

The late Miocene mammal faunas of the Republic of Macedonia (FYROM)

Nikolaï Spassov, Denis Geraads, Latinka Hristova, Georgi N Markov, Biljana Garevska, Risto Garevski

▶ To cite this version:

Nikolaï Spassov, Denis Geraads, Latinka Hristova, Georgi N Markov, Biljana Garevska, et al.. The late Miocene mammal faunas of the Republic of Macedonia (FYROM). Palaeontographica A, 2018, 311 (1-6), pp.1-85. 10.1127/pala/2018/0073 . hal-02470767

HAL Id: hal-02470767 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-02470767

Submitted on 7 Feb 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 SPASSOV, N., GERAADS, D., HRISTOVA, L., MARKOV, G., GAREVSKA, B, & GAREVSKI, R. 2018. 2 The late Miocene mammal faunas of the Republic of Macedonia (FYROM). 3 Palaeontographica A, 311: 1-85. 4 5 Authors' addresses 6 N. Spassov 7 National Museum of Natural History at the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences 8 1, Blvd. Tzar Osvoboditel, 1000 Sofia, Bulgaria 9 e-mail: nspassov@nmnhs.com 10 11 D. GERAADS 12 Sorbonne Universités, CR2P-MNHN, CNRS, UPMC-Paris6, CP 38, 8 rue Buffon, 75231 13 Paris Cedex 05, France 14 and 15 Department of Human Evolution, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 16 Deutscher Platz 6, 04103 Leipzig, Germany 17 e-mail: geraads@mnhn.fr 18 19 L. Hristova 20 National Museum of Natural History at the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences 21 1, Blvd. Tzar Osvoboditel, 1000 Sofia, Bulgaria 22 latihristova@abv.bg 23 24 G. MARKOV 25 National Museum of Natural History at the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences

26 1, Blvd. Tzar Osvoboditel, 1000 Sofia, Bulgaria 27 markov@nmnhs.com 28 29 B. GAREVSKA 30 Macedonian Museum of Natural History, Blvd. Ilinden 86, 1000 Skopje, R. of Macedonia 31 bgarevska@yahoo.com 32 33 R. Garevski † 34 Macedonian Museum of Natural History, Blvd. Ilinden 86, 1000 Skopje, R. of Macedonia 35 36 37 ABSTRACT This study represents the first extensive systematic investigation of the Miocene 38 mammalian faunas of the Republic of Macedonia (FYROM), stored in the Macedonian 39 Museum of Natural History, Skopje. They range in age from perhaps the early Miocene to the 40 early Ruscinian, but the bulk of the fossils represent middle Turolian mammals. At least 57 41 taxa have been identified, from 25 different paleontological sites, mostly from the Vardar and 42 Strumitsa river basins, but also from the Morievo and Delchevo regions. The richest localities 43 are the middle Turolian localities of Karaslari (with 22 species) and Kiro Kuchuk (17 44 species). The rich fossil material greatly improves our knowledge of the Turolian Hipparion 45 faunas of the Balkan-Iranian zoogeographic paleo-province, whose westernmost part was 46 mostly documented in Greece and Bulgaria. The fauna displays the typical faunal features of 47 the Balkan Pikermian biome, with dominance of hipparions (especially H. brachypus, but our 48 revision does not confirm the presence of *Hipparion verae* in the Turolian faunas) and bovids 49 such as Gazella, Tragoportax, and spiral-horned antelopes. Other forms usually found in the 50 area, such as Microstonyx erymanthius, Dihoplus pikermiensis, chalicotheres,

51 Choerolophodon pentelici, Mesopithecus pentelicus, or Adcrocuta eximia are also common.

Several new forms have been identified among the carnivores, the giraffids and the bovids.

The Macedonian material contributes to reconstructing the history of several taxa such as

Simocyon, Metailurus, several hipparion species, Propotamochoerus, Bohlinia, Sivatherium.

The most noticeable features of these Turolian faunas are: the abundance of spiral-horned

antelopes, and rarity of antelopes of the *Protoryx-Pachytragus* group, as in Bulgaria; the co-

existence of chalicotheriins and schizotheriins; the frequency of *Dihoplus* compared to

Ceratotherium; the presence of Chilotherium, which reaches its westernmost longitude; and

the presence of *Anancus* sp. in some localities, considered here as post-Pikermian.

KEYWORDS: Republic of Macedonia; FYROM; late Miocene; Mammalia; Pikermian fauna;

biochronology

I. INTRODUCTION

The first late Miocene faunas were discovered in the country during World War I and were published by SCHLOSSER (1921). They probably come from the region around Prevalets near Veles. Simultaneously, LASKAREV (1921) published a description of the Pikermian fauna from the region of Veles. Until the 1960s, only a few papers dealing with late Miocene faunas of the country were published, by BRUNNER (1939), PAPP (1939), and ĆIRIĆ (1957; 1964). More recent publications on these faunas bear the signature of one of us (GAREVSKI 1956; 1960a,b; 1974a,b; 1976a,b; 1985; 1989a,b; 1991; 1992; 1997; GAREVSKI & ZAPFE 1983; FORSTEN & GAREVSKI 1989; GAREVSKI & MLADENOVSKI 2006), but were mostly published in local journals with limited distribution into the scientific world, some of the articles being even written in native language making all these works of limited use. Some publications of broader diffusion appeared recently (GERAADS et al. 2008; GERAADS, 2009; GAREVSKI &

