



HAL
open science

Long-term aquaria study suggests species-specific responses of two cold-water corals to macro-and microplastics exposure

Vincent Mouchi, Leila Chapron, Erwan Peru, Audrey M Pruski, Anne-Leila Meistertzheim, Gilles Vétion, Pierre Galand, Franck Lartaud

► To cite this version:

Vincent Mouchi, Leila Chapron, Erwan Peru, Audrey M Pruski, Anne-Leila Meistertzheim, et al.. Long-term aquaria study suggests species-specific responses of two cold-water corals to macro-and microplastics exposure. *Environmental Pollution*, 2019, 253, pp.322-329. 10.1016/j.envpol.2019.07.024 . hal-02292677

HAL Id: hal-02292677

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-02292677v1>

Submitted on 20 Sep 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Long-term aquaria study suggests species-specific responses of two cold-water
2 corals to macro- and microplastics exposure

3 Vincent Mouchi^{1*}, Leila Chapron¹, Erwan Peru¹, Audrey M. Pruski¹, Anne-Leila
4 Meistertzheim^{2,3}, Gilles Vétion¹, Pierre E. Galand¹, Franck Lartaud¹

5 ¹: Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Laboratoire d'Ecogéochimie des Environnements Benthiques,
6 LECOB, F-66650, Banyuls, France

7 ²: Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Laboratoire d'Océanographie Microbienne, LOMIC, F-66650,
8 Banyuls, France

9 ³: Plastic@Sea, Laboratoire d'Océanographie Microbienne, LOMIC, F-66650, Banyuls,
10 France

11

12 *: Corresponding author: vmouchi@gmail.com

13

14 ABSTRACT

15 Plastic pollution has been identified as a major threat for coastal marine life and ecosystems.

16 Here, we test if the feeding behaviour and growth rate of the two most common cold-water
17 coral species, *Lophelia pertusa* and *Madrepora oculata*, are affected by micro- or

18 macroplastic exposures. Low-density polyethylene microplastics impair prey capture and

19 growth rates of *L. pertusa* after five months of exposure. Macroplastic films, mimicking

20 plastic bags trapped on deep-sea reefs, had however a limited impact on *L. pertusa* growth.

21 This was due to an avoidance behaviour illustrated by the formation of skeletal 'caps' that

22 changed the polyp orientation and allowed its access to food supply. On the contrary, *M.*

23 *oculata* growth and feeding were not affected by plastic exposure. Such a species-specific

24 response has the potential to induce a severe change in coral community composition and the

25 associated biodiversity in deep-sea environments.

26

27 Growth and feeding behaviour are unchanged for *Madrepora oculata* when exposed to
28 plastics. *Lophelia pertusa* is impacted by microplastics but acclimates to macroplastics.

29

30

31 KEYWORDS

32 Macroplastic litters, microplastics, cold-water corals, biomineralization, *Lophelia pertusa*,
33 *Madrepora oculata*

34

35 INTRODUCTION

36 Anthropogenic activities have a strong negative impact on marine life as extensively
37 documented for metal pollution (Islam and Tanaka, 2004), overfishing (Coll et al., 2008),
38 trawling (Jones, 1992) or ocean acidification (Orr et al., 2005). Plastic pollutants have now
39 been observed in all marine ecosystems (Bergmann et al., 2016; Herrera et al., 2019),
40 including the deep-sea (Woodall et al., 2014). Macroplastics are large debris (> 5 mm of
41 diameter) known to be harmful for marine life (Besseling et al., 2014; Derraik, 2002; Tanaka
42 et al., 2013) and represent the “visible” part of the problem. Microplastics are small particles
43 (< 5 mm; Arthur et al., 2009; GESAMP, 2015) that are formed by the progressive
44 fragmentation of larger plastic debris or directly manufactured as small-size fragments
45 (Rhodes, 2018). Plastic debris can also act as sorption surfaces for hydrophobic organic
46 contaminants more efficiently than sediment particles (Teuten et al., 2007).

47 It has been suggested that animals feed on plastics because they look and smell like prey
48 (Boerger et al., 2010; Fukuoka et al., 2016; Procter et al., 2019; Savoca et al., 2017).

49 Numerous studies have attempted to estimate their impact on marine life (Cole et al., 2015;
50 Hall et al., 2015; Lusher, 2015; Sussarellu et al., 2016) as their toxicity is of concern,

51 including for humans (Wright et al., 2013a). It has indeed been reported that drinking waters
52 of 14 countries from five continents contain microplastic particles (Kosuth et al., 2018),
53 although this assessment is debated (Koelmans et al., 2019). Microplastics can be ingested by
54 zooplankton taxa and transferred to the food web (Setälä et al., 2014), and are also directly
55 ingested by marine fishes (see Herrera et al. 2019 for a recent review), including the ones
56 consumed by humans. Herrera et al. (2019) found for example microplastics in 78.4% of
57 sampled mackerel fish sold on the Canary Islands. The impact of microplastics on health is
58 challenging to assess from *in situ* observations, although some studies have found evidence of
59 tropical corals being contaminated by toxic plastic chemical additives (such as phthalic acid
60 esters; Saliu et al., 2019). Most studies use aquarium experiments and strong negative impacts
61 have been highlighted in a variety of marine taxa (Sussarellu et al., 2016; Tang et al., 2018)
62 including tropical (Hall et al., 2015; Reichert et al., 2018) and deep-sea corals (Chapron et al.,
63 2018). This body of evidence suggests that microplastics represent an equivalent (if not
64 greater) threat than macroplastics to marine communities.

65 As reef-builders in deep-sea environments, scleractinian cold-water corals (CWC) are of
66 paramount importance for sea life as they provide a habitat to biodiversity hotspots compared
67 to adjacent localities deprived of corals (Henry and Roberts, 2007). CWC, such as the colonial
68 species *Lophelia pertusa* (now renamed *Desmophyllum pertusum*; Addamo et al., 2016) and
69 *Madrepora oculata*, form a network of calcium carbonate skeletons consisting in branches of
70 multiple corallites built by individual polyps. Macro- and microplastics have been reported in
71 remote deep-sea coral provinces (La Beur et al., 2019) and macroplastic films have been
72 observed to partially obstruct CWC reefs as they can be trapped in the corallite branches
73 (Angiolillo et al., 2015). In short-term experiments (2.5 months), macroplastics were recently
74 shown to impact the growth and prey capture rates, therefore, effecting colony health and
75 survival of *L. pertusa* (Chapron et al., 2018). Those results, however, were restricted to one

76 species (i.e., *L. pertusa*) and no data is available for long-term exposure. Owing to the
77 difficulties in accessing deep-sea habitats, experiments on CWC are scarce, although it was
78 shown that these deep-sea organisms are exposed both to large plastic wastes and microplastic
79 debris (Taylor et al., 2016). Considering the crucial ecological role of CWC in deep-sea
80 ecosystems and the intensity of plastic pressures in these environments, it is important to
81 evaluate the impact of plastics on CWC in an attempt to forecast the expected changes on
82 coral ecosystems in future oceans.

