

# Bio-inspired hydrophobicity promotes CO2 reduction on a Cu surface

David Wakerley, Sarah Lamaison, Francois Ozanam, Nicolas F Menguy,

Dimitri Mercier, Philippe Marcus, Marc Fontecave, Victor Mougel

# ▶ To cite this version:

David Wakerley, Sarah Lamaison, Francois Ozanam, Nicolas F Menguy, Dimitri Mercier, et al.. Bioinspired hydrophobicity promotes CO2 reduction on a Cu surface. Nature Materials, 2019, 18 (11), pp.1222-1227. 10.1038/s41563-019-0445-x . hal-02374030

# HAL Id: hal-02374030 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-02374030

Submitted on 21 Nov 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Bio-inspired hydrophobicity promotes CO <sub>2</sub> reduction on a Cu surface
2	David Wakerley <sup>a</sup> , Sarah Lamaison <sup>a</sup> , François Ozanam <sup>b</sup> , Nicolas Menguy <sup>c</sup> , Dimitri Mercier <sup>d</sup> , Philippe
3	Marcus <sup>d</sup> , Marc Fontecave <sup>a</sup> * and Victor Mougel <sup>a</sup> *
4 5	<sup>a</sup> Laboratoire de Chimie des Processus Biologiques, CNRS UMR 8229, Collège de France, 11 Place Marcelin Berthelot, Paris, France
6	<sup>b</sup> Laboratoire de Physique de la Matière Condensée, CNRS-École Polytechnique, Palaiseau Cédex, France
7 8	<sup>c</sup> Sorbonne Université, UMR CNRS 7590, MNHN, IRD, Institut de Minéralogie, de Physique des Matériaux et de Cosmochimie, IMPMC, 75005 Paris, France
9	<sup>d</sup> Physical Chemistry of Surfaces Group, ChimieParisTech-CNRS, Institut de Recherche de Chimie Paris, 75005 Paris, France
10	Abstract
11	Aqueous electrocatalytic reduction of $CO_2$ into alcohol and hydrocarbon fuels presents a sustainable
12	route towards energy-rich chemical feedstocks. Cu is the only material able to catalyse the
13	substantial formation of multi-carbon products ( $C_2/C_3$ ), however competing proton reduction to
14	hydrogen is an ever-present drain on selectivity. Herein, a superhydrophobic surface was generated
15	by 1-octadecanethiol treatment of hierarchically structured Cu dendrites, inspired by the structure
16	of gas-trapping cuticles on subaquatic spiders. The hydrophobic electrode attained 56% Faradaic
17	efficiency for ethylene and 17% for ethanol production at neutral pH, compared to 9% and 4% on a
18	hydrophilic, wettable equivalent. These observations are assigned to trapped gases at the
19	hydrophobic Cu surface, which increase the concentration of $CO_2$ at the electrode solution interface
20	and consequently increase $CO_2$ reduction selectivity. Hydrophobicity is thus proposed as a governing
21	factor in $CO_2$ reduction selectivity and can help explain trends seen on previously reported
22	electrocatalysts.

#### 23 Introduction

Figure 1a shows a theorized sustainable energy cycle propagated through the storage of renewable energies as CO<sub>2</sub>-derived fuel. Such a process would provide relief to numerous environmental concerns, both current and impending,<sup>1</sup> and curtail dependency on fossil fuel. The cycle is driven through aqueous CO<sub>2</sub> electrolysis, wherein electrons and protons from water oxidation are used in the reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> to hydrocarbons and alcohols. Contemporary research now seeks an efficient and inexpensive catalyst for this reduction.

30 Cu remains the paradigmatic surface for  $CO_2$  reduction, with the ability to produce  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  species, 31 such as ethylene, ethanol and *n*-propanol.<sup>2</sup> Formation of such products has various thermodynamic 32 and kinetic demands and requires multiple proton and electron transfers (Figure 1b). These are 33 readily facilitated in water, however proton reduction to  $H_2$  is more facile than  $CO_2$  reduction (red 34 dot, Figure 1b).<sup>3</sup> Water is also in substantial excess, due to the low solubility of  $CO_2$  (33 mM at room 35 temperature),<sup>4</sup> and as a result Cu electrodes will typically lose >30% Faradaic efficiency (FE) to  $H_2$ 36 production.<sup>5</sup>



Figure 1 | CO<sub>2</sub> reduction as a source of sustainable fuel and an introduction to the 'plastron effect'. 38 (a) The generation of renewable fuel through  $CO_2$  reduction and  $H_2O$  oxidation. (b) The 39 thermodynamic vs. kinetic requirement of various CO<sub>2</sub>-reduction reactions.<sup>3</sup> The plotted values are 40 based on the reaction equation given above the graph, made stoichiometric according to the 41 42 product composition. (c, d) The 'plastron effect'; use of a hydrophobic surface to trap a layer of gas between the solution solid interface. This is illustrated on a naturally occurring diving bell spider for 43 subaquatic breathing in (c), and a hydrophobic dendritic Cu surface for aqueous CO<sub>2</sub> reduction in (d). 44 Photo of the diving bell spider was adapted from reference 6 with permission by The Company of 45 46 Biologists.

Improving selectivity towards CO<sub>2</sub> reduction, particularly towards products containing multiple carbons, remains a major priority in this field. Hitherto implemented strategies have included surface modifications,<sup>7,8</sup> adjusting surface pH<sup>9</sup> and the use of non-aqueous solvents,<sup>10</sup> however the most effective approaches can be summarised into three categories: (1) Morphology: nanowires,<sup>11</sup> nanowhiskers,<sup>12</sup> nanoparticles,<sup>13</sup> nanoprisms<sup>14</sup> and nanodendrites<sup>15,16</sup> present high catalytic surface areas that have shown appreciable selectivity. (2) Carbon supports: carbon frameworks and gas diffusion layers loaded with Cu have shown generation of C<sub>2</sub> products up to 80%.<sup>17–20</sup> (3) Oxide 54 *layers:* Surface oxides serve as precursors to active Cu catalysts, leading to efficiencies as high as 60%
 55 for ethylene formation.<sup>21-23</sup>

