

Systematic and locomotor diversification of the Adapis group (Primates, Adapiformes) in the late Eocene of the Quercy (Southwest France), revealed by humeral remains

Judit Marigó, Nicole Verrière, Marc Godinot

▶ To cite this version:

Judit Marigó, Nicole Verrière, Marc Godinot. Systematic and locomotor diversification of the Adapis group (Primates, Adapiformes) in the late Eocene of the Quercy (Southwest France), revealed by humeral remains. Journal of Human Evolution, 2019, 126, pp.71-90. 10.1016/j.jhevol.2018.10.009. hal-02307508

HAL Id: hal-02307508 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-02307508

Submitted on 7 Oct 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Systematic and locomotor diversification of the Adapis group (Primates,
2	Adapiformes) in the late Eocene of the Quercy (Southwest France) revealed by
3	humeral remains
4	
5	Judit Marigó ^{a,b,*} , Nicole Verrière ^a , Marc Godinot ^{a,c}
6	
7	^a Centre de Recherches sur la Paléobiodiversité et les Paléoenvironnements (CR2P,
8	UMR 7207), Sorbonne Universités (MNHN, CNRS, UMPC-Paris6), Muséum National
9	d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France
10	^b Institut Català de Paleontologia Miquel Crusafont, Universitat Autònoma de
11	Barcelona, Cerdanyola del Vallès, Barcelona, Spain
12	^c Ecole Pratique des Hautes Etudes, PSL, Paris, France
13	
14	* Corresponding author
15	E-mail address: judit.marigo@icp.cat (J. Marigó)
16	Keywords: <i>Adapis</i> ; humeri; Quercy; locomotion; active arboreal quadrupedalism;
17	climbing adaptations
18	
19	Abstract
20	Twenty humeral specimens from the old and new Quercy collections attributed to the
21	fossil primates Adapis and Palaeolemur are described and analysed together. We

- 1 provide a qualitative and quantitative analysis of the different humeri, revealing that
- 2 high variability is present within the "Adapis group" sample. Six different morphotypes
- are identified, confirming that what has often been called "Adapis parisiensis" is a mix
- 4 of different species that present different locomotor adaptations. Such a relatively high
- 5 level of locomotor diversity is unique in the Paleogene primate fossil record.
- 6 The humeral proportions of *Adapis* overlap with different groups of extant strepsirrhines
- 7 and platyrrhines depending on the specimen, so the popular view of *Adapis* as a loris-
- 8 like slow climbing primate does not apply to the whole sample presented here.
- 9 Moreover, different humeral features traditionally associated with "Adapis parisiensis",
- such as the absence of a zona conoidea and a reduced brachioradialis flange, are
- variable depending on the sample studied. In addition, results of our analyses show that
- adapine and omomyid humeral morphology overlap extensively, leading us to question
- the accuracy of taxonomic attributions based on morphology of isolated humeri at
- localities where omomyids and adaptines of similar size coexist.
- 15 Finally, assuming our different morphotypes represent different species within two
- genera, we propose a phylogenetic hypothesis relating these morphotypes, which
- inhabited a small geographic area.

Introduction

- 19 Dental, cranial, and postcranial remains of the Eocene European primate Adapis were
- 20 found at the end of the nineteenth century, during the exploitation of phosphatic
- 21 deposits in the Quercy region, south-west France. It was evident to early researchers
- 22 that several species were represented in these "old Quercy collections", represented by
- 23 material found in hundreds of fissure-fillings spread in the region (now dated from the
- 24 Middle Eocene to the late Oligocene). The old Quercy collections lack the information

- of provenance of the fossils, and they represent numerous faunas coming from hundreds
- of fissures (Legendre et al., 1997). However, since 1965, different teams from Paris,
- 3 Poitiers and Montpellier have organized field campaigns in the area and discovered new
- 4 fossils in well-identified karstic fillings, which are called "new Quercy collections".
- 5 Among them are the localities of Rosières 2 and Escamps, which have yielded *Adapis*
- 6 material. The numerous new faunas accumulated in the Quercy have allowed many
- 7 systematic revisions of vertebrates. Among them, micromammals, especially the
- 8 abundant rodents, led researchers to propose a series of evolving specific lineages which
- 9 contributed to the elaboration of a regional biochronological scale. This work was used
- 10 for the elaboration of the European biochronological scale based on mammals, in which
- 11 Escamps is the reference fauna of the reference level MP 19. The distinction of several
- levels (MP 17 20) in what were earlier considered Ludian faunas (Ludian is the latest
- Eocene stage in the Paris Basin regional stratigraphy) was adopted following earlier
- work on *Palaeotherium* lineages and the new studies of micromammals (Legendre,
- 15 1987). Reference faunas were chosen for their richness in both large and
- micromammals. Complete faunal lists of all known Quercy localities were given in
- 17 Rémy et al. (1987). Rosières 1 to 4 were associated with four other localities as being
- close to Escamps. Continuous work on Quercy faunas led to the introduction of a new
- reference level MP 17b between the former MP 17a and MP 18 (BiochroM'97, 1997).
- The use of numerical techniques underlined the proximity of MP 19 and MP 20, the last
- 21 Eocene level preceding the Grande Coupure (Terminal Eocene Event or TEE), and
- suggested a probable small difference in age between Escamps and the slightly older
- Rosières 2 (Escarguel et al., 1997). Since then, the revision of Quercy bats added to the
- 24 arguments differentiating MP 18 and MP 19 (likely lineage Cuvierimops parisiensis
- intermedius C. p. parisiensis), and also confirmed the identity of bats of several loci

- within Escamps, which is a large fissure (Maitre, 2014). Updated faunal lists for
- 2 micromammals, with detailed references to all the original studies, are given by Sigé
- and Hugueney (2006), comments on large mammals are given by Rémy and Sudre
- 4 (2006), and analyses of community structure and dynamics are given by Escarguel and
- 5 Legendre (2006). The progressive addition of new faunas, new systematic studies, and
- 6 technical advances, means that Rosières 2 now appears close in age to Escamps,
- 7 possibly slightly older, and both are placed in the latest Eocene, not far from the TEE.
- 8 Adapis species, too often considered as one species "Adapis parisiensis", are adapiform
- 9 primates known from both cranial and postcranial remains (e.g., Delfortrie, 1873;
- 10 Filhol, 1882, 1883; Gregory, 1920; Gingerich, 1981; Gingerich and Martin, 1981;
- Dagosto, 1983; Godinot, 1991; Bacon and Godinot, 1998; Godinot and Couette, 2008).
- 12 Stehlin (1912) added two species to the earlier named A. parisiensis and Palaeolemur
- betillei, as a result of his study of well-preserved crania. Large-sized adaptines have been
- recently revised (Godinot and Couette, 2008), however the systematics of smaller-sized
- adapines still needs clarification. At least four species can be distinguished among this
- group (Lanèque, 1992, 1993; Godinot, 1998) based on cranio-dental remains from the
- old Quercy collections. It has proven difficult until now to integrate this systematic
- arrangement with the discoveries made more recently in well-identified localities
- because the latter are represented by fragmentary remains (no crania found so far) and
- adapine dentitions are quite uniform in morphology (Godinot, 1998, pers. obs.).
- In the work that follows, the group of species of close size and morphology will be
- referred to informally as the "Adapis group". Postcranials have been ascribed to the
- 23 Adapis group since Filhol (1883) and Gregory (1920). More material coming from the
- old collections was studied by Szalay and Dagosto (1980) and Dagosto (1983). This
- 25 material is often referred to "A. parisiensis", however such a referral is misleading,

- because there are many species represented in the *Adapis* group (Lanèque, 1992, 1993;
- 2 Godinot, 1998) and no one knows if the species A. parisiensis, described in the Paris
- 3 Basin, was really present in the Quercy or not. Adapis has traditionally been interpreted
- 4 as an arboreal quadruped with slow climbing adaptations and not a frequent leaper,
- 5 based on noted postcranial similarities to lorises. This loris-like slow climbing mode of
- 6 locomotion for *Adapis* was proposed by Dagosto (1983) due to several features of the
- 7 humerus such as the rounded humeral head that faces posteriorly, projecting proximal to
- 8 the tuberosities, the broad and shallow bicipital groove, the thick deltopectoral crest, the
- 9 well-marked and distally extensive tuberosity of teres major, the very large
- brachioradialis flange extending proximally on the shaft, the absence of a distinct ridge
- on the lateral side of the trochlea and the absence of a distinct groove between the
- trochlea and the capitulum. Some of these features were also noted by Filhol (1882,
- 13 1883) as well as by Gregory (1920). However, some authors have suggested a more
- "monkey-like" (i.e., pronograde arboreal quadruped) mode of locomotion for it
- 15 (Godinot and Jouffroy, 1984; Godinot, 1991; Bacon and Godinot, 1998).
- Postcranials from both old and new Quercy collections have been attributed to *Adapis*.
- 17 When the new collections were recovered, researchers preserved information on the
- local fissure for each bone. Furthermore, they processed sediments using screen-
- washing techniques, yielding more small postcranials than in the nineteenth century
- 20 collections. Thanks to this, Godinot (1991) could compare closely the morphology of
- 21 two *Adapis* species coming from two distinct localities. One species from Rosières 2
- appeared more quadrupedal and fast-moving, and the species from Escamps had traits
- that suggested adaptations to climbing. A later study of femora and tibiae from both old
- and new Quercy collections revealed that five different morphotypes could be
- 25 distinguished among the *Adapis* group femora, two of them being present at Rosières 2,

- suggesting that an episode of locomotor diversification probably occurred in the *Adapis*
- 2 group (Bacon and Godinot, 1998). Field work in the Quercy continues, as well as
- 3 identification of specimens in the old collections, which are present in many
- 4 institutions.
- 5 Further study of the dental material from Rosières 2 indicated that at least two species
- of Adapis were present in that locality, confirming the interpretation of the femora, and
- 7 providing a new perspective on the high morphological variability found earlier,
- 8 especially of the cuboid facet of *Adapis* calcanei of Rosières 2 and Escamps (Godinot,
- 9 1991). It also explained the variability in the humeri found in the same locality.
- Here we present the study of several already-known and as yet undescribed humeral
- specimens attributed to *Adapis* from old Quercy collections stored in several museums,
- as well as specimens from new Quercy collections with a precise locality of origin. As
- stated above, some *Adapis* humeri have been previously described and figured by Filhol
- 14 (1883), Gregory (1920), Szalay and Dagosto (1980), Dagosto (1983) and Godinot
- 15 (1991), but the whole sample has never been studied together. Only three complete
- humeri, one proximal end and seven distal ends were available to Dagosto (1983), five
- more distal ends were added by Godinot (1991), whereas now the total sample is up to
- 18 20 fossil remains.
- 19 Prosimian humeri of similar age are not very common in the fossil record. Concerning
- adapiforms, the only humeri known besides those of *Adapis* are those of *Notharctus*
- 21 (Gregory, 1920), Leptadapis (Szalay and Dagosto, 1980), Europolemur (Franzen,
- 22 1987), Protoadapis (Godinot, 1994), Pronycticebus (Thalmann, 1994), Cantius (Gebo,
- 23 1987), Adapoides (Gebo et al., 2008), Smilodectes (Szalay and Dagosto, 1980),
- 24 Darwinius (Franzen et al., 2009), and asiadapids (Rose et al., 2009; Dunn et al., 2016).

