

EULAR points to consider for the use of big data in rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases

Laure Gossec, Joanna Kedra, Hervé Servy, Aridaman Pandit, Simon Stones, Francis Berenbaum, Axel Finckh, Xenofon Baraliakos, Tanja Stamm, David Gomez-Cabrero, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Laure Gossec, Joanna Kedra, Hervé Servy, Aridaman Pandit, Simon Stones, et al.. EULAR points to consider for the use of big data in rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases, 2019, pp.annrheumdis-2019-215694. 10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-215694. hal-02409516

HAL Id: hal-02409516 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-02409516v1

Submitted on 13 Dec 2019 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 European League Against Rheumatism points to consider for the use of big
- 2 data in rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases
- 3 Laure Gossec*, Joanna Kedra*, Hervé Servy, Simon Stones, Aridaman Pandit,
- 4 Francis Berenbaum, Axel Finckh, Xenofon Baraliakos, Tanja Stamm, David Gomez-
- 5 Cabrero, Christian Pristipino, Rémy Choquet, Gerd Burmester, Timothy R.D.J.
- 6 Radstake
- 7 * The first 2 authors have contributed equally to the study (joint authors).
- 8

Name	High	email	ORCID	Affiliation	Disclosures of
	est				interest
	degr				
	ee				
Laure	MD,	laure.gosse	0000-	Sorbonne	L Gossec has
Gossec	PhD	<u>c@gmail.co</u>	0002-	Université, Institut	published a study
		<u>m</u>	4528-	Pierre Louis	for which Orange
			310X	d'Epidémiologie et	IMT
				de Santé Publique,	(telecommunicatio
				INSERM UMR	ns company)
				S1136, Paris	performed
				France ; AP-HP,	machine learning
				Pitié Salpêtrière	analyses, without
				Hospital,	charge to the
				Department of	author
				rheumatology,	
				Paris, France	
Joanna	MD,	jkedra.pro@	0000-	Sorbonne	None
Kedra	MSc	gmail.com	0003-	Université, Institut	
			3535-	Pierre Louis	
			3183	d'Epidémiologie et	
				de Santé Publique,	
				INSERM UMR	
				S1136, Paris	
				France	
Hervé	MSc	<u>hservy@sa</u>	0000-	Sanoia e-health	Employee of

Servy		noia.com	0002-	services, France	Sanoïa, Digital
			8476-		CRO providing
			4619		clinical research
					services including
					data science.
Aridama	PhD	A.Pandit@u	0000-	Dept. of	None
n Pandit		mcutrecht.nl	0003-	Rheumatology,	
			2057-	Clinical	
			9737	Immunology and	
				Laboratory for	
				Translational	
				Immunology,	
				University Medical	
				Center Utrecht,	
				The Netherlands.	
Simon	BSc	simon@sim	0000-	School of	None
R.	(Hon	onstones.co	0002-	Healthcare,	
Stones	s)	m	5943-	University of	
			1310	Leeds, Leeds, UK;	
				Young PARE,	
				Zurich, Switzerland	
Francis	MD,	Francis.ber	0000-	Sorbonne	none
Berenb	PhD	enbaum@a	0001-	Université,	
aum		php.fr	8252-	INSERM CRSA,	
			7815	APHP Saint	
				Antoine Hospital,	
				Paris, France	
Axel	MD,	Axel.finckh	0000-	Division of	None
Finckh	PD	@hcuge.ch	0002-	Rheumatology,	
			1210-	University Hospital	
			4347	of Geneva,	
				Switzerland	
Xenofon	MD,	Xenofon.Ba	0000-	Rheumazentrum	None

Baraliak	PhD	raliakos@eli	0002-	Ruhrgebiet Herne,	
os		sabethgrup	9475-	Ruhr-University	
		pe.de	9362	Bochum, Germany	
Tanja	MSc,	tanja.stamm	0000-	Section for	None
Stamm	MBA,	@meduniwi	0003-	Outcomes	
	Dr.	en.ac.at	3073-	Research, Center	
	rer.		7284	for Medical	
	biol.			Statistics,	
	hum.,			Informatics, and	
	PhD			Intelligent	
				Systems, Medical	
				University of	
				Vienna, Austria	
David	PhD	david.gome	0000-	Translational	None
Gomez-		zcabrero@k	0003- 4186-	Bioinformatics Unit, Navarra	
Cabrero		i.se	3788	Biomed,	
				Departamento de Salud-Universidad	
				Pública de	
				Navarra, Pamplona,	
				Navarra, Spain	
Christia	MD,	pristipino.c	0000-	<u>Ospedale San</u>	None
n	PhD	@gmail.co	<u>0002-</u>	Filippo Neri,	
Pristipin		m	<u>2246-</u> 0652	Rome, Italy.	
0					
Rémy	PhD	remy.choqu	0000-	INSERM U1142,	Employee of
Choque		et@orange.	0002-	Orange	Orange
t		com	1843-	Healthcare, France	Healthcare
			489X		
Gerd	MD,	gerd.burme	0000-	Department of	None
Burmest	PhD	ster@charit	0001-	Rheumatology and	
er		<u>e.de</u>	7518-	Clinical	
			1131	Immunology,	

				Charité –	
				University	
				Medicine Berlin,	
				Germany	
Tim	MD,	T.R.D.J.Ra	0000-0003-	Dept. of	None
Radstak	PhD	<u>dstake@um</u>	1241-691	Rheumatology,	
е		<u>cutrecht.nl</u>		Clinical	
				Immunology and	
				Laboratory for	
				Translational	
				Immunology,	
				University Medical	
				Center Utrecht,	
				The Netherlands.	

9

10 Grants: supported by the European League Against Rheumatism, EULAR (grant11 SCI018).

12

13 CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

14	Pr.	Laure	Gossec,	Hôpital	Pitié-S	Salpétrière,	Service	de	Rhumatologie,	47-83,
				1 7504		-				

15 boulevard de l'Hôpital - 75013 Paris France

 16
 laure.gossec@aphp.fr
 Tel: +33 1 42 17 84 21
 Fax: +33 1 42 17 79 59

17

18 RUNNING TITLE

19 EULAR points to consider for the use of big data in RMDs

- 20
- 21 Word count: 3817 words, 4 tables, 78 references

Key words for journal submission: recommendations, big data, artificial
intelligence, machine learning, biostatistics, data management, EULAR,
epidemiology, health services research, outcomes research.

25 Contributorship statement All authors have contributed to this work and have26 approved the final version.

27

28 Key messages

29

30 What is already known about this subject?

The use of big data by artificial intelligence, computational modelling and machine learning is a rapidly evolving field with the potential to profoundly modify RMD research and patient care.