83 In this study, we present the first investigation at long-term scale (five months) of macro- and
84 microplastic effects on two CWC species (*L. pertusa* and *M. oculata*).

85

86 MATERIALS AND METHODS

87 Origin of colonies

88 Corals used for this study were selected from *L. pertusa* and *M. oculata* colonies collected
89 live from the Lacaze-Duthiers Canyon, in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea (42°32'72"N,
90 03°25'28"E) at 540 m water depth in July 2012 by the Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV)
91 Super Achille on the R/V Minibex (COMEX Company). Plastic debris have been observed in
92 this location over the years (Fiala-Medioni et al., 2012; Chapron et al., 2018). Sampled corals
93 were transferred onboard to aerated 30 L seawater tanks maintained at a constant temperature
94 of 13°C using a chiller. Once in the laboratory, corals were placed in a dark thermoregulated
95 room at 13°C ± 0.5°C in 80 L tanks receiving continuous flow of 5 µm-filtered Mediterranean
96 Sea water pumped from 5 m water depth. This setting allowed a renewal of over a full tank
97 per day. The colony fragments were each subdivided in nubbins of 3-5 polyps that were
98 subsequently secured on cement blocks using an aquatic epoxy resin (Lartaud et al., 2014). In
99 total, 34 *L. pertusa* and 70 *M. oculata* polyps (from one colony for each species) were used in
100 this study.

101

102 Experimental settings

103 *Aquaria settings*

104 The experimental design is similar to the one published earlier by Chapron et al. (2018). The
105 experiment consisted in three independent semi-closed flumes maintained in the dark at
106 $13\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 0.5\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ in a thermoregulated room (for microplastics, control and macroplastics
107 exposures; Fig. 1; Supplementary Fig. S1) of 58 L each (Purser et al., 2010). One renewal of
108 seawater per day was set up by a continuous supply of 2.5 L h^{-1} oxygenated, thermoregulated
109 (13°C) and filtered ($5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$) Mediterranean seawater to prevent contamination. A constant flow
110 of 2.5 cm s^{-1} was maintained by a motor (Modelcraft) driven propeller in each flume. A $180\text{ }\mu\text{m}$
111 mesh at the spillway retained microplastic particles within the tank. Corals were
112 acclimated for four weeks in the flumes before plastic addition. During the experiment, corals
113 were fed three times a week with freshly hatched (approx. $500\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ wide) *Artemia salina*
114 nauplii (350 individuals per litre) and once a week with Marine Snow plankton diet (Two
115 Little Fishes Inc, Miami Gardens, USA, 5 mL per flume).

116

117 *Plastic particles*

118 Macro- and microplastics were separately incubated for two months in 5 L seawater tanks
119 continuously supplied with filtered ($20\text{ }\mu\text{m}$) Mediterranean seawater in order to allow
120 bacterial colonization as observed in natural environments (Dussud et al., 2018a).
121 Microplastic beads of low-density polyethylene were added in one flume, with ovoid-shaped
122 beads of the same size ($500\text{ }\mu\text{m}$) and concentration (350 beads L^{-1}) than the ones of the
123 zooplankton used to feed the corals. This ratio of microplastic per zooplankton corresponds to
124 that observed in the Mediterranean surface waters, with concentrations ranging from 0 to 2.28 mg L^{-1}
125 ($\text{Collignon et al., 2012; Pedrotti et al., 2016}$). The quantification of microplastics in the

126 deep-sea has not been reported so far, and measurements are limited by the mesh size of nets
127 used to recover particles (*e.g.*, 333 μm by Dussud et al., 2018b). Moreover, a recent study
128 suggests that the plastic particles content in the deep-sea is largely underestimated (Choy et
129 al., 2019). We therefore chose to use surface water values for the experiment as in Chapron et
130 al. (2018). Macroplastics were constituted of 10 x 10 cm polyethylene film, and added in
131 another flume, directly placed in contact of the cement blocks holding the corals in a way that
132 about 50% of the polyps were obstructed (Fig. 1) as observed in natural settings (Angiolillo et
133 al., 2015). The plastics were approximately 1 cm from the polyps.

134

135 Fig. 1. Experimental design and position of corals related to plastic exposure. The arrow
136 indicates the current direction.

137

138 Labelling and corallite growth measurements

139 At the start of the experiment, the specimens were labelled with fluorescent calcein at 150 mg
140 L^{-1} following the protocol described by Lartaud et al. (2013). The specimens were collected
141 after 5 months of plastic exposure and were cleaned in hydrogen peroxide (4%) at 60°C for 12
142 hours to remove all organic tissues. After embedding in ESCIL SODY 33 epoxy, specimens
143 were cut along the maximum growth axis of corallites using a Buehler Isomet low-speed saw.
144 Sections were polished using alumina down to 0.3 μm . Observations of calcein labels (Fig. 2)
145 were performed using an epifluorescence microscope Olympus BX UCB with an excitation at
146 495 nm (Excelitas X-Cite series 120Q). Distance between the label and the septa apex
147 (corresponding to the death of the coral) was measured (repeated 5 times) using the ImageJ
148 software (<https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>) and considered as the polyp growth over the experiment
149 (Lartaud et al., 2013; Chapron et al., 2018).

150

151 Fig. 2. Observation of calcein label in a *L. pertusa* septa using an epifluorescence microscope.
152 **a:** Orientation of cut along the maximum growth axis to expose septa of a *L. pertusa*
153 specimen. **b:** Septa exposed once corallite was embedded in resin and cut. The rectangle area
154 indicates the view on **c**. **c:** Fluorescence view of a septa showing the calcein labelling.

155

156 Prey capture rates

157 Coral prey capture rate was measured 92 and 136 days after the start of the experiment
158 following a method described in Purser et al. (2010). Each hour, triplicate 100 mL water
159 samples were collected after feeding. The water samples were filtered (55 µm mesh) and *A.*
160 *salina* nauplii were counted to calculate the concentration of remaining *A. salina* in each
161 flume. The number of zooplankton in each flume was normalized against the number of
162 polyps in the flume, and that number was corrected for the macro- and microplastic flumes
163 against the control flume. Following Purser et al. (2010) and Chapron et al. (2018), results
164 presented focus on the first hour following prey delivery as corals consume over 65% of preys
165 during this period.