- 1 Regarding omomyiforms, those of *Shoshonius* (Dagosto et al., 1999), *Necrolemur* and
- 2 Microchoerus (Dagosto, 1993), Omomys (Anemone and Covert, 2000), Hemiacodon
- 3 (Dagosto, 1993) and Absarokius (Covert and Hamrick, 1993) are known. Moreover,
- 4 early anthropoids of late Eocene age are also known from Egypt (Seiffert et al., 2000).
- 5 The primate humeri attributed to *Adapis* in the Quercy collections show a great
- 6 morphological and probably also taxonomic diversity. We hypothesize that these
- 7 primate humeri display morphological variability that is too great for a single species.
- 8 Even though it is not yet possible to make clear systematic attributions, we describe
- 9 them here as if they belonged to a series of morphotypes and discuss their possible
- 10 phylogenetic relationships. Regardless of the number of species, we test the hypothesis
- that a loris-like slow-climbing morphology best fits these bones by analyzing
- measurements of features known to provide information on locomotor behavior. Such
- analysis could decipher the locomotor signal present in these humeri and tangentially
- support the suggestion of multiple species if variation in the fossil sample overlaps that
- of more than one locomotor group.

Material and methods

17 Studied sample

- A total of 20 primate humeri have been identified from the old (10 specimens) and new
- 19 (another 10 specimens) Quercy collections. The latter set comes from the localities of
- 20 Rosières 2 and Escamps (Figs. 1-6). Three are complete. Six are almost complete, only
- 21 lacking the humeral head and/or a small part of the distal epiphysis. Three are proximal
- 22 parts. Eight of them are distal parts. A complete list of all the specimens included in this
- paper can be found in Table 1.

- 1 These bones are housed in different institutions: American Museum of Natural History
- 2 (AMNH, New York, United Stated of America), Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle Victor
- 3 Brun (MHNVB, Montauban, France), Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle (MNHN,
- 4 Paris, France), Université de Montpellier, Institut des Sciences de l'Évolution-
- 5 Montpellier (ISE-M, Montpellier, France), Aix-Marseille Université, Faculté des
- 6 Sciences Saint-Charles (FSM, Marseille, France), and the private collection of D.
- 7 Vidalenc (Vi, presently loaned to the MNHN in Paris, this collection will remain
- 8 available for study).
- 9 3D surface reconstructions
- Most of the specimens studied herein were scanned from the original fossil using a
- Breuckmann 3D surface scanner available at the "Plateau de morphométrie Outils et
- 12 Méthodes de la Systématique Intégrative, OMSI UMS 2700 CNRS MNHN" of the
- Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle (MNHN, Paris) with a medium lens. This surface
- scanner allows the acquisition of the 3D surface of the bone at high resolution using
- white light fringes (StereoSCAN^{3D} model with a camera resolution of five megapixels).
- The specimens Ma-PhQ-330, Ma-PhQ-332, NMB-QW-1481 and AMNH-FM-10018
- were scanned with the same scanner but this time using high quality casts instead of the
- originals. Ma-PhQ-331 and Ma-PhQ-333 were not scanned but measured and
- 19 photographed at the MNHN in Paris.
- 20 All 3D surface reconstructions are available in the online repositories MorphoSource
- 21 (www.morphosource.org) and/or MorphoMuseuM (www.morphomuseum.com; Marigó
- et al., 2018). See Table 2 for information about 3D surface reconstructions, accessibility
- 23 and citation instructions.
- 24 Nomenclature and measurements

- 1 The anatomical terms used in the descriptions are illustrated in Figure 7. The
- 2 measurements taken are illustrated in Figure 8. Measurements were taken on the actual
- 3 bone when possible, using a digital caliper Electro DH (model 60.205), with a
- 4 resolution of 0.01 mm. When not possible, they were taken on the 3D reconstruction
- 5 using the 2D and 3D tools of the program Avizo (Visualization Sciences Group, 2009).
- 6 All measurements and indices taken can be found in Table 3. Measurements follow
- Ford (1988), Szalay and Dagosto (1980) and Schmitt (1996). Indices of the proximal
- 8 ends follow Schmitt (1996), and those of the distal ends follow Szalay and Dagosto
- 9 (1980) and Boyer et al. (2010).
- 10 Statistical analyses and descriptive indices and plots
- Univariate plots of proximal articular surfaces of humeri of extant and extinct primates
- have been performed and are presented in Figure 9 (following Schmitt, 1996). Schmitt
- 13 (1996) calculated different indices that reflect locomotor behavior among living
- strepsirrhines taking into account different measurements of the humeral head, and
- found two that help distinguish between arboreal quadrupeds (AQ) and vertical clingers
- and leapers (VCL): (1) the index of distal mediolateral width divided by central
- proximodistal length of the humeral head (Fig. 8, 9A), and (2) the index of the height of
- the humeral head derived from the distal mediolateral width divided by the distal
- mediolateral width (Fig. 8, 9B).
- 20 The Adapis group sample is quite homogeneous regarding humeral head measurements,
- but it presents a high variation in some measurements of the distal articulation (see
- Table 3), which suggests that we may be dealing with more than one species in our
- sample. In order to refute the hypothesis that our entire fossil sample can be treated as a
- single population, we have performed a series of Levene's tests (Levene, 1960) on all

- 1 measurements of distal articulations in order to compare variances of two samples at a
- 2 time. In fact, we have compared the variance present in the *Adapis* group to the variance
- 3 in different species of living primates of similar size (Saimiri sciureus, Hapalemur
- 4 griseus and Perodicticus potto) as well as fossil primate specimens belonging to
- 5 different genera present in the Indian fossil site of Vastan Mine, the adapiform
- 6 Marcgodinotius indicus, the omomyiforms Vastanomys gracilis and V. major, as well as
- 7 at least one other unidentified euprimate from the same site. We collected data on seven
- 8 S. sciureus, 11 H. griseus, five P. potto, two M. indicus, one V. gracilis, one V. major
- 9 and four unidentified Vastan euprimate remains (see below for information on
- 10 comparative sample specimens). All Levene's tests have been performed using Excel,
- calculating the mean of each of the groups (the *Adapis* group and the comparison
- group), and then calculating the absolute value of the difference between each of the
- original values and the mean value for the group. After these data transformations, a
- one-way ANOVA has been performed, since its result is equivalent to the result of a
- 15 Levene's test.
- Regarding the distal end of the humerus, Szalay and Dagosto (1980) found the
- 17 following two indices to be most informative in distinguishing locomotor behavior: the
- trochlear articular index (TAI) and trochlear height-width index (THWI). These indices
- 19 (see results for *Adapis* in Table 3) have been traditionally used to distinguish between
- AQ and VCL. We have calculated them for all *Adapis* specimens and compared them to
- 21 other primate taxa (see below for information on comparative sample specimens).
- 22 Because distal articulation indices were also found to be not very informative (see
- 23 Results section), we have performed principal components analyses (PCA) of four distal
- humeral measurements: trochlear width (TW), maximum trochlear height (TH),
- 25 capitulum width (CW) and entepicondylar width (EEC). These results are presented in

- Figure 10. See Figure 8 for information on how measurements were taken. Following
- 2 Boyer et al. (2010), measurements were size-standardized using geometric means, then
- 3 transformed into natural logarithms, and compared among taxa using PCA of the
- 4 Euclidean distance matrix relating the specimens using the software PAST (Hammer et
- 5 al., 2001).
- 6 For a complete list of taxa used as comparative samples for all statistical analyses,
- 7 indices and plots see Table 4.

Results

- 9 Analyses of variance (Levene's tests)
- 10 The *Adapis* group sample is quite homogeneous regarding humeral head measurements
- 11 (see Table 3), but it shows a significantly higher variance than any of the extant and
- fossil species to which it has been compared in many measurements of the distal
- articulation. Indeed, the presence and width of the zona conoidea shows significant (p-
- value < 0.05) results when compared to S. sciureus, H. griseus and P. potto. Concerning
- trochlear height, its variance in the *Adapis* group is also statistically different from that
- of S. sciureus and H. griseus, and the total length of the humerus measured from below
- the humeral head also significantly varies from that of *H. griseus* (see Table 5).
- 18 Moreover, indices concerning the distal articulation also show significantly greater
- variance than in extant species. For instance, the TAI is significantly greater than in S.
- 20 sciureus, and the THWI index is significantly greater than in H. griseus. Indeed, the
- 21 extant specimens employed for the Levene's tests come from different institutions (see
- Table 4), were collected in different locations and years, and they probably represent
- 23 different populations of the same species. Thus, significant results provide even stronger
- support to the conclusion that the *Adapis* group specimens studied herein represent

- 1 multiple species. When the same data were compared to the fossil primates recovered
- 2 from Vastan Mine (India), belonging to at least two different genera and at least four
- 3 different species, and attributed to adapiforms and omomyids, the results are also
- 4 striking. We found that trochlear height and width of the zona conoidea were
- significantly more variable in the *Adapis* group specimens than in the Vastan primates.
- 6 Summary of the main features of each morphotype
- 7 Due to the different morphological features found, we grouped the different specimens
- 8 into six morphotypes. A list of the different morphotypes and specimens included in
- 9 each one is given in Table 1. A complete table listing all features and specimens can be
- 10 found in SOM 1. Complete descriptions and comparisons of all the *Adapis* group
- 11 humeri studied in this paper arranged depending on morphotype attribution can be
- found in SOM 2. For anatomical terms used see Figure 7.
- Morphotype 1 specimens are characterized by being large and gracile, with a small
- tubercle of teres major and a shallow bicipital groove, a laterally flaring brachioradialis
- 15 flange (except for specimen NMB-QW-1481, which has a straight brachioradialis
- 16 flange). Moreover, morphotype 1 specimens present a deep radial fossa and lack a zona
- 17 conoidea. Size and morphological differences between the complete humerus ISE-M-
- 18 ROS-2-95 and NMB-QW-1481 suggest that we place NMB-QW-1481 as aff. sp. 1.
- Morphotype 2 specimens are medium to large with a medium robustness. They mainly
- 20 have, as all other specimens in this study do, a greater tuberosity below the level of the
- 21 humeral head, except for specimen Ma-PhQ-332 (Adapis cf. sp. 2), which has a greater
- tuberosity that surpasses the humeral head slightly. They present a small tubercle of
- 23 teres major and a shallow bicipital groove, a straight or slightly concave brachioradialis

- 1 flange, a deep radial fossa (except for specimen Ma-PhQ-332, which is allocated to
- 2 Adapis cf. sp. 2), and present a zona conoidea.
- 3 Morphotype 3 specimens are medium to large in size and medium in robustness, and do
- 4 not have a prominent tubercle of teres major. They present a continuous crest between
- 5 the latter and the lesser tuberosity, and a deep bicipital groove. At the distal end,
- 6 morphotype 3 specimens present a straight brachioradialis flange and a deep radial fossa
- 7 (except for specimen FSM-PQ-1744, attributed to Adapis aff. sp. 3), and no zona
- 8 conoidea. Moreover, specimen MNHN-Qu-16583 presents the most rounded capitulum
- 9 and is attributed to *Adapis* cf. sp. 3).
- 10 The only morphotype 4 specimen is characterized by being small and robust, with a
- prominent tubercle of teres major and a continuous crest present between the latter
- tubercle and the lesser tuberosity, as well as a deep bicipital groove. At the distal end, it
- presents a laterally flaring brachioradialis flange.
- 14 The only morphotype 5 specimen is very small and medium in robustness, with a
- prominent tubercle of teres major but no crest connecting it with the lesser tuberosity,
- and the shallowest bicipital groove of the whole sample studied herein. It is also
- characterized by a straight (or even slightly concave) brachioradialis flange, a shallow
- 18 radial fossa and the lack of a zona conoidea.
- 19 Morphotype 6 specimens are large or medium-large in size and medium to gracile in
- 20 robustness. The prominence of their tubercle of teres major varies, but they all present a
- 21 continuous crest between that tubercle and the lesser tuberosity. Their bicipital grooves
- are all medium to deep. Their distal ends present a laterally flaring brachioradialis
- flange, a shallow radial fossa and the presence of a zona conoidea or at least a lateral lip
- 24 marking the lateral end of the trochlea.

