HAL
open science

Invariant Elliptic Curves as Attractors in the Projective Plane

Johan Taflin

To cite this version:

Johan Taflin. Invariant Elliptic Curves as Attractors in the Projective Plane. Journal of Geometric Analysis, 2010, 20 (1), pp.219-225. hal-01974293

HAL Id: hal-01974293

https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01974293v1

Submitted on 8 Jan 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Invariant elliptic curves as attractors in the projective plane

Johan Taflin

July 8, 2009

Abstract

Let f be a rational self-map of $\mathbb{P}^2$ which leaves invariant an elliptic curve $\mathcal{C}$ with strictly negative transverse Lyapunov exponent. We show that $\mathcal{C}$ is an attractor, i.e. it possesses a dense orbit and its basin has strictly positive measure.

Key words: Attractor, Lyapunov exponent.
AMS 2000 subject classification: 32H50, 37D50, 37B25.

1 Introduction

Let f be a rational self-map of $\mathbb{P}^2$ of algebraic degree $d \geq 2$ which leaves invariant an elliptic curve $\mathcal{C}$ (i.e. an algebraic curve of genus one). We assume that $\mathcal{C}$ does not contain indeterminacy points. In [BDM], Bonifant, Dabija and Milnor study such maps and give several examples. They associate to f, a canonical ergodic measure $\mu_{\mathcal{C}}$, supported on $\mathcal{C}$, which possesses a strictly positive Lyapunov exponent $\chi_1 = (\log d)/2$ in the tangent direction of $\mathcal{C}$. The transverse exponent corresponds to the second Lyapunov exponent χ_2 of $\mu_{\mathcal{C}}$, see section 3 for the definition.

An invariant compact set $A = f(A)$ will be called *an attractor* if A possesses a dense orbit and if the basin of A defined by

$$B(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{P}^2 \,|\, d(f^n(x), A) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty\},$$

has strictly positive Lebesgue measure. Here, $d(.,.)$ denotes the distance in $\mathbb{P}^2$ with respect to a fixed Riemanian metric. The purpose of this article is to establish the following theorem which was expected by Bonifant, Dabija and Milnor.

Theorem 1.1 *Let f, $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{C}}$ be as above. Assume that the transverse exponent χ_2 of $\mu_{\mathcal{C}}$ is strictly negative. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is an attractor.*

A sketch of proof is given in [AKYY] using the absolute continuity of the stable foliation. Our strategy is to study the stable manifolds associated to $\mu_{\mathcal{C}}$, and then apply the following local result.

Lemma 1.2 *Let E be a subset of the unit disk Δ with strictly positive Lebesgue measure. Suppose $\{D_x\}_{x\in E}$ is a measurable family of disjoint holomorphic disks given by $\rho_x : \Delta \to \Delta^2$, transverse to $\{0\} \times \Delta$ and such that $\rho_x(0) = (0, x)$. Then the union $\cup_{x\in E} D_x$ has strictly positive Lebesgue measure in Δ^2.*

The proof of this lemma is based on holomorphic motions and quasi-conformal mappings. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, $\mu_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a saddle measure, see [deT], [Di] for the construction of such measures in a similar context and [Si], [DS] for the basics on complex dynamics.

Recall that a rational self-map f of $\mathbb{P}^2$ of algebraic degree d is given in homogeneous coordinates $[z] = [z_0 : z_1 : z_2]$, by $f[z] = [F_0(z) : F_1(z) : F_2(z)]$ where F_0, F_1, F_2 are three homogeneous polynomials in z of degree d with no common factor. In the sequel, we always assume that $d \geq 2$. The common zeros in $\mathbb{P}^2$ of F_0, F_1, and F_2 form the indeterminacy set $I(f)$ which is finite. Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be an elliptic curve. Then, there exists a lattice Γ of $\mathbb{C}$ and a desingularization

$$\Psi : \mathbb{C}/\Gamma \to \mathbb{P}^2,$$

with $\Psi(\mathbb{C}/\Gamma) = \mathcal{C}$. Moreover, if S denotes the singular locus of $\mathcal{C}$, the map

$$\Psi : (\mathbb{C}/\Gamma) \setminus \Psi^{-1}(S) \to \mathcal{C} \setminus S$$

is a biholomorphism.

