Environmental and demographic factors influence the spatial genetic structure of an intertidal barnacle in central-northern Chile

Mario Barahona, Bernardo R Broitman, Sylvain Faugeron, Lucie Jaugeon, A Ospina-Alvarez, David Véliz, Sergio A Navarrete

► To cite this version:

Mario Barahona, Bernardo R Broitman, Sylvain Faugeron, Lucie Jaugeon, A Ospina-Alvarez, et al.. Environmental and demographic factors influence the spatial genetic structure of an intertidal barnacle in central-northern Chile. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 2019, 612, pp.151-165. 10.3354/meps12855 . hal-02064081

HAL Id: hal-02064081

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-02064081>

Submitted on 11 Mar 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Environmental and demographic factors influence the spatial genetic structure of an intertidal barnacle in central-northern Chile

Mario Barahona^{1,2}, Bernardo R. Broitman^{3,4}, Sylvain Faugeron^{2,5,*}, Lucie Jaugeon⁵, Andrés Ospina-Alvarez⁶, David Véliz⁷, Sergio A. Navarrete^{1,8}

¹Estación Costera de Investigaciones Marinas - Las Cruces, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, El Tabo 2690931, Chile

²Departamento de Ecología, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago 8331150, Chile

³Centro de Estudios Avanzados en Zonas Áridas, Universidad Católica del Norte, Coquimbo 1781421, Chile

⁴Departamento de Biología Marina, Facultad de Ciencias del Mar, Universidad Católica del Norte, Coquimbo 1781421, Chile

⁵CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Universidad Austral de Chile, UMI Evolutionary Biology and Ecology of Algae, Station Biologique de Roscoff, 29680 Roscoff, France

⁶Mediterranean Institute for Advanced Studies (IMEDEA-CSIC/UIB), C/Miguel Marques 21, CP 07190 Esporles, Balearic Islands, Spain

⁷Departamento de Ciencias Ecológicas, Instituto de Ecología y Biodiversidad (IEB), Nucleo Milenio de Ecología y Manejo Sustentable de Islas Oceánicas (ESMOI), Universidad de Chile, Santiago 7800003, Chile

⁸Center for Applied Ecology and Sustainability (CAPES) and LINCGlobal, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Chile

RPH: Barahona et al.: Genetic structure of intertidal barnacles

*Corresponding author: sfaugeron@bio.puc.cl

ABSTRACT: Understanding the multiplicity of processes producing genetic patterns in natural populations can shed light on the ecology and evolution of species, and help guide effective management and conservation strategies. Here we investigated the role of environmental, demographic, and geographic factors in shaping the spatial patterns of genetic diversity and differentiation of the intertidal barnacle *Notochthamalus scabrosus* along the central-northern coast of Chile (28–34° S). We analyzed genetic data from 7 microsatellite loci genotyped for 300 individuals sampled from 10 sites and combined this

information with 8 site-specific environmental (4), demographic (2), and geographic (2) variables using least squares linear regressions, generalized linear models, and matrix regression analyses. We found a strong association between the spatially structured genetic diversity of *N. scabrosus* and patterns of temporal variability in chlorophyll *a*, and among-site differences in seawater temperature and adult abundance, which in turn was related to overall recruitment levels. Our results illustrate that population size, partly driven by recruitment success, can leave a signal on genetic structure of this highly dispersive marine species. The significant effect of temperature and chlorophyll *a* stresses that local adaptation may be key to understanding the spatial genetic structure of our model species. Hence, the results of this work represent an advance towards understanding the usually complex causal relationships between environmental variables, gene flow, and genetic diversity patterns of coastal populations.

KEY WORDS: *Notochthamalus scabrosus* · Seascape genetics · Larval dispersal · Coastal oceanography · Marine connectivity

1. INTRODUCTION

Population genetic diversity is important for a range of ecological and evolutionary processes. For example, genetic diversity can determine community structure and primary productivity (Crutsinger et al. 2006). It can be associated with the population growth rate of species (Hughes et al. 2008), and it allows species to adapt to changing environments and fosters persistence over evolutionary time scales (Reed & Frankham 2003). Therefore, a strong scientific understanding of the processes that influence spatial genetic variation, genetic diversity, and population structure in nature is of paramount importance to implement efficient conservation and management strategies.

In marine systems, an early paradigm assumed that most organisms were highly dispersive and presented large population sizes, and thus were able to resist genetic divergence at all but perhaps the largest spatial scales. This overly simplistic view was gradually replaced by an increased understanding of hydrographic heterogeneity in the coastal ocean and the advent of molecular genetics, which unveiled many potential causes for genetic structure and speciation in organisms with large dispersal potential (Hellberg 2009, Selkoe et al. 2016). For example, due to the dependence of genetic diversity on population effective size (Ellegren & Galtier 2016), demographic changes along the geographic range of a species can leave a discernible footprint in its spatial genetic makeup. Also, a realistic oceanic environment, especially when there is strong topographic modulation, presents ample opportunities for variation and restriction in effective dispersal distances (Largier 2003, Pringle et al. 2011, Nickols et al. 2015). Geographic distance per se imposes a distance limitation to gene flow, driving increased genetic differentiation with increasing distance between populations, a pattern known as isolation by distance (Wright 1943). Besides the limitation imposed by dispersal between distant populations, phenotype–environment mismatches can impose biological barriers to gene flow (Nosil et al. 2005), producing an isolation by environment, where populations with greater environmental dissimilarity exhibit higher levels of genetic differentiation, blurring or reinforcing patterns generated by geographic distance alone (Wang & Bradburg 2014).

High-resolution molecular and environmental data are now routinely used to assess the influence of landscape-scale environmental characteristics on genetic variation and

spatial patterns in natural populations of species. Altogether, the mounting evidence suggests that considerable genetic structure occurs in marine populations around areas where environmental oceanographic factors exhibit strong spatial structure or geographic discontinuity.

The central-northern coast of Chile represents an interesting study system to evaluate the effects of environmental, demographic and geographic factors on genetic diversity and differentiation of marine organisms. Superimposed on what are smooth latitudinal trends in mean sea surface temperature (SST) along this highly productive upwelling ecosystem, there is a marked change in oceanographic regimes that takes place around 30° S. Such geographic discontinuity entails changes in upwelling-driven coastal circulation (Hormazabal et al. 2004, Aiken et al. 2011, Aguirre et al. 2014), as well as prevailing hydrographic conditions, such as SST variability, surface chlorophyll, and nutrient availability (Navarrete et al. 2005, Tapia et al. 2014). Coincidentally, at this same latitude, several studies have reported the occurrence of geographic distribution endpoints of several intertidal and subtidal invertebrate species (Lancellotti & Vasquez 1999, Camus 2001), phylogeographic breaks of several invertebrates and macroalgae (Tellier et al. 2009, Haye et al. 2014), and large changes in population dynamics and abundance of dominant rocky shore species that otherwise extend far beyond this region (Broitman et al. 2001, Navarrete et al. 2005, 2008).

The geographic range of the intertidal barnacle *Notochthamalus scabrosus* (Darwin 1854) spans the 30° S transition zone, and its complete larval development to settlement takes well over 1 mo at the water temperatures typically encountered in central Chile (Venegas et al. 2000). At the same time, the advective nature of coastal flow along central Chile (Aiken et al. 2007) sets the stage for a comparatively high potential for larval dispersal and genetic flow among distant populations of this species, as shown by biophysical models for other long-distance dispersers in the region (Garavelli et al. 2014). Moreover, large variation in larval arrival rates and adult cover have been reported for the central-northern coast, which has been attributed to differences in the temporal regime of upwelling-favorable winds (Navarrete et al. 2005, Lagos et al. 2008). A phylogenetic break in the *N. scabrosus* mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I gene (mtCOI) around 30° S was reported by Zakas et al. (2009). Although spatially stable, there are significant temporal changes in gene frequencies near the break (< 30° 55' S), presumably related to source–sink dynamics and/or low effective population sizes in this zone (Laughlin et al. 2012). Based on a large-scale circulation model, Ewers-Saucedo et al. (2016) suggested that the genetic break of *N. scabrosus* around 30° S requires differential performance of mtCOI lineages along the coast; in other words, it could not be maintained by dispersal limitation alone. Therefore, the diversity and genetic structure of *N. scabrosus* may respond to multiple causes, such as phylogeography, demography, geographical isolation, and selection pressures driven by environmental variation along the coastline.

