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We investigate the kinetics of a polymer collapse due to the formation of irreversible crosslinks between its monomers. Using the contact probability $P(s)$ as a scale-dependent order parameter depending on the chemical distance $s$, our simulations show the emergence of a cooperative pearling instability. Namely, the polymer undergoes a sharp conformational transition to a set of absorbing states characterized by a length scale $\xi$ corresponding to the mean pearl size. This length and the transition time depend on the polymer equilibrium dynamics and the crosslinking rate. We confirm experimentally this transition using a DNA conformation capture experiment in yeast.

The collapse dynamic of a polymer chain has motivated multiple theoretical and experimental investigations. The seminal work of de Gennes, considering a collapse caused by solvent quality reduction with no effects of topological constraints, predicted a continuous conformational transition through successive crumpling stages commonly called the “expanding sausage model.” Grosberg et al. proposed a two-stage model, where a fast collapse is followed by a slow unknotting of topological constraints through reptation. The meta-stable intermediate state, called “fractal-globule”, preserves the fractal features of a coil while being compact as a globule. The predicted existence of meta-stability was experimentally confirmed by Chu et al. The stability of the fractal globule has been further investigated in theoretical studies which quantified the relaxation of this state towards an equilibrium globule. As another description of polymer collapse, Buguin et al. introduced the concept of pearling through the existence of a characteristic size, there explained by nucleation theory. Pearling has been subsequently studied in different works. More recently Bunin and Kardar proposed an effective model of polymer collapse, consisting in a cascading succession of coalescence events of blobs actively compressed in a central potential.

All these studies investigate the collapse of a polymer under a deep quench: i.e. starting from an equilibrium conformation, interactions between all the monomers or between the monomers and an external potential are abruptly changed and the system relaxes to a new equilibrium state. All the memory about the collapse process is lost in this final state. In contrast, we here study the collapse dynamics of a chain when it is caused by the cumulative effect of irreversible cross-links between monomers. In this case, cross-links cannot be undone and the final state depends on the collapse dynamics. This process has important applications in material science (e.g. vulcanization) and in molecular biology (e.g. cell fixation).

In order to describe the system, we consider here a scale-dependent order parameter: the contact probability curve $P_t(s)$, defined as the mean number of crosslinks present at time $t$ between two monomers at a chemical distance $s$. This order parameter has two important advantages: it reflects the appearance of local structures such as pearls, and it is a direct observable in the chromosome conformation capture experiments described at the end of this letter.

We first describe the in silico dynamics. We run a rejection kinetic Monte Carlo simulation reproducing the Rouse phenomenology on 2048 beads connected initially by a linear chain of links of maximum length $b$. Each time two non-linked beads come in close vicinity (i.e. their distance fall less then $r_{int} = b/64$), a new link is made with a probability $p$ reflecting the crosslinking rate (details in Supplementary materials (SM) §IA). These links are then treated exactly as the links between consecutive monomers in the chain.

In the absence of crosslinking, the correlations of bead positions along time and along the chain satisfy the Rouse scaling relations with coefficients $C_t$ and $C_s$.

$$P_t(s) = \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s \frac{d s'}{s'} P_t(s')$$

FIG. 1. Kinetics of the pearling transition (simulation). (A) Time evolution of the contact probability curve $P_t(s)$ at fixed crosslink probability $p = 0.1$, displayed as a superposition of semitransparent plots obtained at increasing simulation time $t$ (black arrow); the resulting color density is given in the inset. A crossover at a length $\xi$ arises at large enough times. Error bars are smaller than the thickness of the line. (B) Evolution of $\gamma_0$, the short-distance slope of the log-log plot of the $P_t(s)$, as a function of the rescaled time variable $\phi$, for different values of $p$. Inset: snapshots of the time evolution of the polymer conformation ($p = 0.1$).
to the value at short distances of the local exponent $\gamma$ crosslink saturation. The exponent of the absorbing states reached by the polymer at crossover length $\xi$ between two different scaling behaviors at short and to an asymptotic shape $P(c)$ contact probability, scaling as $\propto$ crosslinking onset. At constant $p$, this number is reached for all the beads.

As a proxy for steric constraints, we limit the crosslink configuration-dependent changes in the chain topology. After thermal equilibration of the chain, crosslinking is introduced as a succession of irreversible and $N$ events to a maximum number per bead, $\max C$. The length $\xi$ per panel). The crossover length $\xi$ can be estimated as the middle point in the transition of the asymptotic exponent $\gamma(s)$ from short-distance to large-distance values (fig. 2A, lower panel). This length $\xi$ corresponds to the average length of the polymer segments captured in the pearls, and will hereafter be referred as the pearling length. Individual pearls were identified by clustering together monomers on the contact graph using the Louvain algorithm and their size was computed in order to confirm that $\xi$ indeed reflects the average number of monomers in pearls. (see suppl. fig. 5).

