



HAL
open science

Passive alignment stability and auto-alignment of multipass amplifiers based on Fourier transforms

Karsten Schuhmann, Klaus Kirch, Andreas Knecht, Mirosław Marszałek, François Nez, Jonas Nuber, Randolph Pohl, Ivo Schulthess, Laura Sinkunaite, Manuel Zeyen, et al.

► To cite this version:

Karsten Schuhmann, Klaus Kirch, Andreas Knecht, Mirosław Marszałek, François Nez, et al.. Passive alignment stability and auto-alignment of multipass amplifiers based on Fourier transforms. *Applied optics*, 2019, 58 (11), pp.2904. 10.1364/AO.58.002904. hal-02120940

HAL Id: hal-02120940

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-02120940>

Submitted on 6 May 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Passive alignment stability and auto-alignment of multipass amplifiers based on Fourier transforms

KARSTEN SCHUHMANN,^{1,2,*} KLAUS KIRCH,^{1,2} ANDREAS KNECHT,² MIROSLAW MARSZALEK,² FRANCOIS NEZ,³ JONAS NUBER,² RANDOLF POHL,⁴ IVO SCHULTHESS,¹  LAURA SINKUNAITE,² MANUEL ZEYEN,¹ AND ALDO ANTOGNINI^{1,2}

¹Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics, ETH, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland

²Paul Scherrer Institute, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

³Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, UPMC-Sorbonne Universités, CNRS, ENS-PSL Research University, Collège de France, 75005 Paris, France

⁴Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, QUANTUM, Institut für Physik & Exzellenzcluster PRISMA, 55128 Mainz, Germany

*Corresponding author: skarsten@phys.ethz.ch

Received 21 December 2018; revised 11 March 2019; accepted 12 March 2019; posted 12 March 2019 (Doc. ID 356097); published 5 April 2019

This study investigates the stability to tilts (misalignments) of Fourier-based multipass amplifiers, i.e., amplifiers where a Fourier transform is used to transport the beam from pass to pass. Here, the stability properties of these amplifiers to misalignments (tilts) of their optical components have been investigated. For this purpose, a method to quantify the sensitivity to tilts based on the amplifier small-signal gain has been elaborated and compared with measurements. To improve tilt stability by more than an order of magnitude, a simple auto-alignment system has been proposed and tested. This study, combined with other investigations devoted to the stability of the output beam to variations in aperture and thermal lens effects of the active medium, qualifies the Fourier-based amplifier for the high-energy and high-power sectors. © 2019 Optical Society of America

<https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.58.002904>

Provided under the terms of the OSA Open Access Publishing Agreement

1. INTRODUCTION

Multipass laser amplifiers are used to boost the output energy of laser oscillators owing to their smaller losses and higher damage thresholds [1–4]. Because of their apparent simplicity, typically less attention is devoted to the design of multipass amplifiers compared to oscillators [5–7].

Multipass amplifiers are commonly based on relay imaging ($4f$ -imaging) from active medium to active medium, as the imaging provides identical beam size for each pass at the active medium. The propagation in the $4f$ -based amplifier takes thus the simple form [8]

$$\text{AM} - 4f - \text{AM} - 4f - \text{AM} - 4f \dots,$$

where AM indicates a pass on the active medium, and $4f$ a relay imaging. However, in this design, the changes of the phase front curvature caused by the thermal lens at the active medium add up from pass to pass, resulting in output beam characteristics (size, divergence, quality) strongly dependent on the thermal lens of the active medium.

In this study, Fourier-based multipass amplifiers are considered, i.e., multipass amplifiers where the propagation from active medium to active medium is accomplished using an optical Fourier transform. The beam propagation in the Fourier-based amplifiers takes the form [9]

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{AM} - \text{Fourier} - \text{AM} - \text{SP} - \text{AM} - \text{Fourier} \\ &- \text{AM} - \text{SP} - \text{AM} - \text{Fourier} - \text{AM} - \text{SP} - \text{AM} \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where SP represents a short free propagation and Fourier any propagation that performs an optical Fourier transform. In contrast to the $4f$ -based amplifiers, Fourier-based amplifiers show output beam characteristics that are independent of variations in the thermal lens ensued by the pumped active medium [9]. This relies on the peculiar property of the optical Fourier transform that inverts the phase front curvature, so that the phase front distortions occurring in one pass at the active medium are canceled (in first order) by the successive pass [9]. Another advantage of the Fourier-based design is the transverse mode cleaning that occurs from the interplay between Fourier transform and soft aperture effects at the active medium, favoring TEM₀₀-mode operation. Therefore, the Fourier-based design represents an alternative to $4f$ -based designs, especially at the energy and power frontiers where the thermal lens becomes one of the paramount limitations.

