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The cellular prion protein controls the mesenchymal-like molecular subtype and predicts disease outcome in colorectal cancer
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We assessed the distribution of the PrPC-encoding PRNP gene in two large CRC cohorts according to patient survival. Our in vitro analyses revealed that PrPC controls the expression of genes that specify the mesenchymal subtype through the recruitment of the Hippo pathway effectors YAP and TAZ and the TGFβ pathways recruited by PrPC. We measured soluble PrPβ in the plasmas of 325 patients with metastatic CRC and probed associations with disease outcome.

**Findings:** We found that PRNP gene expression is enriched in tumours of the mesenchymal subtype and is associated with poor survival. Our in vitro analyses revealed that PrPβ controls the expression of genes that specify the mesenchymal subtype through the recruitment of the Hippo pathway effectors YAP and TAZ and the TGFβ pathway. We showed that plasma levels of PrPβ are elevated in metastatic CRC and are associated with poor disease control.

**Interpretation:** Our findings define PrPβ as a candidate driver of the poor-prognosis mesenchymal subtype of CRC. They suggest that PrPβ may serve as a potential biomarker for patient stratification in CRC.
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Colorectal cancer is a heterogeneous disease that can be classified into distinct molecular subtypes. Among those, the stem cell-like, mesenchymal-like subtype is associated with poor prognosis. The molecular pathways sustaining this phenotype are incompletely understood. The cellular prion protein PrPC is over-expressed in several cancers and is emerging as a potential marker of cancer stem cells.

Using two large cohorts of colorectal cancer, we document that expression of the PRNP gene encoding PrPC is elevated in tumours of the mesenchymal subtype and that high PRNP levels are associated with poor survival. Through gain and loss of function approaches in colorectal cancer cell lines, we show that PrPC controls the expression of a panel of genes that specify the mesenchymal subtype and that this action is relayed by the Hippo effectors YAP/TAZ and by the TGFß pathway. We measured high levels of circulating PrPC in the plasma of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer as compared with healthy subjects and we found that high plasma levels of PrPC are correlated with poor disease control.

These findings suggest that PrPC could be a relevant biomarker for the prognostication of patients with colorectal cancer as well as a potential therapeutic target.

1. Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the five most frequent cancers and a leading cause of cancer-associated death worldwide. Over the past years, pioneering studies based on high-throughput profiling technologies have allowed to uncover CRC heterogeneity and to classify tumours into several subgroups according to gene expression patterns [1–6]. Among these, our group has contributed to define 6 molecular subtypes based on transcriptome analysis of a large cohort of patients with stage I to IV colon cancer [3]. We further took part to the international CRC Subtyping Consortium that established a consensus classification [7]. Although these overall studies have allowed much progress in our understanding of the diversity of CRC, our knowledge of the signalling pathways that selectively drive each molecular subtype is still incomplete. This notion most notably applies to the C4 subgroup in the Marisa classification, and to the larger CMS4 subgroup into which it is included in the consensus classification. Because one hallmark of the C4/CMS4 tumours is a cancer stem cell (CSC) like and/or an Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) signature, we may expect that some CSC markers contribute to control their phenotype. One emerging regulator of CSC function is the cellular prion protein PrPC [8], which is infamous for its involvement in a group of neurodegenerative diseases under its pathogenic scrapie isoform [9]. PrPC is a ubiquitous protein mainly found as a GPI-anchored cell surface molecule and also present in the extracellular space as a soluble isoform [10]. PrPC can interact with promiscuous partners and mobilize cell signalling cascades [10], which make it an interesting candidate to sense the tumour microenvironment and foster an adaptive response in cancer cells.

By exploiting two large cohorts of CRC patients, we substantiate a significant up-regulation of the PrPC-encoding gene PRNP in tumours exhibiting a C4/CMS4 signature and that high PRNP expression is associated with a poor prognosis. By combining in silico analyses and cell-based assays, we provide evidence that PrPC controls the expression of a panel of genes that specify the C4/CMS4 phenotype and that PrPC operates through an activation of the YAP/TAZ and the TGFß pathways. Finally, we found that plasma levels of PrPC predict disease control in metastatic CRC.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Reagents

All tissue culture reagents were from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA). SB431542, Verteporfin, 5-Fluorouracil and mouse monoclonal antibody against α-tubulin were from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Polyclonal rabbit antibodies against phospho-YAP (S127) were from Cell Signalling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA). Mouse monoclonal antibodies against PrPC (Sha31) were from SPI-Bio (Montigny Le Bretonneux, France). Mouse monoclonal antibodies against YAP were from Santa Cruz (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Recombinant TGFß1 was from R&D systems (Minneapolis, MN, USA). ELISA for soluble TGFß1 was from Biolegend (San Diego, CA, USA).

