



HAL
open science

Environmental and demographic factors influence the spatial genetic structure of an intertidal barnacle in central-northern Chile

Mario Barahona, Bernardo R Broitman, Sylvain Faugeron, Lucie Jaugeon, A Ospina-Alvarez, David Véliz, Sergio A Navarrete

► **To cite this version:**

Mario Barahona, Bernardo R Broitman, Sylvain Faugeron, Lucie Jaugeon, A Ospina-Alvarez, et al.. Environmental and demographic factors influence the spatial genetic structure of an intertidal barnacle in central-northern Chile. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 2019, 612, pp.151-165. 10.3354/meps12855 . hal-02064081

HAL Id: hal-02064081

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-02064081v1>

Submitted on 11 Mar 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1

2 **Environmental and demographic factors influence the spatial genetic structure of an**
3 **intertidal barnacle in central-northern Chile**

4

5 Mario Barahona^{1,2}, Bernardo R. Broitman^{3,4}, Sylvain Faugeron^{2,5,*}, Lucie Jaugeon⁵, Andrés
6 Ospina-Alvarez⁶, David Véliz⁷, Sergio A. Navarrete^{1,8}

7

8 ¹Estación Costera de Investigaciones Marinas - Las Cruces, Facultad de Ciencias
9 Biológicas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, El Tabo 2690931, Chile

10 ²Departamento de Ecología, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Pontificia Universidad
11 Católica de Chile, Santiago 8331150, Chile

12 ³Centro de Estudios Avanzados en Zonas Áridas, Universidad Católica del Norte,
13 Coquimbo 1781421, Chile

14 ⁴Departamento de Biología Marina, Facultad de Ciencias del Mar, Universidad Católica del
15 Norte, Coquimbo 1781421, Chile

16 ⁵CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Universidad
17 Austral de Chile, UMI Evolutionary Biology and Ecology of Algae, Station Biologique de
18 Roscoff, 29680 Roscoff, France

19 ⁶Mediterranean Institute for Advanced Studies (IMEDEA-CSIC/UIB), C/Miguel Marques
20 21, CP 07190 Esporles, Balearic Islands, Spain

21 ⁷Departamento de Ciencias Ecológicas, Instituto de Ecología y Biodiversidad (IEB),
22 Nucleo Milenio de Ecología y Manejo Sustentable de Islas Oceánicas (ESMOI),
23 Universidad de Chile, Santiago 7800003, Chile

24 ⁸Center for Applied Ecology and Sustainability (CAPES) and LINCGlobal, Pontificia
25 Universidad Católica de Chile, Chile

26

27 RPH: Barahona et al.: Genetic structure of intertidal barnacles

28

29 *Corresponding author: sfaugeron@bio.puc.cl

30

31 **ABSTRACT:** Understanding the multiplicity of processes producing genetic patterns in
32 natural populations can shed light on the ecology and evolution of species, and help guide
33 effective management and conservation strategies. Here we investigated the role of
34 environmental, demographic, and geographic factors in shaping the spatial patterns of
35 genetic diversity and differentiation of the intertidal barnacle *Notochthamalus scabrosus*
36 along the central-northern coast of Chile (28–34° S). We analyzed genetic data from 7
37 microsatellite loci genotyped for 300 individuals sampled from 10 sites and combined this

38 information with 8 site-specific environmental (4), demographic (2), and geographic (2)
39 variables using least squares linear regressions, generalized linear models, and matrix
40 regression analyses. We found a strong association between the spatially structured genetic
41 diversity of *N. scabrosus* and patterns of temporal variability in chlorophyll *a*, and among-
42 site differences in seawater temperature and adult abundance, which in turn was related to
43 overall recruitment levels. Our results illustrate that population size, partly driven by
44 recruitment success, can leave a signal on genetic structure of this highly dispersive marine
45 species. The significant effect of temperature and chlorophyll *a* stresses that local
46 adaptation may be key to understanding the spatial genetic structure of our model species.
47 Hence, the results of this work represent an advance towards understanding the usually
48 complex causal relationships between environmental variables, gene flow, and genetic
49 diversity patterns of coastal populations.

50 KEY WORDS: *Notochthamalus scabrosus* · Seascape genetics · Larval dispersal · Coastal
51 oceanography · Marine connectivity

52 1. INTRODUCTION

53 Population genetic diversity is important for a range of ecological and evolutionary
54 processes. For example, genetic diversity can determine community structure and primary
55 productivity (Crutsinger et al. 2006). It can be associated with the population growth rate of
56 species (Hughes et al. 2008), and it allows species to adapt to changing environments and
57 fosters persistence over evolutionary time scales (Reed & Frankham 2003). Therefore, a
58 strong scientific understanding of the processes that influence spatial genetic variation,
59 genetic diversity, and population structure in nature is of paramount importance to
60 implement efficient conservation and management strategies.

61 In marine systems, an early paradigm assumed that most organisms were highly
62 dispersive and presented large population sizes, and thus were able to resist genetic
63 divergence at all but perhaps the largest spatial scales. This overly simplistic view was
64 gradually replaced by an increased understanding of hydrographic heterogeneity in the
65 coastal ocean and the advent of molecular genetics, which unveiled many potential causes
66 for genetic structure and speciation in organisms with large dispersal potential (Hellberg
67 2009, Selkoe et al. 2016). For example, due to the dependence of genetic diversity on
68 population effective size (Ellegren & Galtier 2016), demographic changes along the
69 geographic range of a species can leave a discernible footprint in its spatial genetic makeup.
70 Also, a realistic oceanic environment, especially when there is strong topographic
71 modulation, presents ample opportunities for variation and restriction in effective dispersal
72 distances (Largier 2003, Pringle et al. 2011, Nickols et al. 2015). Geographic distance per
73 se imposes a distance limitation to gene flow, driving increased genetic differentiation with
74 increasing distance between populations, a pattern known as isolation by distance (Wright
75 1943). Besides the limitation imposed by dispersal between distant populations,
76 phenotype–environment mismatches can impose biological barriers to gene flow (Nosil et
77 al. 2005), producing an isolation by environment, where populations with greater
78 environmental dissimilarity exhibit higher levels of genetic differentiation, blurring or
79 reinforcing patterns generated by geographic distance alone (Wang & Bradburg 2014).

80 High-resolution molecular and environmental data are now routinely used to assess
81 the influence of landscape-scale environmental characteristics on genetic variation and

82 spatial patterns in natural populations of species. Altogether, the mounting evidence
83 suggests that considerable genetic structure occurs in marine populations around areas
84 where environmental oceanographic factors exhibit strong spatial structure or geographic
85 discontinuity.

86 The central-northern coast of Chile represents an interesting study system to
87 evaluate the effects of environmental, demographic and geographic factors on genetic
88 diversity and differentiation of marine organisms. Superimposed on what are smooth
89 latitudinal trends in mean sea surface temperature (SST) along this highly productive
90 upwelling ecosystem, there is a marked change in oceanographic regimes that takes place
91 around 30° S. Such geographic discontinuity entails changes in upwelling-driven coastal
92 circulation (Hormazabal et al. 2004, Aiken et al. 2011, Aguirre et al. 2014), as well as
93 prevailing hydrographic conditions, such as SST variability, surface chlorophyll, and
94 nutrient availability (Navarrete et al. 2005, Tapia et al. 2014). Coincidentally, at this same
95 latitude, several studies have reported the occurrence of geographic distribution endpoints
96 of several intertidal and subtidal invertebrate species (Lancellotti & Vasquez 1999, Camus
97 2001), phylogeographic breaks of several invertebrates and macroalgae (Tellier et al. 2009,
98 Haye et al. 2014), and large changes in population dynamics and abundance of dominant
99 rocky shore species that otherwise extend far beyond this region (Broitman et al. 2001,
100 Navarrete et al. 2005, 2008).

101 The geographic range of the intertidal barnacle *Notochthamalus scabrosus* (Darwin
102 1854) spans the 30° S transition zone, and its complete larval development to settlement
103 takes well over 1 mo at the water temperatures typically encountered in central Chile
104 (Venegas et al. 2000). At the same time, the advective nature of coastal flow along central
105 Chile (Aiken et al. 2007) sets the stage for a comparatively high potential for larval
106 dispersal and genetic flow among distant populations of this species, as shown by
107 biophysical models for other long-distance dispersers in the region (Garavelli et al. 2014).
108 Moreover, large variation in larval arrival rates and adult cover have been reported for the
109 central-northern coast, which has been attributed to differences in the temporal regime of
110 upwelling-favorable winds (Navarrete et al. 2005, Lagos et al. 2008). A phylogenetic break
111 in the *N. scabrosus* mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I gene (mtCOI) around 30° S was
112 reported by Zakas et al. (2009). Although spatially stable, there are significant temporal
113 changes in gene frequencies near the break (< 30° 55' S), presumably related to source–sink
114 dynamics and/or low effective population sizes in this zone (Laughlin et al. 2012). Based
115 on a large-scale circulation model, Ewers-Saucedo et al. (2016) suggested that the genetic
116 break of *N. scabrosus* around 30° S requires differential performance of mtCOI lineages
117 along the coast; in other words, it could not be maintained by dispersal limitation alone.
118 Therefore, the diversity and genetic structure of *N. scabrosus* may respond to multiple
119 causes, such as phylogeography, demography, geographical isolation, and selection
120 pressures driven by environmental variation along the coastline.