The concept of Fourier-based amplifiers has been introduced in [10] and successively elaborated in [9,11–14], where a detailed comparison between Fourier-based and state-of-the-art multipass amplifiers based on $4f$ -relay imaging is presented. In contrast to these earlier considerations, here another crucial

aspect of laser design is considered, namely, the stability of the amplifier performance to misalignments (tilts).

This study reveals that Fourier-based designs have also excellent (passive) stability properties for misalignments (tilts). Furthermore, it demonstrates theoretically and practically that the Fourier-based design is well apt for a simple auto-alignment system that mitigates the beam excursion from the optical axis (perfect alignment) caused by the tilts of its various optical components. Hence, this study, combined with the investigations of [9], displays the excellent performance of Fourier-based architecture with respect to thermal lens variations, aperture effects, beam quality, efficiency, and alignment stability, qualifying it for high-power and high-energy applications.

2. METHOD TO EVALUATE MISALIGNMENT SENSITIVITY

The following procedure is undertaken to evaluate the sensitivity of the considered multipass amplifiers to misalignments. First, the size of the fundamental Gaussian beam (TEM₀₀ mode) propagating in the amplifier is evaluated with the ABCD-matrix formalism applied to the complex Gaussian beam parameter q . A complex ABCD matrix is used to model the aperture effect occurring in the active medium [6,9,14]. As a second step, the excursion of the Gaussian beam from the optical axis (the beam propagates on the optical axis for perfect alignment) is computed making use of the ABCD-matrix formalism applied to the geometrical ray describing the axis of the Gaussian beam. For this purpose, another ABCD matrix must be defined, describing the aperture effects to this ray propagation. The excursion of the laser beam from the optical axis can be used as a measure of the sensitivity of the multipass system to misalignment effects. Knowledge of this excursion can be exploited also to compute the decrease in the multipass amplifier gain caused by misalignments. The dependency of the gain (transmission) on tilts constitutes the sensitivity of the multipass amplifier to mirror tilts.

In this study, it is assumed that losses in the multipass system occur only at the active medium whose position-dependent gain (and absorption in the unpumped region) can be approximated by an average gain and a position-dependent transmission function (soft aperture) [9]. It is further assumed that the soft aperture of the active medium can be fairly approximated by a Gaussian aperture [5,6,9,14], i.e., an aperture with Gaussian intensity transmission function τ :

$$\tau(x, y) = e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{W^2}}, \quad (1)$$

where x and y are the transverse distances from the optical axis and W the radius where the intensity is decreased to $1/e^2$. Such an aperture transforms an input Gaussian beam with $1/e^2$ -radius w_{in} , excursion x_{in} , and angle θ_{in} w.r.t. the optical axis into an output Gaussian beam with $1/e^2$ -radius w_{out} , excursion x_{out} , and angle θ_{out} given by

$$1/w_{out}^2 = 1/w_{in}^2 + 1/W^2, \quad (2)$$

$$x_{out} = x_{in} \frac{W^2}{w_{in}^2 + W^2}, \quad (3)$$




Fig. 1. Scheme showing the effect of a soft aperture on beam propagation. The aperture whose transmission function is depicted by the blue area decreases the beam excursion from x_{in} at the input plane to x_{out} at the output plane because it selects only part of the beam. Moreover, a non-vanishing excursion of the beam at the impact plane ($x_{in} \neq 0$) results in a change of the output beam angle θ_{out} . Geometrical considerations can be used to deduce that $\theta_{out} - \theta_{in} = (x_{out} - x_{in})/R$. In this scheme, it is assumed that the input beam is moving parallel to the optical axis, i.e., $\theta_{in} = 0$. Yet, the aperture does not affect the position of the beam focus. The darker and lighter shaded red areas indicate the $\pm w$ and the $\pm 2w$ size of the beam.

$$\theta_{out} = \theta_{in} - x_{in} \frac{w_{in}^2}{w_{in}^2 + W^2} \frac{1}{R}, \quad (4)$$

where R is the phase front radius of the beam at the aperture position. Note that the phase front radius R remains unaltered when the beam passes the aperture:

$$R_{out} = R_{in} \equiv R. \quad (5)$$

Figure 1 can be used to deduce these equations relating the beam parameters before and after the aperture. The relations expressed in Eqs. (2)–(5) can be captured into the ABCD-matrix formalism. Two ABCD matrices can be defined to describe the Gaussian aperture at the active medium: the first applies to the complex parameter q defined as [5,6]

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{R} - i \frac{\lambda}{\pi w^2}, \quad (6)$$

where λ is the wavelength of the laser beam and w and R the local $1/e^2$ -radius and phase front radius of the beam, respectively. The corresponding ABCD matrix is obtained from Eqs. (2) and (5). It takes the form

$$M_{\text{aperture}}^q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -i \frac{\lambda}{\pi W^2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

M_{aperture}^q is used to compute the size (w) and phase front radius (R) evolution along the propagation using the relation [5,6]

$$q_{out} = \frac{Aq_{in} + B}{Cq_{in} + D} = \frac{q_{in}}{-i \frac{\lambda}{\pi W^2} q_{in} + 1}, \quad (8)$$

where q_{in} and q_{out} are the q -parameters before and after the aperture, respectively.