166

167 Lipid analyses

168 Nubbins were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. Samples were then
169 freeze-dried and ground to powder in liquid nitrogen with a TissueLyserII from QIAGEN.
170 Total lipids were extracted from the freeze-dried polyps with chloroform: methanol (2:1) and
171 assayed colorimetrically by the phosphosulfovanillic method (Barnes and Blastock, 1973)
172 using a cholesterol standard. Lipid contents were expressed in mg of cholesterol equivalent
173 and normalized per gram of polyp. Results are given in Supplementary Figure S2.

174

175 Statistical methods

176 Normal distribution for growth rates was checked by Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests on Matlab
177 (v. R2017a). For each test, a normal distribution was rejected at 5% significance level. The *L.*
178 *pertusa* and *M. oculata* populations (growth rates and capture rates) were tested separately to
179 determine if their distributions were identical using Kruskal-Wallis tests at 5% significance
180 level. Multiple comparison procedure by Tukey method was used to determine homogeneity
181 between populations at 5% significance level.

182

183 RESULTS

184 Growth rates

185 No polyp mortality was observed for all conditions during the experiment. Overall, 76% of *L.*
186 *pertusa* corallites and 66% of *M. oculata* corallites exhibited calcein labelling, so that the total
187 number of fragments used for measurements and statistics was 26 and 46 for *L. pertusa* and
188 *M. oculata*, respectively. The measured growth for all septa specimens presenting visible
189 calcein labels are reported in Figure 3. Septal growth for *L. pertusa* was significantly lower in
190 microplastics compared to control conditions (Tukey test, $p = 0.03$), with averages of $307 \pm$
191 $360 \mu\text{m}$ and $1260 \pm 770 \mu\text{m}$ respectively. *Lophelia pertusa* polyps exposed to microplastics
192 also had significantly lower growth than polyps exposed to macroplastic conditions (Tukey
193 test, $p = 0.02$), with a mean growth of $1890 \pm 1670 \mu\text{m}$ for macroplastic conditions. *Lophelia*
194 *pertusa* growth for macroplastics exposure were not statistically different from control
195 settings (Tukey test, $p = 0.95$).

196

197 Fig. 3. Septa growth for *L. pertusa* (left) and *M. oculata* (right) exposed to micro- or
198 macroplastics, or under control conditions at the end of the 5-months experiment. Mean,
199 standard deviation and number of samples are shown. One outlier was observed for *M.*

200 *oculata* in control conditions (indicated by a '+' sign). The letters on top of the boxes indicate
201 significant differences between groups (Tukey tests).

202

203 Contrary to *L. pertusa*, *M. oculata* growth was similar between experimental conditions (Fig.
204 3). Although the mean septal growth was lower under microplastics exposure ($152 \pm 116 \mu\text{m}$,
205 $n=16$) compared to that of macroplastics settings ($189 \pm 159 \mu\text{m}$, $n=15$) and control ($205 \pm$
206 $198 \mu\text{m}$, $n=15$), these values were not statistically different from one another (Kruskal-Wallis
207 test, $p = 0.93$).

208

209 Newly-formed growth structures

210 *Madrepora oculata* did not exhibit any growth abnormalities. However, all but one (86%) *L.*
211 *pertusa* polyps facing the macroplastic films (*i.e.*, with current obstruction) presented a partial
212 cover of the corallite, here called 'caps' (Fig. 4a). The polyp without this overgrowth presents
213 the lowest growth with only $27 \mu\text{m}$ longitudinal growth in 5 months. These 'cap' structures
214 were newly-formed as demonstrated by their position after the calcein labels (Fig. 4b). The
215 'caps' were thinner than the coral wall ($\sim 200 \mu\text{m}$ thick for caps compared to $\sim 1 \text{ mm}$ for coral
216 wall; Fig. 4c). Several millimetres of these 'caps' ($3070 \pm 1090 \mu\text{m}$, $n=4$) were formed during
217 the experiment and were responsible for the highest values of the measured growth rates for
218 corals exposed to macroplastics (Fig. 3). When visible, calcein labels from the wall opposite
219 to that of the 'cap' initiation of these corallites are located at the edge of the septa, indicating
220 that these sides have not grown during the experiment (Fig. 4b and c).

221

222 Fig. 4. A newly-formed 'cap' (growth direction indicated by the red arrow) on *L. pertusa*
223 corallites facing the macroplastic (a). Septa are not concealed by the mineralisation of caps
224 (see septum indicated by the white arrow). Calcein label positioned on a scanning electron

225 microscope view (secondary electron mode, 15 kV) indicates that the ‘caps’ have been
226 formed during the experiment (b). Organization of ‘caps’ on *L. pertusa* corallites relative to
227 the walls in lateral section view (c) indicates that these structures, only present on
228 approximately one-half of the corallite opening, are substantially thin compared to the wall.
229

230 Capture rates

231 Prey capture rates measured after three and five months of plastic exposure are reported in
232 Figure 5. After three months, capture rates for *L. pertusa* exposed to microplastics were not
233 statistically different from those of control specimens (Tukey test, $p = 0.50$; $278 \pm 47 A.$
234 *salina* polyp⁻¹ h⁻¹ and $341 \pm 26 A. salina polyp⁻¹ h⁻¹, respectively). Polyps exposed to
235 macroplastics also exhibited capture rates ($462 \pm 14 A. salina polyp⁻¹ h⁻¹) similar to control
236 specimens (Tukey test, $p = 0.50$), but they were higher than those of microplastic-exposed
237 specimens (Tukey test, $p = 0.03$). After five months of exposure to microplastics, the capture
238 rate of *L. pertusa* had decreased compared to control specimens (Tukey test, $p = 0.05$; $201 \pm$
239 $44 A. salina polyp⁻¹ h⁻¹ and $480 \pm 28 A. salina polyp⁻¹ h⁻¹, respectively). For macroplastics,
240 the capture rates ($462 \pm 28 A. salina polyp⁻¹ h⁻¹) were not significantly different from those of
241 control specimens (Tukey test, $p = 0.73$) but were significantly different from those of
242 microplastic-exposed specimens (Tukey test, $p = 0.05$).$$$$$

243 For *M. oculata*, the capture rates for corals exposed to both micro- ($263 \pm 135 A. salina polyp⁻¹
244 h⁻¹) and macroplastics ($267 \pm 35 A. salina polyp⁻¹ h⁻¹) were similar to control ($269 \pm 25 A.$
245 *salina* polyp⁻¹ h⁻¹) after three months (Tukey test, $p = 0.90$ and $p = 1$, respectively) and five
246 months (Tukey test, $p = 0.98$ and $p = 0.54$, respectively).$$

247

248 Fig. 5. Capture rates of *L. pertusa* (left) and *M. oculata* (right) after 3 months (top) and 5
249 months (bottom) of plastic exposure. Values are normalized against control specimens.
250 Medians and quartiles are indicated.

