

Effects of Dihydroartemisinin-Piperaquine Phosphate and Artemether-Lumefantrine on QTc Interval Prolongation

Christian Funck-Brentano, Antonella Bacchieri, Giovanni Valentini, Silvia Pace, Silva Tommasini, Pascal Voiriot, David Ubben, Stephan Duparc, Eric Evene, Mathieu Felices, et al.

To cite this version:

Christian Funck-Brentano, Antonella Bacchieri, Giovanni Valentini, Silvia Pace, Silva Tommasini, et al.. Effects of Dihydroartemisinin-Piperaquine Phosphate and Artemether-Lumefantrine on QTc Interval Prolongation. Scientific Reports, 2019, 9, pp.777. $10.1038/s41598-018-37112-6$. hal-02018103

HAL Id: hal-02018103 <https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-02018103v1>

Submitted on 13 Feb 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SCIENTIFIC REPERTS

Received: 14 May 2018 Accepted: 4 December 2018 Published online: 28 January 2019

Efects of Dihydroartemisinin-OPENPiperaquine Phosphate and Artemether-Lumefantrine on QTc Interval Prolongation

Christian Funck-Brentano 1, Antonella Bacchieri2, GiovanniValentini 2, Silvia Pace2, SilvaTommasini2, PascalVoiriot3, David Ubben4, Stephan Duparc4, Eric Evene5, Mathieu Felices6 & MarcoCorsi2

QT/QTc interval prolongation refects delayed cardiac repolarization which can lead to *Torsade de Pointes* **and sudden death. Many antimalarial drugs prolong QT/QTc interval. However, due to confounding factors in patients with malaria, the precise extent of this efect has been found to be highly variable among studies. We compared the efects of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine phosphate (DHA-PQP) and artemether-lumefantrine (A-L) on QT interval duration in healthy volunteers. In this randomized, parallel groups, active moxifoxacin- and placebo-controlled study, prolongation of the QT/QTc interval following treatment with DHA-PQP in fasted and fed condition and A-L in fed state was investigated in healthy subjects (n=287; Clinicaltrials.gov: NCT01103830). DHA-PQP resulted in signifcant mean (95% confdence interval (CI)) maximum increases in QTc Fridericia (QTcF) of 21.0ms (15.7, 26.4) for DHA-PQP fasted, 35.9ms (31.1, 40.6) for DHA-PQP high-fat/low-caloric and 46.0ms (39.6, 52.3) for DHA-PQP high-fat/high-caloric breakfast. For A-L, the largest diference from baseline relative to placebo was 9.9ms (95% CI: 6.8, 12.9). Increases in QTcF related to maximum plasma concentrations of piperaquine. Moxifoxacin demonstrated assay sensitivity. Increases in QTcF following DHA-PQP and A-L were clinically relevant. Food increased piperaquine exposure and QTcF interval prolongation emphasizing the need to administer DHA-PQP in the fasting state.**

Malaria is a disease that although preventable and tractable still caused approximately 425,000 mortalities in 2016, with most deaths occurring in Africa¹. The World Health Organization recommends artemisinin-based combination therapies for the treatment of *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria². Many antimalarial drugs including artemisinin-based combination therapies have been associated with prolongation of the corrected QT interval (QTc), which reflects a delay in ventricular repolarization during the cardiac cycle³. Delayed cardiac repolarization can lead to the development of ventricular tachyarrhythmias, most notably *Torsade de Pointes*, which can be self-terminating but can also degenerate into ventricular fbrillation leading to sudden death.

QT interval is highly infuenced by heart rate, physiologically. Assessment of possible QT-prolonging efects of antimalarial drugs in patients is often hampered by the symptoms of malaria most notably fever³. In the acute phase of the disease, and in addition to fever, stress, anxiety and discomfort may lead to an increase in heart rate. In contrast, during the recovery phase and afer starting treatment, heart rate decreases and QT interval lengthens. Therefore since the clinical condition of patients with malaria may induce changes in the QT interval, the efects of antimalarial treatments on cardiac repolarization are ideally studied in healthy subjects.