2.2. Cell culture

The human CRC MDST8 and LoVo cell lines were purchased from Sigma. MDST8 cells were grown in DMEM with 10% fetal bovine serum. LoVo cells were grown in F-12 k medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. All cell lines were grown at 37 °C and 5% CO2 in a humidified incubator and regularly tested for mycoplasma contamination. For transient siRNA-mediated silencing, cells were transfected with siRNA sequences (30 nM) using the Lipofectamine 2000 reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen). Specific siRNA sequences used were: 5’-CAGUACAGCAACAGAACATCT-3’ (sense siPRNP), 5’-AAGCAUGACGAAACACACTT-3’ (sense scramble), 5’-GCACCAACGUAAGAAAGATT-3’ (sense siYAP), 5’-CAGCCAAACUCGUGAUAAGATT-3’ (sense siTAZ) and 5’-AGAUAACCCACCCCGUAATTG-3’ (sense scramble). Cellular mRNAs or protein extracts were collected 72 h after PrPC silencing or 48 h after YAP and/or TAZ silencing. For PrPC overexpression, LoVo cells were transfected with 2.5 μg of the pcDNA3-prnp plasmid that expresses mouse Prnp (kind gift of Pr. Sylvain Lehmann) using the Lipofectamine 2000 reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen). The corresponding empty vector was used as control. Mouse Prnp was chosen instead of human PRNP for biosafety considerations. Due to high conservation, PrPC from different species can functionally substitute for each other [11].

2.3. Preparation of protein extracts and western blot analyses

Cells were washed in PBS and incubated for 30 min at 4 °C in NaDOC lysis buffer [50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4)/150 mM NaCl/5 mM EDTA/0.5% Triton X-100/0.5% sodium deoxycholate] and a mixture of phosphatase (Thermo-Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and protease (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) inhibitors. Extracts were centrifuged at 14,000 xg for 15 min. Protein concentrations in the supernatant were measured by using the bicinchoninic acid method (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA). Protein extracts (15 μg) were resolved by 4–12% SDS-PAGE (Invitrogen) and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (iBlot, Invitrogen). Membranes were blocked with SEABLOCK blocking buffer (Thermo-Scientific) for 1 h at room temperature and then incubated overnight at 4 °C with primary antibody. Bound antibody was revealed by infrared detection using a secondary antibody coupled to IRDye fluorophores (Li-Cor).
biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA). Western blot read out was performed with the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (Li-Cor biosciences).

2.4. Isolation of total RNA and RT-PCR analysis

RNA was isolated by using the RNeasy extraction kit (Qiagen, Limburg, Netherlands), as recommended by the manufacturer’s instructions. For reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analysis, first-strand cDNA was synthesized with oligo(dT) primer and random 5mers, using the High-capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Real-time PCR was performed using Absolute QPCR SYBR Green ROX Mix (Thermo-Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) on a ABI PRISM 7900HT (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies Corporation, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Real-time PCR analyzes was performed with the SDS software 2.3 (Applied biosystems). Primers used for the PCR reactions are shown on Table S1. Results are expressed as a relative quantification of a target gene transcript normalized to the RPL13A housekeeping gene using the ΔΔCt method.

2.5. Cell proliferation and viability assays

Cells were seeded in 96-well plates and transfected with siRNA against PRNP 24 h post seeding. After another 24 h, culture medium was replaced by fresh medium containing siRNA and 5-FU dissolved in DMSO at the indicated concentrations. After 48 h of incubation, 20 μL of MTS (Lonza, Allendale, NJ, USA) was added in 100 μL of culture medium and incubated for 1 h in 37 °C 5% incubators protected from light. Fluorescence intensity was measured with a plate reader (Infinite-M200, Tecan). Background fluorescence was subtracted from each data point and cell viability at each concentration was expressed as a fraction of untreated (DMSO) control wells. IC50 was defined as the concentration where 50% of the maximum effect was reached.