34 What does this study add?

These are the first European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR)-endorsed 'points to consider' for the use of big data in RMDs. These points address key issues including: ethics, data sources, data storage, data analyses, artificial intelligence (e.g., computational modelling, machine learning), the need for benchmarking, adequate reporting of methods, and implementation of findings into clinical practice. *How might this impact on clinical practice or future developments?* These points to consider will promote advances and homogeneity in the field of big

42 data in RMDs, and may be useful as guidance in other medical fields.

43

45 Abstract (238 words)

46

Background: Tremendous opportunities for health research have been unlocked by the recent expansion of big data and artificial intelligence. However, this is an emergent area where recommendations for optimal use and implementation are needed. The objective of these European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) points to consider is to guide the collection, analysis and use of big data in rheumatic and musculoskeletal disorders (RMDs).

53 **Methods**: A multidisciplinary taskforce of 14 international experts was assembled 54 with expertise from a range of disciplines including computer science and artificial 55 intelligence. Based on a literature review of the current status of big data in RMDs 56 and in other fields of medicine, points to consider were formulated. Levels of 57 evidence and strengths of recommendations were allocated and mean levels of 58 agreement of the taskforce members were calculated.

59 **Results**: Three overarching principles and 10 points to consider were formulated. The overarching principles address ethical and general principles for dealing with big 60 data in RMDs. The points to consider cover aspects of data sources and data 61 62 collection, privacy by design, data platforms, data sharing, and data analyses, in 63 particular through artificial intelligence and machine learning. Furthermore, the points 64 to consider state that big data is a moving field in need of adequate reporting of 65 methods and benchmarking, careful data interpretation and implementation in clinical 66 practice.

67 Conclusion: These EULAR points to consider discuss essential issues and provide a68 framework for the use of big data in RMDs.

69

70

71 INTRODUCTION

72

73 The recent expansion of big datasets and advanced computational techniques lead 74 to tremendous opportunities for health research.[1] As elegantly elaborated by E. 75 Topol the use of big data in medicine is going to disrupt the medical system as we 76 know it.[2] Big data include both clinical data (e.g. originating from electronic health 77 records, healthcare system claims data or patient-generated data such as from Apps), biological data issued from the development of molecular research leading to 78 79 multi-omics complex molecular data,[3] social data (e.g. originating from social networks, Internet Of Things, physical social connections or economic data 80 81 repositories), imaging data, and environmental data (e.g. urbanistic data, pollution or 82 atmospheric conditions).[4, 5] In parallel, artificial intelligence-based methodologies 83 allowing computer systems to "learn" from data (i.e., progressively improve performance on a specific task without being explicitly programmed) are more and 84 85 more accessible.[6, 7] The collection of big data combined with such information processing techniques (computational modelling, machine learning) lead to an 86 87 opportunity for progress in medical research, which should ultimately modify patient 88 care and clinical decision making.

89 Some recent applications of big data show interesting potential. These include the 90 correct detection of skin lesions suspect of melanoma,[8-10] prediction of cancer 91 treatment response based on imaging,[11] and the correct interpretation of eye 92 fundus pathologies.[11] However, big data is an emergent area in need of guidelines 93 and general recommendations on how to move this field forward in a collaborative 94 and ethical way. Some of the challenges presented by big data and artificial 95 intelligence include data sources and data collection: how to collect and store the 96 data, while guaranteeing ethics and data privacy;[12] how to interpret data models of 97 complex analyses; [13, 14] and what are the clinical implications of big data: how to 98 go from big data to clinical decision making.[3, 15, 16]

99 To our knowledge, no academic societies have developed consensus guidelines 100 dealing with big data.[17] Very recently, the European Medicines Agency (EMA) 101 released recommendations focused on the acceptability of evidence derived from big 102 data in support of the evaluation and supervision of medicines by regulators;[18] 103 however, these recommendations deal mainly with the interpretation of drug-related 104 big data. The European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) has recently

105 formulated as one of its key strategic objectives, the advancement of high-quality 106 collaborative research and comprehensive quality of care for people living with 107 rheumatic and musculoskeletal disorders (RMDs).[19] Thus, EULAR naturally takes 108 an interest in big data and its applications.

The objective of this project was to develop EULAR 'points to consider' (PTC) for thecollection, analysis and use of big data in RMDs.

- 111
- 112
- 113

114 **METHODS**

115

After approval by the EULAR Executive Committee, the convenors (LG, TR) and the 116 117 project fellow (JK) led a multidisciplinary taskforce guided by the 2014 updated EULAR Standardised Operating Procedures, [20] which were modified for this 118 119 specific taskforce. In October 2018, the main questions to be addressed in the 120 preparatory work for the taskforce were defined as: 1) data sources and collection; 2) 121 data analyses; and 3) data interpretation and implementation of findings. These 122 questions were addressed in subsequent months leading up to the face-to-face 123 meeting by the project fellow and the convenors. A systematic literature review (SLR) 124 was performed between November 2018 and February 2019, regarding publications 125 employing big data in RMDs, with a comparison in other medical fields.[21] Additionally, a narrative review of unpublished data on websites on big data and 126 127 artificial intelligence was performed to inform the taskforce [12, 17,18, 22-26] and expert opinions were obtained from four selected persons through individual 128 129 telephone interviews.

130 In February 2019, during a one-day face-to-face task force meeting, overarching principles and PTC were developed. The process was both evidence-based and 131 132 consensus-based, through discussions of the international task force of experts from 133 a range of disciplines including computer science and artificial intelligence. The task 134 force consisted of 14 individuals from 8 European countries: 6 rheumatologists, 4 135 data scientists/big data experts, 1 cardiologist specialized in systems medicine, 1 136 patient research partner, 1 health professional with expertise in outcomes research 137 and 1 fellow in rheumatology. Furthermore, feedback was obtained after the meeting 138 from 2 additional experts. This inclusive approach aimed to obtain broad consensus

and applicability of the PTC. During the one-day meeting, the preparatory work was presented and discussed, the target audience of the PTC was defined, then the PTC were formulated and extensively discussed. The PTC were finalised over the subsequent 2 weeks by online discussions, taking into account the publication the same week of an EMA consensus document on big data.[18]

During the meeting and through online discussions, based on the gaps in evidence and the issues raised among the task force, a research agenda was also formulated. After the PTC were finalised, the level of evidence and strength of each PTC were ascertained according to the Oxford system.[27] Finally, each task force member voted anonymously on their level of agreement with each PTC via email (numeric rating scale ranging from 0=do not agree to 10=fully agree). The mean and standard deviation of the level of agreement of taskforce members were calculated.

151 The final manuscript was reviewed and approved by all task force members and152 approved by the EULAR Executive Committee.

