

Dual influence of terrestrial and marine historical processes on the phylogeography of the Brazilian intertidal red alga Gracilaria caudata

Lígia Ayres-Ostrock, Myriam Valero, Stéphane Mauger, Mariana C Oliveira, Estela M Plastino, Marie-Laure Guillemin, Christophe Destombe

▶ To cite this version:

Lígia Ayres-Ostrock, Myriam Valero, Stéphane Mauger, Mariana C Oliveira, Estela M Plastino, et al.. Dual influence of terrestrial and marine historical processes on the phylogeography of the Brazilian intertidal red alga Gracilaria caudata. European Journal of Phycology, In press, 10.1111/jpy.12892. hal-02166442

HAL Id: hal-02166442

https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-02166442v1

Submitted on 26 Jun 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 Dual influence of terrestrial and marine historical processes on the phylogeography of the Brazilian
- 2 intertidal red alga *Gracilaria caudata*¹

- 4 Lígia M. Ayres-Ostrock, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão 277,
- 5 CEP: 05508-090 São Paulo, SP, Brazil.

6

- 7 Myriam Valero, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, UMI 3614, Evolutionary Biology and Ecology of
- 8 Algae, Station Biologique de Roscoff, CS 90074, 29688 Roscoff, France;

9

- 10 Stéphane Mauger, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, UMI 3614, Evolutionary Biology and Ecology of
- Algae, Station Biologique de Roscoff, CS 90074, 29688 Roscoff, France;

12

- Mariana C. Oliveira, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão 277, CEP:
- 14 05508-090 São Paulo, SP, Brazil;

15

- Estela M. Plastino², Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão 277, CEP:
- 17 05508-090 São Paulo, SP, Brazil; emplasti@usp.br, phone/fax: +55(11) 3091-7544;

18

- 19 Marie-Laure Guillemin, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, UMI 3614, Evolutionary Biology and Ecology
- of Algae, Station Biologique de Roscoff, CS 90074, 29688 Roscoff, France; Instituto de Ciencias
- 21 Ambientales y Evolutivas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile;

22

- 23 Christophe Destombe, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, UMI 3614, Evolutionary Biology and Ecology
- of Algae, Station Biologique de Roscoff, CS 90074, 29688 Roscoff, France.

- 26 Condensed running title: PHYLOGEOGRAPHY OF *G. CAUDATA*
- 27
- 28
- 29

31

ABSTRACT

| 32 | In thi |
|----|--------|
| 33 | shape |
| 34 | econ |
| 35 | were |
| 36 | diver |
| 37 | cox2- |
| 38 | nucle |
| 39 | 03°S |
| 40 | demo |
| 41 | diver |
| 42 | glaci |

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

In this study, we explored how both past terrestrial and marine climate changes have interacted to e the phylogeographic patterns of the intertidal red seaweed Gracilaria caudata, an omically important species exploited for agar production in the Brazilian north-east. Seven sites sampled along the north-east tropical and south-east sub-tropical Brazilian coast. The genetic rsity and structure of G. caudata was inferred using a combination of mitochondrial (COI and -3), chloroplast (rbcL) and 15 nuclear microsatellite markers. A remarkable congruence between ear, mitochondrial and chloroplast data revealed clear separation between the north-east (from to 08°S) and the south-east (from 20°S to 23°S) coast of Brazil. These two clades differ in their ographic histories, with signatures of recent demographic expansions in the north-east and gent populations in the south-east, suggesting the maintenance of several refugia during the last glacial maximum due to sea-level rise and fall. The Bahia region (around 12°S) occupies an intermediate position between both clades. Microsatellites and mtDNA markers showed additional levels of genetic structure within each sampled site located south of Bahia. The separation between the two main groups in G. caudata is likely recent, probably occurring during the Quaternary glacial cycles. The genetic breaks are concordant with (1) those separating terrestrial refugia, (2) major river outflows and (3) frontiers between tropical and subtropical regions. Taken together with previously published eco-physiological studies that showed differences in the physiological performance of the strains from distinct locations, these results suggest that the divergent clades in G. caudata correspond to distinct ecotypes in the process of incipient speciation and thus should be considered for the management policy of this commercially important species.

52

53

54

KEYWORDS

- 55 COI mtDNA, *Gracilaria caudata*, microsatellite, phylogeography, population genetics, refugia,
- 56 Rhodophyta, South-western Atlantic Coast.

58 LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- 60 AMOVA: analysis of molecular variance
- 61 AR: allelic richness
- 62 BA: Bahia
- 63 BC: Brazil Current
- 64 CE: Ceará
- 65 COI: cytochrome c oxidase I gene
- 66 cox2-3: intergenic spacer located between the cytochrome oxidase subunits 2 and 3 genes
- 67 DAPC: discriminant analysis of principal components
- 68 ES: Espírito Santo
- 69 F_{IS} : single- and multi-locus estimates of deviation from random mating
- 70 F_{ST} : genetic differentiation between sites
- 71 H: gene diversity
- h: the number of haplotypes
- 73 H_e: expected heterozygosity
- 74 H_o: observed heterozygosity
- 75 K: clusters
- 76 LD: Linkage disequilibrium
- 77 LGM: Last Glacial Maximum
- 78 MAAs: mycosporine-like amino acids
- 79 ML: Maximum likelihood

- N_a : mean number of alleles per locus
- 81 NBC: North Brazil Current
- 82 NE: north-eastern
- 83 PB: Paraíba
- 84 PE: Pernambuco
- 85 R: clonal richness
- 86 *rbc*L: large subunit of ribulose-l, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase
- 87 RN: Rio Grande do Norte
- 88 S: number of polymorphic sites
- 89 SACW: South Atlantic Central Waters.
- 90 SE: south-eastern
- 91 SEC: South Equatorial Current
- 92 SP: São Paulo
- 93 π : nucleotide diversity

INTRODUCTION

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

95

Historical processes have likely influenced hydrographic-climatic patterns, in addition to modifying coastline profiles and marine species' range dynamics (Haq et al. 1987, Hewitt 1996, 2000, 2004). Likewise, these processes may have shaped genetic diversity: the genetic structure of contemporary populations is the result of both short-term ecological processes and long-term evolution governed in part by environmental changes (Benzie 1998). Phylogeographic approaches, generally using uniparentally inherited molecular markers, are powerful tools for inferring past range contraction and expansion and to establish evolutionary origin of genetic characteristics of presentday populations (Avise 2000). The late Pleistocene glaciations are recognised as important factors that favoured the divergence of populations and ultimately speciation via repeated isolation in allopatric refuges (Hewitt 1996, 2004, Maggs et al. 2008, Neiva et al. 2016, Bringloe and Saunders 2018). After the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), it is assumed that climatic amelioration allowed species to expand their range poleward from the populations located at low latitude margins (Davis and Shaw 2001). Thus, current patterns of genetic diversity have been largely determined by population responses at the margins of species' distribution ranges (Hampe and Petit 2005). Longterm persistent populations, also known as 'rear-edge' populations, are usually restricted to areas where survival was possible under glacial maximum conditions. These low-latitude refugia show generally high genetic variation despite bottlenecks in these isolated populations during glacial periods (Hewitt 1996, 2004, Assis et al. 2016). On the other hand, 'leading-edge' populations result from rare long-distance dispersal events followed by exponential population growth and are generally characterised by highly reduced genetic diversity (Hewitt 2000).

In tropical and sub-tropical zones, the effect of glacial-interglacial cycles was less dramatic than in temperate ones and changes in species distribution, mostly related to a cooler and more arid climate during glacial periods, generally do not reflect the classical contraction and expansion

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

reported at higher latitudes (Lessa et al. 2003). In Brazil, phylogeographic studies have mainly focused on terrestrial and freshwater habitats (e.g. Costa et al. 2003, Rocha 2003, Carnaval and Moritz 2008), whereas marine organisms have received little attention (Pinheiro et al. 2017, Nauer et al. 2019). Nonetheless, studies on marine population genetics are crucial to assure a better sustainable management and future economic stability of these natural resources (Palumbi 2003, Waples et al. 2008, Couceiro et al. 2013).

The Brazilian coast extends for about 8000 km and stretches across 38 degrees of latitude (from 5°16'20"N to 33°44'32"S, Figure 1). This long coastline provides a variety of ecological conditions resulting in very different abiotic environments (Gomes da Silva et al. 2016). Phylogeographic patterns in marine fishes and invertebrates are generally more related to the impact of the strong currents affecting the region and acting as barriers to gene flow (e.g., the bifurcation into two branches of the South Equatorial Current at latitudes 10°-15°S; see Figure 1; Santos et al. 2006, da Silva Cortinhas et al. 2016, dos Santos Freitas et al. 2017, Peluso et al. 2018) than to demographic processes linked to Pleistocene climatic changes. Interestingly, intertidal species such as some seaweeds located at the interface of the terrestrial and marine realms may have been affected by past marine and terrestrial climatic fluctuations (Neiva et al. 2014, Cardoso et al. 2015). The existence of three main refugia for the rainforest located along the Brazilian Atlantic coast have been postulated (i.e. in the region of Pernambuco-PE, Bahia-BA, and São Paulo-SP, Figure 1) for which long term persistence of genetically isolated lineages have been associated (Carnaval and Moritz 2008, Carnaval et al. 2009). Additionally, along the Brazilian coast, the Holocene sea-level history suggests regional variations alternating periods of mean sea-level rise and fall. It may be assumed that these oscillations would have had a strong influence on the distribution and diversity of coastal species (Cardoso et al. 2015, Leite et al. 2016).

Phylogeographic studies on seaweeds have increased significantly over the past two decades (for review see Hu et al. 2016). Most of these studies have been carried out in the Northern

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

Hemisphere and only a few have focused on South America (Tellier et al. 2009, 2011, Guillemin et al. 2016, 2018, Montecinos et al. 2012). Recently, Nauer et al. (2015, 2019) highlighted the existence of intraspecific diversity within the intertidal red alga *Hypnea pseudomusciformis* along the Brazilian coast, with divergence between samples from the north-central part of the distribution and the ones located on the more south-eastern part of the coast. Here, we report on the phylogeographic patterns of the intertidal red alga *Gracilaria caudata*. The order Gracilariales a taxonomically challenging group of marine benthic red algae, includes species of major ecological and economical importance (worldwide invasive species: Bellorin et al. 2004, Krueger-Hadfield et al. 2016; harvested and cultivated agarophyte species: Valero et al. 2017). . Morphological species delineation is particularly difficult due to the relatively small number of diagnostic characters and their great phenotypic plasticity (Gurguel et al. 2004). Recent molecular-based analyses revealed the occurrence of numerous cryptic species in this group (Cohen et al. 2004, Guillemin et al. 2008, Destombe et al. 2010, Lyra et al. 2016). The difficulty in distinguishing species also explains the current debate about the classification of the Gracilariales (see Gurgel et al. 2018 and Iha et al. 2018). Gracilaria caudata is a haploid-diploid intertidal rocky shore species characterized by forming dense beds. The species is widespread and occurs from southern Florida (USA-27°N) to the southern part of the Brazilian coast (Santa Catarina State, SC-27°S; Plastino and Oliveira 1997). This species is an important source of agar production in Brazil (Hayashi et al. 2014). Over the last 50 years, intensive and uncontrolled exploitation of natural beds has led to a significant decline of native populations (Hayashi et al. 2014). Today, artisanal mariculture of G. caudata in the north-east State of Ceará, Brazil offers an important source of income for the local fishing community (Costa et al. 2012). Over the past two decades, the taxonomy, physiology, ecology, life history and mariculture of this species of economic interest have been intensively studied (Plastino and Oliveira 1997, Costa et al. 2012, Araújo et al. 2014; Faria and Plastino 2016, Faria et al. 2017, Trigueiro et al. 2017). Recently, a comparative study of individuals from three distant geographical areas along the Brazilian coast done

under laboratory conditions, showed clear differences in the physiological performance of *G*. *caudata* strains among regions with strains from the north-east state of Ceará (CE) presenting higher growth rates and better photosynthetic performances than the ones sampled in the south (BA and SP) (Faria et al. 2017). Moreover, differences in growth rate and sensitivity to UVB radiation were observed between strains from CE and SP under controlled laboratory conditions (Araújo et al. 2014). These differences suggest the existence of intraspecific diversity, supporting the hypothesis of ecotypic differentiation within this species (Araújo et al. 2014, Faria et al. 2017), and raising questions on gene flow patterns and possible reproductive isolation along the extensive range of *G*. *caudata*. These results are also critical for developing effective management strategies in priority areas for conservation of coastal and marine biodiversity in Brazil (Prates et al. 2007).

Using mitochondrial and chloroplast DNA sequences and nuclear microsatellite markers, we examine the population genetic structure of *G. caudata* throughout its whole distribution range in Brazil. We use this combined information to test for 1) the existence of differentiated genetic groups that echo the ecotypic differences revealed by eco-physiological experiments and 2) the processes responsible for population structuring. We hypothesised that both past isolation in refugia and oceanic barriers to gene flow have affected genetic structuring of *G. caudata* and that the main barriers to gene flow coincide with previously reported biogeographical breaks in the South-West Atlantic and/or with strong marine circulation patterns.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

190 Study Species

Gracilaria caudata is a commonly encountered seaweed in Brazil. The species grows on rocky substrate, often partially buried in sand and forming dense beds. It occurs mostly in protected bays and turbid waters, extending from the intertidal to the subtidal fringe (Plastino and Oliveira 1997). In

Gracilaria, the tetrasporophytic, female gametophytic and male gametophytic individuals are isomorphic. The thallus is an erect system of cylindrical branches that grow from the holdfast, fixing the individual to the substrate. Male and tetrasporophytic individuals can be distinguished by their reproductive structures, which are readily visible under a dissecting microscope, while female individuals are recognized by the presence of cystocarps (when fertilized) and can be detected by direct observation. In *Gracilaria*, as in most red algae, none of the propagules released in the seawater during the sexual life cycle (i.e, spermatia, haploid and diploids spores) are motile (Kain and Destombe 1995) and even if thalli are detached and found washed up on beach, they are not buoyant. As expected, gene flow has been estimated to be generally restricted to a few meter or kilometer in these algae (Engel et al. 1999, 2004, Guillemin et al. 2008); but in Guillemin et al. (2014) an event of rare long-distance dispersal caused by trans-oceanic colonization of non-buoyant algae species in association with rafting seaweeds is suggested for *G. chilensis*.

Sample collection

A total of 735 individuals of *G. caudata* were randomly collected from seven sites (Figure 1) covering most of the species' distribution range along the Brazilian coast including priority areas for conservation of coastal and marine biodiversity in Brazil (Prates et al. 2007). Two sampling strategies were adopted depending on algal density and spatial distribution. When possible (i.e. in CE, RN, PB, PE and BA), three transects of 20 meters in length were done perpendicularly to the shoreline during the low tide. For each transect, the apical branches of 35 individuals were sampled, resulting in 105 per site site. At sites with low densities of *G. caudata* (i.e. ES and SP), the sampling was done randomly and at least 50 individuals were collected. Each sample corresponds to an individual holdfast. All specimens were collected in between high and low intertidal areas uncovered at low tide.

Life stage and sex of individuals were determined by reproductive structures (by eyes for fertilized females or under a stereoscopic microscope for males and tetrasporophytes). Only diploid individuals (a total of 411 samples, i.e. more than 50 individuals per site) were preserved for genotyping. For the phylogeographic study, a subsampled of 18 tetrasporophytic individuals per site were sequenced.