The fixed-dose artemisinin-based combination therapy of dihydroartemisinin (DHA) and piperaquine phosphate (PQP) is approved in the European Union for the treatment of uncomplicated *P. falciparum* malaria. Preclinical experiments showed that despite signifcant blockade of the human ether-a-go-go related channel

1INSERM, CIC-1421 and UMR ICAN 1166, Sorbonne Université, Faculty of Medicine, AP-HP, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Department of Pharmacology and Clinical Investigation Center, Institute of Cardiometabolism and Nutrition (ICAN), F-75013, Paris, France. 2Sigma-tau Industrie Farmaceutiche Riunite S.p.A., Pomezia, (Rome), Italy. ³Cardiabase, Nancy, France. ⁴Medicines for Malaria Venture, Geneva, Switzerland. ⁵SGS-Aster, Paris, France.
⁶PhinC development, Evry, France, Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to ⁶PhinC development, Evry, France. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.F.-B. (email: christian.funck-brentano@aphp.fr)

Figure 1. Flow chart of subject disposition. Group 1, dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine phosphate (DHA-PQP) low-caloric breakfast; Group 2, artemether-lumefantrine (A-L); Group 3, moxifoxacin; Group 4, DHA-PQP high-caloric breakfast; Group 5, DHA-PQP fasted; Group 6, moxifloxacin. $m = male$; $f = female$.

(hERG), which plays a critical role in cardiac repolarization, DHA-PQP did not appear to induce efects characteristic of *Torsade de Pointes*, affect hERG trafficking or block sodium channels although it blocked slow-potassium ion currents⁴. However, in patients with malaria, treatment with DHA-PQP resulted in prolongation of the Fridericia corrected QT interval (QTcF) ranging from 7 to 45 ms^{5–8}. Marked QTcF prolongations were also observed for artemisinin-based combination therapies (artemether-lumefantrine [A-L]: 22 ms⁹; artesunate $+$ mefloquine: 18 ms^{10} ; artesunate $+$ amodiaquine: 33 ms^9 .). In these studies, electrocardiograms (ECGs) were recorded at various single-time points when plasma drug concentrations were at trough or around presumed peak levels; therefore, the treatment efect on QT interval may have been under- or overestimated.

The present study was conducted in healthy subjects to carefully investigate prolongation of the QT/QTc interval following treatment with DHA-PQP and A-L at the maximum plasma concentrations of their respective active compounds and over the 24 hrs following the last day of drug administrations. The methodology employed was based on that used in thorough QTc studies, which are designed to detect small changes in QTcF¹¹.

Results

Subject Disposition and Demographics. A total of 287 healthy Caucasian subjects were randomized and their disposition in the study is presented in Fig. 1. Five subjects were withdrawn from the study between Day –1 and Day 1. Two hundred and eighty-two subjects (174 men and 108 women) received at least one dose of study medication and 279 completed the study. Subjects providing a non-evaluable QT interval were replaced to maintain the pre-established sample size in each group. The mean [range] age and body mass index of the study population were 29.6 [18-50] years and 22.6 [18.0-28.2] kg/m², respectively. Demographic variables (including age, gender, weight and height; data not shown) were similar across groups.

ECG Analysis. Fridericia's method was the most appropriate to correct the QT interval for changes in heart rate (data not shown) and was used throughout this study. In Group 3 treatment with moxifoxacin caused a prolongation in QTcF interval (Table 1); the maximum time-matched diference from placebo was 15.5ms (90% confdence interval [CI]: 12.5, 18.4) at 4 h post-dose. In Group 6 moxifoxacin caused a QTcF prolongation of similar magnitude: 17.4ms (90% CI: 13.3, 21.5) at 6h post-dose.

QTcF increased with DHA-PQP. Mean QTcF prolongation with DHA-PQP was >10 ms for at least 24 h post-dose regardless of food intake (Fig. 2). QTcF prolongation with DHA-PQP signifcantly increased afer a high-fat/low-caloric breakfast compared with the fasted state. For A-L, the largest diference from baseline relative to placebo (Group 3, Day 3) was 9.9 ms (95% CI: 6.8, 12.9; $p < 0.0001$) and this was significantly smaller than the QT prolonging efect of DHA-PQP high-fat/low-caloric (i.e. the primary hypothesis was rejected). Other comparisons are shown in Table 1. Prolongation of QTcF was 33.8ms for DHA-PQP and 20.4ms for A-L, before allowing for placebo adjustment. Body weight did not signifcantly infuence QTcF. In contrast, gender had a statistically signifcant efect on QTcF in all treatment groups except DHA-PQP fasted, with longer QTcF interval prolongations noted in women. QTcF change from baseline was linearly related to the Cmax and AUC of PQ (supplementary fle).

Categorical analysis showed that two subjects (3.1%) in the A-L group and four subjects (10%) in the DHA-PQP fasted group had abnormal maximum absolute QTcF values in the range 450–480 ms. There were no subjects from either group with maximum absolute QTcF values ≥480ms. No subjects in the DHA-PQP fasted and A-L groups experienced maximum time-matched changes from baseline for QTcF>60ms.

