



**HAL**  
open science

## Impact of nine macroalgal diets on growth and initial reproductive investment in juvenile abalone *Haliotis tuberculata*

Sabine Roussel, Claire Caralp, Catherine Leblanc, Fabienne Le Grand, Valérie Stiger-Pouvreau, Céline Coulombet, Nelly Le Goïc, Sylvain Huchette

### ► To cite this version:

Sabine Roussel, Claire Caralp, Catherine Leblanc, Fabienne Le Grand, Valérie Stiger-Pouvreau, et al.. Impact of nine macroalgal diets on growth and initial reproductive investment in juvenile abalone *Haliotis tuberculata*. *Aquaculture*, 2019, 513, pp.734385. 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.734385. hal-02404637

HAL Id: hal-02404637

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-02404637>

Submitted on 20 Dec 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 **Impact of nine macroalgal diets on growth and initial reproductive**

2 **investment in juvenile abalone *Haliotis tuberculata***

3

4 Sabine ROUSSEL<sup>1,\*</sup>, Claire CARALP<sup>1,2</sup>, Catherine LEBLANC<sup>3,4</sup>, Fabienne LE GRAND<sup>1</sup>, Valérie  
5 STIGER-POUVREAU<sup>1</sup>, Céline COULOMBET<sup>2</sup>, Nelly LE GOÏC<sup>1</sup>, Sylvain HUCHETTE<sup>2</sup>

6 <sup>1</sup> Univ Brest, CNRS, IRD, Ifremer, LEMAR, F-29280 Plouzane, France

7 <sup>2</sup> France Haliotis, Kerazan Lilia, F-29880 Plouguerneau, France

8 <sup>3</sup> Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 8227, Integrative Biology of Marine Models,  
9 Station Biologique de Roscoff, CS 90074, F-29688 Roscoff, France

10 <sup>4</sup> CNRS, UMR 8227, Integrative Biology of Marine Models, Station Biologique de Roscoff, CS  
11 90074, F-29688 Roscoff CEDEX, France

12 \*Corresponding author: Sabine Roussel

13 Tel.: 33 (0) 2 98 01 70 43

14 E-mail address: [sabine.roussel@univ-brest.fr](mailto:sabine.roussel@univ-brest.fr)

15

16

17

18 **Abstract**

19 The commercial culture of *Haliotis tuberculata* has recently started in Europe. As abalone is  
20 herbivorous, the use of local collected algae as feed may appear advantageous. The nutritional  
21 value of eight monospecific seaweed diets was studied using *Palmaria palmata*  
22 (Rhodophyta), filamentous algae, mainly *Gracilaria* sp. (Rhodophyta), *Enteromorpha* sp. and  
23 *Ulva lactuca* (Chlorophyta), together with *Saccharina latissima*, *Saccorhiza polyschides*,  
24 *Laminaria digitata* and *Laminaria hyperborea* (Ochrophyta, Phaeophyceae) and a mixed  
25 macroalgal diet. An integrative approach consisted in monitoring the seasonal composition  
26 changes of these algae in terms of protein, lipid, soluble carbohydrate, fatty acid and amino-  
27 acid contents, and to relate it to seasonal growth and reproduction investment during a large-  
28 scale experiment. Abalone and algae were studied for one year in commercial sea-cage  
29 structures. Abalone fed with monospecific diet using either *P. palmata* or *S. latissima*, and  
30 with mixed diet presented the best growth rate, muscle ratio and gonad development. Seasonal  
31 daily weight gain was mainly associated with n-3/n-6 ratio, soluble carbohydrate content and  
32 total protein content. In term of amino-acid contents, the daily weight gain was associated  
33 with free phenylalanine as well as isoleucine levels. Moreover, 90% of 2-years old abalone  
34 started gonad development but less than a quarter featured a fully matured gonad. The gonad  
35 development of *H. tuberculata* was mostly associated to total valine, methionine, leucine,  
36 arginine and isoleucine levels. The age of initial sexual maturity in *H. tuberculata* turned to be  
37 a highly plastic trait in response to different growth rates and algal diets. Even if *P. palmata* is  
38 the best option for growth performance, mixed diets should probably be preferred to a  
39 monospecific diet in order to avoid too high pressure on a single algal resource.

40 Keywords: abalone; algae; reproduction; growth; protein

## 42 1. Introduction

43

44 Abalone farming in Europe is a small growing industry (Cook, 2014). The main advantages of  
45 an ecological and profitable abalone aquaculture business are the minimization of effluents  
46 and the use of a local and cheap sustainable resource as feed (Troell et al., 2006). In this  
47 context, the use of algal diet to feed the local species, *Haliotis tuberculata*, may appear  
48 advantageous. In addition, abalone health and condition can be improved when fed  
49 macroalgal diet (Dang et al., 2011; Stone et al., 2014). Nevertheless, feeding abalone with  
50 locally collected algae also presents many constraints. The main issues are about availability,  
51 cost and nutritional value of feed that will allow good growth rates and survival. Costs of  
52 seaweeds can vary depending on the cultivation or harvest techniques, species choice and  
53 environmental factors (Kirkendale et al., 2010). A huge variability of feeding costs using  
54 algae were reported, representing approximately 14% of the production costs for a land-based  
55 cultivation system of Australian abalone (Kirkendale et al., 2010) and up to 60% for *Haliotis*  
56 *tuberculata* farmed in sea-rearing systems (www.sudevab.com consulted 29/03/2012). When  
57 comparing cost and growth between a *P. palmata* diet, which gives the best growth  
58 performance for *H. tuberculata* (Mai et al., 1996), and a formulated diet, similar results were  
59 found (Basuyaux, 2000). The availability of wild harvested macroalgae is a major issue for  
60 the development of aquaculture production (Troell et al., 2006). France has a high potential  
61 for macroalgal harvesting (Alban et al., 2011) with at least 20 species gathered, most of them  
62 coming from Brittany (Mesnildrey et al., 2012). *Palmaria palmata* is characterized by a high  
63 market price in Brittany (0.4 up to 2 € / kg fresh weight, Basuyaux et al. 2018, Huchette, pers.  
64 comm.). The most harvested species is *Laminaria digitata* with more than 40 000 tons  
65 harvested annually (0.04 € / kg up to 0.5 € /kg fresh weight, Basuyaux et al. 2018, Huchette,  
66 pers. comm.), followed by *L. hyperborea* reaching 26 000 tons. In contrast, less than 300 tons

67 of species such as *P. palmata* or *Ulva* species are harvested annually. Most of the algae  
68 harvested in France are used for food processing industry, chemistry and microbiology while  
69 less than 25% of seaweed is used in agricultural, health and well-being sectors (Mesnildrey et  
70 al., 2012).

71

72 In order to avoid the use of a single algal species by the industry, which would represent a  
73 danger for the sustainability of this species, alternating feeding with various species should be  
74 instituted if the European abalone industry wants to sustain its durability. In order to optimise  
75 feeding, algae need to be chemically characterised, their biochemical composition being  
76 highly species- (Mercer et al., 1993; Mai et al., 1994; Mai et al., 1995; Jung et al., 2013),  
77 seasonal- and site- (Renaud and Luong-Van, 2006; Villares et al., 2013; Schmid et al., 2014)  
78 dependent. Red algae, such as *P. palmata* and *Gracilaria* spp. are known to contain a  
79 relatively large proportion of protein with a year average of 18.3 % (Jacquin et al., 2006). A  
80 30 % total protein content was reported in *G. bursa-pastoris* (Valente et al., 2006) and *G.*  
81 *cornea* cultivated in tanks enriched with fertiliser. Green species belonging to *Ulva* spp and  
82 *Enteromorpha* spp. monitored in Japan contain respectively 26.1% and 19.5% of proteins,  
83 0.7% and 0.3% of lipids and 46.1% and 58.1% of carbohydrates (Nisizawa et al., 1987). In  
84 Brittany, the protein content of *P. palmata* varied from 9.7 % to 25.5 % of dry weight  
85 depending of the season : the protein content was reported to be lower during summer and  
86 autumn (12 – 15 %) and higher during winter and spring (22 – 25 %) (Galland-Irmouli et al.,  
87 1999; Jacquin et al., 2006). In Ireland, a protein content of 13% was reported in winter and  
88 spring in *Ulva lactuca* (Mercer et al., 1993). In this context, spatial and seasonal variations in  
89 the biochemical compositions of specific algal species available to this industry need to be  
90 studied in relation to *H. tuberculata* needs.

91

92 Only a few studies directly compared the effects of different macroalgal diets on growth  
93 focusing on their seasonal composition changes on the long term (Basuyaux et al., 2018) and  
94 on a commercial scale (Nelson et al., 2002). The first objective of this study was to determine  
95 the nutritional value of eight monospecific seaweed diets and their respective impact on the  
96 growth and reproduction of *H. tuberculata*, reared in large sea-based growing structures  
97 during one year. The second objective was to evaluate the seasonal variation of algal  
98 composition. The third objective was to determine the role of each major algal component in  
99 relation to the seasonal growth and reproduction of farmed abalone. Because the literature  
100 consistently demonstrates that growth is improved when abalone are fed with a combination  
101 of macroalgal species in preference to a single species (Stuart and Brown, 1994; Simpson and  
102 Cook, 1998; Qi et al., 2010a; Viera et al., 2011), a mixed diet based on algae easily collected  
103 on the shore at each specific season was also studied.

104

## 105 **2. Materials and Methods**

### 106 **2.1. Animals**

107 This study was located downstream from the Aber Wrac'h river (48°36'46N; 4°33'30W),  
108 Brittany, France between the beginning of February 2012 and the end of January 2013.  
109 Abalone were born in summer 2010 at the local hatchery France Haliotis (Plouguerneau,  
110 France). After one year spent in the land-based nursery tanks, juveniles were transferred to the  
111 sea in pre-growing compartments. During this stage, they were fed a mixed algal diet based  
112 essentially on *P. palmata*, *S. latissima* and *L. digitata* and received more occasionally smaller  
113 quantity of the other experimental algal diets. In February 2012 ( $t_0$ ), abalone were placed in 9  
114 abblox farming sea cages. Each cage was made of 4 compartments of 1 m<sup>3</sup> (1 m x 1 m x 1 m)  
115 with a squared mesh size of 5 mm, each compartment containing 1000 individuals. Initial

116 abalone length ( $L_{t0} = 24 \pm 0.15$  mm; mean  $\pm$  s.e.) and weight ( $W_{t0} = 2.2 \pm 0.041$  g; mean  $\pm$   
117 s.e.) were balanced between the treatments at the beginning of the experiment (Table 1).  
118 Eighteen rows of 30 circular black plastic oyster-seed collectors of 140 mm diameter were  
119 placed into the compartments as shelter for daytime. Each compartment was identified with a  
120 tag attached inside the compartment enabling to identify the seaweed diet and compartment  
121 number. The cages were moored on a long-line used for anchorage.