For cell counting experiments, cells (2 x 10^5) were seeded in 6-well plates and transfected with siRNA against PRNP 24 h post seeding. After another 24 h, culture medium was replaced by fresh medium containing siRNA and 5-FU dissolved in DMSO at 200 μM. After 48 h of incubation, cells from three wells per group were harvested and total cell numbers (cells/well) were determined using a CASY.TT cell counter (Schärfe System GmbH, Reutlingen, Germany). Cells transfected with control siRNA and treated with vehicle (DMSO) were used as reference for normalization.

2.6. Gene expression analyses

All data analysed were retrieved from the following public sources: GSE39582 (“CIT cohort”), GSE13294, GSE18088, GSE14333, GSE13067, GSE17536, GSE17537, GSE3113, GSE26682, GSE5851, TCGA, altogether forming the “validation cohort”. Subtype classification systems assignments were performed using original published predictor methods as described in [3,7]. To generate Kaplan-Meier plots, samples were grouped according to PRNP gene expression with a threshold corresponding to the 90th percentile of non-tumours samples. Kaplan-Meier plots were then generated for the respective groups using the “survival” (Cancer Genome Atlas Network, 2012) R package.

2.7. Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)

GSEA [12] was performed on the following data sets: TCGA colon [13] and GSE39582 [3] for patients and GSE59857 [14] for cell lines. The GSEA was performed using the Broad Institute platform (http://www.broadinstitute.org/gsea/index.jsp; Version 2.0.14), using the PRNP gene as a phenotype.

2.8. Collection of blood samples and analysis of plasma PrfF

Plasma samples were collected within the PRODIGE9 trial, a randomized phase III trial comparing the impact of Bevacizumab maintenance versus no treatment during chemotherapy-free intervals in metastatic colorectal cancer [15]. As no difference was observed in experimental and control arm for disease control duration, progression free survival and overall survival, we assume to pool the patients from both arms in the present analysis. Among the 488 patients assigned within the trial, blood samples were obtained for 325 patients before the start of treatment (see baseline patient characteristics in Table S2). Collection of material was approved by the Ethics Committee for the Protection of Persons Ile de France VIII. The patients, for which informed written consent had been obtained, and methods for the PRODIGE9 trial have been described previously (trial registration number NCT00952029) [16]. Blood samples of 32 age-matched healthy individuals without any indication of malignancy were collected as a control group. All plasma samples were frozen at −70 °C until analysis. The levels of PrfF were quantified in plasma by DELFIA as in [17]. Experiments were all carried out under blinded conditions.

2.9. Statistical analysis

The results are reported as the means ± standard errors of the means (s.e.m.). The unpaired Student’s t-test or the ANOVA variance analysis with Tukey post hoc test were used for group comparisons. Survival curves were obtained using Kaplan-Meier estimates and differences between groups of patients were assessed using the log-rank test for univariate analyses or Cox models for multivariate analyses. A p-value < .05 was considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. PrfF expression is enriched in mesenchymal colorectal tumours and is associated with poor prognosis

In order to assess expression of the PrfF-encoding gene PRNP according to colon cancer diversity, we exploited transcriptome analysis data of a large cohort of patients (n = 566) with stage I to IV CRC (CIT cohort), which allowed us to define a molecular classification into 6 subtypes (C1 to C6) [3]. Our dataset was further included in the international CRC Subtyping Consortium study that produced a consensus classification (CMS1 to CMS4) [7]. As a validation cohort, we combined several public CRC datasets, altogether reaching n = 1682 patients, among which n = 1029 and n = 1022 could be ascribed a “Marisa” and a consensus classification subtype, respectively. When examining the distribution of PRNP expression levels according to the two classification subtypes, PRNP mRNA levels were significantly elevated in the C4 (“Marisa” classification) (Fig. 1a and b) and CMS4 (consensus classification) (Fig. 1c and d) subgroups, respectively. Beyond our own study and that of the CRC subtyping consortium, several other groups have proposed diverse CRC classifications, which differ according to the number of subtypes and gene classifiers [1–6]. Whatever the classification system used, PRNP levels were significantly higher in the worse-prognosis subgroup (Fig. S1). In line with this, samples with high PRNP levels were associated with a worse outcome in terms of overall survival (Fig. 1e) and relapse-free survival (Fig. 1f) in the CIT cohort. Thus, we may conclude that PRNP expression is elevated in the C4/CMS4 subgroup of CRC and is associated with poor prognosis.