Pharmacokinetics. Maximum plasma concentration (C_{max}) of DHA was achieved rapidly with median time to C_{max} (t_{max}) values within 1–2h (Table 2). Piperaquine was absorbed more slowly with median t_{max} values 3–4h. When DHA-PQP was administered concomitantly with a high-fat/low-caloric breakfast, plasma PQ C_{max}

Assay sensitivity analysis	Mean (SE)	90% CI	p-value
Moxifloxacin (Group 3) - placebo: largest mean effect at 4 h	15.5(1.8)	12.5; 18.4	< 0.0001
Moxifloxacin (Group 6) - placebo: largest mean effect at 6 h	17.4(2.5)	13.3; 21.5	< 0.0001
Analysis of variance for treatment comparison			
Treatment Comparisons vs placebo	Mean (SE)	95% CI	p-value
DHA-PQP fasted – placebo (Group 6)	21.0(2.7)	15.7:26.4	< 0.0001
A-L – placebo (Group 3)	9.9(1.5)	6.8; 12.9	< 0.0001
DHA-PQP high-fat/low-caloric - placebo (Group 3)	35.9(2.4)	31.1; 40.6	< 0.0001
DHA-PQP high-fat/high-caloric - placebo (Group 3)	46.0(3.2)	39.6; 52.3	< 0.0001
Other Treatment Comparisons			
DHA-PQP high-fat/low-caloric - A-L	26.0(2.0)	22.0; 30.0	< 0.0001
DHA-POP fasted - A-L	13.4(1.9)	9.7; 17.1	< 0.0001
DHA-PQP high-fat/low-caloric - DHA-PQP fasted	12.6(2.6)	7.4: 17.8	< 0.0001

Table 1. Treatment comparisons for maximum time-matched changes in QTcF. SE = standard error; $CI =$ confidence interval; DHA = dihydroartemisinin; PQP = piperaquine phosphate; A = artemether; $L=$ lumefantrine.

Figure 2. Diference from placebo (Day –1 of respective treatment) in mean change from baseline in QTcF on Day 3. Data are presented as mean and 90% confidence interval. The dotted horizontal line corresponds to the 10ms threshold. DHA-PQP=dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine phosphate. Square=DHA-PQP low caloric breakfast; triangle=DHA-PQP high caloric breakfast; flled circle=DHA-PQP fasted; open $circle =$ artemether-lumefantrine.

increased approximately 2-fold compared with the fasted state. Concomitant administration of DHA-PQP with a high-fat/high-caloric breakfast resulted in a 3-fold increase in Cmax with PQ reaching supra-therapeutic exposure. Lumefantrine was absorbed slowly (median t_{max}: approximately 6h), with subsequent slow formation of its desbuthyl metabolite (median t_{max}: 7h). Artemether plasma concentrations were below the limit of detection of the assay at all time-points in nine subjects. The median t_{max} value for its metabolite, DHA, was similar (median t_{max} : 2h) to that described after DHA-PQP administration, although C_{max} was lower.

Discussion

In this study, clinically signifcant increases in QTcF were associated with DHA-PQP and A-L. In ICH E14 guideline an increase in QTcF is considered clinically relevant when the upper limit of the 90% CI of the maximum time-matched treatment difference from placebo in QTcF change from baseline exceeds 10 ms¹¹. For all 3 DHA-PQP groups, even the lower limit of the 90% CI was well above this 10-ms limit whereas the efect of A-L was modest with only the upper limit of the 90% CI (12.0 ms) above 10 ms. Moxifoxacin was included in the present study as a positive control and administration of a single 400 mg dose resulted in an increase in QTcF of 15.5 and 17.4 ms. The increases in QTcF in the current study are in the high range among those reported for moxifloxacin in thorough QTc studies $(10.2–21.0 \,\text{ms})^{12}$, indicating that the subjects participating in the current study were sensitive to drug-induced QT prolongation.

Increases in QTcF observed following administration of DHA-PQP and A-L were clinically relevant. Treatment diferences compared with placebo for DHA-PQP under fasted conditions showed increases similar to those of moxifloxacin. The QTcF prolongation observed for the DHA-PQP fasted group when the effect of placebo was not subtracted was higher than that determined in previous studies (33.8 ms for DHA-PQP in the current study vs. 19 to 23 ms for DHA-PQP in previous studies)^{6,8,13}. This variability among studies emphasizes

Table 2. Pharmacokinetic variables of dihydroartemisinin (DHA), piperaquine (PQ), artemether, lumefantrine, desbuthyl-lumefantrine and moxifoxacin on treatment Day 3 or Day 4 Data are presented as mean (CV%) or, for t_{max} , as median [range]. a AUC_{0– ∞} for DHA and moxifloxacin; AUC_{0–24} for PQ; AUC_{0–t} for artemether, lumefantrine and desbuthyl-lumefantrine. C_{max} = maximum plasma concentration; t_{max} = time to C_{max} ; AUC_{0-t} = area under the time-concentration curve from time 0 to time of last quantifiable concentration; AUC_{0–24}=AUC from time 0 to 24h post-dose; AUC_{0–∞} = AUC from time 0 to infinity; t½ = half-life; NE = not estimated due to limited sampling to preserve blinding.

the need for a placebo control to accurately assess drug-induced QTc interval prolongation although it should be recognized that it is not feasible in patients with acute malaria.