- 153
- 154
- 155

156 **RESULTS**

157

158 Target audience

159 The target audience of these PTC includes researchers in the field of big data in RMDs, researchers outside the field of RMDs; data collection organisations and/or 160 161 groups collecting data (e.g. registries, hospitals, telecom operators, search engines, 162 genetic sequencing teams, institutions which collect images etc.); data analysts and 163 organisations; people with RMDs, people at risk of developing RMDs, patient 164 associations; clinicians involved in the management of people with RMDs; other stakeholders such as research organisations and funding agencies, policy makers, 165 166 authorities, governments and medical societies outside of RMDs.

167

168 Overarching principles and PTC were formulated, which are shown in **Table 1** and 169 are discussed in detail below.

170

171 **Definitions of terms**

172 This first point in **Table 1** proposes a definition of terms relating to big data. Although 173 the term big data is widely utilised, there is not one commonly accepted definition. 174 When performing the literature review, several definitions were found (Table 2).[6, 175 21] The first overarching principle defines the term big data, largely based on the 176 EMA definition.[18] Big data is defined by its size and diversity- it is diverse, 177 heterogeneous and large and incorporates multiple data types and forms; but also by 178 the specific complexity and challenges of integrating the data to enable a combined analysis.[18] The second half of the definition refers to artificial intelligence (AI). AI is 179 defined as the ability of a machine to mimic "cognitive" functions that humans 180 181 associate with human minds, such as "learning" and "problem solving".[6] New 182 computational techniques, such as AI (which includes machine-learning and deep 183 learning) are often (but not necessarily) applied to big data.[18]

184 This next sentence is informative and aims to present the diversity of data sources 185 leading to big data; we listed in a non-exhaustive way some of the sources of big 186 data. The most common sources of big healthcare data found in the SLR were 187 clinical; these include electronic health records, studies and registries, billing and 188 healthcare system claims databases.[21, 28, 29] A more recent source of clinical big 189 data, currently underused in RMDs is the Internet of Things (e.g. wearables, apps, 190 medical devices and sensors), but also social media, behavioural and environmental 191 data.[18, 30, 31] Imaging is also a growing field of application of big data.[10, 32, 33] 192 Regarding basic and translational research results, -omics such as genomics and 193 bioanalytical omics are an important and rapidly growing field for big data.[18, 34]

194

195 **Overarching principles**

196 **Overarching principle A – Ethical aspects**

197 This overarching principle addresses ethical issues with big data. The collection, 198 analysis and implementation of big data in RMDs must adhere to all applicable 199 regulations. This covers privacy, confidentiality and security, ownership of data, data 200 minimalization, and flow of data within the EU and with third countries.[22, 35] This is 201 both a regulatory and legal requirement, and an ethical one.[12] In terms of legal 202 requirements, the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) has set standards 203 which apply across Europe but for health-related data, national rules could also apply 204 on top of these.[12]

In this overarching principle, we also raise the question of the role of the patient and/or carer in big data. Big data enables active participation of patients, but this is not always the case. Participation of patients and patient research partners can be helpful in data interpretation; for big data, the active participation of patients is still a field to be explored.[36] This principle highlights not only issues around information, consent and responsibilities, but also patient rights and participation.[35]

211

212 **B – Potential of big data**

Big data provides unprecedented opportunities which we wished to highlight in this overarching principle. Maybe even more than other types of data, big data benefits from transversal thinking, by both original 'outside the box' approaches and crossfertilization approaches taking into account other medical fields and aspects such as comorbidities, psychological, sociological and environmental findings.[18] In this regard, collaboration both within the RMD field and in particular with patients, and outside of RMDs, is key, as will be addressed later in these PTC.[15, 24, 37]

220

221 **C – Ultimate goal**

This overarching principle states that the ultimate goal is to be of benefit to people with RMDs. This is always a key priority of EULAR and is in keeping with the EULAR Strategic Objectives and Roadmap.[19, 38]

225

226 **Points to consider**

Table 1 provides the level of evidence, strength of recommendation and level of agreement for each of the 10 PTC.[20, 27]

229

230 **PTC 1: Data collection - use of standards**

As the amount of big data increases, the need for data harmonisation becomes more 231 232 apparent, with the possibility for using different data sources through application of 233 global standards. It is essential to ensure that existing and future datasets can be 234 utilised and in particular, pooled, for big data approaches. To this end, they must be 235 harmonised/aligned to facilitate interoperability of data.[18] Where possible, 236 minimising the number of standards and using global data standards would be 237 helpful; as stated by the EMA, standards should be transparent, open to promote 238 widespread uptake and globally applicable.[18]

239 In that regard, international consensus efforts such as data standards, developed by 240 groups such as the International Consortium for Health Outcomes Measures, International Council for Harmonisation, Health Level Seven International, 241 International Organization for Standardization and Clinical Data Interchange 242 243 Standards (to name a few) are useful.[39-42] Some of these groups have developed 244 standards for rheumatology.[40]. The EULAR dataset for rheumatoid arthritis (RA) 245 registries, or other core sets, are also helpful in this regard.[43, 44] While these 246 standards regulate the way in which the data are recorded and stored, they do not 247 control how efficient the data collection is at the care team level.

248

249 PTC 2: Data collection and storage - FAIR principle

The FAIR (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, and Reusable) data principles are a measurable set of principles intended to act as a guideline to enhance the reusability of their data.[45] The FAIR principles are recognised by many actors, including the EMA and the EU Commission.[18, 22, 24,46] The FAIR principles are strongly linked to PTC 1 and 3, referring to standardisation, interoperability and data storage. Efforts are ongoing to promote the FAIR principles, such as those of the EU commission through the development of the EU eHealth Digital Service Infrastructure.[47]

257

258 **PTC 3: Data storage - data platforms**

Several platforms have been developed to facilitate big data projects. These platforms are independent, standardised, collaborative, and not at all limited to use for RMDs.[48-50] These platforms have been developed with financial support from the EU and therefore adhere to necessary standards. Hence, the use of such platforms should be promoted as recently stated by the EMA.[18] In these PTC, we refer to the use of such platforms for RMD big data, but of course this would also apply to other groups of big data.

Public access to data is an important point, which raised much debate within the task force. Internationally, several groups emphasised the principle that big data should be made publicly available to promote open and reproducible research; in particular when the data is publicly funded.[18, 26, 51, 52] On the contrary, downsides of public access to data are the potential loss of momentum to secure intellectual property and scientific publications from the researchers who initially generated the data [53] Given this controversy, data sharing should be achieved in a way that is sustainable for all parties involved.[53] How to make data but also algorithms openly available is very complex.[54, 55] The task force consensus was in favour of accessible data, but in the current situation, with limited and supervised access; we also felt that pilot projects to assess the impact of data sharing are needed and that such data sharing should be evidence-based. [56] This consensus will need to be revised as the situation evolves. The topic of data sharing was also added to the research agenda.