3.2. PrfF contributes to the mesenchymal stem-like signature of colorectal cancer

Since PrfF is found at the cell surface molecule and can instruct cell signalling events [10], we wondered whether it would control the expression of genes that specify the C4/CMS4 phenotype of CRC. First,
when examining the genes most correlated with PRNP expression in 3 different CRC studies, namely The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) [13] and the study by Marisa [3] for patients and the study by Medico [14] carried out on a panel of CRC cell lines, we found significant correlation indexes between the levels of PRNP and those of 8 out of 10 genes that define the C4 centroid (Fig. 2a), supporting the link between PrP^C and the C4 subtype. Next, we selected a set of genes for their high correlation with PRNP levels in the Marisa [3] and/or the Medico [14] studies and for their selective up-regulation in the C4 subgroup [18] (Fig. 2b). Regarding the CMS classification, we based our selection on the recently introduced “CMScaller” classifier that provides a list of genes that specify each subtype [19], some of which overlap with the C4-enriched PrP^C-associated genes (Fig. 2c). All these genes exhibited high correlation indexes with PRNP expression in CRC studies (Fig. 2d). Interestingly, in TCGA samples, COL6A1, which belongs to the CMS4 classifier, represents the 3rd protein whose level is most correlated with PRNP mRNA levels (Pearson’s correlation coefficient R = 0.33, p < .00001).

To evaluate whether PrP^C would control the expression of C4/CMS4 genes, we assessed the impact of PrP^C silencing in the MDST8 colon cancer cell line, which expresses high level of PrP^C both at the mRNA [14] and protein level [20] and displays a C4/CMS4 phenotype (Table S3 and [19]). We found that PrP^C depletion in MDST8 cells promoted significant reductions in the levels of the selected transcripts (Fig. 2e). In a complementary approach, we examined the impact of PrP^C overexpression in a non-C4/CMS4 cell line. We selected LoVo cells, which belong to the C2 (Table S3) and CMS1 subgroups [19], and exhibit <15% of the PrP^C level found in MDST8 cells according to the CRC proteomics panel [20]. We found that, in a time-window ranging from 3 to 5 days post-transfection, the levels of the C4/CMS4 genes tested were significantly increased in PrP^C-overexpressing LoVo cells, except for ITGA5, DDR2 and ITGB8, which were significantly decreased (Fig. 2f).

Finally, because one hallmark of CMS4 cell lines is their increased resistance to 5-FU [19], we probed a link between PrP^C expression and resistance to 5-FU. When examining the relative sensitivity of a panel of CRC cell lines [21] as a function of PRNP gene expression [14] or PrP^C protein expression [20], we found that the group of 5-FU resistant cell lines was associated with significantly higher expression of PrP^C, both at the mRNA and protein levels (Fig. S2a and b). Cell viability assays further revealed that siRNA-mediated PrP^C depletion in MDST8 cells reduced the IC_{50} for 5-FU (48 h) from 340 μM to 265 μM (Fig. S2c). Accordingly, cell counting indicated that the decrease in cell number (65%) and cell viability (19%) monitored in MDST8 cells exposed to 5-FU was amplified upon siRNA-mediated depletion of PrP^C (78% and 28% reductions for cell number and viability, respectively) (Fig. S2d). Overall, these data indicate that PrP^C controls molecular features specific to the C4/CMS4 subtype in colon cancer cell lines.