122

123

## 124 **2.2. Experimental design**

125 Abalone fed on monospecific diets of eight algal species. Among the large diversity of  
126 macroalgae in Brittany, we selected *Palmaria palmata* (**P**) and filamentous algae, mainly  
127 *Gracilaria* sp. (**G**) (Rhodophyta), *Enteromorpha* sp. (**E**) and *Ulva lactuca* (**U**) (Chlorophyta),  
128 *Saccharina latissima* (**S**), *Saccorhiza polyschides* (**B**), *Laminaria digitata* (**L**) and *Laminaria*  
129 *hyperborea* (**D**) (Ochrophyta Phaeophyceae). A ninth treatment (**M**: mixed diet) corresponded  
130 to the algae usually distributed to abalone in rearing facilities with different proportions  
131 according to the seasonal availability on the coast (yearly average distribution in wet weight;  
132 B: 15.5 %; D: 20.5%; P: 43%; S: 8%; U: 12%). Abalone were fed *ad libitum* at spring tide  
133 every month with algae harvested on the coast of Plouguerneau maximum 24 hours before  
134 distribution. The quantity of algae was adjusted each month in order to reach *ad libitum* algal  
135 distribution. The goal was to fill the compartment with algae in excess so that not all were  
136 eaten by the end of the month (at least 5% refusal). The quantity distributed ranged from 10  
137 kg up to 25 kg according to the algae and the season. Each treatment was replicated three  
138 times, each replicate being randomly placed in one of the nine abblox (Figure 1). An  
139 additional compartment was filled only with algae in order to monitor *in situ* algal

140 degradation. Due to the difficulty to harvest *Sacchoriza polyschides* and *Enteromorpha* sp. on  
141 the coast at the end of autumn period, the B and E treatments were stopped in October.

142 Multivariate integrative analysis of abalone seasonal growth and reproduction status was  
143 associated to detailed seasonal biochemical composition of algal diets, based on lipid content  
144 and fatty acid composition, soluble carbohydrate, protein content and amino-acid  
145 composition.

146

### 147 **2.3. Abalone measurements**

#### 148 2.3.1. Mortality

149 Empty shells were collected before each feeding. Mortality (%) after 11 months of diet was  
150 calculated as:

$$Mortality = (\text{total number of dead abalone} / \text{initial number of abalone}) * 100$$

151

#### 152 2.3.2. Growth

153 At the beginning of February 2012 ( $t_0$ ), April ( $t_2$ ), June ( $t_4$ ), August ( $t_6$ ), the end of October  
154 ( $t_8$ ) and the end of January 2013 ( $t_{11}$ ), 30 individuals from each compartment, i.e. 90  
155 individuals per treatment, were randomly collected (the 2<sup>nd</sup> nearest neighbour to the first  
156 sighted chosen randomly) and brought back to France Haliotis farm. After pressing the  
157 abalone in absorbent paper to remove water from the pallial cavity, abalone were weighed to  
158 the nearest 0.01 g using a balance (Toploader balance, Kern) ( $W_{t_0}$ ,  $W_{t_2}$ ,  $W_{t_4}$ ,  $W_{t_6}$ ,  $W_{t_8}$ ,  $W_{t_{11}}$ )  
159 and shell length was measured to the nearest 0.5 mm using a Vernier calliper ( $L_{t_0}$ ,  $L_{t_2}$ ,  $L_{t_4}$ ,  
160  $L_{t_6}$ ,  $L_{t_8}$ ,  $L_{t_{11}}$ ). After sampling, abalone individuals were removed from the experiment.

161

162 The following growth indices were calculated:



163 Final weight-to-shell length ratio ( $W/L_{t11}$ ,  $\text{g}\cdot\text{mm}^{-1}$ ) =  $W_{t11}/L_{t11}$

164 Final daily weight gain ( $DGW_{t0-11}$  in  $\text{mg}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ) =  $(W_{t11} - W_{t0})/\text{day}$

165 Final daily length gain ( $DGL_{t0-11}$  in  $\mu\text{m}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ) =  $(L_{t11} - L_{t0})/\text{day}$

166 Specific growth rate ( $SGR_w$ ,  $\%\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ) =  $100 \times ((\ln W_{t11} - \ln W_{t0})/\text{day})$

167 With  $W_{t0}$ : mean initial wet weight,  $W_{t11}$ : mean final wet weight, and day: days between initial  
168 and final measures

169

170 In addition, intermediate daily weight gain (DGW) and daily length gain (DLG) were  
171 calculated for each period ( $t_{0-2}$ ,  $t_{2-4}$ ,  $t_{4-6}$ ,  $t_{6-8}$ ,  $t_{8-11}$ ).

172

173 The final weight-to-shell length ratio ( $W/L$ ) was calculated in order to give an indication of  
174 the flesh volume per unit shell length growth as recommended by Naidoo et al. (2006). The  
175 value of an abalone priced by weight will depend on this ratio. In addition, this is an indicator  
176 of transformation rate if abalone individuals are sold eviscerated. Growth data were averaged  
177 per replicate (compartment) for analysis.

178

### 179 2.3.3. Gonad development

180 Twelve individuals per replicate were randomly sampled in July 2012 ( $n = 36$  individuals per  
181 treatment). This corresponds to the beginning of the spawning period in *H. tuberculata*  
182 (Girard, 1972). For each individual, the muscle was separated from the gonad-digestive gland  
183 (GDG comprising crop, stomach, spiral cecum and gonad) and from the rest of the soft tissues  
184 (head, gills, heart, mantle, hypobranchial glands, anus, and intestine) by the same  
185 experimenter to reduce dissection variability. Total soft tissue (weighed before muscle  
186 separation), muscle, GDG, the rest of the soft tissues and shell were weighed to the nearest

187 0.01 g, just after dissection. Shell length was measured to the nearest 0.5 mm. Reproduction  
188 data were averaged per replicate (compartment) for analysis.

189

190 A visual gonad index (*VGI*) ranked from 0 to 10 based on the progression of the early stages  
191 of gonad development was directly scored after dissection. Photographs were taken in order to  
192 verify direct observation afterward. The scores were adapted from the criteria defined by  
193 McAveney et al. (2004). This fine scale *VGI* allows good estimates of the error variance in  
194 the data and is adapted to distinguish between samples at the early stages of gonad  
195 development. Because of the extensive reticulation with the gut and small size of the *GDG*,  
196 the gonads could not be separated from the gut during dissection. In order to determine the  
197 relative proportion of gonads from the conical appendage, the *GDG* was sectioned across the  
198 midpoint of the conical appendage. The samples were fixed in Davidson solution for 24 h and  
199 then kept in 70 % alcohol. Thereafter, samples were dehydrated using several baths of 95 %  
200 and 100 % alcohol, and Claral® before being embedded in paraffin for histology. Scans were  
201 done of the gonadal sections using a desktop scanner. The numbers of pixels in the total area  
202 of section and the gonadal area were determined using ImageJ 1.45s (Wayne Rasband  
203 National Institutes of Health, USA).

204 The gonadal index (*GI*) was calculated, adapted from Shepherd and Laws (1974), as followed:

$$GI = \text{number of pixels for the gonadal area} / \text{total number of pixels of the section}$$

205

206

207 In order to study the relative organ development of abalone from each diet, the following  
208 variables were related to wet weight, with respect to the total weight ( $T_w$  = total soft tissue  
209 weight + shell weight):

$$GDGr = (GDG \text{ weight} / T_w) * 100$$

$$Mr = (\text{muscle weight} / Tw) * 100$$

$$Sr = (\text{shell weight} / Tw) * 100$$

210

## 211 **2.4. Algal measurements**

212

### 213 2.4.1. Algal degradation in sea-cage structure

214 Every month, algae left in the additional compartment without abalone was collected and  
215 weighed back on land with a 0.02 kg precision scale after draining of 1 hour. The degradation  
216 (*Pdegraded*) corresponded to the proportion of algae left in the compartment without abalone  
217 after one month. It was calculated as:  $((Q_{\text{dist}} - Q_{\text{coll}}) / Q_{\text{dist}}) * 100$  with  $Q_{\text{dist}}$ : total quantity of  
218 seaweed distributed and  $Q_{\text{coll}}$ : quantity of seaweed after one month in the cage without  
219 abalone. *Pdegraded* was analysed for 5 periods:  $t_{0-2}$ ,  $t_{2-4}$ ,  $t_{4-6}$ ,  $t_{6-8}$ ,  $t_{8-11}$ .

220

### 221 2.4.2. Biochemical analysis

222 For biochemical analyses, 100 g of freshly harvested macroalgae of each treatment were  
223 sampled in triplicate. Algae were immediately frozen and stored in a freezer at -20°C before  
224 freeze-drying at -55°C during 96 hours. The dry matter content of each species (*drymatter*)  
225 was determined weighting the samples before and after freeze-drying. The dry seaweeds were  
226 then ground to pieces of about 0.5 mm with a hammer mill. For lipid analysis, algae were  
227 immediately frozen with liquid nitrogen and stored in a freezer at -80°C before grinding.

### 228 2.4.3. Soluble carbohydrate analyses

229 Samples were analysed at  $t_0$ ,  $t_2$ ,  $t_4$ ,  $t_6$  and  $t_8$ . Soluble carbohydrate contents (potentially  
230 available, *carb*) were determined by an adaptation of the phenol sulphuric acid colorimetric  
231 method of Dubois et al. (1956). This method is based on the reduction of neutral sugars, and a

232 little part of uronic acids, in 5-hydroxymethylfurfural by phenol, giving a characteristic  
233 yellow colour. Absorbencies were measured at 492 nm with a microplate photometer  
234 (Multiskan™ FC, Thermo Scientific) and compared to glucose standard curve. Titrations were  
235 done in triplicate and averaged for each sample.

236

#### 237 2.4.4. Lipid analyses

238 Due to practical constraints, samples were only analysed at  $t_2$ . Lipid extraction was conducted  
239 on 150 to 200 mg algal powder according to Folch et al.'s method (1957) in 6 mL of a  
240 chloroform/methanol mixture (2/1, v/v). Lipid extracts were then flushed with nitrogen and  
241 stored at  $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  before analysis. Fatty acids (FA) were analysed as fatty acid methyl esters  
242 (FAME) after total lipid transesterification. Briefly, after addition of tricosanoic acid (23:0) as  
243 an internal standard and evaporation to dryness under nitrogen, 800  $\mu\text{L}$  of  $\text{MeOH}/\text{BF}_3$  (14 %  
244 by weight) was added and the transesterification reaction occurred during 10 min at  $100^{\circ}\text{C}$ , as  
245 described by Le Grand et al. (2014). Then, 0.8 mL of hexane was added and the organic phase  
246 containing FAME was washed three times with 1.5 mL of hexane-saturated distilled water.  
247 The organic phase was finally recovered for HPLC purification to isolate FAME. FAME were  
248 then analysed by a gas chromatograph (GC) VARIAN CP 3800 equipped with two automatic  
249 on column injectors and two flame ionization detectors (FID). FAME were separated using  
250 both polar (CPWAX 52 CB—30 m 9 0.25 mm i.d.; 0.25  $\mu\text{m}$  thickness, Varian) and non-polar  
251 (CP-Sil 8 CB—30 m 9 0.25 mm i.d.; 0.25  $\mu\text{m}$  thickness, Varian) capillary columns. Combined  
252 with the use of commercial and home-made analytical standards, this allowed to separate and  
253 identify FAME. The quantity of each fatty acid was quantified on a proportional basis relative  
254 to the peak area of the C23:0 internal standard. Quantitative fatty acid spectra obtained by GC  
255 were used to calculate the molar content of each fatty acid in the samples. Depending on the  
256 number of double bonds they displayed, FA were classified in three groups: saturated FA

257 (SFA, no insaturation), monounsaturated (MUFA, only one insaturation) and polyunsaturated  
258 (PUFA, two or more insaturations). FA could also be differentiated by the position of the first  
259 double bond from the terminal carbon: n-3 (omega 3) or n-6 (omega 6). The results used in  
260 the PCA were: total lipid content (*liptot*,  $\mu\text{g}$  FA / mg dry matter), n-3/n-6 ratio (*n3n6*), MUFA  
261 content (*lipmono*, % of total FA), PUFA content (*lippoly*, % of total FA) and SFA content  
262 (*lipsat*, % of total FA).

263

#### 264 2.4.5. Protein and amino-acid analyses

265 The protein content (*prot*) was determined for  $t_0$ ,  $t_4$ , and  $t_8$  based on Lowry's method (1951).  
266 Titrations were done in triplicate for each sample and averaged. The results obtained were  
267 used for the amino-acid quantification.

