

Diversity, biogeography and host specificity of kelp endophytes with a focus on the genera Laminarionema and Laminariocolax (Ectocarpales, Phaeophyceae)

Miriam Bernard, Martina Strittmatter, Pedro Murúa, Svenja Heesch, Ga

Youn Cho, Catherine Leblanc, Akira F Peters

▶ To cite this version:

Miriam Bernard, Martina Strittmatter, Pedro Murúa, Svenja Heesch, Ga Youn Cho, et al.. Diversity, biogeography and host specificity of kelp endophytes with a focus on the genera Laminarionema and Laminariocolax (Ectocarpales, Phaeophyceae). European Journal of Phycology, 2018, 54, pp.39-51. 10.1080/09670262.2018.1502816. hal-02049236

HAL Id: hal-02049236 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-02049236

Submitted on 26 Feb 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





ISSN: 0967-0262 (Print) 1469-4433 (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tejp20

Diversity, biogeography and host specificity of kelp endophytes with a focus on the genera *Laminarionema* and *Laminariocolax* (Ectocarpales, Phaeophyceae)

Miriam S. Bernard, Martina Strittmatter, Pedro Murúa, Svenja Heesch, Ga Youn Cho, Catherine Leblanc & Akira F. Peters

To cite this article: Miriam S. Bernard, Martina Strittmatter, Pedro Murúa, Svenja Heesch, Ga Youn Cho, Catherine Leblanc & Akira F. Peters (2019) Diversity, biogeography and host specificity of kelp endophytes with a focus on the genera *Laminarionema* and *Laminariocolax* (Ectocarpales, Phaeophyceae), European Journal of Phycology, 54:1, 39-51, DOI: 10.1080/09670262.2018.1502816

To link to this article: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/09670262.2018.1502816</u>

9	© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.	+	View supplementary material 🕝
	Published online: 20 Nov 2018.		Submit your article to this journal 🛛
111	Article views: 240	CrossMark	View Crossmark data 🗗
名	Citing articles: 2 View citing articles 🖸		



OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Diversity, biogeography and host specificity of kelp endophytes with a focus on the genera *Laminarionema* and *Laminariocolax* (Ectocarpales, Phaeophyceae)

Miriam S. Bernard \mathbb{D}^a , Martina Strittmatter \mathbb{D}^b , Pedro Murúa $\mathbb{D}^{c,d}$, Svenja Heesch^a, Ga Youn Cho^d, Catherine Leblanc \mathbb{D}^a and Akira F. Peters \mathbb{D}^e

^aSorbonne Université, CNRS, UMR 8227, Integrative Biology of Marine Models, Station Biologique de Roscoff, Roscoff, France; ^bThe Scottish Association for Marine Science, Scottish Marine Institute, Culture Collection for Algae and Protozoa, Oban, Argyll, PA37 1QA, Scotland, UK; ^cAberdeen Oomycete Laboratory, International Centre for Aquaculture Research and Development, University of Aberdeen, Foresterhill, Aberdeen, AB25 2ZD, Scotland, UK; ^dNational Institute of Biological Resources, Incheon 22689, Korea; ^eBezhin Rosko, Santec, France

ABSTRACT

Endophytic filamentous brown algae are known to invade stipes and fronds of kelps with potentially negative effects for the hosts. They have simple filamentous thalli and are difficult to identify based on morphology. We investigated the molecular diversity of 56 endophytes isolated from seven different kelp species from Europe, Chile, Korea and New Zealand by sequencing two unlinked molecular markers (5'COI and ITS1). A majority of 49 of the isolated endophytes (88%) belonged to the genera *Laminarionema* and *Laminariocolax*. The endophyte *Laminarionema elsbetiae* was isolated from *Saccharina latissima* and *S. japonica* tissues in Europe and Korea, respectively, and showed highly similar sequences in both regions. Three different species of the genus *Laminariocolax* were identified, the most common of which was *L. aecidioides*, an endophyte with a worldwide distribution and a broad host range. The other two species, *L. tomentosoides* and a species described here as *Laminariocolax atlanticus* sp. nov., were associated with different kelp species in the northern hemisphere and the North Atlantic, respectively. Our results suggest that specific host-endophyte patterns could exist locally, as found in kelps in Brittany where all endophytes isolated from *S. latissima* were *L. elsbetiae*, all endophytes isolated from *Laminaria digitata* were *Laminariocolax tomentosoides*, and those isolated from *Laminaria hyperborea* were *Laminariocolax atlanticus* and *L. aecidioides*. However, this pattern was not consistent with the results from other places, such as Western Scotland and Helgoland where the same kelp species are present.

ARTICLE HISTORY (Received 9 February 2018; revised 19 April 2018; accepted 4 May 2018)

KEYWORDS 5'COI; barcoding; biogeography; endophytes; host specificity; ITS1; kelps

Introduction

Kelps are essential elements of lower eulittoral and sublittoral zones of rocky shore coastal ecosystems in temperate and northern polar seas (Bartsch et al., 2008). While they serve as a food source or habitats for animals, they also provide a substratum for smaller algae growing on (epiphytes) and inside (endophytes) their thalli (Dayton, 1985; Bartsch et al., 2008). Epiphytes penetrate into the outermost cell layers of the host tissue mainly for mechanical support (Setchell, 1918). Endophytes, on the other hand, may grow entirely within a host and only reproductive structures are formed at the host surface (Peters, 1991). A clear distinction between epi- and endophytes is not always possible because certain species may represent a continuum between an epiphytic and endophytic lifestyle (Peters, 2003; Gauna & Parodi, 2008). Furthermore, most of these associations are facultative, and life stages of such endophytic species may also be found outside their hosts (Peters et al., 2015; Küpper et al., 2016). In this study, we use the term endophyte to describe organisms that possess

the ability to penetrate deeper than the cortex and grow inside an algal host. Infections by filamentous endophytic brown algae have been reported from kelp species worldwide (e.g. Peters, 1991; Kawai & Tokuyama, 1995; Ellertsdóttir & Peters, 1997; Amsler et al., 2009; Gauna et al., 2009a, b), with a prevalence of up to 100% infected individuals within a population (Lein et al., 1991). The presence of endophytes in kelps often coincides with disease symptoms, such as dark spots on fronds, warts or twisting of fronds and stipes (Yoshida & Akiyama, 1979; Apt, 1988; Peters & Schaffelke, 1996; Ellertsdóttir & Peters, 1997; Thomas et al., 2009). However, not all infected hosts show morphological changes (Gauna et al., 2009a; Bernard et al., 2017), and the basic underlying molecular mechanisms of this interaction and the profits or disadvantages for either partner are still unclear.

Endophytes of kelps are in most cases microscopic brown algae with filamentous thalli, diffuse growth and plastids with pyrenoids (Burkhardt & Peters, 1998). Due to their morphologically reduced nature they are included in the Ectocarpales *sensu*

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

CONTACT Miriam S. Bernard 🖾 miriam.bernard@sb-roscoff.fr; Akira F. Peters 🖾 miriam.bernard@sb-roscoff.fr

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way.

lato, but their phylogenetic relationships are not fully explored, and classifications undergo continuous changes. The species Laminariocolax aecidioides (Rosenvinge) A.F.Peters, for instance, was originally classified in the genus Ectocarpus, as E. aecidioides Rosenvinge (1893). Later L. aecidioides was assigned to the genera Phycocelis, Myrionema, Entonema, Gononema and Streblonema, based on different aspects of its morphology (Burkhardt & Peters, 1998). A molecular systematic study finally classified it in the genus Laminariocolax within the Chordariaceae (Burkhardt & Peters, 1998). As the description of filamentous endophytic brown algae based exclusively on morphological characters has turned out to be insufficient, a combination of descriptive data and DNA barcoding emerged as a suitable method to catalogue the diversity and unravel the phylogeny of this group of organisms (Thomas et al., 2009; Peters et al., 2015). However, limited sampling due to the difficulty in isolating these algae from infected hosts has so far prevented a comprehensive revision of the endophyte taxonomy. Furthermore, little is known about their biogeographic distributions and host ranges (see Eggert et al. 2010 for a discussion of these aspects).