### 3.3. The PrP^C-YAP/TAZ axis contributes to the mesenchymal stem-like signature of colon cancer

In a next step, we sought to delineate the molecular cascade through which PrP^C controls the expression of C4/CMS4 genes. We conducted gene set enrichment analyses (GSEA) in order to determine the pathways enriched among the genes most correlated with PRNP gene expression. We found that the expression of PRNP was highly associated with the “YAP conserved signature” and the “YAP-up” signature in the TCGA colon, Marisa and Medico studies (Fig. 3a and b). This indicates that the genes most correlated with PRNP gene expression are enriched in genes associated with the activation of YAP/TAZ. YAP and its paralogue TAZ are two effectors of the Hippo pathway that shuttle between the cytoplasm and the nucleus where they bind transcription factors and modulate their activity [22]. Phosphorylation of YAP/TAZ is associated with their cytoplasmatic retention and/or degradation whereas their nuclear translocation allows the activation of a YAP/TAZ-dependent transcriptional program [22]. Interestingly, YAP and TAZ proteins are selectively elevated in the CMS4 subgroup [7]. In further support for a link between PrP^C and YAP/TAZ, we observed that, in TCGA samples, YAP and TAZ feature among the top-10 proteins whose levels are significantly correlated with PRNP mRNA levels (Fig. 3c). In addition, the PRNP expression levels in CRC studies correlated with those of YAP1 and WWTR1, encoding TAZ, as well as their target genes CYR61, CTGF and AXL (Fig. 3d and e). Finally, we found that most of the C4/CMS4 genes under the control of PrP^C are either YAP/TAZ occupied genes [23,24] or positively regulated by YAP [25] (Fig. 3a).

In MDST8 cells, PrP^C depletion promoted a significant reduction (31%) in the levels of TAZ, and an increase in the ratio phosphorylated YAP to total YAP in the absence of PrP^C (Fig. 3f). We further observed decreased expression of a set of YAP/TAZ target genes in PrP^C-silenced MDST8 cells (Fig. 3g). We confirmed that these genes are indeed YAP/TAZ targets in this particular cell context since their levels are all importantly reduced upon inhibition of the YAP/TAZ-TEAD transcription complex by Verteporfin or upon YAP and/or TAZ silencing (Fig. S3b and c). Finally, we found that YAP or TAZ silencing promoted a significant down-regulation of most of the PrP^C-regulated, C4/CMS4-associated genes (Fig. 3h). These data thus delineate a PrP^C-YAP/TAZ axis in CRC and point to YAP/TAZ as a relay of the PrP^C-dependent control on C4/CMS4 genes.

### 3.4. TGFβ signalling contributes to the PrP^C-dependent action in C4/CMS4 colon cancer cells

We next sought to probe a potential link between PrP^C and EMT and the TGFβ axis since they are two major pathways that distinguish the C4 and CMS4 subgroups in CRC [3,7], including in pre-clinical models, i.e. in the absence of stroma [19]. We first observed that EMT and the TGFβ signalling pathway feature among those that are associated with PRNP gene expression in the TCGA, Marisa and Medico studies (Fig. 4a and b). PrP^C silencing in MDST8 cells further promoted a reduction in the levels of TGFβ1 mRNAs as well as soluble TGFβ1 (Fig. 4c). To the opposite, we monitored progressive increases in the level of soluble TGFβ1 in Prnp-overexpressing LoVo cells, reaching 277% of control 5 days post-transfection (Fig. 4d). In order to assess the relative contribution of TGFβ signalling on the PrP^C-dependent action in C4/CMS4 colon cancer cells, we examined the impact of biochemical blockade of the pathway using the TGF-βRI inhibitor SB431542. We first monitored a reduction in TGFβ1 transcripts in MDST8 cells exposed to SB431542 (Fig. S4a), in line with TGFβ1 being a target of its own pathway [18] and thereby confirming the efficacy of TGFβ pathway blockade. In addition, SB431542 promoted a mild but significant decrease in PRNP mRNAs (Fig. S4a). This observation recalls the induction of PRNP in response to TGFβ in endothelial cells reported by Calon et al. [18]. Next, we reasoned that if the reduction of soluble TGFβ1 in the supernatant of PrP^C-silenced cells contributes to the decrease in the levels of C4/CMS4 genes, then those alterations should be rescued after TGFβ1 addition. We thus assessed the outcome of exogenous addition of TGFβ1 in MDST8 cells, with or without prior silencing of PrP^C. In accordance with the data obtained with SB431542, MDST8 cells exposed to TGFβ1 exhibited increased levels of TGFβ1 and PRNP mRNAs (Fig. S4b). As for the PrP^C-C4/CMS4 axis, we observed decreases in FRMD6, PDGFC, ITAG5, ITGB5, AXL, CDH2, COL6A1, ZEB1 and CTGF after TGF-βRI inhibition (Fig. 4e). Consistently, the impact of TGFβ1 addition on genes of the PrP^C-C4/CMS4 axis was also globally the opposite of that of SB431542 (Fig. 4f). Notably, exogenous TGFβ1 rescued the expression of FRMD6, PDGFC, ITAG5, ITGB5, AXL, CDH2, COL6A1, ZEB1 and CTGF in PRNP-silenced MDST8 cells. As a whole, our data argue that TGFβ1 represents one of the effectors of PrP^C that contribute to its action in the control of C4/CMS4 genes.
PRNP expression is elevated in C4/CMS4 CRC and is associated with poor prognosis. (a-d) Relative PRNP gene expression in patients from the CIT cohort (a,c) or the validation cohort (b,d) within the 6 molecular subgroups of the “Marisa classification” (a,b) or the 4 molecular subgroups of the consensus classification (c,d). NT: non-tumours controls. p < .0001 for C4 or CMS4 versus all other subtypes in (a,c). p < .01 for CMS4 versus CMS1, p < .005 for C4 versus C2, C5 and C6 and p < .0001 for C4 or CMS4 versus all other subtypes in (b,d). (e-f) Kaplan-Meier overall survival (e) and relapse-free survival (f) according to high and low PRNP gene expression was determined in the CIT cohort.
3.5. PrPC is elevated in metastatic CRC patients’ plasma and is associated with worse disease control