121 This study takes advantage of the genetic information gathered for *N. scabrosus*,
122 based on mtCOI, as well as of a long-term database (5–13 yr) of monthly larval arrival
123 (recruitment) of this species at multiple sites spanning the reported latitudinal break.
124 Together with surveys of adult abundance and satellite-based information of environmental
125 (oceanographic) variables for the region (28–34° S), and the development of neutral
126 microsatellite markers, we assessed the potential influence of nearshore environmental,

127 demographic, and geographic factors on the genetic diversity and population structure
128 patterns of *N. scabrosus*. Using neutral markers of gene flow allowed us to (1) characterize
129 patterns of genetic diversity and the spatial genetic structure in *N. scabrosus* and (2)
130 determine the relative importance of environmental, demographic, and geographic factors
131 for genetic variation between and within populations of this widely distributed barnacle
132 species.

133 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

134 2.1. Hydrography of the study area

135 The coast of Chile between 18 and 42° S is under the broad influence of the
136 northward flowing Humboldt Current (also called Chile–Peru Current). Close to shore,
137 coastal hydrography is dominated by the dynamics of the Chilean Coastal Current (CCC), a
138 predominantly northward surface stream forced by the prevailing south and southwest
139 upwelling-favorable winds (Aiken et al. 2008, 2011), which intensify during spring and
140 early summer months, and around coastal topographic features (Strub et al. 1998, Tapia et
141 al. 2009, Bravo et al. 2016). Our study area is located in a fairly straight shoreline stretch
142 (Fig. 1) and is exposed to direct wave action (Narváez et al. 2006).

143 Within the study area, the main upwelling centers are Punta Talca, Punta Toro,
144 Curaumilla, Pichilemu, and, to a lesser extent, Los Molles (Silva & Valdenegro 2003,
145 Wieters et al. 2003, Tapia et al. 2009, 2014). In contrast, the bays of Cartagena, Valparaíso,
146 and Coquimbo remain relatively protected from upwelling (Kaplan et al. 2003, Vargas et al.
147 2004, Aiken et al. 2008). Four sampling sites (PTal, LMol, Cura, and Pich) were located in
148 active upwelling centers, and 4 sites, namely Temb and Guan (Coquimbo Bay), Mont
149 (Valparaíso Bay), and ECIM (Cartagena Bay), were located in places of weak upwelling.
150 For the 2 remaining sites (Apol and CBaj), records from *in situ* SST suggest that the
151 hydrography of Apol may be similar to that of weak upwelling sites, while CBaj seems to
152 be under the influence of active upwelling (Valdivia et al. 2015).

153 An important geographic discontinuity in upwelling-favorable winds occurs around
154 30–32° S (Strub et al. 1998, Thomas 1999, Hormazabal et al. 2004, Navarrete et al. 2005).
155 North of this latitude, equatorward winds are weaker but more persistent throughout the
156 year, while to the south winds are stronger but temporarily more variable (Hormazabal et
157 al. 2004, Navarrete et al. 2005). The change in oceanographic regimes determines or
158 modulates the concentration and temporal variability of surface phytoplankton (Thomas
159 1999), nutrient regimes (NO₃) of coastal waters (Tapia et al. 2014), and functional structure
160 of benthic communities (Broitman et al. 2001, Navarrete et al. 2005, Wieters et al. 2009).

161 2.2. Study species

162 *Notochthamalus scabrosus* is distributed along most of the rocky coasts of Ecuador,
163 Peru, and Chile (Brattström & Johanssen 1983). In the zone occupied by chthamalid
164 barnacles, *N. scabrosus* inhabits the 3 intertidal elevations, with greater abundance in the
165 middle and upper intertidal zones (Paine et al. 1985, Shinen & Navarrete 2010). Adults are
166 sessile filter feeding, hermaphroditic brooders. The life cycle of *N. scabrosus* includes a
167 pelagic larval stage that lasts about 37 d at 15–18°C, with 6 naupliar stages with
168 planktotrophic feeding and a cyprid stage competent for settlement (Venegas et al. 2000).

169 Cyprid settlement occurs in pulses of larval arrival to the coast during a few days within the
170 recruitment period, which is mainly concentrated in spring–summer (Tapia & Navarrete
171 2010).

172 **2.3. Sampling of individuals, DNA extraction, and genotyping of microsatellites**

173 At each of 10 study sites, 30 *N. scabrosus* adults of 3–6.4 mm rostrocranial length
174 were collected from rocky platforms approximately 10–30 m long × 4–8 m wide.
175 Individual barnacles were identified as *N. scabrosus* in the field and were removed from the
176 rock with a scalpel and immediately stored in tubes with 95% ethanol for preservation.
177 Total DNA was extracted using the salt/Proteinase K method (Aljanabi & Martinez 1997)
178 and quantified in a spectrophotometer (Nanodrop).

179 Seven microsatellite loci were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The
180 microsatellite development procedure, the conditions under which the PCRs were
181 performed, and the GenBank accession numbers can be found in Table S1 in the
182 Supplement at www.int-res/articles/suppl/m123p456_supp.pdf. Alleles were identified by
183 capillary electrophoresis in an ABI3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems), and the
184 Excel FLEXIBIN macro (Amos et al. 2007) was used to calibrate the reading and allele
185 binning of each locus.

186 **2.4. Genetic polymorphism**

187 The total number of observed alleles (N_a), number of private alleles (P_a), and
188 observed (H_o) and expected (H_e) heterozygosity were calculated in GENALEX 6.5 (Peakall
189 & Smouse 2012). Per locus gene diversity (G_d) and standardized allelic richness (A_r) were
190 calculated in the FSTAT software version 2.9.3.2 (Goudet 2001). The A_r index was
191 calculated using the rarefaction method to avoid bias due to differences in sample size
192 (Leberg 2002). To evaluate deviations from the Hardy-Weinberg expectations (HWE),
193 Fisher's exact tests were performed for heterozygote deficits at each site–locus
194 combination, and U -score tests for global HWE per site through loci and per locus across
195 sites (dememorization 10000; 100 batches; 10000 iterations) using the GENEPOP 4.2
196 software (Rousset 2008). Linkage disequilibrium between all pairs of loci at each site and
197 between each pair of loci across sites was assessed by Fisher's exact tests implemented in
198 GENEPOP with this same parameter set. The inbreeding coefficient F_{IS} by locus and site
199 was quantified with GENETIX 4.05 (Belkhir et al. 2004), and departures from random
200 expectations were assessed by 10000 permutations. For all multiple comparisons, the
201 nominal level of significance of 5% was adjusted using the false discovery rate (FDR;
202 Benjamini & Hochberg 1995).

203 To test for large allele dropout and stuttering and to estimate the frequency of null
204 alleles at each site–locus combination following Brookfield (1996: Eq. 4), data were
205 analyzed with the MICROCHECKER software (van Oosterhout et al. 2004).

206 **2.5. Population genetic structure**

207 Global and pairwise genetic differentiation was evaluated calculating θ_{ST} (Weir &
208 Cockerham 1984) and D_{EST} (Jost 2008) indices, in GENALEX 6.5 (Peakall & Smouse
209 2012) and running 10000 permutations to evaluate their significance. Jost's D_{EST}
210 outperforms G_{ST} and its relatives (F_{ST}) over a range of sample sizes, including in situations

211 where we have highly variable microsatellite loci with different numbers of alleles (Heller
212 & Siegismund 2009, Gerlach et al. 2010), but it is recommended to compare results
213 between differentiation indices (Leng & Zhang 2011). In all multiple comparisons, sites
214 were used as population units, and the nominal level of significance, 5%, was adjusted
215 using FDR. To identify population relationships in a 2-dimensional space, principal
216 coordinate analyses (PCoAs) of the sites were computed and graphed in GENALEX 6.5
217 using the θ_{ST} and D_{EST} differentiation indices.

218 As null alleles can impose error in differentiation estimates (Pompanon et al. 2005),
219 2 approximations were conducted. First, using MICROCHECKER, we obtained a new
220 database corrected for null alleles. MICROCHECKER adjusts the number of homozygote
221 genotypes to reflect the estimated frequency of null alleles and the likely number of
222 homozygotes given the adjusted allele frequencies and assuming random mating. We then
223 repeated the previous differentiation analysis using the database adjusted by the frequency
224 of null alleles. Second, pairwise F_{ST} with and without the null allele correction was
225 estimated using the expectation-maximization (EM) algorithm (Dempster et al. 1977) with
226 ENA correction to give an accurate estimate of F_{ST} in the presence of null alleles using
227 FREENA (Chapuis & Estoup 2007). The uncorrected and corrected pairwise F_{ST} were then
228 compared by means of a paired t -test.

229 To estimate the number of genetically differentiated groups, Bayesian-based
230 clustering was used as implemented in STRUCTURE 2.3.4 (Pritchard et al. 2000).
231 STRUCTURE was run using the admixture model, the assumption of correlated allelic
232 frequencies between clusters, with and without the recessive alleles option that accounts for
233 the null alleles (Falush et al. 2003, 2007), and considering sampling site information
234 (Hubisz et al. 2009). From Zakas et al. (2009) and Laughlin et al. (2012), we know that $k =$
235 1 can be rejected, so all runs were made for k values between 2 and 10. Ten independent
236 runs with 500000 Markov chain Monte Carlo replicates and a burn-in length of 50000 were
237 used for each value of k . In order to select the k value that best captures the structure of the
238 data, the statistic Δk , a measure of the second-order rate of change in the likelihood of k
239 (Evanno et al. 2005) was implemented in STRUCTURE HARVESTER (Earl & vonHoldt
240 2012), and the values of Δk as a function of k were plotted. In CLUMPP 1.1 (Jakobsson &
241 Rosenberg 2007), we merged the results of the 10 runs for each value of k , and DISTRICT
242 1.1 (Rosenberg 2004) was used to graphically visualize the results.