This study takes advantage of the genetic information gathered for *N. scabrosus*, based on mtCOI, as well as of a long-term database (5–13 yr) of monthly larval arrival (recruitment) of this species at multiple sites spanning the reported latitudinal break. Together with surveys of adult abundance and satellite-based information of environmental (oceanographic) variables for the region (28–34° S), and the development of neutral microsatellite markers, we assessed the potential influence of nearshore environmental,

demographic, and geographic factors on the genetic diversity and population structure patterns of *N. scabrosus*. Using neutral markers of gene flow allowed us to (1) characterize patterns of genetic diversity and the spatial genetic structure in *N. scabrosus* and (2) determine the relative importance of environmental, demographic, and geographic factors for genetic variation between and within populations of this widely distributed barnacle species.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Hydrography of the study area

The coast of Chile between 18 and 42° S is under the broad influence of the northward flowing Humboldt Current (also called Chile–Peru Current). Close to shore, coastal hydrography is dominated by the dynamics of the Chilean Coastal Current (CCC), a predominantly northward surface stream forced by the prevailing south and southwest upwelling-favorable winds (Aiken et al. 2008, 2011), which intensify during spring and early summer months, and around coastal topographic features (Strub et al. 1998, Tapia et al. 2009, Bravo et al. 2016). Our study area is located in a fairly straight shoreline stretch (Fig. 1) and is exposed to direct wave action (Narváez et al. 2006).

Within the study area, the main upwelling centers are Punta Talca, Punta Toro, Curaumilla, Pichilemu, and, to a lesser extent, Los Molles (Silva & Valdenegro 2003, Wieters et al. 2003, Tapia et al. 2009, 2014). In contrast, the bays of Cartagena, Valparaíso, and Coquimbo remain relatively protected from upwelling (Kaplan et al. 2003, Vargas et al. 2004, Aiken et al. 2008). Four sampling sites (PTal, LMol, Cura, and Pich) were located in active upwelling centers, and 4 sites, namely Temb and Guan (Coquimbo Bay), Mont (Valparaíso Bay), and ECIM (Cartagena Bay), were located in places of weak upwelling. For the 2 remaining sites (Apol and CBaj), records from *in situ* SST suggest that the hydrography of Apol may be similar to that of weak upwelling sites, while CBaj seems to be under the influence of active upwelling (Valdivia et al. 2015).

An important geographic discontinuity in upwelling-favorable winds occurs around 30–32° S (Strub et al. 1998, Thomas 1999, Hormazabal et al. 2004, Navarrete et al. 2005). North of this latitude, equatorward winds are weaker but more persistent throughout the year, while to the south winds are stronger but temporarily more variable (Hormazabal et al. 2004, Navarrete et al. 2005). The change in oceanographic regimes determines or modulates the concentration and temporal variability of surface phytoplankton (Thomas 1999), nutrient regimes (NO₃) of coastal waters (Tapia et al. 2014), and functional structure of benthic communities (Broitman et al. 2001, Navarrete et al. 2005, Wieters et al. 2009).

2.2. Study species

Notochthamalus scabrosus is distributed along most of the rocky coasts of Ecuador, Peru, and Chile (Brattström & Johanssen 1983). In the zone occupied by chthamalid barnacles, *N. scabrosus* inhabits the 3 intertidal elevations, with greater abundance in the middle and upper intertidal zones (Paine et al. 1985, Shinen & Navarrete 2010). Adults are sessile filter feeding, hermaphroditic brooders. The life cycle of *N. scabrosus* includes a pelagic larval stage that lasts about 37 d at 15–18°C, with 6 naupliar stages with planktotrophic feeding and a cyprid stage competent for settlement (Venegas et al. 2000).

Cyprid settlement occurs in pulses of larval arrival to the coast during a few days within the recruitment period, which is mainly concentrated in spring–summer (Tapia & Navarrete 2010).

2.3. Sampling of individuals, DNA extraction, and genotyping of microsatellites

At each of 10 study sites, 30 *N. scabrosus* adults of 3–6.4 mm rostrocranial length were collected from rocky platforms approximately 10–30 m long × 4–8 m wide. Individual barnacles were identified as *N. scabrosus* in the field and were removed from the rock with a scalpel and immediately stored in tubes with 95% ethanol for preservation. Total DNA was extracted using the salt/Proteinase K method (Aljanabi & Martinez 1997) and quantified in a spectrophotometer (Nanodrop).

Seven microsatellite loci were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The microsatellite development procedure, the conditions under which the PCRs were performed, and the GenBank accession numbers can be found in Table S1 in the Supplement at www.int-res/articles/suppl/m123p456_supp.pdf. Alleles were identified by capillary electrophoresis in an ABI3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems), and the Excel FLEXIBIN macro (Amos et al. 2007) was used to calibrate the reading and allele binning of each locus.

2.4. Genetic polymorphism

The total number of observed alleles (N_a), number of private alleles (P_a), and observed (H_o) and expected (H_e) heterozygosity were calculated in GENALEX 6.5 (Peakall & Smouse 2012). Per locus gene diversity (G_d) and standardized allelic richness (A_r) were calculated in the FSTAT software version 2.9.3.2 (Goudet 2001). The A_r index was calculated using the rarefaction method to avoid bias due to differences in sample size (Leberg 2002). To evaluate deviations from the Hardy-Weinberg expectations (HWE), Fisher's exact tests were performed for heterozygote deficits at each site–locus combination, and U -score tests for global HWE per site through loci and per locus across sites (dememorization 10000; 100 batches; 10000 iterations) using the GENEPOP 4.2 software (Rousset 2008). Linkage disequilibrium between all pairs of loci at each site and between each pair of loci across sites was assessed by Fisher's exact tests implemented in GENEPOP with this same parameter set. The inbreeding coefficient F_{IS} by locus and site was quantified with GENETIX 4.05 (Belkhir et al. 2004), and departures from random expectations were assessed by 10000 permutations. For all multiple comparisons, the nominal level of significance of 5% was adjusted using the false discovery rate (FDR; Benjamini & Hochberg 1995).

To test for large allele dropout and stuttering and to estimate the frequency of null alleles at each site–locus combination following Brookfield (1996: Eq. 4), data were analyzed with the MICROCHECKER software (van Oosterhout et al. 2004).

2.5. Population genetic structure

Global and pairwise genetic differentiation was evaluated calculating θ_{ST} (Weir & Cockerham 1984) and D_{EST} (Jost 2008) indices, in GENALEX 6.5 (Peakall & Smouse 2012) and running 10000 permutations to evaluate their significance. Jost's D_{EST} outperforms G_{ST} and its relatives (F_{ST}) over a range of sample sizes, including in situations

where we have highly variable microsatellite loci with different numbers of alleles (Heller & Siegismund 2009, Gerlach et al. 2010), but it is recommended to compare results between differentiation indices (Leng & Zhang 2011). In all multiple comparisons, sites were used as population units, and the nominal level of significance, 5%, was adjusted using FDR. To identify population relationships in a 2-dimensional space, principal coordinate analyses (PCoAs) of the sites were computed and graphed in GENALEX 6.5 using the θ_{ST} and D_{EST} differentiation indices.

As null alleles can impose error in differentiation estimates (Pompanon et al. 2005), 2 approximations were conducted. First, using MICROCHECKER, we obtained a new database corrected for null alleles. MICROCHECKER adjusts the number of homozygote genotypes to reflect the estimated frequency of null alleles and the likely number of homozygotes given the adjusted allele frequencies and assuming random mating. We then repeated the previous differentiation analysis using the database adjusted by the frequency of null alleles. Second, pairwise F_{ST} with and without the null allele correction was estimated using the expectation-maximization (EM) algorithm (Dempster et al. 1977) with ENA correction to give an accurate estimate of F_{ST} in the presence of null alleles using FREENA (Chapuis & Estoup 2007). The uncorrected and corrected pairwise F_{ST} were then compared by means of a paired t -test.

To estimate the number of genetically differentiated groups, Bayesian-based clustering was used as implemented in STRUCTURE 2.3.4 (Pritchard et al. 2000). STRUCTURE was run using the admixture model, the assumption of correlated allelic frequencies between clusters, with and without the recessive alleles option that accounts for the null alleles (Falush et al. 2003, 2007), and considering sampling site information (Hubisz et al. 2009). From Zakas et al. (2009) and Laughlin et al. (2012), we know that $k = 1$ can be rejected, so all runs were made for k values between 2 and 10. Ten independent runs with 500000 Markov chain Monte Carlo replicates and a burn-in length of 50000 were used for each value of k . In order to select the k value that best captures the structure of the data, the statistic Δk , a measure of the second-order rate of change in the likelihood of k (Evanno et al. 2005) was implemented in STRUCTURE HARVESTER (Earl & vonHoldt 2012), and the values of Δk as a function of k were plotted. In CLUMPP 1.1 (Jakobsson & Rosenberg 2007), we merged the results of the 10 runs for each value of k , and DISTRICT 1.1 (Rosenberg 2004) was used to graphically visualize the results.

2.6. Demographic variables

2.6.1. Recruitment rates

At each site, an estimate of arrival rates of larval *N. scabrosus* was obtained by quantifying recruitment onto 10×10 cm Plexiglas plates covered with SafetyWalkTM (3M), an anti-slip surface that provides a heterogeneous substrate for larvae settlement and ensures homogeneity of conditions across plates and sites (Menge 2000). Five replicate collectors were fastened to the rocky substrate with stainless-steel bolts in the mid-upper intertidal zones of rocky platforms exposed to swell. Replicate collectors were replaced monthly, and recruitment rates were standardized to the number of ind. collector⁻¹ d⁻¹. The monthly recruitment rates were then averaged to obtain the annual recruitment rates, and these in turn were averaged over the years to estimate the per site recruitment rate. At 8 of the 10 study sites, the collectors were initially deployed in late 1999 or early 2000, whereas

at the 2 northernmost sites (CBaj and Apol), recruitment surveys began in mid-2009. The recruitment time series used here covered the period up to December 2013 for all sites.

