



**HAL**  
open science

## **Clarifying the nomenclature of some Euro-Mediterranean quillworts ( Isoetes , Isoetaceae): Indicator species and species of conservation concern**

Angelo Troia, Germinal Rouhan

### ► **To cite this version:**

Angelo Troia, Germinal Rouhan. Clarifying the nomenclature of some Euro-Mediterranean quillworts ( Isoetes , Isoetaceae): Indicator species and species of conservation concern. *Taxon, the journal of the International Association for Plant Taxonomy*, 2018, 67 (5), pp.996-1004. <10.12705/675.10>. <hal-02017650>

**HAL Id: hal-02017650**

**<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-02017650v1>**

Submitted on 13 Feb 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

1 **Clarifying the nomenclature of some Euro-Mediterranean quillworts**  
2 **(*Isoetes*, Isoetaceae): indicator species and species of conservation**  
3 **concern**

4  
5 **Angelo Troia<sup>1</sup> & Germinal Rouhan<sup>2</sup>**

6 *1 Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Biologiche Chimiche e Farmaceutiche*  
7 *(STEBICEF), Sezione di Botanica ed Ecologia Vegetale, Università degli Studi di*  
8 *Palermo, via Archirafi 38, I-90123 Palermo, Italy*

9 *2 Institut Systématique Evolution Biodiversité (ISYEB), Muséum national d'Histoire*  
10 *naturelle, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, EPHE, 16 rue Buffon, Herbarier national CP*  
11 *39, 75005 Paris, France.*

12  
13 Author for correspondence: Angelo Troia, [angelo.troia@unipa.it](mailto:angelo.troia@unipa.it)

14 **ORCID AT**, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5193-8865>

15 DOI [https://doi.org/\\_\\_\\_\\_\\_](https://doi.org/)

16  
17  
18 **Abstract** To stabilize the application of some names in the genus *Isoetes* in the  
19 Mediterranean biodiversity hotspot, we studied herbarium specimens and imaged spores  
20 with scanning electron microscopy, with special reference to those taxa in the *I.*  
21 *longissima* Bory and *I. histrix* Bory groups that were described from France, Algeria  
22 and Turkey, and are in need of a taxonomic revision. The following names are  
23 lectotypified: *I. adspersa* A.Braun, *I. boryana* Durieu, *I. delalandei* J.Lloyd, and *I.*  
24 *violllaei* Hy. Holotypes of *I. perralderiana* Durieu & LeTourn. ex Milde and *I. olympica*  
25 A.Braun were ascertained. We conclude that *I. boryana* (listed in the ‘Bern Convention’  
26 and in the European Union ‘Habitats’ Directive) justifies consideration at species rank,  
27 but *I. adspersa* should be synonymized with *I. longissima*. *Isoetes tenuissima* Boreau  
28 and *I. perralderiana* are proposed as distinct species pending further studies. In the *I.*  
29 *histrix* group, we tentatively accept *I. delalandei* as a species, presenting some  
30 observations on *I. histrix* f. *subinermis* Durieu nom. nud. The latter might also represent  
31 a distinct species.

32 **Keywords** conservation; Europe; *Isoetes*; lycophytes; Mediterranean; taxonomy

33 **Short title:** Names of Euro-Mediterranean *Isoetes*

## 35 INTRODUCTION

36 The lycophyte genus *Isoetes* L. includes approximately 250 extant species distributed  
37 worldwide (Troia & al., 2016; PPG I, 2016) that are the remnants of one of the most  
38 ancient extant lineages of vascular plants, diverged from *Selaginella* P.Beauv. as early  
39 as the Devonian Era (Pigg, 2001; Larsén & Rydin, 2016; Klaus & al., 2017). For this  
40 reason, they are of great evolutionary importance (Karol & al., 2010; Doyle, 2013;  
41 Petersen & Burd, 2017). They also have significant ecological importance because their  
42 presence in aquatic habitats such as lakes, rivers and temporary wetlands serves as  
43 indicators of superior ecological conditions in those habitats (e.g. Bagella & Caria,  
44 2013; Lumbreras & al., 2016; Sciandrello & al., 2016). These species are also of  
45 conservation concern given that most are rare or threatened; for example, García Criado  
46 & al. (2017) report that 10 out of 20 species occurring in Europe have been assessed as  
47 threatened.

48 In the western Mediterranean area, *Isoetes longissima* Bory (= *I. velata* A.Braun,  
49 following Troia & Greuter, 2014) is one of the physically largest and most significant  
50 *Isoetes* species, both phylogenetically (it is nested in a clade including not only  
51 Mediterranean, but also African, Indian and Western North American taxa; Larsén &  
52 Rydin, 2016; Pereira & al., 2017) and ecologically (e.g. Grillas & al., 2004). It is  
53 recognized, however, to be ‘a taxonomically difficult suite of species, which merits  
54 further research’ (Christenhusz & al., 2017). For the purposes of this study, hereafter we  
55 refer to this species as *I. longissima* s.l. or the *I. longissima* group.

56 *Isoetes longissima* s.l. includes useful indicator species for Mediterranean seasonal  
57 wetland ecosystems, or ‘Mediterranean temporary ponds’ that are a conservation  
58 priority habitat according to the European ‘Habitats’ Directive (European Commission,  
59 2013). Mediterranean temporary ponds (and, in general, ‘temporary waters’, following  
60 Williams, 2006) are of major conservation concern because, despite their small size,  
61 they shelter many rare and endangered species of both flora and fauna (Grillas & al.,  
62 2004). They are experiencing an alarming rate of decline and population degradation  
63 (Zacharias & Zamparas, 2010).

64 Due to their small size and simple community structure, temporary pools are often  
65 considered as early warning systems of the ecological implications of long-term  
66 changes in larger aquatic systems (De Meester & al., 2006). Thus, species of *Isoetes* are  
67 good indicators for endangered habitats, but they themselves are species of conservation  
68 concern. *Isoetes boryana* Durieu, for example, is listed in Appendix 1 of the Convention  
69 on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (‘Bern Convention’)  
70 and in the annexes II and IV of the mentioned ‘Habitats’ Directive. It and the other  
71 European *Isoetes tenuissima* have been assessed as ‘Endangered’ according to IUCN  
72 criteria (Christenhusz & al., 2017, as well as Rouhan & Christenhusz, 2017).

73 The *Isoetes histrix* Bory group is another taxonomically complicated group (Bagella  
74 & al. 2015, Troia & Greuter 2014, 2015a). In addition to *I. histrix*, it includes several

75 taxa whose taxonomic rank and morphological distinctness need further investigations,  
76 all occurring in seasonally waterlogged soils.