268 Three samples for a period were pooled in equivalent quantity for amino-acid content  
269 analysis. A hydrolysis was performed on the samples prior to amino-acid analysis.  
270 Hydrolysats were dried under vacuum, suspended in 1 mL of water containing 100  $\mu\text{M}$  3-  
271 aminobutyric acid (BABA) and used for subsequent analysis. For free amino-acid content, 10  
272 mg of the ground freeze-dried samples were used. A methanol–chloroform–water-based  
273 extraction was performed according to the following procedure: ground samples were  
274 suspended in 400  $\mu\text{L}$  of methanol containing 200  $\mu\text{M}$  3-aminobutyric acid. Suspensions were  
275 agitated for 15 min at room temperature. Then, 200  $\mu\text{L}$  of chloroform were added, followed  
276 by a 5 min agitation step. Finally, 400  $\mu\text{L}$  of water were added, and samples were vortexed  
277 vigorously and centrifuged at 13 000 g for 5 min to induce phase separation. The upper phase,  
278 which contained amino acids, was transferred to a clean microtube and used for subsequent  
279 analysis.

280 For total and free amino acid profiling, 50  $\mu\text{L}$  of each methanol–water extract were dried  
281 under vacuum. Dry residues were suspended in 50  $\mu\text{L}$  of ultrapure water and 5  $\mu\text{L}$  were used

282 for the derivatization employing the AccQ-Tag Ultra derivatization kit (Waters, Milford, MA,  
283 USA). Derivatized amino acids were analyzed using an Acquity UPLC-DAD system  
284 (Waters). BABA was used as internal standard.

285

286 Based on King et al. (1996) who described the essential amino acids for abalone, the  
287 following amino acids were used for the PCA analysis : arginine (*F-Arg, T-Arg*; with F  
288 indicating the free amino-acid and T total amino-acid), histidine (*F-Hist, T-Hist*), isoleucine  
289 (*F-Ile, T-Ile*), leucine (*F-Leu, T-Leu*), lysine (*F-Lys, T-Lys*), methionine (*F-Met and T-Met*),  
290 phenylalanine (*F-Phe, T-Phe*), threonine (*F-Thr, T-Thr*), tryptophan (*F-Tr, T-Tr*) and valine  
291 (*F-Val, T-Val*).

292

## 293 **2.5. Data analysis**

294

295 Data are represented as means and standard error (SE). Statistical analysis was performed  
296 with R version 3.5.1 software.

297 To study the effect of period and algae species on chemical algal composition, an analysis of  
298 variance was used, with algae (B, D, E, G, H, L, P and U) and period ( $t_{0-2}$ ,  $t_{2-4}$ ,  $t_{4-6}$ ,  $t_{6-8}$ ,  $t_{8-11}$  for  
299 dry matter content, degradation and carbohydrate analysis;  $t_0$ ,  $t_4$ ,  $t_8$ , for protein analysis;  
300 period was not included in the model for lipid because only one period analysis), and the  
301 interaction between algae and period as fixed factors. When normal distribution of the  
302 residuals and homogeneity of variances were verified and global effects were found, *post-hoc*  
303 Tukey tests for multiple comparisons of means were carried out. When normal distribution of  
304 the residuals or homogeneity of variances was not verified, log, square root or inverse  
305 transformations were used. If analysis of variance conditions were not fulfilled, a Welch test

306 was performed to study algal effect. If significant, pairwise post-hoc comparisons were  
307 carried out using Games-Howell post-hoc test based on Welch's degrees of freedom  
308 correction and uses Tukey's studentized range distribution. A Friedman test was used to test  
309 algal seasonal effect when conditions of analysis of variance were not fulfilled. However,  
310 interaction between the seasonal and algal effect could not tested with these non-parametric  
311 tests.

312

313 For initial and final growth variables as well as reproduction variables, data were averaged per  
314 compartment before analysis (3 replicate per treatment, each replicate corresponding to the  
315 average of the 30 abalone growth measures, and 12 abalone reproduction measures). An  
316 analysis of variance was used to compare the effect of algal diets (B, D, E, G, H, L, M, P and  
317 U diets) on the growth and reproduction parameters. If conditions of analysis of variance were  
318 not fulfilled, a Welch test was performed. If significant, pairwise post-hoc comparisons were  
319 carried out using Games-Howell post-hoc test based on Welch's degrees of freedom  
320 correction and uses Tukey's studentized range distribution.

321

322 The seasonal effect of algal diet on the two-month daily weight gain and daily length gain was  
323 analysed by a linear mixed effects analysis using the lmerTest package (Bates et al., 2012)  
324 and using the method described by Winter (2013). Square root transformation was used to  
325 homogenise variances between the treatments for DGW. The model included the period ( $t_{0-2}$ ,  
326  $t_{2-4}$ ,  $t_{4-6}$ ,  $t_{6-8}$ ,  $t_{8-11}$ ), the 6 monospecific algal diets (D, G, H, L, P and U), and an interaction  
327 between period and diet as fixed effects. The cages were indicated as a random factor (18  
328 cages). B and E diets could not be included in the model due to missing data for the last  
329 period. In addition, because M diet was composed of algae in different proportion at each  
330 season, it was not included in the model. For the post-hoc analysis, the diffMeans package

331 was used. It calculated differences of Least Squares Means for the factors of lmer mixed  
332 effects model and used the Satterthwaite's approximation to degrees of freedom.

333

334 Multiple linear regression analysis was not performed because normality of the residues, and  
335 linearity between dependent variables and algal composition variables could not be fulfilled  
336 as well as the low number of observations per predictor (Quinn and Keough, 2002). Instead, a  
337 descriptive Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed with the package  
338 FactoMineR (Lé et al., 2008). All the variables were reduced and scaled. Because the  
339 proportion of algae eaten was not measured in the mixed diet, the PCA was performed only  
340 on the 8 monospecific diets, using the two-month daily weight gain and daily length gain  
341 (DGW and DGL for  $t_{0-2}$ ,  $t_{2-4}$ ,  $t_{4-6}$ ,  $t_{6-8}$ ,  $t_{8-11}$ ), and the biochemical algal analysis corresponding to  
342 the same period. Because it would have been too difficult to interpret with the large numbers  
343 of variables, a second PCA was performed with the same variables to study relationship  
344 between growth and amino-acid free and total composition.

345

### 346 **3. Results**

347

#### 348 **3.1. *Abalone measurements***

349 Initial mean weight and length were not significantly different ( $p > 0.05$ ). However, after one-  
350 year experiment, a diet treatment effect was observed on all growth parameters, i.e. on the  
351 mean final length ( $p < 0.001$ ) and weight ( $p < 0.001$ ), on  $DGL_{t_{0-11}}$  ( $p < 0.001$ ), on  $DGW_{t_{0-11}}$   
352 ( $p < 0.001$ ), on  $SGR_w$  ( $p < 0.001$ ) and on  $W/L_{t_{11}}$  ( $p < 0.001$ ). No effect was reported on  
353 mortality ( $p > 0.05$ ) (see Table 1 for p and F values).



354 After one-year experiment, the best growth performances in weight were observed for abalone  
355 fed the *P. palmata* diet, followed by the mixed diet. Abalone fed with *S. latissima*, *Gracilaria*  
356 sp. and *L. digitata* presented intermediate growth performances. The lowest performances  
357 were observed for abalone fed *Enteromorpha* sp., *U. lactuca*, *S. polyschides* and stipes of  
358 *L. hyperborea*. This diet ranking performance was maintained for the final weight-to-shell  
359 length ratio (see Table 1 for post-hoc analysis comparison).

360 Concerning tissue and shell development of abalone after 6 months of treatment, diet effect  
361 was observed for GDGr, Mr, Sr, GI and for VGI ( $p < 0.001$ ) (See Table 2 for p and F values).  
362 Abalone from *L. digitata* and *Enteromorpha* sp. diets had the highest GDGr whereas those fed  
363 on *S. polyschides*, stipes of *L. hyperborea* and mixed diets had the lowest ratio. Abalone fed  
364 *P. palmata* and mixed diets had the highest Mr and VGI while abalone from *Enteromorpha*  
365 sp. and *L. digitata* diets had the lowest ratio. In contrast, the Sr was the most important for  
366 abalone fed on stipes of *L. hyperborea* diet and the lowest for *P. palmata* and mixed diets.

367 A regression of the GDG wet weight against total wet weight gave a positive relationship ( $r^2 =$   
368  $0.873$ ,  $n = 295$ , slope =  $0.123$ , intercept =  $-0.076$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). The regression of VGI against  
369 total wet weight gave a positive relationship ( $r^2 = 0.530$ ,  $n = 295$ , slope =  $0.632$ , intercept =  
370  $3.175$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ): abalone with the higher VGI were also the largest.

371

372 When studying more specifically the effect of the period and diet on abalone seasonal DGW  
373 and DGL, an important period effect (mixed model, DGW:  $F_{4,60} = 25.61$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; DGL:  
374  $F_{4,60} = 10.60$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), a diet effect (mixed model, DGW:  $F_{5,60} = 36.09$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; DGL:  
375  $F_{5,60} = 14.70$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) as well as an interaction between diet and period (mixed model,  
376 DGW:  $F_{20,60} = 2.38$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ; DGL:  $F_{20,60} = 1.98$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ) were observed. The periods from  
377 late spring to the end of autumn ( $t_{4-6}$  and  $t_{6-8}$ ) were the best for abalone DGL and DGW

378 compared to late winter ( $t_{0-2}$ ), and spring ( $t_{2-4}$ ) periods, the worst period being in winter ( $t_{8-11}$ )  
379 for DGL (Figure 2). However, the seasonal effect was different depending on algal diets. For  
380 example, abalone fed with algae such as *P. palmata* and *U. lactuca* had similar DGL and  
381 DGW from  $t_0$  to  $t_8$ , and presented a decrease in DGL and DGW only in winter ( $t_{8-11}$ ) (Figure  
382 3). Abalone fed other diets such as *L. digitata* presented important DGL and DGW variation  
383 depending on the period (Figure 3).

384

### 385 **3.2. Algal biochemical measurements**

386 Biochemical composition of the eight algal diets distributed to abalone during one year  
387 significantly differed in term of proteins, free and total amino-acids, fatty acids and soluble  
388 carbohydrates ( $p < 0.01$ , see Table 3 for p and F details). *P. palmata*, *S. latissima* and *L.*  
389 *digitata* presented the higher yearly total carbohydrate content while *Enteromorpha* sp., *U.*  
390 *lactuca*, *S. polyschides* and stipes of *L. hyperborea* were the poorest. *Enteromorpha* sp.  
391 presented the highest total lipid content with 4 % of total lipids. *P. palmata* and  
392 *Enteromorpha* sp. presented the highest n-3/n-6 ratio in winter period. The highest yearly  
393 protein content was observed for *P. palmata* and *Enteromorpha* sp. with intermediate content  
394 for *Gracilaria* sp. and *S. latissima*. The lowest protein contents were observed for *L. digitata*,  
395 stipes of *L. hyperborea*, *U. lactuca*, and *S. polyschides*. An important degradation was  
396 observed after one month in the sea-structure for the green algae *Enteromorpha* sp. and *U.*  
397 *lactuca*, for *S. polyschides* and for *Gracilaria* sp. In contrast, more than half of the algae  
398 distributed were still present after one month in sea-structure for *P. palmata*, *L. digitata* and *S.*  
399 *latissima*.

400 A significant seasonal effect ( $p < 0.05$ ) was observed for the soluble carbohydrate contents,  
401 dry matter and proportion of algae degraded ( $p < 0.05$ ) but not for protein content ( $p > 0.05$ ).