1 Proximal articulation indices The indices found by Schmitt (1996) to reflect locomotor 2 behavior among living strepsirrhines, taking into account different measurements of the humeral head, have been calculated for those Adapis specimens that preserve their 3 4 proximal epiphyses (Table 3, Fig. 9). See discussion of Figure 9 below with reference to abbreviations for behavioral categories. We can see that in both graphs (Fig. 9) Adapis 5 6 specimens overlap with different species of arboreal quadrupeds (AQ) and vertical 7 clingers and leapers (VCL), although we can see some variation in the different 8 specimens. The mean of all Adapis specimens for the index of distal mediolateral width divided by central proximodistal length of the humeral head (Fig. 9A) is equal to that of 9 10 the specimen MNHN-Qu-16584. However, the mean of all Adapis specimens for the 11 index of height of the humeral head derived from the distal mediolateral width divided 12 by the distal mediolateral width (Fig. 9B) does not overlap with any of the specimens 13 available. Figure 9A shows how VCLs have a narrower articular surface on the distal third of the humeral head than AQs. Some Adapis specimens are closer to the VCL 14 15 means in this plot, suggesting a narrowing on the distal third of the humeral head in 16 these specimens. However, the specimen ISE-M-ROS-2-80 is closer to the AQ, 17 suggesting a wider distal third of the humeral head in this specimen. The range of 18 Adapis in this case is similar to those of Notharctus and Smilodectes, and mean and 19 standard deviation in the Adapis sample are below those of Notharctus and Smilodectes, and further below those of *Cantius*. If we were interpreting these numbers in 20 21 comparison with the living strepsirrhine AQs and VCLs, we would have to conclude 22 that species of the Adapis group were more specialized leapers than notharctines, in 23 complete opposition with limb proportions or distal femur morphology, which clearly 24 show the absence of leaping specialization in the Adapis group (Dagosto, 1983). To 25 increase our understanding of these ratios, we calculated them in platyrrhines showing

- different kinds of locomotor adaptations, and also in some lorisids (Fig. 9). Few
- 2 differences appear among the platyrrhines, and in fact the most contrasted results are
- 3 between Adapis species and lorisids. It seems that this ratio conveys a strong
- 4 phylogenetic signal, and is difficult to simply interpret in terms of locomotion. In fact,
- 5 the range of *Adapis* specimens overlaps with all locomotor categories in Figure 9A.
- 6 This strengthens the assertion that this index (ML/PD values) is minimally useful in
- 7 distinguishing between locomotor groups.
- 8 The other ratio quantified by Schmitt (1996) among living strepsirrhines (Fig. 9B)
- 9 shows that VCLs present a higher distal third of the humeral head compared to AQs.
- 10 Adapis in this case falls in between AQ and VCL, and its mean is very close to that of
- 11 Hapalemur griseus. The most "quadrupedal" specimens of Adapis are ISE-M-ROS-2-
- 12 80 and ISE-M-ROS-2-95, which present a lower distal third of the humeral articular
- surface than the rest of the specimens. If we compared this index directly between
- 14 Adapis and notharctines, it would suggest that Adapis species had less leaping
- tendencies than *Notharctus* and most *Smilodectes*, but still clearly more leaping
- propensities than *Cantius* species, which is likely not the case. Taking into account our
- broader sample of living taxa, we can see that all platyrrhines present a quite high distal
- third of the humeral head (higher than in most VCLs), and much higher than
- strepsirrhine AQs. We see more variation in this index within platyrrhines, with *Ateles*,
- 20 Pithecia, Aotus and Saimiri presenting higher distal thirds of the humeral head than
- 21 Cebus and particularly Alouatta. Adapis mainly overlaps with Alouatta in this regard,
- and this might be a real functional signal, as *Adapis* has been interpreted as a relatively
- slow moving primate (Dagosto, 1983). It might well have had a deliberate kind of
- quadrupedalism, as is typical of *Alouatta*. Here again, a noticeable fact is that *Adapis*
- 25 species appear far away from living lorisids, suggesting that the interpretation of them

- as slow climbers (Dagosto, 1983) has to be corrected in favor of a less specialized kind
- of quadrupedalism (Godinot, 1991). However, these conclusions have to be considered
- 3 provisional, as it is again clear that this ratio also includes a strong phylogenetic signal.
- 4 It is in fact remarkable how different strepsirrhine AQs are from platyrrhine AQs,
- 5 suggesting that platyrrhines do not share the same adaptations as strepsirrhines
- 6 concerning humeral head shape associated with locomotor behavior, or that it is
- 7 inappropriate (from a mechanical and behavioral perspective) to consider strepsirrhine
- 8 AQs as equivalent to platyrrhines. That is, they inhabit very different environments that
- 9 likely put different locomotor pressures on them. This same pattern has also been seen
- in other bones such as the calcaneus and the femur (Bacon and Godinot, 1998).
- 11 <u>Distal articulation indices</u> The trochlear articular index (TAI) is generally high in
- arboreal quadrupeds (AQs) and low in vertical clingers and leapers (VCLs). Contrarily,
- the trochlear height-width index (THWI) is usually high in VCLs and low in AQs
- 14 (Szalay and Dagosto, 1980). The results for different *Adapis* humeri when these indices
- are calculated for each of them are listed in Table 3, together with the definition of these
- indices. The values that represent the different specimens are strikingly different (see
- 17 Table 3 and SOM 3).
- 18 The TAI indicates the relative portion of the articular width occupied by the trochlea in
- 19 anterior view. Most of the Adapis specimens studied here are close to the 40% that
- 20 Szalay and Dagosto (1980) calculated for adapiforms. However, the specimen FSM-
- 21 PQ-1744 falls far above the 40%, indicating the presence of a proportionally wider
- trochlea, which makes it fall closer to microchoerine omomyids, and also close to
- 23 Eulemur mongoz and Lemur catta. We must note that the indices provided by Szalay
- and Dagosto (1980) are not exactly the same as the ones we obtained for the same
- 25 specimens, NMB-QW-1481 and AMNH-FM-10018 (specimen that the former authors

- 1 mistakenly called AMNH-FM-81001). Szalay and Dagosto (1980) mentioned in their
- work the difficulty of replicating accurately some of these measurements.
- 3 The THWI indicates the relationship between the height and width of the anterior side
- 4 of the trochlea. In extant quadrupedal primates, the trochlea is wider than high as in
- 5 most Adapis specimens (index less than 100). Hovewer, extant VCL taxa present an
- 6 index higher than 100 because of the secondarily shortened trochlea and/or the increase
- of joint surface area on the anterior side of the humerus (Szalay and Dagosto, 1980).
- 8 Only one of the specimens studied presents an index much higher than the other
- 9 specimens and closer to 100 (Vi-Esc--839). This specimen presents an anterior side of
- the trochlea that is closer in height to the capitulum, whereas for the rest of the Adapis
- group sample studied here the trochlea is always much lower than the capitulum in
- height. The only other specimen from the same site (ISE-M-ECA-1364) is missing the
- capitulum, but the trochlea, even if not as high as in Vi-Esc--839, still appears higher
- than in other *Adapis* group specimens. The variability found in the *Adapis* group for this
- index clearly surpasses that found in extant *Hapalemur griseus* (see Table 5). Moreover,
- taking into account that trochlear height is one of the variables for which we also
- obtained significant results of extremely high variance in the *Adapis* group, this
- supports the idea that these specimens probably represent a different species (our
- 19 morphotype 3).
- 20 In addition, if we look at the values obtained for the different morphotypes, they vary
- 21 within each morphotype. Within morphotype 3, FSM-PQ-1744 has peculiar values.
- However the other two, Vi-Esc-839 and MNHN-Qu-16583 have values similar to those
- of other morphotypes. Ma-PhQ-330 is peculiar in the other direction, however the other
- specimen from morphotype 6, MNHN-Qu-16584, again has values similar to those of
- other morphotypes. The group from Rosières 2 + NMB-QW-1481 (morphotypes 1 and

- 1 2) might seem more homogeneous, however in fact Ma-PhQ-332, placed with the
- 2 morphotype 2 from Rosières 2, again has different values. It appears that the variation
- 3 within morphotypes is relatively large, and that the values from the different
- 4 morphotypes largely overlap with each other. In sum, the numbers found for the indices
- 5 TAI and RTWI (Relative trochlear width index, see Table 3) do not allow the
- 6 differentiation, morphological or functional, of morphotypes. However, the generally
- 7 low THWI indices in the Adapis group are opposite to the high values of VCLs and
- 8 especially slow climbers (see values in SOM 3), very probably giving them a real
- 9 functional signal of broadly arboreal quadruped types of locomotion.
- 10 Principal componentsaAnalysis
- 11 The results of the PCA of some distal humeral measurements can be found in Figure 10.
- There is no overlap of the *Adapis* fossils with Plesiadapidae, Lorisidae and Tarsiidae
- 13 (Fig. 10A). In Figure 10B we show the results of the same analysis only including fossil
- forms as well as extant strepsirrhines and tarsiers. However, we can see that the results
- from both analyses are not very different in terms of overlapping morphospace.
- PC1 is mainly driven by increasing entepicondylar width (EEC), and PC2 is mainly
- driven by increasing trochlear height (TH) and decreasing trochlear width (TW). In both
- plots, a number of *Adapis* group specimens overlap with omomyids and especially
- microchoerines, and, depending on the specimen, overlap with extant strepsirrhines
- and/or platyrrhines. The large area covered by the *Adapis* group is again a testimony of
- 21 its unusual morphological diversity when compared to extant groups.
- 22 Of the 15 Adapis group specimens available, seven clearly overlap with proportions
- circumscribed by omomyids and none of them overlaps with plesiadapiforms.