251

252 DISCUSSION

253 The polyps of *L. pertusa* directly facing macroplastics, and thus more affected by this barrier
254 limiting food supply, developed abnormal growth structures (here called caps) probably
255 aiming at bypassing the plastic obstacle. The new production of caps, observed on all
256 specimens facing the macroplastics, is likely a physiological response that forces the polyp to
257 modify the orientation of its longitudinal growth. This avoidance behaviour will allow the
258 coral to get around the obstacle and reach the nutrient flux again. This growth pattern changed
259 the orientation of the elongation at the expense of wall thickness (Fig. 4c), generating
260 potentially very fragile structures. The absence of growth on the side of the corallites opposite
261 the cap can be explained by a temporary pause of mineralisation, which would resume once
262 the cap is sufficiently strong to support the polyp. This is the first report of such avoidance
263 behaviour at the polyp level. At colony levels, change of direction has been observed in
264 natural settings (Roberts et al., 2009), although only after the full growth of the skeleton, and
265 not at the initiation phase as we suspect happens here. It is also known that sessile taxa other
266 than Scleractinia, such as bivalves, are able to change skeletal or shell growth direction to
267 accommodate for terrain irregularity (Chinzei et al., 1982). This type of coral response to
268 macroplastic exposure was not observed in Chapron et al. (2018) for experiments conducted
269 at shorter timescales (2.5 months). Our results suggest that the cap generation observed here
270 may provide *L. pertusa* successful response to plastic or other obstacles. High lipid contents
271 in the nubbins of *L. pertusa* exposed to macroplastics confirm their good fitness
272 (Supplementary Fig. S2) and suggest that the production of cap represents for the colony an

273 efficient strategy to overcome the obstructive effect of macroplastics or other obstacles. The
274 reason why this response was however not observed for shorter exposure time (Chapron et al.,
275 2018) is yet unknown.

276 Our study also reports a species-specific impact of plastic exposure for CWC for both micro-
277 and macroplastics. While *L. pertusa* showed close similar patterns than those described in
278 Chapron et al. (2018) *M. oculata* skeletal growth and capture rates were not impaired by
279 microplastics exposure. One reason may be that *M. oculata* does not effectively capture the
280 microplastics in the size range used in the experiment. *Madrepora oculata* could be selective
281 in its diet and/or could display rejection behaviour for preys that have similar size to *Artemia*
282 nauplii, which were used in our experiment. Indeed, it was observed that different taxa ingest
283 different size of microplastic beads (van Cauwenberghe et al., 2015). This is supported by
284 recent unpublished observations highlighting that *M. oculata* stores more energy when fed on
285 phytoplankton rather than *Artemia* nauplii (Galand et al., submitted), and captures more
286 effectively large *Artemia* (*i.e.*, 1000 μm) than nauplii (500 μm) (Surhoff et al., submitted).
287 Inversely, it has been reported that *L. pertusa* is opportunistic in terms of diet, which ranges
288 from phytodetritus (van Oevelen et al., 2009) to zooplankton (Freiwald, 2002; Kiriakoulakis
289 et al., 2005) with prey size reaching 10 mm (Tsounis et al., 2010). If prey size selection is at
290 play, *M. oculata* may exhibit equivalent negative impact than that observed on *L. pertusa* here
291 when exposed to particles of different size than 500 μm .

292 The growth and capture rates of *M. oculata* were also unaffected by macroplastic exposure.
293 *Madrepora oculata* polyps are smaller than those of *L. pertusa* (Lartaud et al., 2017). The
294 macronutrient flux, although limited by the macroplastic obstacle, may be sufficient to
295 provide the small polyps with food. Alternatively, the *M. oculata* polyps facing away from the
296 obstacle could share their nutritional resources and/or energy with the other polyps in a more
297 efficient way than does *L. pertusa*. Colonial organisms have been shown to share their

298 proteins between adjacent and sometimes remote polyps (Buss et al., 2015). However, *M.*
299 *oculata* polyps, contrary to *L. pertusa*, do not exhibit a physical link at the polyp basis, inside
300 the skeleton (Lartaud et al., *in press*), which opens additional questions regarding the
301 pathways of resource and energy sharing between polyps of a same branch or colony.
302 Species-specific vulnerability to plastic pollutants has previously been reported on tropical
303 coral species (Reichert et al., 2018). In their study, Reichert et al. (2018) showed that six coral
304 species exhibit different responses when exposed to microplastics for four weeks, such as
305 attachment to tentacles, mucus production, overgrowth and ingestion of microbeads. From the
306 six studied species, five exhibited negative health impacts, with the only species visibly not
307 impacted being *Porites lutea*, which was the only species using mucus production against the
308 exposure. In our study, mucus production was observed from both *L. pertusa* and *M. oculata*,
309 as it is often observed for cold-water coral species. This strategy seems ineffective for *L.*
310 *pertusa*.

311 After 5 months of exposure, *L. pertusa* exhibited significantly reduced growth rates when
312 exposed to microplastics. The energy balance plays a key role in the species' potential for
313 survival and acclimation to stress. In general, when conditions are optimal, energy is stored or
314 allocated for growth and reproduction, but reserves are depleted to withstand the additional
315 costs of stressful conditions (Lesser, 2013; Rossi and Tsounis, 2007). Therefore, our
316 measurements of lipids (Supplementary Fig. S2) indicate that control specimens presented
317 low energy reserves likely due to allocation to skeletal growth, while microplastic-exposed
318 polyps showed similar reserves without growth. Those results are in accordance with a short-
319 term (2.5 months) experiment showing a significant impact of plastics on growth of *L. pertusa*
320 (Chapron et al., 2018). The authors suggested a decrease of energy storage due to the
321 ingestion and egestion costs of microplastic beads. This is in agreement with observations
322 from shallow-water coral species that egested most of plastic particles within 24 to 48 h after