402 Apart for histidine and tryptophan, significant differences of total amino-acid compositions  
403 were observed between the eight algal diets (Table 4). The red algae *P. palmata* and  
404 *Gracilaria* sp. presented the highest content for most of the total essential amino-acid. The  
405 brown algae *L. digitata* and the stipes of *L. hyperborea* presented most of the time the lowest  
406 total amino content while the green algae and the kelp *S. latissima* presented intermediate  
407 contents. In addition, differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) were observed between the 8 algae for free  
408 amino-acid contents apart for methionine and valine. However, free essential amino acid  
409 contents were variable depending of the algae and the amino-acid (Table 4).

410

### 411 **3.3. Relationship between growth and algal composition**

412

413 The first three components of the PCA based on the abalone variables and seasonal  
414 biochemical composition of algae explained 66.8 % of the total variance (37.9 % for the first  
415 component, 17.3 % for the second component and 11.5 % for the third component) (Figure 4).  
416 For the abalone variables, the most important loadings on the first component were the VGI  
417 ( $\cos^2 = 0.80$ ), GI ( $\cos^2 = 0.79$ ), DGWseason ( $\cos^2 = 0.54$ ), inversely related to Sr ( $\cos^2 =$   
418  $0.61$ ). For the algal chemical composition, n3n6 ( $\cos^2 = 0.74$ ), prot ( $\cos^2 = 0.65$ ) and carb  
419 ( $\cos^2 = 0.50$ ) explained best the first axis. This component could represent the growth and  
420 reproduction associated with the biochemical protein, n-3/n-6 ratio and soluble carbohydrate  
421 richness of the algae. The most important loadings on the second component were liptot ( $\cos^2$   
422  $= 0.77$ ) and lippoly ( $\cos^2 = 0.66$ ). The variable Pdegraded ( $\cos^2 = 0.49$ ) and drymatter ( $\cos^2 =$   
423  $0.23$ ) were the two variables with the higher loading on the third axis, but were not related  
424 with any growth variables.

425

426 The first three components of the PCA based on the abalone variables and amino-acid  
427 composition explained 61.4 % of the total variance (37.5 % for the first component, 14.9 %  
428 for the second component and 9.1 % for the third component) (Figure 5). For the abalone  
429 variables, the most important loadings on the first component were the GI ( $\cos^2 = 0.76$ ), VGI  
430 ( $\cos^2 = 0.75$ ) associated with T\_Val ( $\cos^2 = 0.88$ ), T\_Met ( $\cos^2 = 0.87$ ), T\_Leu ( $\cos^2 = 0.86$ ),  
431 T\_Arg ( $\cos^2 = 0.85$ ), and T\_Ile ( $\cos^2 = 0.84$ ). This component could represent the  
432 reproductive development associated with the total amino-acid composition. The most  
433 important loadings on the second component were F\_Phe ( $\cos^2 = 0.60$ ), F\_Ile ( $\cos^2 = 0.54$ )  
434 associated with DGWseason ( $\cos^2 = 0.42$ ).

435

436

#### 437 **4. Discussion**

438 The high survival of abalone observed for all treatments may indicate that algal diets were  
439 balanced enough in term of nutrients to maintain survival although the low protein or  
440 carbohydrate content for *L. hyperborea* stipe diet may not have been enough to sustain good  
441 growth. Mortality during the one-year experiment was generally low, and not different  
442 between the treatments, ranging from 0.8% for *S. latissima* and 1.3% for *U. lactuca* treatment  
443 up to 3.3% and 3.5% for *P. palmata*, mixed diet and *Gracilaria* sp. diets.

444

445 Good growth rates are important to reach a marketable size within a time which is  
446 economically viable. *H. tuberculata* is a slow-growing species with an average monthly  
447 growth rate between 1 mm and 2 mm, depending on the farming conditions (Basuyaux, 1997;  
448 Lachambre, 2017). This experiment was conducted in a commercial set-up, with the same

449 density, cage design, and feeding rhythm as France Haliotis organic certified farming  
450 practices. Our study demonstrated that abalone fed on *P. palmata*, mixed diet and *S. latissima*  
451 presented the best growth rate, muscle ratio and gonad development. *Gracilaria* sp., *L.*  
452 *digitata* and *Enteromorpha* sp. gave moderate growth performances while *U. lactuca* and  
453 stipes of *L. hyperborea* presented the lowest growth performances. Previous studies on  
454 *H. tuberculata* have shown that diets based on *P. palmata* produced better growth rates than  
455 most of the algae found in Europe (Mercer et al., 1993; Mai et al., 1996; Viera et al., 2015;  
456 Basuyaux et al., 2018). In addition, the high nutritional value of *P. palmata* has been reported  
457 for other abalone species such as *H. discus hannai* (Uki et al., 1986; Mercer et al., 1993).  
458 However, other experiments found different results for algal species such as *S. latissima*,  
459 which gave one of the best growth in our one-year long experiment while it presented the  
460 lowest growth performance in other experiments (Mercer et al., 1993; Mai et al., 1996). *U.*  
461 *lactuca* was one of the algal diets that gave the poorer growth while it turned to be moderately  
462 good for Mercer et al. (1993). The difference of experiment duration can explain part of the  
463 difference (50 weeks for our experiment, 17 weeks for Mai et al., 1996; and 33 weeks for  
464 Mercer et al., 1993) and probably results from the high variability in algal composition  
465 according to the season and site (Renaud and Luong-Van, 2006; Villares et al., 2013; Schmid  
466 et al., 2014). In addition, these experiments were performed in controlled conditions with  
467 stable temperature and renewal of algae every 5-7 days compared to our commercial scale  
468 experiment submitted to seasonal temperature change, open-seawater conditions and a  
469 monthly feed renewal. In addition to growth performance, the degradation of algae in the sea-  
470 cage structure is an important factor to integrate in the choice of a commercial structure and  
471 varied between 33% up to 93% degradation over one month. *P. palmata*, *L. digitata* and  
472 *S. latissima* showed the least degradation over one month. However, in our experiment,  
473 growth performances of abalone were not related to degradation of algae. Another interesting

474 finding for commercial purpose is the high variability in term of muscle on total weight ratio  
475 (%) with more than 25% more muscle in abalone fed *P. palmata* diet compared to  
476 *Enteromorpha* sp. diet. This resulted directly from diet treatment as the abalone  
477 individuals were from the same genetic stock. In this specific context, it puts forward the  
478 importance of measuring weight in addition to length, and more specifically muscle on  
479 total weight ratio.

480

481 In order to reach maximum abalone growth using fresh algae, it is important to understand  
482 which algal component explains best growth performances. Specific growth rate (SGR)  
483 values in this study (0.2-0.7%) were similar to SGR obtained with 1-year old *H. tuberculata*  
484 fed with artificial diets based on different seaweeds meals (0.2-0.3%) or fresh *G. cornea* and  
485 *U. rigida* (0.56%) (Viera et al., 2015). If algal composition is clearly identified according to  
486 seasonal variation, fresh algal diets can constitute high quality and efficient feeds. In this  
487 experiment, the main components related to abalone growth obtained with PCA analysis were  
488 the lipid n-3/n-6 ratio, the soluble carbohydrate content and total protein content. These  
489 components have been often reported as important in the literature. *H. tuberculata* growth  
490 seemed to be highly correlated to n-3 poly-unsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) in algal  
491 composition as demonstrated by Mai et al. (1996) with a SGR of 1.31 % day<sup>-1</sup> when fed a *P.*  
492 *palmata* diet containing the highest amount of n-3 PUFA (49.7% of total fatty acids)  
493 compared to a SGR of 1.03 % day<sup>-1</sup> when fed *L. saccharina* diet containing the lowest n-3  
494 PUFA (25.2% of total fatty acids). A lower SGR (0.30 % day<sup>-1</sup>) was also observed in Jade  
495 tiger hybrid abalone fed canola oil diets containing lower levels of n-3 PUFA (12.5% of total  
496 fatty acids) compared to a higher SGR (0.47 % day<sup>-1</sup>) when fed fish oil diet containing more n-  
497 3 PUFA (27.5 % of total fatty acids) (Mateos et al., 2013). In our experiment, total PUFAs  
498 were not related to growth enhancement. However, it should be highlighted lipid composition

499 was only evaluated in winter period. This might bias partly our PCA and under-evaluate lipid  
500 composition importance in contrast to other biochemical algal composition evaluated at  
501 different period.

502 High protein content is another main component explaining the good growth performance  
503 reached for *H. tuberculata* in our experiment as observed for Mercer et al. (1993) and Viera et  
504 al. (2015), in *H. asinina* (Bautista-Teruel and Millamena, 1999), *H. iris* and *H. discus hannai*  
505 (Shpigel et al., 1999). In addition, the present work was designed to compare the amino acid  
506 patterns of selected algae and determine which is the most related to *H. tuberculata*  
507 reproduction and growth variables. Amino acid composition is usually the first parameter to  
508 be considered in formulating test or commercial feeds, but it is also very expensive to  
509 measure. The determination of the limiting amino-acid is based on comparisons between the  
510 absolute values in the flesh diet profiles (Fleming et al., 1996). Using an ACP analysis, it was  
511 observed that the growth variables were mainly associated with the free valine, leucine,  
512 isoleucine as well as phenylalanine contents. Threonine, valine, methionine, isoleucine,  
513 leucine, phenylalanine, tryptophan, lysine, histidine and arginine have been validated as being  
514 essential amino-acid in *H. rufescens* using (U-14C) glucose (Allen and Kilgore, 1975). In  
515 addition, free arginine, methionine and threonine have already been reported to be important  
516 amino-acid for *H. tuberculata* growth (Mai et al., 1994).

517

518 In natural conditions, abalone consume a variety of seaweeds, trapping drift kelp or selecting  
519 attached benthic algae mainly according to their abundance and availability in the surrounding  
520 area, and water movement (Cornwall et al., 2009; Zeeman et al., 2012). It has been often  
521 reported that growth is improved when abalone are fed on a combination of macroalgae  
522 species in comparison to a single species diet (Mercer et al., 1993; Qi et al., 2010b; Viera et  
523 al., 2015). In our experiment, mixed diet gave the second best growth performance, preceded

524 by *P. palmata*. This result is in accordance with the meta-analysis of Lefcheck et al. (2013)  
525 performed on variety of taxa and systems showing that mixed diets conferred significantly  
526 higher fitness than the average of single-species diets, but not for the best single prey species.  
527 However, in order to prevent depletion of the algal resources, a mixed diet seems an optimal  
528 solution for European abalone farms, with good growth performance as tested by Basuyaux et  
529 al. (2018).

530

531 Juveniles were about 2-year-old when this study was conducted. At this age, an average of  
532 90% of the animals presented an early stage of gonad development (1 to 10 on the Visual  
533 Gonad Index scale) but less than 23% of the cohort featured a full gonad development (over  
534 an 8 score on the Visual Gonad Index scale). These results were consistent with studies  
535 conducted in the natural environment in Northern Brittany where sexual maturation was  
536 observed for abalone between 2 and 3-year-old with a minimum size of 30 mm necessary for  
537 reproduction (Clavier and Richard, 1985). Length and weight of individuals seemed to be the  
538 most important determinants of maturation in *H. tuberculata*. Considering that all the abalone  
539 were 18-month-old, and that the weight and size were similar at the beginning of the  
540 experiment between treatments, we could conclude that, in a maturing population, larger  
541 abalone would become reproductive at least a year before smaller abalone of the same age.  
542 Our results were consistent with a study in the wild population of *H. laevisgata* (McAvaney et  
543 al., 2004) which suggested a significant plasticity of the maturity age in *H. laevisgata*.