243

2.6. Demographic variables

244

2.6.1. Recruitment rates

245 At each site, an estimate of arrival rates of larval *N. scabrosus* was obtained by
246 quantifying recruitment onto 10×10 cm Plexiglas plates covered with SafetyWalk™ (3M),
247 an anti-slip surface that provides a heterogeneous substrate for larvae settlement and
248 ensures homogeneity of conditions across plates and sites (Menge 2000). Five replicate
249 collectors were fastened to the rocky substrate with stainless-steel bolts in the mid-upper
250 intertidal zones of rocky platforms exposed to swell. Replicate collectors were replaced
251 monthly, and recruitment rates were standardized to the number of ind. collector⁻¹ d⁻¹. The
252 monthly recruitment rates were then averaged to obtain the annual recruitment rates, and
253 these in turn were averaged over the years to estimate the per site recruitment rate. At 8 of
254 the 10 study sites, the collectors were initially deployed in late 1999 or early 2000, whereas

255 at the 2 northernmost sites (CBaj and Apol), recruitment surveys began in mid-2009. The
256 recruitment time series used here covered the period up to December 2013 for all sites.

257 2.6.2. Adult cover (abundance)

258 At each site, the benthic abundance of *N. scabrosus* was estimated using 7 to 10
259 quadrats of 0.25 m², located along ca. 20–30 m alongshore transects. Transects were
260 repeated at 3 intertidal elevations (low, mid-, and high intertidal zones) of the same rocky
261 platforms where we deployed larval collectors. The 50 × 50 cm quadrat frame was divided
262 into 25 equal squares with monofilament line, which was used to visually estimate adult
263 abundance of *N. scabrosus* as percentage cover. Cover surveys were conducted
264 approximately every 6 mo. For more details about the field methods, see Broitman et al.
265 (2011).

266 2.7. Environmental and geographic variables

267 Environmental heterogeneity imposed by hydrographic conditions such as SST and
268 productivity can directly or indirectly affect population genetic structure in marine
269 organisms (Bekkevold et al. 2005, Mendez et al. 2010, Wei et al. 2013). A multivariate
270 indicator of environmental variability was constructed to test for correlation with the spatial
271 genetic structure of *N. scabrosus*. To this end, spatio-temporal variations in chlorophyll *a*
272 (chl *a*) concentration (mg m⁻³) and SST (°C) over a period of 10 yr (January 2003 to
273 December 2013) were processed from monthly averages of Aqua MODIS satellite data
274 with a 4 km spatial resolution using MatLab R2014a. Temporal variability in chl *a* and SST
275 across the region was then decomposed by 2 separate principal component analyses (PCAs)
276 of the respective time series, so that scores of sites on PC1 and PC2 (typically called
277 empirical orthogonal function (EOF1 and EOF2) in the oceanographic literature, as they are
278 carried out in the time domain), were used as multivariate representations of environmental
279 conditions for either SST or chl *a*. In these analyses, the first axis (PC1) is dominated by
280 the seasonal amplitude, with positive/negative values corresponding to sites with
281 strong/weak seasonality. The second mode (PC2) is dominated by higher frequency
282 variability, which in our system is chiefly synoptic variation corresponding to upwelling
283 dynamics (see Wieters et al. 2009, Tapia et al. 2014, Valdivia et al. 2015 for similar
284 analyses). Latitudinal (Lat) and longitudinal (Lon) positions of each site were used as
285 descriptors of geographic structure.

286 2.8. Environmental/demographic/geographic–genetic association analysis

287 Three complementary approaches, i.e. simple linear regressions, multi model
288 selection, and matrix regression, were employed to test for associations among
289 environmental, demographic, and geographic factors with the spatially structured genetic
290 diversity of *N. scabrosus*. The linear regressions and model selection analyses used location
291 (site)-specific data to evaluate the influence of our explicative variables on genetic diversity
292 across sampling sites. The matrix regression analyses used the explicative variables as a
293 proxy of ‘seascape resistance’ (McRae 2006) to evaluate their effects on among-site genetic
294 differentiation. Our 8 predictive variables were the PC1 and PC2 of chl *a* and SST
295 (hereafter CHLA1, CHLA2, SST1, and SST2), long-term averages of recruitment rates
296 (Rec) and adult cover (Cov), and geographic location (Lat and Lon). The variables Rec and
297 Cov were log₁₀ transformed before analyses to approximate normal distributions.

298 First, we conducted least squares (LS) linear multiple regression analyses between
299 each metric of genetic diversity (Ar and Gd) and our 8 predictive variables. Second, a
300 sensitivity analysis was performed using generalized linear models (GLMs) to select the
301 best model of variables to explain spatial variation in our metrics of genetic diversity.
302 Because some predictor variables are highly correlated (see Table S3), we examined the
303 impact of collinearity using the variance inflation factor (VIF) before running analyses. The
304 variables Lat, Rec, and SST2 showed high (>10) VIF values, and were removed to
305 minimize VIF values (<5). We then followed a stepwise approach for the sensitivity
306 analysis, which was repeated for the 2 dependent variables (Ar and Gd) in R (R Core
307 Development Team 2017): We (1) ran a full GLM that includes all predictive variables
308 with VIF values <5; (2) examined the scatterplot of residuals versus predicted values (both
309 in terms of the slope of the relationship and in the dispersion of the values) to check for the
310 absence of trends; (3) sequentially removed (one by one) all predictive variables that were
311 not significant ($p > 0.05$); (4) selected the most parsimonious ‘suboptimal’ GLM through a
312 manual stepwise procedure according to the relative contribution of each factor to the
313 variance explained by the model retaining predictive variables with a relative contribution
314 $\geq 10\%$; (5) computed LS means of the dependent variable for each model parameter in order
315 to assess the effect of specific variables on the dependent variables.

316 As an alternative way to obtain the best subset of explicative variables, we
317 performed stepwise selection (both forward and backward) using the stepAIC function
318 from the ‘mass’ package in R. This function uses the exact Akaike’s information criterion
319 (AIC) as the model selection criterion. Third, we used multiple regressions on distance
320 matrices (MRDM; Manly 1986, Legendre et al. 1994) to estimate the independent effects of
321 explicative variables on *N. scabrosus* among-site genetic differentiation. Briefly, partial
322 regression slopes were estimated using standard multiple linear regression, but the
323 significance of each term was determined by randomly permuting the explanatory variables
324 one at a time while keeping the others constant (Wang 2013). This analysis was
325 implemented using the ‘ecodist’ package (Goslee & Urban 2007) in R, and significance
326 was based on 10000 permutations using the genetic distance matrices (θ_{ST} and D_{EST}) as
327 response variables. Each of 8 matrices representing environmental, demographic, and
328 geographic differences among sites were used as the predictor variables. Data were
329 converted into matrices of pairwise distances calculating the absolute differences from site-
330 specific values of each variable following Amaral et al. (2012). Due to its high VIF value
331 (>10), the geographical variable Lat was removed, so a subset of 7 predictor variables was
332 retained for the subsequent analysis.

333 3. RESULTS

334 3.1. Genetic polymorphism

335 The 7 microsatellite loci reached a total of 158 alleles in the 300 individuals of
336 *Notochthamalus scabrosus* genotyped, which ranged from 79 in CBaj to 95 in Temb. In
337 addition, we found 27 private alleles, with the highest number observed at Apol (Pa = 6). In
338 contrast, Pich shared all of its alleles with most other sites. The Ar ranged from 11.0 in
339 CBaj to 13.2 in Temb (mean Ar = 12.01 ± 0.72), while Gd ranged from 0.77 in ECIM to
340 0.83 in Apol (mean Gd = 0.81 ± 0.02). Both Ar and Gd indices showed a peak at Guan,

341 Temb and Apol (located around Coquimbo Bay), while the lowest values were found at
342 CBaj and ECIM for Ar, and at ECIM and Mont for Gd (Table S2).

343 All 10 populations exhibited significant heterozygote deficiency. Of the 70 site-
344 locus combinations, 58 showed a significant deviation from HWE based on Fisher's exact
345 test and after FDR correction, while only 37 had significantly positive F_{IS} -values based on
346 a permutation test (Table S2). Using the corrected database for null alleles, 56 site-locus
347 combinations remained significantly deviating from HWE with the exact test, and 30 site-
348 locus comparisons still had significant $F_{IS} > 0$ with permutation tests (Table S2).

349 Of the 210 linkage disequilibrium tests performed, none was significant after
350 correcting for false positives (FDR), and none of the global tests for each pair of loci across
351 sites was significant. The estimated frequency of null alleles by site-locus combination
352 varied between 0 and 0.379, with an average frequency of 0.156 (SD = 0.089) across loci
353 and sites (Table S2).

354 3.2. Population genetic structure

355 *N. scabrosus* showed statistically significant global genetic structure ($\theta_{ST} = 0.013$, p
356 < 0.001 ; $D_{EST} = 0.040$, $p < 0.001$). Pairwise θ_{ST} and D_{EST} were significant for 27 and 21 of
357 the 45 comparisons at the nominal level ($\alpha = 0.05$), of which 22 and 15 remained
358 significant after corrections for multiple tests, respectively (Table 1). Significant pairwise
359 comparisons were mostly between sites north of PTal versus sites south of LMol, and the
360 sites with lowest and highest levels of differentiation were Guan vs. PTal (separated by
361 50.26 km) and Temb vs. Cura (separated by 419.88 km), respectively. CBaj had the highest
362 number of significant pairwise comparisons for both θ_{ST} and D_{EST} ($n = 9$ and 8,
363 respectively) followed by LMol ($n = 7$ and 6, respectively; Table 1). The first 2 coordinates
364 of PCoAs with θ_{ST} and D_{EST} values explained 87.62 and 87.88% of total variation,
365 respectively, and revealed similar structuring of sites (Fig. 2). The first axis of the PCoAs
366 separated 2 principal groups, one composed of sites from LMol to the south, the other with
367 the 3 northern sites (Temb, Apol, and CBaj), whilst Guan and PTal were between these 2
368 groups. Weak separation of sites within these regions was detected along the second PCoA
369 axis, with Temb separated from Apol and CBaj, and LMol and Cura from Mont, ECIM,
370 and Pich (Fig. 2).