279

280 PTC 4: Privacy by design

281 Privacy by design is an important approach which should be followed when 282 managing big data projects. This point insists on the importance of privacy by design 283 at the different levels of big data use; including the collection, processing, storage, 284 analysis and interpretation of big data.[17, 57] Privacy by design is directly quoted in 285 EU law about personal data [12]. This approach prompts thinking on the reasons why 286 you collect/gather, process, store and protect data, from inception to final deletion. 287 Privacy by design also prompts individuals to self-assess the potential risks or weaknesses relating to data, and how best to manage such risks. This PTC is a 288 289 major challenge for researchers in big data but it appeared to the task force to be not 290 only a legal requirement or an ethical one; but also an educational one, since this 291 practice is not widely understood. For big data projects, the data source is key: either 292 the data is collected for the purpose of the project, or data is re-used from existing 293 sources. In the first case, obtaining consent is mandatory and must involve a data officer and follow a transparent and effective process in terms of data 294 295 governance.[35] When data is re-used, the national laws on consent, data sharing 296 and governance must be applied. In this context, the development of common 297 principles for data anonymisation would facilitate data sharing, including regulations 298 for sharing, de-identifying, securely storing, transmitting and handling personal health 299 information.[18]

The European regulatory framework around data is currently undergoing change: from May 2019, the circulation of non-identifying data will be facilitated.[47] The implications of this change will have to be assessed.

303

304 **PTC 5: Collaboration**

305 While interdisciplinary collaboration is beneficial and required for all research 306 projects, it is even more important in big data projects where expertise is dispersed

307 among different stakeholders. The task force insisted on the importance of 308 collaboration between appropriate stakeholders, not only at the analysis stage, for 309 example, where AI methods require appropriate expertise, but at all phases of a big 310 data project.[25] Interdisciplinary collaborations should intervene at different times 311 across a project, to enable the most appropriate design to be chosen, while ensuring 312 that data collection and the type of analysis are fit for purpose. Of note, the statistical 313 methods may be based on AI or may include more traditional statistics and/or 314 computational methodologies, as appropriate. Further knowledge is needed on the 315 comparison of statistical methods, which discussed in more detail in PTC 7.[21, 58] 316 The appropriate individuals to collaborate include clinical/biological scientists, 317 computational/data scientists, health professionals and patients: proposals for 318 respective roles are shown in Table 3.

319

320 PTC 6: Data analyses reporting

The methods, parameters and tools used in big data processing must be reported explicitly in any scientific paper. This is pivotal to allow comparison and interpretation of findings. Our SLR found that 8% of papers using AI did not report in any way what artificial intelligence methods were being used.[21] Proper reporting is important for all research, but even more so when innovative methods such as artificial intelligence are used, to avoid confusion and to promote reproducibility.[14, 18, 30, 59]

327

328 PTC 7: Benchmarking of data analyses

329 Al encompasses several techniques which are intended to solve the most difficult 330 problems in computer science: search and optimisation (heuristics), logic (fuzzy 331 logic), uncertain reasoning and learning (machine learning).[60]. In our SLR, machine 332 learning methods were the most used artificial intelligence techniques, in RMDs and 333 in other medical fields (98% and 100% of artificial intelligence papers, respectively). 334 The most used machine learning algorithms were artificial neural networks (with deep 335 learning as the most advanced version), representing 48% of AI articles.[21, 61] 336 In addition, comparison of artificial intelligence methods within RMDs should be

promoted. [17, 18, 24, 62] This is particularly needed because AI is a rapidly growing
field; there is an ongoing and unsolved debate, as to which methods within artificial
intelligence perform best.[63, 64] The comparison of AI methods was also added to

the research agenda, since it was felt that this particularly topic was difficult toperform at this moment in time, and was more aspirational.

342

343 **PTC 8: Validation of big data findings**

344 Although there may be a perception that big data are more valid or less subject to 345 bias than traditional studies, model overfitting, inappropriate generalisation of the results and/or bias can in fact lead to inappropriate conclusions. [14, 18, 28]. Thus, it 346 is important both to assess and benchmark the quality of the generated data and the 347 348 methods used to avoid over-interpretation of results, overfitting of the models, and 349 generalisation of the results when using big data. The task force also felt that it was 350 important to validate results in independent datasets. [24, 28] Overall, the task force 351 agreed that conclusions drawn from big data need independent validation (in other 352 datasets) to overcome current limitations and to assure scientific soundness. 353 However, a specific challenge for big datasets and the validation of results is the 354 need for other (similar) big datasets - thus, feasibility of validation is a key issue 355 which was discussed at length within the taskforce

356

357 **PTC 9: Implementation of findings**

358 The clinical implementation of big data findings should be considered at the earliest 359 opportunity. The SLR and from literature showed that this implementation is currently 360 mostly lacking.[21, 65] The task force consensus was that researchers using big data 361 should consider implementation of their results in clinical practice; this would include 362 for example, discussing implementation of findings in clinical practice in the original 363 papers. The task force is well aware that this is a difficult task; such implementation 364 being both complex to set up, costly, and potentially not within the scope of the 365 primary study.[66] In this regard, the EMA states that regulatory guidance is required on the acceptability of evidence derived from big data sources.[18, 67] However, 366 367 taking all these limitations into account, the task force consensus was that 368 implementation of findings should be proactively considered early on.

369

370 **PTC 10: Training**

371 Interdisciplinary training for clinical, biological or imaging researchers, healthcare 372 professionals and computational biologists/data scientists in the field of big data is 373 important and links closely with the need for collaborations in the field of big data 374 (Table 3). Indeed, machine learning methods are becoming ubiquitous, and have 375 major implications for scientific discovery;[26] however, healthcare professionals are 376 not perfectly aware of the correct use of these methods, whereas data scientists may 377 lack the clinical knowledge to design studies and interpret the findings (**Table 3**). 378 Given the current relative lack of expertise related to big data in the field of RMDs, 379 and given the rapid changes in this field, certain organisations should set up or 380 facilitate training sessions.[18, 37] This may include academic institutes, public 381 research bodies and international organisations, such as EULAR. The training is 382 needed for both sides: the healthcare professionals needing to learn about the basics 383 of big data, and the data scientists needing to better understand the clinical questions 384 and context within which big data has been collected, and/or is being applied.[68] 385 The training can be performed separately for the different stakeholders but, in some 386 instances, it will require an interdisciplinary educational setting in order to engage 387 multidisciplinary teams and their unique dynamics (e.g. the need to set a common 388 vocabulary). The training process should detect skills gaps, identify individuals with 389 bioinformatics/biostatistics/analytics/data science expertise within or outside the field 390 of RMDs, and implement appropriate training. The training should also aim for 391 different levels of education provision, ranging from academic taught modules 392 (undergraduate and postgraduate), academic research modules (PhD) and 393 continuous professional development opportunities (for example, through seminars 394 and workshops). Similar efforts can be observed in Systems Biology and Systems 395 Medicine. [18, 68-70]

396

397

398 Research agenda

399

Based on the discussions among the task force and the areas of uncertainty identified within the SLR and discussions among expert stakeholders, a research agenda has been proposed, depicted in **Table 4**. This research agenda covers issues related to data collection, data analyses, training, interpretation of findings and implementation of findings.