77 As a general rule, species of *Isoetes* are very difficult to distinguish by general  
78 morphological appearance because of their simple, conserved morphology, providing  
79 few usefully distinguishing characters. Attempts were made in the past to arrange  
80 *Isoetes* species in groups based on ecological or morphological criteria: for instance, the  
81 Braun's system used habitats to distinguish aquatic, amphibian and terrestrial species  
82 (Grenier & Godron, 1855), while the Pfeiffer's 'modern' system is based on the  
83 ornamentation of the megaspores (Pfeiffer, 1922). Recent phylogenetic studies (e.g.  
84 Larsén & Rydin, 2016) show how artificial these systems are and that they are unable to  
85 correctly reflect phylogenetic relationships because of the previously mentioned  
86 conserved morphology. Similarly, *I. andicola* (Amstutz) L.D. Gómez was originally  
87 treated in the distinct genus *Stylites* Amstutz on the grounds of its morphology, but was  
88 later moved into *Isoetes* when both anatomical (Gómez-Pignataro, 1980) and molecular  
89 evidence (Larsén & Rydin, 2016) showed that it was deeply nested within the large  
90 American clade. An accurate study of morphology, ideally considering other available  
91 data from anatomy, ecology, genetics etc., is therefore the basis for any taxonomic  
92 analysis.

93 In this study, we examined taxa within the two groups mentioned above, *I.*  
94 *longissima* (s.l.) and *I. hystrix* (s.l.), with special reference to those taxa (described from  
95 France, Algeria and Turkey) that are in need of a taxonomic revision. Taxa from Spain,  
96 Italy and Greece have been studied by several authors in recent years (Prada, 1983;  
97 Romero & al. 2004; Romero & Real, 2005; Troia & Greuter, 2014; Troia & Greuter,  
98 2015b), and all the other names in those groups have already been typified.

99 The taxonomic status of *Isoetes boryana*, a species of great conservation concern, is  
100 especially unclear. It is uncertain if it is a 'good species' (as reported in the 'Habitats'  
101 Directive, and by other sources such as Christenhusz & Raab-Straube, 2013) or a  
102 synonym of other species (as suggested by e.g. Prelli, 2002, and Romero & Real, 2005).

103 As a first step, we studied dried specimens preserved in several European herbaria,  
104 with the primary aim of stabilizing the application of names by typifying them. Thanks  
105 to scanning electron microscopy (SEM) investigations of spores removed from the  
106 types, it was possible to draw realistic morphological and taxonomic conclusions from  
107 original material or from specimens collected in the loci classici (topotypes)

108  
109

## 110 MATERIALS & METHODS

111 Our study is based on relevant literature and on the herbarium collections, especially  
112 those housed in the Paris Herbarium (P), with significant additional data deriving from  
113 B, FI and PAL, and from selected specimens in ANG, BM, G, GOET, KFTA, MO,  
114 MPU, NTM, US, W (acronyms according to Thiers, 2017).

115 For some critical taxa, megaspore and (whenever possible) microspore features were  
116 observed with the assistance of SEM imagery. Spores were transferred with dissecting  
117 needles from herbarium specimens to aluminium SEM stubs coated with an asphalt  
118 adhesive. The stubs were then coated with gold/palladium in a sputter-coater for 2.5  
119 min, and spores were examined using a JEOL 840 A SEM microscope, equipped with  
120 an image-digitising system (SEMAFORE software) at the Muséum national d'Histoire  
121 naturelle (MNHN) in Paris, France. The accelerating voltage was 10.0 kV. Some other  
122 samples were observed and photographed at the University of Palermo, as above, using  
123 an Oxford Leo 440 SEM. Terms used for describing the ornamentation of megaspore  
124 and microspore perines follow Lellinger & Taylor (1997).

125 We employed the following species concept: a morphologically and/or ecologically  
126 distinct population (or populations), represented by all the specimens available in  
127 collections (even if few), is tentatively treated as a distinct species (considering these  
128 traits as evidence of the 'existence as a separately evolving metapopulation lineage',  
129 according to De Queiroz, 2007). Clarification of these designations awaits additional  
130 data from other sources and in particular data from further observations of living plant  
131 populations, and from the addition of molecular phylogenetic evidence. In this sense,  
132 we are essentially following the 'Typological Species Concept', sometimes with  
133 sufficient confidence to satisfy a 'Morphological Species Concept' while aiming for  
134 representation of a more complete 'Biological Species Concept' (Hickey & al., 1989).

135 Because of paucity of morphological characters for distinguishing species within the  
136 genus, we found it difficult if not impossible to assess (phylo)genetic affinities to a  
137 sufficiently fine enough level to refer one taxon as a subspecies of another. Such  
138 limitations likely explain why, with few exceptions (e.g. Brunton & Britton, 2006),  
139 infraspecific ranks so frequently employed in the past (e.g.: Engelmann, 1882; Pfeiffer,  
140 1922; Proctor, 1949) have recently been used scarcely in *Isoetes* (e.g.: Christenhusz &  
141 Raab-Straube, 2013).

142

143

## 144 **RESULTS**

145 Results are arranged according to the chronological order of publication of names,  
146 partitioned into two taxonomic groups: the *Isoetes longissima* group and the *I. histrix*  
147 group. For each name, we report information about types (designating lectotypes and  
148 epitypes whenever appropriate) and assess taxonomic value. SEM spore images are  
149 presented and commented upon whenever appropriate.

150

### 151 ***Isoetes longissima* group**

152 Taxa considered in this group include *Isoetes boryana*, *I. olympica* A.Braun, *I.*  
153 *tiguliana* Gennari, *I. asturicensis* (M.Laínz) M.Laínz, *I. fluitans* M.I.Romero, and *I.*  
154 *longissima* with its currently recognized five subspecies *I. longissima* subsp. *longissima*,  
155 *I. longissima* subsp. *adpersa* (A.Braun) Troia & Greuter, *I. longissima* subsp.  
156 *intermedia* (Trab.) Troia & Greuter, *I. longissima* subsp. *perralderiana* (Milde) Troia &  
157 Greuter, *I. longissima* subsp. *tenuissima* (Boreau) Troia & Greuter (Raab-Straube &  
158 Raus, 2014; Troia & al., 2016). The main distinguishing character shared by all of these  
159 taxa is the megaspore ornamentation. From an ecological point of view, the group  
160 includes both amphibian and aquatic species. A few taxa hitherto studied in molecular  
161 phylogenetics show close evolutionary relationships (Larsén & Rydin, 2016; Pereira &  
162 al., 2017), but most of the taxa here listed have still to be included in such analyses.  
163 Most species that have been studied karyologically (*I. asturicensis*, *I. longissima* subsp.  
164 *longissima*, *I. olympica*) are diploid, with the only exception being *I. fluitans*, which is a  
165 tetraploid (Troia & al., 2016). Apart from the taxa here investigated, all other names  
166 listed above have already been typified elsewhere (in the respective protologues for the  
167 recently described taxa, or in Troia & Greuter, 2014).

168

169 ***Isoetes longissima*** Bory in Compt. Rend. Hebd. Séances Acad. Sci. 18: 1165. 1844. ≡  
170 *Isoetes velata* var. *longissima* (Bory) A.Braun in Bory & Durieu, Expl. Sci.  
171 Algérie, Atlas: tab. 37, fig. 2. 1849. ≡ *Isoetes velata* subsp. *longissima* (Bory)  
172 Greuter & Burdet, Med-Checklist 1: 5. 1984. – Lectotype (designated by Troia &  
173 Greuter in Pl. Biosyst. 148: 15. 2014): [ALGERIA] ‘La Calle, fond d’une Mare  
174 dans les Forêts pres du Lac Houbéira’, 31 May 1841, M.C. Durieu ex herb. Bory  
175 St Vincent s.n. (P barcode P00466542!; isolectotype: P barcode P00466541! p.p.,  
176 detached leaves on the right) – Image of lectotype available at  
177 <https://science.mnhn.fr/institution/mnhn/collection/p/item/p00466542>.