- 1 Moreover, there is no overlap between *Adapis* group specimens and lorisids whatsoever
- 2 regarding distal humeral morphospace (Figure 10A and 10B)
- 3 Esc 839 overlaps with the morphospace of Pitheciinae, but it does not overlap with any
- 4 extant strepsirrhine group, FSM-PQ-1744 overlaps with Galagidae, NMB-QW-1481
- 5 overlaps with Callitrichinae and Galagidae, and Ma-PhQ-330, MNHN-Qu-16584, ISE-
- 6 M-ROS-2-95 and MNHN-Qu-16583 overlap with Omomyidae. Specimen Vi-Ros-640
- 7 and Ma-PhQ-332 do not overlap with any other group but they fall very close to
- 8 Omomyidae, Galagidae and Callitrichinae.
- 9 Vastan primates have also been included in the PCA, as well as the fossils *Smilodectes*
- and *Notharctus* (Figure 10A and 10B). *Smilodectes* overlaps only with Atelinae, and
- also falls close to some Indriidae. *Notharctus* specimens, on the other hand, fall closer
- in morphospace to Plesiadapidae than to the *Adapis* group. Specimen AMNH-FM-
- 13 127167 does not overlap with any fossil or extant group, and specimen USNM 21864
- overlaps with both Omomyidae and Plesiadapidae (Figure 10A and 10B).
- 15 Regarding Vastan primates, at least four different species have been identified (see Rose
- et al., 2009 and Dunn et al., 2016). One form, attributed to an unknown euprimate, is
- 17 represented here by specimens GU 713, GU 765 and GU 9005. The first specimen falls
- very close to the morphospace occupied by Lorisidae, and very close to *Hapalemur*
- 19 simus as well, far from the Adapis morphospace, the second one overlaps with the
- 20 common morphospace of omomyids and *Plesiadapis* (overlapping with microchoerine
- omomyid number 4 [M4 in Figure 10B]), and the latter falls in between the
- 22 morphospace occupied by *Notharctus* and *Smilodectes* but closer to the latter, and also
- closer to Plesiadapidae and Tarsiidae than to Lorisidae. Another form, attributed to the
- 24 adapiform *Marcgodinotius indicus*, is represented by specimens GU 763 and GU 812.
- 25 The former overlaps with Galagidae in morphospace, and the latter overlaps with

- 1 Atelinae and falls in between the morphospace occupied by Plesiadapidae and
- 2 Tarsiidae. On the other hand, the specimens attributed to the omomyid *Vastanomys* (GU
- 3 764 and GU 1692) overlap with Omomyidae, but both fall very close to the
- 4 morphospace occupied by the *Adapis* group, the former also falling very close to
- 5 Lepilemur mustelinus, and the latter specimen (V. major) also falling very close to the
- 6 Adapis group specimen MNHN-Qu-16583 (morphotype 3).
- 7 To summarize, Adapis group specimens show a great variability concerning distal
- 8 epiphyseal measurements, overlapping with many primate groups, including
- 9 platyrrhines. Some specimens overlap mostly with omomyids, again suggesting that
- differences between adaptforms and omomyiforms are not so clear regarding distal
- 11 humeral proportions.

Discussion

- 13 Number of species
- 14 From the morphological variation present in the *Adapis* humeri studied in this work, we
- 15 have concluded that six different morphotypes, probably corresponding to at least six
- different species, are present. A summary of the different morphotypes observed and the
- specimens attributed to each one is presented in Table 1. In SOM 1, we provide a
- summary of the different features observed in every specimen studied, while complete
- descriptions can be found in SOM 2.
- 20 As seen in the statistical analyses used, some features of the distal articulations of the
- 21 Adapis group present higher variance than in extant species of similar size, as well as
- 22 the same variance as adapiforms and omomyids found in the same fossil site (Vastan
- 23 Mine) in India (Table 5). The differences in length and overall proportions of the
- 24 different humeri, the width (presence or absence) of the zona conoidea and trochlear

- 1 height in the sample studied confirm that more than one species (and probably more
- than one genus) is present in our sample.
- 3 Functional morphology and locomotor behavior
- 4 The humeral shafts of all the *Adapis* group humeri are retroflexed, with the humeral
- 5 heads more posterior than the shaft and the humeral heads are flattened posteriorly, as
- 6 happens in generalized arboreal quadrupedal primates (Gebo, 2014). The greater
- tubercle is generally slightly lower than the humeral head except for one specimen (Ma-
- 8 PhQ-332), which presents a greater tubercle slightly above the humeral head, which
- 9 happens in lemurs, most platyrrhines and some colobines (Gebo, 2014). In this regard,
- the Adapis group humeri appear similar to living active arboreal quadrupeds, which
- present humeral tuberosities of roughly the same height as the humeral head (Jolly,
- 12 1967; Gebo, 1988; Rose, 1988; Harrison, 1989; Kay, 2005).
- As previously discussed, all the humeral heads in the sample present similar proportions
- of the whole head and the articular surface area, with all specimens having similar
- length and width measurements of the head, and all specimens presenting a slightly
- longer than wide humeral head. Ma-PhQ-332 (the specimen with the highest greater
- tuberosity) is also the specimen with the longest humeral head relative to width. Even
- though no significant features are found regarding the proximal articulation that could
- indicate important differences in locomotor behavior, our measurements indicate a
- 20 generalized type of locomotion, with no specific specialization for vertical clinging or
- leaping or slow climbing (Figures 6A and 6B). In fact, the humeral heads of the *Adapis*
- 22 group specimens do not present the strikingly rounded humeral head of lorisids (see
- 23 Figure 11).

- 1 On the contrary, the distal ends of the humeri of the *Adapis* group specimens studied
- 2 herein present significant differences depending on the different morphotypes discussed,
- 3 especially in some features such as the development of the brachioradialis flange, the
- 4 height of the trochlea, and the presence of a zona conoidea.
- 5 The striking variability we find in the development of the brachioradialis flange of the
- 6 different Adapis specimens could indicate differences in locomotor behavior (Fabre et
- al., 2017). Adaptforms usually present an extensive development of the brachioradialis
- 8 flange (Gregory, 1920; Dagosto, 1983; Gebo, 2014), which has been interpreted as
- 9 being an indicator of better-developed arm muscles when compared to those of extant
- strepsirrhines. The rounded brachioradialis flange offers a large attachment surface for
- the muscles brachioradialis, brachialis and triceps brachii (Jouffroy, 1962). Thus, we
- could hypothesize that those *Adapis* presenting more laterally expanded brachioradialis
- 13 flanges must possess larger flexion and extension muscles in the elbow joint, resulting
- in more powerful flexion and extension of the forearm. The triceps muscle (extensor of
- the elbow joint) is essential for climbing on highly inclined supports, and brachialis and
- brachioradialis muscles are the main flexors of the forearm and used in fast flexions of
- the forearm, as well as slow flexions against resistance, no matter the position of the
- hand (Basmajian and Latif, 1957). This could indicate that those specimens presenting
- 19 larger brachioradialis flanges (especially morphotypes 4 and 6) present some vertical
- 20 climbing component in their locomotion.
- 21 However, even though having those more powerful muscles should indicate changes in
- locomotion, we find massive brachioradialis flanges in extant taxa that have very
- 23 different locomotor repertoires such as *Daubentonia*, *Microcebus* and *Lepilemur*, and
- 24 narrow and straight flanges in indriids as well as *Loris* and *Nycticebus*, though lorisids
- such as *Perodicticus* present an intermediate flange development (see Figure 11) closer

- to Varecia or Lemur. The effects of having larger, smaller, straighter or more curved
- 2 brachioradialis flanges are not clear and should be further explored. A well developed
- 3 brachioradialis flange, however, is also present in plesiadapiforms, omomyids (see
- 4 Figure 11) and microchoerids (Szalay and Dagosto, 1980). This broad distribution has
- 5 led to the idea that a large crest is primitive for primates. Since a flexed position of the
- 6 forelimb is primitive for mammals (Jenkins, 1971), this flange tends to decrease in
- 7 development in many primates as the forelimb becomes less habitually flexed. A long
- 8 brachioradialis flange is no doubt linked to a position with flexed limbs during
- 9 locomotion.
- 10 The Adapis specimens described in this work have different degrees of development of
- the brachioradialis flange. However, they never reach the exceptional development
- observed in *Leptadapis* (Szalay and Dagosto, 1980; see Figure 11). A proximally
- extensive brachioradialis flange has been discussed to be more typical of adapoids than
- omomyids (Gregory, 1920; Dagosto, 1983). However, some microchoerines present
- highly developed flanges (Szalay and Dagosto, 1980).
- 16 Traditionally, the humerus of *Adapis* has been considered to present a quite reduced
- 17 brachioradialis flange and no zona conoidea on its distal end, based on a single
- specimen attributed to *A. parisiensis* (Gebo et al., 2007). Szalay and Delson (1979)
- 19 suggested that the development of the brachioradialis flange in *Adapis* was quite small
- and that it approached the reduced condition seen in most platyrrhines. However, we see
- 21 in the sample analyzed here that this is not always the case. We see several specimens
- with relatively large brachioradialis flanges (ISE-M-ROS-2-95, MNHN-ACQ-262,
- 23 MNHN-Qu-16584, Ma-PhQ-331, Ma-PhQ-333, ISE-M-ROS-2-534: morphotypes 1, 2,
- 4 and 6), and we also see specimens that present a clear separation between the trochlea
- and the capitulum (Ma-PhQ-332, Ma-PhQ-330, ISE-M-ROS-2-79, Vi-Ros-640:

- 1 morphotypes 2 and 6). All these specimens do not fall in the "traditional" *Adapis*
- 2 morphotype and in fact show a remarkable diversity of morphologies within the *Adapis*
- 3 group.
- 4 The entepicondylar foramen is a primitive feature found in primitive mammals that
- 5 serves as a passage for the median nerve and the brachial artery when it is present
- 6 (Landry, 1958). It can be found in many fossil primates as well as most living
- 7 prosimians, some platyrrhines, and is exceptionally present in *Homo* (Ankel-Simons,
- 8 2007). Variation in the size and shape of this foramen within the same species is
- 9 sometimes observed (Landry, 1958), however the differences found among Adapis
- specimens are quite large and could well convey a phylogenetic signal: the very large
- entepicondylar foramen of AMNH-FM-10018 could indicate that our morphotype 5 is
- more primitive than the others; and conversely, the very small entepicondylar foramen
- of MNHN-ACQ-262, which is in a state of advanced reduction, may well indicate an
- advanced evolutionary stage for our morphotype 4. ISE-M-ECA-1364 also has a small
- 15 entepicondylar foramen possibly indicating an ongoing reduction of the foramen in the
- 16 Escamps species (morphotype 3).
- Our morphotype 3 specimens also present the tallest trochleas (relative to width).
- Moreover, our Levene's test results support the idea that such differences in trochlear
- 19 height in the different Adapis group specimens is higher than in extant species of similar
- size and higher than those seen in Vastan Mine primates attributed to different genera.
- 21 The same is true in some cases for the THWI index. Specifically, specimen Vi-Esc-839
- presents an anterior side of the trochlea that is closer in height to the capitulum, whereas
- for the rest of the *Adapis* sample studied here the trochlea is always much lower than
- the capitulum in height. The only other specimen from the same site (ISE-M-ECA-
- 25 1364) is missing the capitulum, but the trochlea, even if not as high as in Esc 839, still

- appears higher than in the other *Adapis* specimens. We interpret the high trochlea as a
- 2 derived trait that must have appeared in one Adapis lineage. Smilodectes (an adapiform
- 3 inferred as a VCL) and other VCLs also present particularly high THWI indices,
- 4 however VCL seems extremely unlikely in the Escamps species. Among the tarsals of
- 5 the Escamps *Adapis* described by Godinot (1991), the astragali with a very flat trochlea
- 6 clearly indicate a mobile upper ankle joint, and one tibia and several femora from
- 7 Escamps studied by Bacon and Godinot (1998) also reflect a high mobility at the level
- 8 of hip, knee and ankle joints. In this context, the high THWI index of these specimens is
- 9 to be compared to the high index of lorisids, indicating the frequent use of highly flexed
- 10 forearms in the context of a climbing adaptation.
- Our results for distal articulation indices present values for *Adapis* group specimens that
- are closer to those obtained for omomyids than for lorises. This suggests that these
- values are indicating a generalized quadrupedalism behavior, which contrasts not only
- with VCL but also with the locomotion of living lorisids. Moreover, no specimens of
- the Adapis group present the relative increase in size of the capitulum typical of lorisids
- 16 (Gebo et al., 2007; Figure 11).
- 17 The high variability shown in these indices in the different *Adapis* group specimens
- present within the sample studied here strongly agree with the idea that several species
- must be represented within this sample, or even several genera, if we take into account
- 20 that no differences in variance were found between the specimens attributed to the
- 21 Adapis group and Vastan Mine primates which belong to at least four species of both
- adapiforms and omomyids. From the study of femora and tibiae, Bacon and Godinot
- 23 (1998) distinguished five locomotor types probably reflecting at least five species, and
- suggested that probably two genera (Adapis and Palaeolemur) were present. From the
- 25 humeri, we can see even more diversity, with six morphotypes, and our morphotype 6