405

406

407

408 **DISCUSSION**

409

410 These are the first EULAR-endorsed PTC for the use of big data within the field of 411 RMDs, which could well be applied by other medical disciplines. These PTC address 412 the core aspects of big data, namely data sources and storage, including ethical 413 aspects, data analyses, data interpretation and implementation. Legal aspects are not clearly mentioned, but these points to consider were meant to cover principles 414 415 and practical aspects of big data; however, the law, and in particular GDPR, applies 416 first. (12) For the update of these points to consider in a few years, participants with 417 legal and ethical expertise should be considered.

This consensus effort is original and should help to promote growth and alignment in the field of big data. However, we are aware that this is a rapidly moving field and that the present PTC may quickly become outdated. It is reassuring that our proposals were not in contradiction to other recent recommendations, such as those of the EMA or the National Health Service in the United Kingdom.[17, 18]

423 To our knowledge, no other non-governmental organisation representing patients, 424 healthcare professional and scientific societies to date has developed 425 recommendations for big data. While the American College of Rheumatology has not 426 published specific guidance relating to big data, it has developed an online patient 427 registry from electronic health records which could potentially be used as a big data 428 source.[71]

429

430 The use of big data is rapidly expending as witnessed by the increasing number of 431 organisations, companies and publications/books dealing with this topic. 432 Undoubtedly, the exploration, use and implementation of big data provide opportunities to improve healthcare but it is also clear that this field is in need for 433 434 guidelines and criteria. These PTC are a first tool to set those guidelines. With the 435 growth of big data in RMDs, we expect that these PTC inspire governmental and 436 research organizations, health care providers, researchers and patients to increase 437 relevant training of the stakeholders, promotes research on interpretation and clinical 438 applications of big data results, and develop benchmarks/guidelines for reproducible 439 research.

Points 8 and 9 referring to validation and implementation raised much debate within the taskforce since we felt it was important to both insist on the importance of these steps, and at the same time aim for applicability/feasibility of the points to consider. The final formulation of the points was thought to encourage progress without being too directive, to allow researchers to move forward as needed. Such elements will have to be updated as more data becomes available.

446 The grading of the evidence was a challenge in the present work as the Oxford level 447 of evidence (27) which is used in EULAR taskforces is better adapted to therapeutic 448 evidence than to observational or prognostic evidence as is often obtained in big data 449 work. However, according to EULAR Standardized Operating Procedures (20), levels 450 of evidence and strength of recommendations should be rated by the Oxford Levels 451 of Evidence. Moreover, in the case where there is little data-driver evidence, EULAR 452 Standardized Operating Procedures recommend to downgrade the recommendations to the level of "points to consider", which is what was performed here. 453

454 This work has several limitations: the main one is that the present PTC are not 455 specific to RMDs. However, they are not specific because the aspects of big data that 456 they address are universal, and at present, there is no specific issue related to big 457 data in RMDs, as is also the case in any other medical speciality. Moreover, the 458 experts we consulted consider big data as an opportunity to go beyond the traditional 459 division of medical specialties and allow multidisciplinary approaches. The other main 460 limitation was the extremely low level of evidence for all the PTC, raising the question 461 of the interest of evidence in this specific field where the PTC were expert-driven. 462 This is often the case on subjects where recommendations are formulated before 463 supportive data are produced.[72] It is linked to the novelty of the subject.

464

In conclusion, it is anticipated that new data in this rapidly moving field will emerge over the next few years and that some of the questions formulated in the research agenda will be answered. Therefore, we will consider an update of these PTC as needed in a few years.

469

470 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

- 471 The authors would like to thank the experts who kindly shared their opinions during
- 472 individual interviews as part of the preparation for this taskforce: William Dixon (UK),
- 473 Iain McInnes (UK), Harald Schmidt (Netherlands) and Jan Baumbach (Germany). We
- 474 also wish to thank the experts who kindly advised us on the manuscript: lain McInnes
- 475 (UK) and Neil Betteridge (UK).
- 476

Table 1. EULAR-endorsed overarching principles and points to consider for the use
 of big data in RMDs, with levels of agreement and for the specific points,
 levels of evidence and strength

Definitions The term 'big data' refers to extremely large datasets which	may be	comple	γę
multi-dimensional, unstructured and from heterogeneous so			
accumulate rapidly. Computational technologies, including a			
(e.g. machine learning), are often applied to big data. Big da		-	
multiple data sources including clinical, biological, social and e			
sources.			
Overarching principles	LoA, m	ean (S	D)
A. For all big data use, ethical issues related to privacy,	9.6 (0.7)	
confidentiality, identity and transparency are key			
principles to consider.			
B. Big data provides unprecedented opportunities to	9.5 (1.2)	
deliver transformative discoveries in RMD research and			
practice.			
C. The ultimate goal of using big data in RMDs is to	9.6 (0.5)	
improve the health, lives and care of people including			
health promotion and assessment, prevention,			
diagnosis, treatment and monitoring of disease.			
Points to consider	LoA,	LoE	Sol
	mean		
4. The use of slabel berneniced and comprehensive	(SD)		
1. The use of global, harmonised and comprehensive	0.7		
standards should be promoted, to facilitate	9.7	4	0
interoperability of big data.	(0.6)	4	С
2. Big data should be Findable, Accessible, Interoperable,	9.6	5	D
and Reusable (FAIR principle).3. Open data platforms should be preferred for big data	(0.9) 8.7	5	
related to RMDs.	(1.2)	5	D
4. Privacy by design must be applied to the collection,	(1.2)	5	
processing, storage, analysis and interpretation of big	9.6		
data.	(0.5)	4	С
5. The collection, processing, storage, analysis and			
interpretation of big data should be underpinned by			
interdisciplinary collaboration, including			
biomedical/health/life scientists, computational and/or			
data scientists, relevant clinicians/health professionals	9.7		
and patients.	(0.6)	4	С
6. The methods used to analyse big data must be reported			
explicitly and transparently in scientific publications.	10 (0)	4	С
7. Benchmarking of computational methods for big data	9.4		
used in RMD research should be encouraged.	(1.2)	5	D
8. Before implementation, conclusions and/or models	9.1		
drawn from big data should be independently validated.	(0.7)	4	С
9. Researchers using big data should proactively consider	9.3		
the implementation of findings in clinical practice.	(0.8)	5	D
10. Interdisciplinary training on big data methods in RMDs			
for clinicians/health professionals/health and life	9.7		
scientists and data scientists must be encouraged.	(0.6)	5	D