DNA extraction and PCR amplification of mitochondrial, chloroplast and nuclear microsatellite markers

DNA extractions followed the procedures described in Ayres-Ostrock et al. (2016). PCR amplifications of the mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase I gene (COI) and the intergenic spacer located between the cytochrome oxidase subunits 2 and 3 genes (*cox*2-3) were performed following the protocols described in Saunders (2005) and Zuccarello et al. (1999). To supplement the mitochondrial marker data set, some individuals were sequenced for the chloroplast gene for the large subunit of ribulose-l, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (*rbc*L). PCR amplifications were carried out following the protocol described in Freshwater et al. (1994).

All PCR products were purified using Illustra[™] GFX[™] MicroSpin[™] columns (GE Healthcare, Chicago, USA) and sequenced using the BigDye[™] Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA), with the forward and the reverse amplification primers. PCR amplifications and genotyping of the 15 microsatellite loci were performed according to Ayres-Ostrock et al. (2016).

Mitochondrial and chloroplast DNA markers: sequence alignment, phylogenetic reconstructions, diversity and network analyses

Sequences were edited and aligned using ClustalW/Bioedit v 7 (Hall, 1999) and Mega v 5 (Tamura et al. 2011) and deposited in GenBank under accession numbers MF995393-MF995549 for COI, MG452409-MG452552 for *cox*2-3 and MF995550-MF995561 for *rbc*L.

Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic trees were constructed independently for *rbc*L and for the concatenated sequences of the two mitochondrial markers (COI and *cox*2-3) with Iq-Tree software using 2000 iterations for the optimal-tree search and as bootstrap pseudo-replicates (Minh et al. 2013, Nguyen et al. 2014, Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017). Phylogenetic trees were visualised in Figtree v1.4.3 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/). For *rbc*L, four sequences of *G. secunda* and eight of *G. caudata* from the USA, Mexico, Venezuela and Brazil were downloaded from GenBank and added to our data set. For both trees, *G. birdiae* was used as outgroup. Moreover, a haplotype network was reconstructed for the concatenated COI+*cox*2-3 dataset using the median-joining algorithm implemented in Network v 5.0.0.1 (Bandelt et al. 1999).

Pairwise values of $F_{\rm ST}$ among locations were calculated and their significance was tested using 1000 permutations. Moreover, a nested analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) was implemented to test for the partition of genetic variance within sites, between sites within haplogroups and among haplogroups (Excoffier et al. 1992). The isolation-by-distance model (Mantel test) was used to test for a relationship between genetic distance ($F_{\rm ST}$) and geographic distance (in km), and its significance was tested using 1000 permutations.

For each site, four diversity indices were calculated for the COI and cox2-3 markers using Arlequin: the number of haplotypes (h), the number of polymorphic sites (S), gene diversity (H) (Nei, 1987) and nucleotide diversity (π) (Nei and Li, 1979). A Mann-Whitney U test was used to evaluate differences in genetic diversity among regions (north-east vs. south-east) using Statistica 10 software.

Microsatellite scoring, diversity within sites and structuration patterns

For the 15 microsatellite loci, allele size was scored manually according to Ayres-Ostrock et al. (2016). Initially, the frequency of null alleles was estimated using MICRO-CHECKER software (van Oosterhout et al. 2004). The frequency of different multi-locus genotypes was calculated using the Monte Carlo procedure implemented in GenClone 2.0 (Arnaud-Haond and Belkhir 2007). Genotype diversity was calculated as R = (G-1)/(N-1), where G is the number of distinct genotypes identified and N is the number of individuals (Dorken and Eckert 2001). Linkage disequilibrium (LD) was assessed using the association index $\overline{r_d}$ (Brown et al. 1980, modified by Agapow and Burt 2001) and computed using Multilocus v1.3b (Agapow and Burt 2001). Their significance was tested using 1000 permutations (Burt et al. 1996).

Single and multi-locus estimates of gene diversity were calculated as mean number of alleles per locus (N_a), expected heterozygosity (H_e , sensu Nei 1978) and observed heterozygosity (H_o) using Genetix 4.05 software (Belkhir et al. 1996-2004). Single- and multi-locus estimates of deviation from random mating (F_{IS}) were calculated according to Weir and Cockerham (1984) and significant was tested by running 1000 permutations using Genetix.

Genetix was also used to calculate the genetic differentiation (F_{ST}) between sites, and to compute the Mantel test, which evaluates the existence of a correlation between genetic and geographic distances. The possibility that G caudata populations underwent a recent bottleneck event was evaluated using Bottleneck software v1.2.02 (Piry et al. 1999). Moreover, an AMOVA was implemented to test for the partition of genetic variance within sites, among sites within haplogroups and among haplogroups (haplogroups as defined with mitochondrial sequence dataset; Excoffier et al. 1992).

The Bayesian clustering method as implemented in Structure v2.3.4 software (Pritchard et al. 2000) was used to determine the existence of genetic groups within *G. caudata* populations categorising them into K sub-populations. A range of clusters (K), from one to eight was tested. Each

run, replicated 10 times, consisted of 400,000 iterations after a 'burn-in' of 200,000 iterations. K was determined by the method developed by Evanno et al. (2005). Structure results were combined using the greedy algorithm with 100,000 random input orders in Clummp (Jakobsson and Rosenberg 2007) and visualised with the Distruct programme (Rosenberg 2004). The discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC, Jombart et al. 2010) calculated with the R software (R Development Core Team 2011) was used to investigate the relatedness across sites.

RESULTS

Mitochondrial and chloroplast diversity

Between 18 and 26 tetrasporophytes were sequenced per site for both the COI and *cox*2-3 markers producing 301 mitochondrial sequences in total (Table 1). After editing, an alignment of 630 base pairs (bp) was built from 157 individuals for COI. Twenty-one mitotypes and 21 polymorphic sites were observed (GenBank accession numbers MF995393-MF995549). A total of 144 sequences of *cox*2-3 (358 bp) revealed five polymorphic sites and six mitotypes (GenBank accession numbers MG452409-MG452552). Once concatenated, the COI+*cox*2-3 sequences gave 26 mitotypes over the 983 bp studied on 144 individuals. After editing, an alignment of 1162 bp was built from 24 individuals for *rbc*L (i.e. 20 *G. caudata* and 4 *G. secunda*) and four chlorotypes and four polymorphic sites were observed in *G. caudata*.

Maximum likelihood (ML) tree reconstruction for the concatenated COI+*cox*2-3 sequence revealed the presence of two supported haplogroups distributed in distinct regions (Figure 2b). The first group corresponded to a north-eastern lineage and included all individuals sampled in CE, RN, PB and PE (i.e., ranging from 03°24'36"S to 08°07'59"S). The second group corresponded to a south-eastern lineage and included all individuals sampled in ES and SP (i.e., ranging from

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

20°48'31"S to 23°55'01"S). The sequences of individuals sampled in BA were retrieved as a polytomy from which south-eastern (SE) and north-eastern (NE) clades emerged (Figure 2b). In the ML tree reconstructed for rbcL, G. secunda from Mexico and USA appeared as a sister species to G. caudata (Figure 2a). Within G. caudata, the ML tree was very poorly resolved. However, G. caudata from ES, SP and Santa Catarina State (SC, Brazil) formed a monophyletic, albeit non-supported, branch separated from all the other samples collected from the north-eastern coast of Brazil, the USA and Venezuela. For the rbcL, a single base pair difference was observed between samples collected in the north-east and south-east regions (0.09% of divergence between MF995550 and MF995560). The haplotype network for the concatenated COI+cox2-3 sequences supports the phylogenetic results (Figure 3) and shows two main haplogroups. Seventeen haplotypes (i.e., C1-C17 in Figure 3) were found in the north-eastern part of the country in CE, RN, PB and PE. The two most widespread haplotypes, C1 and C5, were distributed in all four sites and the C13 haplotype was observed in the two adjacent sites of PB and PE. On the other hand, nine haplotypes (i.e., C18-C26 in Figure 3) were found in BA and in the south-eastern part of the country (ES and SP). No haplotypes were shared between the north-eastern and the BA plus south-eastern coasts or between sites sampled within the south-eastern haplogroup (Figure 3). Haplotype C25 was restricted to ES, C26 was restricted to SP, and seven haplotypes were private to BA. Concatenated mitotypes differed by 1-10 bp and only 2 bp separated the north-eastern and BA haplogroups (i.e., difference between C9 and C18 or C23, Figure 3). A reduction in both gene (H) and nucleotide diversity (π) was observed from the north-eastern (NE) haplogroup to the BA and south-eastern monophyletic group (SE) for both mitochondrial markers (p < 0.05 for COI and cox2-3, Table 1). The AMOVA indicated that total genetic variance was mainly explained by the variance among haplogroups (COI 58.72%, cox2-3 71.22%; Table 2b). The variance within sites (COI 20.90%, cox2-3 16.18%) and the variance among sites within haplogroups (COI 20.38%, cox2-3 12.60%), although significant, were much lower (Table 2b). F_{ST}

values were significant between most pairs of sites, except for CE-RN (p >0.654) and PB-PE (p >0.384) for both markers, and for BA-ES (p >0.261) for the cox2-3 (Table 2a). The Mantel tests showed a clear correlation between genetic and geographic distances for COI and for cox2-3 (p <0.005) (Figure S1).

Microsatellite data: summary statistics, population differentiation and clustering analysis

A total of 411 diploid individuals (tetrasporophytes) of *G. caudata* were genotyped in this study and samples genotyped per site varied from 49 to 79 (Table 3). Fifteen polymorphic microsatellite markers were selected for the analyses, among the 17 loci previously developed to assess the genetic diversity of *G. caudata* (Ayres-Ostrock et al., 2016). The loci GraC_09 and GraC_11 were excluded due to very low amplification percentages (<57% and <47%, respectively).

The frequency of null alleles was significant for five loci (GraC_03, GraC_04, GraC_05, GraC_06 and GraC_12) and ranged from 0.070 to 0.349. Except for the RN site, which showed no evidence of null alleles for any locus, loci for which null alleles were detected and the frequency of null alleles varied with the site. The site with null alleles detected in the highest number of loci was SP (three loci) (Table 4). The number of alleles found in each site varied from one in CE, RN, PB, PE and SP for the locus GraC_10 to 16 for GraC_04 in the site of RN. Five loci were moderately polymorphic (4-6 alleles) and seven were highly variable (10-26 alleles) (Table 4). Evidence of linkage disequilibrium (LD) was found only in three of the seven sampled sites of *G. caudata*: CE, BA and SP. The locus GraC_03 showed strong and significant linkage disequilibrium with loci GraC_05 (SP, $\overline{r_d}$ =0.492 and p <0.036) and GraC_07 (SP, $\overline{r_d}$ =0.639 and p <0.036), as did the locus GraC_08 with locus GraBC_04 (CE, $\overline{r_d}$ =0.262 and p <0.011). All 15 microsatellite loci were used in the following analyses.

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

Regardless of the site under study, all individuals analysed had unique multi-locus genotypes and values of clonal richness (R) were equal to one. Observed heterozygosity (H₀) ranged from 0.288 (SP) to 0.479 (RN) and expected heterozygosity (H_e) ranged from 0.284 (SP) to 0.458 (RN) (Table 3). The average number of alleles per locus varied among sites, with the highest value encountered in RN (5.267) and the lowest value in SP (3.400) (Table 3). The geographic pattern of genetic variation observed with the microsatellite markers resembled that observed with the mitochondrial sequences. Diversity was higher in the north-eastern area than in the south-eastern area (i.e., corresponding to north-eastern and BA+south-eastern mitochondrial haplogroups, respectively) and allelic richness (A_R) varied from 4.163 (NE) to 3.440 (BA+SE) and H_e varied from 0.446 (NE) to 0.363 (BA+SE). These differences were not significant (p > 0.05) (Table 3). Estimates of the inbreeding coefficient ($F_{\rm IS}$) varied among loci from 0.000 in loci GC 08 (ES and SP), GBC 01 (SP), GBC 03 (CE, PE and BA) and GBC 04 (BA) to one in the locus GC 12 (SP) (Table 4). Multi-locus $F_{\rm IS}$ values were generally close to zero and non-significant, except for ES $(F_{\rm IS}$ =-0.125) and BA $(F_{\rm IS}$ =0.115) (Table 3). The existence of a recent bottleneck in G. caudata was evaluated using Bottleneck software. Results indicate that all our sampled sites were in mutationdrift equilibrium. Unlike estimates previously obtained for mitochondrial markers, AMOVA analysis calculated using the microsatellites indicated that total genetic variance was mainly explained by the variance within sites 55.58% (p <0.0001, Table 5b). The variance among haplogroups (29.34%, p <0.05) and the variance among sites within haplogroups (15.07%, p <0.0001), although significant, were lower (Table 5b). Moreover, estimates of genetic differentiation (F_{ST}) among sites of G. caudata ranged from 0.038 (PB-PE) up to 0.476 (SP-CE) (Table 5a). The Mantel test indicated that there was a clear correlation between genetic and geographic distances (Figure S2). The Bayesian clustering method implemented in Structure software clearly showed that K = 2 was the optimal number of clusters in our study (Figure 3c). For K = 2, Structure results showed that

all individuals from the north-eastern part of the coast (CE, RN, PB and PE) clustered together and

the three remaining sites of BA, ES and SP formed the other group. Except in BA, a very low level of admixture was visible between the two genetic groups. When the Structure analysis was carried out within each genetic group, K =3 clusters were encountered in the north-eastern and the BA+south-eastern genetic group. Clustering within each geographic group generally separated the distinct sites. The level of admixture was much higher in the north-eastern (NE) than in the BA+SE (south-eastern) genetic group, where all individuals from the same site belonged to the same genetic cluster. In the north-eastern genetic group, a high genetic similarity was observed between the two neighbouring sites of PB and PE, with the individuals from PE showing traces of admixture between two genetic groups (Figure 3c). A similar pattern of genetic structure was also observed in the discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC), where six clusters of genetically related individuals were identified, mostly related to their sampling sites, except for PB and PE (Figure S3).

Allele size variation depended on the sampling region for three loci (GraC_03, GraBC_02 and GraBC_03) (Figure 4). For GraC_03, private alleles were encountered in BA and in each of the two most south-eastern sites (ES and SP) and only a low number of these (N=4) were observed in the north-eastern part of *G. caudata* distribution at a very low frequency (Figure 4). For GraBC_02, one frequent allele was observed in the three most south-eastern sites (i.e., BA, ES and SP; most common allele size =258 bp), but another allele, present in high frequency, characterised the four most north-eastern sites of CE, RN, PB and PE (most common allele size =264 bp) (Figure 4). For GraBC_02, alleles 258 bp and 264 bp were not shared between the two genetic groups (i.e., between the north-eastern and BA+south-eastern genetic group). For GraBC_03, the five north-eastern sites of CE, RN, PB, PE and BA shared the same common allele, whereas another allele was encountered in samples collected in the States of Espírito Santo (ES) and SP. In general, the sites of ES and SP from the south-east presented a higher frequency of private alleles (0.177) than the north-east states (0.073) and BA (0.068) (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

419

Throughout the Brazilian coast, two major genetic clusters, distributed in strict parapatry, were revealed within the red alga G. caudata based on both phylogenetic inferences and population genetic studies. There was a remarkable congruence between nuclear, mitochondrial and chloroplast data showing a clear separation between the north-east (i.e., ranging from 03°S to 08°S) and the south-east of the country (i.e., ranging from 20°S to 23°S), supporting the existence of a long-term divergence along the Brazilian coast. The BA region (around 12°S) occupies an intermediate position between both clades. These lineages also differ by their demographic histories: signatures of recent demographic expansions in the north-east cluster, whereas the BA and south-eastern haplogroups are composed of highly divergent populations, suggesting the maintenance of several different refugia. Values of divergence observed between these haplogroups (rbcL 0.09% and COI 0.72%) are two times lower than those obtained between the two northern and southern phylogeographic groups of the intertidal Hypnea pseudomusciformis studied in the same geographic region (rbcL 0.2% and COI 1.3%) (Nauer et al. 2015, 2019). Nevertheless, these values are quite low when compared with pairs of recently diverged red macroalgae species (Iridaea cordata cryptic species found on both side of the Drake Passage, at the tip of South America in Tierra del Fuego and along the Antarctic Peninsula: rbcL=3.17% and COI=8.31%, Ocaranza-Barrera et al. 2018; Bostrychia intricata cryptic species N3 and N4 found in New Zealand Sub-Antarctic islands and New Zealand main islands: rbcL=0.30% and COI=3.95%, Muangmai et al. 2015), driven and linked to historical events and changing environments.