- being quite heterogeneous and probably representing more than one species. Despite
- 2 this variability, the relatively low THWI found for most of the *Adapis* group specimens
- 3 makes them similar to living arboreal quadrupeds routinely using moderately flexed
- 4 forearms, and emphatically different from VCL and slow climbers which frequently
- 5 strongly flex their forearms.
- 6 The three specimens that have both the proximal articular surface and the distal articular
- 7 surface preserved (MNHN-Qu-16584, ISE-M-ROS-2-95 and Ma-PhQ-332), present
- 8 similar proportions of the humeral head, presenting a similar width of the distal third of
- 9 the humeral head (Figure 9A). The heights of the distal third of the humeral head are
- different, but all are within the range of *Hapalemur griseus* (Figure 9B), ISE-M-ROS-2-
- 95 being the specimen with the lowest distal third of the humeral head, and MNHN-Qu-
- 12 16584 one of the *Adapis* specimens with the highest distal third of the humeral head.
- 13 Ma-PhQ-332 is very close to the mean for *Adapis*. These results could indicate that
- MNHN-Qu-16584 is a little more leaping specialized than the rest of the specimens
- represented by proximal articular surfaces, since a higher head has been associated with
- a stronger leaping component (Schmitt, 1996). However, VCLs also present a narrow
- 17 head and this specimen does not have the narrowest humeral head of the whole Adapis
- sample (see Figure 9).
- 19 Regarding distal articulation proportions, our PCA results show that two out of these
- three specimens (MNHN-Qu-16584 and Ma-PhQ-332) overlap with omomyids (Fig.
- 21 10), suggesting that omomyids and adapiforms were not very different in distal humeral
- proportions. Moreover, our results of the Levene's tests comparing the *Adapis* group
- 23 with Vastan Mine primates also confirm this hypothesis. On the other hand, Ma-PhQ-
- 24 332 falls very close to *Otolemur crassicaudatus*. The morphospace occupied by the
- 25 Callitrichinae regarding distal humeral proportions falls right in the middle of the

- 1 Adapis morphospace. This could suggest that some galagids as well as some
- 2 platyrrhines might be a better model for understanding distal humeral morphology of
- 3 the extinct *Adapis* rather than lorisids.
- 4 In summary, our PCA results again make it evident that distal humeral proportions of
- 5 strepsirrhines and haplorhines had many things in common in early forms, and that
- 6 telling them apart is not as easy as previously thought. In any case our study has two
- 7 clear conclusions. First it confirms the extraordinary morphological diversity found
- 8 within the *Adapis* group. Second, despite the partial overlap of the group with many
- 9 strepsirrhines and omomyids, the absence of overlap with lorisids is important,
- suggesting that species of the Adapis group may not have shared the specializations of
- 11 living lorisids.
- 12 Hypotheses of phylogenetic relationships and functional interpretations
- 13 Before exploring the possible phylogenetic relationships of the different morphotypes, it
- is important to recall what the general "Adapis pattern" may be. Earlier studies found
- that these species have no characters recalling living VCLs (Dagosto, 1983; Godinot,
- 16 1991; Bacon and Godinot, 1998). They have no lengthening of the hindlimb, no leaping
- characters on the distal femur or on the tarsals. This is confirmed by the general
- appearance of their humeri. Placed side to side with a humerus of *Smilodectes* (a
- 19 Paleogene adapiform inferred to be a VCL; see Figure 11) with a similar shaft size, the
- 20 latter appears to have a proportionally smaller, narrower and more anteroposteriorly
- 21 elongated articular head, and also a more proximally salient articular head as in living
- 22 indriids. The general appearance of these *Adapis* humeri confirms that VCL is not
- possible for them. On the other hand, since Dagosta's (1983) study *Adapis* has often
- been compared with lorisids, however all indices, proportions, qualitative characters

- and, especially, multivariate analyses of these humeri have shown that they are
- 2 distinctly different from our sample of lorisids. The latter have, for example, a strongly
- 3 proximally salient articular head, linked to their high shoulder mobility, which is not
- 4 ever present in the *Adapis* group. Species of *Adapis* pertain to a broad category of
- 5 arboreal quadrupeds, some of which may have had relatively deliberate kinds of
- 6 locomotion, but none of which shared the articular specializations linked to the cryptic
- 7 adaptation of living slow climbers (Godinot and Jouffroy, 1984; Godinot, 1991; in
- 8 partial accordance with Dagosto, 1983).
- 9 If we try to make sense of the differences found between the different morphotypes, one
- group is clearly separated from all the others, the group uniting morphotypes 3 and 5.
- 11 The humeri of this group differ by a shorter proximal part: whereas in all the others, the
- deltopectoral crest extends around half way distally along the shaft, MNHN-Qu-16583
- and AMNH-FM-10018 have a much shorter deltopectoral crest, giving them a very
- different profile (Figs. 3 and 5 respectively). Associated with this is a straighter shaft,
- 15 less anteroposteriorly narrow, and the proximal surface for the unfused articular head
- suggests that the latter was less posteriorly directed than in the other morphotypes.
- 17 Distally, the brachioradialis flange is straight, the medial epicondyle is much less salient
- both medially and distally than in the other morphotypes, and they possess the most
- 19 spherical and salient capitulum. Such marked differences suggest that these two
- 20 morphotypes had a general increased agility in comparison with all the others.
- 21 Morphotype 3 includes the two Escamps specimens, with Vi-Esc-839 which appeared
- 22 quite specialized on the PCA diagram. Morphotype 3 was found to present the most
- 23 features associated with climbing among the five morphotypes, which from the
- 24 morphology of femora and tibiae were delineated in a spectrum from more quadrupedal
- to more climbing forms (Bacon and Godinot, 1998). Concerning tarsals, the astragali

- and calcanei from Escamps also indicated a much more mobile foot than in the species
- 2 from Rosières 2, again suggesting a great agility in climbing in this species (Godinot,
- 3 1991). The two localities Rosières 2 and Escamps are considered to be latest Eocene,
- 4 just prior to adapid extinction in Europe, and their different species indicate a diversity
- of at least three subcontemporaneous species. The species from Escamps, morphotype
- 6 3, appears to be the most specialized in terms of agility and climbing among the whole
- 7 Adapis group. The species from Escamps may be close to Palaeolemur betillei from the
- 8 old Quercy collections (Bacon and Godinot, 1998), however this attribution must await
- 9 confirmation from further dental studies. Given the biochronological context,
- morphotype 5 is very probably older, and in fact it appears as a likely ancestral form for
- morphotype 3, with its smaller size and larger entepicondylar foramen. Other small
- differences between them are less easy to interpret, AMNH-FM-10018 having a more
- proximally located teres major tubercle, distally a deeper trochlear concavity (ulna
- better stabilized on the humerus). The shallower olecranon fossa in AMNH-FM-10018,
- reflecting less forearm extension in this ancestral form, would fit with the hypothesis of
- an increase in the capacity of forearm extension in the lineage, consistent with the
- extremes of hindlimb mobility found in the Escamps species.
- 18 The other four morphotypes generally show more anteroposteriorly compressed shafts,
- more posteriorly inclined proximal articular heads, and a combination of more extensive
- 20 muscular insertions (longer deltopectoral crests and often more salient brachioradialis
- 21 flanges), more salient medial epicondyles and somewhat less mobile elbows. They
- 22 would indicate more generalist and powerful active arboreal quadrupeds. Among them,
- 23 morphotypes 1 and 2, present at Rosières 2 and sharing several characters, may
- represent the most quadrupedal end of the spectrum, as was found by Bacon and
- 25 Godinot (1998) when studying hindlimb bones, which are easier to interpret

1 functionally. Their brachioradialis flanges are less extended than in morphotype 6. The 2 capitulum is slightly keeled anteroposteriorly on Vi-Ros-640 and ISE-M-ROS-2-79, which may reflect predominantly anteroposterior movements. Several of these humeri 3 4 show the best developed dorsoepitrochlear fossae, indicating that the elbows were not only less mobile in pronation-supination (less spherical capitulum) but also tightly 5 6 maintained by strong ligaments. These morphotypes seem to be interpretable as active, 7 possibly rapidly moving, arboreal quadrupeds. They seem to indicate a lineage (with 8 two species at Rosières 2) with increased quadrupedal specialization at the end of the Eocene. Were they walking and running, maybe more horizontally jumping, on 9 10 branches? A specimen like Ma-PhQ-332 also has a greater tuberosity higher than the 11 articular head and distally the largest dorsoepitrochlear fossa: could a restricted shoulder 12 mobility associated with a ligamentous reinforcement of the elbow suggest a first 13 degree of semi-terrestriality? Interpreting differences between them, probably between different closely related species, would need more abundant and better preserved 14 15 specimens. In any case, these latest Eocene morphotypes together strongly suggest a 16 second line of specialization in the Adapis group, toward more specialized 17 quadrupedalism. 18 Morphotype 6 is a heterogeneous group, representing between two and four different 19 species, that we consider probably primitive for the second group (morphotypes 1, 2, 4 and 6), especially because they have more extended brachioradialis flanges. Ma-PhQ-20 21 333 is the most gracile and has a very large entepicondylar foramen. It could well be the 22 most primitive in this group. Its slightly less distally extended deltopectoral crest recalls the other group (morphotypes 3 and 5) and might suggest either closeness to their 23 24 common origin or an early convergence. It is difficult to comment more on these bones 25 because they are incomplete. Only MNHN-Qu-15584 is complete and seems to belong

- to a powerful arboreal quadruped. Morphotype 4 is a special case, represented by one
- specimen, MNHN-ACQ-262. It belongs to the same large group, however it presents
- 3 some characters shared with morphotypes 1 and 2, and several autapomorphic
- 4 characters, like the prominent deltopectoral crest, the deep olecranon fossa and the
- 5 presence of a crest between the lesser tuberosity and the tubercle of teres major. This
- 6 suggests that it represents a "side-specialization" branched off from the broad line of
- 7 evolution going from the primitive group (morphotype 6) to morphotypes 1 and 2. On
- 8 the whole, we propose a schema of four evolutionary lines, one represented by
- 9 morphotypes 5 to 3, the others branched off from the primitive morphotype 6 and
- 10 having evolved toward morphotype 4 and toward morphotypes 1 and 2.
- 11 The great diversity present in the *Adapis* group poses a problem for the performance of
- phylogenetic analyses of primates containing *Adapis parisiensis* from now on, since
- what has been traditionally called *A. parisiensis* is most probably constituted of
- different species. Common character matrices used for phylogenetic analyses (Seiffert
- 15 et al., 2005, 2009; Marigó et al., 2011, 2013, 2016; Femenias-Gual et al., 2017a,b)
- 16 contain codifications of humeral features such as the brachioradialis flange (usually
- 17 coded as moderate to large for A. parisiensis), the trochlear-capitular junction (usually
- 18 coded as confluent), and the relative width of the capitulum, which may need to be re-
- coded in future analyses in order to include all the character states present in the *Adapis*
- 20 group sample. Indeed, exchanging "Adapis parisiensis" for "Adapis group" would be
- 21 better in future phylogenetic analyses using postcranial characters in order to illustrate
- 22 that A. parisiensis is most probably in this case a compilation of different closely related
- species or even closely related genera. In fact, that several species of *Adapis* are
- recongnized through cranial characters has been known for a long time (Stehlin, 1912;
- 25 Lanèque, 1992, 1993).