The second ABCD matrix applies to the geometrical propagation of the beam axis and is obtained building on Eqs. (3) and (4):




Fig. 3. (Right) Photo of the custom-designed mirror array with L-shaped adjustable mirror holders. The horizontal spacing between the 25 mm mirrors is 31 mm. (Left) Picture of the pair of 45° mirrors acting as a vertical retro-reflector, which can assume the functionality of the mirror M2 in Fig. 2.

must be adapted to realize the desired layout with the same beam size at each pass.

The back-and-forth propagation between the disk (AM) and M1 is described by an ABCD matrix approximatively of the form

$$M_{AM-M1-AM} \approx \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ 1/B & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (14)$$

which corresponds to the ABCD matrix of a Fourier transform (see Ref. [9] for more details). Differently, the back-and-forth propagation from the disk to M2, corresponds approximately to a short free propagation, so that its ABCD matrix takes the form

$$M_{AM-M2-AM} \approx \begin{bmatrix} 1 & L \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

with a length L short compared with the Rayleigh length of the beam, i.e., with $L \ll \pi w_0^2 / \lambda$, where w_0 is the beam waist. Note that the beam size between the AM and mirror M2 is large and that the dioptric power of mirror M2 is either zero or a minor correction to the free propagation. Thus, the multipass amplifier depicted in Fig. 2 follows the scheme

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{AM} - \text{Fourier} - \text{AM} - \text{SP} - \text{AM} - \text{Fourier} \\ & - \text{AM} - \text{SP} - \text{AM} - \text{Fourier} - \text{AM} - \text{SP} - \text{AM} - \dots \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, the focus is on the stability properties of this Fourier-based multipass amplifier w.r.t. misalignments (tilts), especially of the active medium. Two amplifier configurations based on the scheme in Fig. 2 are investigated: in the first configuration, the M2 mirror is a flat back-reflecting mirror (acting almost as a “end mirror”); in the second configuration, mirror M2 is replaced by a pair of mirrors oriented at 45°, as shown in Fig. 3(b), that acts as a vertical (y direction) retro-reflector.

4. SENSITIVITY OF A MULTIPASS AMPLIFIER TO MISALIGNMENT

The excursion of the laser beam from the optical axis while propagating in the multipass amplifier in Fig. 2 is shown in Fig. 4. It has been calculated using the ABCD-matrix formalism applied to the geometric ray representing the Gaussian beam axis. Aperture effects at the disk have been included via the ABCD matrix of Eq. (10) and are visible in Fig. 4 by the sudden decrease in beam size at the disk. The excursion of the propagating beam from the optical axis has been evaluated for two different types of misalignment and compared to the evolution of the beam size along the optical axis. In red is displayed the excursion for a tilt of the beam in-coupled into the multipass system, in blue for a tilt of the disk. When neglecting aperture effects, at the eighth pass, there is a vanishing beam excursion for both types of misalignment. Indeed, the multiplication of the four Fourier transform matrices that take place between the first and eighth passes corresponds to the identity matrix. Hence, disregarding the aperture effects and the short propagations of Eq. (15), the beam leaves the disk after the eighth pass at exactly the same position and with the same angle w.r.t. the optical axis as in the first pass.

In general, the beam cutoffs caused by the apertures at the active medium damp the beam excursions and angles relative to the optical axis. For the special case of the eighth pass (the most stable pass with respect to thermal lens effects and thin-disk tilt), the beam angle is reduced compared to the first pass, but the beam position is slightly offset from the optical axis. This small excursion decreases with increasing aperture size W .

Throughout this paper, using the rule of thumb diffused in the thin-disk laser community and justified in [14], a Gaussian aperture with $W = 10$ mm is assumed for a pump diameter of 7 mm at FWHM, and a $1/e^2$ beam radius at the disk of $w = 2.5$ mm.




Fig. 4. Excursion of the Gaussian beam axis w.r.t. the optical axis along propagation in the Fourier-based amplifier in Fig. 2 computed using the ABCD-matrix formalism applied to ray optics and including aperture effects. The blue curve represents the beam excursion for a disk tilt of $\phi = 50 \mu\text{rad}$. The red curve represents the beam excursion for an input beam with an angle of $100 \mu\text{rad}$, w.r.t. a perfect alignment. As a comparison, the beam size evolution $\pm w$ along propagation axis z is shown by the gray curves. An aperture width of $W = 10$ mm has been used in these plots. The vertical lines represent the positions of the disk (with a focusing dioptric power) and the positions of the defocusing mirror M1a, respectively. These plots apply for both the horizontal (x) and the vertical (y) directions.