178

179 = *Isoetes setacea* var. *peyrremondii* Bory in Compt. Rend. Hebd. Séances Acad. Sci.  
180 18(26): 1165. 1844 – Type: not designated.

181 The protologue of the name *Isoetes setacea* var. *peyrremondii* is supposed to have been  
182 published in late June or on July 1<sup>st</sup> 1844, based on issue 26 of the 18<sup>th</sup> tome of the  
183 ‘Compt. Rend....’ having already been printed on July 1<sup>st</sup>, according to page 50 of the  
184 following issue (Compt. Rend. Hebd. Séances Acad. Sci. 19). Among the material  
185 present in P, we could not locate any specimen that fits both the date and description of  
186 the protologue (‘recueillie par M. le capitaine Durieu au bord des flaques d’eau des  
187 champs de la plaine d’Oran, où elle persiste jusqu’en mai...’ [Algeria]) and belonging to  
188 the Bory’s herbarium. One possible candidate is P01224865 (‘*Isoetes lineolata* n. sp. Is.  
189 *setacea* b *Perremondii* Bory. Algerie. Oran. Flaque desséchée de la plaine, 5 Juin  
190 1844’) but it is excluded as potential original material by a note on the upper border of  
191 the label (‘portée le 20 oct 1844 à la maison par Durieu’= brought to the house on 20<sup>th</sup>  
192 Oct. 1844 by Durieu) indicating that Bory did not see this material until after the name

193 was published. Another specimen collected by Durieu on 12 June 1844 (P00214778),  
194 cannot be categorically ruled out as original material, but it is unlikely given that the  
195 specimen was collected only a few days before the publication of the name.

196 Specimens MPU015448, MPU015449, MPU015450 (and probably many other  
197 specimens in several herbaria) were collected by Durieu on 9 June 1841. These are  
198 compatible with the publication date of the new variety, but are labelled '*Isoetes*  
199 *adpersa*', a synonym for *Isoetes longissima* that was used in the 'Flora selecta  
200 exsiccata' by Magnier. Accordingly, there is no evidence that Bory saw and used those  
201 specimens for the description validating *I. setacea* var. *peyrremondii*. We refrain from  
202 neotypifying this latter name because we cannot exclude the possibility that undetected  
203 original material still exists.

204 A few years after the description of *Isoetes setacea* var. *peyrremondii*, Bory raised  
205 it to the species level (as *I. capillacea* Bory, see below).

206  
207 = *Isoetes capillacea* Bory, in Compt. Rend. Hebd. Séances Acad. Sci. 23: 620 (619-).  
208 1846 – Type: not designated.

209 Bory decided that his *Isoetes setacea* var. *peyrremondii* was worthy of a species  
210 rank, basing this new species on the previously described variety and on its type  
211 (International Code of Nomenclature (ICN) art. 7.4, McNeill & al., 2012).

212 Unfortunately, as discussed above, we did not find unambiguous original material.

213 Our review of Bory's (1844) diagnosis, in conjunction with our study of  
214 herbarium specimens identified by him and representing this taxon, provide us with no  
215 evidence of a clear morphological distinction between this taxon and *Isoetes longissima*  
216 (s. str.). On that basis, we do not recognize this as distinct from *I. longissima* (this latter  
217 name having nomenclatural priority).

218  
219 = *Isoetes adpersa* A. Braun in Bory & Durieu, Expl. Sci. Algérie, Atlas: t. 37, fig. 3.

220 1849 – **Lectotype (designated here):** [illustration] tab. 37, fig. 3, in Bory &  
221 Durieu, Expl. Sci. Algérie, Atlas, 1849. – **Epitype (designated here):**  
222 [ALGERIA] mares de la plaine aux environs d'Oran, 5 Juin 1844, (*M.C. Durieu*)  
223 (B barcode B 20 0096547! isoeatypes: B barcode B 20 0096544! P barcode  
224 P01224865! – other original material: B barcode B 20 0096550!). — Image of  
225 lectotype available at  
226 <http://bibdigital.rjb.csic.es/ing/Libro.php?Libro=3962&Pagina=39>; image of  
227 epitype available at <http://herbarium.bgbm.org/object/B200096547>.

228 According to ICN art. 38.8 (McNeill & al., 2012), the name of the species is  
229 validly published because it was accompanied by an illustration 'with analysis', i.e. with  
230 details aiding identification. According to ICN art. 9.8 (McNeill & al., 2012), however,  
231 an epitype has been designated to serve as an interpretative type, because many  
232 morphological and microscopic details cannot be verified on the illustration chosen as  
233 lectotype.

234 According to the details shown in the illustration that is part of the original  
235 material and here selected as lectotype, the following diagnostic traits should separate  
236 *Isoetes adspersa* from *I. longissima*: velum reduced (vs. almost complete); microspores  
237 non aculeate (vs. aculeate); sporangia spotted (vs. non spotted); macrospores smaller. In  
238 fact, our observations of the remaining original material suggest that macrospore and  
239 microspore distinctiveness cannot be distinguished (neither in size nor in  
240 ornamentation) (Fig. 1). The velum in the two taxa is variable in extent but always  
241 present. The presence of spotted sporangia seems to be the only consistently distinctive  
242 feature, but we occasionally observed such pigmentation in other taxa also, such as *I.*  
243 *boryana*, *I. tenuissima*, *I. tiguliana*. Finally, the ecology of the two taxa is identical.

244 Further work is needed on both fresh and dried material (epitype included), to  
245 clarify the significance, if any, of the wide range of variability we noted in the spore  
246 ornamentation of these two taxa. Based on available evidence, however, we do not  
247 believe it would be reasonable to treat *Isoetes adspersa* as separate from *I. longissima*.  
248 In addition, on the basis of the material we examined in the Braun herbarium (B), we  
249 believe *I. adspersa* represents a later heterotypic (and perhaps even homotypic)  
250 synonym of *I. capillacea* (see above).

251

252 = *Isoetes velata* [unranked] *intermedia* Trab. in Battandier & Trabut, Fl. Algérie  
253 Tunisie: 407. 1905 – Holotype: [ALGERIA] Dans une mare au-dessus de Bou-  
254 Sfer, 30 Mai 1890, J.B.E. Clary (MPU008563 [image!]). – Image of type  
255 available at

256 <https://science.mnhn.fr/institution/um/collection/mpu/item/mpu008563>.