56 These strategies may also increase the hydrophobicity of an electrode, however this is rarely 57 discussed as a determinant on selectivity.<sup>2</sup> Submerged hydrophobic surfaces trap appreciable amounts of gas at the nanoscale,<sup>24,25</sup> and even at the microscale if the Cassie-Baxter regime is 58 reached,<sup>26</sup> allowing trapped CO<sub>2</sub> to accumulate at the Cu|solution interface. Recent reports have 59 exploited gas electrode solution triple-phase boundaries to increase the CO<sub>2</sub> reduction activity of 60 Cu on gas diffusion electrodes with hydrophobic polytetrafluoroethylene layers,<sup>19,20</sup> however it is 61 62 difficult to assign this enhancement solely to hydrophobicity over other factors, such as their porosity and increased mass transport.<sup>27</sup> Herein, we thus study hydrophobicity as an isolated 63 parameter on a Cu surface to establish its role in promoting gas-trapping and consequently selective 64 CO<sub>2</sub> reduction. 65

66 Taking nature as inspiration, we introduce hydrophobicity based on the 'plastron effect' used by aquatic arachnids, such as the diving bell spider in Figure 1c.<sup>28</sup> These plastrons are composed of 67 68 hydrophobic hairs that trap air, thereby allowing the spider to respire underwater. The gas-trapping 69 phenomenon occurs when hydrophobic surface chemistry is presented on the microscale and nanoscale simultaneously.<sup>29</sup> We achieve an analogous multi-scale hydrophobic surface through 70 71 modification of hierarchically structured dendritic Cu with a monolayer of waxy alkanethiol. The resultant electrode visibly traps CO<sub>2</sub> gas at the electrolyte electrode interface, forming a triple-72 phase boundary (Figure 1d). As a result, H<sub>2</sub> evolution was substantially lowered in CO<sub>2</sub>-saturated 73 74 electrolyte compared to an unmodified hydrophilic equivalent, from 71% FE to 10%, while  $CO_2$ 75 reduction increased from 24% to 86%, of which C<sub>2</sub> products comprised 74%. The drastically 76 increased CO<sub>2</sub> concentration at the hydrophobic Cu surface was identified as key to the increased 77 catalytic selectivity, which we propose is present in other reported systems.

#### Preparation and characterization of the hydrophobic Cu dendrite

79 Cu dendrite scaffolds were grown using previously reported aqueous electrodeposition procedures, 80 forming hierarchical architectures with both micro and nanoscale features (Supplementary Figure 81 1).<sup>30,31</sup> Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) measurements confirmed the structure to be metallic Cu 82 (Figure 2a), although a small amount of Cu<sub>2</sub>O was visible (Supplementary Figure 2). The hydrophobic 83 treatment was undertaken by submersing the dendritic Cu into liquid 1-octadecanethiol at 60°C for 84 15 minutes to form an alkanethiol layer. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of the dendritic Cu 85 after treatment confirmed the nanostructure remained intact (Figure 2b) and was coated with a 86 monolayer between 2-3 nm in thickness (Figure 2c), consistent with a surface of 1-octadecanethiol 87 molecules bound upright (chain length is 2.3 nm between surface-bound S and terminal C). The 88 carbonaceous nature of the coating was confirmed by energy-filtered transmission electron 89 microscopy (EF-TEM) at the C-K edge (Figure 2d). X-ray energy-dispersive spectroscopy (XEDS) of the 90 area indicated in Figure 2d displayed S and C environments within the layer (Supplementary Figure 91 3) and no carbonaceous layer was present on untreated Cu dendrite (Supplementary Figure 4).





Figure 2 | Characterization of the electrode surface. (a) PXRD of Cu dendrite with and without 93 94 hydrophobic surface treatment. (b,c) TEM and high-resolution TEM images of a 1-octadecanethiol-95 treated Cu dendrite showing the layer of alkanethiol attached to the Cu surface, the yellow square in (b) indicates the area observed in (d). (d) EF-TEM using the C-K edge of an alkanethiol-treated Cu 96 97 dendrite surface, the yellow circle indicates the area used for TEM-XEDS analysis in Supplementary 98 Figure 3. (e) XPS spectra in the Cu regions showing peaks assigned to I and II oxidation states. (f) XPS spectra showing the presence of S on the alkanethiol-treated Cu surface. (g) and (h) show contact 99 100 angle measurements of the wettable and hydrophobic dendrite respectively. (i) SEM image of the 101 hydrophobic dendrite after 2.5 hours of applied cathodic potential in 0.1 M CsHCO<sub>3</sub> (CO<sub>2</sub> saturated, pH 6.8, room temperature) with a  $CO_2$  flow of 10 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. (j) Illustration of the hydrophobic dendrite 102 103 gaining a solid/liquid interface upon application of negative potential. (k), (l) and (m) show the 104 equivalent images from (c) and (d) after electrolysis in 0.1 M CsHCO<sub>3</sub> (CO<sub>2</sub> saturated, pH 6.8, room temperature) with a  $CO_2$  flow of 10 ml min<sup>-1</sup> for 30 minutes at –1.4 V vs. RHE. 105

106 The alkanethiolation removes Cu oxide from the surface, leaving Cu–S bonds, as illustrated by X-ray 107 photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Figure 2e).<sup>32</sup> Before treatment, the Cu dendrite shows 108 environments consistent with  $Cu_2^{1}O$  at 932.5/952.4 eV and  $Cu^{11}O$  at 934.6/955.0 eV and 942.8/962.7 109 eV. Analysis of the Cu LMM Auger showed no evidence of metallic  $Cu^{0}$  at the surface (Supplementary 110 Figure 5).<sup>33</sup> After reaction with 1-octadecanethiol all  $Cu^{11}$  environments are removed, forming a

surface of Cu<sup>1</sup> (Figure 2e and Supplementary Table 1), and a new S 2p peak is visible at 163.0 eV, consistent with Cu–S bonds (Figure 2f).<sup>33</sup> The presence of the alkanethiol layer was further confirmed through attenuated total reflectance infrared (ATR-IR) spectroscopy (Supplementary Figure 6).