Although several articles report on the effects of DHA-PQP on ventricular repolarization^{5,6,14-16}, studies using high-standard of ECG recording and measurements over time for the specifc evaluation of QTc prolongation afer DHA-PQP are lacking. One study evaluated QTc prolongation afer a full course of treatment with DHA-PQP in 56 patients with malaria⁵. No significant changes in mean QTcF occurred 4 h after the first administration of DHA-PQP whereas an increase in QTcF was detected 4h afer the last administration (mean diference: 29 ms [95% CI: 22, 38]; p<0.001). Tese results are consistent with those obtained in the present study for the DHA-PQP fasted group.

The high-fat/high-caloric meal only had a small effect on the pharmacokinetics of DHA but PQ C_{max} increased up to 3-fold, consistently with previous report under different study conditions^{17,18}. In contrast to published results that demonstrated a small or no effect of light food intake on the pharmacokinetics of PQP¹⁹, our data show that PQ concentrations increased following a high-fat/low-caloric meal compared with the fasted state. Tis apparent discrepancy may be explained by diferences in the composition of the meals provided in each study. The observed QTc prolongation by DHA-PQP and A-L was likely due to PQ and lumefantrine and its desbuthyl metabolite, respectively, whereas DHA and artemether do not appear to be implicated.

Piperaquine⁴ and lumefantrine^{4,20} are known to block the hERG channel which mediates the repolarizing I_{Kt} current which is critical for cardiac repolarization. The observed increase in QTc interval after administration of these drugs was consistent with this blocking efect. However, the present study was not designed to address the clinical relevance of this QTc prolongation. It is well recognized that hERG blockade is a strong predictor of QT prolongation but not of *Torsade de Pointes*21. Indeed, retrospective analyses of the risk of *Torsade de Pointes* associated with drugs known to exert hERG blockade demonstrated that there is no direct correlation between the QT prolonging effect exerted by hERG blockade and *Torsade de Pointes*^{22,23}.

Preclinical studies were performed to investigate the potential for DHA-PQP to elicit *Torsade de Pointes*⁴ . Rabbit ventricular wedge preparations are considered a sensitive and specifc experimental model for human pathological conditions characterized by a substantial reduction in repolarization reserve, a well-recognized risk factor for the potential of QT prolonging agents to trigger *Torsade de Pointes*. Diferent antimalarial drugs known to cause QT prolongation were compared using this model. Neither DHA-PQP nor A-L showed any efect on *Torsade de Pointes* risk score; chloroquine showed a mild risk. Dofetilide, a class III antiarrhythmic drug used as positive control, showed, as expected, a potent torsadogenic potential. This experiment also included an evaluation of early afterdepolarization. It is known that *Torsade de Pointes* is initiated by early aferdepolarization-dependent R-on-T extrasystoles; therefore, the absence of an induction of early aferdepolarization signifcantly reduces the probability of false positive results in terms of no arrhythmogenic efects of the tested drugs. DHA-PQP and A-L did not induce any early aferdepolarization.

In conclusion, both DHA-PQP and A-L produced signifcant prolongation of the QTc interval, which is a risk factor for *Torsades de Pointes*. However, current preclinical⁴ and clinical^{8,24–27} data do not suggest an increased risk of cardiac toxicity. The risk of drug-induced proarrhythmia due to QTc prolongation should further be addressed by epidemiological studies or meta-analyses. The magnitude of prolongation of the QTc interval observed with DHA-PQP was dependent on how it was administered; the smallest QTc prolongation occurred when the drug was administered in the fasted state whereas the largest QTc prolongation occurred when DHA-PQP was administered following a high-fat/high-caloric meal. Because the pharmacokinetic data obtained in the DHA-PQP fasted group were similar to those determined in a study of patients taking DHA-PQP 3 h after food intake²⁸, the QTcF interval prolongation that can be experienced by patients in conditions of standard clinical care will

Table 3. Treatments administered in groups 1 to 6 and the food state in each group.

 $DHA = dihydroartemisinin; PQP = piperaquine phosphate; A = artemether; L=lumefantrine; AM = morning;$ $PM =$ evening.