The characteristic length $\xi$ could also be recovered from the mean squared distance between monomers as a function of the chemical distance $s$. For $s > \xi$, $\gamma(s) = 3/2$, consistent with the initial equilibrium state of the polymer, whereas $\gamma(s)$ tends inside the pearls to a limiting value $\gamma_{lim} < 1$ at small enough $s$.

The length $\xi$ scales with the crosslink probability $p$ as $\xi \propto p^{-\delta}$, with $\delta = 0.4$ (fig. 3A), indicating that the extent along the chain of the crosslink-induced collapse is paradoxically more prominent for small $p$, i.e. low crosslinking rate. Indeed, conformation changes of polymer loops of size greater than $\xi$ are diffusion-limited, while for smaller loops, Rouse diffusion is faster than the crosslinking reaction. In this latter reaction-limited regime, many conformational fluctuations and contacts can occur and be fixed by crosslinks, producing pearls of mean size $\xi$. Based on this qualitative picture, we propose a mean-field calculation of the dependence of $\xi$ in $p$. The relaxation time for a fixed loop of size $s$ scales as:

$$\tau_R(s) = D_R^{-1} \cdot s^2,$$

(detailed derivation in SM § II.C.4) while the average duration $\tau_{cross}$ needed to crosslink contacting beads is inversely proportional to the crosslink probability:

$$\tau_{cross} \propto p^{-1}.$$ (4)

Writing that the pearling length $\xi$ emerges from the competition between these two dynamical processes yields:

$$\xi(p) \propto p^{-\delta},$$ (5)

with $\delta = 1/2$ correctly recapitulating the decrease of $\xi$ at increasing $p$. We here assumed that the dynamics is consistent with Rouse diffusion during the pearling formation and collapse. However, Rouse diffusion is not expected to apply to the mesh into what the initially linear polymer is transformed after enough crosslinks, which may explain the different value $\delta = 0.4$ measured in the simulations (fig. 3A). With the same argument we also predict that $\xi$ varies with the dynamical properties of the polymer. Simulations actually show that variation of the...
A sharp decrease at a time \( t^* \) depends on the crosslink probability with a scaling \( t^* \propto p^{-0.8} \) promptly defining a re-scaled variable \( \phi = p^{0.8} \cdot t \). The evolution of \( \gamma_0 \) as a function of \( \phi \) re-scales at any \( p \) into a single transition curve (fig. 1B). The scaling of the transition time \( t^* \) can also be explained with the above mean-field argument: as \( t^* \) emerges from pearling (see polymer snapshots along the transition curve in fig. 3F), it is equal to the relaxation time of pearls of mean size \( \xi \): \( t^* = \tau_R(\xi) \). From Eq. 3

\[
t^* \propto p^{-28},
\]
and \( \phi^* = p^{28} \cdot t \). As predicted by the above argument and confirmed in the simulation, the transition time does not depend on the Rouse diffusion coefficient \( D_R \) (fig. 3C). The pearling transition is the result of the cooperative effect of multiple crosslinks, that takes place only after relaxation of loops with length \( s < \xi \). This effect is highlighted in fig. 3D, lower panel, that shows the acceleration of crosslink events at the transition. This process is accompanied by the decrease of \( \gamma_0 \) (fig. 3D, right panel) and a large increase of crosslink number variability, due to the fluctuation in the size and time of pearl formation and consistent with a phase transition (3D, upper panel).

Collecting the results from simulations performed at various values of crosslink probability \( p \) and fixed Rouse diffusion coefficient \( D_R \), the transition points in the plane defined by transition time \( t^* \) and pearling length \( \xi \) (fig. 3E) satisfy the Rouse scaling relation:

\[
t^* = D_R^{-1} \cdot \xi^2;
\]
that fully recapitulates the interplay between the pearling time, the pearling length and the polymer dynamics. We finally determine the influence of steric constraints on the final state by changing the monomer functionality \( N_{\text{max}} \).