Estimates of divergence time in *G. caudata*, based on the percentage of divergence per million years obtained in *I. cordata* and *B. intricata*, suggest that the effective separation between the north-eastern (NE) and BA+south-eastern (SE) haplogroups occurred recently, during the Quaternary, between 100,000 and 1,500,000 years ago. Apart from this major phylogenetic break

(between the PE and BA regions), mtDNA and microsatellite markers showed an additional level of genetic structure, with each site located south of BA (i.e., ES, 20°S and SP, 23°S) composed of a single unique mtDNA haplotype and constituting strongly divergent nuclear group.

A phylogeographic pattern dually influenced by marine and terrestrial climatic fluctuations in the past

The effect of the Pleistocene glacial cycles on the phylogeography of tropical species is much less studied than that of temperate ones (Hewitt 2004). The effects of climatic change during the Pleistocene in tropical and sub-tropical regions in southern America restricted species' distribution, but allowed the persistence of populations (refugia), which remained isolated through multiple glacial-interglacial oscillations (Lira et al. 2003). Therefore, strong signals of population expansion during interglacial periods are generally not detected (Lessa et al. 2003). In the terrestrial realm, studies suggest that there were three Late Quaternary (with the most recent glacial period occurring between about 120,000 and 20,000 years before present) main refugia in the rainforest located along the Brazilian Atlantic coast (i.e. in the regions of PE, BA and SP), which fostered the long-term persistence of genetically isolated lineages (Carnaval and Moritz 2008, Carnaval et al. 2009). Interestingly, these three main terrestrial refugia (see Figure 1) fit well with the two main phylogeographic breaks revealed in this study and correspond to areas where a high level of private alleles and haplotypes were detected in *G. caudata*.

The phylogeographic structure of marine species has been shaped by sea-level fluctuations during the Pleistocene (Suguio et al. 1985, Martin et al. 2003) as well as ocean current circulation patterns. Similar to our study, several phylogeographic studies have described one or two major genetic breaks along the Brazilian coast in invertebrate and vertebrate marine species (in the king weakfish, *Macrodon ancylodon*, Santos et al. 2006; in the silver fish *Atherinella brasiliensis*, da

Silva Cortinhas et al. 2016; in the king fish *Menticirrhus americanus*, dos Santos Freitas et al. 2017; in the white shrimp *Litopenaeus schmitt* Maggioni et al. 2003; in the coral *Mussismilia hispida* Peluso et al. 2018) and in the red mangrove tree *Rhizophora mangle* (Francisco et al. 2018). However, the exact limits of the breaks do not always coincide among the studied species. In most studies, the first genetic barrier is located at 4°S-6°S, separating the populations of northern Brazil from those of central Brazil (Santos et al. 2006, Francisco et al. 2018, Peluso et al. 2018) and the second one occurs at 21°S-23°S, separating the tropical populations located along the coast where the Brazil Current flows from the sub-tropical populations influenced by cooler South Atlantic Central Waters (SACW) (Santos et al. 2006, da Silva Cortinhas et al. 2016, dos Santos Freitas et al. 2017, Peluso et al. 2018). All these studies suggest ocean currents (Figure 1) play a role as effective barriers to gene flow.

In intertidal organisms, such as *G. caudata*, the phylogeographic structure is probably best explained by the interaction between terrestrial history and marine current patterns. Other features such as the outflows from the Doce and São Francisco rivers may also act as biogeographical barriers separating populations located along the Brazilian coast (Schmid et al. 1995, Carnaval et al. 2009, Figure 1). Indeed, even if *G. caudata* has been described as euryhaline species (Yokoya and Oliveira 1992), experiments have shown that it was not able to grow at salinities lower than 15 PSU (de Miranda et al. 2012) and it can be assumed that strong freshwater outflows may have a significant impact on the fitness of these intertidal populations. The possible role of the São Francisco River as a promoter of differentiation has been commonly reported in terrestrial organisms (Pellegrino et al. 2005, Carnaval and Moritz 2008, Carnaval et al. 2009). However, there is congruence between river systems and the borders of putative long-term refugia in the area (Carnaval and Moritz 2008), and some authors have proposed that primary divergence was due to isolation in climatic refugia, rivers being only secondary barriers maintaining the resulting genetic structure (Carnaval et al. 2014; but see Thomé et al. 2014). Additionally, along the Brazilian coast, the Holocene sea-level history

suggests regional variations, with alternating periods of mean sea-level rise and fall resulting in huge reductions in population size and decreased connectivity. The frequency, time and impact of these oscillations during the past 7000 years have been well studied (Angulo and Lessa 1997, Martin et al. 1998, Lessa and Angulo 1998, Martin et al. 2003) and these oscillations have had a strong influence on the distribution and diversity of several coastal species (e.g., in the sand dune ant *Mycetophylax*, Cardoso et al. 2015; in five small mammal species widespread in the Brazilian Atlantic forest, Leite et al. 2016; and in the red mangrove *Rhizophora mangle*, Pil et al. 2011). Depending on the study, sea-level fluctuations had amplitudes of 2 to 4 m when compared to today's sea-level, and durations of 400 to 500 years (Suguio et al. 1985, Martin et al. 2003). These oscillations were characterized by rates in sea level change of 16 up to 32 mm per year, three times faster than the fastest sea-level rise period (10 mm/year, between 15000 and 8000 yr BP - Angulo et al. 2006), that could have strongly affected marine species distribution along the RN-SC coast and in adjacent regions (Fleming et al. 1998). Strikingly, receding sea levels exposed as much as 92% of today's Brazilian continental shelf (Araújo et al. 2008, Carnaval et al. 2009), with significantly more land being exposed south of BA (i.e., Abrolhos Bank, 18°S) (Leite et al. 2016). There are many reports worldwide of genetic evidence of bottlenecks in coastal marine taxa in tropical regions due to the global reduction in sea level during the Pleistocene (Ludt and Rocha 2015). Such climatic events may explain the reduced mitochondrial and nuclear genetic diversity and high genetic structure observed between sites of G. caudata located south of BA (ES and SP) compared with the north-eastern sites. Moreover, the fluctuation of the sea levels along the Vitoria-Trindade Ridge was reported as a possible cause of the high level of endemism in this coral reef environment because of successive bottlenecks (Pinheiro et al. 2017). Our hypothesis is that such successive bottlenecks will impact more strongly the genetic structure of intertidal benthic organisms rather than subtidal pelagic species.

517

518

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

Secondary contact zone, but low level of admixture in the Bahia (BA) region

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

The Bahia (BA) region presents a high number of divergent haplotypes, suggesting that one large or several refugia may have withstood the successive glacial cycles of the Pleistocene in this region. Mitochondrial markers point out the existence of large and stable populations in BA, historically isolated from both the south-eastern and the north-eastern parts of the G. caudata distribution in Brazil. The existence of major long-standing BA refugia in the coastal Brazil Atlantic forest has also been demonstrated for terrestrial plants and animals and is associated with higher species and genetic endemism, compared with areas located south of the Doce River (i.e., our sites of ES and SP) (Carnaval and Moritz 2008, Carnaval et al. 2009). In G. caudata, the mtDNA tree shows that individuals sampled in this region constitute a basal polytomy to both the north-eastern and south-eastern monophyletic branches. This result is supported by the private BA haplotypes, which occupy an intermediate position in the mtDNA haplotype network, connecting the northern-most and southern-most haplotypes. However, depending on the locus, diagnostic microsatellite alleles of the north-eastern and south-eastern regions were both observed in the BA population (Figure 4) and BA was the only case in which a low level of admixture between the north-eastern and south-eastern lineages was detected. All these results suggest that BA may correspond not only to a refugium, but also to a recent secondary contact zone between the highly differentiated north-eastern and southeastern regions. The occurrence of a contact zone in the BA region can also be surmised from a previous phylogenetic study conducted in another red alga species (H. pseudomusciformis, Nauer et al. 2019). As in G. caudata, a clear divergence between the samples from north-east and the samples from the south-east of Brazil was detected using COI mitochondrial sequences and, in both studies, the BA region is an intermediate region that is either grouped with the north-eastern (in H. pseudomusciformis) or with the south-eastern cluster (in G. caudata).

541

542

543

Both historical isolation and more recent micro-evolutionary processes have probably played a major role in shaping *G. caudata* genetic structure in the BA region. Although we did not observe the

gradient of admixture and/or clines of allele frequencies expected in this contact zone, more extensive sampling in this zone is required to clarify the size of the contact zone and level of introgression between the two main lineages. It is possible that the genetic structure is currently maintained by the combination of the low dispersal capacity of the species, the existence of various barriers to gene flow and adaptation to sharp changes in environmental conditions (e.g., salinity, sea surface temperature, oxygen and nutrient concentration; Briani et al. 2018). In seaweeds with low dispersal capacity, even weak and/or transient barriers can easily promote and maintain strong genetic divergence (Montecinos et al. 2012). Studies on different intertidal red seaweed species revealed restricted gene flow even at short distance. Particularly between tide pools located at different levels of the shore and exposed to contrasting levels of physiological stress (Engel et al. 1999, 2004, Krueger-Hadfield et al. 2011, 2013, 2015, Maggs et al 2011). Such patterns of reduced gene flow, if congruent with contrasted environmental conditions, may also initiate ecotypic differentiation. Briani et al. (2018) reported that the content of mycosporine-like amino acids (MAAs) in 39 different red algae including G. caudata varies sharply between the north-east and south-east of the Brazilian coast. Algae MAAs content varied mainly according to the level of UV and irradiance, however, other environmental factors such as pH and phosphate and nitrate concentrations in the water column also had some influence (Briani et al. 2018). The genetic break revealed in G. caudata thus fits nicely with the sharp abiotic environmental break detected between tropical and subtropical regions (Briani et al. 2018).

563

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

Ecotypic differentiation and conservation management issues

565

566

567

568

564

Differences in physiological performance (e.g., growth rate, photosynthesis parameters, pigment content and sensitivity to UVB radiation) were observed between strains of *G. caudata* from CE (corresponding to the NE clade) and SP (corresponding to the SE group) grown in controlled

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

laboratory conditions (Araújo et al. 2014, Faria et al. 2017). Possible ecotypic differentiation may have arisen along the *G. caudata* distribution range, linked to local adaptation to habitats specific to the north-east and the regions of lower latitude (such as MAAs content, see Briani et al. 2018). These results were also confirmed by the Mantel test that showed a significant correlation between genetic and geographic distances, suggesting that the population structure and the subsequent occurrence of ecotypic differentiation could be explained by an isolation-by-distance model.

Interestingly, differences in seawater temperature linked to ocean circulation patterns have also been detected along the Brazilian coast (average ranging between 20 and 28°C in the northeast region and between 6 and 24°C in the southeast region); and are regarded as a potential physiological barrier to gene flow for some species of fish (Galetti et al. 2006, Santos et al. 2006, Machado et al. 2017). Temperature ecotypes have been described along the Brazilian coast for another Gracilaria species: G. birdiae (Ursi et al. 2003). Differences in sensitivity to UVB radiation were also detected between G. birdiae strains from CE and SP states (Ayres and Plastino 2014). Physiological divergence between habitats, linked to distinct resilience capacity to temperature fluctuation and emersion (i.e., desiccation) stress, has been shown in other intertidal seaweeds, for example in the brown alga Fucus vesiculosus (Nicastro et al. 2013, Saada et al. 2016). Ecotypic divergence has been shown to lead to rapid speciation in several organisms including macroalgae (i.e., adaptation to low salinity in the Baltic sea in *Fucus* in the time span of a few hundreds of years, Pereyra et al. 2009). Nevertheless, a recent study, based on experimental crosses, demonstrated that individuals of G. caudata from north-eastern (i.e, CE) and south-eastern (i.e, ES and SP) clades produced viable and fertile descendants, suggesting that complete reproductive barriers may not yet have evolved between the two clades (Chiaramonte et al. 2018).

In conclusion, our study demonstrates the existence of divergent clades — which based on previous physiological work may correspond to distinct ecotypes (Araújo et al. 2014, Faria et al. 2017) — in *G. caudata* and thus should be considered for the management policy of this

commercially important species. Harvesting of natural *Gracilaria* beds in Brazil has gradually diminished since the 1970s and has been replaced by small-scale cultivation (Hayashi et al. 2014). Nevertheless, since the beginning of this activity in the 1960s, over-harvesting of the natural beds in the north-eastern region has compromised one of the main sources of genetic diversity. Acknowledging the existence of multiple phylogeographical lineages along the Brazilian coast is important not only for understanding the recent historical processes shaping genetic diversity in these tropical and sub-tropical regions, but also for developing effective conservation strategies, particularly in an environment subject to important anthropogenic factors like overharvesting, habitat fragmentation and degradation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP: 2010/50175-3; 2011/10189-8), the Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq: 300148/93-3; 301491/2013-5), the programme of international cooperation USP/COFECUB between the University of São Paulo and the *Comité Français d'Evaluation de la Coopération Universitaire avec le Brésil* and the International Research Network DEBMA 'Diversity, Evolution and Biotechnology of Marine Algae' (GDRI CNRS 0803). This study was funded in part by the *Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil* (CAPES) - Finance Code 001. We are also most grateful to the Biogenouest Genomics core facility for its technical support. We thank the anonymous referees for valuable suggestions.