257 Roux (2009) indicated a specimen collected in Morocco in 1936 (‘Morocco. In  
258 lacuna oropedii calcarei Atlantis Medii prope castellum Ito, R. Maire & L. Emberger  
259 s.n., MPU002740, holo.’) as ‘holotype’ of this name. This actually is the type of another  
260 infraspecific taxon, *Isoetes velata* f. *immaculata* Emb. & Maire. That same specimen is  
261 also erroneously listed by El Oulalidi & al. (2012) as the ‘holotype’ of *I. velata* A.Br.  
262 subsp. *intermedia* (Trab.) Maire & Weiller,

263 We did not locate other original material of *Isoetes velata* [unranked] *intermedia*,  
264 and assumed specimen MPU008563 as to be the holotype. Regardless, we conclude that  
265 this name is most probably a heterotypic synonym of *I. adspersa* A.Braun (= *I.*  
266 *capillacea* Bory = *I. longissima* Bory). Dobignard (2017) rightly commented on the  
267 difficulty in differentiating the subspecies of *I. longissima* Bory.

268

269

270 *Isoetes tenuissima* Boreau in Bull. Soc. Industr. Angers 21: 269. 1851. – Type: not  
271 designated.

272 We could not find original material in P or in ANG.

273 Observations made on specimens collected in the locus classicus (étang de  
274 Richaudron, commune d’Azat [Haute-Vienne, France]) highlight distinctive  
275 morphological characters (compared to *Isoetes longissima*) such as the plant having

276 leaves of reduced size (usually (4–)8–10 cm long vs. (5–)15–35 cm) and thickness (ca.  
277 0.5 mm at mid-length vs. 1–1,5 mm), with a very small margin at the base.

278 Megaspores (Fig. 1) are significantly different from those illustrated in Berthet &  
279 Lecocq (1977), but the specimens they used were not from the locus classicus. Spores  
280 are not particularly different from those of *Isoetes longissima*, although in the distal  
281 hemisphere of the megaspore tubercles are more isolated and scattered.

282 *Isoetes tenuissima* can also be distinguished from *I. longissima* by its ecology,  
283 typically growing in a completely submerged habitat (Prelli, 2002) vs. the seasonally  
284 dry condition of the latter. We believe the above described differences in leaf features to  
285 be also significant. Finally, the climatic, bioclimatic and biogeographic aspects could  
286 support the separation of the temperate *I. tenuissima* from the mediterranean *I.*  
287 *longissima*. Based on this evidence, we tentatively recognize *I. tenuissima* at the species  
288 rank while recognizing the need for further studies.

289  
290 = *Isoetes viollaei* Hy in J. Bot. (Morot) 7: 432. 1893 – **Lectotype (designated here):**  
291 [FRANCE] (Haute-Vienne) Etang granitique de Riz-Chauvron commune d'Azat,  
292 Chaboisseau, September 1857, Hariot, S.E.E.F. 1892, n. 219 (P barcode  
293 P01266653!). *Remaining syntypes:* [FRANCE] Haute-Vienne: étang de Riz-  
294 Chauvron, 10 septembre 1863, M.C. Durieu [Flora selecta exsiccata publié par G.  
295 Magnier, 1046 bis 'I. tenuissima' Durieu] (MPU barcodes MPU013779,  
296 MPU013780, n.v.; PAL No. 18672 !; KFTA No. 0003233, n.v.). – Image of  
297 lectotype available at <http://colddb.mnhn.fr/catalognumber/mnhn/p/p01266653>.  
298 Heterotypic synonym of *I. tenuissima* Boreau (Pfeiffer, 1922; Rouhan &  
299 Christenhusz, 2017).

300  
301

302 ***Isoetes boryana*** Durieu in Bull. Soc. Bot. France 8: 164. 1861 – **Lectotype (designated**  
303 **here):** [FRANCE] Etang de Cazau à Sanguinet (Landes), 23.9.1860, M.C. Durieu  
304 [labelled in Durieu's handwriting] (P barcode P00321072!, one gathering made of  
305 6 individuals at the top half of the sheet); the lectotype is currently mounted on  
306 the same sheet with P00321071 (4 individuals only, at the bottom half of the  
307 sheet). Isolectotypes: B barcodes B 20 0107144!, B 20 0107155!; P barcodes  
308 P00202858!, P00321070!, P00334209!, P01250372!, P01255124!, P01268425!,  
309 P01297113!, P01302029!, P06141802!; PAL No. 18589! — Image of lectotype  
310 available at <http://colddb.mnhn.fr/catalognumber/mnhn/p/p00321072>.

311 The plants used by Durieu to describe his new species are in Paris (P). Pfeiffer  
312 (1922: 116) cited as 'type' some herbarium sheets stored in MO (barcode 1164548) and  
313 US (barcode 01100849), cited as: 'Etang de Cazau, Landes, 7 September, 1863, Durieu  
314 (Mo. Bot. Gard. Herb, and U.S. Nat. Herb.)'. In view of the post-publication date (1863)  
315 of that collection, it cannot be considered original material.

316 At P, in addition to two specimens dated from 1858 with a printed label, we  
317 located 10 collections that are part of the original material dated from September 1860.

318 Many of these specimens were collected on 23 Sept 1860 and distributed through  
319 various channels, such as the plant exchange society ‘Société Dauphinoise’.

320 The label of the material distributed by Schultz simultaneously reports two dates,  
321 one (3 Sept 1860) is compatible with original material while the other (14 Jul 1861) is  
322 more recent than the protologue publication date and is excluded from considerations of  
323 the type.

324 In the protologue, published in June 1861 (Leussink, 1985: 586), the species is  
325 said to have been discovered in 1860, and first announced to the public during a  
326 meeting of the Société Botanique de France in March 1861 when Durieu presented his  
327 new species and distributed some specimens to the members of the Société. See also the  
328 note page 165 of the same ‘Bulletin de la Société Botanique de France’ in which the  
329 protologue appears for additional information on the publication date.

330 Microspores present one of the most challenging mysteries of this taxon. Some  
331 authors described the microspores of *Isoetes boryana* as either smooth (Hy 1894,  
332 Berthet & Lecocq 1977, Rolleri & Prada 2007) or very minutely scabrid (Berthet &  
333 Lecocq 1977, Jermy & Akeroyd 1993) or sub-papillose (Rolleri & Prada 2007). Prelli  
334 (2002) and Romero & Real (2005), however, reported echinate microspores, similar to  
335 those of *I. longissima*. Our investigations found only non-echinate microspores (Fig. 1).  
336 The microspore of *I. boryana* shown in Romero & Real (2005) is different, however,  
337 from the microspore of *I. longissima* (the two are shown side by side in the same  
338 article).

339 Prada & Rolleri (2005) found another character separating *Isoetes boryana* from *I.*  
340 *velata/longissima*, i.e. the lack of Intercellular Pectic Protuberances (IPP) in the cells of  
341 the translacunar diaphragms of the microphylls. In addition, *I. boryana* is deemed  
342 distinctive by its lack of persistent leaf scales (Rolleri & Prada 2007).

343 Another uncertainty of this taxon is its chromosome number: it was reported to be  
344 tetraploid,  $2n = 44$ , according to Prada (‘unpubl. data’ in Rolleri & Prada 2007), but  
345 preliminary investigations with flow cytometry suggest a DNA content corresponding  
346 to a diploid level (R. Viane, com. pers.), as in *I. longissima*. The small megaspore  
347 diameter (ca. 450 nm) and size comparable to cytologically confirmed associate taxa  
348 (Fig. 1) also argue for diploid cytology in this species.