115 Contact angle measurements illustrated that without 1-octadecanethiol modification the Cu 116 dendrite surface is hydrophilic; a deposited water droplet sat with a contact angle of 17° (Figure 2g). 117 The alkanethiol-treated electrode is not susceptible to the same wetting, with a drastically increased 118 contact angle of 153° (Figure 2h), falling into the regime of superhydrophobicity where trapped 119 gases are expected on both the micro and nanoscale.<sup>34</sup> For clarity, these electrodes will be referred 120 to as the *wettable dendrite* and *hydrophobic dendrite* for the hydrophilic and hydrophobic dendritic 121 Cu surfaces, respectively.

122 Initial characterization of the dendrites' electrochemical properties revealed a significant decrease in 123 electrochemically-active surface area (ECSA) upon introduction of hydrophobicity. Capacitance 124 measurements of the hydrophobic dendrite indicated the surface had very limited electrical contact with the solution, displaying an ECSA of  $3 \times 10^{-3}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>, much lower than the 21 cm<sup>2</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> obtained 125 on the wettable dendrite (where  $cm^2 cm^{-2}$  indicates the ECSA of the dendritic electrode vs. that of a 126 127 flat electrode, Supplementary Figure 7). BET analysis through Kr adsorption measurements revealed that the ECSA disparity is not from a loss in geometric surface area upon alkanethiol treatment, as 128 these remained similar: 90 cm<sup> $^{2}$ </sup> cm<sup> $^{-2}$ </sup> and 92 cm<sup> $^{2}$ </sup> cm<sup> $^{-2}$ </sup> for the *wettable dendrite* and *hydrophobic* 129 *dendrite*, respectively (where  $cm^2 cm^{-2}$  indicates the BET-derived surface area of the dendritic 130 131 electrode vs. the area of a flat electrode). The decrease in ECSA is therefore induced by gas trapping at the interface between the hydrophobic dendrite and solution, as illustrated in Figure 2j (top 132 133 panel).

134 Upon application of reducing potential over 60 minutes in aqueous electrolyte (0.1 M CsHCO<sub>3</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> 135 saturated) the ECSA of the *hydrophobic dendrite* electrode increased to  $0.2 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ cm}^{-2}$  (Supplementary

136 Figure 7b), which can also be seen through linear sweep voltammetry (LSV, Supplementary Figure 8). This increase is assigned to loss of 1% of alkanethiol when reaching labile Cu<sup>0</sup> oxidation states, as the 137 ECSA is 1% of the wettable dendrite (21  $\text{cm}^2 \text{ cm}^{-2}$ ). This loss occurs at the point of the electrode 138 closest to the electrolyte, as suggested through SEM images that show brighter Cu regions at the tips 139 140 of the dendrite (Figure 2i and Supplementary Figure 9). Similar activation has been documented on other hydrophobic electrodes.<sup>26</sup> The *hydrophobic dendrite* therefore requires an initial application of 141 142 potential to generate a stable liquid electrode gas triple-phase boundary at the top of the dendrite 143 where electrochemical reactions take place, as illustrated in Figure 2j. This activation was monitored 144 through one day of repeated LSV scans, wherein the current at -1.4 V vs. RHE stabilised 3-5 times 145 lower than that of the *wettable* equivalent (Supplementary Figure 10).

146 To ensure the hydrophobic dendrite maintained its hydrophobicity upon application of potential, contact angle measurements were carried out after 12 hours of electrolysis at -15 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> 147 148 (Supplementary Figure 11). The resultant angle of 143° indicated that the hydrophobic surface treatment was not removed. Furthermore, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectroscopy showed no 1-octadecanethiol 149 150 within the electrolyte after electrolysis (Supplementary Figure 12), although some dissolved 151 alkanethiol may be present below the detection limit of the experiment. XPS analysis before and 152 after electrolysis showed similar ratios of Cu:S on the sample (Supplementary Table 2), however a portion of the 1-octadecanethiol is converted into alkanesulfonates (Supplementary Figure 5c, 168.6 153 eV), which is known to occur upon exposure of alkanethiol monolayers to air.<sup>35</sup> Nevertheless, HR-154 155 TEM and EF-TEM at the C-K edge (Figure 2k, I and m) do show the monolayer loses density and that 156 large carbonaceous deposits are present on the nanostructure after electrolysis. We thus propose 157 that the majority of the C<sub>18</sub>-alkane chain does not dissolve from the electrode surface, but a portion 158 may move across the surface to form aliphatic agglomerates, explaining how the surface maintains 159 hydrophobicity whilst allowing current to pass.

#### Comparing the catalytic activity of hydrophobic and wettable Cu dendrites

162 Figure 3a shows the LSV of the hydrophobic dendrite and equivalent wettable dendrite in CO<sub>2</sub>-163 saturated CsHCO<sub>3</sub> electrolyte (0.1 M, pH 6.8). Cs<sup>+</sup> cations were used due to their superior ability to 164 buffer pH changes at the electrode|solution interface during electrolysis compared to other cations,<sup>36</sup> thereby eliminating changes in surface pH as a determinant on selectivity. To reach a 165 current of -5 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, the wettable dendrite required a potential of -0.68 V vs. RHE, while the 166 167 hydrophobic dendrite required a more negative potential of -1.38 V vs. RHE. The lowered current at 168 a given potential can be partly explained by the significantly lower ECSA of the hydrophobic dendrite but should also be assigned to the lack of proton reduction activity exhibited by this electrode. 169 170 Controlled potential electrolysis (CPE) confirmed this, as even at highly cathodic potentials the 171 hydrophobic dendrite has vastly lowered H<sub>2</sub> evolution activity: At -1.6 V vs. RHE, the hydrophobic dendrite displays  $H_2$  evolution activity below 10%, while the wettable displays values above 60% 172 (Figure 3b and 3c). In place of H<sub>2</sub> evolution, the hydrophobic dendrite presents superior CO<sub>2</sub> 173 174 reduction efficiency for both  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  products (Figures 3b and c), except at -1.2 V vs. RHE, at which 175 point current was too low for detection of C<sub>2</sub> products (Supplementary Figure 13). To confirm that 176 the optimal CO<sub>2</sub> reduction selectivity on the wettable dendrite was not attained at lower potentials, CPE at less cathodic biases was carried out (Supplementary Figure 14). 177

178 During electrolysis,  $CO_2$  was introduced as a stream of gas from the bottom of the cell (illustration 179 provided in Supplementary Figure 15). When using the hydrophobic dendrite, the capture and 180 retention of the gaseous  $CO_2$  stream was observed, causing a bubble to engulf the entire electrode 181 surface (Figure 3e, Supplementary Video 1). If the gas flow was not incident to the hydrophobic 182 dendrite to constantly refill this bubble, formation of C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> products was severely reduced 183 (Figure 3d), indicating that the captured  $CO_2$  at the electrode surface is the predominant substrate of 184 the hydrophobic dendrite. At lower partial pressures of  $CO_2$  in the gas stream, the  $CO_2$  reduction rate 185 dropped accordingly (Supplementary Figure 16).