In this study, we isolated 56 endophyte strains from seven different kelp species in Europe, Korea, Chile and New Zealand and investigated their molecular diversity using two independent molecular markers, 5'COI and ITS1. The mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I locus (5'COI) was proposed as a universal marker for DNA barcoding of animals by Herbert et al. (2003). It is suitable for species delimitation of various organisms, such as insects (Herbert et al., 2004) and zooplankton (Bucklin et al., 2010), but also red algae (Saunders, 2005; Le Gall & Saunders, 2010) and several brown algal groups, such as Fucus (Kucera & Saunders, 2008), Laminariaceae (McDevit & Saunders, 2010), Sargassum (Mattio & Payri, 2010), Desmarestia (Yang et al., 2014) and Ectocarpales (Peters et al., 2015; Montecinos et al., 2017). The internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1) is a nuclear marker, separating the 18S and 5.8S subunits of the rDNA. While the 18S subunit is commonly used as a nuclear marker to roughly classify microbial eukaryotes (e.g. Tragin et al., 2016), it is not sufficiently variable to distinguish between different species of brown algae (Saunders & Kraft, 1995). The ITS1 region, evolving much faster than the adjacent subunit regions of the rDNA (Baldwin, 1992; Goff et al., 1994), has therefore been established as a common nuclear marker to distinguish closely related species in the Phaeophyceae (Burkhardt & Peters, 1998; Kucera & Saunders, 2008; Kogame et al., 2015; Montecinos et al., 2017).

The aims of this paper were to study the molecular phylogeny of the isolated endophytes and to compare their biogeographic distribution ranges based on published and new molecular data as well as on morphological records. Our data also allowed inferences on specific host-endophyte relationships.

Materials and methods

Sampling and isolation of endophytes

Endophytes were isolated from kelp tissue as described by Peters & Ellertsdóttir (1996), with most strains deriving from tissue showing obvious morphological alterations, such as dark spots, warts or twists in the kelp fronds and stipes (Eggert et al., 2010). The kelps were usually collected in situ during low tide, and one endophyte strain was isolated per host individual. In total, 56 clonal endophyte strains were included in the study (Supplementary table S1). They were isolated from seven different kelp species: Laminaria digitata (Hudson) J.V.Lamouroux, L. hyperborea (Gunnerus) Foslie (Brittany, Helgoland), Saccharina latissima (L.) C.E.Lane et al. (Brittany, German Baltic and North Sea, Scotland and England), Saccharina japonica (Areschoug) C.E.Lane et al. (Korea), Saccharina nigripes (J.Agardh) Lontin & G.W.Saunders (Svalbard), Lessonia berteroana Montagne (Chile) and Macrocystis pyrifera (L.) C. Agardh (New Zealand). Furthermore, a filamentous brown alga (BI-041) isolated from incubated abiotic substratum from Baffin Island in the Canadian Arctic (Küpper et al., 2016) has been added to the present study. The endophytes from temperate regions were cultivated at 14°C and Arctic isolates at 4°C, with monthly changes of the culture medium (halfstrength Provasoli enrichment, Coelho et al., 2012). Light was supplied at 5 μ mol photons s⁻¹ m⁻² for 12 h per day.

DNA extraction, barcode markers, amplification and sequencing

Algal material from actively growing cultures was freeze-dried and ground in a mechanical bead grinder (Tissuelyser II, Qiagen, Germany) twice for 2 min at 30 Hz. DNA was extracted using the Nucleospin Plant II kit (Macherey-Nagel, Germany). The mitochondrial marker (5'COI, primers GazF2 and GazR2, Lane *et al.*, 2007) was PCR-amplified in all samples. Additionally, the nuclear ribosomal marker (ITS1, primers AFP4L and 5.8S1R, Peters & Burkhardt, 1998) was amplified in representative isolates (at least one isolate from each locality). The total PCR reaction volume consisted of 20 µl, containing 3 mM MgCl₂, 5× Green GoTaq Flexi buffer (Promega, USA), 1 µl template DNA, primers at 400 nM, 0.2mM dNTP each and 1 unit of GoTaq Flexi Polymerase (Promega, USA). An initial 4 min denaturation step at 95°C was followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 55°C and 1 min at 72°C and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. PCR products were commercially Sanger sequenced using the primers mentioned above and each resulting chromatogram was checked for quality by eye.

Data analysis

The 5'COI sequences were edited in MEGA7 (Kumar *et al.*, 2016) and aligned by MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004). Consensus sequences were compared to published data by NCBI BLAST searches (Altschul *et al.*, 1990), and close matches (>97% identity) were included in the phylogenetic analyses (Supplementary table S2). The kelp species *Laminaria digitata*, *L. hyperborea* and *S. latissima* were used as the outgroup. ITS1 sequences were too divergent for common alignment and were therefore aligned separately for *Laminarionema* and *Laminariocolax*.

5'COI and ITS1 sequences were analysed using the same methods. Maximum likelihood analysis (1000 bootstraps, General Time Reversing Model GTR; henceforth ML) was performed with MEGA7. Bayesian analysis (BI) was performed with Beast 2 (Bouckaert et al., 2014) using the HKY substitution model, default settings for temperature and branchswapping, 8 million generations and samplings of every 1000 generations. The first 10% of obtained trees were discarded as burn-in. Trees were edited in TreeGraph 2 (Stöver & Müller, 2010). Kimura twoparameter distances (Kimura, 1980, henceforth K2P) between and within the resulting clades were calculated in MEGA7. The gap between intraspecific diversity and interspecific diversity for 5'COI sequences of the genus Laminariocolax was determined with the web version of Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery (ABGD, Puillandre et al., 2012) using the Jukes-Cantor model with a relative gap width of 1.5 and 10 steps. Prior maximum divergence of intraspecific diversity was set between 0.001 and 0.012. All sequences were submitted to GenBank with the accession numbers MG770493-MG770548 for 5'COI sequences and MG781159-MG781176 for ITS1 sequences (Supplementary table S1).

Distribution maps were constructed in R using the packages mapdata, maps and mapproj (R Development Core Team, 2013) based on genetic sequences of endophytes isolated in this study, sequences available in public databases and morphological records obtained from Algaebase (Guiry & Guiry, 2017) and published articles.

Results

Molecular systematics

For molecular analyses of the 5'COI region, we constructed an alignment of 77 sequences (Fig. 1), which included 21 reference sequences obtained from public databases and 56 newly determined sequences. The length of the 5'COI alignment used for the phylogenetic analysis was 591 bp. All isolated strains were members of the Ectocarpales. The topology of the 5'COI tree was independent of the phylogenetic reconstruction method used (PhyML or BI). For molecular analyses of the ITS1 region of the genus Laminarionema, we used an alignment of six sequences, which included a reference sequence obtained from public databases and five newly determined sequences; the aligned sequences had a length of 278 bp (tree not shown because all sequences were highly similar). For molecular analyses of the ITS1 region of the genus Laminariocolax, we used an alignment of 23 sequences (Fig. 2), which included 10 reference sequences obtained from public databases and 13 newly determined sequences. Due to several indels in the alignment, the length of ITS1 and the flanking subunit sequences ranged from 323 to 839 bp. The topology of the Laminariocolax ITS1 tree was independent of the method used (PhyML or BI). The choice of setting had a minor impact on the bootstrap/posterior probabilities values, but not on the general topology of the tree. Overall, the phylogenetic analyses of the endophyte strains with the two different markers supported the same clades.