Conclusions

1

24

2 The primate humeri attributed to Adapis parisiensis in the Quercy collections show a 3 great morphological diversity, with variance in some features of the distal articulation exceeding that found in living species, confirming the hypothesis that several species 4 5 must be represented within the sample, as has been proposed in previous studies of the 6 femora and tibiae. Even though it is not yet possible to make clear systematic 7 attributions, six different morphotypes are described, probably corresponding to at least 8 six different species. 9 Humeral features previously thought to be not present in Adapis, such as the zona 10 conoidea, are shown to be variable, since they are present in some specimens attributed 11 to the Adapis group. Moreover, this is one of the features that has been shown to have 12 much less variance in extant species. This high morphological variability suggests that 13 locomotor diversification occurred among this group and that different locomotor repertoires were used by different species (or morphotypes). 14 15 Two clear groups can be distinguished in the sample, one including morphotypes 3 and 16 5, and a second one including morphotypes 1, 2, 4 and 6. Regarding the former, 17 morphotype 5 is probably older and it is probably ancestral to morphotype 3, which 18 appears specialized towards agility and climbing adaptations, and may include 19 specimens of the genus *Palaeolemur*. In the latter group, we find more generalist active 20 arboreal quadrupeds. The idea that modern lorisids are analogous to fossil adaptines finds 21 no support from examination of the humerus. Adapis group specimens do not overlap 22 with living lorisids regarding humeral head and distal articulation proportions. Instead, 23 even though some ratios of the humeral head seem to present a strong phylogenetic

signal, our analyses favor the interpretation of a less specialized kind of

- 1 quadrupedalism. Proportions of the distal epiphyses overlap with many primate groups
- 2 including omomyids, as well as extant strepsirrhines and platyrrhines. This suggests that
- 3 telling apart distal humeral remains of strepsirrhines and haplorhines in early forms can
- 4 be problematic. It is possible that the humeral specializations lag behind other regions
- of the skeleton during locomotor differentiation. If so, the slow-climbing hypothesis
- 6 could still potentially be correct. However, this would have to be tested by studies
- 7 comparing the behavioral signal in multiple elements for the same species.

8 Acknowledgements

- 9 We are deeply indebted to the following institutions for letting us study material under
- their care (original or high-quality casts): American Museum of Natural History
- 11 (AMNH, New York, United Stated of America), Naturhistorisches Museum Basel
- 12 (Basel, Switzerland), Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle Victor Brun (MHNVB, Montauban,
- 13 France), Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle (MNHN, Paris, France), Institut des
- Sciences de l'Évolution-Montpellier, Université de Montpellier (ISE-M, Montpellier,
- France) and the private collection of D. Vidalenc (Vi, presently loaned at the MNHN in
- 16 Paris, France).
- 17 We thank J. Cuisin and C. Bens for access to extant primate specimens from the
- collections "Anatomie Comparée" (MNHN). We are also really grateful to Raphaël
- 19 Cornette, Amandine Blin, Alexandra Houssaye and Damien Germain of the "Plateau de
- 20 morphométrie Outils et Méthodes de la Systématique Intégrative, OMSI UMS 2700
- 21 CNRS MNHN" of the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle (MNHN, Paris) for access
- 22 to the surface scanner. We thank Florent Goussard for access to the "Salle d'imagerie
- 23 3D" of the CR2P (MNHN, Paris) and B. Senut for a helpful discussion concerning
- 24 humeral morphology and function in living primates. Judit Marigó thanks Josep Fortuny

- 1 for sharing his caliper during their long stay at the MNHN in Paris, as well as Anne-
- 2 Claire Fabre for help and advice during surface scanning and fruitful discussions about
- 3 primate functional morphology.
- 4 Our most sincere thanks also to Doug M. Boyer, Gabriel S. Yapuncich, Kenneth D.
- 5 Rose and Rachel H. Dunn for providing access to many specimens in Morphosource.
- 6 Doug M. Boyer provided access to data originally appearing in Boyer et al. (2013), the
- 7 collection of which was funded by NSF BCS 1440742 to D.M. Boyer and G.F. Gunnell.
- 8 He also provided access to other data, the collection of which was funded by BCS
- 9 1440558 (to J.I. Bloch), NSF BCS 1558555, and NSF BCS 1552848. Gabriel S.
- 10 Yapuncich provided access to CT scan data in MorphoSource, the collection of which
- was funded by NSF BCS 1540421 to G.S. Yapuncich and D.M. Boyer. Kenneth D.
- Rose and Rachel H. Dunn provided access to MorphoSource data originally appearing
- in Dunn et al. (2016). All MorphoSource files were downloaded from
- www.MorphoSource.org, Duke University. We also thank the following institutions for
- providing access to specimens in Morphosource: AMNH (American Museum of
- Natural History, New York, NY, USA); DLC (Duke Lemur Center, Durham, NC,
- 17 USA); GU (Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand, India); USNM (United States
- National Museum, Smithsonian Institute, Washington, DC).
- 19 We thank Doug M. Boyer for assistance during the upload of *Adapis* group specimens
- to MorphoSource, as well as Renaud Lebrun and Maeva Orliac for help when uploading
- 21 specimens to MorphoMuseuM.
- We also enormously thank the reviewers Erik R. Seiffert, Doug M. Boyer, Rachel H.
- Dunn, and the Editor J. Michael Playcan for their help, their never-ending patience, as
- 24 well as their helpful comments that enormously improved the manuscript.

- This study has been supported by the projects CGL2014-54373-P and CGL2017-82654-
- 2 P (MINECO/FEDER, UE) and by Generalitat de Catalunya (project 2014/100604,
- 3 research groups 2014 SGR 416 GRC and 2017 SGR 86) and the CERCA Programme.
- 4 J. Marigó also wants to thank the Fondation Fyssen for funding, as well as the Spanish
- 5 Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (MINECO) for the "Juan de la Cierva –
- 6 incorporación" fellowship (IJCI-2015-26392), and the Catalan Government (Generalitat
- 7 de Catalunya) for the fellowship included in the "Programa postdoctoral Beatriu de
- 8 Pinós de la Secretaria d'Universitats i Recerca del Departament d'Empresa i
- 9 Coneixement de la Generalitat de Catalunya" (2017 BP 00003).

10 References

- Anemone, R.L., Covert, H.H., 2000. New skeletal remains of *Omomys* (Primates,
- Omomyidae): functional morphology of the hindlimb and locomotor behavior of a
- 13 Middle Eocene primate. Journal of Human Evolution 38, 607-633.
- Ankel-Simons, F., 2007. Primate Anatomy: An Introduction. Academic Press, New
- 15 York.
- Bacon A.M., Godinot, M., 1998. Analyse morphofonctionelle des fémurs et des tibias
- des "Adapis" du Quercy: mise en évidence de cinq types morphologiques. Folia
- 18 Primatologica 69, 1-21.
- 19 Basmajian, J.V., Latif, M.A., 1957. Integrated actions and functions of the chief flexors
- of the elbow. The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery 39A, 1106-1118.
- 21 BiochroM'97, 1997. Syntheses and correlation tables. In: Aguilar, J.-P., Legendre, S.,
- 22 Michaux, J. (Eds.), Actes du Congrès Biochrom'97. Ecole Pratique des Hautes Etudes,
- 23 Mémoires et Travaux de l'Institut de Montpellier N°21, Montpellier, pp. 769-805.

- 1 Boyer, D.M., Prasad, G.V.R., Krause, D.W., Godinot, M., Goswami, A., Verma, O.,
- 2 Flynn, J.J., 2010. New postcrania of *Deccanolestes* from the Late Cretaceous of India
- and their bearing on the evolutionary and biogeographic history of euarchontan
- 4 mammals. Naturwissenschaften 97, 365-377.
- 5 Cartmill, M., 1972. Arboreal adaptations and the origin of the order Primates. In: Tuttle,
- 6 R.H. (Ed.), The Functional and Evolutionary Biology of Primates. Aldine-Atherton,
- 7 Chicago, pp. 97-122.
- 8 Charles-Dominique, P., 1977. Ecology and Behavior of Nocturnal Primates. Columbia
- 9 University Press, New York.
- 10 Covert, H.H., Hamrick, M.W., 1993. Description of the new skeletal remains of the
- early Eocene anaptomorphine primate *Absarokius* (Omomyidae) and a discussion about
- its adaptive profile. Journal of Human Evolution 25, 351-362.
- Dagosto, M., 1983. Postcranium of Adapis parisiensis and Leptadapis magnus
- 14 (Adapiformes, Primates). Folia Primatologica 41, 49-101.
- Dagosto, M., 1993. Postcranial anatomy and locomotor behavior in Eocene primates.
- In: Gebo, D.L. (Ed.), Postcranial Adaptation in Nonhuman Primates. Northern Illinois
- 17 University Press, DeKalb, pp. 150-174.
- Dagosto, M., Gebo, D.L., Beard, K.C., 1999. Revision of the Wind River faunas, early
- 19 Eocene of central Wyoming. Part 14. Postcranium of *Shoshonius cooperi* (Mammalia:
- 20 Primates). Annals of the Carnegie Museum 68, 175-211.
- Delfortrie, M., 1873. Un Singe de la famille des Lémuriens dans les phosphates de
- 22 chaux quaternaires du département du Lot. Actes de la Société Linnéenne de Bordeaux
- 23 29, 87-95.

- Dunn, R.H., Rose, K.D., Rana, R.S., Kumar, K., Sahni, A., Smith, T., 2016. New
- 2 euprimate postcrania from the early Eocene of Gujarat, India, and the strepsirrhine-
- 3 haplorhine divergence. Journal of Human Evolution 99, 25-51.
- 4 Escarguel, G., Legendre, S., 2006. New methods for analysing deep-time meta-
- 5 community dynamics and their application to the Paleogene mammals from the Quercy
- 6 and Limagne area (Massif Central, France). In: Pélissié, Th., Sigé, B. (Coord.), 30
- 7 Millions d'années de Biodiversité Dynamique dans le Paleokarst du Quercy. Strata,
- 8 Vol. 13, Toulouse, pp. 245-273.
- 9 Escarguel, G., Marandat, B., Legendre, S., 1997. Sur l'âge numérique des faunes de
- mammifères du Paléogène d'Europe occidentale, en particulier celles de l'Eocène
- inférieur et moyen. In: Aguilar, J.-P., Legendre, S., Michaux, J. (Eds.), Actes du
- 12 Congrès Biochrom'97. Ecole Pratique des Hautes Etudes, Mémoires et Travaux de
- 13 l'Institut de Montpellier N°21, Montpellier, pp. 443-460.
- Fabre, A.-C., Marigó, J., Granatosky, M.C., Schmitt, D., 2017. Functional associations
- between support use and forelimb shape in strepsirrhines and their relevance to inferring
- locomotor behavior in early primates. Journal of Human Evolution 108, 11-30.
- 17 Femenias-Gual, J., Minwer-Barakat, R., Marigó, J., Poyatos-Moré, M., Moyà-Solà, S.,
- 18 2017a. Agerinia marandati sp. nov., a new early Eocene primate from the Iberian
- 19 Peninsula, sheds new light on the evolution of the genus Agerinia. PeerJ 5, e3239.
- Femenias-Gual, J., Marigó, J., Minwer-Barakat, R., Moyà-Solà, S., 2017b. New dental
- 21 and postcranial material of *Agerinia smithorum* (Primates, Adapiformes) from the type
- locality Casa Retjo-1 (early Eocene, Iberian Peninsula). Journal of Human Evolution
- 23 113, 127-136.