2.6.2. Adult cover (abundance)

At each site, the benthic abundance of *N. scabrosus* was estimated using 7 to 10 quadrats of 0.25 m², located along ca. 20–30 m alongshore transects. Transects were repeated at 3 intertidal elevations (low, mid-, and high intertidal zones) of the same rocky platforms where we deployed larval collectors. The 50 × 50 cm quadrat frame was divided into 25 equal squares with monofilament line, which was used to visually estimate adult abundance of *N. scabrosus* as percentage cover. Cover surveys were conducted approximately every 6 mo. For more details about the field methods, see Broitman et al. (2011).

2.7. Environmental and geographic variables

Environmental heterogeneity imposed by hydrographic conditions such as SST and productivity can directly or indirectly affect population genetic structure in marine organisms (Bekkevold et al. 2005, Mendez et al. 2010, Wei et al. 2013). A multivariate indicator of environmental variability was constructed to test for correlation with the spatial genetic structure of *N. scabrosus*. To this end, spatio-temporal variations in chlorophyll *a* (chl *a*) concentration (mg m⁻³) and SST (°C) over a period of 10 yr (January 2003 to December 2013) were processed from monthly averages of Aqua MODIS satellite data with a 4 km spatial resolution using MatLab R2014a. Temporal variability in chl *a* and SST across the region was then decomposed by 2 separate principal component analyses (PCAs) of the respective time series, so that scores of sites on PC1 and PC2 (typically called empirical orthogonal function (EOF1 and EOF2) in the oceanographic literature, as they are carried out in the time domain), were used as multivariate representations of environmental conditions for either SST or chl *a*. In these analyses, the first axis (PC1) is dominated by the seasonal amplitude, with positive/negative values corresponding to sites with strong/weak seasonality. The second mode (PC2) is dominated by higher frequency variability, which in our system is chiefly synoptic variation corresponding to upwelling dynamics (see Wieters et al. 2009, Tapia et al. 2014, Valdivia et al. 2015 for similar analyses). Latitudinal (Lat) and longitudinal (Lon) positions of each site were used as descriptors of geographic structure.

2.8. Environmental/demographic/geographic–genetic association analysis

Three complementary approaches, i.e. simple linear regressions, multi model selection, and matrix regression, were employed to test for associations among environmental, demographic, and geographic factors with the spatially structured genetic diversity of *N. scabrosus*. The linear regressions and model selection analyses used location (site)-specific data to evaluate the influence of our explicative variables on genetic diversity across sampling sites. The matrix regression analyses used the explicative variables as a proxy of ‘seascape resistance’ (McRae 2006) to evaluate their effects on among-site genetic differentiation. Our 8 predictive variables were the PC1 and PC2 of chl *a* and SST (hereafter CHLA1, CHLA2, SST1, and SST2), long-term averages of recruitment rates (Rec) and adult cover (Cov), and geographic location (Lat and Lon). The variables Rec and Cov were log₁₀ transformed before analyses to approximate normal distributions.

First, we conducted least squares (LS) linear multiple regression analyses between each metric of genetic diversity (Ar and Gd) and our 8 predictive variables. Second, a sensitivity analysis was performed using generalized linear models (GLMs) to select the best model of variables to explain spatial variation in our metrics of genetic diversity. Because some predictor variables are highly correlated (see Table S3), we examined the impact of collinearity using the variance inflation factor (VIF) before running analyses. The variables Lat, Rec, and SST2 showed high (>10) VIF values, and were removed to minimize VIF values (<5). We then followed a stepwise approach for the sensitivity analysis, which was repeated for the 2 dependent variables (Ar and Gd) in R (R Core Development Team 2017): We (1) ran a full GLM that includes all predictive variables with VIF values <5 ; (2) examined the scatterplot of residuals versus predicted values (both in terms of the slope of the relationship and in the dispersion of the values) to check for the absence of trends; (3) sequentially removed (one by one) all predictive variables that were not significant ($p > 0.05$); (4) selected the most parsimonious ‘suboptimal’ GLM through a manual stepwise procedure according to the relative contribution of each factor to the variance explained by the model retaining predictive variables with a relative contribution $\geq 10\%$; (5) computed LS means of the dependent variable for each model parameter in order to assess the effect of specific variables on the dependent variables.

As an alternative way to obtain the best subset of explicative variables, we performed stepwise selection (both forward and backward) using the stepAIC function from the ‘mass’ package in R. This function uses the exact Akaike’s information criterion (AIC) as the model selection criterion. Third, we used multiple regressions on distance matrices (MRDM; Manly 1986, Legendre et al. 1994) to estimate the independent effects of explicative variables on *N. scabrosus* among-site genetic differentiation. Briefly, partial regression slopes were estimated using standard multiple linear regression, but the significance of each term was determined by randomly permuting the explanatory variables one at a time while keeping the others constant (Wang 2013). This analysis was implemented using the ‘ecodist’ package (Goslee & Urban 2007) in R, and significance was based on 10000 permutations using the genetic distance matrices (θ_{ST} and D_{EST}) as response variables. Each of 8 matrices representing environmental, demographic, and geographic differences among sites were used as the predictor variables. Data were converted into matrices of pairwise distances calculating the absolute differences from site-specific values of each variable following Amaral et al. (2012). Due to its high VIF value (>10), the geographical variable Lat was removed, so a subset of 7 predictor variables was retained for the subsequent analysis.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Genetic polymorphism

The 7 microsatellite loci reached a total of 158 alleles in the 300 individuals of *Notochthamalus scabrosus* genotyped, which ranged from 79 in CBaj to 95 in Temb. In addition, we found 27 private alleles, with the highest number observed at Apol ($Pa = 6$). In contrast, Pich shared all of its alleles with most other sites. The Ar ranged from 11.0 in CBaj to 13.2 in Temb (mean Ar = 12.01 ± 0.72), while Gd ranged from 0.77 in ECIM to 0.83 in Apol (mean Gd = 0.81 ± 0.02). Both Ar and Gd indices showed a peak at Guan,

Temb and Apol (located around Coquimbo Bay), while the lowest values were found at CBaj and ECIM for Ar, and at ECIM and Mont for Gd (Table S2).

All 10 populations exhibited significant heterozygote deficiency. Of the 70 site–locus combinations, 58 showed a significant deviation from HWE based on Fisher’s exact test and after FDR correction, while only 37 had significantly positive F_{IS} -values based on a permutation test (Table S2). Using the corrected database for null alleles, 56 site–locus combinations remained significantly deviating from HWE with the exact test, and 30 site–locus comparisons still had significant $F_{IS} > 0$ with permutation tests (Table S2).

Of the 210 linkage disequilibrium tests performed, none was significant after correcting for false positives (FDR), and none of the global tests for each pair of loci across sites was significant. The estimated frequency of null alleles by site–locus combination varied between 0 and 0.379, with an average frequency of 0.156 (SD = 0.089) across loci and sites (Table S2).

3.2. Population genetic structure

N. scabrosus showed statistically significant global genetic structure ($\theta_{ST} = 0.013$, $p < 0.001$; $D_{EST} = 0.040$, $p < 0.001$). Pairwise θ_{ST} and D_{EST} were significant for 27 and 21 of the 45 comparisons at the nominal level ($\alpha = 0.05$), of which 22 and 15 remained significant after corrections for multiple tests, respectively (Table 1). Significant pairwise comparisons were mostly between sites north of PTal versus sites south of LMol, and the sites with lowest and highest levels of differentiation were Guan vs. PTal (separated by 50.26 km) and Temb vs. Cura (separated by 419.88 km), respectively. CBaj had the highest number of significant pairwise comparisons for both θ_{ST} and D_{EST} ($n = 9$ and 8 , respectively) followed by LMol ($n = 7$ and 6 , respectively; Table 1). The first 2 coordinates of PCoAs with θ_{ST} and D_{EST} values explained 87.62 and 87.88% of total variation, respectively, and revealed similar structuring of sites (Fig. 2). The first axis of the PCoAs separated 2 principal groups, one composed of sites from LMol to the south, the other with the 3 northern sites (Temb, Apol, and CBaj), whilst Guan and PTal were between these 2 groups. Weak separation of sites within these regions was detected along the second PCoA axis, with Temb separated from Apol and CBaj, and LMol and Cura from Mont, ECIM, and Pich (Fig. 2).