349 Pending further studies, on the basis of the morphological features discussed  
350 above and especially the dramatically different ecology of this taxon, we believe *Isoetes*  
351 *boryana* to be distinct from *I. longissima*. *Isoetes boryana* is a permanently submerged  
352 aquatic species or, when occasionally growing emerged, is found only in saturated soil  
353 (Prelli 2002). *Isoetes longissima* is ‘amphibious’, growing in temporary (seasonal) pools  
354 and spends some (summer) months dormant and without leaves.

355

356 ***Isoetes perralderiana*** Durieu & LeTourn. ex Milde, Fil. Eur.: 282. 1867 – Holotype:  
357 [ALGERIA] Sub aqua crescens in stagnulo aquis a fonte Ain Sumta fluentibus  
358 semper replete, infra fauces Akfadou, ad occidentem Urbis Bougie Kabyliae

359 orientalis, circiter ad 1250 m. alt., 1 August 1861, *E. Cosson* (B barcode B 20  
360 0108215, specimen b!). Isotypes: B barcodes B 20 0108215 a!, B 20 0108214!,  
361 FI!, P barcodes P00466543!, P01268221!, W barcode W0000512 (image!), GOET  
362 barcode GOET008812 (image!), G barcodes G00349116 (n.v.), G00349117  
363 (n.v.), BM barcode BM001176369 (n.v.). — Image of holotype available at  
364 [http://herbarium.bgbm.org/object/B200108215\\_b](http://herbarium.bgbm.org/object/B200108215_b).

365 This species is known from a single collection. The protologue mentions a  
366 specimen, collected by Cosson and stored in the herb. Braun: the specimen cited above  
367 as the holotype is the only one in B fitting the protologue. Specimen P00466543 in P,  
368 which has been labelled as the ‘holotype’, and the specimen in the Gray herbarium  
369 mentioned as ‘type’ by Pfeiffer (1922) are in fact isotypes.

370 Our SEM imagery (Fig. 1) highlights a peculiar ornamentation of the megaspores,  
371 especially in regards to the distal hemisphere ornamentation pattern.

372 Being known from a single collection and its status not recently confirmed  
373 increases the urgency for further data on this species, whether it is extant, and if so, its  
374 morphological variability. On the basis of its macro- and micro- morphology as  
375 currently understood and its distinctive ecology (a true aquatic, even in mid summer),  
376 we consider *Isoetes perralderiana* to be distinct from *I. longissima*. It does, however,  
377 show some affinity with *I. tiguliana*.

378

379 ***Isoetes olympica*** A.Braun in Milde, Fil. Eur.: 285. 1867 – Holotype: [TURKEY] In  
380 kleinen Lachen auf dem Granitplateau des Olymps bei Brussa in Bithynien, ca.  
381 1800 m, 22 June 1866, *K. von Fritsch* (B barcode B 20 0108203!). – Image of  
382 holotype available at <http://herbarium.bgbm.org/object/B200108203>

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

### 392 ***Isoetes histrix* group**

393 This group includes *Isoetes histrix*, *I. gymnocarpa* (Gennari) A.Braun, *I. sicula* Tod.,  
394 *I. delalandei* J.Lloyd, *I. subinermis* (Gennari) Cesca & Peruzzi, *I. chaeturetii* Mendes.  
395 Only *I. delalandei* remains untypified.

396 These species share the presence of phyllopodia (black, indurate remains of dead  
397 leaves encircling the leaf rosette at its base) and similar habitats (usually seasonally wet  
398 or flooded soils); the taxa hitherto analysed in molecular phylogenetics (Larsén &  
399 Rydin, 2016; Pereira & al., 2017) showed conflicting results (two accessions of *I. histrix*

400 were retrieved in two non-closely related clades, probably due to misidentifications -  
401 Larsén & Rydin, 2016) and/or inaccurate morphological delimitation of the taxa.  
402 Caryological data suggest  $2n=20$  for *I. histrix* and  $2n=22$  for *I. gymnocarpa* (Troia &  
403 al., 2016), but some recent counts suggesting distinction of another taxon similar to *I.*  
404 *gymnocarpa* with  $2n=20$  (Bagella & al., 2015) leaves cytological and taxonomic  
405 relationships unresolved amongst these taxa.  
406

407 ***Isoetes delalandei* J. Lloyd, Notes fl. ouest France: 28-30. 1852 – Lectotype**  
408 **(designated here):** [FRANCE] île de Houat (Morbihan), April 1852, *J. Lloyd*  
409 (ANG, herb. James Lloyd) (image!); *isolectotypes*: B barcode B 20 0107589!,  
410 NTM! (herb. Toussaint, herb. Menier), P barcodes P01313472!, P01293646!,  
411 PC0731960!

412 The publication date of this species name, contrary to what is reported by several  
413 sources (including Stafleu & Cowan, 1981) is likely not 1851 but 1852, according to the  
414 Lloyd's comments in the protologue. It is also possible to read 'avril 1852' at the end of  
415 the protologue, page 30 of the 'Notes'.

416 As it is possible to deduce by reading the protologue, Lloyd received only two  
417 specimens (collected in May 1850 in the island of Houat) from Delalande, but given the  
418 description including precise information of the habitat, he needed to see more  
419 specimens and their habitats before describing the new species; for that, he waited until  
420 April 1852 when he was able to personally visit the sites. Accordingly, we consider  
421 plants collected in April 1852 to be part of the original material set. Other original  
422 material consists of plants collected in May 1850 by Delalande. Those plants and other  
423 collected in April 1852 in Belle-Ile are preserved in ANG.

424 Another specimen (in B) from the same collection is the type of another taxon,  
425 *Isoetes histrix* [unranked] *solitaria* A.Braun, so far treated as a synonym of *I. histrix*  
426 (Troia & Greuter, 2014) but now to be treated as a synonym of *I. delalandei*.

427 Megaspores of *I. delalandei* (Fig. 2) are almost laevigate, and thus clearly  
428 different from the typical megaspores of *I. histrix* as well as those of *I. gymnocarpa*  
429 (Troia & Greuter, 2015a: 25). Plants of this species are small (about 3-4 cm tall), with  
430 arched leaves and abundant phyllopodia exhibiting short lateral teeth.  
431

432 *Isoetes histrix* f. *subinermis* Durieu in Bull. Soc. Bot. France 8: 164. 1861, nom. nud.