BIOSKETCH

| 618 | Author contributions: L.A-O., E.M.P. and C.D. conceived the study. L.A-O. and E.M.P. conducted |
|-----|--|
| 619 | the field work. L.A-O. and S.M. generated the data and L.A-O., C.D., M-L.G., and M.V. performed |
| 620 | the data analyses. L.A-O. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the last |
| 621 | draft of the manuscript. |
| 622 | |
| 623 | CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT |
| 624 | |
| 625 | The authors declare no conflict of interest |
| 626 | |
| 627 | |
| 628 | REFERENCES |
| 629 | |
| 630 | Agapow, P. M. & Burt, A. 2001. Indices of multilocus linkage disequilibrium. Mol. Ecol. Notes. |
| 631 | 1:101–102. |
| 632 | Angulo, R. J. & Lessa, G. C. 1997. The Brazilian sea-level curves: a critical review with emphasis on |
| 633 | the curves from the Paranaguá and Cananéia regions. Mar. Geol. 140:141-166. |
| 634 | Angulo, R. J., Lessa, G. C. & de Souza, M. C. 2006. A critical review of mid-to late-Holocene sea- |
| 635 | level fluctuations on the eastern Brazilian coastline. Quat. Sci. Rev. 25:486-506. |
| 636 | Araújo, F. O., Ursi, S. & Plastino, E. M. 2014. Intraspecific variation in <i>Gracilaria caudata</i> |
| 637 | (Gracilariales, Rhodophyta): growth, pigment content, and photosynthesis. J. Appl. Phycol. |
| 638 | 26:849-858. |
| 639 | Araújo, M. B., Nogués-Bravo, D., Diniz-Filho, J. A. F., Haywood, A. M., Valdes, P. J. & Rahbek, C. |
| 640 | 2008. Quaternary climate changes explain diversity among reptiles and amphibians. |
| 641 | Ecography. 31:8-15. |

Arnaud-Haond, S. & Belkhir, K. 2007. GENECLONE: a computer program to analyse genotypic 642 data, test for clonality and describe spatial clonal organization. Mol. Ecol. Notes. 7:15–17. 643 Assis, J., Coelho, N. C., Lamy, T., Valero, M., Alberto, F. & Serrão, E. Á. 2016. Deep reefs are 644 climatic refugia for genetic diversity of marine forests. J. Biogeogr. 43:833-844. 645 Avise, J. C. 2000. Phylogeography. The history and formation of species. Cambridge, Massachusetts 646 and London: Harvard University Press, 464 pp. 647 648 Ayres-Ostrock, L. M. & Plastino, E. M. 2014. Effects of UV-B radiation on growth rates, pigment content and ultrastructure of red (wild type), greenish-brown and green strains of Gracilaria 649 650 birdiae (Gracilariales, Rhodophyta). Eur. J. Phycol 49:197-212. Ayres-Ostrock, L. M. 2014. Estudos populacionais em Gracilaria birdiae e G. caudata 651 (Gracilariales, Rhodophyta): aspectos fenológicos, fisiológicos e moleculares. Ph.D. 652 dissertation. University of São Paulo, São Paulo, 203 pp. 653 654 Ayres-Ostrock, L. M., Mauger, S., Plastino, E. M., Oliveira, M. C., Valero, M. & Destombe, C. 2015. Development and characterization of microsatellite markers in two agarophyte 655 species, Gracilaria birdiae and Gracilaria caudata (Gracilariaceae, Rhodophyta), using 656 next-generation sequencing. J. Appl. Phycol. 28:653-662. 657 Bandelt, H. J., Forster, P. & Röhl. A. 1999. Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific 658 phylogenies. Mol. Biol. Evol. 16:37-48. 659 Belkhir, K., Borsa, P., Chikhi, L., Raufaste, N. & Bonhomme, F. 1996–2004. GENETIX 4.05, 660 logiciel sous Windows TM pour la génétique des populations. Laboratoire Genome, 661 Populations, Interactions, CNRS UMR 5000, Universite' de Montpellier II, Montpellier, 662 France. URL:http://www.genetix.univmontp2.fr/genetix/intro.htm 663 664 Bellorin, A. M., Oliveira, M. C. & Oliveira, E. C. 2004. *Gracilaria vermiculophylla*: a western Pacific species of Gracilariaceae (Rhodophyta) first recorded from the eastern Pacific. 665 Phycol. Res. 52:69-79. 666

| 667 | Benzie, J. A. H. 1998. Genetic structure of marine organisms and SE Asian biogeography. <i>Biogeogr.</i> |
|-----|--|
| 668 | Geol. Evol. SE Asia. 30:197-209. |
| 669 | Briani, B., Sissini, M. N., Lucena, L. A., Batista, M. B., Costa, I. O., Nunes, J. M., Schmitz, C., |
| 670 | Barufi, J. B. 2018 The influence of environmental features in the content of |
| 671 | mycosporine-like amino acids in red marine algae along the Brazilian coast. Journal of |
| 672 | Phycology. DOI: 10.1111/jpy.12640. |
| 673 | Bringloe, T. T. & Saunders, G. W. 2018. Mitochondrial DNA sequence data reveal the origins of |
| 674 | postglacial marine macroalgal flora in the Northwest Atlantic. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 589:45- |
| 675 | 58. |
| 676 | Brown, A. H. D., Feldman, M. W. & Nevo, E. 1980. Multilocus structure of natural populations of |
| 677 | Hordeum spontaneum. Genetics. 96:523-536. |
| 678 | Brunelli, B. 2017. Filogeografia de Gelidium floridanum e Pterocladiella capillacea (Gelidiales, |
| 679 | Rhodophyta) e espécies relacionadas no Atlântico ocidental, com ênfase no Brasil, com base |
| 680 | em dados morfológicos e moleculares. Dissertação de Mestrado, Instituto de Botânica de |
| 681 | São Paulo, 84 pp. |
| 682 | Burt, A., Carter, D. A., Koenig, G. L., White, T. J. & Taylor, J. W. 1996. Molecular markers reveal |
| 683 | cryptic sex in the human pathogen Coccidioides immitis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. |
| 684 | 93:770-773. |
| 685 | Cardoso, D. C., Cristiano, M. P., Tavares, M. G., Schubart, C. D. & Heinze, J. 2015. Phylogeography |
| 686 | of the sand dune ant Mycetophylax simplex along the Brazilian Atlantic Forest coast: |
| 687 | remarkably low mtDNA diversity and shallow population structure. BMC Evol. Biol. |
| 688 | 15:106. |
| 689 | Carnaval, A. C. & Moritz, C. 2008. Historical climate modelling predicts patterns of current |
| 690 | biodiversity in the Brazilian Atlantic forest. J. Biogeogr. 35:1187-1201. |

- 691 Carnaval, A. C., Hickerson, M. J., Haddad, C. F., Rodrigues, M. T. & Moritz, C. 2009. Stability predicts genetic diversity in the Brazilian Atlantic forest hotspot. Science. 323:785-789. 692 Carnaval, A. C., Waltari, E., Rodrigues, M. T., Rosauer, D., VanDerWal, J., Damasceno, R., Prates, 693 v., ... Moritz, C. 2014. Prediction of phylogeographic endemism in an environmentally 694 complex biome. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 281:20141461. 695 Chiaramonte, A. R., Parra, P. A., Ayres-Ostrock, L. M. & Plastino, E. M. 2018. Gracilaria caudata 696 697 (Gracilariales, Rhodophyta) is reproductively compatible along the whole Brazilian coast. J. Appl. Phycol. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-018-1642-8 698 699 Cohen, S., Faugeron, S., Martínez, E. A., Correa, J. A., Viard, F., Destombe, C. & Valero, M. 2004. Molecular identification of two sibling species under the name Gracilaria chilensis 700 (Rhodophyta, Gracilariales). J. Phycol. 40:742-747. 701 702 Costa, E. S., Plastino, E. M., Petti, R., Oliveira, E. C. & Oliveira, M. C. 2012. The Gracilariaceae Germplasm Bank of the University of São Paulo, Brazil—a DNA barcoding approach. J. 703 Appl. Phycol. 24:1643-1653. 704 Costa, L. P., Leite, Y. L. & Patton, J. L. 2003. Phylogeography and systematic notes on two species 705 of gracile mouse opossums, genus Gracilinanus (Marsupialia: Didelphidae) from Brazil. 706 Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash. 116:275-292. 707 Couceiro L, Robuchon M, Destombe C, Valero M (2013) Management and conservation of the kelp 708 709 species Laminaria digitata: using genetic tools to explore the potential exporting role of the 710 MPA "Parc naturel marin d'Iroise". Aquat. Living Resour. 26:197-205. da Silva Cortinhas, M. C., Kersanach, R., Proietti, M., Dumont, L. F. C., D'Incao, F., Lacerda, A. L. 711
- F., Prata, P. S., ... Cestari, M. M. 2016. Genetic structuring among silverside fish

 (Atherinella brasiliensis) populations from different Brazilian regions. Estuar. Coast. Shelf.

714 *Sci.* 178:148-157.

- Davis, M. B. & Shaw, R. G. 2001. Range shifts and adaptive responses to Quaternary climate 715 change. Science. 292:673-679. 716 de Miranda, G. E., Yokoya, N. S. & Fujii, M. T. 2012. Effects of temperature, salinity and irradiance 717 on carposporeling development of *Hidropuntia caudata* (Gracilariales, Rhodophyta). Rev. 718 Bras. Farmacogn. 22:818-824. 719 Destombe, C., Valero, M. & Guillemin, M. L. 2010. Delineation of two sibling red algal species, 720 Gracilaria gracilis and Gracilaria dura (Gracilariales, Rhodophyta), using multiple DNA 721 markers: resurrection of the species G. dura previously described in the Northern Atlantic 722 723 200 years ago. J. Phycol. 46:720-727. Dorken, M. E. & Eckert, C. G. 2001. Severely reduced sexual reproduction in northern populations 724 of a clonal plant, Decodon verticillatus (Lythraceae). J. Ecol. 89:339–350. 725 726 dos Santos Freitas, A., da Silva, R., Sampaio, I. & Schneider, H. 2017. The mitochondrial control region reveals genetic structure in southern kingcroaker populations on the coast of the 727 Southwestern Atlantic. Fish. Res. 191:87-94. 728 Engel, C. R., Destombe, C. & Valero, M. 2004. Mating system and gene flow in the red seaweed 729 Gracilaria gracilis: effect of haploid-diploid life history and intertidal rocky shore 730 landscape on fine-scale genetic structure. *Heredity*. 92:289. 731 Engel, C. R., Wattier, R., Destombe, C. & Valero, M. 1999. Performance of non-motile male 732 gametes in the sea: analysis of paternity and fertilization success in a natural population of a 733 734 red seaweed, Gracilaria gracilis. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 266:1879-1886. Evanno, G., Regnaut, S. & Goudet, J. 2005. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the 735 software structure: a simulation study. Mol. Ecol. 14:2611–2620. 736
- Excoffier, L. & Lischer, H. E. 2010. Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 10:564-567.

- Excoffier, L., Smouse, P. E. & Quattro, J. M. 1992. Analysis of molecular variance inferred from 739 metric distances among DNA haplotypes: application to human mitochondrial DNA 740 restriction data. Genetics. 131:479-491. 741 Faria, A. V. & Plastino, E. M. 2016. Physiological assessment of the mariculture potential of a 742 Gracilaria caudata (Gracilariales, Rhodophyta) variant. J. Appl. Phycol. 28:2445-2452. 743 Faria, A. V., Bonomi-Barufi, J. & Plastino, E. M. 2017. Ecotypes of Gracilaria caudata 744 745 (Gracilariales, Rhodophyta): physiological and morphological approaches considering life history phases. J. Appl. Phycol. 29:707-719. 746 747 Francisco, P. M., Mori, G. M., Alves, F. M., Tambarussi, E. V. & de Souza, A. P. 2018. Population genetic structure, introgression, and hybridization in the genus Rhizophora along the 748 Brazilian coast. Ecol. Evol. 8:3491-3504. 749 750 Freshwater, D. W., Fredericq, S., Butler, B. S., Hommersand, M. H. & Chase, M. W. 1994. A gene phylogeny of the red algae (Rhodophyta) based on plastid rbcL. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 751 91:7281-7285. 752 Fu, Y. X. 1997. Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations against population growth, hitchhiking and 753 background selection. Genetics. 147:915-925. 754 Galetti Jr, P. M., Molina, W. F., Affonso, P. R. A. & Aguilar, C. T. 2006. Assessing genetic diversity 755 of Brazilian reef fishes by chromosomal and DNA markers. Genetica. 126:161-177. 756 757 Gomes da Silva, P., Dalinghaus, C., González, M., Gutiérrez, O., Espejo, A., Abascal, A. J. & Klein, 758 A. H. 2016. Estimating flooding level through the Brazilian coast using reanalysis data. J. Coast. Res. 75:1092-1096. 759
- Guillemin, M. L., Dubrasquet, H., Reyes, J. & Valero, M. 2018. Comparative phylogeography of six
 red algae along the Antarctic Peninsula: extreme genetic depletion linked to historical
 bottlenecks and recent expansion. *Polar Biol.* 41:827-837.

- Guillemin, M. L., Faugeron, S., Destombe, C., Viard, F., Correa, J. A. & Valero, M. 2008. Genetic
- variation in wild and cultivated populations of the haploid–diploid red alga *Gracilaria*
- *chilensis*: how farming practices favor asexual reproduction and heterozygosity. *Evolution*.
- 766 62:1500-1519.
- Guillemin, M. L., Valero, M., Faugeron, S., Nelson, W. & Destombe, C. 2014. Tracing the trans-
- Pacific evolutionary history of a domesticated seaweed (*Gracilaria chilensis*) with
- archaeological and genetic data. *PloS one*. 9: e114039.
- Guillemin, M. L., Valero, M., Tellier, F., Macaya, E. C., Destombe, C. & Faugeron, S. 2016.
- Phylogeography of seaweeds in the South East Pacific: complex evolutionary processes
- along a latitudinal gradient. *In* Zi-Min, H. & Ceridwen, F. [Eds.] *Seaweed Phylogeography*.
- Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 251-277.
- Gurgel, C. F. D., Norris, J. N., Schmidt, W. E., Le, H. N. & Fredericq, S. 2018. Systematics of the
- Gracilariales (Rhodophyta) including new subfamilies, tribes, subgenera, and two new
- genera, Agarophyton gen. nov. and Crassa gen. nov. Phytotaxa. 374:1-23.
- Hall, T. A. 1999, January. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis
- program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symp. Ser.* 41:95-98.
- Hampe, A. & Petit, R. J. 2005. Conserving biodiversity under climate change: the rear edge matters.
- 780 *Ecol. Lett.* 8:461-467.
- Haq, B. U., Hardenbol, J. & Vail, P. R. 1987. Chronology of fluctuating sea levels since the Triassic.
- 782 *Science*. 235:1156-1167.
- Hasegawa, M., Kishino, H. & Yano, T. A. 1985. Dating of the human-ape splitting by a molecular
- clock of mitochondrial DNA. J. Mol. Evol. 22:160-174.
- Hayashi, L., Bulboa, C., Kradolfer, P., Soriano, G. & Robledo, D. 2014. Cultivation of red seaweeds:
- a Latin American perspective. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 26:719-727.

- Hewitt, G. M. 1996. Some genetic consequences of ice ages, and their role in divergence and speciation. *Biol. J. Linnean. Soc.* 58:247-276.
- Hewitt, G. M. 2000. The genetic legacy of the Quaternary ice ages. *Nature*. 405: 907-913.
- Hewitt, G. M. 2004. Genetic consequences of climatic oscillations in the Quaternary. *Philos. Trans.*
- 791 R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 359:183-195.
- Hu, Z. M., Duan, D. L. & Lopez-Bautista, J. 2016. Seaweed phylogeography from 1994 to 2014: an
- overview. In Zi-Min, H. & Ceridwen, F. [Eds.] Seaweed Phylogeography. Springer,
- 794 Dordrecht, pp. 3-22.
- 795 Iha, C., Grassa, C. J., Lyra, G. M., Davis, C.C., Verbruggen, H. & Oliveira, M. C. 2018. Organellar
- genomics: a useful tool to study evolutionary relationships and molecular evolution in
- 797 Gracilariaceae (Rhodophyta). *J. Phycol.* 54:775-787.
- Jakobsson, M. & Rosenberg, N. A. 2007. CLUMPP: a cluster matching and permutation program for
- dealing with label switching and multimodality in analysis of population structure.
- 800 *Bioinformatics*. 23:1801-1806.
- Jolliffe, I. T. 2002. Graphical representation of data using principal components. *In Jolliffe*, I. T.
- [Eds.] *Principal component analysis*. Springer Series in Statistics, Springer, New York, NY,
- 803 pp. 78-110.
- Jombart, T., Devillard, S. & Balloux, F. 2010. Discriminant analysis of principal components: a new
- method for the analysis of genetically structured populations. *BMC Genet.* 11:94.
- Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B. Q., Wong, T. K., von Haeseler, A. & Jermiin, L. S. 2017.
- ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat. Methods*.
- 808 14:587-589.
- Krueger-Hadfield, S. A., Collen, J., Daguin-Thiébaut, C. & Valero, M. 2011. Genetic population
- structure and mating system in Chondrus crispus (Rhodophyta). J. Phycol. 47:440-450.