323 ingestion, but that energy supply (through consumption) and thus growth was expected to be
324 reduced (Allen et al., 2017; Hankins et al., 2018). This reduction in capture rates has also been
325 shown on the polychaete worm for which a chronic microplastic exposure inhibited feeding
326 activity, which in turn reduced energy storage and organism fitness (growth rates and
327 survival; Wright et al. 2013b). This could be due to a potential simulation of satiation or
328 blockages of digestive cavities by microplastics as suggested in crustaceans and fishes (Cole
329 et al., 2015; Critchell and Hoogenboom, 2018; Murray and Cowie, 2011; Watts et al., 2015).
330 Interestingly, Chapron et al. (2018) reported that after 2.5 months of exposure capture rates
331 reached those of the control specimens. Here, we also observed that capture rates in
332 microplastic and control settings were not significantly different from each other after 3
333 months of exposure. However, an extended period of exposure to microplastics (5 months)
334 had a significant negative impact on capture rate. A possible explanation is that *L. pertusa*
335 compensates for microplastic exposure during several weeks but eventually fails to reach a
336 sustainable state under this stress, hence dramatically reducing its feeding behaviour. Growth
337 rate reaching normal values under microplastic exposure is therefore unlikely over a longer
338 period (*i.e.*, over five months), and lingering negative impacts are to be expected.

339

340 CONCLUSION

341 This paper reports for the first time the effects of long-term micro- and macroplastic exposure
342 on two cold-water coral species, *L. pertusa* and *M. oculata*. *Lophelia pertusa* was greatly
343 affected by microplastic beads, both in terms of prey capture and growth rates. The impact of
344 water current obstruction by macroplastic films appears limited as it was bypassed by polyp
345 skeleton overgrows aiming at getting around the plastic obstacles. On the contrary, *M. oculata*
346 was not impacted in either condition, as no difference was observed between control, micro-
347 and macroplastic settings. We conclude that plastic pollution in nature, by impacting one

348 species more than another, could lead to a decrease in biodiversity in cold-water coral
349 ecosystems. Additional impacts on the associated fauna may also occur, as *L. pertusa* and *M.*
350 *oculata* are generally associated to improve the coherence of the carbonate reefs and protect
351 other taxa.

352

353 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

354 This work was funded by the RT2E-PLAISCOOL project (Fondation Rovaltain, coordination
355 F. Lartaud). Coral sampling was done as part of the research program of the Chair
356 ‘Biodiversity, extreme marine environment and global change’ supported by Foundation
357 TOTAL, UPMC and CNRS, coord. N. Le Bris (LECOB). We thank the crew of the R/V
358 Minibex (COMEX) for their assistance in fieldwork and the facilities of Biology platform of
359 imaging and cytometry (BioPIC). Flume construction was funded by the Statoil funded
360 CORAMM project (coord. A. Purser).

361

362 REFERENCES

- 363 Addamo, A.M., Vertino, A., Stolarski, J., García-Jiménez, R., Taviani, M., Machordom, A.,
364 2016. Merging scleractinian genera: The overwhelming genetic similarity between
365 solitary *Desmophyllum* and colonial *Lophelia*. BMC Evol. Biol., 16, 108.
366 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-016-0654-8>.
- 367 Allen, A.S., Seymour, A.C., Rittschof, D., 2017. Chemoreception drives plastic consumption
368 in a hard coral. Mar. Pollut. Bull., 124, 198-205.
369 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.07.030>.
- 370 Angiolillo, M., di Lorenzo, B., Farcomeni, A., Bo, M., Bavestrello, G., Santangelo, G., Cau,
371 A., Mastascusa, V., Cau, A., Sacco, F., Canese, S., 2015. Distribution and assessment of

372 marine debris in the deep Tyrrhenian Sea (NW Mediterranean Sea, Italy). Mar. Pollut.
373 Bull., 92, 149-159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2014.12.044>.

374 Arthur, C., Baker, J., Bamford, H., 2009. Proceedings of the International Research Workshop
375 on the Occurrence, Effects and Fate of Microplastic Marine Debris. Group 530.

376 Barnes, H, Blackstock, J., 1973. Estimation of lipids in marine animals and tissues: detailed
377 investigation of the sulphophosphovanilun method for 'total' lipids. J. Exp. Mar. Biol.
378 Ecol., 12, 103-118. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981\(73\)90040-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(73)90040-3).

379 Besseling, E., Wang, B., Lürling, M., Koelmans, A.A., 2014. Nanoplastic affects growth of *S.*
380 *obliquus* and reproduction of *D. magna*. Environ. Sci. Technol., 48, 12336-12343.
381 <https://doi.org/10.1021/es503001d>.

382 Bergmann, M., Sandhop, N., Schewe, I., D'Hert, D., 2016. Observations of floating
383 anthropogenic litter in the Barents Sea and Fram Strait, Arctic. Polar Biol., 39, 553-560.
384 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-015-1795-8>.

385 Boerger, C.M., Lattin, G.L., Moore, S.L., Moore, C.J., 2010. Plastic ingestion by
386 planktivorous fishes in the North Pacific Central Gyre. Mar. Pollut. Bull., 60, 2275-
387 2278. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.08.007>.

388 Buss, L.W., Anderson, C.P., Perry, E.K., Buss, E.D., Bolton, E.W., 2015. Nutrient
389 distribution and absorption in the colonial hydroid *Podocoryna carnea* is sequentially
390 diffusive and directional. PLoS One, 10, e0136814.
391 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0136814>.

392 Chapron, L., Peru, E., Engler, A., Ghiglione, J.F., Meistertzheim, A.L., Pruski, A.M., Purser,
393 A., Vétion, G., Galand, P.E., Lartaud, F., 2018. Macro- and microplastics affect cold-
394 water corals growth, feeding and behaviour. Sci. Rep., 8, 15299.
395 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-33683-6>.

396 Chinzei, K., Savazzi, E., Seilacher, A., 1982. Adaptational strategies of bivalves living as
397 infaunal secondary soft bottom dwellers. *Neu. Jb. Geol. Paläont.*, 164, 229-244.

398 Choy, C.A., Robison, B.H., Gagne, T.O., Erwin, B., Firl, E., Halden, R.U., Hamilton, J.A.,
399 Katija, K., Lisin, S.E., Rolsky, C., Van Houtan, K.S., 2019. The vertical distribution and
400 biological transport of marine microplastics across the epipelagic and mesopelagic
401 water column. *Sci. Rep.*, 9, 7843. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-44117-2>.