Null alleles had some effect on our results: (1) the ENA method gave slightly, but significantly, lower F_{ST} values (average F_{ST} with ENA = 0.00815, SD = 0.00693) than those obtained without correction for the presence of null alleles (average F_{ST} without ENA = 0.00951, SD = 0.00847; paired $t = 3.74$, $p < 0.001$); (2) global structure was lower but still significant with the adjusted database ($\theta_{ST} = 0.012$, $p < 0.001$; $D_{EST} = 0.018$, $p = 0.001$); and (3) there were fewer significant pairwise comparisons after FDR corrections for D_{EST} (only 1 significant comparison) and θ_{ST} (15 of 22 comparisons still significant). However, the main pattern of differentiation between sites north and south of PTal–LMol persisted with the adjusted database, as well as the most and least differentiated pairwise comparisons (Table 1).

The cluster analysis performed using STRUCTURE confirmed the existence of 2 clusters, one south of LMol and the other north of PTal (Fig. 3). For $k = 3$, a new cluster included CBaj, the northernmost site. According to Evanno’s criteria, $k = 4$ was the most likely number of clusters (Fig. S1). However, no clear spatial pattern could be recovered

from the assignment of individuals into these 4 clusters. This may be due to the correlated allele frequencies model, which tolerates differentiation of closely related populations, but is likely to overestimate k (Pritchard et al. 2000). The same trends were observed with the full or the adjusted databases (results not shown).

3.3. Demographic/geographic/environmental–genetic association analysis

Linear regressions showed that CHLA2 alone explained 48 and 61% of the total variance in Ar and Gd, respectively, having a significant positive linear relationship with both genetic diversity indices throughout the study region (Fig. 4). Additionally, Cov explained 33% of the variance of Ar, and SST2 and Lat explained 25 and 30% of the variance of Gd, respectively, but these relationships were not statistically significant (Fig. 4).

Statistical control of covariables using GLM model selection identified the variable CHLA2 as the most significant factor explaining variation in both Ar and Gd (Table 2). The second and third best models include the variables CHLA1 and Cov, which is consistent with results of the model selection based on AIC (Table 3), but the fraction of variance explained by these variables was minor in comparison to CHLA2 (see Table 2).

A different result was obtained from the MRDM analysis, which showed that the spatial structure (differences among sites) in SST2 and Cov had the strongest effects on genetic differentiation, as measured by θ_{ST} and D_{EST} . The overall model showed significant fit to the data ($p < 0.05$), and explained 55% of the total variance (Table 4).

4. DISCUSSION

The extent of effective dispersal and gene flow between populations in the coastal ocean can be much more complex than previously thought (e.g. Pringle & Wares 2007, Teske et al. 2016). In the present study, we found subtle, yet significant levels of genetic differentiation in the intertidal barnacle *Notochthamalus scabrosus*, a species with high dispersal potential. Main differences occurred between sites located to the north and south of the reported phylogeographic latitudinal break at 30° S.

Our results suggest that population genetic diversity in *N. scabrosus* is influenced by environmental regimes manifested in patterns of temporal variability of surface chl *a* concentration, whereas among-site differences in SST fluctuations and benthic abundance of adults appear to be significant drivers of population genetic differentiation over space. More broadly, the presence of sites that are both environmentally and genetically differentiated supports the idea of an ecological restriction to population connectivity, despite the long residence of larvae in the water column.

Larval arrival from the plankton can be responsible for local abundance and genetic variability patterns of benthic populations (Iacchei et al. 2013). We found that the temporal variability in surface chl *a* was the most consistent covariable explaining spatial distribution of *N. scabrosus* genetic diversity. This general result is in line with studies showing that patterns of intraspecific genetic diversity of some mobile marine species are associated with variation in chlorophyll concentration (Gaggiotti et al. 2009, Mendez et al. 2010, Amaral et al. 2012). Variability in coastal chl *a* may be viewed as an integrated indicator of the environmental conditions to which invertebrate larvae and onshore adults are exposed, and

likely determines both the feeding conditions (i.e. quantity and quality of food) and the larval transport to/off the shore. During upwelling, high food availability can translate into better larval condition and, at the same time, offshore and alongshore upwelling currents can promote the mixing of the offshore larval pool (Barshis et al. 2011). Then, during upwelling relaxation and downwelling events, this well fed/well mixed larval pool can reach local populations. In this manner, sites with constant strong upwelling have few possibilities of larval arrival due to increased larval waste (Roughgarden et al. 1988, Menge & Menge 2013), while on the other hand, sites with constant weak upwelling have more larval retention, therefore their recruitment comes from a poorly mixed larval pool. Other things being equal or homogeneous, high phytoplankton availability in coastal waters during larval development can therefore lead to higher recruitment (e.g. Olson & Olson 1989, Cushing 1990, Menge 2000) and high larval physiological quality that should improve post-settlement survival (Jarrett & Pechenik 1997, Hentschel & Emlet 2000, Phillips 2002) as well as overall juvenile condition (Bertness et al. 1991, Menge et al. 1997, Sanford & Menge 2001). All of these factors may result in the maintenance of genetic diversity from the larval pool. Thus, variable upwelling will maximize larval condition and genetic diversity and, as predicted by the intermittent upwelling hypothesis (Menge & Menge 2013), increase onshore recruitment. Further genetic studies should therefore intensify sampling of recently settled larvae across more diverse upwelling conditions. Indirect evidence about the effect of upwelling/relaxation dynamics on barnacle recruitment (Navarrete et al. 2005, Lagos et al. 2008) and the significant positive cross-correlations between mean chl *a* concentration and *N. scabrosus* recruitment and adult abundance (Table S3) suggest that it is a possible mechanism to explain the genetic pattern in *N. scabrosus*.

Adult cover was used as a proxy of local abundance of *N. scabrosus*, a factor that in linear regressions explained 33% of total variance in allelic richness (although it was not statistically significant, Fig. 4). From examination of Fig. 4f, it seems clear that the site ECIM deviates largely from an otherwise good positive relationship formed by the other 9 sites. Indeed, removing ECIM from the analysis increases the relationship to $r^2 = 0.57$ ($p = 0.019$). The departure of ECIM from the general pattern illustrates well the complexity of determinants of genetic diversity in natural systems and why such univariate relationships between population size and genetic diversity are rarely found in marine environments (but see McCusker & Bentzen 2010). ECIM has some of the historically highest recruitment rates for *N. scabrosus* in the region (Navarrete et al. 2008), yet it displays one of the lowest levels of genetic diversity (in both Ar and Gd indices, Table S2). Furthermore, only at ECIM did individuals have levels of relatedness significantly larger than expected from HWE (Fig. S2).

ECIM is located within Cartagena Bay, an open bay exposed to the southern swell, but in an 'upwelling shadow' where upwelling advection is largely reduced, apparently leading to high phytoplankton concentration (Wieters et al. 2003) and stronger stratification than other sites (Kaplan et al. 2003, Bonicelli et al. 2014). On other shores of the world, low current velocities and water re-circulation, leading to increased local larval retention (McShane et al. 1988), create distinctive patterns of genetic diversity in local populations (e.g. Dupont et al. 2007, Nicastro et al. 2008, Olivares-Bañuelos et al. 2008). Thus, increased larval retention at ECIM, with comparatively low immigration from other populations, as suggested by numerical circulation models (Aiken et al. 2007, Ospina-

Alvarez et al. 2018) and observational studies (Bonicelli et al. 2014), may explain the higher genetic relatedness levels observed at this site. The reduced gains of genetic diversity from other sites (poorly mixed larval pool) may be the cause of reduced allelic richness, further supporting the relevance of connectivity patterns on adult population size and genetic diversity.

In natural populations, a genetic discontinuity along a continuously colonized range can arise as a consequence of an environmental discontinuity, either through selection against migrants or reduced fitness of interlineage hybrids (Nosil et al. 2005). Both mechanisms involve local adaptation in response to selection imposed by divergent biotic or abiotic conditions (Sanford & Kelly 2011, Pflüger & Balkenhol 2014). Our results support the idea that ‘environmental distance,’ imposed by among-site differences in SST, is a relevant factor to explain genetic differentiation among *N. scabrosus* populations. Indeed, a similar effect has been observed in mammals (Fullard et al. 2000, Amaral et al. 2012), fishes (Han et al. 2012, Diopere et al. 2018), and intertidal and shallow (<5 m depth) coastal invertebrate species (Banks et al. 2010, Wei et al. 2013). Seawater temperature is also one of the most important factors controlling reproduction, development, and growth of ectothermic invertebrates (Pechenik 1987, O’Connor et al. 2007, Byrne 2011). In the case of *N. scabrosus*, such adaptive divergence could be related to selective sorting of competent larvae and/or to post-settlement processes such as temperature requirements for metamorphosis and initial growth, or desiccation tolerance of recruits. Further studies combining genomic tools with high-resolution dispersal models and local experiments with settlers are necessary to discern among the possible mechanisms of population divergence.