- 811 Krueger-Hadfield, S. A., Kollars, N. M., Byers, J. E., Greig, T. W., Hammann, M., Murray, D. C., Murren, C. J. & Sotka, E. E. 2016. Invasion of novel habitats uncouples haplo-diplontic life 812 cycles. Mol. Ecol. 25:3801-3816. 813 Krueger-Hadfield, S. A., Roze, D., Correa, J. A., Destombe, C., & Valero, M. 2015. O father where 814 art thou? Paternity analyses in a natural population of the haploid-diploid seaweed 815 Chondrus crispus. Heredity. 114:185. 816 Krueger-Hadfield, S. A., Roze, D., Mauger, S. & Valero, M. 2013. Intergametophytic selfing and 817 microgeographic genetic structure shape populations of the intertidal red seaweed *Chondrus* 818 819 crispus. Mol. Ecol. 22:3242-3260. Leite, Y. L., Costa, L. P., Loss, A. C., Rocha, R. G., Batalha-Filho, H., Bastos, A. C., Quaresma, V. 820 S. & Pardini, R. 2016. Neotropical forest expansion during the last glacial period challenges 821 822 refuge hypothesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 113:1008-1013. 823 Lessa, E. P., Cook, J. A. & Patton, J. L. 2003. Genetic footprints of demographic expansion in North America, but not Amazonia, during the Late Quaternary. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 100:10331-824 10334. 825 Lessa, G. C. & Angulo, R. J. 1998. Oscillations or not oscillations, that is the question-reply. Mar. 826 Geol. 150:189-196. 827 Lira, C. F., Cardoso, S. R. S., Ferreira, P. C. G., Cardoso, M. A. & Provan, J. 2003. Long-term 828 population isolation in the endangered tropical tree species *Caesalpinia echinata* Lam. 829 830 revealed by chloroplast microsatellites. *Mol. Ecol.* 12:3219-3225. Ludt, W. B. & Rocha, L. A. 2015. Shifting seas: the impacts of Pleistocene sea-level fluctuations on 831
- the evolution of tropical marine taxa. *J. Biogeogr.* 42:25-38.

 Lyra, G. D. M., Gurgel, C. F. D., Costa, E. D. S., de Jesus, P. B., Oliveira, M. C., Oliveira, E. C.,
- Davis, C. C. & Nunes, J. M. D. C. 2016. Delimitating cryptic species in the *Gracilaria*

| 835 | domingensis complex (Gracilariaceae, Rhodophyta) using molecular and morphological |
|-----|--|
| 836 | data. J. Phycol. 52:997-1017. |
| 837 | Machado, L. F., de Souza Damasceno, J., Bertoncini, Á. A., Farro, A. P. C., Hostim-Silva, M. & |
| 838 | Oliveira, C. 2017. Population genetic structure and demographic history of the spadefish, |
| 839 | Chaetodipterus faber (Ephippidae) from Southwestern Atlantic. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. |
| 840 | 487:45-52. |
| 841 | Maggioni, R., Rogers, A. D. & Maclean, N. 2003. Population structure of Litopenaeus schmitti |
| 842 | (Decapoda: Penaeidae) from the Brazilian coast identified using six polymorphic |
| 843 | microsatellite loci. Mol. Ecol. 12:3213-3217. |
| 844 | Maggs, C. A., Castilho, R., Foltz, D., Henzler, C., Jolly, M. T., Kelly, J., Olsen, J., Wares, J. |
| 845 | 2008. Evaluating signatures of glacial refugia for North Atlantic benthic marine taxa. |
| 846 | Ecology. 89:S108-S122. |
| 847 | Maggs, C. A., Fletcher, H. L., Fewer, D., Loade, L., Mineur, F. & Johnson, M. P. 2011. Speciation in |
| 848 | red algae: members of the Ceramiales as model organisms. <i>Integr. Comp. Biol.</i> 51:492-504. |
| 849 | Martin, L., Bittencourt, A. C. S. P., Flexorc, J. M. & Suguiod, K. 1998. Oscillations or not |
| 850 | oscillations, that is the question: Comment on Angulo, RJ and Lessa, GC "The Brazilian |
| 851 | sea-level curves: a critical review with emphasis on the curves from the Paranaguá and |
| 852 | Cananéia regions" [Mar. Geol. 140, 141–166]. Mar. Geol. 150:179-187. |
| 853 | Martin, L., Dominguez, J. M. & Bittencourt, A. C. 2003. Fluctuating Holocene sea levels in eastern |
| 854 | and southeastern Brazil: evidence from multiple fossil and geometric indicators. J. Coastal |
| 855 | Res. 101-124. |
| 856 | Minh, B. Q., Nguyen, M. A. T. & von Haeseler, A. 2013. Ultrafast approximation for phylogenetic |
| 857 | bootstrap. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30:1188-1195. |

| 858 | Montecinos, A., Broitman, B. R., Faugeron, S., Haye, P. A., Tellier, F. & Guillemin, M. L. 2012. |
|-----|--|
| 859 | Species replacement along a linear coastal habitat: phylogeography and speciation in the red |
| 860 | alga Mazzaella laminarioides along the south east pacific. BMC Evol. Biol. 12:97. |
| 861 | Muangmai, N., Fraser, C. I. & Zuccarello, G. C. 2015. Contrasting patterns of population structure |
| 862 | and demographic history in cryptic species of Bostrychia intricata (Rhodomelaceae, |
| 863 | Rhodophyta) from New Zealand. J. Phycol. 51:574-585. |
| 864 | Nauer, F., Cassano, V. & Oliveira, M. C. 2015. Description of <i>Hypnea pseudomusciformis sp. nov.</i> , a |
| 865 | new species based on molecular and morphological analyses, in the context of the H . |
| 866 | musciformis complex (Gigartinales, Rhodophyta). J. Appl. Phycol. 27:2405-2417. |
| 867 | Nauer, F., Gurgel, C. F. D., Ayres-Ostrock, L. M., Plastino, E. M., & Oliveira, M. C. 2019. |
| 868 | Phylogeography of the <i>Hypnea musciformis</i> species complex (Gigartinales, Rhodophyta) |
| 869 | with the recognition of cryptic species in the western Atlantic Ocean. J. Phycol. DOI: |
| 870 | 10.1111/jpy.12848 |
| 871 | Nei, M. & Li, W. H. 1979. Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of restriction |
| 872 | endonucleases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 76:5269-5273. |
| 873 | Nei, M. 1978. Estimation of average heterozygosity and genetic distance from a small number of |
| 874 | individuals. Genetics. 89:583-590. |
| 875 | Neiva, J., Assis, J., Fernandes, F., Pearson, G. A. & Serrão, E. A. 2014. Species distribution models |
| 876 | and mitochondrial DNA phylogeography suggest an extensive biogeographical shift in the |
| 877 | high-intertidal seaweed Pelvetia canaliculata. J. Biogeogr. 41:1137-1148. |
| 878 | Neiva, J., Serrão, E. A., Assis, J., Pearson, G. A., Coyer, J. A., Olsen, J. L., Hoarau, G. & Valero, M. |
| 879 | 2016. Climate oscillations, range shifts and phylogeographic patterns of North Atlantic |
| 880 | Fucaceae. In Zi-Min, H. & Ceridwen, F. [Eds.] Seaweed Phylogeography. Springer, |
| 881 | Dordrecht, pp. 279-308. |

| 882 | Nguyen, L. T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B. Q. 2014. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective |
|-----|--|
| 883 | stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol. Biol. Evol. |
| 884 | 32:268-274. |
| 885 | Nicastro, K. R., Zardi, G. I., Teixeira, S., Neiva, J., Serrão, E. A. & Pearson, G. A. 2013. Shift |
| 886 | happens: trailing edge contraction associated with recent warming trends threatens a distinct |
| 887 | genetic lineage in the marine macroalga Fucus vesiculosus. BMC Biol. 11:6. |
| 888 | Ocaranza-Barrera, P., González-Wevar, C. A, Guillemin, M-L., Rosenfeld, S. & Mansilla, A. 2018. |
| 889 | Molecular divergence between Iridaea cordata (Turner) Bory de Saint-Vincent 1826 |
| 890 | populations from Antarctic Peninsula and the Magellan Region. J. Appl. Phycol. |
| 891 | doi.org/10.1007/s10811-018-1656-2 |
| 892 | Palumbi, S. R. 2003 Population genetics, demographic connectivity, and the design of marine |
| 893 | reserves. Ecol. Appl. 13:S146-S158. |
| 894 | Peakall, R. O. D. & Smouse, P. E. 2006. GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic |
| 895 | software for teaching and research. Mol. Ecol. Res. 6:288-295. |
| 896 | Pellegrino, K. C., Rodrigues, M. T., Waite, A. N., Morando, M., Yassuda, Y. Y. & Sites Jr, J. W. |
| 897 | 2005. Phylogeography and species limits in the Gymnodactylus darwinii complex |
| 898 | (Gekkonidae, Squamata): genetic structure coincides with river systems in the Brazilian |
| 899 | Atlantic Forest. Biol. J. Linnean Soc. 85:13-26. |
| 900 | Peluso, L., Tascheri, V., Nunes, F. L. D., Castro, C. B., Pires, D. O. & Zilberberg, C. 2018. |
| 901 | Contemporary and historical oceanographic processes explain genetic connectivity in a |
| 902 | Southwestern Atlantic coral. Sci. Rep. 8:2684. |
| 903 | Pereyra, R. T., Bergström, L., Kautsky, L. & Johannesson, K. 2009. Rapid speciation in a newly |
| 904 | opened postglacial marine environment, the Baltic Sea, RMC Evol. Biol. 9:70 |

905 Pil, M. W., Boeger, M. R., Muschner, V. C., Pie, M. R., Ostrensky, A. & Boeger, W. A. 2011. Postglacial north—south expansion of populations of *Rhizophora mangle* (Rhizophoraceae) 906 along the Brazilian coast revealed by microsatellite analysis. Am. J. Bot. 98:1031-1039. 907 Pinheiro, H. T., Bernardi, G., Simon, T., Joyeux, J. C., Macieira, R. M., Gasparini, J. L., Rocha, C. & 908 Rocha, L. A. 2017. Island biogeography of marine organisms. *Nature*. 549:82-85. 909 Piry, S., Luikart, G. & Cornuet, J. M. 1999. Computer note. BOTTLENECK: a computer program 910 911 for detecting recent reductions in the effective size using allele frequency data. J. Hered. 90:502-503. 912 913 Plastino, E. M. & Oliveira, E. C. 1997. *Gracilaria caudata J. Agardh (Gracilariales, Rhodophyta)* restoring an old name for a common western Atlantic alga. *Phycologia*. 36:225-232. 914 Prates, A. P., Henrique De Lima, L. & Chatwin, A. 2007. Coastal and marine conservation priorities 915 916 in Brazil. Priorities for coastal and marine conservation in South America. ArlingtonVirginia. USA: The Nature Conservancy, 15-23. 917 Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M. & Donnelly, P. 2000. Inference of population structure using 918 919 multilocus genotype data. Genetics. 155:945-959. 920 Rocha, L. A. 2003. Patterns of distribution and processes of speciation in Brazilian reef fishes. J. *Biogeogr.* 30:1161-1171. 921 Rosenberg, N. A. 2004. DISTRUCT: a program for the graphical display of population structure. 922 923 Mol. Ecol. Notes. 4:137-138. 924 Saada, G., Nicastro, K. R., Jacinto, R., McQuaid, C. D., Serrao, E. A., Pearson, G. A. & Zardi, G. I. 2016. Taking the heat: distinct vulnerability to thermal stress of central and threatened 925 peripheral lineages of a marine macroalga. Divers. Distrib. 22:1060-1068. 926 927 Santos, S., Hrbek, T., Farias, I. P., Schneider, H. & Sampaio, I. 2006. Population genetic structuring of the king weakfish, Macrodon ancylodon (Sciaenidae), in Atlantic coastal waters of South 928 America: deep genetic divergence without morphological change. *Mol. Ecol.* 15:4361-4373. 929

| 930 | Saunders, G. W. 2005. Applying DNA barcoding to red macroalgae: a preliminary appraisal holds |
|-----|---|
| 931 | promise for future applications. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 360:1879-1888. |
| 932 | Schmid, C., Schäfer, H., Zenk, W. & Podestá, G. 1995. The Vitória eddy and its relation to the Brazil |
| 933 | Current. J. Phys. Oceanogr. 25:2532-2546. |
| 934 | Suguio, K., Martin, L., Bittencourt, A. C. D. S. P., Dominguez, J. M. L., Flexor, J. & Azevedo, O. E. |
| 935 | G. 1985. Flutuações do nível relativo do mar durante o Quaternário Superior ao longo do |
| 936 | litoral brasileiro e suas implicações na sedimentação costeira. Braz. J. Geol. 15:273-286. |
| 937 | Tajima, F. 1989. Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA |
| 938 | polymorphism. Genetics. 123:585-595. |
| 939 | Tamura, K., Peterson, D., Peterson, N., Stecher, G., Nei, M. & Kumar, S. 2011. MEGA5: molecular |
| 940 | evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and |
| 941 | maximum parsimony methods. Mol. Biol. Evol. 28:2731-2739. |
| 942 | Tellier, F., Meynard, A. P., Correa, J. A., Faugeron, S. & Valero, M. 2009. Phylogeographic analyses |
| 943 | of the 30° S south-east Pacific biogeographic transition zone establish the occurrence of a |
| 944 | sharp genetic discontinuity in the kelp Lessonia nigrescens: Vicariance or parapatry? Mol. |
| 945 | Phylogenetics Evol. 53:679-693. |
| 946 | Tellier, F., Tapia, J., Faugeron, S., Destombe, C. & Valero, M. 2011. The Lessonia nigrescens |
| 947 | species complex (Laminariales, Phaeophyceae) shows strict parapatry and complete |
| 948 | reproductive isolation in a secondary contact zone. J. Phycol. 47:894-903. |
| 949 | Thomé, M. T. C., Zamudio, K. R., Haddad, C. F. & Alexandrino, J. 2014. Barriers, rather than |
| 950 | refugia, underlie the origin of diversity in toads endemic to the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. |
| 951 | Mol. Ecol. 23:6152-6164. |
| 952 | Trigueiro, T. G., Pereira, D. C., Martins, A. P., Colepicolo, P. & Marinho-Soriano, E. 2017. |
| 953 | Cultivation of three color strains of <i>Gracilaria domingensis</i> in an integrated organic system. |
| 954 | Int. Aquatic Res. 9:225-233. |

| 955 | Ursi, S., Pedersén, M., Plastino, E. & Snoeijs, P. 2003. Intraspecific variation of photosynthesis, |
|-----|--|
| 956 | respiration and photoprotective carotenoids in Gracilaria birdiae (Gracilariales: |
| 957 | Rhodophyta). Mar. Biol. 142:997-1007. |
| 958 | Valero, M., Guillemin, M. L., Destombe, C., Jacquemin, B., Gachon, C. M., Badis, Y., Buschmann, |
| 959 | A. H., Faugeron, S. 2017. Perspectives on domestication research for sustainable seaweed |
| 960 | aquaculture. Perspect. Phycol. 4:33-46. |
| 961 | van Oosterhout, C., Hutchinson, W. F., Wills, D. P. & Shipley, P. 2004. MICRO-CHECKER: |
| 962 | software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. Mol. Ecol. |
| 963 | Notes. 4:535-538. |
| 964 | Waples, R. S., Punt, A. E. & Cope, J. M. 2008. Integrating genetic data into management of marine |
| 965 | resources: how can we do it better? Fish Fish. 9:423-449. |
| 966 | Weir, B. S. & Cockerham, C. C. 1984. Estimating <i>F</i> -statistics for the analysis of population structure. |
| 967 | Evolution. 38:1358-1370. |
| 968 | Yokoya, N.S. & Oliveira, E.C. 1992. Effects of salinity on the growth rate, morphology and water |
| 969 | content of some Brazilian red alga of economic importance. Cienc. Mar. 18:49-64. |
| 970 | Zuccarello, G. C., Burger, G., West, J. A. & King, R. J. 1999. A mitochondrial marker for red algal |
| 971 | intraspecific relationships. Mol. Ecol. 8:1443-1447. |
| 972 | |
| 973 | DATA ACCESSIBILITY STATEMENT |
| 974 | Microsatellite genotypes will be deposited for free access in DRYAD. |
| 975 | |