Having established an association between PrPC and the poor-prognosis mesenchymal subtype of CRC, we proceeded to evaluate PrPC as a non-invasive biomarker for CRC, since PrPC exists as a soluble protein [10]. We selected patients with metastatic CRC from the PRODIGE9 trial [15] whose plasma was available at time of enrolment (Table S2). Although the n = 325 out of 488 patients with blood samples were slightly under-represented for WHO performance status ≥2 and high Köhne criteria, they were representative of the cohort in
terms of age, gender, and primary tumour location (Table S4). Patients with metastatic CRC (mCRC) had significantly higher levels of plasma PrPC than age-matched controls (12.48 ± 9.58 vs. 6.41 ± 1.63 ng/mL, \( p < 0.0005 \)), with values ranging from 4.40 to 90.40 ng/mL (Fig. 5a). We went on to analyse the association of PrPC levels with clinical parameters (Table 1). Patients were dichotomized using the first tertile value of PrPC as threshold in plasmas (8.7 ng/mL). No correlation was found between PrPC levels and gender, age, WHO performance status, Köhne...
criteria, number of metastatic sites or primary tumour location (Table 1). However, in multivariate analysis, high PrP levels associated with poor disease control, which is the primary endpoint of the PRODIGE9 study [16] (HR = 1.53, 95%CI = 1.07 to 2.18, p < .05, Fig. 5b and c). A tendency towards poor overall survival and progression-free survival was also observed, although not reaching
significance (Fig. 5b and Fig. S5a and b). Since high Köhne criteria is associated with poor prognosis ([26] and (Fig. S6a)), we restricted our analyses on patients with low and medium Köhne criteria, who have similar disease control (Fig. S6a). We confirmed the significant worse outcome of high PrPC levels in terms of disease control (HR = 1.64, 95%CI = 1.10 to 2.46, \(p < .05\), Fig. 5d) and the trend towards poorer OS and PFS (Fig. S6b and c). Overall, these data suggest that high PrPC levels predict disease control in metastatic CRC.

4. Discussion

The present work introduces PrPC as a candidate driver of the C4/CMS4 phenotype in CRC and a potential prognostic biomarker for disease control in mCRC. While considerable attention has been paid to PrP for its involvement in neurodegeneration [9], still little is known on its contribution to tumour progression. PrPC overexpression has been reported in several types of cancer, including breast, colon, gastric and pancreatic cancer [27]. A consensus view is that increased levels of PrPC endow cells with proliferative [28–30], migratory and invasive capacities [31–33], as well as with increased resistance to anti-cancer agents [34,35]. Very recently, secreted PrPC was shown to bind doxorubicin and to correlate with anthracycline resistance in breast cancer [36]. Few studies have also uncovered a link between PrPC and CSC (reviewed in [8]). Despite this overall advance, a comprehensive view of the molecular pathways through which PrPC takes part to these cellular processes is still lacking.