5. CONCLUSION

Population genetic structure of *Notochthamalus scabrosus*, as assessed by neutral markers, is characterized by a sharp genetic discontinuity around 30° S, confirming previous conclusions based on mtCOI (Zakas et al. 2009, Laughlin et al. 2012). A modeling study by Ewers-Saucedo et al. (2016) showed that dispersal alone could not generate such genetic discontinuity, and that differential lineage performance in adjacent but divergent environments must be considered. Our results strongly suggest that the environment is indeed influencing the spatial pattern of genetic diversity in *N. scabrosus*. Two main mechanisms could be hypothesized: temporal variability of the food (variation in phytoplankton abundance) and dispersive (upwelling-associated currents) coastal environments favor recruitment from a well fed/well mixed larval pool and therefore increase the allelic richness of benthic populations; and the ecological divergence in coastal ocean temperature may restrict effective dispersal across the 30° S boundary. Such patterns have not been observed in other barnacles, which are traditionally assumed to have large effective population sizes and large dispersal capacity, both of which could override the effects mentioned above. We interpret these results as suggestive that coastal circulation can limit larval connectivity among some populations, generating incomplete barriers to dispersal, which in turns facilitates effects of isolation by environment. Hence, the results of this work advance our understanding of how environmental seascapes can shape patterns of genetic diversity and population differentiation. In particular, our results highlight the importance of further defining the causal relationships between environmental variables and genetic diversity patterns of wild populations in order to guide future region-wide conservation and management efforts.

518

519 *Acknowledgements.* We thank to members of B.R.B.'s and S.A.N.'s groups for field
520 assistance, as well as Gioconda Peralta for her guidance during DNA sequencing analyses.
521 M.B. was supported by a CONICYT Doctoral Fellowship. The research was supported by
522 the Center for Marine Conservation (grant ICM CCM RC130004) and the Center for
523 Multiple Drivers of Marine Socio-Ecological Systems (grant ICM MUSELS NC120086),
524 both of the Iniciativa Científica Milenio of the Ministerio de Economía, Fomento y
525 Turismo. Further support for field work and oceanographic studies was provided by
526 FONDECYT (grants 1160289 to S.A.N. and 1181300 to B.R.B.).

527

528

LITERATURE CITED

- 529 Aguirre C, Garreaud RD, Rutllant JA (2014) Surface ocean response to synoptic-scale
530 variability in wind stress and heat fluxes off south-central Chile. *Dyn Atmos Oceans*
531 65:64–85 doi:10.1016/j.dynatmoce.2013.11.001
- 532 Aiken CM, Navarrete SA, Castillo MI, Castilla JC (2007) Along-shore larval dispersal
533 kernels in a numerical ocean model of the central Chilean coast. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser*
534 339:13–24 doi:10.3354/meps339013
- 535 Aiken CM, Castillo MI, Navarrete SA (2008) A simulation of the Chilean Coastal Current
536 and associated topographic upwelling near Valparaíso, Chile. *Cont Shelf Res* 28:2371–
537 2381 doi:10.1016/j.csr.2008.05.006
- 538 Aiken CM, Navarrete SA, Pelegrí JL (2011) Potential changes in larval dispersal and
539 alongshore connectivity on the central Chilean coast due to an altered wind climate. *J*
540 *Geophys Res* 116:G04026 doi:10.1029/2011JG001731
- 541 Aljanabi SM, Martinez I (1997) Universal and rapid salt-extraction of high quality
542 genomic DNA for PCR-based techniques. *Nucleic Acids Res* 25:4692–4693 PubMed
543 doi:10.1093/nar/25.22.4692
- 544 Amaral AR, Beheregaray LB, Bilgmann K, Boutov D and others (2012) Seascape genetics
545 of a globally distributed, highly mobile marine mammal: the short-beaked common
546 dolphin (genus *Delphinus*). *PLOS ONE* 7:e31482 PubMed
547 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031482
- 548 Amos W, Hoffman JI, Frodsham A, Zhang L, Best S, Hill VS (2007) Automated binning
549 of microsatellite alleles: problems and solutions. *Mol Ecol Notes* 7:10–14
550 doi:10.1111/j.1471-8286.2006.01560.x
- 551 Banks SC, Ling SD, Johnson CR, Piggott MP, Williamson JE, Beheregaray LB (2010)
552 Genetic structure of a recent climate change-driven range extension. *Mol Ecol* 19:2011–
553 2024 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04627.x
- 554 Barshis DJ, Sotka EE, Kelly RP, Sivasundar A, Menge BA, Barth JA, Palumbi SR (2011)
555 Coastal upwelling is linked to temporal genetic variability in the acorn barnacle *Balanus*
556 *glandula*. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 439:139–150 doi:10.3354/meps09339

- Bekkevoeld D, André C, Dahlgren TG, Clausen LAW and others (2005) Environmental correlates of population differentiation in Atlantic herring. *Evolution* 59:2656–2668 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2005.tb00977.x
- Belkhir K, Borsa P, Chikhi L, Raufaste N, Bonhomme F (2004) GENETIX 4.04, logiciel sous Windows TM pour la génétique des populations. Laboratoire Génome, populations, interactions, CNRS UMR 5171, Université de Montpellier II, Montpellier
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J R Stat Soc B Methodol* 57:289–300
- Bertness MD, Gaines SD, Bermudez D, Sanford E (1991) Extreme spatial variation in the growth and reproductive output of the acorn barnacle *Semibalanus balanoides*. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 75:91–100 doi:10.3354/meps075091
- Bonicelli J, Tapia FJ, Navarrete SA (2014) Wind-driven diurnal temperature variability across a small bay and the spatial pattern of intertidal barnacle settlement. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 461:350–356 doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2014.09.003
- Brattström H, Johanssen A (1983) Ecological and regional zoogeography of the marine benthic fauna of Chile. *Sarsia* 68:289–339 doi:10.1080/00364827.1983.10420583
- Bravo L, Ramos M, Astudillo O, DeWitte B, Goubanova K (2016) Seasonal variability of the Ekman transport and pumping in the upwelling system off central-northern Chile (~30° S) based on a high-resolution atmospheric regional model (WRF). *Ocean Sci* 12:1049–1065 doi:10.5194/os-12-1049-2016
- Broitman BR, Navarrete SA, Smith F, Gaines SD (2001) Geographic variation of southeastern Pacific intertidal communities. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 224:21–34 doi:10.3354/meps224021
- Broitman BR, Véliz F, Manzur T, Wieters EA and others (2011) Geographic variation in diversity of wave exposed rocky intertidal communities along central Chile. *Rev Chil Hist Nat* 84:143–154 doi:10.4067/S0716-078X2011000100011
- Brookfield JF (1996) A simple new method for estimating null allele frequency from heterozygote deficiency. *Mol Ecol* 5:453–455 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.1996.tb00336.x
- Byrne M (2011) Impact of ocean warming and ocean acidification on marine invertebrate life history stages: vulnerabilities and potential for persistence in a changing ocean. *Oceanogr Mar Biol Annu Rev* 49:1–42
- Camus PA (2001) Biogeografía marina de Chile continental. *Rev Chil Hist Nat* 74:587–617 doi:10.4067/S0716-078X2001000300008
- Chapuis MP, Estoup A (2007) Microsatellite null alleles and estimation of population differentiation. *Mol Biol Evol* 24:621–631 PubMed doi:10.1093/molbev/msl191
- Crutsinger GM, Collins MD, Fordyce JA, Gompert Z, Nice CC, Sanders NJ (2006) Plant genotypic diversity predicts community structure and governs an ecosystem process. *Science* 313:966–968 PubMed doi:10.1126/science.1128326

- Cushing DH (1990) Plankton production and year-class strength in fish populations: an update of the match /mismatch hypothesis. *Adv Mar Biol* 26:249–293
doi:10.1016/S0065-2881(08)60202-3
- Darwin C (1854) A monograph on the subclass Cirripedia with figures of all the species. The Balanidae, the Berrucidae, etc. Ray Society, London
- Dempster AP, Laird NM, Rubin DB (1977) Maximum likelihood from incomplete data via the EM algorithm. *J R Stat Soc B Methodol* 39:1–38
- Dupont L, Ellien C, Viard F (2007) Limits to gene flow in the slipper limpet *Crepidula fornicata* as revealed by microsatellite data and a larval dispersal model. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 349:125–138 doi:10.3354/meps07098
- Earl DA, vonHoldt BM (2012) STRUCTURE HARVESTER: a website and program for visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. *Conserv Genet Resour* 4:359–361 doi:10.1007/s12686-011-9548-7
- Ellegren H, Galtier N (2016) Determinants of genetic diversity. *Nat Rev Genet* 17:422–433 PubMed doi:10.1038/nrg.2016.58
- Evanno G, Regnaut S, Goudet J (2005) Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Mol Ecol* 14:2611–2620 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02553.x
- Ewers-Saucedo C, Pringle JM, Sepúlveda HH, Byers JE, Navarrete SA, Wares JP (2016) The oceanic concordance of phylogeography and biogeography: a case study in *Notochthamalus*. *Ecol Evol* 6:4403–4420 PubMed doi:10.1002/ece3.2205
- Falush D, Stephens M, Pritchard JK (2003) Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data: linked loci and correlated allele frequencies. *Genetics* 164:1567–1587 PubMed
- Falush D, Stephens M, Pritchard JK (2007) Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data: dominant markers and null alleles. *Mol Ecol Notes* 7:574–578 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01758.x
- Fullard KJ, Early G, Heide-Jørgensen MP, Bolch D, Rosing-Asvid A, Amos W (2000) Population structure of long-finned pilot whales in the North Atlantic: a correlation with sea surface temperature? *Mol Ecol* 9:949–958 PubMed doi:10.1046/j.1365-294x.2000.00957.x
- Gaggiotti OE, Bekkevold D, Jørgensen HB, Foll M, Carvalho GR, Andre C, Ruzzante DE (2009) Disentangling the effects of evolutionary, demographic, and environmental factors influencing genetic structure of natural populations: Atlantic herring as a case study. *Evolution* 63:2939–2951 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00779.x
- Garavelli L, Kaplan DM, Colas F, Stortz W, Yannicelli B, Lett C (2014) Identifying appropriate spatial scales for marine conservation and management using a larval dispersal model: the case of *Concholepas concholepas* (loco) in Chile. *Prog Oceanogr* 124:42–53 doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2014.03.011