Journal of Phycology Page 42 of 65

TABLE 1 Sampling sites for *Gracilaria caudata* and their genetic diversity for two mitochondrial markers (COI and cox2-3) in Brazil. Molecular diversity estimates calculated for COI (630 bp) and cox2-3 (358 bp): number of sequences (N), number of haplotypes (nH), gene diversity (H), nucleotide diversity (π), number of polymorphic sites (S), Tajima's D (D) and Fu's F_S (F_S) statistics. Standard deviation (SD) is given in parentheses. Ceará (CE), Rio Grande do Norte (RN), Paraíba (PB), Pernambuco (PE), Bahia (BA), Espírito Santo (ES) and São Paulo (SP). North-eastern haplogroup: CE, RN, PB and PE; BA and south-eastern haplogroup: BA, ES and SP. All specimens were collected inbetween high and low intertidal areas uncovered at low tide

| Site (State) | Coordinates (GPS) | COI | | | | | | | | | cox2-3 | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-----|----|---------------|-----------------------------|----|---------|----------------|-----|----|---------------|-----------------------------|---|--------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | nH | H (SD) | π (*10 ⁻²) (SD) | S | D | F _S | N | nH | H (SD) | π (*10 ⁻²) (SD) | S | D | F _S | | | | |
| CE | 03º24'36.0"S/39º01'50.0"W | 26 | 10 | 0.750 (0.074) | 0.270 (0.182) | 12 | -1.542* | -4.389** | 24 | 2 | 0.463 (0.069) | 0.129 (0.131) | 1 | 1,231 | 1,362 | | | | |
| RN | 05º15'41.0"S/35º23'11.0"W | 22 | 5 | 0.649 (0.064) | 0.220 (0.157) | 6 | -0.482 | -0.145 | 19 | 3 | 0.374 (0.129) | 0.153 (0.147) | 2 | -0.094 | -0.071 | | | | |
| PB | 07º 17'62.9"S/34 º 48'08.5"W | 22 | 5 | 0.528 (0.118) | 0.186 (0.138) | 5 | -0.430 | -0.582 | 22 | 2 | 0.311 (0.106) | 0.087 (0.103) | 1 | 0.236 | 0.647 | | | | |
| PE | 08º07'58.9"S/34º53'57.3"W | 23 | 4 | 0.557 (0.083) | 0.173 (0.131) | 4 | 0.018 | 0.347 | 22 | 3 | 0.536 (0.090) | 0.232 (0.192) | 3 | 0.025 | 0.890 | | | | |
| BA | 12º44'28.0"S/38º09'01.0"W | 23 | 4 | 0.525 (0.094) | 0.097 (0.089) | 3 | -0.615 | -0.964 | 20 | 4 | 0.363 (0.130) | 0.230 (0.001) | 4 | -0.773 | -0.443 | | | | |
| ES | 20º48'31.0"S/40º36'39.0"W | 23 | 2 | 0.087 (0.077) | 0.027 (0.042) | 2 | -1.514* | -0.153 | 18 | 1 | 0.000 (0.000) | 0.000 (0.000) | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | | | | |
| SP | 23º55'01.0"S/46º19'16.8"W | 18 | 1 | 0.000 (0.000) | 0.000 (0.000) | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 19 | 1 | 0.000 (0.000) | 0.000 (0.000) | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | | | | |
| | Total <i>G. caudata</i> | | | 0.442 (0.073) | 0.139 (0.106) | 21 | -0.652 | -0.841 | 144 | 6 | 0.292 (0.075) | 0.119 (0.109) | 5 | 0.089 | 0.340 | | | | |
| | North-eastern haplogroup | 93 | 16 | 0.621 (0.085) | 0.212 (0.152) | 16 | -0.609 | -1,192 | 87 | 4 | 0.421 (0.099) | 0.150 (0.143) | | 0.350 | 0.707 | | | | |
| | BA + south-eastern haplogroup | 41 | 5 | 0.204 (0.057) | 0.041 (0.043) | 5 | -0.710 | -0.558 | 37 | 3 | 0.121 (0.043) | 0.076 (0.064) | | -0.257 | -0.443 | | | | |

^{*}p< 0.05; **p< 0.001

TABLE 2 (a) Pairwise estimates of genetic differentiation ($F_{\rm ST}$) between the seven sampled *Gracilaria caudata* sites for COI (below the diagonal) and cox2-3 (above the diagonal) and (b) analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) within sites, among sites within haplogroup and among haplogroups for both molecular markers. Haplogroups were defined according to the haplotype network (see Figure 3; north-eastern haplogroup: CE, RN, PB and PE; Bahia and south-eastern haplogroup: BA, ES and SP). Ceará (CE), Rio Grande do Norte (RN), Paraíba (PB), Pernambuco (PE), Bahia (BA), Espírito Santo (ES) and São Paulo (SP). Degrees of freedom (d.f.) and sum of squares (SS).

(a)

| | CE | RN | РВ | PE | ВА | ES | SP |
|----|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| CE | | 0.004 | 0.357** | 0.111* | 0.701** | 0.887** | 0.922** |
| RN | -0.020 | | 0.460** | 0.199** | 0.674** | 0.878** | 0.917** |
| РВ | 0.209** | 0.155* | | 0.039 | 0.776** | 0.939** | 0.956** |
| PE | 0.259** | 0.211** | 0.003 | | 0.628** | 0.815** | 0.871** |
| ВА | 0.636** | 0.690** | 0.723** | 0.727** | | 0.073 | 0.709** |
| ES | 0.804** | 0.850** | 0.870** | 0.874** | 0.834** | | 1.000** |
| SP | 0.805** | 0.852** | 0.875** | 0.879** | 0.856** | 0.948** | |

^{*}p< 0.05; **p< 0.001

| | | | COI | | |
|-------------------------------------|------|---------|---------------------|-------------|----------|
| Source of variation | d.f. | SS | Variance components | % variation | p-value |
| Among haplogroups | 1 | 108.348 | 1.291 | 58.72 | p< 0.05 |
| Among localities within haplogroups | 5 | 52.510 | 0.448 | 20.38 | p< 0.000 |
| Within localities | 150 | 68.912 | 0.459 | 20.90 | p< 0.000 |
| Total | 156 | 229.771 | 2.198 | - | - |
| | | | cox2-3 | | |
| | d.f. | SS | Variance components | % variation | p-value |
| Among haplogroups | 1 | 70.336 | 0.967 | 71.22 | p< 0.05 |
| Among localities within haplogroups | 5 | 18.742 | 0.171 | 12.60 | p< 0.001 |
| Within localities | 137 | 30.131 | 0.219 | 16.18 | p< 0.001 |
| Total | 143 | 119.208 | 1.359 | - | - |

TABLE 3 Genetic diversity of *Gracilaria caudata* calculated for 15 nuclear microsatellite loci. For each site, the number of individuals genotyped is indicated (n). Multi-locus mean estimates of the number of alleles per locus (N_a), expected heterozygosity (H_e), observed heterozygosity (H_o), allele richness (A_R), frequency of private alleles (F_{PRIV}) and deviation from random mating (F_{IS}). F_{IS} values significantly different from zero are shown in bold. Standard deviation (SD) is given in parentheses. Ceará (CE), Rio Grande do Norte (RN), Paraíba (PB), Pernambuco (PE), Bahia (BA), Espírito Santo (ES) and São Paulo (SP). Haplogroups were defined using the mitochondrial data set. North-eastern haplogroup: CE, RN, PB and PE; BA and south-eastern haplogroup: BA, ES and SP.

| Site (State) | Coordinates (GPS) | | Microsatellite Loci | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------|----|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | n | N _a | H _e (SD) | H₀ (SD) | F _{IS} | A_R | F_{PRIV} | | | | | | |
| CE | 03º24'36.0"S/ 39º01'50.0"W | 72 | 4.267 | 0.379 (0.248) | 0.384 (0.282) | -0.006 | 3.803 | 0.047 | | | | | | |
| RN | 05º15'41.0"S/ 35º23'11.0"W | 52 | 5.267 | 0.458 (0.249) | 0.479 (0.280) | -0.037 | 4.992 | 0.076 | | | | | | |
| РВ | 07º17'62.9"S/ 34º48'08.5"W | 79 | 4.533 | 0.386 (0.242) | 0.402 (0.298) | -0.034 | 4.077 | 0.103 | | | | | | |
| PE | 08º07'58.9"S/ 34º53'57.3"W | 53 | 4.000 | 0.366 (0.272) | 0.379 (0.296) | -0.027 | 3.780 | 0.067 | | | | | | |
| ВА | 12º44'28.0"S/ 38º09'01.0"W | 55 | 3.933 | 0.333 (0.275) | 0.298 (0.250) | 0.115 | 3.692 | 0.068 | | | | | | |
| ES | 20º48'31.0"S/ 40º36'39.0"W | 51 | 3.733 | 0.345 (0.301) | 0.392 (0.376) | -0.125 | 3.582 | 0.196 | | | | | | |
| SP | 23º55'01.0"S/ 46º19'16.8"W | 49 | 3.400 | 0.284 (0.279) | 0.288 (0.309) | -0.001 | 3.299 | 0.157 | | | | | | |

Journal of Phycology Page 46 of 65

| Total <i>G. caudata</i> | 411 | 4.162 | 0.364 (0.267) | 0.375 (0.299) | -0.016 | 3.889 | - |
|-------------------------------|-----|-------|---------------|---------------|--------|-------|-------|
| North-eastern haplogroup | 256 | 4.517 | 0.446 (0.256) | 0.407 (0.266) | -0.026 | 4.163 | 0.073 |
| BA + south-eastern haplogroup | 155 | 3.576 | 0.363 (0.329) | 0.340 (0.323) | -0.063 | 3.440 | 0.177 |

TABLE 4 Genetic variability within the sampled sites of *Gracilaria caudata*. For each site and each locus, the number of individuals genotyped (n) is indicated. The number of alleles per locus (N_a), expected heterozygosity (H_e), observed heterozygosity (H_o), frequency of private alleles (Freq. N_{priv}) and estimates of deviation from random mating (F_{IS}) was calculated for the 15 selected microsatellite loci independently. F_{IS} values significantly different from zero are shown in bold. Presence of null allele frequency was tested with MICRO-CHECKER software (Oosterhout et al. 2004) and loci for which frequency of null alleles was significant are indicated. Mean and standard error (SE) computed over the 15 loci are given in parentheses.

| | | | Ceará (C | E) | | Rio Grande do Norte (RN) | | | | | Paraíba (PB) | | | | | Pernambuco (PE) | | | | |
|------------------|----|-------|----------|---------|-----------------|--------------------------|-------|---------|---------|-----------------|--------------|-------|---------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| Loci | n | Na | Но | He | F _{IS} | n | Na | Но | Не | F _{IS} | n | Na | Но | He | F _{IS} | N | Na | Но | He | F _{IS} |
| GraC_01 | 69 | 8 | 0.725 | 0.611 | -0.180 | 46 | 6 | 0.413 | 0.371 | -0.101 | 76 | 8 | 0.592 | 0.564 | -0.008 | 51 | 7 | 0.490 | 0.413 | -0178 |
| GraC_02 | 57 | 4 | 0.684 | 0.582 | -0.168 | 46 | 5 | 0.804 | 0.683 | -0.167 | 70 | 6 | 0.329 | 0.333 | 0.096 | 48 | 3 | 0.354 | 0.295 | -0.191 |
| GraC_03 | 70 | 4 | 0.757 | 0.594 | -0.250 | 52 | 7 | 0.808 | 0.584 | -0.376 | 77 | 6 | 0.935 | 0.601 | -0.508* | 51 | 8 | 0.961 | 0.615 | -0.556 |
| GraC_04 | 60 | 10 | 0.733 | 0.776 | 0.069 | 49 | 16 | 0.837 | 0.809 | -0.024 | 79 | 9 | 0.671 | 0.682 | 0.032 | 48 | 10 | 0.479 | 0.838 | 0.437* |
| GraC_05 | 72 | 4 | 0.583 | 0.579 | -0.024 | 48 | 5 | 0.479 | 0.479 | 0.011 | 79 | 6 | 0.532 | 0.588 | 0.142 | 53 | 4 | 0.528 | 0.596 | 0.123 |
| GraC_06 | 64 | 4 | 0.219 | 0.395 | 0.479* | 49 | 4 | 0.653 | 0.586 | -0.103 | 79 | 6 | 0.203 | 0.402 | 0.468* | 53 | 4 | 0.377 | 0.474 | 0.212* |
| GraC_07 | 71 | 6 | 0.634 | 0.612 | -0.028 | 50 | 8 | 0.800 | 0.794 | 0.003 | 77 | 7 | 0.818 | 0.639 | -0.268 | 48 | 7 | 0.854 | 0.717 | -0.181 |
| GraC_08 | 72 | 3 | 0.222 | 0.220 | -0.001 | 47 | 5 | 0.426 | 0.473 | 0.112 | 75 | 3 | 0.107 | 0.102 | -0.043 | 48 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - |
| GraC_10 | 68 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 50 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 68 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 48 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - |
| GraC_12 | 68 | 2 | 0.191 | 0.336 | 0.436* | 49 | 3 | 0.367 | 0.490 | 0.260 | 77 | 2 | 0.545 | 0.486 | -0.097 | 52 | 2 | 0.288 | 0.299 | 0.044 |
| GraBC_01 | 72 | 4 | 0.056 | 0.054 | -0.012 | 51 | 3 | 0.275 | 0.294 | 0.076 | 79 | 3 | 0.316 | 0.326 | 0.095 | 53 | 2 | 0.170 | 0.155 | -0.083 |
| GraBC_02 | 72 | 5 | 0.181 | 0.180 | 0.006 | 52 | 5 | 0.269 | 0.261 | -0.021 | 79 | 2 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.665 | 53 | 3 | 0.075 | 0.073 | -0.022 |
| GraBC_03 | 71 | 2 | 0.014 | 0.014 | -0.000 | 51 | 2 | 0.098 | 0.093 | -0.042 | 77 | 2 | 0.000 | 0.026 | 0.665 | 51 | 2 | 0.020 | 0.019 | 0.000 |
| GraBC_04 | 72 | 3 | 0.486 | 0.467 | -0.035 | 51 | 4 | 0.745 | 0.729 | -0.013 | 75 | 3 | 0.547 | 0.520 | -0.041 | 49 | 2 | 0.592 | 0.487 | -0.206 |
| GraBC_05 | 72 | 4 | 0.278 | 0.265 | -0.043 | 51 | 5 | 0.216 | 0.216 | 0.010 | 76 | 4 | 0.421 | 0.513 | 0.229 | 52 | 4 | 0.500 | 0.507 | 0.024 |
| Mean over loci** | | | 0.384 | 0.379 | -0.006 | | | 0.479 | 0.457 | -0.037 | | | 0.400 | 0.396 | -0.034 | | | 0.379 | 0.365 | -0.027 |
| (SE)** | | | (0.283) | (0.249) | | | | (0.279) | (0.249) | | | | (0.293) | (0.241) | | | | (0.296) | (0.272) | _ |
| Freq. Npriv | | 0.047 | | | | | 0.076 | | | | | 0.103 | | | | | 0.067 | | 4 | / |