371 Null alleles had some effect on our results: (1) the ENA method gave slightly, but
372 significantly, lower F_{ST} values (average F_{ST} with ENA = 0.00815, SD = 0.00693) than
373 those obtained without correction for the presence of null alleles (average F_{ST} without ENA
374 = 0.00951, SD = 0.00847; paired $t = 3.74$, $p < 0.001$); (2) global structure was lower but
375 still significant with the adjusted database ($\theta_{ST} = 0.012$, $p < 0.001$; $D_{EST} = 0.018$, $p =$
376 0.001); and (3) there were fewer significant pairwise comparisons after FDR corrections for
377 D_{EST} (only 1 significant comparison) and θ_{ST} (15 of 22 comparisons still significant).
378 However, the main pattern of differentiation between sites north and south of PTal-LMol
379 persisted with the adjusted database, as well as the most and least differentiated pairwise
380 comparisons (Table 1).

381 The cluster analysis performed using STRUCTURE confirmed the existence of 2
382 clusters, one south of LMol and the other north of PTal (Fig. 3). For $k = 3$, a new cluster
383 included CBaj, the northernmost site. According to Evanno's criteria, $k = 4$ was the most
384 likely number of clusters (Fig. S1). However, no clear spatial pattern could be recovered

385 from the assignment of individuals into these 4 clusters. This may be due to the correlated
386 allele frequencies model, which tolerates differentiation of closely related populations, but
387 is likely to overestimate k (Pritchard et al. 2000). The same trends were observed with the
388 full or the adjusted databases (results not shown).

389 **3.3. Demographic/geographic/environmental–genetic association analysis**

390 Linear regressions showed that CHLA2 alone explained 48 and 61% of the total
391 variance in Ar and Gd, respectively, having a significant positive linear relationship with
392 both genetic diversity indices throughout the study region (Fig. 4). Additionally, Cov
393 explained 33% of the variance of Ar, and SST2 and Lat explained 25 and 30% of the
394 variance of Gd, respectively, but these relationships were not statistically significant (Fig.
395 4).

396 Statistical control of covariables using GLM model selection identified the variable
397 CHLA2 as the most significant factor explaining variation in both Ar and Gd (Table 2). The
398 second and third best models include the variables CHLA1 and Cov, which is consistent
399 with results of the model selection based on AIC (Table 3), but the fraction of variance
400 explained by these variables was minor in comparison to CHLA2 (see Table 2).

401 A different result was obtained from the MRDM analysis, which showed that the
402 spatial structure (differences among sites) in SST2 and Cov had the strongest effects on
403 genetic differentiation, as measured by θ_{ST} and D_{EST} . The overall model showed significant
404 fit to the data ($p < 0.05$), and explained 55% of the total variance (Table 4).

405 **4. DISCUSSION**

406 The extent of effective dispersal and gene flow between populations in the coastal
407 ocean can be much more complex than previously thought (e.g. Pringle & Wares 2007,
408 Teske et al. 2016). In the present study, we found subtle, yet significant levels of genetic
409 differentiation in the intertidal barnacle *Notochthamalus scabrosus*, a species with high
410 dispersal potential. Main differences occurred between sites located to the north and south
411 of the reported phylogeographic latitudinal break at 30° S.

412 Our results suggest that population genetic diversity in *N. scabrosus* is influenced
413 by environmental regimes manifested in patterns of temporal variability of surface chl *a*
414 concentration, whereas among-site differences in SST fluctuations and benthic abundance
415 of adults appear to be significant drivers of population genetic differentiation over space.
416 More broadly, the presence of sites that are both environmentally and genetically
417 differentiated supports the idea of an ecological restriction to population connectivity,
418 despite the long residence of larvae in the water column.

419 Larval arrival from the plankton can be responsible for local abundance and genetic
420 variability patterns of benthic populations (Iacchei et al. 2013). We found that the temporal
421 variability in surface chl *a* was the most consistent covariable explaining spatial distribution
422 of *N. scabrosus* genetic diversity. This general result is in line with studies showing that
423 patterns of intraspecific genetic diversity of some mobile marine species are associated with
424 variation in chlorophyll concentration (Gaggiotti et al. 2009, Mendez et al. 2010, Amaral et
425 al. 2012). Variability in coastal chl *a* may be viewed as an integrated indicator of the
426 environmental conditions to which invertebrate larvae and onshore adults are exposed, and

427 likely determines both the feeding conditions (i.e. quantity and quality of food) and the
428 larval transport to/off the shore. During upwelling, high food availability can translate into
429 better larval condition and, at the same time, offshore and alongshore upwelling currents
430 can promote the mixing of the offshore larval pool (Barshis et al. 2011). Then, during
431 upwelling relaxation and downwelling events, this well fed/well mixed larval pool can
432 reach local populations. In this manner, sites with constant strong upwelling have few
433 possibilities of larval arrival due to increased larval waste (Roughgarden et al. 1988, Menge
434 & Menge 2013), while on the other hand, sites with constant weak upwelling have more
435 larval retention, therefore their recruitment comes from a poorly mixed larval pool. Other
436 things being equal or homogeneous, high phytoplankton availability in coastal waters
437 during larval development can therefore lead to higher recruitment (e.g. Olson & Olson
438 1989, Cushing 1990, Menge 2000) and high larval physiological quality that should
439 improve post-settlement survival (Jarrett & Pechenik 1997, Hentschel & Emlet 2000,
440 Phillips 2002) as well as overall juvenile condition (Bertness et al. 1991, Menge et al. 1997,
441 Sanford & Menge 2001). All of these factors may result in the maintenance of genetic
442 diversity from the larval pool. Thus, variable upwelling will maximize larval condition and
443 genetic diversity and, as predicted by the intermittent upwelling hypothesis (Menge &
444 Menge 2013), increase onshore recruitment. Further genetic studies should therefore
445 intensify sampling of recently settled larvae across more diverse upwelling conditions.
446 Indirect evidence about the effect of upwelling/relaxation dynamics on barnacle recruitment
447 (Navarrete et al. 2005, Lagos et al. 2008) and the significant positive cross-correlations
448 between mean chl *a* concentration and *N. scabrosus* recruitment and adult abundance
449 (Table S3) suggest that it is a possible mechanism to explain the genetic pattern in *N.*
450 *scabrosus*.

451 Adult cover was used as a proxy of local abundance of *N. scabrosus*, a factor that in
452 linear regressions explained 33% of total variance in allelic richness (although it was not
453 statistically significant, Fig. 4). From examination of Fig. 4f, it seems clear that the site
454 ECIM deviates largely from an otherwise good positive relationship formed by the other 9
455 sites. Indeed, removing ECIM from the analysis increases the relationship to $r^2 = 0.57$ ($p =$
456 0.019). The departure of ECIM from the general pattern illustrates well the complexity of
457 determinants of genetic diversity in natural systems and why such univariate relationships
458 between population size and genetic diversity are rarely found in marine environments (but
459 see McCusker & Bentzen 2010). ECIM has some of the historically highest recruitment
460 rates for *N. scabrosus* in the region (Navarrete et al. 2008), yet it displays one of the lowest
461 levels of genetic diversity (in both Ar and Gd indices, Table S2). Furthermore, only at
462 ECIM did individuals have levels of relatedness significantly larger than expected from
463 HWE (Fig. S2).

464 ECIM is located within Cartagena Bay, an open bay exposed to the southern swell,
465 but in an 'upwelling shadow' where upwelling advection is largely reduced, apparently
466 leading to high phytoplankton concentration (Wieters et al. 2003) and stronger stratification
467 than other sites (Kaplan et al. 2003, Bonicelli et al. 2014). On other shores of the world,
468 low current velocities and water re-circulation, leading to increased local larval retention
469 (McShane et al. 1988), create distinctive patterns of genetic diversity in local populations
470 (e.g. Dupont et al. 2007, Nicastro et al. 2008, Olivares-Bañuelos et al. 2008). Thus,
471 increased larval retention at ECIM, with comparatively low immigration from other
472 populations, as suggested by numerical circulation models (Aiken et al. 2007, Ospina-

473 Alvarez et al. 2018) and observational studies (Bonicelli et al. 2014), may explain the
474 higher genetic relatedness levels observed at this site. The reduced gains of genetic
475 diversity from other sites (poorly mixed larval pool) may be the cause of reduced allelic
476 richness, further supporting the relevance of connectivity patterns on adult population size
477 and genetic diversity.

478 In natural populations, a genetic discontinuity along a continuously colonized range
479 can arise as a consequence of an environmental discontinuity, either through selection
480 against migrants or reduced fitness of interlineage hybrids (Nosil et al. 2005). Both
481 mechanisms involve local adaptation in response to selection imposed by divergent biotic
482 or abiotic conditions (Sanford & Kelly 2011, Pflüger & Balkenhol 2014). Our results
483 support the idea that ‘environmental distance,’ imposed by among-site differences in SST,
484 is a relevant factor to explain genetic differentiation among *N. scabrosus* populations.
485 Indeed, a similar effect has been observed in mammals (Fullard et al. 2000, Amaral et al.
486 2012), fishes (Han et al. 2012, Diopere et al. 2018), and intertidal and shallow (<5 m depth)
487 coastal invertebrate species (Banks et al. 2010, Wei et al. 2013). Seawater temperature is
488 also one of the most important factors controlling reproduction, development, and growth
489 of ectothermic invertebrates (Pechenik 1987, O’Connor et al. 2007, Byrne 2011). In the
490 case of *N. scabrosus*, such adaptive divergence could be related to selective sorting of
491 competent larvae and/or to post-settlement processes such as temperature requirements for
492 metamorphosis and initial growth, or desiccation tolerance of recruits. Further studies
493 combining genomic tools with high-resolution dispersal models and local experiments with
494 settlers are necessary to discern among the possible mechanisms of population divergence.