- Filhol, H., 1882. Mémoires sur quelques mammifères fossiles des phosphorites du
- 2 Quercy. Annales de la Société des Sciences Physiques et Naturelles de Toulouse
- 3 5, 19-156.
- 4 Filhol, H., 1883. Observations relatives au mémoire de M. Cope intitulé : relation des
- 5 horizons renfermant des débris d'animaux vertébrés fossiles en Europe et en Amérique.
- 6 Annales des Sciences Géologiques 14, 1-51.
- 7 Fleagle, J., 1988. Primate Adaptation and Evolution. AcademicPress, San Diego.
- 8 Ford, S.M., 1988. Postcranial adaptations of the earliest platyrrhine. Journal of Human
- 9 Evolution 17, 155-192.
- 10 Franzen, J.L., 1987. Ein neuer Primate aus dem Mitteleozän der Grube Messel
- 11 (Deutschland, S-Hessen). Courier Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg 91, 151-187.
- Franzen, J.L., Gingerich, P.D., Habersetzer, J., Hurum, J.H., von Koenigswald, W.,
- 13 Smith, B.H., 2009. Complete primate skeleton from the Middle Eocene of Messel in
- 14 Germany: Morphology and Paleobiology. PLoS ONE 4, e5723.
- 15 Gebo, D.L., 1987. Humeral morphology of *Cantius*, an early Eocene adapid. Folia
- 16 Primatologica 49, 52-56.
- Gebo D., 1988. A hominoid proximal humerus from the Early Miocene of Rusinga
- 18 Island, Kenya. Journal of Human Evolution 17, 393-401.
- 19 Gebo, D.L., 2011. Vertical clinging and leaping revisited: vertical support use as the
- ancestral condition of strepsirrhine primates. American Journal of Physical
- 21 Anthropology 146, 323-335.

- 1 Gebo, D.L., 2014. Primate Comparative Anatomy. Johns Hopkins University Press,
- 2 Baltimore.
- 3 Gebo, D.L., Dagosto, M., Beard, K.C., Ni, X., Qi, T., 2007. Primate humeral remains
- 4 from the middle Eocene of China. Bulletin of the Carnegie Museum of Natural History
- 5 39, 77-82.
- 6 Gebo, D. L., Dagosto, M., Beard, K. C., Ni, X., 2008. New primate hind limb elements
- 7 from the middle Eocene of China. Journal of Human Evolution 55, 999-1014.
- 8 Gingerich, P.D., 1981. Cranial morphology and adaptations in Eocene Adapidae. I.
- 9 Sexual dimorphism in Adapis magnus and Adapis parisiensis. American Journal of
- 10 Physical Anthropology 56, 217-234.
- Gingerich, P.D., Martin, R.D., 1981. Cranial morphology and adaptations in Eocene
- Adapidae. II. The Cambridge skull of *Adapis parisiensis*. American Journal of Physical
- 13 Anthropology 56, 235-257.
- 14 Godinot, M., 1991. Toward the locomotion of two contemporaneous *Adapis* species.
- 15 Zeitschrift für Morphologie und Anthropologie 78, 387-405.
- Godinot, M., 1994. Early North African primates and their significance for the origin of
- 17 Similformes (= Anthropoidea). In: Fleagle, J.G., Kay, R.F. (Eds.), Anthropoid Origins.
- 18 Plenum, New York, pp. 235-295.
- 19 Godinot, M., 1998. A summary of adaptform systematics and phylogeny. Folia
- 20 Primatologica 69, 218-249.
- 21 Godinot, M., Couette, S., 2008. Morphological diversity in the skulls of large adaptines
- 22 (Primates, Adapiformes) and its systematic implications. In: Sargis, E.J., Dagosto, M.

- 1 (Eds.), Mammalian Evolutionary Morphology: a Tribute to Frederick S. Szalay.
- 2 Springer, Dordrecht (The Netherlands), pp. 285-313.
- 3 Godinot, M., Jouffroy, F.K., 1984. La main d'Adapis (Primate, Adapide). In: Buffetaut,
- 4 E., Mazin, J.M., Salmon, E. (Eds.), Actes du Symposium Paléontologique Georges
- 5 Cuvier, Montbéliard (France), pp. 221-241.
- 6 Gregory, W.K., 1920. On the structure and relations of *Notharctus*, an American
- 7 Eocene primate. Memoirs of the American Museum of Natural History 3, 49-243.
- 8 Hammer, Ø., Harper, D.A.T., Ryan, P.D., 2001. PAST: Paleontological statistics
- 9 software package for education and data analysis. Palaeontologia Electronica 4, 1-9.
- 10 9pp.
- Harrison, T., 1989 New postcranial remains of *Victoriapithecus* from the middle
- Miocene of Kenya. Journal of Human Evolution 18, 3-54.
- Jenkins, F.A., Jr, 1971. Limb posture and locomotion in the Virginia opossum
- 14 (*Didelphis marsupialis*) and in other non-cursorial mammals. Journal of Zoology 165,
- 15 303-315.
- Jolly, C.J., 1967. The evolution of the baboons. In: Vartborg, H. (Ed.), The Baboon in
- 17 Medical Research: Proceedings of the First International Symposium on the Baboon and
- 18 Its Use as an Experimental Animal, 2. University of Texas Press, Austin, pp. 23–50.
- 19 Jouffroy, F.K., 1962. La musculature des membres chez les lémuriens de Madagascar.
- 20 Étude descriptive et comparative. Mammalia, Special Volume, Paris.
- 21 Kay, R.F., 2005. A synopsis of the phylogeny and paleobiology of Amphipithecidae,
- 22 South Asian middle and late Eocene primates. Anthropological Science 113, 33-42.

- 1 Landry, S.O., 1958. The function of the entepicondylar foramen in mammals. The
- 2 American Naturalist 60, 100-112.
- 3 Lanèque, L., 1992. Variation in the shape of the palate in *Adapis* (Eocene, Adapiformes)
- 4 compared with living primates. Human Evolution 7, 1-16.
- 5 Lanèque, L., 1993. Variation of orbital features in adapine skulls. Journal of Human
- 6 Evolution 25, 287-317.
- 7 Legendre, S. (coord.), 1987. Mammalian Reference Levels MP 17-20. In: Schmidt-
- 8 Kittler, N. (Eds.), International Symposium on Mammalian Biostratigraphy and
- 9 Paleoecology of the European Paleogene. Münchner Geowissenschaftliche
- 10 Abhandlungen, A, Geologie und Paläontologie 10, pp. 28-29.
- Legendre, S., Sigé, B., Astruc, J. G., de Bonis, L., Crochet, J.-Y., Denys, C., Godinot,
- 12 M., Hartenberger, J.-L., Lévêque, F., Marandat, B., Mourer-Chauviré, C., Rage, J.-C.,
- 13 Remy, J. A., Sudre, J., Vianey-Liaud, M., 1997. Les phosphorites du Quercy: 30 ans de
- recherche. Bilan et perspectives. Géobios 30 (Suppl. 1), 331-345.
- Levene, H., 1960. Robust tests for equality of variances. In: Olkin, I. (Ed.),
- 16 Contributions to Probability and Statistics: Essays in Honor of Harold Hotelling.
- 17 Stanford University Press, pp. 278–292.
- Maitre, E., 2014. Western European middle Eocene to early Oligocene Chiroptera:
- systematics, phylogeny and palaeoecology based on new material from the Quercy
- 20 (France). Swiss Journal of Palaeontology 133, 141-242.
- 21 Marigó, J., Minwer-Barakat, R., Moyà-Solà, S., 2011. New *Anchomomys* (Adapoidea,
- 22 Primates) from the Robiacian (Middle Eocene) of northeastern Spain. Taxonomic and
- evolutionary implications. Journal of Human Evolution 60, 665-672.

- 1 Marigó, J., Minwer-Barakat, R., Moyà-Solà, S., 2013. Nievesia sossisensis, a new
- anchomomyin (Adapiformes, Primates) from the early Late Eocene of the southern
- 3 Pyrenees (Catalonia, Spain). Journal of Human Evolution 64, 473-485.
- 4 Marigó, J., Roig, I., Seiffert, E.R., Moyà-Solà, S., Boyer, D.M., 2016. Astragalar and
- 5 calcaneal morphology of the middle Eocene primate *Anchomomys frontanyensis*
- 6 (Anchomomyini): implications for early primate evolution. Journal of Human Evolution
- 7 91, 122-143.
- 8 Marigó, J., Verrière, N., Godinot, M., 2018. 3D models related to the publication:
- 9 Systematic and locomotor diversification of the *Adapis* group (Primates, Adapiformes)
- in the late Eocene of the Quercy (Southwest France), revealed by humeral remains.
- 11 MorphoMuseuM 4,e75. doi: 10.18563/journal.m3.75.
- McArdle, J.E., 1981. Functional morphology of the hip and thigh of the Lorisiformes.
- 13 Contributions to Primatology 17, 1-132.
- Rémy, J.A., Sudre, J., 2006. L'apport des faunes du Quercy à la connaissance des
- grands mammifères. In: Pélissié, Th., Sigé, B. (Coord.), 30 Millions d'années de
- Biodiversité Dynamique dans le Paleokarst du Quercy. Strata, Vol. 13, Toulouse, pp.
- 17 175-187.
- 18 Rémy, J.A., Crochet, J.-Y., Sigé, B., Sudre, J., de Bonis, L., Vianey-Liaud, M., Godinot,
- 19 M., Hartenberger, J.-L., Lange-Badré, B., Comte, B., 1987. Biochronologie des
- 20 phosphorites du Quercy: Mise à jour des listes fauniques et nouveaux gisements de
- 21 mammifères fossiles. In: Schmidt-Kittler, N. (Ed.), International Symposium on
- Mammalian Biostratigraphy and Paleoecology of the European Paleogene. Münchner
- Geowissenschaftliche Abhandlungen, A, Geologie und Paläontologie, 10, pp. 169-188.

- 1 Rose, M.D., 1988. Another look at the anthropoid elbow. Journal of Human Evolution
- 2 17, 193-224.
- Rose, K.D., Rana, R.S., Sahni, A., Kumar, K., Missiaen, P., Singh, L., Smith, T., 2009.
- 4 Early Eocene primates from Gujarat, India. Journal of Human Evolution 56, 366-404.
- 5 Schmitt, D., 1996. Humeral head shape as an indicator of locomotor behavior in extant
- 6 strepsirhines and Eocene adapids. Folia Primatologica 67, 137-151.
- 7 Seiffert, E.R., Simons, E.L., Fleagle, J.G., 2000. Anthropoid humeri from the late
- 8 Eocene of Egypt. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 97, 10062-
- 9 10067.
- Seiffert, E.R., Simons, E.L., Clyde, W.C., Rossie, J.B., Attia, Y., Bown, T.M., Chatrath,
- 11 P., Mathison, M.E., 2005. Basal anthropoids from Egypt and the antiquity of the
- 12 Africa's higher primate radiation. Science 310, 300-304.
- 13 Seiffert, E.R., Perry, J.M.G., Simons, E.L., Boyer, D.M., 2009. Convergent evolution of
- anthropoid-like adaptations in Eocene adapiform primates. Nature 426, 1118-1122.
- 15 Senturia, S.J., 1995. Morphometry and allometry of the primate humerus. Primates 36,
- 16 523-547.
- 17 Sigé, B., Hugueney, M., 2006. Les micromammifères des gisements à phosphate du
- 18 Quercy (SW France). In: Pélissié, Th., Sigé, B. (Coord.), 30 Millions d'années de
- 19 Biodiversité Dynamique dans le Paleokarst du Quercy. Strata, Vol. 13, Toulouse, pp.
- 20 207-226.