^{*} Probable null alleles

^{**} Calculated without the locus presenting null alleles

| | | | Bahia (BA | ۸) | | | Espí | rito Santo | (ES) | | São Paulo (SP) | | | | | |
|------------------|----|-------|-----------|---------|-----------------|----|-------|------------|---------|-----------------|----------------|-------|---------|---------|-----------------|--|
| Loci | n | Na | Но | Не | F _{IS} | n | Na | Но | Не | F _{IS} | n | Na | Но | Не | F _{IS} | |
| GraC_01 | 53 | 5 | 0.396 | 0.446 | 0.120 | 51 | 5 | 0.471 | 0.386 | -0.210 | 48 | 5 | 0.438 | 0.421 | -0.029 | |
| GraC_02 | 51 | 3 | 0.118 | 0.112 | -0.043 | 43 | 2 | 0.186 | 0.169 | -0.091 | 39 | 2 | 0.462 | 0.355 | -0.288 | |
| GraC_03 | 53 | 5 | 0.698 | 0.741 | 0.064 | 44 | 5 | 0.955 | 0.702 | -0.354 | 45 | 3 | 0.600 | 0.586 | 0.022 | |
| GraC_04 | 53 | 12 | 0.547 | 0.689 | 0.212* | 51 | 9 | 0.627 | 0.693 | 0.122 | 45 | 14 | 0.667 | 0.844 | 0.210* | |
| GraC_05 | 55 | 6 | 0.636 | 0.655 | 0.044 | 48 | 6 | 0.646 | 0.672 | 0.072* | 47 | 4 | 0.532 | 0.545 | 0.031 | |
| GraC_06 | 55 | 5 | 0.473 | 0.512 | 0.091 | 51 | 5 | 0.941 | 0.711 | -0.322 | 47 | 5 | 0.383 | 0.531 | 0.266* | |
| GraC_07 | 54 | 5 | 0.630 | 0.610 | -0.014 | 49 | 6 | 0.714 | 0.671 | -0.084 | 46 | 3 | 0.109 | 0.178 | 0.401 | |
| GraC_08 | 54 | 3 | 0.037 | 0.037 | -0.005 | 50 | 2 | 0.020 | 0.020 | -0.000 | 48 | 2 | 0.021 | 0.021 | 0.000 | |
| GraC_10 | 52 | 2 | 0.212 | 0.189 | -0.109 | 46 | 2 | 0.196 | 0.177 | -0.098 | 42 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | _* | |
| GraC_12 | 54 | 2 | 0.222 | 0.499 | 0.561* | 50 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 47 | 2 | 0.000 | 0.042 | 1.000 | |
| GraBC_01 | 55 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 51 | 2 | 0.078 | 0.075 | -0.031 | 47 | 2 | 0.021 | 0.021 | -0.000 | |
| GraBC_02 | 54 | 3 | 0.333 | 0.350 | 0.060 | 51 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 48 | 2 | 0.083 | 0.080 | -0.032 | |
| GraBC_03 | 55 | 2 | 0.018 | 0.018 | -0.000 | 51 | 3 | 0.098 | 0.130 | 0.411 | 49 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | |
| GraBC_04 | 54 | 2 | 0.019 | 0.018 | 0.000 | 51 | 4 | 0.922 | 0.654 | -0.401 | 48 | 3 | 0.958 | 0.562 | -0.699 | |
| GraBC_05 | 54 | 3 | 0.130 | 0.123 | -0.042 | 50 | 3 | 0.020 | 0.114 | 0.828 | 49 | 2 | 0.041 | 0.078 | 0.487 | |
| Mean over loci** | | | 0.296 | 0.332 | 0.115 | | | 0.391 | 0.347 | -0.125 | | | 0.289 | 0.286 | -0.001 | |
| (SE)** | | | (0.248) | (0.274) | | | | (0.377) | (0.300) | | | | (0.310) | (0.286) | | |
| Freq. Npriv | | 0.068 | | | | | 0.196 | | | | | 0.157 | | | | |

^{*} Probable null alleles

^{**} Calculated without the locus presenting null alleles

TABLE 5 (a) Pairwise estimates of genetic differentiation ($F_{\rm ST}$) between the seven sampled *Gracilaria caudata* sites for the 15 selected microsatellite loci and (b) analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) within sites, among sites within haplogroup and among haplogroups for the nuclear microsatellite markers. Haplogroups were defined according to the haplotype network (see Figure 3, north-eastern haplogroup: CE, RN, PB and PE; BA and south-eastern haplogroup: BA, ES and SP). Ceará (CE), Rio Grande do Norte (RN), Paraíba (PB), Pernambuco (PE), Bahia (BA), Espírito Santo (ES) and São Paulo (SP). Degrees of freedom (d.f.) and sum of squares (SS).

| (a | .) | | | | | | |
|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----|
| | CE | RN | РВ | PE | ВА | ES | SP |
| CE | | | | | | | |
| RN | 0.173* | | | | | | |
| РВ | 0.140* | 0.217* | | | | | |
| PE | 0.085* | 0.204* | 0.038* | | | | |
| ВА | 0.309* | 0.393* | 0.303* | 0.297* | | | |
| ES | 0.449* | 0.456* | 0.420* | 0.447* | 0.299* | | |
| SP | 0.477* | 0.477* | 0.417* | 0.463* | 0.381* | 0.228* | |
| *p < | <0.001 | | | | | | |

| | Microsatellites | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|---------------------|-------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Source of variation | d.f. | SS | Variance components | % variation | p-value | | | | | |
| Among haplogroups | 1 | 483.370 | 1.081 | 29.34 | p< 0.05 | | | | | |
| Among localities within haplogroups | 5 | 335.866 | 0.555 | 15.07 | p< 0.001 | | | | | |
| Within localities | 815 | 1670.016 | 2.049 | 55.58 | p< 0.001 | | | | | |
| Total | 821 | 2489.252 | 3.686 | - | - | | | | | |

FIGURE 1 Map of the Brazilian coast showing the direction of major ocean currents: North Brazil Current (NBC), South Equatorial Current (SEC), Brazil Current (BC) and the South Atlantic Central Waters (SACW). Gyres appear as dashed lines. Known biogeographical barriers, such as the Vitória-Trindade Ridge, the Doce and the São Francisco river mouths are indicated. Sampling sites are shown (black dots): CE, Ceará (03°24'36"S/39°01'50"W); RN, Rio Grande do Norte (05°15'41.0"S/35°23'11.0"W); PB, Paraíba (07°17'62.9"S/34°48'08.5"W); PE, Pernambuco (08°07'58.9"S/34°53'57.3"W); BA, Bahia (12°44'28.0"S/38°09'01.0"W); ES, Espírito Santo (20°48'31.0"S/40°36'39.0"W); and SP, São Paulo (23°55'01.0"S/46°19'16.8"W). Diagram of the main marine currents and oceanic features based on Santos et al. (2006), Arruda et al. (2013), Mill et al. (2015) and Peluso et al. (2018). Green shaded areas indicate terrestrial coastal refugia and their boundaries, redrawn from the most historically stable Atlantic forest areas proposed in Carnaval and Moritz (2008). Please note that the number and localization of refugia predicted for the Brazilian Atlantic forest south of the Doce River was not as well defined as for the northern part of the coast (Carnaval & Moritz 2008) and that the existence of three main refugia have been proposed: 1) Pernambuco (i.e., PE), 2) Bahia (i.e., BA) and 3) a small refuge with a localization difficult to predict that could be at the boundaries of the states of Espírito Santo and Rio de Janeiro (i.e., ES+SP); the last refugium corresponding to a unique center of endemism identified for mammals, birds, butterflies and plants.

FIGURE 2 Maximum likelihood (ML) trees based on: (a) *rbc*L data and (b) concatenated mtDNA haplotypes (COI and *cox*2-3 spacer) from distinct populations of *Gracilaria caudata* along the Brazilian coast. *Gracilaria birdiae* was used as outgroup. Bootstrap values for 2000 replicates are indicated on branches. Sequences from GenBank are followed by their accession number. New sequences produced in this study for *rbc*L are shown in bold. Sites of *G. caudata* sampled: Ceará (CE), Rio Grande do Norte (RN), Paraíba (PB), Pernambuco (PE), Bahia (BA), Espírito Santo (ES),

São Paulo (SP) and Santa Catarina (SC) States. North-eastern (NE), south-eastern (SE) and southern (S) populations. The number of sequences corresponding to each haplotype is given in parentheses.

FIGURE 3 Genetic sub-divisions of *Gracilaria caudata* observed using concatenated mtDNA haplotypes (COI and cox2-3 spacer) and 15 selected microsatellite loci. (a) Pie charts show the geographical distribution of haplotypes; the number of sequences amplified for each population is given in parentheses. The pie chart colour code corresponds to that used in the haplotype network. (b) Median-joining haplotype network. In the network, each circle represents a haplotype and its size is proportional to the frequency at which the haplotype was encountered in each site. Black squares represent hypothetical un-sampled haplotypes and number of mutations corresponds to number of segments between two haplotypes. (c) Bayesian analysis using STRUCTURE for the seven studied populations of G. caudata. Each horizontal bar represents a different individual. Each colour represents the proportion of individual genome assigned to each genetic group (K). Individuals are ordered geographically from the north-east to the south-east. The bar plot on the left gives results obtained in STRUCTURE when all seven sampled populations were analysed together and the bar plot on the right gives results obtained for the two main clusters analysed independently. When including all sampled populations, the best fitting number of clusters was K = 2 (north-east, NE vs. Bahia plus the south-east, BA+SE). Within each main cluster, the best fitting number of clusters was K = 3. Ceará (CE), Rio Grande do Norte (RN), Paraíba (PB), Pernambuco (PE), Bahia (BA), Espírito Santo (ES) and São Paulo (SP).

FIGURE 4 Allele frequency distributions for the three microsatellite loci GraC_03, GraC_12 and GraBC_01 observed in each of the seven studied *Gracilaria caudata* sites. Sites on the y-axis are ordered from north to south. Numbers on the x-axis are allele sizes in base pairs for each locus. Each circle indicates the presence of the corresponding allele; the diameter of the circle represents the

frequency of that allele in the population. North-eastern (NE) and Bahia plus the south-eastern (BA+SE) sites: Ceará (CE), Rio Grande do Norte (RN), Paraíba (PB), Pernambuco (PE), Bahia (BA), Espírito Santo (ES) and São Paulo (SP).

FIGURE S1 Mantel test of the relationship between genetic and geographic distances, using the mitochondrial markers (a) COI and (b) cox2-3, for the seven sampled $Gracilaria\ caudata$ localities along the Brazilian coast, expressed as F_{ST} versus the distance in kilometres (km). The F_{ST} values calculated among the locations from the north-east (NE) region (Ceará, Rio Grande do Norte, Paraíba and Pernambuco) are in red. The F_{ST} values calculated between the Bahia (BA) region and NE are in blue. The F_{ST} values calculated among the locations from the south-east (SE) region (São Paulo and Espírito Santo) and NE and BA are in black.

FIGURE S2 Mantel test of the relationship between genetic and geographic distance, using nuclear microsatellite markers, for the seven sampled *Gracilaria caudata* localities along the Brazilian coast, expressed as F_{ST} versus the distance in kilometres (km). The F_{ST} values calculated among the locations from the north-east (NE) region (Ceará, Rio Grande do Norte, Paraíba and Pernambuco) are in red. The F_{ST} values calculated between the Bahia (BA) region and NE are in blue. The F_{ST} values calculated among the locations from the south-east (SE) region (São Paulo and Espírito Santo) and NE and BA are in black.

FIGURE S3 Discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC) showing the seven sampled *Gracilaria caudata* localities grouped into six genetic clusters. Ceará (CE), Rio Grande do Norte (RN), Paraíba (PB), Pernambuco (PE), Bahia (BA), Espírito Santo (ES) and São Paulo (SP).

| Site (State) | Coordinates (GPS) | | | | COI |)I | | | <i>cox</i> 2-3 | | | | | | |
|-----------------|------------------------------|-----|----|---------------|-----------------------------|----|---------|-------------------|----------------|----|---------------|-----------------------------|---|--------|-------------------|
| | | N | nH | H (SD) | π (*10 ⁻²) (SD) | S | D | $F_{\mathcal{S}}$ | N | nH | H (SD) | π (*10 ⁻²) (SD) | S | D | $F_{\mathcal{S}}$ |
| CE | 03°24'36.0"S/39°01'50.0"W | 26 | 10 | 0.750 (0.074) | 0.270 (0.182) | 12 | -1.542* | -4.389** | 24 | 2 | 0.463 (0.069) | 0.129 (0.131) | 1 | 1,231 | 1,362 |
| RN | 05°15'41.0"S/35°23'11.0"W | 22 | 5 | 0.649 (0.064) | 0.220 (0.157) | 6 | -0.482 | -0.145 | 19 | 3 | 0.374 (0.129) | 0.153 (0.147) | 2 | -0.094 | -0.071 |
| РВ | 07°17′62.9″S/34°48′08.5″W | 22 | 5 | 0.528 (0.118) | 0.186 (0.138) | 5 | -0.430 | -0.582 | 22 | 2 | 0.311 (0.106) | 0.087 (0.103) | 1 | 0.236 | 0.647 |
| PE | 08°07'58.9"S/34°53'57.3"W | 23 | 4 | 0.557 (0.083) | 0.173 (0.131) | 4 | 0.018 | 0.347 | 22 | 3 | 0.536 (0.090) | 0.232 (0.192) | 3 | 0.025 | 0.890 |
| ВА | 12°44'28.0"S/38°09'01.0"W | 23 | 4 | 0.525 (0.094) | 0.097 (0.089) | 3 | -0.615 | -0.964 | 20 | 4 | 0.363 (0.130) | 0.230 (0.001) | 4 | -0.773 | -0.443 |
| ES | 20°48'31.0"S/40°36'39.0"W | 23 | 2 | 0.087 (0.077) | 0.027 (0.042) | 2 | -1.514* | -0.153 | 18 | 1 | 0.000 (0.000) | 0.000 (0.000) | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| SP | 23°55'01.0"S/46°19'16.8"W | 18 | 1 | 0.000 (0.000) | 0.000 (0.000) | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 19 | 1 | 0.000 (0.000) | 0.000 (0.000) | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| *p< 0.05; **p< | Total <i>G. caudata</i> | 157 | 21 | 0.442 (0.073) | 0.139 (0.106) | 21 | -0.652 | -0.841 | 144 | 6 | 0.292 (0.075) | 0.119 (0.109) | 5 | 0.089 | 0.340 |
| | North-eastern haplogroup | 93 | 16 | 0.621 (0.085) | 0.212 (0.152) | 16 | -0.609 | -1,192 | 87 | 4 | 0.421 (0.099) | 0.150 (0.143) | | 0.350 | 0.707 |
| В/ | A + south-eastern haplogroup | 41 | 5 | 0.204 (0.057) | 0.041 (0.043) | 5 | -0.710 | -0.558 | 37 | 3 | 0.121 (0.043) | 0.076 (0.064) | | -0.257 | -0.443 |