544

545 Due to the small size of abalone used in our study, it was not possible to separate the gonad  
546 and the digestive gland. Thus, gonad weight had been estimated using histology. This method  
547 gave a good proxy of the proportion of gonad on the gonad-digestive gland even if it does not



548 give an exact value. Abalone fed *P. palmata* and mixed diet (yearly average; *S. polyschides*:  
549 15.5 %; *L. digitata*: 20.5%; *P. palmata*: 43%; *S. latissima*: 8%; *U. lactuca*: 12%) developed  
550 more gonad compared to abalone fed on stipes of *L. hyperborea*, which showed higher shell  
551 ratio. In addition, visual gonad index as well as gonadal index were related to protein content  
552 and some amino-acids (total valine, leucine, isoleucine, methionine, arginine and threonine).  
553 These results are consistent with other studies in abalone *H. iris* (Tung and Alfaro, 2012) and  
554 sea urchins *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis* (Lyons and Scheibling, 2007) where an effect  
555 of natural or artificial diets was demonstrated on both growth performance and gonad  
556 development by influencing a shift between somatic and gonadal depots. Crude protein  
557 content of the seaweed seems to play an important role in *H. tuberculata coccinea*  
558 reproduction, fed on *Gracilaria cornea* with different protein levels (Bilbao et al., 2012).

559

## 560 **5. Conclusion**

561 The age of initial sexual maturity in *H. tuberculata* seems to be a highly plastic trait in  
562 response to different growth rate and algal diet. Abalone are likely to invest more energy in  
563 muscle and gonad developments when fed on *Palmaria palmata*. According to farming  
564 strategies and seaweed availability in natural environment, it may be interesting to feed  
565 abalone on some specific types of algae. As demonstrated in this long-term experimental,  
566 seasonal quality of algae differs and will impact growth differently. Mixed diets allowed a  
567 good muscle development and growth results and should probably be preferred to a  
568 monospecific diet in order to avoid lack of essential nutrients and high pressure on one algal  
569 resource.

570

571 **Acknowledgment**

572 This work has benefited from the support of the French Government by National Research  
573 Agency with regards to an investment expenditure program IDEALG ANR-10-BTBR-04. The  
574 authors would like to thank the team of France Haliotis, Iain McKenzie-Sproat and Xavier  
575 Lesage for the care they provided to the animals and the assistance during the experiment.  
576 Metabolic profiles were supported by the technical platform “P2M2” (Metabolic Profiling and  
577 Metabolomic Platform, INRA, le Rheu, France), a component of the Biogenouest  
578 metabolomics core facility “Corsaire”. Special thanks go to Solenne Berardocco and Nathalie  
579 Marnet for coordinating analyses.

580

581 **References**

- 582 Alban, F., Frangoudes, K., Fresard, M., 2011. Kelp harvesting fleet dynamics and the fleet's  
583 dependence on *Laminaria* forests in the Iroise Sea (North Finistere, France). Cah. Biol. Mar.  
584 52, 507-516.
- 585 Allen, W.V., Kilgore, J., 1975. The essential amino acid requirements of the red abalone, *Haliotis*  
586 *rufescens*. Comp. Biochem. Phys. A 50, 771-775.
- 587 Basuyaux, O., 1997. Etude et modélisation des paramètres physico-chimiques sur la croissance de  
588 l'ormeau (*Haliotis tuberculata*) en élevage en circuit semi-fermé, PhD thesis. University of  
589 Caen, pp. 257.
- 590 Basuyaux, O., 2000. Growth rate of the European abalone, *Haliotis tuberculata*, fed an artificial diet  
591 (Adam & Amos) and macroalgae. Retrieved from  
592 [http://www.adamamos.com/olivier\\_basuyaux.htm](http://www.adamamos.com/olivier_basuyaux.htm) on 15/09/2014
- 593 Basuyaux, O., Blin, J.-L., Costil, K., Richard, O., Lebel, J.-M., Serpentini, A., 2018. Assessing the  
594 impacts of several algae-based diets on cultured European abalone (*Haliotis tuberculata*).  
595 Aquat. Living Resour. 31, 28. <https://doi.org/10.1051/alr/2018018>.

596 Bates, D.M., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., 2012. lme4 : Linear mixed-effects models using Eigen and  
597 package version 0.999999-0 <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/lme4/lme4.pdf>.

598 Bautista-Teruel, M.N., Millamena, O.M., 1999. Diet development and evaluation for juvenile abalone,  
599 *Haliotis asinina*: protein energy levels. *Aquaculture* 178, 117-126.

600 Bilbao, A., Uriarte, I., Viera, M.D., Sosa, B., Fernandez-Palacios, H., Hernandez-Cruz, C.M., 2012.  
601 Effect of macroalgae protein levels on some reproductive aspects and physiological  
602 parameters for the abalone, *Haliotis tuberculata coccinea* (Reeve 1846). *J. World Aquacult.*  
603 *Soc.* 43, 764-777.

604 Clavier, J., Richard, O., 1985. Etude sur les ormeaux dans la région de Saint-Malo, Dinard, France.  
605 Association Pour la Mise en Valeur du Littoral de la Côte d’Emeraude, pp. 285.

606 Cook, P.A., 2014. The worldwide abalone industry. *Modern Economy* 5, 1181-1186.

607 Cornwall, C.E., Phillips, N.E., McNaught, D.C., 2009. Feeding preferences of the abalone *Haliotis iris*  
608 in relation to macroalgal species, attachment, accessibility and water movement. *J. Shellfish*  
609 *Res.* 28, 589-597.

610 Dang, V.T., Li, Y., Speck, P., Benkendorff, K., 2011. Effects of micro and macroalgal diet  
611 supplementations on growth and immunity of greenlip abalone, *Haliotis laevigata*.  
612 *Aquaculture* 320, 91-98.

613 Dubois, M., Gilles, K.A., Hamilton, J.K., Rebers, P.A., Smith, F., 1956. Colorimetric method for  
614 determination of sugars and related substances. *Anal. Chem.* 28, 350-356.

615 Fleming, A.E., Van Barneveld, R.J., Hone, P.W., 1996. The development of artificial diets for  
616 abalone: A review and future directions. *Aquaculture* 140, 5-53.

617 Folch, J., Lees, M., Stanley, G.H.S., 1957. A simple method for the isolation and purification of total  
618 lipides from animal tissues. *J. Biol. Chem.* 226, 497-509.

619 Galland-Irmouli, A.V., Fleurence, J., Lamghari, R., Lucon, M., Rouxel, C., Barbaroux, O.,  
620 Bronowicki, J.P., Villaume, C., Gueant, J.L., 1999. Nutritional value of proteins from edible  
621 seaweed *Palmaria palmata* (Dulse). *J. Nutr. Biochem.* 10, 353-359.

622 Girard, A., 1972. La reproduction de l’ormeau, *Haliotis tuberculata* L. *Revue de travail de l’Institut*  
623 *Scientifique et Technique des Pêches Maritimes* 36, 163-184.

624 Jacquin, A.-G., Donval, A., Guillou, J., Leyzour, S., Deslandes, E., Guillou, M., 2006. The  
625 reproductive response of the sea urchins *Paracentrotus lividus* (G.) and *Psammechinus*  
626 *miliaris* (L.) to a hyperproteinated macrophytic diet. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 339, 43-54.

627 Jung, K.A., Lim, S.R., Kim, Y., Park, J.M., 2013. Potentials of macroalgae as feedstocks for  
628 biorefinery. Bioresource Technol. 135, 182-190.

629 King, R.H., Rayner, C.J., Kerr, M., Gorfine, H.K., McShane, P.E., 1996. The composition and amino  
630 acid balance of abalone (*Haliotis rubra*) tissue. Aquaculture 140, 1-2.

631 Kirkendale, L., Robertson-Andersson, D., Winberg, P.C., 2010. Review on the use and production of  
632 algae and manufactured diets as feed for sea-based abalone aquaculture in Victoria, Report to  
633 Department of Primary Industries, Fisheries Victoria, University of Wollongong. Retrieved  
634 from <http://ro.uow.edu.au/smfc/7/> on 15/09/2014.

635 Lachambre, S., 2017. Mise en place d'un plan de sélection génétique pour l'ormeau européen *Haliotis*  
636 *tuberculata*, Ecole Doctorale des Sciences de la Mer. Université Bretagne Occidentale, Brest,  
637 France, pp. 276.

638 Le Grand, F., Soudant, P., Siah, A., Tremblay, R., Marty, Y., Kraffe, E., 2014. Disseminated neoplasia  
639 in the soft-shell clam *Mya arenaria*: Membrane lipid composition and functional parameters  
640 of circulating cells. Lipids 49, 807-818.

641 Lé, S., Josse, J., Husson, F., 2008. FactoMineR: An R package for multivariate analysis. J. Stat. Softw.  
642 25, 1-18.

643 Lefcheck, J.S., Whalen, M.A., Davenport, T.M., Stone, J.P., Duffy, J.E., 2013. Physiological effects of  
644 diet mixing on consumer fitness: a meta-analysis. Ecology 94, 565-572.

645 Lowry, O.H., Rosebrough, N.J., Farr, A.L., Randall, R.J., 1951. Protein measurement with the folin  
646 phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem. 193, 265-275.

647 Lyons, D.A., Scheibling, R.E., 2007. Effect of dietary history and algal traits on feeding rate and food  
648 preference in the green sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.  
649 349, 194-204.

650 Mai, K., Mercer, J.P., Donlon, J., 1994. Comparative studies on the nutrition of two species of  
651 abalone, *Haliotis tuberculata* L. and *Haliotis discus hannai* Ino. II: Amino acid composition

652 of abalone and six species of macroalgae with an assessment of their nutritional value.  
653 Aquaculture 128, 115-130.

654 Mai, K., Mercer, J.P., Donlon, J., 1995. Comparative studies on the nutrition of two species of  
655 abalone, *Haliotis tuberculata* L. and *Haliotis discus hannai* Ino. 3. Response of abalone to  
656 various levels of dietary lipid. Aquaculture 134, 1-2.

657 Mai, K.S., Mercer, J.P., Donlon, J., 1996. Comparative studies on the nutrition of two species of  
658 abalone, *Haliotis tuberculata* L and *Haliotis discus hannai* Ino .5. The role of polyunsaturated  
659 fatty acids of macroalgae in abalone nutrition. Aquaculture 139, 77-89.

660 Mateos, H.T., Lewandowski, P.A., Su, X.Q., 2013. The growth performance of Jade Tiger cultured  
661 abalone fed diets supplemented with fish oil and vegetable oils. J. Sci. Food. Agr. 93, 1389-  
662 1396.

663 McAvaney, L.A., Day, R.W., Dixon, C.D., Huchette, S.M., 2004. Gonad development in seeded  
664 *Haliotis laevigata*: growth environment determines initial reproductive investment. J.  
665 Shellfish Res. 23, 1213-1218.

666 Mercer, J.P., Mai, K.S., Donlon, J., 1993. Comparative studies on the nutrition of 2 species of abalone,  
667 *Haliotis tuberculata* Linnaeus and *Haliotis discus hannai* Ino . 1. Effects of algal diets on  
668 growth and biochemical composition. Invertebr. Reprod. Dev. 23, 75-88.

669 Mesnildrey, L., Jacob, C., Frangouides, K., Reunavot, M., Lesueur, M., 2012. Seaweed industry in  
670 France. Interreg program NETALGAE, Les publications du Pôle halieutique AGROCAMPUS  
671 OUEST pp. 34.

672 Naidoo, K., Maneveldt, G., Ruck, K., Bolton, J.J., 2006. A comparison of various seaweed-based diets  
673 and formulated feed on growth rate of abalone in a land-based aquaculture system. J. Appl.  
674 Phycol. 18, 437-443.