Forty-nine of the isolated endophytes (88%) belonged to the genera *Laminarionema* and *Laminariocolax*. Furthermore, seven epi-endophytic species were isolated (Fig. 1), comprising a so far unidentified member of Chordariaceae, a strain of *Hecatonema maculans* (Collins) Sauvageau, two isolates of *Hincksia hincksiae* (Harvey) P.C.Silva, an unidentified member of Acinetosporaceae, and two isolates of *Ectocarpus fasciculatus* Harvey. In the following, the focus will be on the endophytic genera *Laminarionema* and *Laminariocolax*.

The genus *Laminarionema* consisted of a single species, i.e. *L. elsbetiae* H.Kawai & Tokuyama. Analysis of 5'COI (Fig. 1) did not show any intraspecific variability, whereas ITS1 sequences showed a low intraspecific variability of 0.6% (Table 1).

The genus *Laminariocolax* consisted of three clades, which were supported statistically by high bootstrap and posterior probability values (Figs 1, 2). Three congruent primary partitions were obtained by ABGD analysis of the 5'COI sequences for prior distances ranging from 0.001 to 0.091 (Supplementary fig. S1). Higher prior distances resulted in one partition only (Supplementary fig.



Fig. 1. Phylogenetic tree of 5'COI sequences. Values at nodes indicate bootstrap support obtained by ML/BI analysis. Bootstrap supports >95 in both analyses are indicated by a thicker line. Reference sequences from public databases are printed in italics and using the identities given in the original publications. ITS1 sequences are available for specimens shown in bold. The colours and letters behind the strain names indicate the geographic origin and host species, respectively. Origins: black = South Africa; orange = Chile; pink = New Zealand; light blue = Arctic; grey = Canadian Pacific coast; dark blue = Brittany; red = Helgoland; green = UK; brown = Kiel, western Baltic; yellow = Korea. Hosts: a = *Ecklonia maxima*; b = *Macrocystis pyrifera*; c = *Saccharina sessilis*; d = *Lessonia berteroana*; e = *Laminaria hyperborea*; f = *Saccharina latissima*; g = *Costaria costata*; h = *Saccharina nigripes*; i= *Laminaria digitata*; j = *Saccharina japonica*; * = grown from incubated substratum.



Fig. 2. Phylogenetic tree of ITS1 sequences from strains of the genus *Laminariocolax*. Values at nodes indicate bootstrap support obtained by ML/BI analysis. Bootstrap supports >95 in both analyses are indicated by a thicker line. Reference sequences from public databases are printed in italics and using the identities given in the original publications. Specimens printed in bold are also presented in the 5'COI tree (Fig. 1). The colours and letters behind the strain names indicate the geographic origin and host species, respectively. Origins: black = South Africa; orange = Chile; purple = Antarctica; light blue = Arctic; pink = New Zealand; green = UK; red = Helgoland; dark blue = Brittany; brown = Kiel, western Baltic; white = US Atlantic coast. Hosts: a = *Ecklonia maxima*; b = *Macrocystis pyrifera*; d = *Lessonia berteroana*; e = *Laminaria hyperborea*; f = *Saccharina latissima*; h = *Saccharina nigripes*; i= *L. digitata*; k = *Himantothallus grandifolius*; m= *Lessonia nigrescens*; * = grown from incubated substratum.

S1). The first clade - L. aecidioides - clustered together with published sequences of L. aecidioides, L. eckloniae A.F.Peters and L. macrocystis (A.F.Peters) A.F.Peters. The second group did not have any matches in public databases for 5'COI sequences (Fig. 1). However, it formed a clade with four published sequences labelled as L. aecidioides in the ITS1 analysis (Fig. 2). The third clade represented L. tomentosoides (Farlow) Kylin. Interspecific K2P pairwise genetic differences of Laminariocolax ranged from 1.4 to 3% for 5'COI and from 2.6 to 5.8% for ITS1 (Table 2). Intraspecific K2P pairwise significant differences were 0 to 0.8% in the 5'COI analysis and 0 to 1.1% in the ITS1 analysis. They were higher within the L. aecidioides clade than in the other clades of the genus Laminariocolax (Table 1).

Hosts and geographic origin of the isolated strains

Laminarionema elsbetiae was isolated from tissue of *S. latissima* in Scotland, France and Helgoland and from *S. japonica* in Korea (Fig. 4). A putative distribution along the northern hemisphere Atlantic and Pacific coasts is suggested based on molecular records (Fig. 3A).

Laminariocolax aecidioides showed the broadest host range of all endophytes included in this study. It was isolated from *Macrocystis pyrifera*, *Lessonia* berteroana, Laminaria hyperborea, S. latissima and S. nigripes (Figs 1, 2). Furthermore, it has been cultivated from incubated abiotic substratum (isolate BI-041). In this study, *L. aecidioides* was found in Brittany, Helgoland, Scotland, Svalbard, Baffin Island, New Zealand and Chile (Fig. 3B). Published sequences and records of the species based on morphological identification suggest a worldwide distribution in temperate to polar regions.

The second clade of *Laminariocolax* was isolated from *Laminaria hyperborea* and *S. latissima* (Figs 1, 2) in Brittany, Scotland and Kiel. Additionally, ITS1 sequences of strains isolated from *L. hyperborea* in Helgoland and from *L. digitata* in Maine (Fig. 2) are available in public databases, suggesting a distribution of this species in kelp populations along American and European North Atlantic coasts (Fig. 3D).

Laminariocolax tomentosoides was isolated from Laminaria digitata, L. hyperborea and S. latissima (Figs 1, 2) in Brittany, Helgoland and Scotland. Published sequences and records based on

Table 1. Kimura two-parameter genetic distances for pairwise comparisons within 5'COI and ITS1 sequences in $\% \pm$ SE for *Laminariocolax aecidioides*, *L. atlanticus*, *L. tomentosoides* and *L. elsbetiae*.

	d ± SE (5'COI)	d ± SE (ITS1)
Laminariocolax aecidioides	0.8 ± 0.01	1.1 ± 0.12
Laminariocolax tomentosoides	0	0
Laminariocolax atlanticus	0	0.3 ± 0.05
Laminarionema elsbetiae	0	0.6 ± 0.18

Table 2. Kimura two-parameter genetic distances for pairwise comparisons between 5'COI (below diagonal) and ITS1 (above diagonal) sequences in $\% \pm SE$ for *Laminariocolax* species.

	L. aecidioides	L. tomentosoides	L. atlanticus
L. aecidioides	-	5.3 ± 0.15	5.8 ± 0.09
L. tomentosoides	3 ± 0.02	-	2.6 ± 0.05
L. atlanticus	1.8 ± 0.03	1.4 ± 0	-

morphological identification suggest a distribution of this species along northern hemisphere Atlantic and Pacific shores (Fig. 3C).

Based on these results we describe the second clade of *Laminariocolax* as a new species. Its distinction from the sister species (Figs 5, 6) is shown in Table 3.