Figure 3 | The effect of hydrophobicity on electrocatalytic CO<sub>2</sub> reduction. (a) Linear sweep 187 188 voltammogram of the wettable and hydrophobic dendrite ( $v = 20 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ ). (b,c) Controlled potential 189 electrolysis product FEs from the *wettable* and *hydrophobic* dendrite at various potentials. (d) 190 Product formation FE at the hydrophobic dendrite after controlled current electrolysis at -30 mA cm<sup>-</sup> <sup>2</sup> inside of and outside of the  $CO_2$  flow at 2.5 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. (e) Photos of the capture and release of a  $CO_2$ 191 bubble on the hydrophobic dendrite surface. (f) Product formation FEs at the hydrophobic vs. 192 wettable dendrite when passing an overall current density of -30 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. In all cases the 193 electrolyte was CO<sub>2</sub>-saturated CsHCO<sub>3</sub> (0.1 M, pH 6.8, room temperature). Unless stated otherwise, a 194  $CO_2$  flow rate of 5 ml min<sup>-1</sup> was used throughout electrolysis. Gaseous products were analysed after 195 196 10 and 30 minutes and liquid products after 35 minutes of electrolysis. Error bars are based on the 197 standard deviation of 3 individual measurements.

198 Control experiments without hierarchical Cu surface morphology were undertaken using a flat Cu 199 electrode treated with 1-octadecanethiol. Neither gas trapping nor large contact angles were 200 observed (contact angle: 90°, Supplementary Figure 17) and the electrode did not show a drastic 201 increase in selectivity for  $CO_2$  reduction compared to a pristine Cu electrode (see Supplementary Table 3). The combination of hydrophobic treatment and hierarchical morphology thereforefacilitate gas trapping.

Controlled current electrolysis (CCE) at -30 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for the two Cu dendrites was undertaken to 204 205 understand their selectivity while exerting the same mass transport pressure on the solution (Figure 3f). The hydrophobic dendrite required a higher cathodic applied potential to reach -30 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> (E =206 207 -1.1 V--1.5 V vs. RHE, IR corrected), but had much higher selectivity for CO2 reduction: CO (3% 208 hydrophobic; 1% wettable), methane (7% hydrophobic; 0% wettable), ethylene (56% hydrophobic; 209 9% wettable) ethanol (17% hydrophobic; 4% wettable) and acetic acid (1% hydrophobic; 0.4% wettable). In contrast, the wettable dendrite required a less cathodic potential to reach -30 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> 210 211 (E = -0.8 V--1.0 V vs. RHE, IR corrected) as it carried out mostly H<sub>2</sub> evolution (10% hydrophobic; 71%) 212 wettable), however it also showed the highest selectivity for formate (2% hydrophobic; 7% wettable), ethane (0 % hydrophobic; 0.5% wettable) and n-propanol formation (0 % hydrophobic; 2% 213 214 wettable, not pictured). The hydrophobic dendrite's selectivity for C<sub>2</sub> products (74% total) rivals that 215 of state-of-the-art gas-diffusion electrode systems in alkaline conditions (66% ethylene, 11% ethanol, 6% acetate),<sup>20</sup> however the achieved currents and overpotentials are poorer in the neutral 216 217 pH electrolyte.

218 Extended CO<sub>2</sub> reduction on the hydrophobic dendrite over 5 hours at a controlled current density of 219 -30 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> showed a high ethylene and ethanol efficiency of 30%-55% and 12-22%, respectively (Supplementary Figure 18). During the experiment, C<sub>2</sub> product formation was again sensitive to 220 221 interaction with inbound  $CO_2$ : drops in  $C_2$  production were observed when  $CO_2$  flow fell out of line 222 with the electrode surface (Supplementary Figure 18, as indicated), however the stream could be 223 adjusted to restore activity. Despite this, a gradual decrease in C<sub>2</sub> production activity was apparent, 224 coinciding with destruction of regions of the dendrite surface (Supplementary Figure 19). This 225 destruction is assigned to the mechanical stress imposed by continual collision of bubbles with the 226 electrode surface. Engineering-efforts using vapor-fed electrodes to relieve this stress are ongoing.

227 The gas-trapping of the hydrophobic dendrite could also be exploited for CO reduction, where low 228 substrate concentration is particularly problematic ([CO] = 1 mM at 1 atm at room temperature).<sup>4</sup> 229 CCE at -30 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> in a CO flow on the hydrophobic dendrite showed 23.5% FE for CO reduction, 230 compared to 0.88% on the *wettable dendrite* in 1 M KOH (Supplementary Figure 20, Supplementary 231 Table 4). Further experiments showed that C<sub>2</sub> selectivity during CO reduction on the hydrophobic 232 dendrite is greatly promoted in pH 14 solution (1 M KOH C<sub>1</sub>: C<sub>2</sub> ratio = 1:24), in comparison to pH 7 233 (0.1 M KPi  $C_1:C_2$  ratio = 1:1.7, Supplementary Figure 20). Additional  $CO_2$  reduction experiments in 234 more acidic conditions (pH 4.6, CO<sub>2</sub>-saturated 0.1 M KPi) similarly showed a decrease in C<sub>2</sub> selectivity 235  $(C_1:C_2 \text{ ratio} = 1:0.8, \text{ Supplementary Figure 21, Supplementary Table 5})$ . Formic acid reduction 236 experiments produced only H<sub>2</sub>, excluding it as a source of C<sub>2</sub> products (Supplementary Table 6).