- Gerlach G, Jueterbock A, Kraemer P, Deppermann J, Harmand P (2010) Calculations of population differentiation based on GST and D: Forget GST but not all of statistics! *Mol Ecol* 19:3845–3852 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04784.x
- Goslee S, Urban D (2007) The ecodist package for dissimilarity-based analysis of ecological data. *J Stat Softw* 22:1–19 doi:10.18637/jss.v022.i07
- Goudet J (2001) FSTAT, version 2.9.3.2, A program to estimate and test gene diversities and fixation indices. Lausanne University
- Han Z, Yanagimoto T, Zhang Y, Gao T (2012) Phylogeography study of *Ammodytes personatus* in Northwestern Pacific: Pleistocene isolation, temperature and current conducted secondary contact. *PLOS ONE* 7:e37425 PubMed doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037425
- Haye PA, Segovia NI, Muñoz-Herrera NC, Gálvez FE and others (2014) Phylogeographic structure in benthic marine invertebrates of the Southeast Pacific coast of Chile with differing dispersal potential. *PLOS ONE* 9:e88613 PubMed doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088613
- Hellberg ME (2009) Gene flow and isolation among populations of marine animals. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst* 40:291–310 doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.110308.120223
- Heller R, Siegismund HR (2009) Relationship between three measures of genetic differentiation GST, DEST and G_{ST}: How wrong have we been? *Mol Ecol* 18:2080–2083 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2009.04185.x
- Hentschel BT, Emlet RB (2000) Metamorphosis of barnacle nauplii: effects of food variability and a comparison with amphibian models. *Ecology* 81:3495–3508 doi:10.1890/0012-9658(2000)081[3495:MOBNEO]2.0.CO;2
- Hormazabal S, Shaffer G, Leth O (2004) Coastal transition zone off Chile. *J Geophys Res* 109:C01021 doi:10.1029/2003JC001956
- Hubisz MJ, Falush D, Stephens M, Pritchard JK (2009) Inferring weak population structure with the assistance of sample group information. *Mol Ecol Resour* 9:1322–1332 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02591.x
- Hughes AR, Inouye BD, Johnson MTJ, Underwood N, Vellend M (2008) Ecological consequences of genetic diversity. *Ecol Lett* 11:609–623 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01179.x
- Iacchei M, Ben-Horin T, Selkoe KA, Bird CE, García-Rodríguez FJ, Toonen RJ (2013) Combined analyses of kinship and FST suggest potential divers of chaotic genetic patchiness in high gene-flow populations. *Mol Ecol* 22:3476–3494 PubMed doi:10.1111/mec.12341
- Jakobsson M, Rosenberg NA (2007) CLUMPP: a cluster matching and permutation program for dealing with label switching and multimodality in analysis of population structure. *Bioinformatics* 23:1801–1806 PubMed doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btm233
- Jarrett JN, Pechenik JA (1997) Temporal variation in cyprid quality and juvenile growth capacity for an intertidal barnacle. *Ecology* 78:1262–1265 doi:10.1890/0012-9658(1997)078[1262:TVICQA]2.0.CO;2

676 Jost L (2008) GST and its relatives do not measure differentiation. *Mol Ecol* 17:4015–
677 4026 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03887.x

678 Kaplan DM, Largier JL, Navarrete S, Guíñez R, Castilla JC (2003) Large diurnal
679 temperature fluctuations in the nearshore water column. *Estuar Coast Shelf Sci* 57:385–
680 398 doi:10.1016/S0272-7714(02)00363-3

681 Lagos NA, Castilla JC, Broitman BR (2008) Spatial environmental correlates of intertidal
682 recruitment: a test using barnacles in northern Chile. *Ecol Monogr* 78:245–261
683 doi:10.1890/07-0041.1

684 Lancellotti DA, Vasquez JA (1999) Biogeographical patterns of benthic
685 macroinvertebrates in the Southern Pacific littoral. *J Biogeogr* 26:1001–1006
686 doi:10.1046/j.1365-2699.1999.00344.x

687 Largier JL (2003) Considerations in estimating larval dispersal distances from
688 oceanographic data. *Ecol Appl* 13:71–89 doi:10.1890/1051-
689 0761(2003)013[0071:CIELDD]2.0.CO;2

690 Laughlin KM, Ewers C, Wares JP (2012) Mitochondrial lineages in *Notochthamalus*
691 *scabrosus* as indicators of coastal recruitment and interactions. *Ecol Evol* 2:1584–1591
692 PubMed doi:10.1002/ece3.283

693 Leberg PL (2002) Estimating allelic richness: effects of sample size and bottlenecks. *Mol*
694 *Ecol* 11:2445–2449 PubMed doi:10.1046/j.1365-294X.2002.01612.x

695 Legendre P, Lapointe FJ, Casgrain P (1994) Modeling brain evolution from behavior: a
696 permutational regression approach. *Evolution* 48:1487–1499 PubMed
697 doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.1994.tb02191.x

698 Leng L, Zhang DX (2011) Measuring population differentiation using GST or D? A
699 simulation study with microsatellite DNA markers under a finite island model and
700 nonequilibrium conditions. *Mol Ecol* 20:2494–2509 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1365-
701 294X.2011.05108.x

702 Manly BJF (1986) Randomization and regression methods for testing for associations with
703 geographical, environmental and biological distances between populations. *Popul Ecol*
704 28:201–218 doi:10.1007/BF02515450

705 McCusker MR, Bentzen P (2010) Positive relationships between genetic diversity and
706 abundances in fishes. *Mol Ecol* 19:4852–4862 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1365-
707 294X.2010.04822.x

708 McRae BH (2006) Isolation by resistance. *Evolution* 60:1551–1561 PubMed
709 doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2006.tb00500.x

710 McShane PE, Black KP, Smith MG (1988) Recruitment processes in *Haliotis rubra*
711 (Mollusca: Gastropoda) and regional hydrodynamics in southeastern Australia imply
712 localized dispersal of larvae. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 124:175–203 doi:10.1016/0022-
713 0981(88)90171-2

714 Mendez M, Rosenbaum HC, Subramaniam A, Yackulic C, Bordinos P (2010) Isolation by
715 environmental distance in mobile marine species: molecular ecology of franciscana

716 dolphins at their southern range. *Mol Ecol* 19:2212–2228 PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1365-
717 294X.2010.04647.x

718 Menge BA (2000) Recruitment vs. postrecruitment processes as determinants of barnacle
719 population abundance. *Ecol Monogr* 70:265–288 doi:10.1890/0012-
720 9615(2000)070[0265:RVPPAD]2.0.CO;2

721 Menge BA, Menge DNL (2013) Dynamics of coastal meta-ecosystems: the intermittent
722 upwelling hypothesis and a test in rocky intertidal regions. *Ecol Monogr* 83:283–310
723 doi:10.1890/12-1706.1

724 Menge BA, Daley BA, Wheeler PA, Straub PT (1997) Rocky intertidal oceanography: an
725 association between community structure and nearshore phytoplankton concentration.
726 *Limnol Oceanogr* 42:57–66 doi:10.4319/lo.1997.42.1.0057

727 Narváez DA, Navarrete SA, Largier J, Vargas CA (2006) Onshore advection of warm
728 water, larval invertebrate settlement, and relaxation of upwelling off central Chile. *Mar*
729 *Ecol Prog Ser* 309:159–173 doi:10.3354/meps309159

730 Navarrete SA, Wieters EA, Broitman BR, Castilla JC (2005) Scales of benthic–pelagic
731 coupling and the intensity of species interactions: from recruitment limitation to top-
732 down control. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102:18046–18051 PubMed
733 doi:10.1073/pnas.0509119102

734 Navarrete SA, Broitman BR, Menge BA (2008) Interhemispheric comparison of
735 recruitment to intertidal communities: pattern persistence and scales of variation.
736 *Ecology* 89:1308–1322 PubMed doi:10.1890/07-0728.1

737 Nicastro KR, Zardi GI, McQuaid CD, Teske PR, Barker NP (2008) Coastal topography
738 drives genetic structure in marine mussels. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 368:189–195
739 doi:10.3354/meps07607