While \( \xi \) and \( t^* \) do not depend on \( N_{\text{max}} \), the pearl formation and final internal conformation do, as shown by the time behavior of \( \gamma_0 \). After a transition in \( t^* \), this short-distance exponent transiently goes toward 0 for large enough values of \( N_{\text{max}} \) before plateauing to an asymptotic value \( \gamma_{\text{lim}} \) varying from 0.3 to 0.7 when \( N_{\text{max}} \) varies (see fig. 3E and suppl. fig. 6). Examination of the conformational trajectories shows that this behavior can be explained by a two-stage dynamics taking place after the transition in \( t^* \). The first stage is the formation of densely connected pearls (in red on the snapshots of fig. 3A) linked by stretched linkers containing fewer monomers. In these pearls, virtually any monomer can contact any other monomer and \( \gamma_0 \) strongly decreases. A slower process then kicks in: the diffusion-limited crumpling of the stretched linkers between adjacent pearls (see the snapshots in fig. 3C). Since in the stretched linkers mostly neighboring monomer are able to come into proximity, the contribution of this collapse to the \( p(s) \) is such that \( \gamma_0 \) mildly increases.

Rouse diffusion coefficient \( D_R \) has a dramatic effect on \( \xi \) (fig. 2B). For small \( D_R \), \( \xi \) is small and crosslinking has mostly a local effect. When \( D_R \) increases, longer polymer segments can reach their equilibrium conformation between two crosslink events so that \( \xi \) becomes larger. In the line of the above calculation, we expect a scaling

\[
\xi(D_R) \propto D_R^{1/2},
\]
which is well reproduced in the simulations (fig. 2B).

Our simulation moreover shows that the collapse happens abruptly. The short-distance exponent \( \gamma_0 \) presents a sharp decrease at a time \( t^* \), which we call the pearling time. Before this transition, \( t \ll t^* \), \( \gamma_0 \) coincides with the exponent at long distances, \( 3/2 \), as expected for an equilibrium state; only after the transition a smaller exponent is observed, with a limiting value \( \gamma_{\text{lim}} < 1 \) depending on the kinetic parameters. \( t^* \) depends on the crosslink probability with a scaling \( t^* \propto p^{-0.8} \) promptly defining a re-scaled variable \( \phi = p^{0.8} \cdot t \). The evolution of \( \gamma_0 \) as a function of \( \phi \) re-scales at any \( p \) into a single transition curve (fig. 1B). The scaling of the transition time \( t^* \) can also be explained with the above mean-field argument: as \( t^* \) emerges from pearling (see polymer snapshots along the transition curve in fig. 3F), it is equal to the relaxation time of pearls of mean size \( \xi \): \( t^* = \tau_R(\xi) \). From Eq. 3

\[
t^* \propto p^{-28},
\]
and \( \phi^* = p^{28} \cdot t \). As predicted by the above argument and confirmed in the simulation, the transition time does not depend on the Rouse diffusion coefficient \( D_R \) (fig. 3C). The pearling transition is the result of the cooperative effect of multiple crosslinks, that takes place only after relaxation of loops with length \( s < \xi \). This effect is highlighted in fig. 3D, lower panel, that shows the acceleration of crosslink events at the transition. This process is accompanied by the decrease of \( \gamma_0 \) (fig. 3D, right panel) and a large increase of crosslink number variability, due to the fluctuation in the size and time of pearl formation and consistent with a phase transition (3D, upper panel).

Collecting the results from simulations performed at various values of crosslink probability \( p \) and fixed Rouse diffusion coefficient \( D_R \), the transition points in the plane defined by transition time \( t^* \) and pearling length \( \xi \) (fig. 3E) satisfy the Rouse scaling relation:

\[
t^* = D_R^{-1} \cdot \xi^2;
\]
that fully recapitulates the interplay between the pearling time, the pearling length and the polymer dynamics. We finally determine the influence of steric constraints on the final state by changing the monomer functionality \( N_{\text{max}} \).