481 LoA, level of agreement; LoE, level of evidence, SoR: strength of recommendation

Numbers in the column 'LoA' indicate the mean and SD (in parentheses) of the LoA, as well as the mean agreement of the 14 task force members on a 0-10 scale. LoE and strength based on the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine classification, with 'Level 1' corresponding to metaanalysis or randomized controlled trials (RCT) or high quality RCTs; 'Level 2' to lesser quality RCT or prospective comparative studies; 'Level 3' to case-control studies or retrospective studies; 'Level 4' to case series without the use of comparison or control groups; 'Level 5' to case reports or expert opinion[27]

489

491 **Table 2.** Some definitions of the terms 'big data' in the literature

Extremely large sets of information which require specialised computational tools to enable their analysis and exploitation. These data might come from electronic health records from millions of patients, genomics, social media, clinical trials or spontaneous adverse reaction reports[18]

Data sets that are too large or complex for traditional data-processing application software to adequately deal with[73]

Defined by volume, if Log(n*p) is superior or equal to 7, where n is number of rows and p is number of columns[74]

Data sets that are large or complex (multidimensional and/or dynamic) enough to apply complex methods e.g. Artificial intelligence [75]

Information assets characterized by such high velocity, variety, and volume that specific data mining methods and technology are required for its transformation into value[76]

A generic and comprehensive definition of big data is based on the five V paradigm i.e., volume of data, variety of data, velocity of

processing, veracity, and value[77]

The term big data refers to the emerging use of rapidly collected, complex data in such unprecedented quantities that terabytes (10¹² bytes), petabytes (10¹⁵ bytes) or even zettabytes (10²¹ bytes) of storage may be required[78]

492

Table 3. Stakeholders involved in big data research: Proposal of potential roles

Stakeholder	Characteristics	Potential role in big data research
Clinicians/health professionals, biomedical/health/life scientists	Knowledge of the diseases, prognosis and treatments	Clinically relevant question, study protocol, data collection, interpretation and implementation of findings
Data scientist	To analyse and interpret complex digital data, should be proficient in a broad spectrum of analytical methodologies that encompass traditional (biostatistics, epidemiology, discrete-event simulation, and causal modeling) as well as emerging methods (67).	on the best tools or algorithm to analyze the data. Analyses of data and
Computational biologist	Involved in the development and application of data-analytical and theoretical methods, mathematical modeling and computational simulation techniques to the study of biological, ecological, behavioral, and social systems. Has domain knowledge in biology.	
Data Protection Officer	Expert on data privacy	Orient the project team in privacy by design practice
	People living with RMDs who have knowledge of day-to-day life with RMDs, from diagnosis to treatment and long-term management	
Database expert	Expert of the data in a database	Help the project team to understand the real "value" of data in a database, and provide guidance on data selection
Computer sciences Expert	Expert in computer sciences solutions	Provide guidance on the best technical solution to manage the Big data, from its collection to massive calculation solutions

Table 4. Research agenda.

Theme	Research point
Data sources	Leverage EULAR legacy initiatives around core datasets that should be collected in research (and usual care) as foundations for successful big data projects in the field of RMDs.
	Determine the optimal use of eHealth data through digital traces and patient-generated/patient-reported data.
	Determine the potential use of database linkages, such as healthcare system claims databases.
Data access	Identify the mechanisms supporting and implications following open access to, and sharing of, big data.
	Assess positive and negative aspects of data sharing in terms of article impact (academic/social) and translational success
	Identify the challenges, opportunities and solutions for international data sharing.
	Develop a repository of privacy rules in different European countries.
	Identify public platforms for data, and how the public can access their own data within big data sets for knowledge/education/self- management purposes
Analyses	Evaluate and compare statistical methods and benchmarking of big data.
	Develop methods of assessment and minimization of bias and of generalisation / reproducibility.
	Determine the most appropriate open source tools to improve reproducibility of the results.
	Perform a critical assessment of statistical significance vs clinical relevance of the results obtained from medical big data.
Reporting	Stimulate consistent reporting of big data studies using validated reporting guidelines.
	Stimulate and facilitate open sharing of codes/scripts.

Implementation	Determine the value of algorithms and big data findings in terms of quality of care and cost effectiveness.			
	Assess levels of evidence in evidence-based medicine when based on big-data studies.			
	Manage the potential rapid and frequent changes of outcomes when implementing big data findings.			
Training	Identify opportunities for training via the EULAR School of Rheumatology and other relevant organisations.			
	Assess the importance of inter and cross-disciplinarity.			
	Assess the place of multidisciplinary training at specific stages of individual careers and/or at specific stages of specific projects			
	Consider introducing a basic big data/systems biology/bioinformatic course at bachelors' levels for healthcare professionals.			
Collaborations	Stimulate national and international interest among the data scientist community in relation to RMDs.			
	Promote the integration of RMD fluent "ethical experts" in collaborative teams working on big data.			
Ethics and roles	Stimulate ethical and moral discussions with patients and 'data donors' specifically in the context of big data, addressing topics such as informed consent/assent, confidentiality, anonymity, and privacy concerns, particularly with regards to the re-use of			
	Discuss the roles and responsibilities of healthcare professionals, scientists/researchers and patients in relation to big data.			
	Assess issues pertaining to commercial use of big data, particularly involving public-private consortiums and the use of multiple datasets			
	Assess the effects of big data results on use of drugs including in unauthorized/ compassionate use cases			
	Define the role, modalities and rules of patient engagement in the generation and exploitation of big data.			