Fig. 5. Similar to Fig. 4, but for a multipass amplifier where M2 is replaced by a pair of 45° mirrors acting as a vertical retro-reflector. The vertical dotted lines indicate the position of the retro-reflector. The excursion is shown only for the vertical component. The horizontal component is not affected by the vertical retro-reflector, so that it follows the evolution shown in Fig. 4.

Inspired by the studies reported in [3], a modified version of the multipass amplifier shown in Fig. 2 has also been investigated in which mirror M2 is replaced by a pair of 45° mirrors acting as a vertical (y direction) retro-reflector. This mirror pair inverts the tilt and the excursion of the beam from the optical axis in the y direction, while it does not affect the tilt or excursion in the x direction. Hence, as already shown in [22], a vertical retro-reflector significantly increases the alignment stability in the vertical direction. Here, the evaluation of the alignment stability of [22] has been advanced to include aperture effects. As can be seen by comparing Fig. 5 to Fig. 4, this modification leads to a significant reduction of the beam excursions, in particular for the fourth and the fifth passes but also to a reduction of the excursion and angle of the out-coupled beam (leaving the eighth pass).

Note that in the amplifier designs presented in this paper (and in the majority of the amplifier designs) the misalignment of the beam in the x and y directions can be treated independently. We chose to implement a retro-reflector in vertical direction to reduce the misalignment effect caused by the hot-air wedge at the front side of the disk [3,23], reducing sensitivity to variations in pump power.

The use of a corner-cube reflector with three mirrors arranged at an angle of 54° and acting as retro-reflectors would provide inversion of the beam excursions and angles for both the horizontal and vertical directions, resulting in an enhanced alignment stability in both directions.

The beam excursions simulated in Figs. 4 and 5 have been confirmed by measurements summarized in Fig. 6. These measurements show beam profiles and positions (excursions) for various tilts of the disk for three configurations: the first column at the second pass of the Fourier-based multipass amplifier in Fig. 2, the second column at the eighth pass of the same amplifier, and the third column at the eighth pass of the same amplifier but with mirror M2 replaced by a pair of 45° mirrors acting as a vertical retro-reflector. Note that when a beam is reflected at a tilted disk, it acquires an angle θ w.r.t. the optical




Fig. 6. Measured beam profiles for various disk tilts for three multipass configurations based on Fig. 2. The colors represent beam intensity. Each picture is normalized to its intensity maximum. These images show how beam excursions and distortions increase with misalignment. Note that the first row represents the reference point, as it shows the beam position for the aligned amplifier. The first column is the excursion at the second pass, the second column at the eighth pass, and the third column also at the eighth pass but for an amplifier where M2 is replaced by a pair of 45° mirrors acting as a vertical retro-reflector. The $\phi = 126 \mu\text{rad}$ tilt causes such a large beam excursion already at the second pass that the beam is deviated outside the aperture of the beam profiler used to record the images. At the eighth pass, the beam excursion as shown in Fig. 4 is much smaller, so the beam enters the profiler. Yet, this beam is fully distorted because of hard aperture effects occurring at the fourth pass where the excursion is maximal. When the retro-reflector is introduced, the excursion at the fourth pass vanishes, so that the beam distortions at the eighth pass disappear. This results in a beam at the eighth pass with an almost Gaussian profile and a small excursion from the unperturbed position given in the first row.

axis corresponding to twice the disk tilt, i.e., $\theta = 2\phi$, where ϕ is the tilt of the disk axis from perfect alignment.

As predicted by the simulations in Figs. 4 and 5, the beam excursions from the unperturbed position (for $0 \mu\text{rad}$ tilts) are larger at the second pass than at the eighth pass. With increasing tilt, the profile at the eighth pass (second column) is distorted. These distortions visible for a tilt of $\phi = 84 \mu\text{rad}$ originate mainly at the fourth pass, where the beam excursions are maximal (see Fig. 4). Indeed, for large excursions, the aperture at the disk is poorly approximated by a Gaussian transmission function resulting in a transmitted beam with a non-Gaussian (non-symmetric) profile. Larger distortions and even fringe effects occur for a tilt of $\phi = 126 \mu\text{rad}$ at the eighth pass (second column). These fringes originate from hard apertures and beam cut-offs at the edge of optical components mostly at, or around, the fourth pass, where beam excursions are maximal.

A comparison between second and third columns discloses the improvements in terms of stability to misalignment yielded

by the use of the M2 retro-reflector. It suppresses beam excursions at the eighth pass. Even more, beam distortions originating around the fourth pass are suppressed, as the retro-reflector strongly restrains the beam excursions at the intermediate passes (compare Fig. 4 to Fig. 5).