Laminariocolax atlanticus M.S. Bernard, Strittmatter & A.F. Peters, sp. nov

DIAGNOSIS AND DESCRIPTION: Microscopic filamentous thallus, branched, endophytic in the sporophytes of *Laminaria hyperborea*, *L. digitata* and *Saccharina latissima* on North Atlantic coasts, recognized macroscopically as dark spots on the host. Phaeophycean hairs sticking out from host surface. Plurilocular sporangia in groups on the host surface (Fig. 5), $30-33 \mu m$ long (7–8 loculi), 7–9 μm in diameter (values from measurements in field material used for isolation of the authentic strain). Plurilocular sporangia similar in unialgal culture (Fig. 6). Unilocular sporangia not seen. Vegetative cells $10-20 \mu m$ long with several discoid or shortband-shaped plastids (Fig. 7).

HOLOTYPE: Kiel (western Baltic, Germany); coll. A. F. Peters, 23/11/1992; fixed material of cultivated authentic strain; deposited in the Natural History Museum, Paris, France (MNHN_PC_PC0786150).

ISOTYPE: Deposited in the Natural History Museum, Paris, France (MNHN_PC_PC0786151) and the Natural History Museum, London, UK (BM000701859).

AUTHENTIC STRAIN: CCAP 1322/3.

TYPE LOCALITY: Isolated on 23/11/1992 from plurilocular endophyte infesting a sorus of *Saccharina latissima* collected in Kiel (western Baltic, Germany).

ETYMOLOGY: The name refers to the putative distribution of the species along (North) Atlantic coasts. HABITAT: Marine, endophytic in kelps, so far isolated from *L. hyperborea*, *L. digitata*, *S. latissima*.

REPRESENTATIVE BARCODES: MG770512 (5'COI) and MG781174 (ITS1).

Discussion

Molecular phylogeny of kelp endophytes

In this study we performed a broad sampling of kelp endophytes, isolation into clonal cultures and identification of the strains by means of DNA barcoding. All isolated endophytes were brown algae belonging to the Ectocarpales and 88% to the endophyte genera *Laminarionema* and *Laminariocolax*. The phylogenetic trees obtained using 5'COI and ITS1 sequences were in concordance, and the resolution of the markers was sufficiently variable to distinguish different clades within the genus *Laminariocolax*.

Laminarionema was monospecific with no genetic variability in the 5'COI sequences and low variability in ITS1 sequences despite its geographic separation on Atlantic and Pacific coasts. This raises the question whether the endophyte has been exchanged between the two oceans only recently. While ITS sequences have been used to follow the dispersal of other algal species, such as the invasive green alga Caulerpa taxifolia (M.Vahl) C.Agardh (Jousson et al., 1998; Schaffelke et al., 2002), the ITS1 data of Laminarionema obtained in our study are not sufficiently variable to distinguish European and Asian populations. Additional sampling and more sensitive markers are necessary to further investigate this question. Our isolates represent the first records of this species in Great Britain, France and Korea. Previously, it was only known from the type locality in Northern Japan (Kawai & Tokuyama, 1995) and from Helgoland, North Sea, Germany (Peters & Ellertsdóttir, 1996). We became aware of L. elsbetiae in natural populations of European and Korean Saccharina because it was associated with twisting of stipes (Fig. 4). The symptoms were similar but usually less dramatic than those seen previously in S. latissima at Helgoland (Peters & Ellertsdóttir, 1996). In S. japonica, symptoms like the ones we saw in Korea had not been observed from infected hosts in northern Japan (Kawai & Tokuyama, 1995). They were similar to symptoms referred to as 'twisted-frond disease' in cultivated S. japonica in China (Wu et al., 1983). However, Wu et al. (1983) detected a mycoplasma-like organism in sections of diseased tissue and regarded it as a probable causative agent. In Brittany, the presence of L. elsbetiae in S. latissima often does not cause any obvious morphological changes (Bernard et al., 2017).

There is a surprising morphological record of *L*. elsbetiae infecting *Rhodymenia pseudopalmata* (J.V.



Fig. 3. Biogeographic distribution maps of A. Laminarionema elsbetiae, B. Laminariocolax aecidioides, C. Laminariocolax atlanticus, D. Laminariocolax tomentosoides. Black circles indicate records based on sequence data (Supplementary table S2), red diamonds indicate records based on morphological records (Supplementary tables S3–S5), black asterisks indicate the type localities.



Figs 4–7. Fig. 4. *Saccharina japonica* sporophytes from Padori Beach, Taean, Chungnam Province, Korea. The left individual presents symptoms of putative infection by *Laminarionema elsbetiae*, which we isolated from similar individuals: twisted lower part of frond (arrow). The right individual has a regular morphology. **Fig. 5**. Plurilocular sporangia of *Laminariocolax atlanticus* sp. nov. on the surface of a field sample of *Saccharina latissima* from Kiel, Germany (transverse section). Large cells in lower part of the micrograph belong to the host. **Fig. 6**. Authentic strain of *L. atlanticus* in culture. h = phaeophycean hair, p = plurilocular sporangium, e = empty plurilocular sporangium (also published in Eggert *et al.* 2010). **Fig. 7**. Authentic strain of *L. atlanticus* in culture. Vegetative cells.

Lamouroux) P.C.Silva from Argentina (Gauna *et al.*, 2009*b*). *L. elsbetiae* has characteristic large zoospores (Kawai & Tokuyama, 1995; Peters & Ellertsdóttir, 1996) and was therefore clearly recognized by Gauna *et al.* (2009*b*). It is possible that the species has been introduced to Argentina with macroalgae of

North-East Asian origin such as *Undaria pinnatifida*. Re-isolation and sequence data are nevertheless required to confirm the identity of this endophyte, especially since it represents the first record of *L*. *elsbetiae* from a red algal host and from the southern hemisphere.

	Laminariocolax aecidioides	Laminariocolax atlanticus	Laminariocolax tomentosoides
Macroscopic appearance	Dark spots ^a , galls ^b	Dark spots	Dark areas, distorted phylloids, twisted cauloids ^a , felt-like cover on the host ^k
Thallus organisation	Sporophyte: microscopic uniseriate branched endophytic filaments; gametophyte: epiphytic uniseriate filaments up to 200 µm in length ^c	Microscopic uniseriate branched filaments	Microscopic, uniseriate branched endophytic filaments and epiphytic uniseriate filaments up to 1 cm in length ^k
Hairs	Present ^c	Present	Absent ^l
Plastids	Several (2–10), discoid or band-shaped, with pyrenoids ^c	Several, discoid or band- shaped, with pyrenoids	Small number (usually 2), irregularly band-shaped, with pyrenoids ¹
Plurilocular sporangia	Uniseriate (both on sporophyte and gametophyte) ^c	Uniseriate	Uniseriate ^l
Unilocular sporangia	Solitary, ovoid ^c	Not observed	Not observed ¹
Life history	Diploid-haploid; also, direct replication of both generations by means of spores from plurilocular sporangia or parthenogenesis of gametes of both sexes ^c	Direct	Direct ¹
Hosts	Kelps: Costaria costata ^d , Ecklonia maxima ^e , Laminaria hyperborea, L. digitata, Lessonia berteroana, L. nigrescens, M. pyrifera ^c , Saccharina latissima, S. nigripes, S. sessilis ^{f*} , Undaria pinnatifida ^g Other brown algae: Fucus vesiculosus ^{h*} , Himantothallus grandifolius ⁱ , Saccorhiza polyschides ^{i*}	Kelps: L. hyperborea, L. digitata ¹ , S. latissima	Kelps: Alaria esculenta ^{1*} , L. digitata, L. hyperborea, S. latissima Other brown algae: S. polyschides ^{1*} , Himanthalia elongata ^{m*} Red algae: Palmaria palmata ^{1*} , Grateloupia turuturu ^{n*}
Geographic distribution	Worldwide temperate to polar	Temperate North Atlantic	Northern hemisphere temperate to polar, Atlantic and Pacific

Table 3. Comparison of Laminariocolax tomentosoides and L. aecidioides with the new species L. atlanticus.