433 No typification has been made, given that the name was not validly published  
434 (Troia & Greuter 2014). Because the name has been however widely used in literature  
435 and herbaria, we made observations on the unequivocal population noted by Durieu  
436 ('bords de l'étang de Cazau' [France]), as an incidental contribution towards  
437 disentangling the morphological variation found within the *Isoetes histrix* group, and  
438 towards clarifying the species concept behind that invalid name. Thus, we found that  
439 megaspores (Fig. 2) are not tuberculate, as usually seen in *I. histrix*, but somehow  
440 similar to a rugate type (apparently deriving from the fusion of tubercles, and for some

441 aspects resembling the retate type, typical of *I. durieui* Bory). In the literature it is  
442 possible to find SEM images of megaspores of '*I. histrix*' s.l. (e.g. Berthet & Lecoq,  
443 1977; Ferrarini & al., 1986), but unfortunately we don't know to what 'morphotype'  
444 they correspond. The only images useful for comparison of this atypical expression with  
445 other known morphotypes of *I. histrix* illustrate polar images of megaspores of *I. histrix*  
446 and *I. gymnocarpa* collected in Sicily (Troia & al., 2012 and Troia & Greuter, 2015a),  
447 and images from the type of *I. gymnocarpa* in Sardinia (Troia & al., 2015). For  
448 comparison purposes, we included in Fig. 2 images of spores from specimens gathered  
449 in the (African) locus classicus of *I. histrix* f. *loricata* A.Braun representing 'true' *I.*  
450 *histrix* (s. str.).  
451

452

## 453 CONCLUSIONS

454 Our morphological observations on the types or on original material or material from  
455 the 'locus classicus' help to clarify our knowledge of these two species complex. Now  
456 that the morphological and nomenclatural framework is clearer, genetic investigations  
457 could significantly further clarify the taxonomic significance of each of the taxa  
458 identified here. Further updating of knowledge of the distribution, ecology, and  
459 morphological variability of these taxa, particularly with the benefit of fresh material,  
460 will be especially useful to this endeavor.

461 In summary, in the *Isoetes longissima* group, while *I. boryana* and *I. olympica* seem  
462 deserving of species rank, *I. adspersa* (better treated as a synonym of *I. capillacea*)  
463 seems indistinct from *I. longissima*. It is more difficult to decide upon a taxonomic rank  
464 for *I. tenuissima* and *I. perralderiana*, which we propose to treat as separate species  
465 pending further investigations. It is important to note that *I. longissima* is amphibious,  
466 spending a portion of its annual cycle submerged, then becoming emergent, and finally  
467 becoming leafless and dormant after its habitat has completely dried up. In marked  
468 contrast, both *I. boryana* and *I. tenuissima* (and perhaps *I. perralderiana* as well) are  
469 permanent aquatics, most plants being submerged even during the summer, though  
470 some individuals can remain non-dormant as emergent on saturated soils.

471 In the *Isoetes histrix* group, the possibility exists that *I. delalandei* and the plants  
472 treated under *I. histrix* f. *subinermis* nom. nud. represent two taxa different from each  
473 other and both distinct from *I. histrix*. For the present, we accept *I. delalandei* as a  
474 distinct species. Further dedicated and multidisciplinary macro- and micro-  
475 morphological, ecological, and molecular investigations are needed. These need to be  
476 applied to all the other taxa described in this group.  
477

478

## 479 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

480 Most of these conclusions result from research by AT in Paris (MNHN) funded by a  
481 SYNTHESYS European Grant (project ‘FR-TAF 5506: The *Isoetes longissima* group in  
482 France and Algeria’). Additional conclusions result from additional research by AT at  
483 the herbarium of the Botanic Garden and Botanical Museum Berlin (grant code  
484 A/14/01588), funded by the German Academic Exchange Service (Deutscher  
485 Akademischer Austausch-Dienst, DAAD). Thanks are due to Géraldine Toutirais  
486 (MNHN) for assistance with SEM, and Virginie Bouetel (SYNTHESYS FR-TAF  
487 manager) for kind assistance and support before and during the work in Paris. We are  
488 indebted to colleagues of the herbaria consulted, especially Myriam Gaudeul (MNHN,  
489 Paris, P), Brigitte Zimmer (Emeritus Curator of Pteridophytes, B), Marie-Laure Guérin  
490 (Muséum d’Histoire Naturelle de Nantes, NTM), and Thomas Rouillard (Muséum des  
491 Sciences Naturelles, Angers, ANG) for their help in accessing the specimens in their  
492 care. AT also acknowledges Annie and Rémy Prelli for having kindly led him to visit  
493 on the field the population of *I. boryana*, Ronnie Viane for sharing his preliminary  
494 results, and Carmela Di Liberto (Università di Palermo, Dip. STEBICEF) for assistance  
495 with SEM for some specimens. We are thankful to the Editor, Dr Erin Tripp, and two  
496 anonymous reviewers, for valuable comments and suggestions on a previous version of  
497 this manuscript, and to Daniel Brunton (Ottawa, Ontario, Canada) for the assistance  
498 with linguistic issues.

499

500

## 501 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

502 Conceptualization: A.T. Investigation: A.T., G.R. Writing (original draft): A.T., G.R.  
503 Writing (review and editing): A.T., G.R. Visualization: A.T. Authors gave final  
504 approval of the version to be submitted and any revised version.

505

506

## 507 LITERATURE CITED

- 508 **Bagella, S. & Caria, M.C.** 2013. Sensitivity of ephemeral wetland swards with *Isoetes*  
509 *histris* Bory to environmental variables: implications for the conservation of  
510 Mediterranean temporary ponds. *Aquat. Conserv.* 23: 277–290. doi:  
511 10.1002/aqc.2290
- 512 **Bagella, S., Peruzzi, L., Caria, M.C. & Filigheddu, R.** 2015. Unraveling the  
513 taxonomy and nomenclature of *Isoetes histris* Bory species complex (Isoetaceae,  
514 Lycopodiidae). *Turk. J. Bot.* 39: 383-387. doi:10.3906/bot-1404-121
- 515 **Berthet, P. & Lecocq, M.** 1977. Morphologie sporale des especes francaises du genre  
516 *Isoetes* L. *Pollen & Spores* 19: 329-349.