237 Explanation of the reported data is given in Figure 4a-d, which show a single strand of the dendritic Cu in its wettable (Figure 4a and b) and hydrophobic form (Figure 4c and d). Since highly cathodic 238 239 potentials are applied, it is assumed that both the dendrites react rapidly with either  $H^+$  or CO<sub>2</sub> to form Cu-H\* or Cu-COOH\* intermediates respectively,<sup>37</sup> and therefore selectivity is controlled by 240 241 mass transport of the two substrates. The wettable dendrite has a large liquid|electrode interface 242 therefore only dissolved  $H^+/CO_2$  are substrates (Figure 4a). A higher proportion of Cu-H\* groups is then expected, which promotes H<sub>2</sub> formation (Figure 4b). On the other hand, the electrolyte is 243 244 pushed away from the hydrophobic dendrite Cu surface, forming an electrolyte|solid|gas triple-245 phase boundary at the electrode (Figure 4c).  $CO_2$  mass transport is then omnidirectional, while H<sup>+</sup> 246 comes unilaterally from bulk solution, which drastically increases the local CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. The 247 surface concentration of Cu–COOH\* and the subsequently formed Cu–CO\* is then greatly increased over Cu-H\*. This promotes C-C coupling and therefore the efficiency for C<sub>2</sub> products is increased 248 249 (Figure 4d). Reduction of CO without coupling is also possible, explaining the enhanced CH<sub>4</sub> production. Based on the presented CO reduction experiments, the selectivity for C<sub>2</sub> over CH<sub>4</sub> can be 250 251 increased with high solution pH, corroborating recent reports that C<sub>2</sub> formation at high overpotential is dependent on high concentrations of Cu–CO\*, while  $CH_4$  formation requires a rate-limiting electron-proton transfer to a Cu–CHOH\* intermediate.<sup>38</sup>



Figure 4 | The proposed role of hydrophobicity in promoting  $CO_2$  reduction over proton reduction. (a,b) The *wettable dendrite* under operation, showing reactant mass transport and product formation on the electrode surface. (c, d) The operation of the *hydrophobic dendrite*, illustrating the enhanced  $CO_2$  mass transport from the triple-phase boundary between electrolyte, electrode and gaseous  $CO_2$  and the resultant formation of key products on the surface.

Perhaps more interesting are the products from  $CO_2$  reduction produced solely on the *wettable dendrite*: ethane and *n*-propanol, albeit in small quantities (below 5%). The lack of these products on the *hydrophobic dendrite*, as well as other highly efficient C<sub>2</sub>-forming electrodes,<sup>20</sup> suggests that

hydrogen transfer is a rate limiting step in their formation and therefore they require a high concentration of Cu–H\*. This is supported by recent reports that show high efficiencies for  $CO_2$ reduction to *n*-propanol with relatively high H<sub>2</sub>-evolution activity<sup>39</sup> and also reports of ethane formation on surfaces that generate large quantities of H<sub>2</sub>.<sup>40</sup>

267 The presented experiments led us to consider other reported catalysts for C<sub>2</sub> product formation, which have been traditionally Cu oxides.<sup>23</sup> Many explanations for their activity are available, but we 268 hypothesize that the combination of nanostructured surfaces with hydrophobic Cu<sub>2</sub>O<sup>41</sup> creates 269 270 similar gaseous voids that trap  $CO_2$  to create an electrolyte electrode gas triple-phase boundary. 271 Regions not in contact with electrolyte solution on these surfaces would explain why oxides are still spectroscopically visible *in operando*,<sup>12</sup> despite their expected removal at cathodic potential.<sup>42</sup> It may 272 also explain the low long-term stability of such surfaces, as removal of the oxides increases surface 273 wettability. Further support for this concept is illustrated by stable C2 production on gas-diffusion 274 electrodes,<sup>20</sup> whose hydrophobicity is not as susceptible to reduction and as such maintain their 275 276 electrolyte | electrode | gas interfaces.

277

### 278 Conclusion

In summary, a hydrophobic coating of long-chain alkanethiols on dendritic Cu, with no further modification, leads to a drastic increase in CO<sub>2</sub> reduction selectivity. The difference is a result of a plastron effect; a gaseous layer trapped at the surface of the electrode that increases the local CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, allowing the Cu dendrite to match the high selectivity for C<sub>2</sub> products reported on Culoaded gas-diffusion electrodes.<sup>20</sup> In the present form, the electrode suffers from some drawbacks for implementation in technological devices and future work will focus on promoting stable hydrophobicity on high-surface-area microporous electrodes to further increase activity.

We conclude that hydrophobicity, and the resultant gaseous voids that it introduces, is thus a governing factor of  $CO_2$  reduction selectivity on Cu and should be considered in the future design and understanding of electrocatalytic surfaces for both  $CO_2$  and CO reduction.

289 Methods

**General:** SEM images were performed on a SU-70 Hitachi FEGSEM fitted with an X-Max 50 mm<sup>2</sup> Oxford EDX spectrometer. PXRD was performed in Bragg-Brentano geometry using a BRUKER D8 Advance diffractometer with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda$ K $\alpha_1$ =1.54056 Å,  $\lambda$ K $\alpha_2$ =1.54439 Å) and a Lynxeye XE detector. Contact angle measurements were made on a slow-motion video camera with 15 µl of H<sub>2</sub>O. XPS spectra were performed using a Thermo ESCALAB 250 X-ray photoelectron spectrometer with a monochromatic Al-K $\alpha$  X-ray source ( $h\nu$  = 1486.6 eV).

**Preparation of Cu dendrites:** Square Cu surfaces of 1 cm<sup>2</sup> surface area were prepared from a Cu 296 297 plate (GoodFellow, 99.999%, 1 mm thickness) that had its sides, back and backside electrical contact 298 encased in epoxy resin (Loctite, Henkel). The surface was polished mechanically using alumina 299 micropolish on a polishing cloth (3 µm, Struers) followed by copious rinsing in water. Dendrite deposition was subsequently undertaken by applying -0.5 A cm<sup>-2</sup> to the electrode for 120 s in a 300 solution containing 0.1 M CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O (99.9%, Sigma Aldrich) in 1.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (Sigma Aldrich) 301 302 followed by rinsing under a gentle stream of water, then acetone. The electrode was then dried 303 under a stream of air.

Alkanethiol deposition: Application of 1-octadecanethiol (Sigma, 98%) was undertaken by first melting the waxy solid under vacuum at 60 °C. The electrode to be treated was then submerged into the liquid under Ar and left for 15 minutes at 60 °C. After this point the electrode was moved to a solution of ethyl acetate at 60 °C to remove excess 1-octadecanethiol and allowed to dry in ambient conditions.