.

be similar to that observed in the subjects from the DHA-PQP fasted group. Although the risk for *Torsades de Pointes* for DHA-PQP appears to be low, caution is still advised and DHA-PQP should be given to both adults and children on an empty stomach pending future research. A post-marketing observational study conducted in 10,000 patients with P. falciparum malaria of whom 10% (1,000) had a QTc monitoring did not fnd a clinical cardiac safety signal 8 . This was also the case in a recent large-scale phase III trial²⁵.

Methods

Study Population. Healthy men and women aged 18-50 years with a body mass index 18-27 kg/m² were included between February and August 2010. Key exclusion criteria included a history of risk factors for *Torsade de Pointes* (e.g., heart failure, hypokalemia, family history of Long QT Syndrome), the concomitant use of any other medication (except paracetamol) and any condition that might have interfered with study results. Subjects were allowed to smoke up to 5 cigarettes or equivalent per day but had to refrain from smoking while confned in the clinical center. The regular drinking of alcohol of up to 21 units (1 unit=4 cL spirits or equivalent) for males or 14 units for females per week was allowed.

Study Design. This was a randomized, parallel group, active- and placebo-controlled study stratified to ensure that ≥37.5% of subjects in each group were female (Clinicaltrials.gov: NCT01103830, posted 15 April 2010). Group 1 ($n = 64$) received placebo on Day -1 and DHA-PQP once daily for 3 days after a high-fat/ low-caloric breakfast; Group 2 ($n=64$) received placebo on Day –2 and A-L twice daily for 3 days from Day –1 (afternoon) to Day 3 (morning) after a high-fat/low-caloric breakfast and dinner; Group 3 (n=40) received placebo from Day –1 to Day 3, and a single dose of 400 mg moxifoxacin on Day 4 afer a high-fat/low-caloric breakfast; Group 4 ($n=40$) received placebo on Day -1 and DHA-PQP once daily for 3 days after a high-fat/ high-caloric breakfast. An overview of the diferent treatments received in each group is presented in Table 3.

The study was performed under double blind conditions for groups 1, 3 (up to Day 4) as well as 5 and 6 (up to Day 4) morning, and in open condition for group 2 and 4. All ECG manual readings performed by Cardiabase were under blinded conditions. It is usual not to blind moxifoxacin administration in order to avoid encapsulating the tablets. Also, it was not possible to blind Groups 2 and 5 due to diferent dosing scheme or meal composition.

Pharmacokinetic data collected in the high fat/high caloric DHA-PQP group suggested that exposure to piperaquine as free base (PQ) was three-fold higher than previously observed in patients treated with DHA-PQP²⁹. It was postulated that food intake might have increased the absorption of PQP. Therefore, two additional groups of subjects were enrolled: Group 5 ($n=40$) received placebo on Day –1 and DHA-PQP once daily for 3 days in the fasted state; Group 6 (n=20) received placebo on Day –1 to Day 3 in the fasted state, then 400mg of moxifoxacin on Day 4 after intake of a high-fat/low-caloric breakfast. The study was double blinded for Groups 1, 3, 5 and 6 up to the morning of Day 4 and single blinded (i.e. subjects did not know whether they had received placebo or active drug) for Group 4. Group 2 received the study medication without any blinding.

Study drugs were administered as tablets containing DHA-PQP 40mg/320mg (Eurartesim®, Sigma-Tau s.p.a Industrie Farmaceutiche Riunite, Rome, Italy) and A-L 20 mg/120 mg (Riamet®, Novartis, Basel, Switzerland). The dose of DHA-PQP and A-L was selected based on subject's body weight according to current recommendations.

Additional information on meals composition, blood sampling procesures, drug assays, and recommended drug dosages are shown in the supplementary fle.

ECG Assessments. Twelve-lead ECGs were recorded using a MAC5500 GE Cardiograph® (GE Healthcare, Freiburg, Germany). Printouts of ECGs were taken regularly throughout the study and analysed locally to safeguard the subjects' safety. Holter monitoring was performed on Days –1, 1, 3, and 4. Time-matched triplicate ECGs with at least 1-minute intervals were extracted at the following time-points using the expected dosing time on Day –1 and the actual dosing times on Days 1, 3 and 4: pre-dose, hourly up to 13h and 24h post-dose on Day –2 (Group 2), Day –1 (all other groups) and Day 3 (all groups). In addition, triplicate ECGs were recorded at 1-h intervals up to 6h post-dose on Day 1 (Groups 1, 4 and 5) and 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, and 24h post-dose on Days 1 and 4 (Groups 3 and 6). All ECG evaluations were performed without knowledge of treatment assignment.