As explained in Section 2, an alternative way to quantify the sensitivity to misalignment effects is to measure the gain decrease of the multipass amplifier as a function of the disk tilt ϕ . The total gain through the amplifier can be readily quantified using Eqs. (11) to (13) and assuming that there are no other losses than already included in the aperture transmission of the disk. The total gain in this case takes the form

$$G_{8\text{-pass}}^{\text{M2-single}} \approx (G_0)^8 \left(\frac{W^2}{w_{\text{in}}^2 + W^2} \right)^8 e^{-1.07 \cdot 10^8 \phi^2} \quad (16)$$

for the eight-pass amplifier with simple M2 mirror and

$$G_{8\text{-pass}}^{\text{M2-45°-pair}} \approx (G_0)^8 \left(\frac{W^2}{w_{\text{in}}^2 + W^2} \right)^8 e^{-2.98 \cdot 10^7 \phi^2} \quad (17)$$

for the amplifier where M2 is replaced by a retro-reflector. In these equations, G_0 is the gain per pass (averaged over the transverse beam profile) while the approximate symbol accounts for the small variations in beam sizes w_{in} at the various passes and the assumption that the gain does not decrease with the number of passes (no saturation). The exponential terms in these equations encompass the losses caused by the beam excursion from the optical axis. A plot of the two functions $G_{8\text{-pass}}^{\text{M2-single}}$ and $G_{8\text{-pass}}^{\text{M2-45°-pair}}$ is shown in Fig. 7 in red and blue, respectively.

A measurement of the total gain versus disk tilt for the two multipass amplifier configurations (M2 simple, M2 as retro-reflector) is shown in Fig. 8. The measured data were already presented in [22], but here they are compared with the theoretical predictions of Eqs. (16) and (17). It turned out that the best empirical fit functions to the measured data are given by parabola and not by Gaussian functions as predicted in Eqs. (16) and (17).

In our measurement, the multipass amplifiers were not perfectly aligned, so that the maximal gains were observed for non-vanishing disk tilts ($\phi = -23.3 \mu\text{rad}$ and $\phi = -15.3 \mu\text{rad}$




Fig. 7. Red curve represents the tilt-dependent part of $G_{8\text{-pass}}^{\text{M2-single}}$ ($e^{-1.07 \cdot 10^8 \phi^2}$) for the eight-pass amplifier in Fig. 2 as a function of the disk tilt ϕ . It corresponds to the tilt-dependent transmission through the multipass amplifier normalized to 1 for vanishing tilts. Similarly, the blue curve is the tilt-dependent part of $G_{8\text{-pass}}^{\text{M2-45°-pair}}$ ($e^{-2.98 \cdot 10^7 \phi^2}$) for the same amplifier but M2 replaced by a retro-reflector.




Fig. 8. Small signal gain versus disk tilt in vertical direction. The red points were measured for the multipass amplifier in Fig. 2 with a simple M2 mirror. The blue points have been obtained from the same amplifier but with M2 replaced by a vertical retro-reflector. The measurements have been fitted with parabolic functions (solid lines). The dashed curves represent the predictions from Eqs. (16) and (17) normalized to match the maxima of the parabolic fits.

extrapolated using the parabolic fits). To compare the measurements to the model, the value of $(G_0)^8 \left(\frac{W^2}{w_{\text{in}}^2 + W^2} \right)^8$ of Eqs. (16) and (17) has been fixed to the gain maximum obtained from the parabolic fit. Also the angle ϕ in Eqs. (16) and (17) has been redefined to account for the offset of the maximum (see parameterizations given in Fig. 8). The resulting functions, shown by the dashed curves in Fig. 8 that assume $W = 4w_{\text{in}}$, are not fit to the data, but simply normalized to the maximum obtained from the parabolic fits. For small excursions, there is an excellent agreement between the models expressed by Eqs. (16) and (17) on the one hand and the measurements of Fig. 8 on the other hand. This agreement quantitatively confirms our assumption that the effective losses at the active medium can be described by a Gaussian aperture with $W \approx 4w_{\text{in}}$ when $w_{\text{in}} \approx 0.7R_p$.

For large tilts, the measured losses exceed the theoretical predictions. Indeed, the Gaussian approximation of the aperture becomes increasingly inadequate as the beam axis approaches the edge of the super-Gaussian aperture. However, only small misalignments—which are well described by our model—are relevant for the evaluation of a laser system. Lasers with exceeding fluctuations and drifts of the output power are in fact typically unsuitable for the practical applications.

5. REDUCTION OF ALIGNMENT SENSITIVITY USING ACTIVE COMPENSATION

A significantly higher stability to misalignment can be realized by implementing a system actively controlling the alignment (tilt) of mirrors. Auto-alignment units comprising quadrant detectors (or other devices that measure the beam position) feedback loops, and motorized mirror holders are commercially available and simple to implement [24]. However, obtaining the best possible alignment using the smallest number of controls requires the correct placement of quadrant detectors and active mirrors.