675 Nelson, M.M., Leighton, D.L., Phleger, C.F., Nichols, P.D., 2002. Comparison of growth and lipid  
676 composition in the green abalone, *Haliotis fulgens*, provided specific macroalgal diets. Comp.  
677 Biochem. Phys. B 131, 695-712.

678 Nisizawa, K., Noda, H., Kikuchi, R., Watanabe, T., 1987. The main seaweed foods in Japan.  
679 Hydrobiologia 151, 5-29.

680 Qi, Z., Liu, H., Li, B., Mao, Y., Jiang, Z., Zhang, J., Fang, J., 2010a. Suitability of two seaweeds,  
681 *Gracilaria lemaneiformis* and *Sargassum pallidum*, as feed for the abalone *Haliotis discus*  
682 *hannai* Ino. *Aquaculture* 300, 189-193.

683 Qi, Z.H., Liu, H.M., Li, B., Mao, Y.Z., Jiang, Z.J., Zhang, J.H., Fang, J.G., 2010b. Suitability of two  
684 seaweeds, *Gracilaria lemaneiformis* and *Sargassum pallidum*, as feed for the abalone *Haliotis*  
685 *discus hannai* Ino. *Aquaculture* 300, 189-193.

686 Quinn, G., Keough, M.J., 2002. Experimental design and data analysis for biologists. Cambridge  
687 University Press, 537 pp.

688 Renaud, S.M., Luong-Van, J.T., 2006. Seasonal variation in the chemical composition of tropical  
689 Australian marine macroalgae. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 18, 381-387.

690 Schmid, M., Guiheneuf, F., Stengel, D.B., 2014. Fatty acid contents and profiles of 16 macroalgae  
691 collected from the Irish coast at two seasons. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 26, 451-463.

692 Shepherd, S.A., Laws, H.M., 1974. Studies on southern Australian abalone (genus *Haliotis*). II.  
693 Reproduction of five species. *Aust. J. Mar. Freshwat. Res.* 24, 217-257.

694 Shpigel, M., Ragg, N.L., Lupatsch, I., Neori, A., 1999. Protein content determines the nutritional value  
695 of the seaweed *Ulva lactuca* L for the abalone *Haliotis tuberculata* L. and *H. discus hannai*  
696 Ino. *J. Shellfish Res.* 18, 227-233.

697 Simpson, B.J.A., Cook, P.A., 1998. Rotation diets: A method of improving growth of cultured abalone  
698 using natural algal diets. *J. Shellfish Res.* 17, 635-640.

699 Stone, D.A.J., Bansemer, M.S., Lange, B., Schaefer, E.N., Howarth, G.S., Harris, J.O., 2014. Dietary  
700 intervention improves the survival of cultured greenlip abalone (*Haliotis laevis* Donovan)  
701 at high water temperature. *Aquaculture* 430, 230-240.

702 Stuart, M.D., Brown, M.T., 1994. Growth and diet of cultivated black-footed abalone, *Haliotis iris*  
703 (Martyn). *Aquaculture* 127, 329-337.

704 Troell, M., Robertson-Andersson, D., Anderson, R.J., Bolton, J.J., Maneveldt, G., Halling, C., Probyn,  
705 T., 2006. Abalone farming in South Africa: An overview with perspectives on kelp resources,  
706 abalone feed, potential for on-farm seaweed production and socio-economic importance.  
707 *Aquaculture* 257, 266-281.

708 Tung, C.H., Alfaro, A.C., 2012. Alternative protein sources in artificial diets for New Zealand's Black-  
709 Footed Abalone, *Haliotis iris*, Martyn 1784, Juveniles. J. World Aquacult. Soc. 43, 1-29.

710 Uki, N., Sugiura, M., Watanabe, T., 1986. Dietary value of seaweeds occurring on the Pacific coast of  
711 Tohoku for growth of the abalone *Haliotis discus hannai*. B. Jpn. Soc. Sci. Fish. 52, 257-266.

712 Valente, L.M.P., Gouveia, A., Rema, P., Matos, J., Gomes, E.F., Pinto, I.S., 2006. Evaluation of three  
713 seaweeds *Gracilaria bursa-pastoris*, *Ulva rigida* and *Gracilaria cornea* as dietary ingredients  
714 in European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) juveniles. Aquaculture 252, 85-91.

715 Viera, M.P., Courtois de Viçose, G., Robaina, L., Izquierdo, M.S., 2015. First development of various  
716 vegetable-based diets and their suitability for abalone *Haliotis tuberculata coccinea* Reeve.  
717 Aquaculture 448, 350-358.

718 Viera, M.P., Courtois de Viçose, G., Gomez-Pinchetti, J.L., Bilbao, A., Fernandez-Palacios, H.,  
719 Izquierdo, M.S., 2011. Comparative performances of juvenile abalone (*Haliotis tuberculata*  
720 *coccinea* Reeve) fed enriched vs non-enriched macroalgae: Effect on growth and body  
721 composition. Aquaculture 319, 423-429.

722 Villares, R., Fernandez-Lema, E., Lopez-Mosquera, E., 2013. Seasonal variations in concentrations of  
723 macro- and micronutrients in three species of brown seaweed. Bot. Mar. 56, 49-61.

724 Winter, B., 2013. Linear models and linear mixed effects models in R with linguistic applications.  
725 arXiv:1308.5499. [<http://arxiv.org/pdf/1308.5499.pdf>].

726 Zeeman, Z., Branch, G.M., Peschak, T.P., Pillay, D., 2012. Assessing the ecosystem effects of the  
727 abalone *Haliotis midae* from its diet and foraging behaviour. Afr. J. Mar. Sci. 34, 205-214.

728

729

730 Table 1: Growth in length, weight and mortality of juvenile abalone (*H. tuberculata*) which received a monospecific diet of eight different algae  
 731 (B: *Saccorhiza polyschides*, D: *Laminaria digitata*; E: *Enteromorpha* sp., G: *Gracilaria* sp., H: stipes of *Laminaria hyperborea*, P: *Palmaria*  
 732 *palmata*, S: *Saccharina latissima*, U: *Ulva lactuca*) or a mixed diet (M) during a one-year commercial scale feeding trial.

| Diet   | Mean initial length<br>(mm) <sup>γ</sup> | Mean final length<br>(mm) | Final daily length gain<br>(μm.day <sup>-1</sup> ) | Mortality<br>(%) <sup>γ</sup> |
|--------|--|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| B      | 23.9 ± 0.50                              | n.a.                      | 53 ± 2.1   | 0.7 ± 0.30                    |
| D      | 24.0 ± 0.40                              | 46.4 ± 0.48 <sup>b</sup>  | 65 ± 0.3 <sup>b</sup>                              | 0.8 ± 0.38                    |
| E      | 24.2 ± 0.41                              | n.a.                      | 65 ± 3.9   | 1.6 ± 0.53                    |
| G      | 24.4 ± 0.45                              | 46.0 ± 0.45 <sup>b</sup>  | 63 ± 5.4 <sup>b</sup>                              | 3.1 ± 1.63                    |
| H      | 24.5 ± 0.48                              | 33.3 ± 0.53 <sup>a</sup>  | 26 ± 3.6 <sup>a</sup>                              | 0.9 ± 0.20                    |
| M      | 24.4 ± 0.54                              | 51.1 ± 0.35 <sup>cd</sup> | 78 ± 6.2 <sup>bc</sup>                             | 2.7 ± 2.17                    |
| P      | 23.8 ± 0.42                              | 53.8 ± 0.41 <sup>d</sup>  | 87 ± 2.3 <sup>c</sup>                              | 2.9 ± 2.07                    |
| S      | 23.9 ± 0.49                              | 47.3 ± 0.49 <sup>bc</sup> | 68 ± 3.8 <sup>b</sup>                              | 0.7 ± 0.25                    |
| U      | 23.9 ± 0.39                              | 45.5 ± 0.43 <sup>b</sup>  | 63 ± 1.0 <sup>b</sup>                              | 1.1 ± 0.56                    |
| Ftreat | F <sub>8,18</sub> = 0.03                 | F <sub>6,14</sub> = 66.10 | F <sub>6,14</sub> = 25.44                          | F <sub>6,14</sub> = 0.44      |
| Ptreat | NS                                       | ***                       | ***  | NS                            |

733

| Diet | Mean initial<br>weight<br>(g) <sup>γ</sup> | Mean final<br>weight<br>(g) | Final daily weight<br>gain<br>(mg.day <sup>-1</sup> ) | Specific growth<br>rate<br>(%.day <sup>-1</sup> ) | Final weight-to-<br>shell length ratio<br>(g.mm <sup>-1</sup> ) | Performance<br>rank <sup>o</sup> |
|------|--|-----------------------------|---|---|---|----------------------------------|
| B    | 2.2 ± 0.13                                 | n.a.                        | 17 ± 1.0  | 0.43 ± 0.027                                      | 0.17 ± 0.004  | 8                                |
| D    | 2.2 ± 0.12                                 | 14.0 ± 0.14 <sup>b</sup>    | 34 ± 0.6 <sup>b</sup>                                 | 0.54 ± 0.015 <sup>bc</sup>                        | 0.30 ± 0.006 <sup>b</sup>                                       | 5                                |
| E    | 2.2 ± 0.11                                 | n.a.                        | 25 ± 2.2  | 0.53 ± 0.044                                      | 0.21 ± 0.005  | 6                                |
| G    | 2.2 ± 0.12                                 | 14.2 ± 0.14 <sup>b</sup>    | 35 ± 2.7 <sup>b</sup>                                 | 0.55 ± 0.053 <sup>bc</sup>                        | 0.30 ± 0.007 <sup>b</sup>                                       | 4                                |
| H    | 2.3 ± 0.13                                 | 5.1 ± 0.13 <sup>a</sup>     | 8 ± 1.2 <sup>a</sup>                                  | 0.24 ± 0.033 <sup>a</sup>                         | 0.15 ± 0.005 <sup>a</sup>                                       | 9                                |
| M    | 2.5 ± 0.14                                 | 19.9 ± 0.15 <sup>c</sup>    | 51 ± 1.5 <sup>c</sup>                                 | 0.63 ± 0.069 <sup>bc</sup>                        | 0.39 ± 0.006 <sup>c</sup>                                       | 2                                |
| P    | 2.2 ± 0.13                                 | 24.3 ± 0.15 <sup>d</sup>    | 64 ± 3.2 <sup>d</sup>                                 | 0.70 ± 0.016 <sup>c</sup>                         | 0.45 ± 0.008 <sup>c</sup>                                       | 1                                |



|        |                          |                           |                           |                            |                           |   |
|--------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| S      | 2.1 ± 0.12               | 14.9 ± 0.14 <sup>b</sup>  | 37 ± 4.1 <sup>b</sup>     | 0.57 ± 0.032 <sup>bc</sup> | 0.31 ± 0.007 <sup>b</sup> | 3 |
| U      | 2.2 ± 0.11               | 11.8 ± 0.12 <sup>b</sup>  | 28 ± 0.3 <sup>b</sup>     | 0.50 ± 0.011 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.26 ± 0.005 <sup>b</sup> | 7 |
| Ftreat | F <sub>8,18</sub> = 0.04 | F <sub>6,14</sub> = 52.73 | F <sub>6,14</sub> = 57.22 | F <sub>6,14</sub> = 14.46  | F <sub>6,14</sub> = 54.88 |   |
| Ptreat | NS                       | ***                       | ***                       | ***                        | ***                       |   |

734 Means and SE. are presented. n = 3 replicates of 30 abalone per treatment.

735 Values in the same column with different letters are significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ). If not indicated: analysis of variance with post-hoc Tukey  
736 contrasts.