Electrocatalytic analysis: Electrochemical analysis was carried out in an air-tight two compartment electrochemical cell separated by a Nafion membrane (Alfa Aesar, N115) or bipolar membrane (Fuel cell store, Fumasep FBM). The counter electrode was a Pt wire (GoodFellow) and the reference a Ag/AgCl wire in KCl (3 M KCl, palmsens). The electrolyte was deaerated/saturated with  $CO_2/CO/Ar$ before each experiment by bubbling  $CO_2$  ( $\geq$  99.998%, Linde), CO (Linde) or Ar (Linde) for at least 10 minutes.

315 During electrolysis the electrodes were placed at a  $45^{\circ}$  incidence to the CO<sub>2</sub> inlet, as this ensured all 316 bubbles of CO<sub>2</sub> from the bottom of the vessel would collide with the electrode surface. CO<sub>2</sub> was 317 flowed through the cathode compartment of the cell using a mass flow controller (Brooks 318 Instruments) and the solution was stirred. The headspace was connected to a gas chromatograph 319 (GC, discussed below) and was typically sampled at 10 and 30 minutes. The liquid phase was analysed for products by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR after 35 minutes (see below). Faradaic efficiency was calculated 320 321 based on the time before injection that was required to fill the GC injector sample loops (1 mL). This 322 is summarised in Equation 1.

323

324 Faradaic efficiency (%) = 
$$\frac{n_{product} \times n_{electrons} \times F}{(Q_{t=0} - Q_{t=x})} \times 100$$
 Equation 1

325

Where  $n_{\text{product}}$  is the product measured (mol),  $n_{\text{electrons}}$  is the number of electrons to make said product from CO<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O or CO/H<sub>2</sub>O, *F* is the Faraday constant (C mol<sup>-1</sup>),  $Q_{t=0}$  is the charge passed at the point of injection (C) and  $Q_{t=x}$  is the charge passed at *x* seconds before injection, (*x* being the time required to fill the GC sample loop based on sample loop size and gas flow rate, C).

330 During LSVs the electrode was placed outside of the  $CO_2$  inlet, no stirring was applied and  $CO_2$  flow 331 was decreased to 0.2 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. Potentials were converted to the Reversible Hydrogen Electrode (RHE) using the relationship: *E* (RHE) = E (Ag/AgCl) + 0.197 + (pH × 0.059). IR-drop correction of the potentials applied during controlled current electrolysis was undertaken manually using the resistance measured immediately after electrolysis was completed. The reported potentials are those measured at the time point of GC analysis (typically 10 or 30 minutes). IR-drop correction was not applied during controlled potential electrolysis, LSVs or CVs.

Electrochemically active surface area measurements: Electrochemically active surface area was measured through the capacitance of the electrodes in a 0.1 M solution of  $CsHCO_3$  (Sigma, 99.9%) saturated with  $CO_2$ . Capacitance was measured by analysis of the electrode cyclic voltammogram at -0.15 V vs. the standard hydrogen electrode (SHE) using Equation 2:

343 
$$\frac{ia-ic}{2} = Cv$$
 Equation 2

Where *C* is the capacitance (F),  $i_a$  is the anodic current at -0.15 V vs. SHE (A),  $i_c$  is the equivalent cathodic current (A) and v is the scan rate (V s<sup>-1</sup>). The capacitance was found by plotting the left side of Equation 2 against scan rate. Electrochemically-active surface area was then determined from the difference between the capacitance of the nanostructured surfaces relative to a flat 1 cm<sup>2</sup> Cu surface.

**Gas chromatography:** Gas chromatography was carried out on an SRI instruments MG#5 GC with Ar carrier gas.  $H_2$  was quantified using a thermal conductivity detector and separated from other gases with a HaySepD precolumn attached to a 3 m molecular sieve column. All carbon-based products were detected using a flame-ionisation detector equipped with a methanizer and were separated either using a 3 m molecular sieve column (CO, CH<sub>4</sub>) or a 5 m HaySepD column (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>). Calibration was performed using a custom mixture of each gas in CO<sub>2</sub>.

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectroscopy was undertaken on a Bruker Avance III 300 MHz spectrometer at 300
 K. A sample of the liquid phase electrolyte was taken and D<sub>2</sub>O was added as a locking solvent, along
 with an aqueous terephthalic acid solution that served as a reference for quantification. A Pre SAT180 water suppression method was carried out to remove the water peak from each spectrum.<sup>43</sup>

359 BET: Surface areas were obtained from analysis of Kr adsorption isotherms measured on a BelSorp Max set-up at 77 K. Prior to the measurement, samples were treated under vacuum at 130°C for at 360 361 least 7 h. Surface areas were estimated using the BET model (Kr cross-sectional area 0.210 nm<sup>2</sup>). The 362 BET sample was prepared by undertaking the described dendrite preparation procedure on a large 363 Cu surface (3x3 cm<sup>2</sup>) to grow enough dendrite for measurement. Alkanethiol treatment of the large 364 electrode was carried out by covering the dendrite in a powder of 1-octadecanethiol and inserting 365 the resultant surface horizontally in a vacuum oven at 100°C for 15 minutes. The electrode was subsequently removed and left in a bath of warm ethyl acetate at 60 °C for 5 minutes. Once dry, the 366 367 dendritic Cu was carefully scraped off the underlying Cu support for analysis. The value derived from the BET measurement, reported in  $m^2 g^{-1}$ , was converted to  $cm^2 cm^{-2}$  by multiplying it by the mass 368 of deposited dendrite onto the 1 cm<sup>2</sup> flat Cu support (5 mg for the *wettable dendrite* and 4 mg for 369 370 the hydrophobic dendrite).

TEM/STEM: Transmission electron microscopy images and chemical maps were acquired with a Jeol 2100F microscope operated at 200 kV and equipped with a UHR pole piece. XEDS spectra were acquired in STEM mode with the same microscope, equipped with a Jeol system for X-ray detection and cartography. Energy-Filtered TEM images at zero loss and C-K edge were acquired using a Gatan GIF 2991 spectrometer. Samples for TEM were prepared by shaking a lacey carbon TEM grid in a vial containing a small amount of Cu dendrite powder.