Fig. 9. Schematic of the realized multipass amplifier equipped with a simple auto-alignment system. Only two loops (each with a vertical and a horizontal degree of freedom) are sufficient to mitigate the excursion of the laser beam from the optical axis for tilts of the disk, M1a, M1b, M2, and input beam. Each loop (C1 and C2) comprises a quadrant detector (Q1 and Q2) whose error signals act on the motorized mirrors M_{in} and M2, respectively. Ideally, the quadrants measure the position of the beam at the first and second passes on the M2 mirror.

In this section, a simple active stabilization system for the Fourier-based multipass amplifier in Fig. 2 is presented that compensates for tilts of the in-coupled beam, disk, M1, and M2 to overall minimize beam excursions. Attention has been focused primarily to correct for tilts of the disk, as it is prone to misalignments owing to the pumping and cooling processes that it undergoes and because of the air wedge at its surface [23]. It is assumed that the disk itself cannot be equipped with actuators to control its tilt.

Figure 9 shows the multipass amplifier in Fig. 2 upgraded with an optimal and simple active stabilization system. The input beam direction is actively controlled by the feedback loop (C1) acting on the actuators of mirror M_{in} with two independent degrees of freedom (vertical and horizontal). The beam travels successively to the disk where it undergoes the first amplification and reflection. For the disk tilted (misaligned) by an angle ϕ , the beam acquires an additional angle w.r.t. the optical axis of $\Delta\theta = 2\phi$. The Fourier propagation that takes place in the propagation disk–M1b–disk transforms the tilt of the beam leaving the disk into a beam excursion when the beam returns for the second time (second pass) to the disk. At the disk, the beam suffers an additional tilt $\Delta\theta$. Because of the short propagation length between disk and M2, the excursion of the beam at mirror M2 is dominated by the tilt of the beam after the first reflection at the disk, which is given by the tilt of the disk and the tilt of the beam before impinging for the first time on the disk. The excursion of the beam at M2 can be measured by placing a quadrant detector (Q1) in the vicinity of M2 that measures the beam spuriously transmitted through M2. Any deviation of the beam position from the set point (when the amplifier is aligned) generates two error signals: one for the horizontal, the other for the vertical direction. Through the feedback loop C1, these error signals act on the actuators of mirror M_{in} to cancel the beam excursion at M2.

After reflection on mirror M2, the beam reaches the disk for the third time where it again acquires an angle $\theta = 2\phi$. This tilt once again results in an excursion from the set point position when the beam reaches M2 for the second time. The excursion of the second pass on M2 can be measured with a second




Fig. 10. Similar to Fig. 4 but for a multipass amplifier equipped with the simple auto-alignment system depicted in Fig. 9. Because of the efficient compensation produced by active stabilization, the disk tilt has been increased to $\phi = 1.25$ mrad, (a factor 25 times larger than in Fig. 4). The correction generated by C1 (steering of M_{in}) is compensating the downstream tilts occurring in the first and second passes on the disk, so that the beam excursion of the first pass on M2 is nullified. Similarly, the second loop C2 adjusts the tilt of the mirror M2 so that the beam excursions at all successive passes (third, fourth etc.) on M2 are nullified.

quadrant detector (Q2). The error signals generated by Q2 are used to regulate the actuators of mirror M2 through a second feedback loop C2, so that the beam excursion at the second pass on mirror M2 is nullified. The same correction (tilt) of mirror M2 automatically corrects all the successive passes on M2 due to the repetitive structure of the amplifier (see Fig. 10). Both loops are sufficiently independent, so that their interplay can compensate for tilts of the in-coupled beam and for tilts of the disk. The regulation can also partially compensate for tilts of M1.

Only two feedback loops are therefore sufficient to stabilize the most critical optical elements of the multipass amplifier for all passes, as M1, M2, and active medium are common for all passes. Oppositely, it has to be stressed that individual mirror misalignments within the mirror array cannot be compensated for by this feedback system, so that high stability is required for the individual holders of the array mirrors.

Both quadrants have to be placed in the vicinity of mirror M2. It is possible to detect the position of the first pass on M2 with Q1 and of the second pass on M2 with Q2 because the two passes impinge on M2 at different angles. Thus, a short free propagation from M2 to quadrants Q1 and Q2 can be used to separate the two spuriously transmitted beams (see Fig. 9).

In Fig. 10, the excursion of the laser beam axis from the optical axis is illustrated along the eight-pass amplifier in Fig. 9 equipped with the above-described active stabilization. Only the propagations for a tilted disk are displayed. The propagation for a tilted in-coupled beam is not shown, as a tilt of the input beam can be exactly canceled by loop C1, so that the beam would propagate on the optical axis ($x = y = 0$) of the amplifier. The resulting excursion evolution shown in Fig. 10 can be compared with the excursions in Figs. 4 and 5. Similar overall excursions are visible, but in Fig. 10, the disk tilt has been increased by a factor of 25 compared to the




Fig. 11. Measured small-signal gain for three eight-pass amplifiers versus the disk tilt ϕ . The red points have been taken for the simple amplifier in Fig. 2, the blue points for the same amplifier but with M2 replaced by a vertical retro-reflector, and the green ones for the amplifier in Fig. 9 equipped with the active stabilization system. Polynomial fits have been drawn to guide the eye. For the amplifier with the active stabilization system, mirror M2 has been replaced with a mirror with slightly higher transmission to generate a robust error signal from Q1 and Q2. This reduces the overall gain of the amplifier but does not alter its tilt dependence.

non-active stabilized amplifiers. Hence, the active stabilization improves greatly the stability to disk tilts.