402 Cole, M., Lindeque, P., Fileman, E., Halsband, C., Galloway, T.S., 2015. The impact of
403 polystyrene microplastics on feeding, function and fecundity in the marine copepod
404 *Calanus helgolandicus*. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 49, 1130-1137.
405 <https://doi.org/10.1021/es504525u>.

406 Coll, M., Libralato, S., Tudela, S., Palomera, I., Pranovi, F., 2008. Ecosystem overfishing in
407 the ocean. *PLoS One*, 3, 12, e3881. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0003881.
408 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0003881>.

409 Collignon, A., Hecq, J.-H., Galgani, F., Voisin, P., Collard, F., Goffart, A., 2012. Neustonic
410 microplastic and zooplankton in the North Western Mediterranean Sea. *Mar. Pollut.*
411 *Bull.*, 64, 861-864. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.01.011>.

412 Critchell, K., Hoogenboom, M.O., 2018. Effects of microplastic exposure on the body
413 condition and behaviour of planktivorous reef fish (*Acanthochromis polyacanthus*).
414 *PLoS One*, 13, 3, e0193308. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193308>.

415 Derraik, J.G.B., 2002. The pollution of the marine environment by plastic debris: a review.
416 *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 44, 842-852. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X\(02\)00220-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X(02)00220-5).

417 Dussud, C., Hudec, C., George, M., Fabre, P., Higgs, P., Bruzaud, S., Delort, A.-M.,
418 Eyheraguibel, B., Meistertzheim, L., Jacquin, J., Cheng, J., Callac, N., Odobel, C.,
419 Rabouille, S., Ghiglione, J.-F., 2018a. Colonization of non-biodegradable and

420 biodegradable plastics by marine microorganisms. *Front. Microbiol.*, 9, 1571.
421 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01571>.

422 Dussud, C., Meistertzheim, A.L., Conan, P., Pujó-Pay, M., George, M., Fabre, P., Coudane,
423 J., Higgs, P., Elineau, A., Pedrotti, M.L., Gorsky, G., Ghiglione, J.F., 2018b. Evidence
424 of niche partitioning among bacteria living on plastics, organic particles and
425 surrounding seawaters. *Environ. Pollut.*, 236, 807-816.
426 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.12.027>.

427 Fiala-Medioni, A., Madurell, T., Romans, P., Reyss, D., Pibot, A., Watremez, P., Ghiglione,
428 M., Ferrari, B., Vuillemin, R., Lebaron, P., the scientific teams of the “Minibex” and
429 “Medseacan” cruises (2008-2009), 2012. Rov and submersible surveys on faunal
430 assemblages in a deep-sea canyon (rech Lacaze-Duthiers, western Mediterranean Sea).
431 *Vie Milieu*, 62, 173–190.

432 Freiwald, A., 2002. Reef-forming cold-water corals. *In*: Wefer, G., Billett, D., Hebbeln, D. et
433 al. (Eds), *Ocean Margin Systems*. Springer, Berlin; 365-385.
434 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-05127-6_23.

435 Fukuoka, T., Yamane, M., Kinoshita, C., Narazaki, T., Marshall, G.J., Abernathy, K.J.,
436 Miyazaki, N., Sato, K., 2016. The feeding habit of sea turtles influences their reaction to
437 artificial marine debris. *Sci. Rep.*, 6, 28015. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep28015>.

438 Galand, P., Remize, M., Meistertzheim, A.L., Pruski, A., Peru, E., Suhrhoo, T.J., Le Bris, N.,
439 Vétion, G., Lartaud, F., *in revision*. Diet shapes cold-water coral microbiomes. *ISME J.*
440 *(in revision)*.

441 GESAMP, 2015. Sources, Fate and Effects of Microplastics in the Marine Environment: A
442 Global Assessment”. Technical Report. IMO/FAO/UNESCO-
443 IOC/UNIDO/WMO/IAEA/UN/UNEP/UNDP Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific
444 Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection. 1020-

445 4873%5Cn<http://ec.europa.eu/environment/marine/good-environmental->
446 status/descriptor-10/pdf/GESAMP_microplastics_full_study.pdf.
447 <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.3803.7925>.

448 Hall, N.M., Berry, K.L.E., Rintoul, L., Hoogenboom, M.O., 2015. Microplastic ingestion by
449 scleractinian corals. *Mar. Biol.*, 162, 725-732. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-015->
450 2619-7.

451 Hankins, C., Duffy, A., Drisco, K., 2018. Scleractinian coral microplastic ingestion: Potential
452 calcification effects, size limits, and retention. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 135, 587-593.
453 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.07.067>.

454 Henry, L.A., Roberts, J.M., 2007. Biodiversity and ecological composition of macrobenthos
455 on cold-water coral mounds and adjacent offmound habitat in the bathyal Porcupine
456 Seabight, NE Atlantic. *Deep Sea Res. Part 1 Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 54, 654–672.
457 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2007.01.005>.

458 Herrera, A., Stindlova, A., Martinez, I., Rapp, J., Romero-Kutzner, V., Samper, M.D.,
459 Montoto, T., Aguiar-Gonzalez, B., Packard, T., Gomez, M., 2019. Microplastic
460 ingestion by Atlantic chub mackerel (*Scomber colias*) in the Canary Islands coast. *Mar.*
461 *Pollut. Bull.*, 139, 127-135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.12.022>.

462 Islam, M.S., Tanaka, M., 2004. Impacts of pollution on coastal and marine ecosystems
463 including coastal and marine fisheries and approach for management: a review and
464 synthesis. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 48, 624-649.
465 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2003.12.004>.

466 Jones, J.B., 1992. Environmental impact of trawling on the seabed: a review. *N. Z. J. Mar.*
467 *Freshwater Res.*, 26, 59-67. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00288330.1992.9516500>.

468 Kiriakoulakis, K., Fisher, E., Wolff, G.A., Freiwald, A., Grehan, A., Roberts, J.M., 2005.
469 Lipids and nitrogen isotopes of two deep-water corals from the north-east Atlantic:

470 initial results and implications for their nutrition. *In*: Freiwald, A., Roberts, J.M. (Eds)
471 *Cold-Water Corals and Ecosystems*. Springer, Berlin, 715-729.
472 https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-27673-4_37.

473 Koelmans, A.A., Nor, N.H.M., Hermsen, E., Kooi, M., Mintenig, S.M., De France, J., 2019.
474 Microplastics in freshwaters and drinking water: Critical review and assessment of data
475 quality. *Water Res.*, 155, 410-422. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.02.054>.