While \( \xi \) and \( t^* \) do not depend on \( N_{\text{max}} \), the pearl formation and final internal conformation do, as shown by the time behavior of \( \gamma_0 \). After a transition in \( t^* \), this short-distance exponent transiently goes toward 0 for large enough values of \( N_{\text{max}} \) before plateauing to an asymptotic value \( \gamma_{\text{lim}} \) varying from 0.3 to 0.7 when \( N_{\text{max}} \) varies (see fig. 3E and suppl. fig. 6). Examination of the conformational trajectories shows that this behavior can be explained by a two-stage dynamics taking place after the transition in \( t^* \). The first stage is the formation of densely connected pearls (in red on the snapshots of fig. 3A) linked by stretched linkers containing fewer monomers. In these pearls, virtually any monomer can contact any other monomer and \( \gamma_0 \) strongly decreases. A slower process then kicks in: the diffusion-limited crumpling of the stretched linkers between adjacent pearls (see the snapshots in fig. 3C). Since in the stretched linkers mostly neighboring monomer are able to come into proximity, the contribution of this collapse to the \( p(s) \) is such that \( \gamma_0 \) mildly increases.
Experiment contact probability curves $P_{\text{exp}}(s)$ for various crosslinker concentrations $c$, displayed as a superposition of semitransparent plots (see Fig. 1A). (B) Evolution of the experimental slope $\psi_{\text{exp}}$ as a function of the re-scaled time variable $\psi = c \cdot t$ (see fig. 1B). The color discriminates the experiments belonging to the two modalities for $\gamma_{0,\text{exp}}$, the dashed line is a guide for the eyes.

The DNA heteropolymer to have a single sequence identifier centrally exploits the unique opportunity offered by 3C (that uses a succession of crosslinking, restriction, religation and sequencing steps to measure contact frequencies along a DNA molecule in vivo). This technique centrally exploits the unique opportunity offered by the DNA heteropolymer to have a single sequence identifier (3C) that uses a succession of crosslinking, restriction, religation and sequencing steps to measure contact frequencies along a DNA molecule in vivo. This technique centrally exploits the unique opportunity offered by the DNA heteropolymer to have a single sequence identifier for various crosslinker concentrations $c$.

In the seminal paper introducing the genome-wide 3C technique, Hi-C, Lieberman-Aiden et al. [17] derived the contact probability curve $P(s)$ from crosslink counts, as a function of the genomic distance $s$. In the range between 1 and 10 millions base pairs (bp), these authors fitted the resulting curve with a scaling relation $P(s) \propto s^{-\gamma}$, with a value of $\gamma$ close to 1 compatible with the value expected for the fractal-globule state. However, an exponent of 0.75 has also been reported at shorter scale in two human-cell studies, and other out-of-equilibrium mechanisms were invoked to explain this alternative exponent: the tension globule [18] or the extrusion of loops by molecular motors such as condensins [18–20]. While these models can have important implications on the role of chromosome folding in cells, they do not take explicitly into account the potential distortion that the DNA polymer can undergo during the initial step of the experiment, consisting in chemically crosslinking DNA with formaldehyde. This crosslinking step prompted us to exploit this experimental technique to check the collapse scenario described in our simulations.

In order to start from configurations that are the closest to a simple homopolymer, we used synchronized yeasts that are not replicating nor dividing. We performed Hi-C experiment at different formaldehyde concentrations $c$ and exposure times $t$ in order to observe the evolution of the polymer conformation during the crosslink-induced collapse. Not knowing the reaction order, we cannot establish an exact mapping between $k_{\text{on}}$ and $c$, so we used a simple ansatz, $\psi = c \cdot t$, for the re-scaled time variable. The experimental curves $P_{\text{exp}}(s)$ cluster around two different mean-curves differing by their slope at short distances $\gamma_{0,\text{exp}}$ (Fig. 1A). When plotting this exponent as a function of $\psi$, we observe a sharp transition (Fig. 1B) as predicted by the simulations. Two differences are nevertheless worth discussing. Before the transition, the short-distance exponent of yeast chromosomes is not equal to 1.5 as in the simulations (fig. 1B), but to 1 (0.05 s.d.). This value might either correspond to an effect of volume interactions during the early phases of pearl collapse or to an in-vivo special organization of the DNA in chromosomes, potentially induced by the regular wrapping of DNA around the nucleosomal protein spools. For distances above 10 kb these constraints weaken and the chain follows a more typical random walk with an exponent closer to 1.5. After the transition, $\gamma_{0,\text{exp}}$ is equal to 0.7 (0.06 s.d.), corresponding to the value observed for $N_{\text{max}} = 1$ in our simulations. This value is likely explained by strong steric constraints preventing a crosslinked locus to contact other loci. The precise estimation of $\xi$ was impaired by the higher biological, experimental and statistical noise on $P(s)$ at increasing distance $s$ so that we could not measure experimentally the dependency of $\xi$ on the crosslinker concentration. Nevertheless, the experiment clearly demonstrate that a polymer experiencing a crosslink-induced collapse undergoes a sudden transition. It also confirms that inside pearls, at length scales lower than $\xi$, the polymer conformation in
the absorbing asymptotic state is very compact, with an exponent $\gamma_0$ lower than 1, whereas the polymer topology remains unchanged at longer length scales.
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