*: Information based exclusively on morphological records. (a) Ellertsdóttir & Peters (1997); (b) Apt (1988); (c) Peters (1991); (d) host information by G. W. Saunders, pers. communication; (e) Burkhardt & Peters (1998); (f) Setchell & Gardner (1922); (g) Yoshida & Akiyama (1979); (h) Nielsen & Gunnarsson (2001); (i) Peters (2003); (j) Dixon (1961); (k) Kornmann & Sahling (1977); (l) Russell (1964); (m) Cotton (1912); (n) Villalard-Bohnsack & Harlin (2001).

Our Laminariocolax isolates belonged to three different species, the distinction of which was supported by high bootstrap and posterior probability values and congruent with the primary partitions obtained by the ABGD analysis (Supplementary fig. S1). The interspecific K2P pairwise genetic difference between 5'COI sequences of L. tomentosoides and L. atlanticus sp. nov. (1.4%) is lower than the general species-level cutoff of 1.8% proposed by Peters et al. (2015) for Ectocarpales. However, the value of 1.8% must not be regarded as a strict criterion. We think it is required and justified to describe L. atlanticus sp. nov. as a separate species because intraspecific variability is absent in the clades of L. tomentosoides and L. atlanticus for 5'COI and negligible for ITS1. The small genetic distance between L. tomentosoides and L. atlanticus sp. nov. suggests that they may have diverged recently, possibly in North Atlantic waterswhere their assumed distribution ranges overlap. However, geographically extended sampling is necessary to further support this hypothesis.

Species delimitation in Laminariocolax

The data obtained in this study support the proposition of Peters *et al.* (2015) to include the previously described species *L. eckloniae* and *L. macrocystis* in L. aecidioides. The original description of these taxa as distinct species was based on the occurrence of large indels in ITS1 sequences, geographic separation and occurrence in different hosts (Burkhardt & Peters, 1998). However, the importance of indels as phylogenetic markers can easily be overestimated, leading to incorrect conclusions (Babteste & Philippe, 2002). In fact, indels in L. aecidioides affect mainly the first part of the ITS1 region, which shows extremely high variability in Ectocarpales (e.g. Montecinos et al., 2017). As L. aecidioides was originally described from Greenland (Rosenvinge, 1893), we decided that the name L. aecidioides should be applied to the clade that includes Arctic isolates. Logistic constraints inhibited us from recollecting at the type locality, but the isolates from similar habitats at Svalbard and Baffin Island were used to molecularly define L. aecidioides. In our study, L. aecidioides was isolated from Laminaria, S. latissima and M. pyrifera, but it is known to infect a broader range of kelps, including Costaria costata (C.Agardh) De A.Saunders (host information by G. W. Saunders, pers. communication), Ecklonia maxima (Osbeck) Papenfuss (Burkhardt & Peters, 1998), Saccharina sessilis (C.Agardh) Kuntze (Setchell & Gardner, 1922) and Undaria pinnatifida (Harvey)

Suringar (Yoshida & Akiyama, 1979). Additionally, it was found on other brown algal hosts such as Fucus vesiculosus L. (Nielsen & Gunnarsson, 2001), Himantothallus grandifolius (A.Gepp & E.S.Gepp) Zinova (Desmarestiales, Peters, 2003) and Saccorhiza polyschides (Lightfoot) Batters (Tilopteridales, Dixon, 1961). L. aecidioides has previously also been isolated from abiotic substratum at sites where potential hosts were present (Supplementary table S2; Peters et al., 2015; Küpper et al., 2016). It is found in temperate to polar regions worldwide, and the adaptation to different hosts and geographic regions could be a possible explanation for the higher intraspecific divergence within this species (Ramel, 1998). Additionally, L. aecidioides is the only species in the genus in which unilocular sporangia (the possible site of meiosis in brown algae) have been described (Rosenvinge, 1893, in the type) and sexuality has actually been observed (Peters, 1991), which could be another reason for the larger intraspecific genetic variability (Bengtsson, 2003).

The newly described species L. atlanticus sp. nov. did not return any matches in public databases for the 5'COI sequences, but formed a clade with four ITS1 sequences previously identified as L. aecidioides (Peters, 2003). The new species has so far been isolated from S. latissima, L. hyperborea and L. digitata in the North Atlantic. While it is morphologically similar to the sporophyte of Laminariocolax aecidioides (Table 3), no unilocular sporangia, which are known to be present in L. aecidioides (Rosenvinge, 1893; Peters, 1991; Burkhardt & Peters, 1998), have been observed in field material or any of the L. atlanticus sp. nov. isolates. The new species is morphologically distinct from L. tomentosoides in having generally more plastids per cell and lacking epiphytic assimilatory filaments. It possesses phaeophycean hairs, which have not been reported in L. tomentosoides (Table 3; Russell, 1964; Kornmann & Sahling, 1977). However, the presence of phaeophycean hairs may depend on environmental conditions (Pedersen 1984), making them a less reliable classification criterion.

L. tomentosoides was first described as Ectocarpus tomentosoides by Farlow (1889) infecting Laminaria species in Massachusetts (USA, see asterisk in Fig. 3B). It is the only Laminariocolax species that has been found not only in brown algal hosts, but also in the red algae Palmaria palmata (L.) F.Weber & D.Mohr (Russell, 1964) and Grateloupia turuturu Yamada (Villalard-Bohnsack & Harlin, 2001), based on morphological records. Published sequences and our new molecular data confirm the presence of L. tomentosoides in the North Atlantic. However, there are several morphological records of L. tomentosoides infecting Pacific kelps (Lee, 1980; Lindstrom, 2006; Liu, 2008; Klochkova et al., 2009), and a molecular characterization of Pacific strains is necessary to clarify its actual distribution range.

The morphological species concept has dominated algal systematics for decades but numerous cases of cryptic (= morphologically indistinguishable) species have been revealed by the use of molecular data (De Clerck et al., 2013; Peters et al., 2015, Montecinos et al., 2017). Consequently, species delimitation based on morphological data can lead to an underestimation of diversity, especially in organisms with a low morphological complexity, such as endophytic brown algae. L. aecidioides, L. atlanticus sp. nov. and L. tomentosoides were observed sympatrically, with their distribution ranges overlapping on the European Atlantic coast. Although slight morphological and ecological differences between the Laminariocolax species exist, our study stresses the importance of molecular barcoding or related methods (e.g. Bernard et al., 2017) for reliable species identification in endophytic brown algae.

In addition to the three species of *Laminariocolax* included in this study, *L. draparnaldioides* (Noda, 1971) has been recorded from Japan (Noda, 1971; Yoshida *et al.*, 1990), the Russian Far East (Perestenko, 1980), and China (Liu, 2008). It was found as an epiphyte on *Stephanocystis hakodatensis* (Yendo) Draisma *et al.*, a member of the Fucales. Re-isolation and molecular data are required to confirm its belonging to this genus.