Digitally recorded ECGs were transmitted electronically to a core ECG laboratory for computer-based, manually verifed, digital caliper measurement of HR and RR, PR, QRS complex and QT intervals using the tangent method^{30,31}. The core ECG laboratory personnel were blinded to treatment and all ECGs from each subject were read by the same person. Whenever possible, measurements were performed on three consecutive ECGs from a single lead, preferably lead II, and the same lead was used throughout the study for the same subject. For each subject, triplicate values at each time-point were averaged. Three different correction methods were used: Bazett, Fridericia³² and a population method based on a correction factor obtained by assessing the relationship between QT and RR intervals using a power model QT $=\alpha RR^{\beta}$ where α is QTc and α and β are regression parameters³³.

Pharmacokinetic Assessments. On Day 3 (all groups) and Day 4 (Groups 3 and 6), blood samples for the measurement of study drug concentrations were taken pre-dose, hourly up to 13h, and 24h post-dose. Only samples taken on Day 4 were analyzed for moxifoxacin in Group 3 and Group 6. Blood samples from Day 3 were taken to maintain blinded conditions relative to Groups 1, 4, and 5. Plasma concentrations were determined by validated reverse-phase liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometric detection methods. Pharmacokinetic parameters were derived from the time-concentration data by standard non-compartmental analysis using WinNonlin Professional Version 5.2 (Pharsight Corporation, Mountain View, CA, USA).

Sample Size and Statistical Evaluations. The primary objective of this study was to demonstrate non-superiority of DHA-PQP (high-fat/low-caloric breakfast – Group 1) versus A-L (Group 2). Non-superiority was defned as an upper limit of 10ms for the two-sided 95% confdence interval of QTcF.

Sample size calculations were based on a power of 80% and a two-sided type I error risk of 5%. For Groups 1 and 2, 64 subjects per group were considered sufcient to demonstrate non-superiority assuming a standard deviation (SD) for maximum time-matched changes from baseline in QTc of 8ms and an expected diference of 6 ms. For Group 3, 40 subjects were considered sufficient to demonstrate a moxifloxacin effect on QTc prolongation ≥5ms assuming an SD of 8–9 ms for time-matched changes from baseline and an expected diference of 10 ms. Forty subjects in Group 5 were considered sufficient to detect a difference of 10 ms compared with Group 1, assuming an SD of 15 ms (α = 0.05, β = 0.10). No formal statistical analysis was performed to determine the sample sizes in Groups 5 and 6 because of the large QTc prolongation seen in groups 1 and 4.

The moxifloxacin *vs*. placebo analysis was performed by comparing the mean effect in Group 3 of time-matched changes on Day 4 minus Day 1 with the mean efect in the same group of time-matched changes on Day 3 minus Day –1 using an analysis of variance (ANOVA) with terms for treatment, time and gender, including a random effect for subject. This comparison was performed within 2-8h post-dose. Assay sensitivity was demonstrated if the lower bound of the two-sided 90% confdence interval for the diference between moxifoxacin and placebo was >5ms; the estimated mean diference was 10ms and the pattern of the time-efect curve of moxifoxacin was as expected.

The DHA-PQP high-fat/low-caloric meal *vs*. A-L (non-superiority) analysis was performed by comparing the mean maximum efect in Group 1 of time-matched changes on Day 3 minus Day –1 with the mean maximum effect in Group 2 of time-matched changes on Day 3 minus Day -2, using ANOVA with a term for treatment. The objective of this analysis was to evaluate whether the upper bound of the two-sided 95% confdence interval for the diference between treatments was <10ms.

A comparison of QTcF maximum time-matched changes from baseline for DHA-PQP after a high-fat/ low-caloric meal (Group 1) and under fasting conditions (Group 5) was conducted to demonstrate inferiority of DHA-PQP under fasting conditions and was performed using ANOVA with a term for treatment.

All other comparisons among the six groups were performed for QTcF in terms of 95% CI and statistical testing as described above. The effects of gender and body weight were investigated by including these terms and their interaction with treatment in the ANOVA model.

Linear regression was used to assess the relation between Cmax of PQ and the change in QTcF from baseline using data from all DHA-PQP groups (group 1, 4, and 5).

Ethical standards. The independent Ethics Committee of Ile de France VIII, Boulogne-Billancourt, France, and the French Health Products Safety Agency, Saint-Denis, France approved the study protocol. The study was conducted in accordance with Good Clinical Practice and the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All subjects provided written informed consent prior to their inclusion into the study.