REFERENCES

- 1. Saria S, Butte A, Sheikh A. Better medicine through Machine Learning: what's real, and what's artificial? *PloS Med*. 2018;15:E1002721.
- 2. Topol EJ. High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and artificial intelligence. *Nat Med.* 2019;25:44-56.
- **3.** Dixon W, Michaud K. Using technology to support clinical care and research in rheumatoid arthritis. *Curr Opin Rheumatol* 2018, 30:276–281.
- **4.** Auffray C, Sagner M, Abdelhak S, et al. Viva Europa, a Land of Excellence in Research and Innovation for Health and Wellbeing. *Progr Prev Med.* 2017;2:e006.
- Sagner M, McNeil A, Puska P, Auffray C, Price ND, Hood L, et al. The P4 Health Spectrum – A Predictive, Preventive, Personalized and Participatory Continuum for Promoting Healthspan. *Progr Cardiovasc Dis* 2017; 59, 506–521.
- **6.** Russell SJ, Norvig P. Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach (3rd ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall 2009.
- Koza JR, Bennett FH, Andre D, Keane MA. Automated Design of Both the Topology and Sizing of Analog Electrical Circuits Using Genetic Programming. In: Gero JS, Sudweeks F, eds. Artificial Intelligence in Design. Dordrecht (NL): Elsevier Academic Publishers 1996.
- 8. Safran T, Viezel-Mathieu A, Corban J, Kanevsky A, Thibaudeau S, Kanevsky J. Machine learning and melanoma: The future of screening. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2018;78:620-621.
- 9. Esteva A, Kuprel B, Novoa RA., Ko J, Swetter SM, Blau HM, et al. Dermatologistlevel classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. *Nature* 2017, 542: 115-118.
- Sun R, Limkin EJ, Vakalopoulou M, Dercle L, Champiat S, Han SR, et al. A radiomics approach to assess tumour-infiltrating CD8 cells and response to anti-PD-1 or anti-PD-L1 immunotherapy: an imaging biomarker, retrospective multicohort study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2018;19:1180-1191.
- 11. Khojasteh P, Aliahmad B, Kumar DK. Fundus images analysis using deep features for detection of exudates, hemorrhages and microaneurysms. *BMC Ophthalmol.* 2018;18:288.
- 12. GDPR Key Changes with the General Data Protection Regulation EUGDPR <u>https://eugdpr.org/the-regulation/</u> [accessed Dec 2 2018].
- 13. Rumsfeld JS, Joynt KE, Maddox TM. Big data analytics to improve cardiovascular care: promise and challenges. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2016;13:350-9.
- 14. Price WN. Big data and black-box medical algorithms. Sci Transl Med. 2018;10:471.
- 15. Swan AL, Stekel DJ, Hodgman C, Allaway D, Alqahtani MH, Mobasheri A et al. A machine learning heuristic to identify biologically relevant and minimal biomarker panels from omics data. *BMC Genomics*. 2015;16(Suppl 1) :S2.
- Banjar H, Adelson D, Brown F, Chaudhri N. Intelligent Techniques Using Molecular Data Analysis in Leukaemia: An Opportunity for Personalized Medicine Support System. *Biomed Res Int.* 2017;2017:1-21.
- 17. Code of conduct for data driven health and care technology NHS https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/code-of-conduct-for-data-driven-healthand-care-technology/initial-code-of-conduct-for-data-driven-health-and-caretechnology [accessed Feb 28 2019].
- 18. HMA-EMA Joint Big Data Taskforce: summary report. <u>https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/minutes/hma/ema-joint-task-force-big-</u> <u>data-summary-report_en.pdf</u> [accessed Feb 16, 2019].

- 19. EULAR Strategy. <u>https://www.eular.org/eular_strategy_2018.cfm</u> [accessed Feb 16, 2019].
- 20. van der Heijde D, Aletaha D, Carmona L, Edwards CJ, Kvien TK, Kouloumas M et al. 2014 Update of the EULAR standardised operating procedures for EULAR-endorsed recommendations. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2015;74:8-13.
- 21. Kedra J, Radstake T, Pandit A, Baraliakos X, Berenbaum F, Finckh A et al. Current status of the use of Big Data and Artificial Intelligence in RMDs: a systematic literatura review informing EULAR recommendations. *RMD Open* 2019 (submitted)
- 22. AEGLE legal how does your country processes Health Data after GDPR? <u>http://www.aegle-uhealth.eu/en/aegle-in-your-country/united-kingdom-report.html</u> [accessed Feb 16, 2019].

23. https://easym.eu/ [accessed Feb 16, 2019].

- 24. https://www.icpermed.eu/ [accessed Feb 16, 2019].
- 25. NIH funds additional medical centers to expand national precision medicine research program <u>https://allofus.nih.gov/news-events-and-media/announcements/nih-funds-additional-medical-centers-expand-national-precision [accessed Feb 16, 2019].</u>
- 26. Open Data in a Big Data World The World Academy of Science Website <u>https://twas.org/sites/default/files/open-data-in-big-data-world short en.pdf</u> [accessed Feb 16, 2019].
- 27. Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine Levels of Evidence <u>https://www.cebm.net/2009/06/oxford-centre-evidence-based-medicine-levels-</u> <u>evidence-march-2009/</u>. [accessed Feb 16, 2019].
- 28. Aphinyanaphongs Y. Big Data Analyses in Health and Opportunities for Research in Radiology. *Semin Musculoskelet Radiol.* 2017;21:32-36.
- 29. Claerhout B, Kalra D, Mueller C, Singh G, Ammour N, Meloni L, et al. Federated electronic health records research technology to support clinical trial protocol optimization: Evidence from EHR4CR and the InSite platform. *J Biomed Inform*. 2019;90:103090.
- Gossec L, Guyard F, Leroy D, Lafargue T, Seiler M, Jacquemin C et al. Detection of flares by decrease in physical activity, collected using wearable activity trackers, in rheumatoid arthritis or axial spondyloarthritis: an application of Machine-Learning analyses in rheumatology. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2018. doi: 10.1002/acr.23768. [Epub ahead of print]
- Ramos-Casals M, Brito-Zeron P, Kostov B, Siso-Almirall A, Bosch X, Buss D et al. Google-driven search for Big Data in autoimmune geoepidemiology: analysis of 394,827 patients with systemic autoimmune diseases. *Autoimmun Rev.* 2015;14:670-9.
- 32. Morris MA, Saboury B, Burkett B, Gao J, Siegel EL. Reinventing Radiology: Big Data and the Future of Medical Imaging. *J Thorac Imaging*. 2018;33:4-16.
- 33. Landewé RBM, van der Heijde D. "Big Data" in Rheumatology: Intelligent Data Modeling Improves the Quality of Imaging Data. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am.* 2018;44:307-315.
- 34. Suwinski P, Ong C, Ling MHT, Poh YM, Khan AM, Ong HS. Advancing Personalized Medicine Through the Application of Whole Exome Sequencing and Big Data Analytics. *Front Genet.* 2019;10:49.
- 35. Wyber R, Vaillancourt S, Perry W, Mannava P, Falranmi T, Celi LA. Big data in global health: improving health in low- and middle-income countries. *Bull World Health Organ.* 2015;93:203-8.