We say that $\mathcal{C}$ is *f-invariant* if $\mathcal{C} \cap I(f) = \varnothing$ and $f(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$. In this case, the restriction $f_{|\mathcal{C}}$ lifts to a holomorphic self-map $\widetilde{f}$ of $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$. Even if $\mathcal{C}$ is singular, f inherits several properties of $\widetilde{f}$. Like all holomorphic self-maps of $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$, $\widetilde{f}$ is necessarily of the form $t \mapsto at + b$ and leaves invariant the normalized Lebesgue measure $\widetilde{\mu}_{\mathcal{C}}$ on $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$. So, the topological degree of $\widetilde{f}$, i.e. the number of points in a fiber, is equal to $|a|^2$. It is not difficult to check that this degree is equal to d, see [BD]. Therefore, $|a|^2 = d \geq 2$. Then, by a classical theorem on ergodicity on compact abelian groups, $\widetilde{\mu}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is $\widetilde{f}$-ergodic, i.e. is extremal in the cone of invariant positive measures. Its push-forward $\mu_{\mathcal{C}}$ is an f-ergodic measure supported on $\mathcal{C}$. Moreover, generic orbits of $f_{|\mathcal{C}}$ are dense in $\mathcal{C}$. On the other hand, $f_{|\mathcal{C}}$ inherits the repulsive behavior of $\widetilde{f}$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{C}}$ possesses a

strictly positive Lyapunov exponent equal to $\chi_1 = \log|a| = (\log d)/2$ in the tangent direction of $\mathcal{C}$. By Oseledec's theorem, see Section 3 below, we have

$$\chi_1 + \chi_2 = \frac{1}{2}\langle \mu_{\mathcal{C}}, \log(\mathrm{Jac}(f))\rangle$$

where $\mathrm{Jac}(f)$ denotes the Jacobian of f with respect of the Lebesgue measure of $\mathbb{P}^2$. So, the hypothesis in Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to

$$\langle \mu_{\mathcal{C}}, \log(\mathrm{Jac}(f))\rangle < \log d.$$

Some examples in [BDM] satisfy this condition and give the first attractors in $\mathbb{P}^2$ with non-open basins.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Tien-Cuong Dinh for drawing my attention to the subjet and for his invaluable help.

2 Holomorphic motion

We briefly introduce the notion of holomorphic motion. For a more complete account cf. [GJW]. For $r > 0$, we denote by Δ_r the disk centered at the origin in $\mathbb{C}$ with radius r. If E is a subset of $\mathbb{P}^1$, a holomorphic motion of E parametrized by Δ is a map

$$h : \Delta \times E \to \mathbb{P}^1$$

such that:

i) $h(0, z) = z$ for all $z \in E$,

ii) $\forall\, c \in \Delta$, $z \mapsto h(c, z)$ is injective,

iii) $\forall\, z \in E$, $c \mapsto h(c, z)$ is holomorphic on Δ.

By the works of Mañé, Sad, Sullivan, Thurston and Slodkowski (see [MSS], [ST] and [Slo]), any holomorphic motion h of E can be extended to a holomorphic motion $\widetilde{h}$ of $\mathbb{P}^1$. Furthermore, even if no continuity in z is assumed, $\widetilde{h}$ is continuous on $\Delta \times \mathbb{P}^1$. More precisely, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.1 *Let h be a holomorphic motion of a set $E \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ parametrized by Δ. Then there is a continuous holomorphic motion $\widetilde{h} : \Delta \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ which extends h. Moreover, for any fixed $c \in \Delta$, $\widetilde{h}(c, .) : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is a quasi-conformal homeomorphism.*

We refer to [Ahl] for quasi-conformal mappings. The following property is crucial in our proof.

Proposition 2.2 *A quasi-conformal mapping sends sets of Lebesgue measure* 0 *to sets of Lebesgue measure* 0.

We shall need the following Lemma in the proof of Lemma 1.2.

Lemma 2.3 *Let h be a holomorphic motion of a Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ of strictly positive measure. Then $\cup_{c\in\Delta}\{c\} \times h(c, E)$ has strictly positive measure in $\Delta \times \mathbb{P}^1$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, h can be extended to a holomorphic motion $\widetilde{h} : \Delta \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ such that, for any fixed $c \in \Delta$, $\widetilde{h}(c, .) : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is a quasi-conformal homeomorphism. So, by Proposition 2.2 $\mathrm{Leb}(h(c, E)) > 0$ and Fubini's theorem implies that $\cup_{c\in\Delta}\{c\} \times h(c, E)$ has strictly positive measure. □