ATR-FTIR: Attenuated total reflectance-Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy was carried on a
0.5 mm thick Si-prism coated with 3-5 nm of Cu in a metal vacuum-evaporation apparatus.

379	Alkanethiolation of the prism was undertaken as described above. ATR-FTIR was undertaken while			
380	the fro	ont of the prism was exposed to a solution of 0.1 M CsHCO <sub>3</sub> under CO <sub>2</sub> .		
381				
382	Data Availability Statement			
383	Raw data used in preparation of this manuscript is available to download at (TO BE FILLED AT PROOF			
384	STAGE).			
385				
386	Refere	ences		
387	1.	IPCC, 2013. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I		
388		to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2013).		
389	2.	Raciti, D. & Wang, C. Recent Advances in CO2 Reduction Electrocatalysis on Copper. ACS		
390		Energy Lett. <b>3,</b> 1545–1556 (2018).		
391	3.	Qiao, J., Liu, Y., Hong, F. & Zhang, J. A review of catalysts for the electroreduction of carbon		
392		dioxide to produce low-carbon fuels. Chem. Soc. Rev. 43, 631–675 (2014).		
393	4.	Sander, R. Compilation of Henry's law constants, version 3.99. Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.		
394		<b>14,</b> 29615–30521 (2014).		
395	5.	Hori, Y., Kikuchi, K. & Suzuki, S. Production of CO and CH4 in electrochemical reduction of		
396		CO2 at metal electrodes in aqueous hydrogencarbonate solution. Chem. Lett. 14, 1695–1698		
397		(1985).		
398	6.	Seymour, R. S. & Hetz, S. K. The diving bell and the spider: the physical gill of Argyroneta		
399		aquatica. <i>J. Exp. Biol.</i> <b>214,</b> 2175–2181 (2011).		
400	7.	Wang, J., Zhang, F., Kang, X. & Chen, S. Organic functionalization of metal catalysts: Enhanced		

- 401 activity towards electroreduction of carbon dioxide. *Curr. Opin. Electrochem.* 13, 40–46
  402 (2019).
- 403 8. Zhou, Y. *et al.* Dopant-induced electron localization drives CO2 reduction to C2 hydrocarbons.
  404 *Nat. Chem.* 10, 974–980 (2018).
- 405 9. Varela, A. S., Kroschel, M., Reier, T. & Strasser, P. Controlling the selectivity of CO2
  406 electroreduction on copper: The effect of the electrolyte concentration and the importance
  407 of the local pH. *Catal. Today* 260, 8–13 (2016).
- 408 10. Piontek, S. *et al.* Bio-inspired design: bulk iron–nickel sulfide allows for efficient solvent409 dependent CO2 reduction. *Chem. Sci.* 10, 1075–1081 (2019).
- 410 11. Li, Y. *et al.* Structure-Sensitive CO2 Electroreduction to Hydrocarbons on Ultrathin 5-fold
  411 Twinned Copper Nanowires. *Nano Lett.* **17**, 1312–1317 (2017).
- 412 12. De Luna, P. *et al.* Catalyst electro-redeposition controls morphology and oxidation state for
  413 selective carbon dioxide reduction. *Nat. Catal.* 1, 103–110 (2018).
- 414 13. Tang, W. *et al.* The importance of surface morphology in controlling the selectivity of

415 polycrystalline copper for CO2 electroreduction. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **14**, 76–81 (2012).

- 416 14. Jeon, H. S., Kunze, S., Scholten, F. & Roldan Cuenya, B. Prism-Shaped Cu Nanocatalysts for
  417 Electrochemical CO2 Reduction to Ethylene. *ACS Catal.* 8, 531–535 (2018).
- 418 15. Reller, C. *et al.* Electrocatalysis: Selective Electroreduction of CO2 toward Ethylene on Nano
  419 Dendritic Copper Catalysts at High Current Density. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 7, (2017).
- 420 16. Dutta, A., Rahaman, M., Luedi, N. C., Mohos, M. & Broekmann, P. Morphology Matters:
- 421 Tuning the Product Distribution of CO2 Electroreduction on Oxide-Derived Cu Foam Catalysts.
  422 ACS Catal. 6, 3804–3814 (2016).
- 423 17. Huo, Y., Peng, X., Liu, X., Li, H. & Luo, J. High Selectivity Toward C2H4 Production over Cu

424 Particles Supported by Butterfly-Wing-Derived Carbon Frameworks. *ACS Appl. Mater.* 

425 *Interfaces* **10**, 12618–12625 (2018).

426 18. Hoang, T. T. H. *et al.* Nanoporous Copper–Silver Alloys by Additive-Controlled

427 Electrodeposition for the Selective Electroreduction of CO2 to Ethylene and Ethanol. J. Am.

- 428 *Chem. Soc.* **140**, 5791–5797 (2018).
- Higgins, D., Hahn, C., Xiang, C., Jaramillo, T. F. & Weber, A. Z. Gas-Diffusion Electrodes for
  Carbon Dioxide Reduction: A New Paradigm. *ACS Energy Lett.* 4, 317–324 (2019).
- 431 20. Dinh, C.-T. *et al.* CO2 electroreduction to ethylene via hydroxide-mediated copper catalysis at
  432 an abrupt interface. *Science* 360, 783–787 (2018).
- 433 21. Huan, T. N. *et al.* Low-cost high-efficiency system for solar-driven conversion of CO2 to
  434 hydrocarbons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* **116**, 9735–9740 (2019).
- 435 22. Li, C. W. & Kanan, M. W. CO2 Reduction at Low Overpotential on Cu Electrodes Resulting
  436 from the Reduction of Thick Cu2O Films. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **134**, 7231–7234 (2012).
- 437 23. Mistry, H. *et al.* Highly selective plasma-activated copper catalysts for carbon dioxide
  438 reduction to ethylene. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 12123 (2016).
- 439 24. Checco, A., Hofmann, T., DiMasi, E., Black, C. T. & Ocko, B. M. Morphology of Air
  440 Nanobubbles Trapped at Hydrophobic Nanopatterned Surfaces. *Nano Lett.* 10, 1354–1358
  441 (2010).
- 442 25. Melnichenko, Y. B. *et al.* Cavitation on Deterministically Nanostructured Surfaces in Contact
  443 with an Aqueous Phase: A Small-Angle Neutron Scattering Study. *Langmuir* **30**, 9985–9990
  444 (2014).
- 26. Zheng, D. *et al.* Salvinia-Effect-Inspired "Sticky" Superhydrophobic Surfaces by MeniscusConfined Electrodeposition. *Langmuir* 33, 13640–13648 (2017).