(a)

| | CE | RN | РВ | PE | ВА | ES | SP |
|-----|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| CE | | 0.004 | 0.357** | 0.111* | 0.701** | 0.887** | 0.922** |
| RN | -0.020 | | 0.460** | 0.199** | 0.674** | 0.878** | 0.917** |
| РВ | 0.209** | 0.155* | | 0.039 | 0.776** | 0.939** | 0.956** |
| PE | 0.259** | 0.211** | 0.003 | | 0.628** | 0.815** | 0.871** |
| ВА | 0.636** | 0.690** | 0.723** | 0.727** | | 0.073 | 0.709** |
| ES | 0.804** | 0.850** | 0.870** | 0.874** | 0.834** | | 1.000** |
| SP | 0.805** | 0.852** | 0.875** | 0.879** | 0.856** | 0.948** | |
| *p< | 0.05; **p | < 0.001 | | | | | |

| | COI | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------|---------|---------------------|-------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Source of variation | d.f. | SS | Variance components | % variation | p-value | | | | | |
| Among haplogroups | 1 | 108.348 | 1.291 | 58.72 | p< 0.05 | | | | | |
| Among localities within haplogroups | 5 | 52.510 | 0.448 | 20.38 | p< 0.000 | | | | | |
| Within localities | 150 | 68.912 | 0.459 | 20.90 | p< 0.000 | | | | | |
| Total | 156 | 229.771 | 2.198 | - | - | | | | | |

| | | cox2-3 | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------|---------|---------------------|-------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | d.f. | SS | Variance components | % variation | p-value | | | | | |
| Among haplogroups | 1 | 70.336 | 0.967 | 71.22 | p< 0.05 | | | | | |
| Among localities within haplogroups | 5 | 18.742 | 0.171 | 12.60 | p< 0.001 | | | | | |
| Within localities | 137 | 30.131 | 0.219 | 16.18 | p< 0.001 | | | | | |
| Total | 143 | 119.208 | 1.359 | - | - | | | | | |

| Site (State) | Coordinates (GPS) | | | Micro | satellite Loci | | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-----|----------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------|------------|
| | | n | N _a | H _e (SD) | H₀ (SD) | F _{IS} | A_R | F_{PRIV} |
| CE | 03º24'36.0"S/ 39º01'50.0"W | 72 | 4.267 | 0.379 (0.248) | 0.384 (0.282) | -0.006 | 3.803 | 0.047 |
| RN | 05º15'41.0"S/ 35º23'11.0"W | 52 | 5.267 | 0.458 (0.249) | 0.479 (0.280) | -0.037 | 4.992 | 0.076 |
| РВ | 07º17'62.9"S/ 34º48'08.5"W | 79 | 4.533 | 0.386 (0.242) | 0.402 (0.298) | -0.034 | 4.077 | 0.103 |
| PE | 08º07'58.9"S/ 34º53'57.3"W | 53 | 4.000 | 0.366 (0.272) | 0.379 (0.296) | -0.027 | 3.780 | 0.067 |
| ВА | 12º44'28.0"S/ 38º09'01.0"W | 55 | 3.933 | 0.333 (0.275) | 0.298 (0.250) | 0.115 | 3.692 | 0.068 |
| ES | 20º48'31.0"S/ 40º36'39.0"W | 51 | 3.733 | 0.345 (0.301) | 0.392 (0.376) | -0.125 | 3.582 | 0.196 |
| SP | 23º55'01.0"S/ 46º19'16.8"W | 49 | 3.400 | 0.284 (0.279) | 0.288 (0.309) | -0.001 | 3.299 | 0.157 |
| | Total <i>G. caudata</i> | 411 | 4.162 | 0.364 (0.267) | 0.375 (0.299) | -0.016 | 3.889 | - |
| North-e | astern haplogroup | 256 | 4.517 | 0.446 (0.256) | 0.407 (0.266) | -0.026 | 4.163 | 0.073 |
| BA + south-e | astern haplogroup | 155 | 3.576 | 0.363 (0.329) | 0.340 (0.323) | -0.063 | 3.440 | 0.177 |

Journal of Phycology Page 56 of 65

| | | | Ceará (C | E) | | | Rio Gra | nde do No | orte (RN) | | | Р | araíba (P | В) | | | Per | nambuco | (PE) | |
|---------------------|----|-------|----------|---------|-----------------|----|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|----|-------|-----------|---------|-----------------|----|-------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| Loci | n | Na | Но | He | F _{IS} | n | Na | Но | He | F _{IS} | n | Na | Но | He | F _{IS} | N | Na | Но | He | F _{IS} |
| GraC_01 | 69 | 8 | 0.725 | 0.611 | -0.180 | 46 | 6 | 0.413 | 0.371 | -0.101 | 76 | 8 | 0.592 | 0.564 | -0.008 | 51 | 7 | 0.490 | 0.413 | -0178 |
| GraC_02 | 57 | 4 | 0.684 | 0.582 | -0.168 | 46 | 5 | 0.804 | 0.683 | -0.167 | 70 | 6 | 0.329 | 0.333 | 0.096 | 48 | 3 | 0.354 | 0.295 | -0.191 |
| GraC_03 | 70 | 4 | 0.757 | 0.594 | -0.250 | 52 | 7 | 0.808 | 0.584 | -0.376 | 77 | 6 | 0.935 | 0.601 | -0.508* | 51 | 8 | 0.961 | 0.615 | -0.556 |
| GraC_04 | 60 | 10 | 0.733 | 0.776 | 0.069 | 49 | 16 | 0.837 | 0.809 | -0.024 | 79 | 9 | 0.671 | 0.682 | 0.032 | 48 | 10 | 0.479 | 0.838 | 0.437* |
| GraC_05 | 72 | 4 | 0.583 | 0.579 | -0.024 | 48 | 5 | 0.479 | 0.479 | 0.011 | 79 | 6 | 0.532 | 0.588 | 0.142 | 53 | 4 | 0.528 | 0.596 | 0.123 |
| GraC_06 | 64 | 4 | 0.219 | 0.395 | 0.479* | 49 | 4 | 0.653 | 0.586 | -0.103 | 79 | 6 | 0.203 | 0.402 | 0.468* | 53 | 4 | 0.377 | 0.474 | 0.212* |
| GraC_07 | 71 | 6 | 0.634 | 0.612 | -0.028 | 50 | 8 | 0.800 | 0.794 | 0.003 | 77 | 7 | 0.818 | 0.639 | -0.268 | 48 | 7 | 0.854 | 0.717 | -0.181 |
| GraC_08 | 72 | 3 | 0.222 | 0.220 | -0.001 | 47 | 5 | 0.426 | 0.473 | 0.112 | 75 | 3 | 0.107 | 0.102 | -0.043 | 48 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - |
| GraC_10 | 68 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 50 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 68 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 48 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - |
| GraC_12 | 68 | 2 | 0.191 | 0.336 | 0.436* | 49 | 3 | 0.367 | 0.490 | 0.260 | 77 | 2 | 0.545 | 0.486 | -0.097 | 52 | 2 | 0.288 | 0.299 | 0.044 |
| GraBC_01 | 72 | 4 | 0.056 | 0.054 | -0.012 | 51 | 3 | 0.275 | 0.294 | 0.076 | 79 | 3 | 0.316 | 0.326 | 0.095 | 53 | 2 | 0.170 | 0.155 | -0.083 |
| GraBC_02 | 72 | 5 | 0.181 | 0.180 | 0.006 | 52 | 5 | 0.269 | 0.261 | -0.021 | 79 | 2 | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.665 | 53 | 3 | 0.075 | 0.073 | -0.022 |
| GraBC_03 | 71 | 2 | 0.014 | 0.014 | -0.000 | 51 | 2 | 0.098 | 0.093 | -0.042 | 77 | 2 | 0.000 | 0.026 | 0.665 | 51 | 2 | 0.020 | 0.019 | 0.000 |
| GraBC_04 | 72 | 3 | 0.486 | 0.467 | -0.035 | 51 | 4 | 0.745 | 0.729 | -0.013 | 75 | 3 | 0.547 | 0.520 | -0.041 | 49 | 2 | 0.592 | 0.487 | -0.206 |
| GraBC_05 | 72 | 4 | 0.278 | 0.265 | -0.043 | 51 | 5 | 0.216 | 0.216 | 0.010 | 76 | 4 | 0.421 | 0.513 | 0.229 | 52 | 4 | 0.500 | 0.507 | 0.024 |
| Mean over loci** | | | 0.384 | 0.379 | -0.006 | | | 0.479 | 0.457 | -0.037 | | | 0.400 | 0.396 | -0.034 | | | 0.379 | 0.365 | -0.027 |
| (SE)** | | | (0.283) | (0.249) | | | | (0.279) | (0.249) | | | | (0.293) | (0.241) | | | | (0.296) | (0.272) | |
| Freq. Npriv | | 0.047 | | | | | 0.076 | | | | | 0.103 | | | | | 0.067 | | | |

^{*} Probable null alleles

^{**} Calculated without the locus presenting null alleles

| | | | Bahia (BA | ١) | | | Espí | rito Santo | (ES) | | | Sã | o Paulo (| SP) | |
|---------------------|----|-------|-----------|---------|-----------------|----|-------|------------|---------|-----------------|----|-------|-----------|---------|-----------------|
| Loci | n | Na | Но | Не | F _{IS} | n | Na | Но | Не | F _{IS} | n | Na | Но | Не | F _{IS} |
| GraC_01 | 53 | 5 | 0.396 | 0.446 | 0.120 | 51 | 5 | 0.471 | 0.386 | -0.210 | 48 | 5 | 0.438 | 0.421 | -0.029 |
| GraC_02 | 51 | 3 | 0.118 | 0.112 | -0.043 | 43 | 2 | 0.186 | 0.169 | -0.091 | 39 | 2 | 0.462 | 0.355 | -0.288 |
| GraC_03 | 53 | 5 | 0.698 | 0.741 | 0.064 | 44 | 5 | 0.955 | 0.702 | -0.354 | 45 | 3 | 0.600 | 0.586 | 0.022 |
| GraC_04 | 53 | 12 | 0.547 | 0.689 | 0.212* | 51 | 9 | 0.627 | 0.693 | 0.122 | 45 | 14 | 0.667 | 0.844 | 0.210* |
| GraC_05 | 55 | 6 | 0.636 | 0.655 | 0.044 | 48 | 6 | 0.646 | 0.672 | 0.072* | 47 | 4 | 0.532 | 0.545 | 0.031 |
| GraC_06 | 55 | 5 | 0.473 | 0.512 | 0.091 | 51 | 5 | 0.941 | 0.711 | -0.322 | 47 | 5 | 0.383 | 0.531 | 0.266* |
| GraC_07 | 54 | 5 | 0.630 | 0.610 | -0.014 | 49 | 6 | 0.714 | 0.671 | -0.084 | 46 | 3 | 0.109 | 0.178 | 0.401 |
| GraC_08 | 54 | 3 | 0.037 | 0.037 | -0.005 | 50 | 2 | 0.020 | 0.020 | -0.000 | 48 | 2 | 0.021 | 0.021 | 0.000 |
| GraC_10 | 52 | 2 | 0.212 | 0.189 | -0.109 | 46 | 2 | 0.196 | 0.177 | -0.098 | 42 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | _* |
| GraC_12 | 54 | 2 | 0.222 | 0.499 | 0.561* | 50 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 47 | 2 | 0.000 | 0.042 | 1.000 |
| GraBC_01 | 55 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 51 | 2 | 0.078 | 0.075 | -0.031 | 47 | 2 | 0.021 | 0.021 | -0.000 |
| GraBC_02 | 54 | 3 | 0.333 | 0.350 | 0.060 | 51 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 48 | 2 | 0.083 | 0.080 | -0.032 |
| GraBC_03 | 55 | 2 | 0.018 | 0.018 | -0.000 | 51 | 3 | 0.098 | 0.130 | 0.411 | 49 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - |
| GraBC_04 | 54 | 2 | 0.019 | 0.018 | 0.000 | 51 | 4 | 0.922 | 0.654 | -0.401 | 48 | 3 | 0.958 | 0.562 | -0.699 |
| GraBC_05 | 54 | 3 | 0.130 | 0.123 | -0.042 | 50 | 3 | 0.020 | 0.114 | 0.828 | 49 | 2 | 0.041 | 0.078 | 0.487 |
| Mean over loci** | | | 0.296 | 0.332 | 0.115 | | | 0.391 | 0.347 | -0.125 | | | 0.289 | 0.286 | -0.001 |
| (SE)** | | | (0.248) | (0.274) | | | | (0.377) | (0.300) | | | | (0.310) | (0.286) | |
| Freq. Npriv | | 0.068 | | | | | 0.196 | | | | | 0.157 | | | |

^{*} Probable null alleles


^{**} Calculated without the locus presenting null alleles


(a)


| | CE | RN | РВ | PE | ВА | ES | SP |
|----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----|
| CE | | | | | | | |
| RN | 0.173* | | | | | | |
| РВ | 0.140* | 0.217* | | | | | |
| PE | 0.085* | 0.204* | 0.038* | | | | |
| ВА | 0.309* | 0.393* | 0.303* | 0.297* | | | |
| ES | 0.449* | 0.456* | 0.420* | 0.447* | 0.299* | | |
| SP | 0.477* | 0.477* | 0.417* | 0.463* | 0.381* | 0.228* | |

^{*}p <0.001

| | Microsatellites | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|---------------------|-------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Source of variation | d.f. | SS | Variance components | % variation | p-value | | | | | |
| Among haplogroups | 1 | 483.370 | 1.081 | 29.34 | p< 0.05 | | | | | |
| Among localities within haplogroups | 5 | 335.866 | 0.555 | 15.07 | p< 0.001 | | | | | |
| Within localities | 815 | 1670.016 | 2.049 | 55.58 | p< 0.001 | | | | | |
| Total | 821 | 2489.252 | 3.686 | - | - | | | | | |










FIGURE S1 Mantel test of the relationship between genetic and geographic distances, using the mitochondrial markers (a) COI and (b) cox2-3, for the seven sampled Gracilaria caudata localities along the Brazilian coast, expressed as FST versus the distance in kilometres (km). The red dots represent relationship between genetic and geographic distances of among the north-east populations. The blue dots represent the relationship between genetic and geographic distances of the Bahia location and the remaining populations. The black dots represent relationship between genetic and geographic distances of among the south-east and the remaining populations.




FIGURE S2 Mantel test of the relationship between genetic and geographic distance, using nuclear microsatellite markers, for the seven sampled Gracilaria caudata localities along the Brazilian coast, expressed as FST versus the distance in kilometres (km). The red dots represent relationship between genetic and geographic distances of among the north-east populations. The blue dots represent the relationship between genetic and geographic distances of the Bahia location and the remaining populations. The black dots represent relationship between genetic and geographic distances of among the south-east and the remaining populations.