476 Kosuth, M., Mason, S.A., Wattenberg, E.V., 2018. Anthropogenic contamination of tap water,
477 beer, and sea salt. *PLoS One*, 13, 4, e0194970.
478 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194970>.

479 La Beur, L., Henry, L.-A., Kazanidis, G., Hennige, S., McDonald, A., Shaver, M.P., Roberts,
480 J.M., 2019. Baseline assessment of marine litter and microplastic ingestion by cold-
481 water coral reef benthos at the East Mingulay marine protected area (Sea of the
482 Hebrides, Western Scotland). *Front. Mar. Sci.*, 6, 80.
483 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00080>.

484 Lartaud, F., Mouchi, V., Chapron, L., Meistertzheim, A.L., Le Bris, N., *in press*. Growth
485 patterns of Mediterranean calcifying cold-water corals. *In*: Orejas, C., Jiménez, C.
486 (Eds), *Past, present and future of Mediterranean Cold-water corals*, Springer.

487 Lartaud, F., Galli, G., Raza, A., Priori, C., Benedetti, M.C., Cau, A., Santangelo, G., Iannelli,
488 M., Solidoro, C., Bramanti, L., 2017. Growth patterns in long-lived coral species. *In*:
489 Rossi, S., Bramanti, L., Gori, A., Orejas, C. (Eds) *Marine animal forests*, Springer,
490 Cham, 595-626. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-21012-4_15.

491 Lartaud, F., Pareige, S., de Rafélis, M., Feuillassier, L., Bideau, M., Peru, E., De la Vega, E.,
492 Nedoncelle, K., Romans, P., Le Bris, N., 2014. Temporal changes in the growth of two
493 Mediterranean cold-water coral species, *in situ* and *in aquaria*. *Deep Sea Res. Part 2*
494 *Top. Stud. Oceanogr.*, 99, 64-70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2013.06.024>.

495 Lartaud, F., Pareige, S., de Rafélis, M., Feuillassier, L., Bideau, M., Peru, E., Romans, P.,
496 Alcala, F., Le Bris, N., 2013. A new approach for assessing cold-water coral growth in
497 situ using fluorescent calcein staining. *Aquat. Living Resour.*, 26, 187-196.
498 <https://doi.org/10.1051/alr/2012029>.

499 Lesser, M.P., 2013. Using energetic budgets to assess the effects of environmental stress on
500 corals: are we measuring the right things? *Coral Reefs*, 32, 25-33.
501 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-012-0993-x>.

502 Lusher, A., 2015. Microplastics in the marine environment: distribution, interactions and
503 effects. *In: Bergmann, M., Gutow, L., Klages, M. (Eds.), Marine Anthropogenic Litter.*
504 Springer, New York, pp. 245–307. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-16510-3_10.

505 Murray, F., Cowie, P.R., 2011. Plastic contamination in the decapod crustacean *Nephrops*
506 *norvegicus* (Linnaeus, 1758). *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 62, 1207-1217.
507 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.03.032>.

508 Orr, J.C., Fabry, V.J., Aumont, O., Bopp, L., Doney, S.C., Feely, R.A., Gnanadesikan, A.,
509 Fruber, N., Ishida, A., Joos, F., Key, R.M., Lindsay, K., Maier-Reimer, E., Matear, R.,
510 Monfray, P., Mouchet, A., Najjar, R.G., Plattner, G.-K., Rodgers, K.B., Sabine, C.L.,
511 Sarmiento, J.L., Schlitzer, R., Slater, R.D., Totterdel, I.J., Weirig, M.-F., Yamanaka, Y.,
512 Yool, A., 2005. Anthropogenic ocean acidification over the twenty-first century and its
513 impact on calcifying organisms. *Nature*, 437, 681–686. doi:10.1038/nature04095.

514 Pedrotti, M.L., Petit, S., Elineau, A., Bruzard, S., Crebassa, J.-C., Dumontet, B., Marti, E.,
515 Gorsky, G., Cozar, A., 2016. Changes in the floating plastic pollution of the
516 Mediterranean Sea in relation to the distance to land. *PLoS One*, 11, e0161581.
517 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0161581>.

518 Procter, J., Hopkins, F.E., Fileman, E.S., Lindeque, P.K., 2019. Smells good enough to eat:
519 Dimethyl sulphide (DMS) enhances copepod ingestion of microplastics. *Mar. Pollut.*
520 *Bull.*, 138, 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.11.014>.

521 Purser, A., Larsson, A.I., Thomsen, L., van Oevelen, D., 2010. The influence of flow velocity
522 and food concentration on *Lophelia pertusa* (Scleractinia) zooplankton capture rates. *J.*
523 *Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.*, 395, 55-62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2010.08.013>.

524 Reichert, J., Schellenberg, J., Schubert, P., Wilke, T., 2018. Responses of reef building corals
525 to microplastic exposure. *Environ. Pollut.*, 237, 955-960.
526 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.11.006>.

527 Rhodes, C.J., 2018. Plastic Pollution and Potential Solutions. *Sci. Prog.*, 101, 207-260.
528 <https://doi.org/10.3184/003685018X15294876706211>.

529 Roberts, J.M., Wheeler, A.J., Freiwald, A., 2009. Cold water corals: the biology and geology
530 of deep-sea coral habitats. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

531 Rossi, S., Tsounis, G., 2007. Temporal and spatial variation in protein, carbohydrate, and lipid
532 levels in *Corallium rubrum* (Anthozoa, Octocorallia). *Mar. Biol.*, 152, 429-439.
533 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-007-0702-4>.

534 Saliu, F., Montano, S., Leoni, B., Lasagni, M., Galli, P., 2019. Microplastics as a threat to
535 coral reef environments: Detection of phthalate esters in neuston and scleractinian
536 corals from the Faafu Atoll, Maldives. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 142, 234-241.
537 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.03.043>.

538 Savoca, M.S., Tyson, C.W., McGill, M., Slager, C.J., 2017. Odours from marine plastic
539 debris induce food search behaviours in a forage fish. *Proc. R. Soc. B*, 284, 20171000.
540 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.1000>.

541 Setälä, O., Fleming-Lehtinen, V., Lehtiniemi, M., 2014. Ingestion and transfer of
542 microplastics in the planktonic food web. *Environ. Pollut.*, 185, 77-83.
543 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.10.013>.

544 Surhoff, T.J., Lartaud, F., Gerla, D., Peru, E., Thompsen, L., Le Bris, N., Purser, A., *in*
545 *revision*. *Lophelia pertusa* and *Madrepora oculata*: the influence of prey size on
546 achievable capture rates. *Front. Mar. Sci.* (*in revision*).