495

5. CONCLUSION

496 Population genetic structure of *Notochthamalus scabrosus*, as assessed by neutral
497 markers, is characterized by a sharp genetic discontinuity around 30° S, confirming
498 previous conclusions based on mtCOI (Zakas et al. 2009, Laughlin et al. 2012). A modeling
499 study by Ewers-Saucedo et al. (2016) showed that dispersal alone could not generate such
500 genetic discontinuity, and that differential lineage performance in adjacent but divergent
501 environments must be considered. Our results strongly suggest that the environment is
502 indeed influencing the spatial pattern of genetic diversity in *N. scabrosus*. Two main
503 mechanisms could be hypothesized: temporal variability of the food (variation in
504 phytoplankton abundance) and dispersive (upwelling-associated currents) coastal
505 environments favor recruitment from a well fed/well mixed larval pool and therefore
506 increase the allelic richness of benthic populations; and the ecological divergence in coastal
507 ocean temperature may restrict effective dispersal across the 30° S boundary. Such patterns
508 have not been observed in other barnacles, which are traditionally assumed to have large
509 effective population sizes and large dispersal capacity, both of which could override the
510 effects mentioned above. We interpret these results as suggestive that coastal circulation
511 can limit larval connectivity among some populations, generating incomplete barriers to
512 dispersal, which in turns facilitates effects of isolation by environment. Hence, the results
513 of this work advance our understanding of how environmental seascapes can shape patterns
514 of genetic diversity and population differentiation. In particular, our results highlight the
515 importance of further defining the causal relationships between environmental variables
516 and genetic diversity patterns of wild populations in order to guide future region-wide
517 conservation and management efforts.

519 *Acknowledgements.* We thank to members of B.R.B.'s and S.A.N.'s groups for field
 520 assistance, as well as Gioconda Peralta for her guidance during DNA sequencing analyses.
 521 M.B. was supported by a CONICYT Doctoral Fellowship. The research was supported by
 522 the Center for Marine Conservation (grant ICM CCM RC130004) and the Center for
 523 Multiple Drivers of Marine Socio-Ecological Systems (grant ICM MUSELS NC120086),
 524 both of the Iniciativa Científica Milenio of the Ministerio de Economía, Fomento y
 525 Turismo. Further support for field work and oceanographic studies was provided by
 526 FONDECYT (grants 1160289 to S.A.N. and 1181300 to B.R.B.).

527

528

LITERATURE CITED

- 529 Aguirre C, Garreaud RD, Rutllant JA (2014) Surface ocean response to synoptic-scale
 530 variability in wind stress and heat fluxes off south-central Chile. *Dyn Atmos Oceans*
 531 65:64–85 doi:10.1016/j.dynatmoce.2013.11.001
- 532 Aiken CM, Navarrete SA, Castillo MI, Castilla JC (2007) Along-shore larval dispersal
 533 kernels in a numerical ocean model of the central Chilean coast. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser*
 534 339:13–24 doi:10.3354/meps339013
- 535 Aiken CM, Castillo MI, Navarrete SA (2008) A simulation of the Chilean Coastal Current
 536 and associated topographic upwelling near Valparaíso, Chile. *Cont Shelf Res* 28:2371–
 537 2381 doi:10.1016/j.csr.2008.05.006
- 538 Aiken CM, Navarrete SA, Pelegrí JL (2011) Potential changes in larval dispersal and
 539 alongshore connectivity on the central Chilean coast due to an altered wind climate. *J*
 540 *Geophys Res* 116:G04026 doi:10.1029/2011JG001731
- 541 Aljanabi SM, Martinez I (1997) Universal and rapid salt-extraction of high quality
 542 genomic DNA for PCR-based techniques. *Nucleic Acids Res* 25:4692–4693 PubMed
 543 doi:10.1093/nar/25.22.4692
- 544 Amaral AR, Beheregaray LB, Bilgmann K, Boutov D and others (2012) Seascape genetics
 545 of a globally distributed, highly mobile marine mammal: the short-beaked common
 546 dolphin (genus *Delphinus*). *PLOS ONE* 7:e31482 PubMed
 547 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031482
- 548 Amos W, Hoffman JI, Frodsham A, Zhang L, Best S, Hill VS (2007) Automated binning
 549 of microsatellite alleles: problems and solutions. *Mol Ecol Notes* 7:10–14
 550 doi:10.1111/j.1471-8286.2006.01560.x
- 551 Banks SC, Ling SD, Johnson CR, Piggott MP, Williamson JE, Beheregaray LB (2010)
 552 Genetic structure of a recent climate change-driven range extension. *Mol Ecol* 19:2011–
 553 2024 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04627.x
- 554 Barshis DJ, Sotka EE, Kelly RP, Sivasundar A, Menge BA, Barth JA, Palumbi SR (2011)
 555 Coastal upwelling is linked to temporal genetic variability in the acorn barnacle *Balanus*
 556 *glandula*. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 439:139–150 doi:10.3354/meps09339

557 Bekkevold D, André C, Dahlgren TG, Clausen LAW and others (2005) Environmental
558 correlates of population differentiation in Atlantic herring. *Evolution* 59:2656–2668
559 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2005.tb00977.x

560 Belkhir K, Borsa P, Chikhi L, Raufaste N, Bonhomme F (2004) GENETIX 4.04, logiciel
561 sous Windows TM pour la génétique des populations. Laboratoire Génome,
562 populations, interactions, CNRS UMR 5171, Université de Montpellier II, Montpellier

563 Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and
564 powerful approach to multiple testing. *J R Stat Soc B Methodol* 57:289–300

565 Bertness MD, Gaines SD, Bermudez D, Sanford E (1991) Extreme spatial variation in the
566 growth and reproductive output of the acorn barnacle *Semibalanus balanoides*. *Mar*
567 *Ecol Prog Ser* 75:91–100 doi:10.3354/meps075091

568 Bonicelli J, Tapia FJ, Navarrete SA (2014) Wind-driven diurnal temperature variability
569 across a small bay and the spatial pattern of intertidal barnacle settlement. *J Exp Mar*
570 *Biol Ecol* 461:350–356 doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2014.09.003

571 Brattström H, Johanssen A (1983) Ecological and regional zoogeography of the marine
572 benthic fauna of Chile. *Sarsia* 68:289–339 doi:10.1080/00364827.1983.10420583

573 Bravo L, Ramos M, Astudillo O, DeWitte B, Goubanova K (2016) Seasonal variability of
574 the Ekman transport and pumping in the upwelling system off central-northern Chile
575 (~30° S) based on a high-resolution atmospheric regional model (WRF). *Ocean Sci*
576 12:1049–1065 doi:10.5194/os-12-1049-2016

577 Broitman BR, Navarrete SA, Smith F, Gaines SD (2001) Geographic variation of
578 southeastern Pacific intertidal communities. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 224:21–34
579 doi:10.3354/meps224021

580 Broitman BR, Véliz F, Manzur T, Wieters EA and others (2011) Geographic variation in
581 diversity of wave exposed rocky intertidal communities along central Chile. *Rev Chil*
582 *Hist Nat* 84:143–154 doi:10.4067/S0716-078X2011000100011

583 Brookfield JF (1996) A simple new method for estimating null allele frequency from
584 heterozygote deficiency. *Mol Ecol* 5:453–455 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1365-
585 294X.1996.tb00336.x

586 Byrne M (2011) Impact of ocean warming and ocean acidification on marine invertebrate
587 life history stages: vulnerabilities and potential for persistence in a changing ocean.
588 *Oceanogr Mar Biol Annu Rev* 49:1–42

589 Camus PA (2001) Biogeografía marina de Chile continental. *Rev Chil Hist Nat* 74:587–
590 617 doi:10.4067/S0716-078X2001000300008

591 Chapuis MP, Estoup A (2007) Microsatellite null alleles and estimation of population
592 differentiation. *Mol Biol Evol* 24:621–631 PubMed doi:10.1093/molbev/msl191

593 Crutsinger GM, Collins MD, Fordyce JA, Gompert Z, Nice CC, Sanders NJ (2006) Plant
594 genotypic diversity predicts community structure and governs an ecosystem process.
595 *Science* 313:966–968 PubMed doi:10.1126/science.1128326

596 Cushing DH (1990) Plankton production and year-class strength in fish populations:an
597 update of the match /mismatch hypothesis. *Adv Mar Biol* 26:249–293
598 doi:10.1016/S0065-2881(08)60202-3

599 Darwin C (1854) A monograph on the subclass Cirripedia with figures of all the species.
600 The Balanidae, the Berrucidae, etc. Ray Society, London

601 Dempster AP, Laird NM, Rubin DB (1977) Maximum likelihood from incomplete data via
602 the EM algorithm. *J R Stat Soc B Methodol* 39:1–38

603 Dupont L, Ellien C, Viard F (2007) Limits to gene flow in the slipper limpet *Crepidula*
604 *forficata* as revealed by microsatellite data and a larval dispersal model. *Mar Ecol Prog*
605 *Ser* 349:125–138 doi:10.3354/meps07098