740 Nickols KJ, White JW, Largier JL, Gaylord B (2015) Marine population connectivity:
741 reconciling large-scale dispersal and high self-retention. *Am Nat* 185:196–211 PubMed
742 doi:10.1086/679503

743 Nosil P, Vines TH, Funk DJ (2005) Reproductive isolation caused by natural selection
744 against immigrants from divergent habitats. *Evolution* 59:705–719 PubMed

745 O'Connor MI, Bruno JF, Gaines SD, Halpern BS, Lester SE, Kinlan BP, Weiss JM (2007)
746 Temperature control of larval dispersal and the implications for marine ecology,
747 evolution, and conservation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104:1266–1271 PubMed
748 doi:10.1073/pnas.0603422104

749 Olivares-Bañuelos NC, Enríquez-Paredes LM, Ladah LM, De La Rosa-Véliz J (2008)
750 Population structure of purple sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus* along the Baja
751 California peninsula. *Fish Sci* 74:804–812 doi:10.1111/j.1444-2906.2008.01592.x

752 Olson RR, Olson MH (1989) Food limitation of planktotrophic marine invertebrate larvae:
753 Does it control recruitment success? *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* 20:225–247
754 doi:10.1146/annurev.es.20.110189.001301

755 Ospina-Alvarez A, Weidberg N, Aiken CM, Navarrete SA (2018) Larval transport in the
 756 upwelling ecosystem of central Chile: the effects of vertical migration, developmental
 757 time and coastal topography on recruitment. *Prog Oceanogr* 168:82–99

758 Paine RT, Castilla JC, Cancino J (1985) Perturbation and recovery patterns of starfish
 759 dominated intertidal assemblages in Chile, New Zealand and Washington State. *Am*
 760 *Nat* 125:679–691 doi:10.1086/284371

761 Peakall R, Smouse PE (2012) GenAlEx 6.5: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic
 762 software for teaching and research - an update. *Bioinformatics* 28:2537–2539 PubMed
 763 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts460

764 Pechenik JA (1987) Environmental influences on larval survival and development. In:
 765 Giese AC, Pearse JS, Pearse VB (eds) *Reproduction of marine invertebrates*, Vol 9.
 766 Blackwell Scientific Publications, Palo Alto, CA, p 551–608

767 Pflüger FJ, Balkenhol N (2014) A plea for simultaneously considering matrix quality and
 768 local environmental conditions when analyzing landscape impacts on effective
 769 dispersal. *Mol Ecol* 23:2146–2156 PubMed doi:10.1111/mec.12712

770 Phillips NE (2002) Effects of nutrition-mediated larval condition on juvenile performance
 771 in a marine mussel. *Ecology* 83:2562–2574 doi:10.1890/0012-
 772 9658(2002)083[2562:EONMLC]2.0.CO;2

773 Pompanon F, Bonin A, Bellemain E, Taberlet P (2005) Genotyping errors: causes,
 774 consequences and solutions. *Nat Rev Genet* 6:847–859 PubMed doi:10.1038/nrg1707

775 Pringle JM, Wares JP (2007) Going against the flow: maintenance of alongshore variation
 776 in allele frequencies in a coastal ocean. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 335:69–84
 777 doi:10.3354/meps335069

778 Pringle JM, Blakeslee AMH, Byers JE, Roman J (2011) Asymmetric dispersal allows an
 779 upstream region to control population structure throughout a species' range. *Proc Natl*
 780 *Acad Sci USA* 108:15288–15293 PubMed doi:10.1073/pnas.1100473108

781 Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P (2000) Inference of population structure using
 782 multilocus genotype data. *Genetics* 155:945–959

783 R Core Development Team (2017) R: a language and environment for statistical
 784 computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna

785 Reed DH, Frankham R (2003) Correlation between fitness and genetic diversity. *Conserv*
 786 *Biol* 17:230–237 doi:10.1046/j.1523-1739.2003.01236.x

787 Rosenberg NA (2004) DISTRUCT: a program for the graphical display of population
 788 structure. *Mol Ecol Notes* 4:137–138 doi:10.1046/j.1471-8286.2003.00566.x

789 Roughgarden J, Gaines S, Possingham H (1988) Recruitment dynamics in complex life
 790 cycles. *Science* 241:1460–1466 PubMed doi:10.1126/science.11538249

791 Rousset F (2008) GENEPOP'007: a complete re-implementation of the GENEPOP
 792 software for Windows and Linux. *Mol Ecol Resour* 8:103–106 PubMed
 793 doi:10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01931.x

794 Sanford E, Kelly MW (2011) Local adaptation in marine invertebrates. *Annu Rev Mar Sci*
795 3:509–535 PubMed doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-120709-142756

796 Sanford E, Menge BA (2001) Spatial and temporal variation in barnacle growth in a
797 coastal upwelling system. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 209:143–157 doi:10.3354/meps209143

798 Selkoe KA, D'Aloia CC, Crandall ED, Iacchei M and others (2016) A decade of seascape
799 genetics: contributions to basic and applied marine connectivity. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser*
800 554:1–19 doi:10.3354/meps11792

801 Shinen JL, Navarrete SA (2010) Coexistence and intertidal zonation of chthamaliid
802 barnacles along central Chile: interference competition or a lottery for space? *J Exp*
803 *Mar Biol Ecol* 392:176–187 doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2010.04.033

804 Silva N, Valdenegro A (2003) Evolución de un evento de surgencia frente a punta
805 Curaumilla, Valparaíso. *Investig Mar Valpo* 31:73–89

806 Strub PT, Mesias J, Montecino V, Rutllant J, Salinas S (1998) Coastal ocean circulation off
807 western South America. In: Robinson AR, Brink KH (eds) *The sea*, Vol 11. John
808 Wiley, New York, NY, p 273–313

809 Tapia FJ, Navarrete SA (2010) Spatial patterns of barnacle settlement in central Chile:
810 persistence at daily to inter-annual scales relative to the spatial signature of physical
811 variability. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 392:151–159 doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2010.04.031

812 Tapia FJ, Navarrete SA, Castillo M, Menge BA and others (2009) Thermal indices of
813 upwelling effects on inner-shelf habitats. *Prog Oceanogr* 83:278–287
814 doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2009.07.035

815 Tapia FJ, Largier JL, Castillo M, Wieters EA, Navarrete SA (2014) Latitudinal
816 discontinuity in thermal conditions along the nearshore of central-northern Chile. *PLOS*
817 *ONE* 9:e110841 PubMed doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0110841

818 Tellier F, Meynard AP, Correa JA, Faugeron S, Valero M (2009) Phylogeographic
819 analyses of the 30°S south-east Pacific biogeographic transition zone establish the
820 occurrence of a sharp genetic discontinuity in the kelp *Lessonia nigrescens*: vicariance
821 or parapatry? *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 53:679–693 PubMed
822 doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2009.07.030

823 Teske PR, Sandoval-Castillo J, van Sebille E, Waters J, Beheregaray LB (2016)
824 Oceanography promotes self-recruitment in a planktonic larval disperser. *Sci Rep*
825 6:34205 PubMed doi:10.1038/srep34205

826 Thomas AC (1999) Seasonal distribution of satellite-measured phytoplankton pigment
827 concentration along the Chilean coast. *J Geophys Res* 104:25877–25890
828 doi:10.1029/1999JC900171

829 Valdivia N, Aguilera MA, Navarrete SA, Broitman BR (2015) Disentangling the effects of
830 propagule supply and environmental filtering on the spatial structure of a rocky shore
831 metacommunity. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 538:67–79 doi:10.3354/meps11493

832 van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DPM, Shipley P (2004) MICRO-CHECKER:
833 software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Mol*
834 *Ecol Notes* 4:535–538 doi:10.1111/j.1471-8286.2004.00684.x

835 Vargas CA, Narváez DA, Piñones A, Venegas RM, Navarrete SA (2004) Internal tidal
836 bore warm fronts and settlement of invertebrates in central Chile. *Estuar Coast Shelf Sci*
837 61:603–612 doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2004.07.006

838 Venegas RM, Ortíz V, Olguín A, Navarrete SA (2000) Larval development of the
839 intertidal barnacles *Jehlius cirratus* and *Notochthamalus scabrosus* (Cirripedia:
840 Chthamalidae) under laboratory conditions. *J Crustac Biol* 20:495–504
841 doi:10.1163/20021975-99990065

842 Wang IJ (2013) Examining the full effects of landscape heterogeneity on spatial genetic
843 variation: a multiple matrix regression approach for quantifying geographic and
844 ecological isolation. *Evolution* 67:3403–3411 PubMed doi:10.1111/evo.12134

845 Wang IJ, Bradburg GS (2014) Isolation by environment. *Mol Ecol* 23:5649–5662 PubMed
846 doi:10.1111/mec.12938

847 Wei K, Wood AR, Gardner JPA (2013) Seascape genetics of the New Zealand greenshell
848 mussel: sea surface temperature explains macrogeographic scale genetic variation. *Mar*
849 *Ecol Prog Ser* 477:107–121 doi:10.3354/meps10158