- 1 Stehlin, H.G., 1912. Die Säugetiere des schweizerischen Eocäens: critischer Catalog der
- 2 Materialen. Part 7, first half. Abhandlungen der Schweizerischen Paläontologischen
- 3 Gesellschaft 38, 1165-1298.
- 4 Szalay, F.S., Dagosto, M., 1980. Locomotor adaptations as reflected on the humerus of
- 5 Paleogene primates. Folia Primatologica 34, 1-45.
- 6 Szalay, F.S., Delson, E., 1979. Evolutionary History of the Primates. New York,
- 7 Academic Press.
- 8 Thalmann, U., 1994. Die Primaten aus dem eozäen Geiseltal bei Halle/Saale
- 9 (Deutschland). Courier Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg 175, 1-161.
- 10 Visualization Sciences Group, 2009. AVIZO, Version 6.0. Mercury Computer Systems,
- 11 Burlington.

12

13 Figure and table legends

- Figure 1. Adapis humeri attributed to morphotype 1. Complete specimen: ISE-M-ROS-
- 2-95; Proximal ends: ISE-M-ROS-2-536, Vi-Ros-563*; Distal ends: ISE-M-ROS-2-
- 16 534*, ISE-M-ROS-2-535*, QW 1481. For each bone, successive views are from left to
- 17 right anterior, posterior, lateral and medial; plus proximal view for proximal ends, disto-
- anterior view for distal parts, and distal view when the distal part includes articular
- surfaces. Specimens marked with an asterisk are reversed for comparison purposes.
- 20 Scale bar represents 1 cm.

- Figure 2. Adapis humeri attributed to morphotype 2. Complete specimen: Ma-PhQ-332;
- 2 Proximal ends: ISE-M-ROS-2-80; Distal ends: ISE-M-ROS-2-79, Vi-Ros-640. Same
- 3 views as on Figure 1. Scale bar represents 1 cm.
- 4 Figure 3. Adapis humeri attributed to morphotype 3. Specimen missing humeral head:
- 5 MNHN-Qu-16583; Distal ends: FSM-PQ-1744, Vi-Esc-839*, ISE-M-ECA-1364*.
- 6 Specimens marked with an asterisk are reversed for comparison purposes. Same views
- as on Figure 1. Scale bar represents 1 cm.
- 8 Figure 4. Adapis humerus attributed to morphotype 4. Single specimen missing
- 9 laterodistal end: MNHN-ACQ-262*. Same views as on Figure 1. The specimen is
- marked with an asterisk because it is reversed for comparison purposes. Scale bar
- represents 1 cm.
- Figure 5. Adapis humerus attributed to morphotype 5. Single specimen missing humeral
- head: AMNH-FM-10018. Same views as on Figure 1. Scale bar represents 1 cm.
- Figure 6. Adapis humeri attributed to morphotype 6. Complete specimen: MNHN-Qu-
- 15 16584*; Specimens missing humeral head: Ma-PhQ-330; Specimens missing humeral
- head and some parts of the distal articulation: Ma-PhQ-331*, Ma-PhQ-333. Specimens
- marked with an asterisk are reversed for comparison purposes. Same views as on Figure
- 18 1. Scale bar represents 1 cm.
- 19 Figure 7. Anatomical terms used in the descriptions. 1: greater tuberosity; 2: lesser
- tuberosity; 3: bicipital groove or intertubercular sulcus; 4: infraspinatus fossa; 5:
- 21 deltopectoral crest; 6: teres major tubercle; 7: brachioradialis flange; 8:capitulum;
- 22 9:trochlea; 10: entepicondylar foramen; 11: radial fossa; 12: olecranon fossa; 13: medial
- epicondyle; 14: lateral epicondyle; 15: capitular tail; 16: zona conoidea (if present); 17:
- 24 articular surface of the humeral head; 18: deltoid V, channel of insertion of the deltoid

- 1 muscle on the humeral shaft; 19: coronoid fossa; 20: anterior epitrochlear fossa; 21:
- 2 posterior epitrochlear fossa. Humerus used is MNHN-Qu-16584, reversed. Scale bar
- 3 represents 1 cm.
- 4 Figure 8. Measurements taken on *Adapis* humeri as well as some other primates used
- 5 for comparisons. Total L: total length of the humerus; Total L w/o head: total length of
- 6 the humerus without the humeral head; Height derived from ML distal: height of the
- 7 humeral head derived from distal mediolateral width of the humeral head; PD central:
- 8 central proximodistal length of the humeral head; ML distal: distal mediolateral width
- 9 of the humeral head; TL: trochlear length; CL: capitulum length; TH: trochlear height;
- 10 EEC: entepicondylar width; TW: trochlear width; CW: capitulum width; AW: articular
- width. Total L following Ford (1988); H derived from ML distal, PD central and ML
- distal following Schmitt (1996); TL, CL, TH, TW, CW and AW following Szalay and
- Dagosto (1980); EEC following Boyer et al. (2010). Humerus used is MNHN-Qu-
- 14 16584, reversed.
- Figure 9. Plot of humeral head indices comparing fossil and extant taxa, following
- Schmitt (1996). A) Distal mediolateral width (ML distal in Figure 8) divided by central
- proximodistal length of the humeral head (PD central in Figure 8). 1: ISE-M-ROS-2-
- 18 536; 2: MNHN-ACQ-262; 3: ISE-M-ROS-2-95 and Ma-PhQ-332; 4: MNHN-Qu-
- 19 16584; 5: Vi-Ros-563; 6: ISE-M-ROS-2-80; B) Height of the humeral head derived
- 20 from the distal mediolateral width (Height derived from ML in Figure 8) divided by the
- 21 distal mediolateral width (ML distal in Figure 8). 7: ISE-M-ROS-2-80 and ISE-M-ROS-
- 22 2-95; 8: MNHN-ACQ-262; 9: Ma-PhQ-332; 10: Vi-Ros-563; 11: MNHN-Qu-16584;
- 23 12: ISE-M-ROS-2-536. Locomotor categories include: AQ (arboreal quadrupedalism),
- QRC (quadrupedal runner and climber), VCL (vertical clinger and leaper), SC (slow

- 1 climber), MIXED (quadrupedal walker, leaper and runner with suspension in some
- 2 cases).
- 3 Figure 10. Principal components analysis results of four distal humeral measurements
- 4 following Boyer et al. (2010). Data taken from Szalay and Dagosto (1980), Senturia
- 5 (1995), Rose et al. (2009), Boyer et al. (2010), Dunn et al. (2016) and this paper. A,
- 6 PCA results obtained with several groups of living platyrrhines and catarrhines. B, PCA
- 7 results obtained after the removal of the living anthropoid groups.
- 8 Figure 11. Comparison of humeri of different extinct and extant primates. Adapis group
- 9 morphotypes used as example are morphotypes 2 (M2, Ma-PhQ-332) and 6 (M6,
- MNHN-Qu-16584*) because they present the most complete specimens of the humeri
- studied in this paper. *Leptadapis magnus* is represented in the figure by two different
- specimens: QD 663 for anterior, posterior and distal views, and QD 664 for medial and
- lateral views. Specimens marked with an asterisk are reversed for comparison purposes.
- For more information on specimens used see Table 4. All scales represent 1 cm.
- Table 1. Complete list of all *Adapis* group humeri included in this paper with
- information on morphotype (MT) attribution, species attribution, preservation, locality
- and institution. (R) right humerus; (L) left humerus; (AMNH) American Museum of
- Natural History, New York, USA; (MNHN) Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle,
- 19 Paris, France; (MHNVB) Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle Victor Brun, Montauban,
- 20 France; (NMB) Naturhistorisches Museum Basel, Basel, Switzerland. See Table 2 for
- 21 availability of specimens through online repositories MorphoSource and /or
- 22 MorphoMuseuM.

- 1 Table 2. Humeri attributed to the *Adapis* group and used in this study with information
- about the 3D surface reconstruction of each specimen and where to get it (online
- 3 repositories MorphoSource and /or MorphoMuseuM), as well as citation instructions.
- 4 Table 3. Measurements and indices performed on *Adapis* specimens. Measurements
- 5 follow Ford (1988), Szalay and Dagosto (1980) and Schmitt (1996). Indices of the
- 6 proximal ends follow Schmitt (1996), and those of the distal ends follow Szalay and
- 7 Dagosto (1980).
- 8 Table 4. Comparative sample of humeri of different extinct and extant taxa. Institutional
- 9 abbreviations: AMNH (American Museum of Natural History, New York, NY, USA);
- 10 DLC (Duke Lemur Center, Durham, NC, USA); GU (Garhwal University, Srinagar,
- 11 Uttarakhand, India); MNHN (Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France);
- 12 NMB (Naturhistorisches Museum Basel, Basel, Switzerland); UM (University of
- 13 Michigan Museum of Paleontology, Ann Arbor, MI, USA); USNM (United States
- National Museum, Smithsonian Institute, Washington, DC); VPL/JU/NKIM (Vertebrate
- Palaeontology Laboratory, University of Jammu, Jammu, India). ¹ Doug M. Boyer,
- 16 Kenneth D. Rose and Rachel H. Dunn provided access to these data, originally
- appearing in Dunn et al., 2016. The files were downloaded from
- 18 www.MorphoSource.org, Duke University. ² Gabriel S. Yapuncich provided access to
- these data, the collection of which was funded by NSF BCS 1540421. ³ Measurements
- 20 taken directly on specimen at MNHN. ⁴ Doug M. Boyer provided access to these data
- originally appearing in Boyer et al. (2013), the collection of which was funded by NSF
- 22 BCS 1440742 (to D.M. Boyer and G.F. Gunnell), NSF BCS 1440558 (to JI Bloch),
- NSF BCS 1552848 (to D.M. Boyer). Permission to use media on MorphoSource
- 24 granted by copyright holder: AMNH. ⁵ Doug M. Boyer provided access to these data,
- 25 the collection of which was funded by NSF BCS 1440742 (to D.M. Boyer and G.F.

- 1 Gunnell), BCS 1440558 (to J.I. Bloch), NSF BCS 1558555, and NSF BCS 1552848.
- 2 Copyright Holder: USNM. All files with media number and/or doi number were
- 3 downloaded from www.MorphoSource.org, Duke University.
- 4 Table 5. Results of the statistical analyses of variance (Levene's test) performed using
- 5 measurements and indices of the distal articulation of humeral remains. Levene's test
- 6 compares variances of the *Adapis* group with similar-sized extant species (Saimiri
- 7 sciureus, Hapalemur griseus and Perodicticus potto), as well as Vastan Mine primates:
- 8 the adapiform *Marcgodinotius indicus*, the omomyids *Vastanomys major* and *V*.
- 9 gracilis, and other unattributed euprimate remains from the same site. *Only significant
- results are included in this table (p-values<0.05). A significant result indicates that more
- morphological variation is present in the *Adapis* group than in the comparison group.
- SOM 1. Summary of the main features presented by the different *Adapis* humeri studied
- in this work.
- SOM 2. Complete descriptions and comparisons of all the *Adapis* group humeri studied
- in this paper arranged depending on morphotype attribution.
- SOM 3. Comparative data on distal humeral indices. Data on trochlear articular index
- 17 [TAI=(TW/AW)*100] and trochlear height-width index [THWI=(TH/TW)*100] from
- Szalay and Dagosto (1980) except for humeri described in this paper and Vastan Mine
- specimens appearing in Dunn et al. (2016). Data on locomotion following Fleagle
- 20 (1988), Ankel-Simons (2007) and Gebo (2011).