Proof of Lemma 1.2. From the family of disks, we will construct a holomorphic motion. Denote by π_1 and π_2 the canonical projections of Δ^2. Let $x \in E$. Since D_x is transverse to $\{0\} \times \Delta$, there exists $r(x) > 0$ such that $\rho_x^1 = \pi_1 \circ \rho_x$ is a biholomorphism between a neighbourhood of 0 and $\Delta_{r(x)}$. The measurability of $\{D_x\}_{x\in E}$ implies that $x \mapsto r(x)$ is also measurable. As $\mathrm{Leb}(E) > 0$, there exists $a > 0$ and a subset E_a of E such that $\mathrm{Leb}(E_a) > 0$ and $r(x) > a$ for each point $x \in E_a$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} h : \Delta_a \times E_a &\to \Delta \\ (c, z) &\mapsto \rho_z^2 \circ (\rho_z^1)^{-1}(c), \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_x^2 = \pi_2 \circ \rho_x$. By construction, h is well defined and $c \mapsto h(c, z)$ is holomorphic on Δ_a. Since the disks are pair-wise disjoint, the map $z \mapsto h(c, z)$ is injective for each $c \in \Delta_a$. Therefore, h is a holomorphic motion of E_a parametrized by Δ_a and by Lemma 2.3 $\cup_{c\in\Delta_a}\{c\} \times h(c, E_a) \subset \cup_{x\in E} D_x$ has strictly positive Lebesgue measure in Δ^2. □

3 Hyperbolic dynamics

Suppose that g is a holomorphic self-map of a complex manifold M of dimension m. The following Oseledec's multiplicative ergodic theorem (cf. [KH] and [Wa]) gives information on the growth rate of $\|D_x g^n(v)\|$, $v \in T_x M$ as $n \to +\infty$. Here, $D_x g^n$ denotes the differential of g^n at x. Oseledec's theorem holds also when g is only defined in a neighbourhood of $\mathrm{supp}(\nu)$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let g be as above and let ν be an ergodic probability with compact support in M. Assume that $\log^+ Jac(g)$ is in $L^1(\nu)$. Then there exist integers k, $m_1, \ldots, m_k$, real numbers $\lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_k$ (λ_k may be $-\infty$) and a subset $\Lambda \subset M$ such that $g(\Lambda) = \Lambda$, $\nu(\Lambda) = 1$ and for each $x \in \Lambda$, T_xM admits a measurable splitting*

$$T_xM = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} E_x^i$$

such that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(E_x^i) = m_i$, $D_xg(E_x^i) \subset E_{g(x)}^i$ and

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|D_xg^n(v)\| = \lambda_i$$

locally uniformly on $v \in E_x^i \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, for $S \subset N := \{1, ..., k\}$ and $E_x^S = \oplus_{i\in S} E_x^i$, the angle between $E_{g^n(x)}^S$ and $E_{g^n(x)}^{N\setminus S}$ satisfies

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sin |\angle(E_{g^n(x)}^S, E_{g^n(x)}^{N\setminus S})| = 0.$$

The constants λ_i are the *Lyapunov exponents* of g with respect to ν. It is not difficult to deduce that

$$2\sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i\lambda_i = \int \log \mathrm{Jac}(g) d\nu.$$

If all Lyapunov exponents are non-zero, we say that ν is *hyperbolic*. In this case, let $\lambda > 0$ such that $\lambda < |\lambda_i|$ for all $1 \le i \le k$ and let

$$E_x^s = \bigoplus_{\lambda_i<0} E_x^i, \;\; E_x^u = \bigoplus_{\lambda_i>0} E_x^i.$$

Then, for each point x in Λ and $\delta > 0$ we define the *stable manifolds* at x by

$$W_\delta^s(x) = \{y \in M \,|\, d(g^n(x), g^n(y)) < \delta e^{-\lambda n} \;\; \forall n \ge 0\}.$$

From Pesin's theory, we have the following fundamental result, see [BP], [PS], [RS] and [Pol] for more details.

Theorem 3.2 *There exists a strictly positive measurable function δ on Λ such that if $x \in \Lambda$ then*

i) $W_{\delta(x)}^s(x)$ is an immersed manifold in M,

ii) $T_xW_{\delta(x)}^s(x) = E_x^s$,

iii) $W_{\delta(x)}^s(x)$ depends measurably of x.