850 Weir BS, Cockerham CC (1984) Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population
851 structure. *Evolution* 38:1358–1370 PubMed

852 Wieters EA, Kaplan DM, Navarrete SA, Sotomayor A, Largier J, Nielsen KJ, Véliz F
853 (2003) Alongshore and temporal variability in chlorophyll a concentration in Chilean
854 nearshore waters. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 249:93–105 doi:10.3354/meps249093

855 Wieters EA, Broitman BR, Branch GM (2009) Benthic community structure and spatio-
856 temporal thermal regimes in two upwelling ecosystems: comparisons between South
857 Africa and Chile. *Limnol Oceanogr* 54:1060–1072 doi:10.4319/lo.2009.54.4.1060

858 Wright S (1943) Isolation by distance. *Genetics* 28:114–138 PubMed

859 Zakas C, Binford J, Navarrete SA, Wares JP (2009) Restricted gene flow in Chilean
860 barnacles reflects an oceanographic and biogeographic transition zone. *Mar Ecol Prog*
861 *Ser* 394:165–177 doi:10.3354/meps08265

Table 1. Among-site genetic differentiation of *Notochthamalus scabrosus* at 7 microsatellite loci. Analyses were done with (A) the original database and (B) the database corrected for null alleles. Values of θ_{ST} are above the diagonal and D_{EST} values are below the diagonal. CBaj: Carrizal Bajo, Apol: Apolillado, Temb: Temblador, Guan: Guanaqueros, PTal: Punta Talca, LMol: Los Molles, Cura: Curaumilla, ECIM: Estación Costera de Investigaciones Marinas (Las Cruces), Pich: Pichilemu (see Fig. 1). Shaded boxes indicate values significant at the nominal level ($p < 0.05$). Values in **bold** indicate significant values after false discovery rate correction

A	CBaj	Apol	Temb	Guan	PTal	LMol	Mont	Cura	ECIM	Pich
CBaj	–	0.007	0.013	0.011	0.009	0.022	0.025	0.023	0.023	0.020
Apol	0.039	–	0.005	0.001	0.005	0.016	0.021	0.019	0.017	0.012
Temb	0.065	0.028	–	0.000	0.004	0.020	0.009	0.027	0.015	0.000
Guan	0.053	0.009	0.004	–	–0.005	0.010	0.005	0.008	0.008	0.004
PTal	0.043	0.031	0.023	–0.024	–	0.008	0.007	0.007	0.005	–0.001
LMol	0.099	0.079	0.091	0.043	0.031	–	0.009	0.002	0.009	0.005
Mont	0.105	0.095	0.038	0.020	0.024	0.036	–	0.004	–0.004	–0.002
Cura	0.103	0.091	0.126	0.030	0.023	0.009	0.017	–	0.003	0.009
ECIM	0.090	0.071	0.059	0.027	0.012	0.035	–0.013	0.015	–	–0.002
Pich	0.086	0.057	0.001	0.015	–0.004	0.024	–0.008	0.038	–0.005	–

B	CBaj	Apol	Temb	Guan	PTal	LMol	Mont	Cura	ECIM	Pich
CBaj	–	0.004	0.010	0.006	0.011	0.016	0.020	0.023	0.018	0.019
Apol	0.013	–	0.007	0.001	0.004	0.008	0.015	0.013	0.012	0.010
Temb	0.037	0.013	–	0.003	0.009	0.013	0.005	0.024	0.008	0.001
Guan	0.027	–0.009	–0.012	–	0.007	0.008	0.007	0.008	0.010	0.007
PTal	0.010	–0.002	–0.008	–0.045	–	0.011	0.016	0.015	0.010	0.010
LMol	0.073	0.054	0.060	0.016	0.007	–	0.007	0.004	0.009	0.005
Mont	0.079	0.070	0.018	0.005	0.001	0.019	–	0.007	0.000	0.000
Cura	0.084	0.063	0.105	0.010	0.002	–0.006	0.005	–	0.007	0.006
ECIM	0.068	0.052	0.039	0.016	–0.009	0.019	–0.022	0.001	–	0.000
Pich	0.061	0.035	–0.010	0.003	–0.026	0.003	–0.020	0.023	–0.021	–

Table 2. Results of generalized linear modeling (GLM) analyses employed to identify the best fit model for 5 variables explaining genetic diversity of *Notochthamalus scabrosus*. AIC: Akaike's information criterion, Ar: allelic richness; Gd: gene diversity; CHLA1(CHLA2): PC1 (PC2) of chlorophyll *a* concentration; SST1 (SST2): PC1 (PC2) of sea surface temperature; Cov: adult cover of *N. scabrosus* ($\log_{10}$ transformed); Lon: longitude, VarExp: variance explained. Values in **bold** are significant ($p < 0.05$)

GLM test				Test of effects		VarExp
Initial full model	Best fit models	p	AIC	Variable	p	(%)
Ar~CHLA1+CHLA2 +SST1+Cov+Lon	Ar~CHLA2+Cov+Lon	0.568	19.38	CHLA2	0.044	48.61
				Cov	0.123	15.39
				Lon	0.436	3.74
	Ar~CHLA2+Cov	< 0.001	18.47	CHLA2	0.043	48.61
				Cov	0.127	15.39
	Ar~CHLA2	< 0.001	20.03	CHLA2	0.025	48.61
Gd~CHLA1+CHLA2 +SST1+Cov+Lon	Gd~CHLA1+CHLA2+Cov	< 0.001	57.61	CHLA1	0.125	2.96
				CHLA2	0.010	67.80
				Cov	0.369	3.97
	Gd~CHLA1+CHLA2	< 0.001	58.15	CHLA1	0.173	2.96
				CHLA2	0.005	67.80
	Gd~CHLA2	< 0.001	57.31	CHLA2	0.008	67.80

Table 3. Results of the stepAIC analyses employed to identify the best fit model for 5 variables explaining genetic diversity of *Notochthamalus scabrosus*. Abbreviations as in Table 2

stepAIC test		
Initial full model	Best fit model	AIC
Ar~CHLA1+CHLA2 +SST1+Cov+Lon	Ar~CHLA2+Cov	22.69
Gd~CHLA1+CHLA2 +SST1+Cov+Lon	Gd~CHLA1+CHLA2	-54.74

Table 4. Results of multiple regression on distance matrices (MRDM). Abbreviations as in Table 2; values in **bold** are significant ($p < 0.05$)

MRDM full model		Coef	p	R ²	F	p
$\theta_{ST} \sim$ CHLA1+CHLA2+SST1+SST2	Int	0.007	0.512	0.55	6.445	0.008
+Rec+Cov+Lon	CHLA1	-0.006	0.534			
	CHLA2	-0.001	0.953			
	SST1	-0.041	0.629			
	SST2	0.024	0.002			

	Rec	0.004	0.401			
	Cov	-0.000	0.036			
	Lon	-0.014	0.084			
<hr/>						
$D_{EST} \sim$						
CHLA1+CHLA2+SST1+SST2	Int	0.007	0.508	0.55	6.445	0.007
+Rec+Cov+Lon	CHLA1	-0.006	0.527			
	CHLA2	-0.001	0.951			
	SST1	-0.041	0.618			
	SST2	0.024	0.002			
	Rec	0.004	0.405			
	Cov	-0.000	0.035			
	Lon	0.078	0.078			

885

886 Fig. 1. Central-northern coast of Chile, showing the 10 sampling sites and weekly averages
887 of sea surface temperature (SST) for nearshore areas. ECIM: Estación Costera de
888 Investigaciones Marinas

889 Fig. 2. Principal coordinates analysis calculated by θ_{ST} (top) and D_{EST} (bottom) values of 10
890 sites studied. For θ_{ST} and D_{EST} indices, the first 2 axes explain 87.62 and 87.88% of the
891 total variation, respectively. Site abbreviations as in **Table 1**

892 Fig. 3. STRUCTURE assignment of individual *Notochthamalus scabrosus* across all sites
893 into clusters for k between 2 and 4. Colors indicate percentage contribution of individuals
894 to assigned clusters (y-axis), individuals are represented by each line (x-axis); black lines
895 separate sites from which individuals were collected. Site abbreviations as in **Table 1**

896 Fig. 4. Results for the linear regressions among 8 predictive and 2 dependent variables. Ar:
897 allelic richness, Gd: gene diversity; CHLA1 (CHLA2): PC1 (PC2) of chlorophyll *a*
898 concentration; SST1 (SST2): PC1 (PC2) of sea surface temperature; Rec: arrival rate of
899 larval *Notochthamalus scabrosus* ($\log_{10}$ transformed); Cov: adult cover of *N. scabrosus*
900 ($\log_{10}$ transformed); Lat: latitude; Lon: longitude. Star in panel f represents the Estación
901 Costera de Investigaciones Marinas (ECIM) site

902

$k = 2$

$k = 3$

$k = 4$

909
910

Figure S1

914

915 Figure S2