606 Earl DA, vonHoldt BM (2012) STRUCTURE HARVESTER: a website and program for
607 visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. *Conserv Genet*
608 *Resour* 4:359–361 doi:10.1007/s12686-011-9548-7

609 Ellegren H, Galtier N (2016) Determinants of genetic diversity. *Nat Rev Genet* 17:422–
610 433 PubMed doi:10.1038/nrg.2016.58

611 Evanno G, Regnaut S, Goudet J (2005) Detecting the number of clusters of individuals
612 using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Mol Ecol* 14:2611–2620 PubMed
613 doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02553.x

614 Ewers-Saucedo C, Pringle JM, Sepúlveda HH, Byers JE, Navarrete SA, Wares JP (2016)
615 The oceanic concordance of phylogeography and biogeography: a case study in
616 *Notochthamalus*. *Ecol Evol* 6:4403–4420 PubMed doi:10.1002/ece3.2205

617 Falush D, Stephens M, Pritchard JK (2003) Inference of population structure using
618 multilocus genotype data: linked loci and correlated allele frequencies. *Genetics*
619 164:1567–1587 PubMed

620 Falush D, Stephens M, Pritchard JK (2007) Inference of population structure using
621 multilocus genotype data: dominant markers and null alleles. *Mol Ecol Notes* 7:574–
622 578 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01758.x

623 Fullard KJ, Early G, Heide-Jørgensen MP, Bolch D, Rosing-Asvid A, Amos W (2000)
624 Population structure of long-finned pilot whales in the North Atlantic: a correlation
625 with sea surface temperature? *Mol Ecol* 9:949–958 PubMed doi:10.1046/j.1365-
626 294x.2000.00957.x

627 Gaggiotti OE, Bekkevold D, Jørgensen HB, Foll M, Carvalho GR, Andre C, Ruzzante DE
628 (2009) Disentangling the effects of evolutionary, demographic, and environmental
629 factors influencing genetic structure of natural populations: Atlantic herring as a case
630 study. *Evolution* 63:2939–2951 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00779.x

631 Garavelli L, Kaplan DM, Colas F, Stortz W, Yannicelli B, Lett C (2014) Identifying
632 appropriate spatial scales for marine conservation and management using a larval
633 dispersal model: the case of *Concholepas concholepas* (loco) in Chile. *Prog Oceanogr*
634 124:42–53 doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2014.03.011

- 635 Gerlach G, Jueterbock A, Kraemer P, Deppermann J, Harmand P (2010) Calculations of
636 population differentiation based on GST and D: Forget GST but not all of statistics!
637 Mol Ecol 19:3845–3852 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04784.x
- 638 Goslee S, Urban D (2007) The ecodist package for dissimilarity-based analysis of
639 ecological data. J Stat Softw 22:1–19 doi:10.18637/jss.v022.i07
- 640 Goudet J (2001) FSTAT, version 2.9.3.2, A program to estimate and test gene diversities
641 and fixation indices. Lausanne University
- 642 Han Z, Yanagimoto T, Zhang Y, Gao T (2012) Phylogeography study of *Ammodytes*
643 *personatus* in Northwestern Pacific: Pleistocene isolation, temperature and current
644 conducted secondary contact. PLOS ONE 7:e37425 PubMed
645 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037425
- 646 Haye PA, Segovia NI, Muñoz-Herrera NC, Gálvez FE and others (2014) Phylogeographic
647 structure in benthic marine invertebrates of the Southeast Pacific coast of Chile with
648 differing dispersal potential. PLOS ONE 9:e88613 PubMed
649 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088613
- 650 Hellberg ME (2009) Gene flow and isolation among populations of marine animals. Annu
651 Rev Ecol Evol Syst 40:291–310 doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.110308.120223
- 652 Heller R, Siegismund HR (2009) Relationship between three measures of genetic
653 differentiation GST, DEST and G \square ST: How wrong have we been? Mol Ecol 18:2080–
654 2083 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2009.04185.x
- 655 Hentschel BT, Emler RB (2000) Metamorphosis of barnacle nauplii: effects of food
656 variability and a comparison with amphibian models. Ecology 81:3495–3508
657 doi:10.1890/0012-9658(2000)081[3495:MOBNEO]2.0.CO;2
- 658 Hormazabal S, Shaffer G, Leth O (2004) Coastal transition zone off Chile. J Geophys Res
659 109:C01021 doi:10.1029/2003JC001956
- 660 Hubisz MJ, Falush D, Stephens M, Pritchard JK (2009) Inferring weak population
661 structure with the assistance of sample group information. Mol Ecol Resour 9:1322–
662 1332 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02591.x
- 663 Hughes AR, Inouye BD, Johnson MTJ, Underwood N, Vellend M (2008) Ecological
664 consequences of genetic diversity. Ecol Lett 11:609–623 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1461-
665 0248.2008.01179.x
- 666 Iacchi M, Ben-Horin T, Selkoe KA, Bird CE, García-Rodríguez FJ, Toonen RJ (2013)
667 Combined analyses of kinship and FST suggest potential divers of chaotic genetic
668 patchiness in high gene-flow populations. Mol Ecol 22:3476–3494 PubMed
669 doi:10.1111/mec.12341
- 670 Jakobsson M, Rosenberg NA (2007) CLUMPP: a cluster matching and permutation
671 program for dealing with label switching and multimodality in analysis of population
672 structure. Bioinformatics 23:1801–1806 PubMed doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btm233
- 673 Jarrett JN, Pechenik JA (1997) Temporal variation in cyprid quality and juvenile growth
674 capacity for an intertidal barnacle. Ecology 78:1262–1265 doi:10.1890/0012-
675 9658(1997)078[1262:TVICQA]2.0.CO;2

676 Jost L (2008) GST and its relatives do not measure differentiation. *Mol Ecol* 17:4015–
677 4026 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03887.x

678 Kaplan DM, Largier JL, Navarrete S, Guíñez R, Castilla JC (2003) Large diurnal
679 temperature fluctuations in the nearshore water column. *Estuar Coast Shelf Sci* 57:385–
680 398 doi:10.1016/S0272-7714(02)00363-3

681 Lagos NA, Castilla JC, Broitman BR (2008) Spatial environmental correlates of intertidal
682 recruitment: a test using barnacles in northern Chile. *Ecol Monogr* 78:245–261
683 doi:10.1890/07-0041.1

684 Lancellotti DA, Vasquez JA (1999) Biogeographical patterns of benthic
685 macroinvertebrates in the Southern Pacific littoral. *J Biogeogr* 26:1001–1006
686 doi:10.1046/j.1365-2699.1999.00344.x

687 Largier JL (2003) Considerations in estimating larval dispersal distances from
688 oceanographic data. *Ecol Appl* 13:71–89 doi:10.1890/1051-
689 0761(2003)013[0071:CIELDD]2.0.CO;2

690 Laughlin KM, Ewers C, Wares JP (2012) Mitochondrial lineages in *Notochthamalus*
691 *scabrosus* as indicators of coastal recruitment and interactions. *Ecol Evol* 2:1584–1591
692 PubMed doi:10.1002/ece3.283

693 Leberg PL (2002) Estimating allelic richness: effects of sample size and bottlenecks. *Mol*
694 *Ecol* 11:2445–2449 PubMed doi:10.1046/j.1365-294X.2002.01612.x

695 Legendre P, Lapointe FJ, Casgrain P (1994) Modeling brain evolution from behavior: a
696 permutational regression approach. *Evolution* 48:1487–1499 PubMed
697 doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.1994.tb02191.x

698 Leng L, Zhang DX (2011) Measuring population differentiation using GST or D? A
699 simulation study with microsatellite DNA markers under a finite island model and
700 nonequilibrium conditions. *Mol Ecol* 20:2494–2509 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1365-
701 294X.2011.05108.x

702 Manly BJJ (1986) Randomization and regression methods for testing for associations with
703 geographical, environmental and biological distances between populations. *Popul Ecol*
704 28:201–218 doi:10.1007/BF02515450

705 McCusker MR, Bentzen P (2010) Positive relationships between genetic diversity and
706 abundances in fishes. *Mol Ecol* 19:4852–4862 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1365-
707 294X.2010.04822.x

708 McRae BH (2006) Isolation by resistance. *Evolution* 60:1551–1561 PubMed
709 doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2006.tb00500.x

710 McShane PE, Black KP, Smith MG (1988) Recruitment processes in *Haliotis rubra*
711 (Mollusca: Gastropoda) and regional hydrodynamics in southeastern Australia imply
712 localized dispersal of larvae. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 124:175–203 doi:10.1016/0022-
713 0981(88)90171-2

714 Mendez M, Rosenbaum HC, Subramaniam A, Yackulic C, Bordinos P (2010) Isolation by
715 environmental distance in mobile marine species: molecular ecology of franciscana

716 dolphins at their southern range. *Mol Ecol* 19:2212–2228 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1365-
717 294X.2010.04647.x

718 Menge BA (2000) Recruitment vs. postrecruitment processes as determinants of barnacle
719 population abundance. *Ecol Monogr* 70:265–288 doi:10.1890/0012-
720 9615(2000)070[0265:RVPPAD]2.0.CO;2

721 Menge BA, Menge DNL (2013) Dynamics of coastal meta-ecosystems: the intermittent
722 upwelling hypothesis and a test in rocky intertidal regions. *Ecol Monogr* 83:283–310
723 doi:10.1890/12-1706.1

724 Menge BA, Daley BA, Wheeler PA, Straub PT (1997) Rocky intertidal oceanography: an
725 association between community structure and nearshore phytoplankton concentration.
726 *Limnol Oceanogr* 42:57–66 doi:10.4319/lo.1997.42.1.0057