4 Basin of an attracting curve

Since the support of $\mu_{\mathcal{C}}$ does not contain indeterminacy points, we have $\log^+ \mathrm{Jac}(f) \in L^1(\mu_{\mathcal{C}})$. We assume that $\mu_{\mathcal{C}}$ has a strictly negative transverse exponent χ_2. Then, there exists a hyperbolic set $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(\Lambda) = 1$ and $E^u_x = T_x\mathcal{C}$ for all $x \in \Lambda$.

The first step to apply Lemma 1.2 is to find, for some $p \in \Lambda$, an open neighbourhood where the stable manifolds are pair-wise disjoint. To this end, we prove that the restriction $f_{|\mathcal{C}}$ inherits the repulsive behavior of $\widetilde{f}$. Recall that $d(.\,,.)$ is the distance on $\mathbb{P}^2$ and denote by $\widetilde{d}(.\,,.)$ the standard distance on $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$.

Lemma 4.1 *There is a constant $\beta > 0$ such that for each $p \in \mathcal{C} \setminus S$ we can find $\alpha > 0$ with the property that, if $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$ are distinct points in the ball $B(p, \alpha)$ of radius α centered at p, then $d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) > \beta$ for some $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. As Ψ is one-to-one except on finitely many points, we can find a finite open covering $\{U_j\}_{j\in J}$ of $\mathbb{C}/\Gamma$ such that Ψ is injective on each $\overline{U_j}$. Let $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}/\Gamma$. We denote by $\epsilon > 0$ a Lebesgue number of this covering, i.e. if $\widetilde{d}(z_1, z_2) < \epsilon$ then, there exists $j \in J$ such that z_1 and z_2 are in U_j. Recall that one can choose $r > 0$ such that if $\widetilde{d}(z_1, z_2) < r$ then $\widetilde{d}(\widetilde{f}(z_1), \widetilde{f}(z_2)) = |a|\widetilde{d}(z_1, z_2)$. We can assume that $\epsilon < r$. Let $\widetilde{\alpha} > 0$ such that $2|a|\widetilde{\alpha} \leq \epsilon$. If $0 < \widetilde{d}(z_1, z_2) < \widetilde{\alpha}$ then there exists $n \geq 0$ such that

$$\widetilde{\alpha} < \widetilde{d}(\widetilde{f}^n(z_1), \widetilde{f}^n(z_2)) \leq |a|\widetilde{\alpha}.$$

Therefore, we can find $j \in J$ such that $\widetilde{f}^n(z_1)$ and $\widetilde{f}^n(z_2)$ are in U_j. So

$$d(f^n(\Psi(z_1)), f^n(\Psi(z_2))) > \beta,$$

where

$$\beta = \min_{j\in J} \inf_{\substack{x_1,x_2\in U_j \\ \widetilde{d}(x_1,x_2)>\widetilde{\alpha}}} d(\Psi(x_1), \Psi(x_2)) > 0.$$

Finally, for each $p \in \mathcal{C} \setminus S$ we can choose $\alpha > 0$ such that if $x, y \in B(p, \alpha) \cap \mathcal{C}$, there are preimages $\widetilde{x}$ and $\widetilde{y}$ of x and y by Ψ which satisfy $\widetilde{d}(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) < \widetilde{\alpha}$. Then, $d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) > \beta$ for some $n \geq 0$. $\square$

Lemma 4.2 *Let $p \in \Lambda$. There exist $\delta_0 > 0$ and an open neighbourhood U of p such that if $\delta < \delta_0$, $x, y \in U \cap \Lambda$, $x \neq y$ then $W^s_\delta(x) \cap W^s_\delta(y) = \varnothing$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, we can choose for U the ball of radius α centered at p and $\delta_0 \leq \beta/2$. If there exist $x, y \in U \cap \Lambda$, $x \neq y$, with $W^s_\delta(x) \cap W^s_\delta(y) \neq \varnothing$, then for $z \in W^s_\delta(x) \cap W^s_\delta(y)$,

$$d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) \leq d(f^n(x), f^n(z)) + d(f^n(z), f^n(y)) \leq 2\delta e^{-\lambda n} \leq 2\delta,$$

for every n, which contradicts Lemma 4.1. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $p \in \Lambda$ be a regular point of $\mathcal{C}$. Choosing suitable local coordinates at p, we can assume that $p \in \Delta^2$ and $\mathcal{C} \cap \Delta^2 = \{0\} \times \Delta$. Let $x \in \Lambda \cap \Delta^2$. By the stable manifold theorem, there exists $\delta(x) > 0$ such that $W^s_{\delta(x)}(x) \cap \Delta^2$ is an immersed manifold in Δ^2. So, there exists a measurable family of embedded holomorphic disks $\rho_x : \Delta \to U$ with $\rho_x(0) = x$ and $\rho_x(\Delta) \subset W^s_{\delta(x)}(x) \cap U$.