547 Sussarellu, R., Suquet, M., Thomas, Y., Lambert, C., Fabioux, C., Pernet, M.E.J., Le Goïc,
548 N., Quillien, V., Mingant, C., Epelboin, Y., Corporeau, C., Guyomarch, J., Robbens, J.,
549 Paul-Pont, I., Soudant, P., Huvet, A., 2016. Oyster reproduction is affected by exposure
550 to polystyrene microplastics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 113, 2430-2435.
551 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1519019113>.

552 Tanaka, K., Takada, H., Yamashita, R., Mizukawa, K., Fukuwaka, M.A., Watanuki, Y., 2013.
553 Accumulation of plastic-derived chemicals in tissues of seabirds ingesting marine
554 plastics. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 69, 219. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.12.010.

555 Tang, J., Ni, X., Zhou, Z., Wang, L., Lin, S., 2018. Acute microplastic exposure raises stress
556 response and suppresses detoxification and immune capacities in the scleractinian coral
557 *Pocillopora damicornis*. *Environ. Pollut.*, 243, 66-74.
558 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.08.045>.

559 Taylor, M.L., Gwinnett, C., Robinson, L.F., Woodall, L.C., 2016. Plastic microfibre ingestion
560 by deep-sea organisms. *Sci. Rep.*, 6, 33997. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep33997>.

561 Teuten, E.L., Rowland, S.J., Galloway, T.S., Thompson, R.C., 2007. Potential for plastics to
562 transport hydrophobic contaminants. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 41, 7759-7764.
563 <https://doi.org/10.1021/es071737s>.

564 Tsounis, G., Ojeras, C., Reynaud, S., Gili, J.-M., Allemand, D., Ferrier-Pagès, C., 2010. Prey-
565 capture rates in four Mediterranean cold water corals. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 398, 149-
566 155. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps08312>.

567 van Cauwenberghe, L., Claessens, M., Vandegehuchte, M.B., Janssen, C.R., 2015.
568 Microplastics are taken up by mussels (*Mytilus edulis*) and lugworms (*Arenicola*
569 *marina*) living in natural habitats. *Environ. Pollut.*, 199, 10-17.
570 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.01.008>.

571 van Oevelen, D., Duineveld, G., Lavaleye, M., Mienis, F., Soetaert, K., Heip, C.H.R., 2009.
572 The cold-water coral community as a hot spot for carbon cycling on continental
573 margins: a food-web analysis from Rockall Bank (northeast Atlantic). *Limnol.*
574 *Oceanogr.*, 54, 1829-1844. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2009.54.6.1829>.

575 Watts, A.J.R., Urbina, M.A., Corr, S., Lewis, C., Galloway, T.S., 2015. Ingestion of plastic
576 microfibers by the crab *Carcinus maenas* and its effect on food consumption and energy
577 balance. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 49, 14597-14604.
578 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b04026>.

579 Woodall, I.C., Sanchez-Vidal, A., Canals, M., Paterson, G.L., Coppock, R., Sleight, V.,
580 Calafat, A., Rogers, A.D., Narayanaswamy, B.E., Thompson, R.C., 2014. The deep sea
581 is a major sink for microplastic debris. *Royal Soc. Open Sci.*, 1, 4, 140371.
582 <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.140317>.

583 Wright, S.L., Thompson, R.C., Galloway, T.S., 2013a. The physical impacts of microplastics
584 on marine organisms: a review. *Environ. Pollut.*, 178, 483-492.
585 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.02.031>.

586 Wright, S.L., Rowe, D., Thompson, R.C., Galloway, T.S., 2013b. Microplastic ingestion
587 decreases energy reserves in marine worms. *Curr. Biol.*, 23, R1031–R1033.
588 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.10.068>.

Figure captions:


Fig. 1. Experimental design and position of corals related to plastic exposure. The arrow indicates the current direction.

Fig. 2. Observation of calcein label in a *L. pertusa* septa using an epifluorescence microscope. **a:** Orientation of cut along the maximum growth axis to expose septa of a *L. pertusa* specimen. **b:** Septa exposed once corallite was embedded in resin and cut. The rectangle area indicates the view on **c**. **c:** Fluorescence view of a septa showing the calcein labelling.


Fig. 3. Septa growth for *L. pertusa* (left) and *M. oculata* (right) exposed to micro- or macroplastics, or under control conditions at the end of the 5-months experiment. Mean, standard deviation and number of samples are shown. One outlier was observed for *M. oculata* in control conditions (indicated by a '+' sign). The letters on top of the boxes indicate significant differences between groups (Tukey tests).

Fig. 4. A newly-formed 'cap' (growth direction indicated by the red arrow) on *L. pertusa* corallites facing the macroplastic (**a**). Septa are not concealed by the mineralisation of caps (see septum indicated by the white arrow). Calcein label positioned on a scanning electron microscope view (secondary electron mode, 15 kV) indicates that the 'caps' have been formed during the experiment (**b**). Organization of 'caps' on *L. pertusa* corallites relative to the walls in lateral section view (**c**) indicates that these structures, only present on approximately one-half of the corallite opening, are substantially thin compared to the wall.


Fig. 5. Capture rates of *L. pertusa* (left) and *M. oculata* (right) after 3 months (top) and 5 months (bottom) of plastic exposure. Values are normalized against control specimens. Medians and quartiles are indicated.





Microplastics settings




Control settings




Macroplastics settings






Lophelia pertusa





Madrepora oculata







Lophelia pertusa

Madrepora oculata



t = 3 months



t = 5 months


Supplementary Figure S1 of Mouchi et al.: "Long-term aquaria study suggests species-specific responses of two cold-water corals to macro- and microplastics exposure".




Supplementary Fig. S1: Corals in aquaria with macroplastic films (a) and microplastic beads (b).

Supplementary Figure S2 of Mouchi et al.: “Long-term aquaria study suggests species-specific responses of two cold-water corals to macro- and microplastics exposure”.

a- *Lophelia pertusa*



b- *Madrepora oculata*



Supplementary Figure S2: Lipid concentration in coral nubbies of *Lophelia pertusa* (a) and *Madrepora oculata* (b) after 5 months of exposure to control conditions, microplastics and macroplastics. Polyps from several nubbies were pooled for the analyses. Lipids are expressed in mg of equivalent cholesterol per gram of organic matter. The analytic error for the measure is less than 10%.