727 Narváez DA, Navarrete SA, Largier J, Vargas CA (2006) Onshore advection of warm
728 water, larval invertebrate settlement, and relaxation of upwelling off central Chile. *Mar*
729 *Ecol Prog Ser* 309:159–173 doi:10.3354/meps309159

730 Navarrete SA, Wieters EA, Broitman BR, Castilla JC (2005) Scales of benthic–pelagic
731 coupling and the intensity of species interactions: from recruitment limitation to top-
732 down control. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102:18046–18051 PubMed
733 doi:10.1073/pnas.0509119102

734 Navarrete SA, Broitman BR, Menge BA (2008) Interhemispheric comparison of
735 recruitment to intertidal communities: pattern persistence and scales of variation.
736 *Ecology* 89:1308–1322 PubMed doi:10.1890/07-0728.1

737 Nicastro KR, Zardi GI, McQuaid CD, Teske PR, Barker NP (2008) Coastal topography
738 drives genetic structure in marine mussels. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 368:189–195
739 doi:10.3354/meps07607

740 Nickols KJ, White JW, Largier JL, Gaylord B (2015) Marine population connectivity:
741 reconciling large-scale dispersal and high self-retention. *Am Nat* 185:196–211 PubMed
742 doi:10.1086/679503

743 Nosil P, Vines TH, Funk DJ (2005) Reproductive isolation caused by natural selection
744 against immigrants from divergent habitats. *Evolution* 59:705–719 PubMed

745 O’Connor MI, Bruno JF, Gaines SD, Halpern BS, Lester SE, Kinlan BP, Weiss JM (2007)
746 Temperature control of larval dispersal and the implications for marine ecology,
747 evolution, and conservation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104:1266–1271 PubMed
748 doi:10.1073/pnas.0603422104

749 Olivares-Bañuelos NC, Enríquez-Paredes LM, Ladah LM, De La Rosa-Véliz J (2008)
750 Population structure of purple sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus* along the Baja
751 California peninsula. *Fish Sci* 74:804–812 doi:10.1111/j.1444-2906.2008.01592.x

752 Olson RR, Olson MH (1989) Food limitation of planktotrophic marine invertebrate larvae:
753 Does it control recruitment success? *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* 20:225–247
754 doi:10.1146/annurev.es.20.110189.001301

755 Ospina-Alvarez A, Weidberg N, Aiken CM, Navarrete SA (2018) Larval transport in the
756 upwelling ecosystem of central Chile: the effects of vertical migration, developmental
757 time and coastal topography on recruitment. *Prog Oceanogr* 168:82–99

758 Paine RT, Castilla JC, Cancino J (1985) Perturbation and recovery patterns of starfish
759 dominated intertidal assemblages in Chile, New Zealand and Washington State. *Am*
760 *Nat* 125:679–691 doi:10.1086/284371

761 Peakall R, Smouse PE (2012) GenAlEx 6.5: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic
762 software for teaching and research - an update. *Bioinformatics* 28:2537–2539 PubMed
763 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts460

764 Pechenik JA (1987) Environmental influences on larval survival and development. In:
765 Giese AC, Pearse JS, Pearse VB (eds) *Reproduction of marine invertebrates*, Vol 9.
766 Blackwell Scientific Publications, Palo Alto, CA, p 551–608

767 Pflüger FJ, Balkenhol N (2014) A plea for simultaneously considering matrix quality and
768 local environmental conditions when analyzing landscape impacts on effective
769 dispersal. *Mol Ecol* 23:2146–2156 PubMed doi:10.1111/mec.12712

770 Phillips NE (2002) Effects of nutrition-mediated larval condition on juvenile performance
771 in a marine mussel. *Ecology* 83:2562–2574 doi:10.1890/0012-
772 9658(2002)083[2562:EONMLC]2.0.CO;2

773 Pompanon F, Bonin A, Bellemain E, Taberlet P (2005) Genotyping errors: causes,
774 consequences and solutions. *Nat Rev Genet* 6:847–859 PubMed doi:10.1038/nrg1707

775 Pringle JM, Wares JP (2007) Going against the flow: maintenance of alongshore variation
776 in allele frequencies in a coastal ocean. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 335:69–84
777 doi:10.3354/meps335069

778 Pringle JM, Blakeslee AMH, Byers JE, Roman J (2011) Asymmetric dispersal allows an
779 upstream region to control population structure throughout a species' range. *Proc Natl*
780 *Acad Sci USA* 108:15288–15293 PubMed doi:10.1073/pnas.1100473108

781 Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P (2000) Inference of population structure using
782 multilocus genotype data. *Genetics* 155:945–959

783 R Core Development Team (2017) R: a language and environment for statistical
784 computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna

785 Reed DH, Frankham R (2003) Correlation between fitness and genetic diversity. *Conserv*
786 *Biol* 17:230–237 doi:10.1046/j.1523-1739.2003.01236.x

787 Rosenberg NA (2004) DISTRUCT: a program for the graphical display of population
788 structure. *Mol Ecol Notes* 4:137–138 doi:10.1046/j.1471-8286.2003.00566.x

789 Roughgarden J, Gaines S, Possingham H (1988) Recruitment dynamics in complex life
790 cycles. *Science* 241:1460–1466 PubMed doi:10.1126/science.11538249

791 Rousset F (2008) GENEPOP'007: a complete re-implementation of the GENEPOP
792 software for Windows and Linux. *Mol Ecol Resour* 8:103–106 PubMed
793 doi:10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01931.x

794 Sanford E, Kelly MW (2011) Local adaptation in marine invertebrates. *Annu Rev Mar Sci*
795 3:509–535 PubMed doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-120709-142756

796 Sanford E, Menge BA (2001) Spatial and temporal variation in barnacle growth in a
797 coastal upwelling system. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 209:143–157 doi:10.3354/meps209143

798 Selkoe KA, D’Aloia CC, Crandall ED, Iacchei M and others (2016) A decade of seascape
799 genetics: contributions to basic and applied marine connectivity. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser*
800 554:1–19 doi:10.3354/meps11792

801 Shinen JL, Navarrete SA (2010) Coexistence and intertidal zonation of chthamalid
802 barnacles along central Chile: interference competition or a lottery for space? *J Exp*
803 *Mar Biol Ecol* 392:176–187 doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2010.04.033

804 Silva N, Valdenegro A (2003) Evolución de un evento de surgencia frente a punta
805 Curaumilla, Valparaíso. *Investig Mar Valpo* 31:73–89

806 Strub PT, Mesias J, Montecino V, Rutllant J, Salinas S (1998) Coastal ocean circulation off
807 western South America. In: Robinson AR, Brink KH (eds) *The sea*, Vol 11. John
808 Wiley, New York, NY, p 273–313

809 Tapia FJ, Navarrete SA (2010) Spatial patterns of barnacle settlement in central Chile:
810 persistence at daily to inter-annual scales relative to the spatial signature of physical
811 variability. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 392:151–159 doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2010.04.031

812 Tapia FJ, Navarrete SA, Castillo M, Menge BA and others (2009) Thermal indices of
813 upwelling effects on inner-shelf habitats. *Prog Oceanogr* 83:278–287
814 doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2009.07.035

815 Tapia FJ, Largier JL, Castillo M, Wieters EA, Navarrete SA (2014) Latitudinal
816 discontinuity in thermal conditions along the nearshore of central-northern Chile. *PLOS*
817 *ONE* 9:e110841 PubMed doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0110841

818 Tellier F, Meynard AP, Correa JA, Faugeron S, Valero M (2009) Phylogeographic
819 analyses of the 30°S south-east Pacific biogeographic transition zone establish the
820 occurrence of a sharp genetic discontinuity in the kelp *Lessonia nigrescens*: vicariance
821 or parapatry? *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 53:679–693 PubMed
822 doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2009.07.030

823 Teske PR, Sandoval-Castillo J, van Sebille E, Waters J, Beheregaray LB (2016)
824 Oceanography promotes self-recruitment in a planktonic larval disperser. *Sci Rep*
825 6:34205 PubMed doi:10.1038/srep34205

826 Thomas AC (1999) Seasonal distribution of satellite-measured phytoplankton pigment
827 concentration along the Chilean coast. *J Geophys Res* 104:25877–25890
828 doi:10.1029/1999JC900171

829 Valdivia N, Aguilera MA, Navarrete SA, Broitman BR (2015) Disentangling the effects of
830 propagule supply and environmental filtering on the spatial structure of a rocky shore
831 metacommunity. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 538:67–79 doi:10.3354/meps11493

832 van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DPM, Shipley P (2004) MICRO-CHECKER:
833 software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Mol*
834 *Ecol Notes* 4:535–538 doi:10.1111/j.1471-8286.2004.00684.x