First, by Lemma 4.2, possibly after replacing Δ^2 by a smaller polydisk, we can choose $\delta(x) < \delta_0$ for all $x \in \Lambda \cap \Delta^2$. The stable manifolds $W^s_{\delta(x)}(x)$ are then pair-wise disjoint.

Since $W^s_{\delta(x)}(x)$ is tangent to E^s_x in x, the family of disks is transverse to $\{0\} \times \Delta$. Then, by Lemma 1.2 the union of stable manifolds, which is included in the basin of $\mathcal{C}$, has strictly positive measure. □

Remark 4.3 *By Hurwitz's formula, if $\mathcal{C}$ is an invariant curve then $\mathcal{C}$ is rational or elliptic. If $\mathcal{C}$ is rational and $f_{|\mathcal{C}}$ is a Lattès map, i.e a map which is semi-conjugated to an endomorphism of a torus, then its equilibrium measure is absolutely continuous with the respect to the Lebesgue measure. We obtain in the same way that its basin has strictly positive measure.*

References

[Ahl] Ahlfors L. V.: *Lectures on quasiconformal mappings.* Second edition. University Lecture Series, Volume **38**, Amer. Math. Soc. (2006).

[AKYY] Alexander J.C., Kan I., Yorke J., You Z.: *Riddled basins* Int. J. Bifurcation and Chaos, **2** (1992), 795-813.

[BD] Bonifant A., Dabija M.: *Self-maps of $\mathbb{P}^2$ with invariant elliptic curves.* Complex Manifolds and Hyperbolic Geometry (Guanajuato, 2001), Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI **311**, 1-25 (2002).

[BDM] Bonifant A., Dabija M., Milnor J.: *Elliptic Curves as Attractors in $\mathbb{P}^2$, Part 1: Dynamics.* Experiment. Math., Volume **16**, Issue 4 (2007), 385-420.

[BP] Barreira L., Pesin Y.: *Nonuniform Hyperbolicity.* Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications **115**, Cambridge University Press, 2007.

[deT] De Thélin H.: *Sur la Construction de Mesures Selles.* Ann. Inst. Fourier **56** (2006), no. 2, 337-372.

[Di] Dinh T.C.: *Attracting current and equilibrium measure for attractors on* $\mathbb{P}^k$. J. Geometric Analysis, **17** (2007), no. 2, 227-244.

[DS] Dinh T.C., Sibony N.: *Dynamics in several complex variables: endomorphisms of projective spaces and polynomial-like mapping.* Preprint at `arxiv:0810.0811`.

[GJW] Gardiner F., Jiang Y., Wang Z.: *Holomorphic motions and related topics.* Preprint at `arxiv:0802.2111`.

[KH] Katok A., Hasselblatt B.: *Introduction to the Modern Theory of Dynamical Systems*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, **54**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[MSS] Mañé R., Sad P., Sullivan D.: *On the dynamics of rational maps.* Ann. Sci. école Norm. Sup., **96**, 193-217 (1983).

[Pol] Pollicott M.: *Lectures on ergodic theory and Pesin theory on compact manifolds.* London Math. Soc., Lecture note series **180**, Cambridge University Press 1993.

[PS] Push C., Shub M.: *Ergodic attractors.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **312**, 1-54, (1989).

[RS] Ruelle D., Shub M.: *Stable Manifolds for Maps.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics **819**, Springer-Verlag 1980.

[Si] Sibony N.: *Dynamique des applications rationnelles de* $\mathbb{P}^k$. Dynamique et Géométrie Complexes, Panoramas et Synthèses **8**, 97-185 (1999).

[Slo] Slodkowski Z.: *Holomorphic motions and polynomial hulls.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **111**, 347-355 (1991).

[ST] Sullivan D., Thurston W.P.: *Extending holomorphic motions.* Acta Math., **157**, 243-257 (1986).

[Wa] Walters P.: *An Introduction to Ergodic Theory.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **79**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.

J. Taflin, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 7586, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, F-75005 Paris, France. `taflin@math.jussieu.fr`