One possible obstacle in the quest for a better understanding of the contribution of PrPC to cancer progression may be tumour heterogeneity. Indeed, in the past few years, it has become clear that many cancers, including CRC, can exhibit diverse molecular landscapes [37]. Mining the distribution of PRNP expression across the diverse subtypes allowed us to uncover a specific up-regulation of the expression of this gene in the C4 [3] or CMS4 [7] subtypes, both characterized by poor prognoses. In line with this, we found that high PRNP mRNA levels are associated with significantly reduced overall survival and relapse-free survival.

The other specific features of the C4/CMS4 related subtypes include CSC and EMT hallmarks, enrichment for the TGFß pathway, and increased resistance to 5-FU treatment [3,7,19]. Importantly, our in vitro data obtained with the C4/CMS4 MDST8 cell line reveal that PrPC silencing attenuates these overall characteristics, arguing that PrPC may represent one of the protagonists that drive the C4/CMS4 phenotype. Conversely, PrPC overexpression in the C2/CMS1 LoVo cell line promotes an increase in soluble TGFß1 and exacerbates the expression of a set of C4/CMS4 genes. From a mechanistic point of view, we identify a PrPC-YAP/TAZ axis, which controls the expression of C4/CMS4 genes. Several studies have exemplified an involvement of YAP/TAZ in colon cancer

![Fig. 5. PrPC is elevated in the plasma of metastatic CRC patients and is associated with poor disease control. (a) Plasma levels of PrPC measured in n = 32 healthy subjects and n = 325 patients with mCRC. (b) Summary of multivariate analyses of PrPC high vs. low samples for disease control (DC), progression-free survival (PFS), overall survival (OS) for all patients tested (n = 325). Dichotomization of patients by PrPC was at 8.7 ng/mL. Analyses were adjusted on gender, Köhne criteria and primary tumour location. (c-d) Kaplan-Meier disease control probability according to PrPC plasma levels in all patients tested (n = 325) (c) or in patients with low or intermediate Köhne criteria (n = 277) (d).](image-url)
and depicted correlations between elevated YAP or TAZ levels and poor prognoses in CRC patients (reviewed in [38,39]). However, despite the significant enrichment of YAP and TAZ proteins in the CMS4 subgroup [7], this is, to our knowledge, the first demonstration that YAP/TAZ can take part to the specification of the C4/CMS4 subtype. As a whole, by uncovering a link between PrP\textsuperscript{C}, YAP/TAZ and the TGF\textbeta{} pathway, our work provides a molecular scenario through which PrP\textsuperscript{C} would sustain the emergence and/or maintenance of the C4/CMS4 phenotype in CRC.

Another important contribution of our study is the demonstration that plasma levels of PrP\textsuperscript{C} can discriminate patients with poor disease control in metastatic CRC. These findings are consistent with the view that elevated PrP\textsuperscript{C}, as found in C4/CMS4 tumours, would favour metastatic dissemination and/or progression. Although one limitation of our results is the exploratory, retrospective nature of the analysis, they are strengthened by the large size of the cohort, long-term follow-up data and the multi-centric collection of samples. We suggest that plasma PrP\textsuperscript{C} measurement may notably help the prognostication of disease control in patients with low or intermediate Köhne criteria, i.e. those with best outcome among metastatic CRC patients.

As a conclusion, our findings warrant further investigating whether PrP\textsuperscript{C} could constitute a relevant target for the treatment of mesenchymal-like CRC as well as a potential marker for patient stratification in CRC.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.07.036.
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Table 1

Patient characteristics from PRODIGE 9 study according to plasma PrP\textsuperscript{C} levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low PrP\textsuperscript{C}</th>
<th>High PrP\textsuperscript{C}</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>p = .748\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Range</td>
<td>65.38</td>
<td>64.23</td>
<td>65.28</td>
<td>p = .688\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT resected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>p = .127\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>134</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO performance status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>p = .148\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>148</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Köhne criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>p = .942\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>151</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>p = .864\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>192</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>p = .452\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment during chemotherapy-free interval</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bevacizumab maintenance</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>p = .781\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PT: primary tumour, PTL: primary tumour location.
\textsuperscript{a} Chi-square test.
\textsuperscript{b} Wilcoxon test.