Variability of host specificity

Host ranges of the endophyte species differed across localities. The strains isolated from kelps in Brittany showed a clear host specificity: all endophytes isolated from Saccharina latissima in Brittany were identified as Laminarionema elsbetiae, all endophytes isolated from Laminaria digitata were identified as Laminariocolax tomentosoides, and the two endophytic species L. atlanticus sp. nov. and L. aecidioides were isolated from Laminaria hyperborea. However, this pattern was not consistent with the results from other localities, where the same kelp species are present. According to Ellertsdóttir & Peters (1997), both Laminariocolax tomentosoides and L. aecidioides were isolated from Laminaria hyperborea at Helgoland. In Scotland, all three species of Laminariocolax as well as Laminarionema elsbetiae were isolated from S. latissima; none of these endophyte species had been described from the Scottish sampling site before.

Electron microscopic observations by Heesch & Peters (1999) showed that *L. elsbetiae* and *Laminariocolax atlanticus* (described as *L. aeci-dioides*) infect their hosts by penetration of the host cell wall, suggesting an enzymatic dissolution. However, the underlying molecular mechanism of the infection and kelp responses are still unclear.

Differences in the cell wall composition of the host species, for instance in the content of celluloses, hemicelluloses and alginates (Siegel & Siegel, 1973), could play an important role in defining specific hostendophyte relationships.

The strains isolated in this study hardly represent the diversity of all endophytic taxa as there was a sampling bias towards species that coincide with morphological changes. However, not all hosts infected with endophytes show morphological changes (Gauna et al., 2009a; Bernard et al., 2017). More complete sampling campaigns, including a broader range of kelp hosts, disease symptom-free hosts, additional sampling sites and advanced identification techniques avoiding timeconsuming isolation and cultivation of endophytes (Bernard et al., 2017) are necessary to further investigate specificity in host-endophyte interactions. Moreover, Laminariales are known to induce specific defence reactions towards biotic attacks, such as oxidative bursts (Küpper et al., 2002) or transcriptional reprogramming (Cosse et al., 2009). Physiological and co-cultivation studies are essential to further investigate the ability of endophyte species to infect different hosts to finally obtain a comprehensive knowledge of this interaction.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Inka Bartsch for sampling material of Saccharina nigripes from Svalbard, Margot Tragin for providing the basic R script for the creation of the distribution maps, Hiroshi Kawai for sharing the publication by Noda (1971) and Gary Saunders for providing additional information on samples from Canada. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 642575 and by the project IDEALG (France: ANR-10-BTBR-04). MS acknowledges support from the Genomia Fund (project HERDIR). PM was funded by Conicyt (Becas Chile No. 72130422) for PhD studies at the University of Aberdeen, and by the NERC IOF Pump priming (scheme NE/ L013223/1) for activities at the Scottish Association for Marine Sciences. SH acknowledges scholarships from the German Academical Exchange Service DAAD and the University of Otago. GYC was supported by the Ministry of Environment of Korea (NIBR201501118).

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Supplementary information

The following supplementary material is accessible via the Supplementary Content tab on the article's online page at http://doi.org/10.1080/09670262.2018.1502816 **Supplementary table S1**: Collecting information. ¹: representative strain of *L. atlanticus*, original name SaecKi92-5. Culture was also used in Burkhardt & Peters (1998, table 1, strain 1) and Heesch & Peters (1999) as *L. aecidioides*. ²: sequence has been updated. *: strain isolated from incubated abiotic substratum.

Supplementary table S2: Sequences obtained from public databases.

Supplementary table S3: *L. elsbetiae* records used to build Fig. 3A.

Supplementary table S4: L. aecidioides records used to build Fig. 3B.

Supplementary table S5: *L. tomentosoides* records used to build Fig. 3D.

Supplementary fig. S1: Results of the automatic barcode gap discovery (ABGD) showing the initial primary partitions (i.e. number of groups) for a range of prior maximum divergence of intraspecific diversity. Partition 1 (N = 16): LM994983.1, LM994982.1, EndoMpyrNZ98-01, BI-041, LT546270.1, LT546273.1, ABMMC12605-10.COI-5P, Laminariocolax aecidioides Lx CCE, EndoLhypBLZ16-07, EndoLhypH93-01, EndoSlatScot15-02-01, MACRO1242-09.COI-5P, LM99 5048.1, LT546265.1, EndoSnig16-02, EndoSnig16-03

Partition 2 (N = 13): EndoLhypBLZ15-01, EndoLh ypBLZ15-02, EndoLhypBLZ16-01, EndoLhypBLZ16-02, EndoLhypBLZ16-03, EndoLhypLMK16-01, EndoSlat Scot14-01a, EndoSlatScot14-05, EndoSlatScot14-06, Endo SlatScot14-07, EndoSlatScot14-09, EndoSlatKi92-01, Endo SlatScot15-02-05 Partition 3 (N = 11): LM994980.1, LM9 94981.1, EndoLdigBLZ16-01, EndoLdigBLZ16-02, Endo LdigBLZ16-03, EndoLdigBLZ16-04, EndoLdigBLZ16-06, EndoLhypH93-02, EndoLhypH95-01, EndoSlatScot07-1, Endo SlatScot15-02-03.

Author contributions

Miriam S. Bernard: original concept, collection of field samples and isolation of strains, generation of morphological and molecular data, data analysis, writing of manuscript. M. Strittmatter: collection of field samples and isolation of strains, generation of molecular data, manuscript editing. P. Murúa: collection of field samples and isolation of strains, generation of molecular data, manuscript editing. S. Heesch: collection of field samples and isolation of strains, generation of molecular data, manuscript editing. G. Heesch: collection of field samples and isolation of strains, generation of molecular data, manuscript editing. G. Youn Cho: sample collection, manuscript editing. C. Leblanc: original concept, manuscript editing. A. F. Peters: original concept, collection of samples and isolation of strains, generation of morphological data, data analysis, manuscript editing.

ORCID

Miriam S. Bernard D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7253-2933

Martina Strittmatter D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1258-9751

Pedro Murúa (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1598-7261 Catherine Leblanc (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7149-0332 Akira F. Peters (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5332-199X

References

Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E.W. & Lipman, D.J. (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 215: 403–410.

Amsler, C.D., Amsler, M.O., McClintock, J.B. & Baker, B.J. (2009). Filamentous algal endophytes in macrophytic Antarctic algae: prevalence in hosts and palatability to mesoherbivores. *Phycologia*, **48**: 324–334.