The auto-alignment system in Fig. 9 has been tested experimentally. The measured gain decrease of the actively controlled amplifier for variations in disk tilt is summarized in Fig. 11 and compared with the results from the non-actively stabilized amplifiers with the same optical layout. The actively stabilized amplifier shows a major (order of magnitude) decrease in sensitivity to disk tilts. However, the measured stability improvement is smaller than predicted from simulations. This reduced performance can be ascribed to the non-vanishing M2–Q1 and M2–Q2 distances that in the realized amplifier were about 1.5 m. This issue could be solved by implementing an imaging of M2 on the two quadrants.

It is interesting to note that the number of actively controlled mirrors can be reduced to one without loss of alignment stability by eliminating loop C2 and replacing active mirror M2 with a corner-cube reflector with three mirrors arranged at an angle of 54° and acting as retro-reflectors.

6. SUMMARY

A simple model has been presented to calculate the losses occurring at the soft aperture naturally present in a pumped active medium and the effects of this aperture for beam propagation. This knowledge has been used to quantify the alignment stability of multipass amplifiers in two ways—the first by simply tracking the evolution of the beam excursion w.r.t. the optical axis while the beam propagates in the amplifier for a tilted (misaligned) optical element or input beam, and the second, which requires the knowledge of the first, by computing the decrease in amplifier gain as a function of the tilt of the considered optical element.

These two methods have been used to investigate the sensitivity of Fourier-based amplifiers to beam tilts. The choice of the Fourier-based amplifiers is motivated by the superior stability of these multipass amplifiers to variations of thermal lens and aperture effects at the active medium compared with state-of-the-art amplifiers based on $4f$ -imaging propagation. An extensive comparison between the two multipass architectures can be found in [9]. Three variations of the same Fourier-based amplifier were investigated in this study: the basic layout in Fig. 2, the basic layout but mirror M2 replaced with a vertical retro-reflector, and the basic layout equipped with an auto-alignment system shown in Fig. 9.

The simulations were compared with measurements of the amplifier gain, and good agreement has been found. The stability of Fourier-based multipass amplifiers has been demonstrated via months-long operation of a thin-disk laser based on the layout in Fig. 2 without the need of realignment. Furthermore, simulations and observations showed that this stability can be improved by a factor of 4 in one direction (vertical) when M2 is implemented as a pair of 45° mirrors acting as vertical retro-reflectors, or in both directions (vertical and horizontal) when M2 is implemented as a three-mirror system acting as retro-reflectors in both directions. Likewise, more than an order of magnitude improvement in tilt stability can be obtained by equipping the multipass amplifier with a simple auto-alignment system comprising only two quadrant detectors and two motorized mirror holders.

These findings combined with the investigation presented in [9] fully qualify the Fourier-based design to be the appropriate choice in the high-energy and high-power sectors.

Funding. Schweizerischer Nationalfonds zur Förderung der Wissenschaftlichen Forschung (SNF) (SNF 200021_165854); H2020 European Research Council (ERC) (ERC CoG. #725039, ERC StG. # 279765); ETH Femtosecond and Attosecond Science and Technology (ETH-FAST) initiative (NCCR MUST).

REFERENCES

1. V. Chvykov, H. Cao, R. Nagymihaly, M. P. Kalashnikov, N. Khodakovskiy, R. Glasscock, L. Ehrentraut, M. Schnuerer, and K. Osvay, "High peak and average power Ti:sapphire thin disk amplifier with extraction during pumping," *Opt. Lett.* **41**, 3017–3020 (2016).
2. S. Keppler, C. Wandt, M. Hornung, R. Bödefeld, A. Kessler, A. Sävert, M. Hellwing, F. Schorcht, J. Hein, and M. C. Kaluza, "Multipass amplifiers of POLARIS," *Proc. SPIE* **8780**, 87800I (2013).
3. J.-P. Negel, A. Loescher, A. Voss, D. Bauer, D. Sutter, A. Killi, M. A. Ahmed, and T. Graf, "Ultrafast thin-disk multipass laser amplifier delivering 1.4 kW (4.7 mJ, 1030 nm) average power converted to 820 W at 515 nm and 234 W at 343 nm," *Opt. Express* **23**, 21064–21077 (2015).
4. S. Tokita, J. Kawanaka, Y. Izawa, M. Fujita, and T. Kawashima, "23.7 W picosecond cryogenic Yb:YAG multipass amplifier," *Opt. Express* **15**, 3955–3961 (2007).
5. H. Kogelnik, "On the propagation of Gaussian beams of light through lenslike media including those with a loss or gain variation," *Appl. Opt.* **4**, 1562–1569 (1965).
6. A. Siegman, *Lasers* (University Science Books, 1986).
7. V. Magni, "Resonators for solid-state lasers with large-volume fundamental mode and high alignment stability," *Appl. Opt.* **25**, 107–117 (1986).