- 36. de Wit MP, Berlo SE, Aanerud GJ, Aletaha D, Bijlsma JW, Croucher L et al; European League Against Rheumatism recommendations for the inclusion of patient representatives in scientific projects. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2011;70:722-6.
- 37. Krumholz HM. Big Data And New Knowledge In Medicine: The Thinking, Training, And Tools Needed For A Learning Health System. *Health Aff (Millwood).* 2014;33:1163-1170.
- 38. EULAR Roadmap. https://www.eular.org/public_affairs_research_roadmap.cfm [accessed Feb 16, 2019]
- 39. ICH Guidelines. <u>https://www.ich.org/products/guidelines.html</u> [accessed Feb 16, 2019].
- 40. Data collection reference guide ICHOM inflammatory arthritis website. https://ichom.org/files/medical-conditions/inflammatory-arthritis/inflammatory-arthritisreference-guide.pdf [accessed Feb 16, 2019].
- 41. https://www.iso.org/en/deliverables-all.html [accessed Feb 16, 2019].
- 42. CDISC Standards in the Clinical Research Process CDISC website. https://www.cdisc.org/standards [accessed Feb 16, 2019].
- 43. Radner H, Chatzidionysiou K, Nikiphorou E, Gossec L, Hyrich KL, Zabalan C et al. 2017 EULAR recommendations for a core data set to support observational research and clinical care in rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2018;77:476-479.
- 44. Boers M, Kirwan JR, Wells G, Beaton D, Gossec L, d'Agostino MA et al. Developing core outcome measurement sets for clinical trials: OMERACT filter 2.0. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2014;67:745-53.
- 45. Wilkinson MD, Dumontier M, Aalbersberg IJ, Appleton G, Axton M, Baak A et al. The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship. *Sci Data*. 2016;3:160018.
- 46. Townend D. Conclusion: harmonisation in genomic and health data sharing for research: an impossible dream? *Hum Genet.* 2018;137:657-664.
- 47. Free flow on non-personal data European Commission Website. <u>https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/free-flow-non-personal-data</u> [accessed Feb 16, 2019].
- 48. <u>https://www.etriks.org</u> [accessed Feb 16, 2019].
- 49. https://transmartfoundation.org/ [accessed Feb 16, 2019].
- 50. https://flowrepository.org/ [accessed Feb 16, 2019].
- 51. Taichman DB, Sahni P, Pinborg A, Peiperl L, Laine C, James A et al. Data sharing statements for clinical trials: a requirement of the International Committee of medical Journals Editors. *Lancet.* 2017;389:e12-e14.
- 52. Data sharing the New England Journal of Medicine Website. <u>https://www.nejm.org/data-sharing</u> [accessed Feb 16, 2019].
- 53. Callaway E. Zika-microcephaly paper sparks data-sharing confusion. *Nature*. 2016 Feb 12. <u>https://www.nature.com/news/zika-microcephaly-paper-sparks-data-sharing-</u> <u>confusion-1.19367</u> [accessed Feb 16, 2019]
- 54. Wallach JD, Boyack KW, Ionnadis JPA. Reproducible research practices, transparency, and open access data in the biomedical literature, 2015–2017. *Plos Biology*. 2018;16:e2006930.
- 55. Iqbal SA, Wallash JD, Khoury MJ, Schully SD, Ioannidis JPA. Reproducible Research Practices and Transparency across the Biomedical Literature. *PLoS Biol.* 2016; 14: e1002333.

- 56. https://ega-archive.org/ [accessed Feb 16, 2019]. Available on:
- 57. Bender JL, Cyr AB, Arbuckle L, Ferris LE. Ethics and Privacy Implications of Using the Internet and Social Media to Recruit Participants for Health Research: A Privacyby-Design Framework for Online Recruitment. *J Med Internet Res.* 2017;19:e104.
- 58. Cichosz SL Johansen MD, Hejlesen O. Toward Big Data Analytics: Review of Predictive Models in Management of Diabetes and Its Complications. *J Diabetes Sci Technol.* 2015;10:27-34.
- 59. Perry DC, Parsons NL, Costa ML. 'Big data' reporting guidelines: how to answer big questions, yet avoid big problems. *Bone Joint J.* 2014;96-B:1575–7.
- 60. Russell SJ, Norvig P. Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach (2nd ed.), Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall 2015.
- 61. LeCunn Y, Bengio Y, Hinton G. Deep learning. Nature. 2015;521:436-444.
- 62. http://dreamchallenges.org/ [accessed Feb 16, 2019].
- 63. Jin X, Wah BW, Cheng X, Wang Y. Significance and Challenges of Big Data Research. *Big Data Research* 2015;2:59-64.
- 64. Obermeyer Z, Emanuel EJ. Predicting the Future Big Data, Machine Learning, and Clinical Medicine. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375:1216-9.
- 65. Ermann J, Rao DA, Teslovich NC, Brenner MB, Raychaudhuri S. Immune cell profiling to guide therapeutic decisions in rheumatic diseases. *Nat Rev Rheumatol.* 2015;11:541-51.
- 66. Lee CH, Yoon HJ. Medical big data: promise and challenges. *Kidney Res Clin Pract.* 2017;36:3-11.
- 67. Suresh S. Big Data and Predictive Analytics: Applications in the Care of Children. Pediatr Clin North Am. 2016;63:357-66.
- Cvijovic M, Höfer T, Acimovic J, Alberghina L, Almaas E, Besozzi D et al. Strategies for structuring interdisciplinary education in Systems Biology: an European perspective. NPJ Syst Biol Appl. 2016; 2: 16011.
- Cascante M, de Atauri P, Gomez-Cabrero D, Wagner P, Centelles JJ, Marin S et al. Workforce preparation: the Biohealth computing model for Master and PhD students. J Transl Med. 2014;12(Suppl2) :S11.
- 70. Gomez-Cabrero D, Marabita F, Tarazona S, Cano I, Roca J, Conesa A et al. Guidelines for Developing Successful Short Advanced Courses in Systems Medicine and Systems Biology. *Cell Syst.* 2017;5:168-175.
- 71. Rise Registry ACR <u>https://www.rheumatology.org/I-Am-A/Rheumatologist/RISE-Registry</u> [accessed Dec 2 2018].
- 72. Najm A, Nikiphorou E, Gossec L, Berenbaum F. EULAR points to consider for thedevelopment process of mobile health applications for self-management in patients with rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases. submitted.
- 73. Cox M, Ellsworth D. Managing big data for scientific visualization. ACM SIGGRAPH
 '97 course #4, exploring gigabyte datasets in real-time: algorithms, data management, and time-critical design. Anaheim, CA: ACM Digital Library, 1997:5-17
- 74. Baro E, Degoul S, Beuscart R, Chazard E. Toward a Literature-Driven Definition of Big Data in Healthcare. *Biomed Res Int.* 2015;2015:639021.
- 75. A machine learning revolution PhysicsWorld website. <u>https://physicsworld.com/a/a-machine-learning-revolution</u> [accessed Dec 2 2018].
- 76. Fei Y, Liu XQ, Gao K, Xue CB, Tang L, Tu JF, et al. Analysis of influencing factors of severity in acute pancreatitis using big data mining. *Rev Assoc Med Bras (1992)*. 2018;64:454-461.

- 77. Moscatelli M, Manconi A, Pessina M, Fellegara G, Rampoldi S, Milanesi L, Casasco A, Gnocchi M. An infrastructure for precision medicine through analysis of big data. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2018;19(Suppl 10):351.
- 78. Groves P, Kayyali B, Knott D, Van Kuiken S. The 'big data' revolution in healthcare. Accelerating value and innovation. <u>https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/healthcare-systems-and-services/our-insights/the-big-data-revolution-in-us-health-care</u> [accessed Feb 16, 2019].