References

- 1. WHO. World Malaria Report 2017 (accessed 30 September May 2018). [http://www.who.int/malaria/publications/world-malaria](http://www.who.int/malaria/publications/world-malaria-report-2017/en/)[report-2017/en/.](http://www.who.int/malaria/publications/world-malaria-report-2017/en/)
- 2. WHO. Guidelines for the treatment of malaria. Third edition. (accessed 30 September 2018). [http://www.who.int/malaria/](http://www.who.int/malaria/publications/atoz/9789241549127/en/) [publications/atoz/9789241549127/en/.](http://www.who.int/malaria/publications/atoz/9789241549127/en/)
- 3. White, N. J. Cardiotoxicity of antimalarial drugs. *Lancet Infect Dis* **7**, 549–58 (2007).
- 4. Borsini, F. *et al*. *In vitro* cardiovascular efects of dihydroartemisin-piperaquine combination compared with other antimalarials. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **56**, 3261–70 (2012).
- 5. Mytton, O. T. *et al*. Electrocardiographic safety evaluation of dihydroartemisinin piperaquine in the treatment of uncomplicated falciparum malaria. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* **77**, 447–50 (2007).
- 6. Valecha, N. *et al*. An open-label, randomised study of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine versus artesunate-mefoquine for falciparum malaria in Asia. *PLoS One* **5**, e11880 (2010).
- 7. Hanboonkunupakarn, B. *et al*. Open-label crossover study of primaquine and dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine pharmacokinetics in healthy adult thai subjects. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **58**, 7340–6 (2014).
- 8. Baiden, R. *et al*. Prospective observational study to evaluate the clinical safety of the fxed-dose artemisinin-based combination Eurartesim(R) (dihydroartemisinin/piperaquine), in public health facilities in Burkina Faso, Mozambique, Ghana, and Tanzania. *Malar J* **14**, 160 (2015).
- 9. Ndiaye, J. L. *et al*. Repeated treatment of recurrent uncomplicated Plasmodium falciparum malaria in Senegal with fxed-dose artesunate plus amodiaquine versus fxed-dose artemether plus lumefantrine: a randomized, open-label trial. *Malar J* **10**, 237 (2011).
- 10. Krudsood, S. *et al*. Efect of artesunate and mefoquine in combination on the Fridericia corrected QT intervals in Plasmodium falciparum infected adults from Thailand. *Trop. Med. Int. Health* 16, 458-65 (2011).
- 11. ICH. E14 Te Clinical Evaluation of QT/QTc Interval Prolongation and Proarrhythmic Potential for Non-Antiarrhythmic Drugs. 2005 (accessed 30 September 2018). http://www.ich.org/products/guidelines/efficacy/efficacy-single/article/the-clinical-evaluation[of-qtqtc-interval-prolongation-and-proarrhythmic-potential-for-non-antiarrh.html](http://www.ich.org/products/guidelines/efficacy/efficacy-single/article/the-clinical-evaluation-of-qtqtc-interval-prolongation-and-proarrhythmic-potential-for-non-antiarrh.html).
- 12. Fosser, C., Duczynski, G., Agin, M., Wicker, P. & Darpo, B. Comparison of manual and automated measurements of the QT interval in healthy volunteers: an analysis of fve thorough QT studies. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ter.* **86**, 503–6 (2009).
- 13. Kabanywanyi, A. M. *et al*. Multi-Country Evaluation of Safety of Dihydroartemisinin/Piperaquine Post-Licensure in African Public Hospitals with Electrocardiograms. *PLoS One* **11**, e0164851 (2016).
- 14. Manning, J. *et al*. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial of a two-day regimen of dihydroartemisininpiperaquine for malaria prevention halted for concern over prolonged corrected QT interval. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **58**, $6056 - 67$ (2014).
- 15. Wisniowska, B., Tylutki, Z., Wyszogrodzka, G. & Polak, S. Drug-drug interactions and QT prolongation as a commonly assessed cardiac efect - comprehensive overview of clinical trials. *BMC Pharmacol Toxicol* **17**, 12 (2016).
- 16. Karunajeewa, H. *et al*. Safety evaluation of fxed combination piperaquine plus dihydroartemisinin (Artekin) in Cambodian children and adults with malaria. *Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* **57**, 93–9 (2004).
- 17. Sim, I. K., Davis, T. M. & Ilett, K. F. Efects of a high-fat meal on the relative oral bioavailability of piperaquine. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **49**, 2407–11 (2005).
- 18. Reuter, S. E. *et al*. Efect of food on the pharmacokinetics of piperaquine and dihydroartemisinin. *Clin. Drug Investig.* **35**, 559–67 (2015)
- 19. Hai, T. N., Hietala, S. F., Van Huong, N. & Ashton, M. Te infuence of food on the pharmacokinetics of piperaquine in healthy Vietnamese volunteers. *Acta Trop.* **107**, 145–9 (2008).
- 20. Traebert, M. *et al*. Inhibition of hERG K+ currents by antimalarial drugs in stably transfected HEK293 cells. *Eur J Pharmacol* **484**, 41–8 (2004).
- 21. Gintant, G. An evaluation of hERG current assay performance: Translating preclinical safety studies to clinical QT prolongation. *Pharmacol. Ther.* **129**, 109-19 (2011).
- 22. Redfern, W. S. *et al*. Relationships between preclinical cardiac electrophysiology, clinical QT interval prolongation and torsade de pointes for a broad range of drugs: evidence for a provisional safety margin in drug development. *Cardiovasc. Res.* **58**, 32–45 (2003).
- 23. Crumb, W. J. Jr., Vicente, J., Johannesen, L. & Strauss, D. G. An evaluation of 30 clinical drugs against the comprehensive *in vitro* proarrhythmia assay (CiPA) proposed ion channel panel. *J Pharmacol Toxicol Methods* **81**, 251–62 (2016).
- 24. WHO Malaria Policy Advisory Committee. Te cardiotoxicity of antimalarials. (accessed 30 September 2018). http://www.who.int/ malaria/mpac/mar2017/en/ and [http://www.who.int/entity/malaria/mpac/mpac-mar2017-erg-cardiotoxicity-report-session2.pdf.](http://www.who.int/entity/malaria/mpac/mpac-mar2017-erg-cardiotoxicity-report-session2.pdf) (2017).
- 25. West African Network for Clinical Trials of Antimalarial Drugs. Pyronaridine-artesunate or dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine versus current frst-line therapies for repeated treatment of uncomplicated malaria: a randomised, multicentre, open-label, longitudinal, controlled, phase 3b/4 trial. *Lancet* **391**, 1378–90 (2018).
- 26. Millat-Martinez, P. & Bassat, Q. Reappraising the cardiosafety of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine. *Lancet Infect Dis* **18**, 824–6 (2018).
- 27. Chan, X. H. S. *et al*. Risk of sudden unexplained death afer use of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine for malaria: a systematic review and Bayesian meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* **18**, 913–23 (2018).
- 28. European Medicines Agency. Eurartesim assessment report EMA/739355/2011. (accessed 30 September 2018). [http://www.ema.](http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Public_assessment_report/human/001199/WC500118116.pdf) [europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Public_assessment_report/human/001199/WC500118116.pdf](http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Public_assessment_report/human/001199/WC500118116.pdf).
- 29. Eurartesim summary of product characteristics. (accessed 30 September 2018). [http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_](http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Product_Information/human/001199/WC500118113.pdf) [library/EPAR_-_Product_Information/human/001199/WC500118113.pdf](http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Product_Information/human/001199/WC500118113.pdf).
- 30. Locati, E. In *Non-Invasive Electrocardiography in* Clinical *Practice*. (eds W. Zareba, P. Maison-Blanche, & EH. Locati) 71–96 (Futura Publishing Company, 2001).
- 31. Postema, P. G., De Jong, J. S., Van der Bilt, I. A. & Wilde, A. A. Accurate electrocardiographic assessment of the QT interval: teach the tangent. *Heart Rhythm* **5**, 1015–8 (2008).
- 32. Funck-Brentano, C. & Jaillon, P. Rate-corrected QT interval: techniques and limitations. *Am. J. Cardiol.* **72**, 17B–22B (1993).
- 33. Malik, M. Problems of heart rate correction in assessment of drug-induced QT interval prolongation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* **12**, 411–20 (2001).