737 n.a. : non-available, NS : non-significant, \*  $p < 0.05$ , \*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$

738 For B and E: calculated for  $t_8$  period instead of  $t_{11}$  period. These data were not used in the statistical analysis

739 ° rank based on growth rate in weight

740 <sup>γ</sup> Log transformation

741

742

743 Table 2: Relative gonad-digestive gland, muscle, shell development, gonadal index and visual gonad index of 2-years old *H. tuberculata*  
744 during maturation period fed on a monospecific diet of eight different algae (B: *Saccorhiza polyschides*, D: *Laminaria digitata*; E:  
745 *Enteromorpha* sp., G: *Gracilaria* sp., H: stipes of *Laminaria hyperborea*, P: *Palmaria palmata*, S: *Saccharina latissima*, U: *Ulva lactuca*) or a  
746 mixed diet (M) during 6 months.

| Diet               | Gonad-digestive gland on total weight ratio (%) | Muscle on total weight ratio (%) <sup>α</sup> | Shell on total weight ratio (%) | Gonadal index (%) <sup>α</sup> | Visual gonad index        |
|--------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| B                  | 9.4 ± 0.35 <sup>a</sup>                         | 35.4 ± 0.52 <sup>a</sup>                      | 23.8 ± 1.93 <sup>b</sup>        | 7.7 ± 0.09 <sup>a</sup>        | 2.4 ± 0.35 <sup>abc</sup> |
| D                  | 11.8 ± 0.34 <sup>b</sup>                        | 34.1 ± 0.63 <sup>a</sup>                      | 24.1 ± 1.87 <sup>b</sup>        | 2.4 ± 2.37 <sup>a</sup>        | 1.8 ± 0.30 <sup>ab</sup>  |
| E                  | 12.5 ± 0.44 <sup>b</sup>                        | 32.2 ± 0.50 <sup>a</sup>                      | 24.8 ± 2.12 <sup>b</sup>        | 8.9 ± 4.95 <sup>a</sup>        | 3.0 ± 0.38 <sup>bc</sup>  |
| G                  | 10.7 ± 0.35 <sup>ab</sup>                       | 37.6 ± 0.90 <sup>ab</sup>                     | 23.7 ± 3.46 <sup>b</sup>        | 44.8 ± 12.95 <sup>ab</sup>     | 4.3 ± 0.41 <sup>cd</sup>  |
| H                  | 8.0 ± 0.34 <sup>a</sup>                         | 35.0 ± 1.05 <sup>a</sup>                      | 28.3 ± 3.86 <sup>c</sup>        | 0.8 ± 0.79 <sup>a</sup>        | 0.8 ± 0.27 <sup>a</sup>   |
| M                  | 9.6 ± 0.47 <sup>a</sup>                         | 39.5 ± 0.58 <sup>bc</sup>                     | 21.3 ± 2.47 <sup>a</sup>        | 50.8 ± 7.21 <sup>ab</sup>      | 7.4 ± 0.50 <sup>de</sup>  |
| P                  | 11.3 ± 0.33 <sup>ab</sup>                       | 40.2 ± 0.47 <sup>b</sup>                      | 20.8 ± 1.68 <sup>a</sup>        | 69.4 ± 1.68 <sup>b</sup>       | 8.1 ± 0.29 <sup>e</sup>   |
| S                  | 10.9 ± 0.36 <sup>ab</sup>                       | 36.7 ± 0.63 <sup>ac</sup>                     | 24.1 ± 2.08 <sup>b</sup>        | 20.9 ± 4.43 <sup>a</sup>       | 3.8 ± 0.46 <sup>bc</sup>  |
| U                  | 11.4 ± 0.28 <sup>b</sup>                        | 35.6 ± 0.46 <sup>a</sup>                      | 24.4 ± 2.26 <sup>b</sup>        | 19.2 ± 7.21 <sup>ab</sup>      | 3.8 ± 0.49 <sup>bc</sup>  |
| F <sub>treat</sub> | F <sub>8,18</sub> = 5.72                        | F <sub>8,7.4</sub> = 28.84                    | F <sub>8,18</sub> = 9.66.       | F <sub>8, 6.7</sub> = 110.9    | F <sub>8,18</sub> = 11.13 |
| P <sub>treat</sub> | ***   | ***   | ***                             | ***                            | ***                       |

747 Means ± SE are presented. n = 3 replicate of 12 abalone per treatment. \*\*\* p < 0.001. Values in the same column with different letters are  
748 significantly different (p < 0.05). If not indicated: analysis of variance with post-hoc Tukey contrasts.

749 <sup>α</sup> non-parametric Welch test with Games-Howell post-hoc analysis

750 <sup>γ</sup> Log transformation

752

753 Table 3: Yearly average biochemical composition and degradation of eight different algae (B: *Saccorhiza polyschides*, D: *Laminaria digitata*; E:  
 754 *Enteromorpha* sp., G: *Gracilaria* sp., H: stipes of *Laminaria hyperborea*, P: *Palmaria palmata*, S: *Saccharina latissima*, U: *Ulva lactuca*)  
 755 distributed to *H. tuberculata* during a one-year commercial scale feeding trial (mean  $\pm$  SE, n = 3 samples / algae / period, with 5 periods for dry  
 756 matter content, degradation and carbohydrate analysis, 3 periods for protein analysis, and 1 period for lipid analysis)

|          | Dry matter (%) $\alpha$       | Soluble carbohydrate content (% dry matter) $\alpha$ | Total lipid content ( $\mu\text{g FA}\cdot\text{mg}^{-1}$ dry matter). | n-3/n-6 ratio                | Mono-unsaturated fatty acid content (mol %) | Poly-unsaturated fatty acids content (mol %) | Saturated fatty acid content (mol %) | Protein content (% dry matter) $\alpha$ | Proportion of algae degraded after 1 month in sea-structure (%) $\alpha$ |
|----------|-------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| B        | 9.7 $\pm$ 0.52 <sup>a</sup>   | 5.1 $\pm$ 2.30 <sup>a</sup>                          | 8.2 $\pm$ 1.51 <sup>a</sup>  | 1.5 $\pm$ 0.27 <sup>ab</sup> | 21.2 $\pm$ 0.08 <sup>bc</sup>               | 48.9 $\pm$ 0.99 <sup>bcd</sup>               | 29.9 $\pm$ 0.91 <sup>a</sup>         | 6.4 $\pm$ 0.30 <sup>ab</sup>            | 85.2 $\pm$ 6.11 <sup>a</sup>   |
| D        | 18.8 $\pm$ 1.33 <sup>b</sup>  | 13.6 $\pm$ 17.13 <sup>ab</sup>                       | 10.7 $\pm$ 0.83 <sup>a</sup>   | 1.5 $\pm$ 0.43 <sup>ab</sup> | 22.1 $\pm$ 1.29 <sup>ab</sup>               | 47.1 $\pm$ 2.84 <sup>bcd</sup>               | 30.9 $\pm$ 1.55 <sup>a</sup>         | 5.0 $\pm$ 0.57 <sup>a</sup>             | 44.7 $\pm$ 4.51 <sup>b</sup>   |
| E        | 13.4 $\pm$ 1.25 <sup>ab</sup> | 5.2 $\pm$ 2.98 <sup>a</sup>                          | 35.5 $\pm$ 0.27 <sup>b</sup>   | 8.6 $\pm$ 2.03 <sup>b</sup>  | 11.8 $\pm$ 1.01 <sup>ab</sup>               | 64.4 $\pm$ 3.69 <sup>d</sup>                 | 23.8 $\pm$ 2.70 <sup>a</sup>         | 14.0 $\pm$ 0.93 <sup>bc</sup>           | 92.9 $\pm$ 2.14 <sup>a</sup>   |
| G        | 14.9 $\pm$ 1.72 <sup>ab</sup> | 6.4 $\pm$ 2.24 <sup>ab</sup>                         | 8.04 $\pm$ 1.72 <sup>a</sup>   | 1.4 $\pm$ 0.08 <sup>ab</sup> | 9.8 $\pm$ 1.88 <sup>ab</sup>                | 8.7 $\pm$ 0.70 <sup>a</sup>                  | 81.5 $\pm$ 1.18 <sup>b</sup>         | 11.6 $\pm$ 1.23 <sup>bc</sup>           | 84.0 $\pm$ 4.72 <sup>a</sup>   |
| H        | 14.4 $\pm$ 0.44 <sup>ab</sup> | 4.0 $\pm$ 1.47 <sup>a</sup>                          | 5.7 $\pm$ 2.45 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.7 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>a</sup>  | 29.0 $\pm$ 3.38 <sup>c</sup>                | 29.3 $\pm$ 7.76 <sup>ab</sup>                | 41.7 $\pm$ 4.38 <sup>a</sup>         | 4.8 $\pm$ 0.49 <sup>a</sup>             | 37.4 $\pm$ 7.13 <sup>b</sup>   |
| P        | 15.0 $\pm$ 0.52 <sup>ab</sup> | 37.5 $\pm$ 11.38 <sup>c</sup>                        | 12.4 $\pm$ 0.08 <sup>a</sup>   | 38.0 $\pm$ 0.45 <sup>b</sup> | 6.8 $\pm$ 0.46 <sup>a</sup>                 | 61.6 $\pm$ 3.60 <sup>cd</sup>                | 31.5 $\pm$ 3.14 <sup>a</sup>         | 19.6 $\pm$ 0.97 <sup>c</sup>            | 33.4 $\pm$ 6.51 <sup>b</sup>   |
| S        | 18.8 $\pm$ 0.90 <sup>b</sup>  | 23.1 $\pm$ 18.64 <sup>bc</sup>                       | 4.7 $\pm$ 0.41 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.5 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>a</sup>  | 28.1 $\pm$ 3.24 <sup>c</sup>                | 33.7 $\pm$ 4.17 <sup>ac</sup>                | 38.3 $\pm$ 0.93 <sup>a</sup>         | 9.7 $\pm$ 1.27 <sup>ab</sup>            | 48.5 $\pm$ 2.66 <sup>b</sup>   |
| U        | 19.7 $\pm$ 1.56 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.4 $\pm$ 2.49 <sup>a</sup>                          | 9.5 $\pm$ 2.37 <sup>a</sup>  | 7.7 $\pm$ 1.86 <sup>a</sup>  | 19.1 $\pm$ 3.53 <sup>ac</sup>               | 39.4 $\pm$ 9.93 <sup>bcd</sup>               | 41.5 $\pm$ 6.39 <sup>a</sup>         | 6.6 $\pm$ 0.31 <sup>ab</sup>            | 80.5 $\pm$ 3.82 <sup>a</sup>   |
| F algae  | F <sub>7,12,9</sub> = 5.29    | F <sub>7,12,9</sub> = 5.80                           | F <sub>7,8</sub> = 43.74   | F <sub>7,8</sub> = 158.70    | F <sub>7,8</sub> = 13.42                    | F <sub>7,8</sub> = 12.40                     | F <sub>7,8</sub> = 31.11             | F <sub>7,6,6</sub> = 10.04              | F <sub>7,13,5</sub> = 26.39  |
| P algae  | **                            | **   | ***  | ***                          | ***   | ***  | ***                                  | **                                      | ***  |
| F period | Q = 12.1                      | Q = 12.5   | n.a  | n.a                          | n.a   | n.a  | n.a                                  | Q = 3.25                                | Q = 12.4   |
| P period | *                             | *  | n.a.   | n.a.                         | n.a.  | n.a.   | n.a.                                 | NS                                      | *  |

757 n.a. : non-available, NS : non-significant, \* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001. If not indicated: analysis of variance with post-hoc Tukey  
 758 contrasts.