8. W. W. Simmons, D. R. Speck, and J. T. Hunt, "Argus laser system: performance summary," *Appl. Opt.* **17**, 999–1005 (1978).
9. K. Schuhmann, K. Kirch, M. Marszalek, F. Nez, R. Pohl, I. Schulthess, L. Sinkunaite, G. Wichmann, M. Zeyen, and A. Antognini, "Multipass amplifiers with self-compensation of the thermal lens," *Appl. Opt.* **57**, 10323–10333 (2018).
10. A. Antognini, K. Schuhmann, F. D. Amaro, F. Biraben, A. Dax, A. Giesen, T. Graf, T. W. Hansch, P. Indelicato, L. Julien, C. Y. Kao, P. E. Knowles, F. Kottmann, E. L. Bigot, Y. W. Liu, L. Ludhova, N. Moschuring, F. Mulhauser, T. Nebel, F. Nez, P. Rabinowitz, C. Schwob, D. Taqqu, and R. Pohl, "Thin-disk Yb:YAG oscillator-amplifier laser, ASE, and effective Yb:YAG lifetime," *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* **45**, 993–1005 (2009).
11. K. Schuhmann, M. Ahmed, A. Antognini, T. Graf, T. Hansch, K. Kirch, F. Kottmann, R. Pohl, D. Taqqu, A. Voss, and B. Weichelt, "Thin-disk laser multi-pass amplifier," *Proc. SPIE* **9342**, 93420U (2015).
12. K. Schuhmann, K. Kirch, F. Nez, R. Pohl, and A. Antognini, "Thin-disk laser scaling limit due to thermal lens induced misalignment instability," *Appl. Opt.* **55**, 9022–9032 (2016).
13. K. Schuhmann, K. Kirch, and A. Antognini, "Multi-pass resonator design for energy scaling of mode-locked thin-disk lasers," *Proc. SPIE* **10082**, 100820J (2017).
14. K. Schuhmann, "The thin-disk laser for the 2S—2P measurement in muonic helium," Ph.D. thesis (Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics, 2017).
15. Y. H. Peng, J. Cheng, Y. Y. Cheah, K. S. Lai, E. Lau, and S. K. Ang, "High brightness continuous wave ceramic yb:luag thin-disk laser," *Opt. Express* **23**, 19618–19623 (2015).
16. J.-P. Negel, A. Voss, M. A. Ahmed, D. Bauer, D. Sutter, A. Killi, and T. Graf, "1.1 kw average output power from a thin-disk multipass amplifier for ultrashort laser pulses," *Opt. Lett.* **38**, 5442–5445 (2013).
17. U. Brauch, A. Giesen, M. Karszewski, C. Stewen, and A. Voss, "Multiwatt diode-pumped Yb:YAG thin disk laser continuously tunable between 1018 and 1053 nm," *Opt. Lett.* **20**, 713–715 (1995).
18. A. Giesen, H. Hügel, A. Voss, K. Wittig, U. Brauch, and H. Opower, "Scalable concept for diode-pumped high-power solid-state lasers," *Appl. Phys. B* **58**, 365–372 (1994).
19. A. Giesen, "Thin disk lasers—power scalability and beam quality," *Laser Technik J.* **2**, 42–45 (2005).
20. A. Giesen, "High-power thin-disk lasers," in *Advanced Solid-State Photonics* (2007), p. MA1.
21. A. Giesen and J. Speiser, "Fifteen years of work on thin-disk lasers: results and scaling laws," *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron.* **13**, 598–609 (2007).
22. K. Schuhmann, T. W. Hänsch, K. Kirch, A. Knecht, F. Kottmann, F. Nez, R. Pohl, D. Taqqu, and A. Antognini, "Thin-disk laser pump schemes for large number of passes and moderate pump source quality," *Appl. Opt.* **54**, 9400–9408 (2015).
23. T. Dietrich, S. Piehler, C. Röcker, M. Rumpel, M. A. Ahmed, and T. Graf, "Passive compensation of the misalignment instability caused by air convection in thin-disk lasers," *Opt. Lett.* **42**, 3263–3266 (2017).
24. T. Müller-Wirts, "Aligna automated laser beam alignment and stabilization system," 2018, <http://www.tem-messtechnik.de/EN/aligna.htm>.