Acknowledgements

Tis study was performed at SGS Aster, Paris, France. Te authors thank Paul van Giersbergen (Van Giersbergen Consulting, sponsored by Medicines for Malaria Venture) for editorial assistance.

Author Contributions

C.F.-B., A.B., G.V., S.P., S.T. and M.C. were involved in the study design, preparation and supervision of the study conduct and review of the results. D.U. and S.D. were involved in the study design and review of the results. E.E. was responsible for study conduct. P.V. was responsible for the central reading of the ECGs and M.F. for the statistical analyses. P.V. and M.F. also contributed to data interpretation C.F.-B. was the writer of the fnal version. All authors reviewed and approved the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-37112-6.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-37112-6)

Competing Interests: Tis study was co-funded by Sigma-tau Industrie Farmaceutiche Riunite s.p.a. and the Medicines for Malaria Venture. A.B., G.V., S.P., S.T. are employees of Sigma-tau. M.C. is a former employee of Sigma-tau. S.D. is an employee of the Medicines for Malaria Venture (MMV) and D.U. is a former employee of MMV. P.V. is CEO of Cardiabase and M.F. is an employee of Phinc Development. E.E. was an employee of SGS-Aster, the contract research organization that performed the study. C.F.-B. was a consultant for Sigma-Tau and MMV.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Co O Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

 $© The Author(s) 2019$