- Apt, K.E. (1988). Etiology and development of hyperplasia induced by *Streblonema* sp. (Phaeophyta) on members of the Laminariales (Phaeophyta). *Journal of Phycology*, 24: 28–34.
- Babteste, E. & Philippe, H. (2002). The potential value of indels as phylogenetic markers: position of trichomonads as a case study. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 19: 972–977.
- Baldwin, B.G. (1992). Phylogenetic utility of the internal transcribed spacers of nuclear ribosomal DNA in plants: an example from the Compositae. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, **1**: 3–16.
- Bartsch, I., Wiencke, C., Bischof, K., Buchholz, C.M., Buck, B.H., Eggert, A., Feuerpfeil, P., Hanelt, D., Jacobsen, S., Karez, R., Karsten, U., Molis, M., Roleda, M.Y., Schubert, H., Schumann, R., Valentin, K., Weinberger, F. & Wiese, J. (2008). The genus *Laminaria sensu lato*: recent insights and developments. *European Journal of Phycology*, 43: 1–86.
- Bengtsson, B. (2003). Genetic variation in organisms with sexual and asexual reproduction. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 16: 189–199.
- Bernard, M., Rousvoal, S., Jacquemin, B., Ballenghien, M., Peters, A.F. & Leblanc, C. (2017). qPCR-based relative quantification of the brown algal endophyte *Laminarionema elsbetiae* in *Saccharina latissima*: variation and dynamics of host-endophyte interactions. *Journal of Applied Phycology*. doi: 10.1007/s10811-017-1367-0.
- Bouckaert, R., Heled, J., Kühnert, D., Vaughan, T., Wu, C.-H., Xie, D., Suchard, M.A., Rambaut, A. & Drummond, A.J. (2014). BEAST 2: A Software Platform for Bayesian Evolutionary Analysis. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 10: e1003537.
- Bucklin, A., Hopcroft, R.R., Kosobokova, K.N., Nigro, L. M., Ortman, B.D., Jennings, R.M. & Sweetman, C.J. (2010). DNA barcoding of Arctic Ocean holozooplankton for species identification and recognition. *Deep-Sea Research Part II*, **57**: 40–48.
- Burkhardt, E. & Peters, A.F. (1998). Molecular evidence from nrDNA ITS sequences that *Laminariocolax* (Phaeophyceae, Ectocarpales *sensu lato*) is a worldwide clade of closely related kelp endophytes. *Journal of Phycology*, **34**: 682–691.
- Coelho, S.M., Scornet, D., Rousvoal, S., Peters, N., Dartevelle, L., Peters, A.F. & Cock, J.M. (2012). How to cultivate *Ectocarpus. Cold Spring Harbor Protocols*, 2012: 258–261.
- Cosse, A., Potin, P. & Leblanc, C. (2009). Patterns of gene expression induced by oligoguluronates reveal conserved and environment-specific molecular defense responses in the brown alga *Laminaria digitata*. New Phytologist, 182: 239–250.
- Cotton, A.D. (1912). Clare Island Survey. Marine algae. *Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy 31B*, **15**: 1–178.
- Dayton, P.K. (1985). Ecology of kelp communities. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 16: 215–245.
- De Clerck, O., Guiry, M.D., Leliaert, F., Samyn, Y. & Verbruggen, H. (2013). Algal taxonomy: a road to nowhere? *Journal of Phycology*, **49**: 215–225.
- Dixon, P.S. (1961). List of marine algae collected in the Channel Islands during the joint meeting of the British Phycological Society and the Société Phycologique de

France September 1960. *British Phycological Bulletin*, **2**: 71–80.

- Edgar, R.C. (2004). MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time and space complexity. *BMC Bioinformatics*, **5**: 113.
- Eggert, A., Peters, A.F. & Küpper, F.C. (2010). The potential impact of climate change on endophyte infections in kelp sporophytes. In *Seaweeds and their Role in Globally Changing Environments* (Israel, A. *et al.*, editors), 139– 154. Springer, Berlin.
- Ellertsdóttir, E. & Peters, A.F. (1997). High prevalence of infection by endophytic brown algae in populations of *Laminaria* sp. (Phaeophyceae). *Marine Ecology Progress* Series, **146**: 135–143.
- Farlow, W.G. (1889). On some new or imperfectly known algae of the United States I. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club*, **16**: 1–12.
- Gauna, M.C. & Parodi, E.R. (2008). Green epi-endophytes in *Hymenena falklandica* (Rhodophyta) from the Patagonian coasts of Argentina: preliminary observations. *Phycological Research*, 56: 172–182.
- Gauna, M.C., Parodi, E.R. & Cáceres, E.J. (2009a). Epi-endophytic symbiosis between *Laminariocolax aecidioides* (Ectocarpales, Phaeophyceae) and *Undaria pinnatifida* (Laminariales, Phaeophyceae) growing on Argentinian coasts. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, **21**: 11–18.
- Gauna, C., Parodi, E.R. & Cáceres, E. (2009b). The occurrence of *Laminarionema elsbetiae* (Phaeophyta) on *Rhodymenia pseudopalmata* (Rhodophyta) from the Patagonian coasts Argentina: characteristics of the relationships in natural and experimental infections and morphology of the epi-endophyte in unialgal free cultures. *Algae*, 24: 249–256.
- Goff, L.J., Moon, D.A. & Coleman, A.W. (1994). Molecular delineation of species and species relationships in the red algal agarophytes *Gracilariopsis* and *Gracilaria* (Gracilariales). *Journal of Phycology*, **30**: 521–537.
- Guiry, M.D. & Guiry, G.M. 2017. *AlgaeBase*. World-wide electronic publication, National University of Ireland, Galway. http://www.algaebase.org.
- Heesch, S. & Peters, A.F. (1999). Scanning electron microscopy observation of host entry by two brown algae endophytic in *Laminaria saccharina* (Laminariales, Phaeophyceae). *Phycological Research*, 47: 1–5.
- Herbert, P.D.N., Cywinska, A., Ball, S.L. & Dewaard, J.R. (2003). Biological identification through DNA barcodes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Biological Sciences*, 270: 313–321.
- Herbert, P.D.N., Penton, E.H., Burns, J.M., Janzen, D.H. & Hallwachs, W. (2004). Ten species in one: DNA barcoding reveals cryptic species in the neotropical skipper butterfly Astraptes fulgerator. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA, 101: 14812–14817.
- Jousson, O., Pawlowski, J., Zaninetti, L., Meinesz, A. & Boudouresque, C.F. (1998). Molecular evidence for the aquarium origin of the green alga *Caulerpa taxifolia* introduced to the Mediterranean Sea. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **172**: 275–280.
- Kawai, H. & Tokuyama, M. (1995). Laminarionema elsbetiae gen. et sp. nov. (Ectocarpales, Phaeophyceae), a new endophyte in Laminaria sporophytes. Phycological Research, **43**: 185–190.
- Kimura, M. (1980). A simple method for estimating evolutionary rate of base substitutions through comparative

studies of nucleotide sequences. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, **16**: 111–120.

- Klochkova, N.G., Korolyova, T.N. & Kusidi, A.E. (2009). Atlas of Algae-macrophytes in Kamchatka Waters. Vol 1. Green Algae and Brown Algae. KamchatNIRO Press, Petropavlovsk-Kamchatsky.
- Kogame, K., Rindi, F., Peters, A.F. & Guiry, M.D. (2015). Genetic diversity and mitochondrial introgression in *Scytosiphon lomentaria* (Ectocarpales, Phaeophyceae) in the north-eastern Atlantic Ocean. *Phycologia*, 54: 367–374.
- Kornmann, P. & Sahling, P.H. (1977). Meeresalgen von Helgoland. Benthische Grün-, Braun- und Rotalgen. *Helgoländer Meeresuntersuchungen*, **29**: 1–289.
- Kucera, H. & Saunders, G.W. (2008). Assigning morphological variants of *Fucus* (Fucales, Phaeophyceae) in Canadian waters to recognized species using DNA barcoding. *Botany*, 86: 1065–1079.
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G. & Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 33: 1870–1874.
- Küpper, F.C., Müller, D.G., Peters, A.F., Kloareg, B. & Photin, P. (2002). Oligoalginate recognition and oxidative burst play a key role in natural and induced resistance of sporophytes of Laminariales. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, 28: 2057–2081.
- Küpper, F.C., Peters, A.F., Shewring, D.M., Sayer, M.D.J., Mystikou, A., Brown, H. & Lane, C. (2016). Arctic marine phytobenthos of northern Baffin Island. *Journal of Phycology*, **52**: 532–549.
- Lane, C.E., Lindstrom, S.C. & Saunders, G.W. (2007). A molecular assessment of northeast Pacific *Alaria* species (Laminariales, Phaeophyceae) with reference to the utility of DNA barcoding. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, **44**: 634–648.
- Le Gall, L. & Saunders, G.W. (2010). DNA barcoding is a powerful tool to uncover algal diversity: a case study of the Phyllophoraceae (Gigartinales, Rhodophyta) in the Canadian flora. *Journal of Phycology*, **46**: 374–389.
- Lee, R.K.S. (1980). A catalogue of the marine algae of the Canadian Arctic. *National Museum of Canada Publications in Botany*, **9**: 1–82.
- Lein, T.E., Sjotun, K. & Wakili, S. (1991). Mass-occurrence of a brown filamentous endophyte in the lamina of the kelp *Laminaria hyperborea* (Gunnerus) Foslie along the southwestern coast of Norway. Sarsia, **76**: 187–193.
- Lindstrom, S.C. (2006). Biogeography of Alaskan seaweeds. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, **18**: 637–641.
- Liu, R. (2008). *Checklist of biota of Chinese seas*. Academia Sinica, Beijing.
- Mattio, L. & Payri, C. (2010). Assessment of five markers as potential barcodes for identifying *Sargassum* subgenus *Sargassum* species (Phaeophyceae, Fucales). *Cryptogamie Algologie*, **31**: 467–485.
- McDevit, D.C. & Saunders, G.W. (2010). A DNA barcode examination of the Laminariaceae (Phaeophyceae) in Canada reveals novel biogeographical and evolutionary insights. *Phycologia*, **49**: 235–248.
- Montecinos, A.E., Couceiro, L., Peters, A.F., Desrut, A., Valero, M. & Guillemin, M.-L. (2017). Species delimitation and phylogeographic analyses in the *Ectocarpus* subgroup *siliculosi* (Ectocarpales, Phaeophyceae). *Journal of Phycology*, **53**: 17–31.
- Nielsen, R. & Gunnarsson, K. (2001). Seaweeds of the Faroe Islands. An annotated checklist. *Fródskaparrit*, **49**: 45–108.