- 835 Vargas CA, Narváez DA, Piñones A, Venegas RM, Navarrete SA (2004) Internal tidal
836 bore warm fronts and settlement of invertebrates in central Chile. *Estuar Coast Shelf Sci*
837 61:603–612 doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2004.07.006
- 838 Venegas RM, Ortíz V, Olguín A, Navarrete SA (2000) Larval development of the
839 intertidal barnacles *Jehlius cirratus* and *Notochthamalus scabrosus* (Cirripedia:
840 Chthamalidae) under laboratory conditions. *J Crustac Biol* 20:495–504
841 doi:10.1163/20021975-99990065
- 842 Wang IJ (2013) Examining the full effects of landscape heterogeneity on spatial genetic
843 variation: a multiple matrix regression approach for quantifying geographic and
844 ecological isolation. *Evolution* 67:3403–3411 PubMed doi:10.1111/evo.12134
- 845 Wang IJ, Bradburg GS (2014) Isolation by environment. *Mol Ecol* 23:5649–5662 PubMed
846 doi:10.1111/mec.12938
- 847 Wei K, Wood AR, Gardner JPA (2013) Seascape genetics of the New Zealand greenshell
848 mussel: sea surface temperature explains macrogeographic scale genetic variation. *Mar*
849 *Ecol Prog Ser* 477:107–121 doi:10.3354/meps10158
- 850 Weir BS, Cockerham CC (1984) Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population
851 structure. *Evolution* 38:1358–1370 PubMed
- 852 Wieters EA, Kaplan DM, Navarrete SA, Sotomayor A, Largier J, Nielsen KJ, Véliz F
853 (2003) Alongshore and temporal variability in chlorophyll a concentration in Chilean
854 nearshore waters. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 249:93–105 doi:10.3354/meps249093
- 855 Wieters EA, Broitman BR, Branch GM (2009) Benthic community structure and spatio-
856 temporal thermal regimes in two upwelling ecosystems: comparisons between South
857 Africa and Chile. *Limnol Oceanogr* 54:1060–1072 doi:10.4319/lo.2009.54.4.1060
- 858 Wright S (1943) Isolation by distance. *Genetics* 28:114–138 PubMed
- 859 Zakas C, Binford J, Navarrete SA, Wares JP (2009) Restricted gene flow in Chilean
860 barnacles reflects an oceanographic and biogeographic transition zone. *Mar Ecol Prog*
861 *Ser* 394:165–177 doi:10.3354/meps08265

862 Table 1. Among-site genetic differentiation of *Notochthamalus scabrosus* at 7
863 microsatellite loci. Analyses were done with (A) the original database and (B) the database
864 corrected for null alleles. Values of θ_{ST} are above the diagonal and D_{EST} values are below
865 the diagonal. CBaj: Carrizal Bajo, Apol: Apollillado, Temb: Temblador, Guan:
866 Guanaqueros, PTal: Punta Talca, LMol: Los Molles, Cura: Curaumilla, ECIM: Estación
867 Costera de Investigaciones Marinas (Las Cruces), Pich: Pichilemu (see Fig. 1). Shaded
868 boxes indicate values significant at the nominal level ($p < 0.05$). Values in **bold** indicate
869 significant values after false discovery rate correction

A	CBaj	Apol	Temb	Guan	PTal	LMol	Mont	Cura	ECIM	Pich
CBaj	–	0.007	0.013	0.011	0.009	0.022	0.025	0.023	0.023	0.020
Apol	0.039	–	0.005	0.001	0.005	0.016	0.021	0.019	0.017	0.012
Temb	0.065	0.028	–	0.000	0.004	0.020	0.009	0.027	0.015	0.000
Guan	0.053	0.009	0.004	–	–0.005	0.010	0.005	0.008	0.008	0.004
PTal	0.043	0.031	0.023	–0.024	–	0.008	0.007	0.007	0.005	–0.001
LMol	0.099	0.079	0.091	0.043	0.031	–	0.009	0.002	0.009	0.005
Mont	0.105	0.095	0.038	0.020	0.024	0.036	–	0.004	–0.004	–0.002
Cura	0.103	0.091	0.126	0.030	0.023	0.009	0.017	–	0.003	0.009
ECIM	0.090	0.071	0.059	0.027	0.012	0.035	–0.013	0.015	–	–0.002
Pich	0.086	0.057	0.001	0.015	–0.004	0.024	–0.008	0.038	–0.005	–

870

B	CBaj	Apol	Temb	Guan	PTal	LMol	Mont	Cura	ECIM	Pich
CBaj	–	0.004	0.010	0.006	0.011	0.016	0.020	0.023	0.018	0.019
Apol	0.013	–	0.007	0.001	0.004	0.008	0.015	0.013	0.012	0.010
Temb	0.037	0.013	–	0.003	0.009	0.013	0.005	0.024	0.008	0.001
Guan	0.027	–0.009	–0.012	–	0.007	0.008	0.007	0.008	0.010	0.007
PTal	0.010	–0.002	–0.008	–0.045	–	0.011	0.016	0.015	0.010	0.010
LMol	0.073	0.054	0.060	0.016	0.007	–	0.007	0.004	0.009	0.005
Mont	0.079	0.070	0.018	0.005	0.001	0.019	–	0.007	0.000	0.000
Cura	0.084	0.063	0.105	0.010	0.002	–0.006	0.005	–	0.007	0.006
ECIM	0.068	0.052	0.039	0.016	–0.009	0.019	–0.022	0.001	–	0.000
Pich	0.061	0.035	–0.010	0.003	–0.026	0.003	–0.020	0.023	–0.021	–

871

872 Table 2. Results of generalized linear modeling (GLM) analyses employed to identify the
873 best fit model for 5 variables explaining genetic diversity of *Notochthamalus scabrosus*.
874 AIC: Akaike's information criterion, Ar: allelic richness; Gd: gene diversity;
875 CHLA1(CHLA2): PC1 (PC2) of chlorophyll *a* concentration; SST1 (SST2): PC1 (PC2) of
876 sea surface temperature; Cov: adult cover of *N. scabrosus* (\log_{10} transformed); Lon:
877 longitude, VarExp: variance explained. Values in **bold** are significant ($p < 0.05$)

GLM test				Test of effects		VarExp
Initial full model	Best fit models	p	AIC	Variable	p	(%)
Ar~CHLA1+CHLA2 +SST1+Cov+Lon	Ar~CHLA2+Cov+Lon	0.568	19.38	CHLA2	0.044	48.61
				Cov	0.123	15.39
				Lon	0.436	3.74
	Ar~CHLA2+Cov	< 0.001	18.47	CHLA2	0.043	48.61
				Cov	0.127	15.39
	Ar~CHLA2	< 0.001	20.03	CHLA2	0.025	48.61
Gd~CHLA1+CHLA2 +SST1+Cov+Lon	Gd~CHLA1+CHLA2+Cov	< 0.001	57.61	CHLA1	0.125	2.96
				CHLA2	0.010	67.80
				Cov	0.369	3.97
	Gd~CHLA1+CHLA2	< 0.001	58.15	CHLA1	0.173	2.96
				CHLA2	0.005	67.80
	Gd~CHLA2	< 0.001	57.31	CHLA2	0.008	67.80

878

879 Table 3. Results of the stepAIC analyses employed to identify the best fit model for 5
880 variables explaining genetic diversity of *Notochthamalus scabrosus*. Abbreviations as in
881 Table 2

stepAIC test		
Initial full model	Best fit model	AIC
Ar~CHLA1+CHLA2 +SST1+Cov+Lon	Ar~CHLA2+Cov	22.69
Gd~CHLA1+CHLA2 +SST1+Cov+Lon	Gd~CHLA1+CHLA2	-54.74

882

883 Table 4. Results of multiple regression on distance matrices (MRDM). Abbreviations as in
884 Table 2; values in **bold** are significant ($p < 0.05$)

MRDM full model		Coef	p	R ²	F	p
$\theta_{ST} \sim$ CHLA1+CHLA2+SST1+SST2	Int	0.007	0.512	0.55	6.445	0.008
+Rec+Cov+Lon	CHLA1	-0.006	0.534			
	CHLA2	-0.001	0.953			
	SST1	-0.041	0.629			
	SST2	0.024	0.002			

	Rec	0.004	0.401			
	Cov	-0.000	0.036			
	Lon	-0.014	0.084			
<hr/>						
	$D_{EST} \sim$					
CHLA1+CHLA2+SST1+SST2	Int	0.007	0.508	0.55	6.445	0.007
+Rec+Cov+Lon	CHLA1	-0.006	0.527			
	CHLA2	-0.001	0.951			
	SST1	-0.041	0.618			
	SST2	0.024	0.002			
	Rec	0.004	0.405			
	Cov	-0.000	0.035			
	Lon	0.078	0.078			

885


886 Fig. 1. Central-northern coast of Chile, showing the 10 sampling sites and weekly averages
887 of sea surface temperature (SST) for nearshore areas. ECIM: Estación Costera de
888 Investigaciones Marinas

889 Fig. 2. Principal coordinates analysis calculated by θ_{ST} (top) and D_{EST} (bottom) values of 10
890 sites studied. For θ_{ST} and D_{EST} indices, the first 2 axes explain 87.62 and 87.88% of the
891 total variation, respectively. Site abbreviations as in **Table 1**



892 Fig. 3. STRUCTURE assignment of individual *Notochthamalus scabrosus* across all sites
893 into clusters for k between 2 and 4. Colors indicate percentage contribution of individuals
894 to assigned clusters (y-axis), individuals are represented by each line (x-axis); black lines
895 separate sites from which individuals were collected. Site abbreviations as in **Table 1**

896 Fig. 4. Results for the linear regressions among 8 predictive and 2 dependent variables. Ar:
897 allelic richness, Gd: gene diversity; CHLA1 (CHLA2): PC1 (PC2) of chlorophyll a
898 concentration; SST1 (SST2): PC1 (PC2) of sea surface temperature; Rec: arrival rate of
899 larval *Notochthamalus scabrosus* (\log_{10} transformed); Cov: adult cover of *N. scabrosus*
900 (\log_{10} transformed); Lat: latitude; Lon: longitude. Star in panel f represents the Estación
901 Costera de Investigaciones Marinas (ECIM) site


902



903
904




$k = 2$




CBaj Apol Temb Guan PTal LMol Mont Cura ECIM Pich

$k = 3$




CBaj Apol Temb Guan PTal LMol Mont Cura ECIM Pich



$k = 4$




CBaj Apol Temb Guan PTal LMol Mont Cura ECIM Pich



909
910



911
912 Figure S1
913



914

915 Figure S2