- 517 **Brunton, D. F. & Britton, D.M.** 2006. *Isoetes melanopoda* spp. *silvatica* (subsp. nov.),  
518 a new quillwort (Isoetaceae) from eastern North America. *Castanea* 71: 15-30.
- 519 **Christenhusz, M. & Raab-Straube, E. von.** 2013. Lycopodiophytina. In: Euro+Med  
520 Plantbase – the information resource for Euro-Mediterranean plant diversity.  
521 Published on the Internet. <http://ww2.bgbm.org/EuroPlusMed/> (accessed 30 Oct  
522 2017)
- 523 **Christenhusz, M., Lansdown, R.V., Bento Elias, R., Dyer, R., Ivanenko, Y.,**  
524 **Rouhan, G., Rumsey, F. & Väre, H.** 2017. *Isoetes boryana*. The IUCN Red List of  
525 Threatened Species 2017: e.T161833A85430458.  
526 <http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2017-2.RLTS.T161833A85430458.en> (accessed  
527 30 Oct 2017).
- 528 **De Meester, L., Declerck, S., Stoks, R., Louette, G., Van De Meutter, F., De Bie, T.,**  
529 **Michels, E., Brendonck, L.** 2006. Ponds and pools as model systems in  
530 conservation biology, ecology and evolutionary biology. *Aquat. Conserv.* 15(6):  
531 715–725. doi: 10.1002/aqc.748
- 532 **De Queiroz, K.** 2007. Species concepts and species delimitation. *Syst. Biol.* 56 (6):  
533 879–886.
- 534 **Dobignard A.** 2017. Flore du Maroc. Published on the Internet [www.floramarroccana.fr](http://www.floramarroccana.fr)  
535 (accessed 30 Oct 2017).
- 536 **Doyle, J.A.** 2013. Phylogenetic analyses and morphological innovations in land plants.  
537 Pp. 1-50 in: Ambrose B.A. & Purugganan M. (eds.), *Annual Plant Reviews Volume*  
538 *45: The Evolution of Plant Form*. Chichester, West Sussex, UK : John Wiley &  
539 Sons, Ltd. doi: 10.1002/9781118305881.ch1
- 540 **El Oualidi, J., Khamar, H., Fennane, M., Ibn Tattou, M., Chauvet, S. & Taleb,**  
541 **M.S.** 2012. *Checklist des endémiques et spécimens types de la flore vasculaire de*  
542 *l’Afrique du Nord*. Documents de L’Institut Scientifique no. 25. Rabat: Université  
543 Mohammed V-Agdal.
- 544 **Engelmann, G.** 1882. The Genus *Isoetes* in North America. *Trans. St. Louis Acad. Sc.*  
545 4: 358-390.
- 546 **European Commission.** 2013. *Interpretation Manual of European Union Habitats -*  
547 *EUR28*. [Bruxelles] : European Commission, DG Environment, Nature ENV B.3,  
548 [http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/legislation/habitatsdirective/docs/Int\\_Manual](http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/legislation/habitatsdirective/docs/Int_Manual_EU28.pdf)  
549 [EU28.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/legislation/habitatsdirective/docs/Int_Manual_EU28.pdf)
- 550 **Ferrarini, E., Ciampolini, F., Pichi Sermolli, R.E.G., Marchetti, D.** 1986.  
551 *Iconographia Palynologica Pteridophytorum Italiae*. *Webbia* 40: 1 - 202.
- 552 **García Criado, M., Väre, H., Nieto, A., Bento Elias, R., Dyer, R., Ivanenko, Y.,**  
553 **Ivanova, D., Lansdown, R., Molina, J.A., Rouhan, G., Rumsey, F., Troia, A.,**  
554 **Vrba, J. & Christenhusz, M.J.M.** 2017. *European Red List of Lycopods and Ferns*.  
555 Brussels, Belgium: IUCN. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.CH.2017.ERL.1.en>
- 556 **Gómez-Pignataro, L.D.** 1980. Vegetative reproduction in a Central American *Isoetes*  
557 (*Isoetaceae*). Its morphological, systematic and taxonomical significance. *Brenesia*  
558 18: 1-14.

- 559 **Grenier, M. & Godron, M.** 1855. *Flore de France, 3 (1)*. Paris: Baillière.
- 560 **Grillas, P., Gauthier, P., Yavercovski, N. & Perennou, C.** 2004. *Mediterranean*  
561 *Temporary Pools; Volume 1 - Issues relating to conservation, functioning and*  
562 *management*. Le Sambuc, Arles: Station biologique de la Tour du Valat.
- 563 **Hickey, R.J., Taylor, W.C., Luebke, N.T.** 1989. The species concept in Pteridophyta  
564 with special reference to *Isoetes*. *Am. Fern J.* 79: 78–89.
- 565 **Hy, F.** 1894. Note sur les *Isoetes* amphibies de la France centrale. *J. Bot. (Morot)* 8: 92-  
566 98.
- 567 **Jermy, A.C. & Akeroyd, J.R.** 1993. *Isoetes* L. Pp. 6–7 in: Tutin, T.G., Burges, N.A.,  
568 Charter, A.O., Edmondson, J.R., Heywood, V.H., Moore, D.M., Valentine, D.H.,  
569 Walters, S.M. & Webb, D.A. (eds.), *Flora Europaea*, ed. 2, vol. 1. Cambridge:  
570 University Press.
- 571 **Karol, K.G., Arumuganathan, K., Boore, J.L., Duffy, A.M., Everett, K.D., Hall,**  
572 **J.D., Hansen, S.K., Kuehl, J.V., Mandoli, D.F., Mishler, B.D., Olmstead, R.G.,**  
573 **Renzaglia, K.S., Wolf, P.G.** 2010. Complete plastome sequences of *Equisetum*  
574 *arvense* and *Isoetes flaccida*: implications for phylogeny and plastid genome  
575 evolution of early land plant lineages. *BMC Evol Biol.* 2010, 10: 321-10.1186/1471-  
576 2148-10-321.
- 577 **Klaus, K.V., Schulz, C., Bauer, D.S. & Stützel, Th.** 2016. Historical biogeography of  
578 the ancient lycophyte genus *Selaginella*: early adaptation to xeric habitats on Pangea.  
579 *Cladistics* 33: 469–480. doi: 10.1111/cla.12184
- 580 **Larsén, E. & Rydén, C.** 2016. Disentangling the phylogeny of *Isoetes* (Isoetales), using  
581 nuclear and plastid data. *Int. J. Pl. Sci.* 177: 157–174. doi: 10.1086/684179.
- 582 **Lellinger, D.B. & Taylor, W.C.** 1997. A classification of spore ornamentation in the  
583 Pteridophyta. Pp. 33-42 in: Johns R.J. (ed.), *Holtum Memorial Volume*. Kew: Royal  
584 Botanic Gardens.
- 585 **Leussink, J.A.** 1985. The publication dates of the Bulletin de la Société Botanique de  
586 France (vols. 1–25, 1854–1878). *Taxon* 34: 573–606.
- 587 **Lumbreras, A., Marques, J. T., Belo, A. F., Cristo, M., Fernandes, M., Galioto, D.,**  
588 **Machado M., Mira, A., Sá-Sousa, P., Silva, R., Sousa, L.G., Pinto-Cruz, C.** 2016.  
589 Assessing the conservation status of Mediterranean temporary ponds using  
590 biodiversity: a new tool for practitioners. *Hydrobiologia* 782: 187–199. doi:  
591 10.1007/s10750-016-2697-7
- 592 **McNeill, J., Barrie, F.R., Buck, W.R., Demoulin, V., Greuter, W., Hawksworth,**  
593 **D.L., Herendeen, P.S., Knapp, S., Marhold, K., Prado, J., Prud'homme van**  
594 **Reine, W.F., Smith, G.F., Wiersema, J.H. & Turland, N.J.** 2012. International  
595 Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi and plants (Melbourne Code) adopted by the  
596 Eighteenth International Botanical Congress Melbourne, Australia, July 2011.  
597 *Regnum Vegetabile* 154: 1–240.
- 598 **Pereira, J.B.S., Labiak, P.H., Stützel, T. & Schulz, C.** 2017. Origin and biogeography  
599 of the ancient genus *Isoetes* with focus on the Neotropics. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 185:  
600 253–271. <https://doi.org/10.1093/botlinnean/box057>