- Noda, M. (1971). Some new species of marine algae from the northeastern coast of Japan Sea. Scientific Reports Niigata University, Ser. D. (Biology), 8: 53–59.
- Pedersen, P.M. (1984). Studies on primitive brown algae (Fucophyceae). Opera Botanica, 74: 1–76.
- Perestenko, L. P. (1980). *Vodorosli Zaliva Petra Velikogo* [The seaweeds of Peter the Great Bay]. Leningradskoe Otdelenie, Leningrad.
- Peters, A.F. (1991). Field and culture studies of *Streblonema macrocystis* sp. nov. (Ectocapales, Phaeophyceae) from Chile, a sexual endophyte of giant kelp. *Phycologia*, **30**: 365–377.
- Peters, A.F. (2003). Molecular identification, distribution and taxonomy of brown algal endophytes, with emphasis on species from Antarctica. *Proceedings of the 17th International Seaweed Symposium*, **302**: 293–302.
- Peters, A.F. & Burkhardt, E. (1998). Systematic position of the kelp endophyte *Laminarionema elsbetiae* (Phaeophyceae, Ectocarpales *sensu lato*) inferred from nuclear ribosomal DNA sequences. *Phycologia*, **37**: 114–120.
- Peters, A.F. & Ellertsdóttir, E. (1996). New record of the kelp endophyte *Laminarionema elsbetiae* (Phaeophyceae, Ectocarpales) at Helgoland and its life history in culture. *Nova Hedwigia*, **62**: 341–349.
- Peters, A.F. & Schaffelke, B. (1996). Streblonema (Ectocarpales, Phaeophyceae) infection in the kelp *Laminaria saccharina* (Laminariales, Phaeophyceae) in the western Baltic. *Hydrobiologia*, **326–327**: 111–116.
- Peters, A.F., Couceiro, L.Tsiamis, K., Küpper, F.C. & Valero, M. (2015). Barcoding of cryptic stages of marine brown algae isolated from incubated substratum reveals high diversity in Acinetosporaceae (Ectocarpales, Phaeophyceae). Cryptogamie Algologie, 36: 3–29.
- Puillandre, N., Lambert, A., Brouillet, S. & Achaz, G. (2012). ABGD, Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery for primary species delimitation. *Molecular Ecology*, 21: 1864–1877.
- R Development Core Team (2013). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna.
- Ramel, C. (1998). Biodiversity and intraspecific genetic variation. *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, **70**: 2079–2084.
- Rosenvinge, L.K. (1893). Grønlands Havalger. *Meddelelser* om Grønland, **3**: 763–981.
- Russell, G. (1964). Laminariocolax Tomentosoides on the Isle of Man. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, **44**: 601–612.
- Saunders, G.W. (2005). Applying DNA barcoding to red macroalgae: a preliminary appraisal holds promise for future applications. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*, **360**: 1879–1888.
- Saunders, G.W. & Kraft, G.T. (1995). The phylogenetic affinities of *Notheia anomala* (Fucales, Phaeophyceae) as determined from partial small-subunit rRNA gene sequences. *Phycologia*, **34**: 383–389.
- Schaffelke, B., Murphy, N. & Uthicke, S. (2002). Using genetic techniques to investigate the sources of the invasive alga *Caulerpa taxifolia* in the three new locations in Australia. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, **44**: 204–210.
- Setchell, W.A. (1918). Parasitism among the red algae. *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society*, **57**: 155–172.
- Setchell, W.A. & Gardner, N.L. (1922). Phycological contributions, V. New species of *Pylaiella* and *Streblonema*. University of California Publications in Botany, V: 385–402.

- Siegel, B.Z. & Siegel, S.M. (1973). The chemical composition of algal cell walls. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology*, 3: 1–26.
- Stöver, B.C. & Müller, K.F. (2010). TreeGraph 2: combining and visualizing evidence from different phylogenetic analyses. *BMC Bioinformatics*, **11**: 7.
- Thomas, D., Beltrán, J., Flores, V., Contreras, L., Bollmann, E. & Correa, J.A. (2009). Laminariocolax sp. (Phaeophyceae) associated with gall developments in Lessonia nigrescens (Phaeophyceae). Journal of Phycology, 45: 1252–1258.
- Tragin, M., Lopes dos Santos, A., Christen, R. & Vaulot, D. (2016). Diversity and ecology of green microalgae in marine systems: an overview based on 18S rRNA sequences. *Perspectives in Phycology*, 3: 141–154.
- Villalard-Bohnsack, M. & Harlin, M.M. (2001). Grateloupia doryphora (Halymeniaceae, Rhodophyta) in Rhode Island waters (USA): geographical expansion,

morphological variations and associated algae. *Phycologia*, **40**: 372–380.

- Wu, C.Y., Chen, D. & Li, J. (1983). On the diseases of cultivated Laminaria japonica. In: Proceedings of the Joint China-U.S. Phycology Symposium (Tseng, C.K., editor), 211–220. Science Press, Beijing.
- Yang, E.C, Peters, A.F., Kawai, H., Stern, R., Hanyuda, T., Bárbara, I., Prud'homme van Reine, W.F. & Küpper, F.C. (2014). Ligulate *Desmarestia* (Desmarestiales, Phaephyceae) revisited: *D. japonica* sp. nov. and *D. dudresnayi* differ from *D. ligulata. Journal of Phycology*, **166**: 149–166.
- Yoshida, T. & Akiyama, K. (1979). *Streblonema* (Phaeophyta) infection in the frond of cultivated *Undaria* (Phaeophyceae). *Proceedings of the International Seaweed Symposium*, **9**: 219–223.
- Yoshida, T., Nakajima, Y. & Nakata, Y. (1990). Check-list of marine algae of Japan (revised in 1990). *Japanese Journal of Phycology*, **38**: 269–320.