Lanciego, J.L., 2017. Neurochemical evidence supporting dopamine D1-D2 receptor heteromers in the striatum of the long-tailed macaque : changes following dopaminergic manipulation. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 222 (4), 1767–1784.
- Salamone, J.D., Collins-Praino, L.E., Pardo, M., Podurgiel, S.J., Baqi, Y., Muller, C.E., Schwarzschild, M.A., Correa, M., 2013. Conditional neural knockout of the adenosine A(2A) receptor and pharmacological A(2A) antagonism reduce pilocarpine-induced tremulous jaw movements: studies with a mouse model of parkinsonian tremor. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol* 23 (8), 972–977.
- Salamone, J.D., Farrar, A.M., Font, L., Patel, V., Schlar, D.E., Nunes, E.J., Collins, L.E., Sager, T.N., 2009. Differential actions of adenosine A1 and A2A antagonists on the effort-related effects of dopamine D2 antagonism. *Behav. Brain Res.* 201 (1), 216–222.
- Schiffmann, S.N., Fisone, G., Moresco, R., Cunha, R.A., Ferre, S., 2007. Adenosine A2A receptors and basal ganglia physiology. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 83 (5), 277–292.
- Scott, L., Zelenin, S., Malmersjö, S., Kowalewski, J.M., Markus, E.Z., Nairn, A.C., Greengard, P., Brismar, H., Aperia, A., 2006. Allosteric changes of the NMDA receptor trap diffusible dopamine 1 receptors in spines. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103 (3), 762–767.
- Scott, D.B., Blanpied, T.A., Ehlers, M.D., 2003. Coordinated PKA and PKC phosphorylation suppresses RXR-mediated ER retention and regulates the surface delivery of NMDA receptors. *Neuropharmacology* 45 (6), 755–767.
- Shen, M.Y., Perreault, M.L., Fan, T., George, S.R., 2015a. The dopamine D1-D2 receptor heteromer exerts a tonic inhibitory effect on the expression of amphetamine-induced locomotor sensitization. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 128, 33–40.
- Shen, M.Y., Perreault, M.L., Bambico, F.R., Jones-Tabah, J., Cheung, M., Fan, T., Nobrega, J.N., George, S.R., 2015b. Rapid anti-depressant and anxiolytic actions following dopamine D1-D2 receptor heteromer inactivation. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol* 25 (12), 2437–2448.
- Soriano, A., Ventura, R., Molero, A., Hoen, R., Casado, V., Cortés, A., Fanelli, F., Albericio, F., Lluis, C., Franco, R., Royo, M., 2009. Adenosine A2A receptor-antagonist/dopamine D2 receptor-agonist bivalent ligands as pharmacological tools to detect A2A-D2 receptor heteromers. *J. Med. Chem.* 52 (18), 5590–5602.
- Tingley, W.G., Ehlers, M.D., Kameyama, K., Doherty, C., Ptak, J.B., Riley, C.T., Huganir, R.L., 1997. Characterization of protein kinase A and protein kinase C phosphorylation of the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor NR1 subunit using phosphorylation site-specific antibodies. *J. Biol. Chem.* 272 (8), 5157–5166.
- Traynelis, S.F., Wollmuth, L.P., McBain, C.J., Menniti, F.S., Vance, K.M., Ogden, K.K., Hanse, K.B., Yuan, Y., Myers, S.J., Dingledine, R., 2010. Glutamate receptor ion channels: structure, regulation and function. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 62 (3), 405–496.
- Trifilieff, P., Ducrocq, F., van der Veldt, S., Martinez, D., 2017. Blunted dopamine transmission in addiction: potential mechanisms and implications for behavior. *Semin. Nucl. Med.* 47 (1), 64–74.
- Trifilieff, P., Martinez, D., 2014. Imaging addiction: D2 receptors and dopamine signaling in the striatum as biomarkers for impulsivity. *Neuropharmacology* 76 Pt B, 498–509.
- Trifilieff, P., Rives, M.L., Urizar, E., Piskorowski, R.A., Vishwasrao, H.D., Castrillon, J., Schmauss, C., Slattman, M., Gullberg, M., Javitch, J.A., 2011. Detection of antigen interactions ex vivo by proximity ligation assay: endogenous dopamine D2-adenosine A2A receptor complexes in the striatum. *Biotechniques* 51 (12), 111–118.
- Valjent, E., Corvol, J.C., Pagès, C., Besson, M.J., Maldonado, R., Caboche, J., 2000. Involvement of extracellular signal-regulated kinase cascade for cocaine-rewarding properties. *J. Neurosci.* 20 (23), 8701–8709.
- Valjent, E., Pascoli, V., Svenssonsson, P., Paul, S., Enslen, H., Corvol, J.C., Stipanovich, A., Caboche, J., Lombroso, P.J., Nairn, A.C., Greengard, P., Hervé, D., Girault, J.A., 2005. Regulation of a protein phosphatase cascade allows convergent dopamine and glutamate signals to activate ERK in the striatum. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102 (2), 491–496.
- Verma, V., Hasbi, A., O'Dowd, B.F., George, S.R., 2010. Dopamine D1-D2 receptor Heteromer-mediated calcium release is desensitized by D1 receptor occupancy with or without signal activation: dual functional regulation by G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285 (45), 35092–35103.
- Volkow, N.D., Morales, M., 2015. The brain on drugs: from reward to addiction. *Cell* 162 (4), 712–725.
- Volkow, N.D., Wang, G.J., Logan, J., Alexoff, D., Fowler, J.S., Thanos, P.K., Wong, C., Casado, V., Ferre, S., Tomasi, D., 2015. Caffeine increases striatal dopamine D2/D3 receptor availability in the human brain. *Transl. Psychiatry* 5, e549.
- Wang, J.Q., Guo, M.L., Jin, D.Z., Xue, B., Fibach, E.E., Mao, L.M., 2014. Role of subunit phosphorylation in regulating glutamate receptor function. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 728, 183–187.
- Wang, M., Wong, A.H., Liu, F., 2012. Interaction between NMDA and dopamine receptors: a potential therapeutic target. *Brain Res.* 1476, 154–163.
- Wittmann, M., Marino, M.J., Henze, D.A., Seabrook, G.R., Conn, P.J., 2005. Clozapine potentiation of N-Methyl-D-aspartate receptor currents in the nucleus accumbens: role of NR2B and protein kinase A/Src kinases. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Therapeut.* 313 (2), 594–603.
- Woods, A.S., Ciruela, F., Fuxe, K., Agnati, L.F., Lluis, C., Franco, R., Ferré, S., 2005. Role of electrostatic interaction in receptor-receptor heteromerization. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* 26 (2–3), 125–132.
- Yao, W.D., Speakman, R.D., Zhang, J., 2008. Dopamine signaling in dendritic spines. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 75 (11), 2055–2069.
- Zhang, J., Xu, T.X., Hallett, P.J., Watanabe, M., Grant, S.G.N., Isacson, O., Yao, W.D., 2009. PSD-95 uncouples dopamine-glutamate interaction in the D1R/PSD/NMDAR receptor complex. *J. Neurosci.* 29 (9), 2948–2960.