- 601 **Petersen, K.B. & Burd, M.** 2017. Why did heterospory evolve? *Biol. Rev. Camb.*  
602 *Philos. Soc.* 92(3): 1739-1754. doi: 10.1111/brv.12304.
- 603 **Pfeiffer, N.E.** 1922. Monograph of the Isoetaceae. *Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard.* 9: 79–232.  
604 <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2990000>
- 605 **Pigg, K.B.** 2001. Isoetalean lycopsid evolution: from the Devonian to the present. *Am.*  
606 *Fern J.* 91: 99-114.
- 607 **PPG I.** 2016. A community-derived classification for extant lycophytes and ferns. *J.*  
608 *Syst. Evol.* 54 (6): 563–603. doi: 10.1111/jse.12229
- 609 **Prada, C.** 1983. El genero *Isoetes* L. en la Peninsula Iberica. *Acta Bot. Malacit.* 8: 73–  
610 100.
- 611 **Prada, C. & Rolleri, C.H.** 2005. A new species of *Isoetes* (Isoetaceae) from Turkey,  
612 with a study of microphyll intercellular pectic protuberances and their potential  
613 taxonomic value. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 147 (2): 213- 228.  
614 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2005.00362.x>
- 615 **Prelli, R.** 2002. *Les Fougères et plantes alliées de France et d'Europe occidentale.*  
616 Paris: Belin.
- 617 **Proctor, G.R.** 1949. *Isoetes riparia* and its variants. *Amer. Fern J.* 39: 110-121.
- 618 **Raab-Straube, E. von & Raus, Th. (eds.)** 2014. Euro+Med-Checklist Notulae, 3  
619 [Notulae ad floram euro-mediterraneam pertinentes 32]. *Willdenowia* 44: 287 – 299.  
620 <https://doi.org/10.3372/wi.44.44211>
- 621 **Rolleri, C.H. & Prada, C.** 2007. Caracteres diagnosticos foliares en *Isoetes*  
622 (Pteridophyta, Isoetaceae). *Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard.* 94: 202–235.  
623 [https://doi.org/10.3417/0026-6493\(2007\)94\[202:CDFEIP\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.3417/0026-6493(2007)94[202:CDFEIP]2.0.CO;2)
- 624 **Romero, M.I., Amigo, J. & Ramil, P.** 2004. *Isoetes fluitans* sp. nov.: The identity of  
625 Spanish plants of ‘*I. longissimum*’. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 146: 231–236.  
626 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2004.00315.x>
- 627 **Romero, M.I. & Real, C.** 2005. A morphometric study of three closely related taxa in  
628 the European *Isoetes velata* complex. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 148: 459–464.  
629 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2005.00419.x>
- 630 **Rouhan, G. & Christenhusz, M.** 2017. *Isoetes tenuissima*. The IUCN Red List of  
631 Threatened Species 2017: e.T98140004A98140117.  
632 <http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2017-2.RLTS.T98140004A98140117.en>.  
633 (accessed 27 Dec 2017).
- 634 **Roux, J.P.** 2009. *Synopsis of the Lycopodiophyta and Pteridophyta of Africa,*  
635 *Madagascar, and neighbouring islands.* Strelitzia 23. Pretoria: South African  
636 National Biodiversity Institute.
- 637 **Sciandrello, S., Privitera, M., Puglisi, M. & Minissale, P.** 2016. Diversity and spatial  
638 patterns of plant communities in volcanic temporary ponds of Sicily (Italy). *Biologia*  
639 71 (7): 793-803. <https://doi.org/10.1515/biolog-2016-0096>.
- 640 **Stafleu, F.A. & Cowan, R.S.** 1981. Taxonomic Literature. A selective guide to  
641 botanical publications and collections with dates, commentaries and types, vol. 3, ed.

- 642 2. *Regnum Vegetabile* 105: 1–980. Available from:  
643 <http://www.sil.si.edu/digitalcollections/tl-2/index.cfm> (accessed 1 Oct 2017)
- 644 **Thiers, B.** 2017. [continuously updated]: Index herbariorum: a global directory of  
645 public herbaria and associated stai. New York Botanical Garden’s virtual herbarium.  
646 – Published at <http://sweetgum.nybg.org/ih/> (accessed 30 Oct 2017).
- 647 **Troia, A. & Greuter, W.** 2014. A critical conspectus of Italian *Isoëtes* (Isoetaceae). *Pl.*  
648 *Biosyst.* 148: 13-20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2013.878409>
- 649 **Troia, A. & Greuter, W.** 2015a. *Isoetaceae* (vers. 1.0). In: Peruzzi L., Cecchi L.,  
650 Cristofolini G., Domina G., Greuter W., Nardi E., Raimondo F.M., Selvi F. & Troia  
651 A. (eds.), *Flora critica d’Italia*. Firenze: Fondazione per la Flora Italiana. Published  
652 online on 25 february 2015 at: <http://www.floraditalia.it/pdf/Isoetaceae.pdf> (accessed  
653 30 Oct 2017).
- 654 **Troia, A. & Greuter, W.** 2015b. A conspectus of and key to Greek *Isoetes*  
655 (Isoetaceae), based on a reassessment of Haussknecht’s gatherings of 1885.  
656 *Willdenowia* 45(3): 391-403. <https://doi.org/10.3372/wi.45.45303>
- 657 **Troia, A., Bazan, G. & Schicchi, R.** 2012. Micromorphological approach to the  
658 systematics of Mediterranean *Isoetes* species (Isoetaceae, Lycopodiophyta): Analysis  
659 of the megaspore surface. *Grana* 51: 35–43.  
660 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00173134.2011.637131>
- 661 **Troia, A., Raimondo F.M., & Greuter, W.** 2015. Lycopodiidae for the ‘Flora Critica  
662 d’Italia’: material and methods. *Biodivers. J.* 6: 215–218.
- 663 **Troia, A., Taylor, W.C., Kim, C. & Pereira, J.B.** 2016. The genus *Isoetes*  
664 (Isoetaceae): a provisional checklist of the accepted and unresolved taxa. *Phytotaxa*  
665 277 (2): 101–145. <http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.277.2.1>
- 666 **Williams, D.D.** 2006. *The biology of temporary waters*. New York: Oxford  
667 University Press.
- 668 **Zacharias, I. & Zamparas, M.** 2010. Mediterranean temporary ponds. A disappearing  
669 ecosystem. *Biodivers Conserv* (2010) 19: 3827–3834.  
670 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-010-9933-7>

671

672

673

674

675

## 676 Legends of the figures

677 **Fig. 1.** SEM images of megaspores and microspores of (from the first to the fourth line)  
678 *Isoetes longissima* (specimen B96539) *Isoetes adspersa* (specimen P01224844), *I.*  
679 *tenuissima* (specimen P01266657), *I. boryana* (specimen P06141802), *I. perralderiana*  
680 (specimen P01268222). For each taxon, from left to right: megaspore proximal view,

681 megaspore distal view, megaspore equatorial view, microspore. SEM micrographs of  
682 the first taxon made by AT and Carmela Di Liberto (at the University of Palermo), the  
683 other ones made by AT (in P).

684

685 **Fig. 2.** SEM images of megaspores of (from the first to the third line) *I. delalandei*  
686 (P01313472), *I. histrix* f. *subinermis* (P01649801), *I. histrix loricata* (B 20 107666). For  
687 each taxon, from left to right: megaspore proximal view, megaspore distal view,  
688 megaspore equatorial view (except for the last line, where the last image is an overview  
689 of several megaspores). SEM micrographs of the first two taxa made by AT (in P),  
690 micrographs of the last taxon made by AT and Carmela Di Liberto (at the University of  
691 Palermo).