- Kopljar, D., Inan, A., Vindayer, P., Wagner, N. & Klemm, E. Electrochemical reduction of CO2
  to formate at high current density using gas diffusion electrodes. *J. Appl. Electrochem.* 44,
  1107–1116 (2014).
- 450 28. Neumann, D. & Woermann, D. Stability of the volume of air trapped on the abdomen of the
  451 water spider Argyroneta aquatica. *Springerplus* 2, 694 (2013).
- 452 29. Hokmabad, B. V. & Ghaemi, S. Effect of Flow and Particle-Plastron Collision on the Longevity
  453 of Superhydrophobicity. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 41448 (2017).
- 454 30. Huan, T. N. *et al.* Porous dendritic copper: an electrocatalyst for highly selective CO2
  455 reduction to formate in water/ionic liquid electrolyte. *Chem. Sci.* 8, 742–747 (2017).
- 456 31. Huan, T. N. *et al.* A Dendritic Nanostructured Copper Oxide Electrocatalyst for the Oxygen
  457 Evolution Reaction. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* 56, 4792–4796 (2017).
- Wang, Y., Im, J., Soares, J. W., Steeves, D. M. & Whitten, J. E. Thiol Adsorption on and
  Reduction of Copper Oxide Particles and Surfaces. *Langmuir* 32, 3848–3857 (2016).
- 33. Dilimon, V. S., Denayer, J., Delhalle, J. & Mekhalif, Z. Electrochemical and Spectroscopic Study
  of the Self-Assembling Mechanism of Normal and Chelating Alkanethiols on Copper. *Langmuir* 28, 6857–6865 (2012).
- 463 34. Aytug, J. T. S. and S. R. H. and T. Superhydrophobic materials and coatings: a review. *Reports*464 *Prog. Phys.* 78, 86501 (2015).
- 35. Schoenfisch, M. H. & Pemberton, J. E. Air Stability of Alkanethiol Self-Assembled Monolayers
  on Silver and Gold Surfaces. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **120**, 4502–4513 (1998).
- Singh, M. R., Kwon, Y., Lum, Y., Ager, J. W. & Bell, A. T. Hydrolysis of Electrolyte Cations
  Enhances the Electrochemical Reduction of CO2 over Ag and Cu. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138,
- 469 13006–13012 (2016).

470	37.	Kortlever, R., Shen, J., Schouten, K. J. P., Calle-Vallejo, F. & Koper, M. T. M. Catalysts and
471		Reaction Pathways for the Electrochemical Reduction of Carbon Dioxide. J. Phys. Chem. Lett.
472		<b>6,</b> 4073–4082 (2015).

- 473 38. Liu, X. *et al.* pH effects on the electrochemical reduction of CO2 towards C2 products on
  474 stepped copper. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 32 (2019).
- Rahaman, M., Dutta, A., Zanetti, A. & Broekmann, P. Electrochemical Reduction of CO2 into
  Multicarbon Alcohols on Activated Cu Mesh Catalysts: An Identical Location (IL) Study. ACS *Catal.* 7, 7946–7956 (2017).
- 478 40. Chen, C. S., Wan, J. H. & Yeo, B. S. Electrochemical Reduction of Carbon Dioxide to Ethane

479 Using Nanostructured Cu2O-Derived Copper Catalyst and Palladium(II) Chloride. J. Phys.

480 *Chem. C* **119**, 26875–26882 (2015).

- 481 41. Zahiri, B., Sow, P. K., Kung, C. H. & Mérida, W. Active Control over the Wettability from
  482 Superhydrophobic to Superhydrophilic by Electrochemically Altering the Oxidation State in a
  483 Low Voltage Range. *Adv. Mater. Interfaces* 4, 1700121 (2017).
- 484 42. Eilert, A. *et al.* Subsurface Oxygen in Oxide-Derived Copper Electrocatalysts for Carbon
  485 Dioxide Reduction. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 8, 285–290 (2017).
- 486 43. Mo, H. & Raftery, D. Pre-SAT180, a simple and effective method for residual water
  487 suppression. *J. Magn. Reson.* **190**, 1–6 (2008).
- 488

### 489 Supplementary Information

490 Supplementary Figures 1-21, Supplementary Tables 1-6 and Supplementary Video 1 are provided as

491 Supplementary Materials.

492 Acknowledgements

V.M. acknowledges financial support from CNRS-Cellule Energie and Fondation of Collège de France
for the acquisition of the GC equipment. D.W. was supported by an Idex PSL grant (ANR-10-IDEX001-02 PSL★), the Fondation of Collège de France and the Marie Curie PRESTIGE Fellowship
programme. S.L. was funded by the Corps des Ponts, des Eaux et des Forêts. XRD measurements was
carried out by Dr Gwenaelle Rousse at the Collège de France. SEM images were collected by David
Montero at the Institut des Matériaux de Paris. BET measurements were carried by Dr Juliette
Blanchard at the Laboratoire de Réactivité de Surface at the Université de Pierre et Marie Curie.

# 500 Author contributions

501 D.W., M.F. and V.M. conceived the research. D.W. and S.L. performed electrocatalysis and 502 characterization. N.M. carried out TEM measurements. F.O., S.L. and D.W. carried out IR 503 experiments. XPS was carried out by D.M. and P.M. All authors analyzed the data. D.W. wrote the 504 manuscript. S.L., M.F. and V.M. added to the discussion and contributed to the preparation of the 505 manuscript. M.F. and V.M. supervised the work.

506 **Competing interests:** Authors declare no competing interests.

## 507 Author Information

- 508 \*marc.fontecave@college-de-france.fr (M.F.)
- 509 \*mougel@inorg.chem.ethz.ch (V.M.)

510 Current address of Victor Mougel: Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences, Laboratory of

- 511 Inorganic Chemistry, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zürich, Valdimir-Prelog-Weg 1, 8093
- 512 Zürich, Switzerland
- 513 END OF MANUSCRIPT