759 <sup>α</sup>. Welch test for algae effect with Games-Howell post-hoc analysis. Friedman test for period effects.  
 760 Table 4: Amino-acid composition of eight different algae (B: *Saccorhiza polyschides*, D: *Laminaria digitata*; E: *Enteromorpha* sp., G: *Gracilaria*  
 761 sp., H: stipes of *Laminaria hyperborea*, P: *Palmaria palmata*, S: *Saccharina latissima*, U: *Ulva lactuca*) distributed to *H. tuberculata* during a  
 762 one-year commercial scale feeding trial (mean ± SE, n = 3 replicate corresponding to t<sub>0</sub>, t<sub>4</sub>, and t<sub>8</sub> period per algae).

763

|  | B                          | D                          | E                           | G                          | H                         | P                          | S                           | U                          | F <sub>7,16</sub> <sup>α</sup><br>F <sub>7,6.0</sub> | P<br>algae |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|------------|
| Total essential amino acids (μmol.mg <sup>-1</sup> dry matter) |                            |                            |                             |                            |                           |                            |                             |                            |  |            |
| Arginine   | 0.7 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.3 ± 0.07 <sup>a</sup>    | 1.3 ± 0.31 <sup>ab</sup>    | 1.9 ± 0.25 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.3 ± 0.04 <sup>a</sup>   | 2.0 ± 0.26 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.8 ± 0.06 <sup>a</sup>     | 1.2 ± 0.26 <sup>ab</sup>   | 10.72  | ***        |
| Histidine  | 0.20 ± 0.100               | 0.24 ± 0.035               | 0.40 ± 0.083                | 0.38 ± 0.178               | 0.26 ± 0.013              | 0.41 ± 0.185               | 0.25 ± 0.094                | 0.49 ± 0.133               | 0.79   | NS         |
| Isoleucine   | 0.9 ± 0.21 <sup>ab</sup>   | 0.4 ± 0.07 <sup>a</sup>    | 1.2 ± 0.31 <sup>ab</sup>    | 1.7 ± 0.27 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.5 ± 0.06 <sup>a</sup>   | 1.8 ± 0.17 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.9 ± 0.11 <sup>ab</sup>    | 1.4 ± 0.29 <sup>ab</sup>   | 5.90   | **         |
| Leucine  | 1.7 ± 0.40 <sup>ab</sup>   | 0.8 ± 0.13 <sup>a</sup>    | 2.3 ± 0.59 <sup>ab</sup>    | 2.9 ± 0.36 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.8 ± 0.15 <sup>a</sup>   | 3.2 ± 0.25 <sup>b</sup>    | 1.9 ± 0.21 <sup>ab</sup>    | 2.7 ± 0.55 <sup>b</sup>    | 6.12   | **         |
| Lysine   | 1.0 ± 0.39 <sup>ab</sup>   | 0.2 ± 0.07 <sup>a</sup>    | 1.3 ± 0.49 <sup>ab</sup>    | 2.0 ± 0.09 <sup>bc</sup>   | 1.2 ± 0.03 <sup>ab</sup>  | 3.3 ± 0.46 <sup>c</sup>    | 1.2 ± 0.26 <sup>ab</sup>    | 1.8 ± 0.36 <sup>ac</sup>   | 7.69   | ***        |
| Methionine   | 0.45 ± 0.069 <sup>ac</sup> | 0.26 ± 0.037 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.57 ± 0.118 <sup>bcd</sup> | 0.63 ± 0.083 <sup>cd</sup> | 0.18 ± 0.018 <sup>a</sup> | 0.87 ± 0.072 <sup>d</sup>  | 0.55 ± 0.037 <sup>bcd</sup> | 0.50 ± 0.095 <sup>ac</sup> | 8.43   | ***        |
| Phenylalanine  | 0.9 ± 0.14 <sup>ab</sup>   | 0.6 ± 0.08 <sup>ab</sup>   | 1.4 ± 0.24 <sup>b</sup>     | 1.5 ± 0.24 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.4 ± 0.06 <sup>a</sup>   | 1.6 ± 0.14 <sup>b</sup>    | 1.0 ± 0.04 <sup>ab</sup>    | 1.5 ± 0.38 <sup>b</sup>    | 5.11   | **         |
| Threonine  | 1.1 ± 0.22 <sup>ab</sup>   | 0.6 ± 0.10 <sup>a</sup>    | 2.0 ± 0.51 <sup>ac</sup>    | 2.2 ± 0.21 <sup>bc</sup>   | 1.2 ± 0.01 <sup>ac</sup>  | 2.6 ± 0.24 <sup>c</sup>    | 1.5 ± 0.20 <sup>ac</sup>    | 2.0 ± 0.44 <sup>bc</sup>   | 5.24   | **         |
| Tryptophan   | 0.003 ± 0.0033             | 0.000 ± 0.0000             | 0.013 ± 0.0033              | 0.006 ± 0.0033             | 0.003 ± 0.0033            | 0.016 ± 0.0033             | 0.006 ± 0.0033              | 0.013 ± 0.0133             | 1.14   | NS         |
| Valine   | 1.4 ± 0.34 <sup>ab</sup>   | 0.6 ± 0.11 <sup>a</sup>    | 2.2 ± 0.55 <sup>ac</sup>    | 2.5 ± 0.27 <sup>bc</sup>   | 1.0 ± 0.11 <sup>ab</sup>  | 3.2 ± 0.34 <sup>c</sup>    | 1.6 ± 0.17 <sup>ac</sup>    | 2.4 ± 0.51 <sup>bc</sup>   | 6.43   | **         |
| Free essential amino acids (nmol.mg <sup>-1</sup> dry matter)  |                            |                            |                             |                            |                           |                            |                             |                            |  |            |
| Arginine <sup>α</sup>  | 0.14 ± 0.091               | 0.13 ± 0.041               | 0.61 ± 0.083                | 0.10 ± 0.096               | 0.03 ± 0.016              | 0.00 ± 0.000               | 0.12 ± 0.036                | 0.07 ± 0.071               | 7.16   | *          |
| Histidine <sup>α</sup>   | 0.07 ± 0.037               | 0.05 ± 0.011               | 0.00 ± 0.000                | 0.42 ± 0.271               | 0.08 ± 0.044              | 0.25 ± 0.034               | 0.32 ± 0.285                | 15.30 ± 6.205              | 7.37   | *          |
| Isoleucine   | 0.22 ± 0.067               | 0.12 ± 0.027               | 0.22 ± 0.022                | 0.07 ± 0.017               | 0.10 ± 0.022              | 0.36 ± 0.141               | 0.34 ± 0.082                | 0.34 ± 0.046               | 3.19   | *          |
| Leucine <sup>α</sup>   | 0.28 ± 0.087               | 0.09 ± 0.015               | 0.26 ± 0.052                | 0.02 ± 0.004               | 0.08 ± 0.025              | 0.65 ± 0.201               | 0.22 ± 0.051                | 0.45 ± 0.173               | 7.37   | *          |
| Lysine <sup>γ</sup>  | 0.30 ± 0.040 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.11 ± 0.047 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.30 ± 0.060 <sup>ab</sup>  | 0.17 ± 0.052 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.07 ± 0.029 <sup>a</sup> | 0.20 ± 0.051 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.20 ± 0.004 <sup>ab</sup>  | 0.52 ± 0.194 <sup>b</sup>  | 3.49   | *          |
| Methionine <sup>α</sup>  | 0.019 ± 0.006              | 0.012 ± 0.006              | 0.016 ± 0.000               | 0.018 ± 0.007              | 0.023 ± 0.012             | 0.019 ± 0.004              | 0.044 ± 0.016               | 0.065 ± 0.040              | 0.52   | NS         |
| Phenylalanine  | 0.21 ± 0.059 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.10 ± 0.018 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.11 ± 0.007 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.06 ± 0.042 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.09 ± 0.024 <sup>a</sup> | 0.35 ± 0.079 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.26 ± 0.008 <sup>ab</sup>  | 0.17 ± 0.057 <sup>ab</sup> | 5.06   | *          |
| Threonine <sup>γ</sup>   | 0.54 ± 0.063 <sup>a</sup>  | 1.19 ± 0.368 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.30 ± 0.065 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.56 ± 0.185 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.89 ± 0.265 <sup>a</sup> | 0.63 ± 0.121 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.85 ± 1.604 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.23 ± 0.054 <sup>a</sup>  | 8.71   | ***        |
| Tryptophan <sup>β</sup>  | 0.13 ± 0.042 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.06 ± 0.013 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.05 ± 0.012 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.01 ± 0.004 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.04 ± 0.005 <sup>b</sup> | 0.06 ± 0.013 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.05 ± 0.001 <sup>ab</sup>  | 0.05 ± 0.010 <sup>ab</sup> | 4.80   | **         |
| Valine <sup>γ</sup>  | 0.64 ± 0.208               | 0.46 ± 0.109               | 0.47 ± 0.026                | 0.25 ± 0.045               | 0.67 ± 0.235              | 0.68 ± 0.293               | 1.46 ± 0.445                | 0.60 ± 0.114               | 2.28   | NS         |

764 \* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01, \*\*\* p < 0.001. If not indicated, analysis of variance with post-hoc Tukey contrasts.

765 <sup>γ</sup>. Log transformation

766 <sup>β</sup> Square root transformation

767 <sup>α</sup>. Welch test for algae effect with Games-Howell post-hoc analysis test.

Figure 1: Treatment distribution (B: *Saccorhiza polyschides*, D: *Laminaria digitata*; E: *Enteromorpha sp*, G: red filamentous algae, H: stipes of *Laminaria hyperborea*, M: mixed diet, P: *Palmaria palmata*, S: *Saccharina latissima*, U: *Ulva lactuca*). Numbers 1, 2 and 3 correspond to triplicates with abalone and 0 to compartments without abalone to study algal degradation. C corresponds to the abbox sea-cage number.

Figure 2: Seasonal daily weight gain (DGW) and daily length gain (DGL) of *H. tuberculata* receiving 6 monospecific diets during a one-year commercial scale feeding trial (n= 3 replicate per diet). Five periods were studied (t0-2, t2-4, t4-6, t6-8, t8-11). Means  $\pm$  SE are presented. Different letters indicate significant period differences (Linear mixed-effects model with post-hoc analysis).

Figure 3: Seasonal daily length gain (DGL) of abalone receiving *Laminaria digitata*, *Palmaria palmata* and *Ulva lactuca* monospecific diets during a one-year commercial scale feeding trial (n= 3 replicate per diet). Five periods were studied (t0-2, t2-4, t4-6, t6-8, t8-11). Means  $\pm$  SE are presented. Different letters indicate significant period differences (Linear mixed-effects model with post-hoc analysis).

Figure 4: Principal component analysis plot of the algal biochemical composition, and abalone growth and morphological parameters

Figure 5: Principal component analysis plot of algal free and total amino-acid composition, and abalone growth and morphological parameters

| C2 |    |    |    |
|----|----|----|----|
| 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  |
| M1 | E0 | H1 | G1 |

| C1 |    |    |    |
|----|----|----|----|
| 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  |
| D1 | B0 | M2 | P1 |

| C3 |    |    |    |
|----|----|----|----|
| 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| M3 | U0 | H2 | D2 |

| C4 |    |    |    |
|----|----|----|----|
| 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| U1 | P0 | B1 | E1 |



| C5 |    |    |    |
|----|----|----|----|
| 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| P2 | S0 | G2 | U2 |

| C6 |    |    |    |
|----|----|----|----|
| 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| B2 | M0 | E2 | U3 |


| C7 |    |    |    |
|----|----|----|----|
| 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 |
| H3 | D0 | D3 | S1 |

| C8 |    |    |    |
|----|----|----|----|
| 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| S2 | H0 | P3 | G3 |


| C9 |    |    |    |
|----|----|----|----|
| 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 |
| B3 | G0 | S3 | E3 |



*Laminaria digitata*



*Palmaria palmata*



*Ulva lactuca*