76 MARKOV 2011; SPASSOV & GERAADS 2011, 2015; GAREVSKI et al. 2012; RADOVIĆ et al. 77 2013), but they focused on specific elements of the assemblages, so that the composition, biochronology, and zoogeographical affinities of these late Miocene faunas remain poorly 78 79 documented compared to other areas of the Balkano-Iranian zoogeographic province (BONIS et al. 1992; GERAADS et al. 2003; SPASSOV et al. 2006; KOUFOS 2013). 80 81 The purpose of this paper is to provide a taxonomic revision of the rich collections 82 stored in the Macedonian Museum of Natural History in Skopje. They were accumulated 83 during the last decades by the excavations of the late R. GAREVSKI but remain largely 84 unpublished. Together with new interpretations of old publications and the revision of some 85 additional material stored in other institutions, this will form the basis of revised faunal lists 86 of a number of (mostly upper) Miocene sites from the Republic of Macedonia, and of their 87 preliminary biochronological estimates. Thus we try to offer a picture of the richness of the 88 "Pikermian" fauna of this region, which is very promising for future investigations, as many 89 localities remain virtually unexploited. 90 The geographic regions (fossiliferous areas) in the text are mentioned from north to 91 south and from west to east and the localities in each region are given in alphabetic order. 92 (Text-fig. 1). 93 94 95 **Abbreviations** 96 LGPUT: Laboratory of Geology and Paleontology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki; 97 MNHNP: Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris; MMNH-Sk: Macedonian Museum of 98 Natural History, Skopje; NHMB: Natural History Museum, Belgrade; NHMUK: Natural

History Museum, London; NHMW: Naturhistorisches Museum Wien; NMNHS: National

100	Museum of Natural History at the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia; PMA: Kovachev
101	Paleontological Museum, Assenovgrad (Branch of the NMNHS).
102	Locality names in Republic of Macedonia [Originally spelt in Cyrillic, some of the
103	names have been published in older (Yugoslav) literature following the Cyrillic to Latin
104	transcription rules of Serbo-Croatian (but sometimes omitting diacritics). In this text, we
105	provide these forms together with spellings that are closer to the actual pronunciation of the
106	place names.]: BB: Bashibos; BEL: Belushka (Beluska); CHA: Chashka (Caska); CHD:
107	Choloshevski Dol; DD: Dolni Disan; GRA: Gradeshnitsa (Gradesnica); KLN: Kalnitsa
108	(Kalnica); KAR: Karaslari; KK: Kiro Kuchuk; KUM: Kumanovo; MOR: Morievo area; NRZ:
109	Nerezi; PRE: Prevalets (Prevalec); PRS: Prsten; RAP: Rashtanski Pat; STM: Stamer; TRE:
110	Tremnik; UD: Umin Dol; VOZ: Vozartsi (Vozarci); VSH: Veshie (Vesje); ZM1: Zmiovets 1
111	(Zmijovec 1); ZM2: Zmiovets 2 (Zmijovec 2); ZVE: Zvegor.
112	Other localities: AKK: Akkaşdağı (Turkey); AZM: Azmaka (Bulgaria); DTK: Dytiko
113	(Greece); GR: Grebeniki (Ukraine); HD: Hadjidimovo (Bulgaria); KAL: Kalimantsi
114	(Bulgaria); KCH-1, KCH-2, KCH-3: Kocherinovo 1, 2 and 3 (Bulgaria); MAR: Maragha
115	(Iran); MTL: Mytilini (Greece); NKT: Nikiti 1; NIK: Nikiti 2; PER: Perivolaki (Greece); PIK:
116	Pikermi (Greece); PNT: Pentalophos (Greece); RPL: Ravin de la Pluie (Greece); RZO: Ravin
117	des Zouaves-5 (Greece); STR 2: Strumyani 2 (Bulgaria); VTH: Vathylakkos; YLF: Yulafli.
118	Other abbreviations: FM: Fossil Mammal collection, NMNHS; APD: anteroposterior
119	diameter; ET: enamel thickness; H: height; L: length; Lfr: length of fragment; max.:
120	maximum; TD: transverse diameter; H.: Hipparion.
121	
122	II. THE LATE MIOCENE LOCALITIES AND THEIR FAUNAS
123	
124	II.1 KUMANOVO AREA

DELSON (1973: fig.55K-M) reported "an unregistered mandible of *Mesopithecus* pentelicus – M/02, badly eroded and damaged" stored in the collections of the University of Vienna, labelled as "Kumanidorf", i.e. Kumanovo, indicating a Turolian age. There is no fossil material from this area in the NMNH-Sk.

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

125

126

127

128

II.2. SKOPJE REGION

II.2.1. Nerezi

Proboscidea. This locality has yielded two elephantoid taxa. A right M3, misidentified as m3 and referred by LASKAREV (1936, pl. 3, Fig. 4) to "Mastodon angustidens f. subtapiroidea" actually belongs to Zygolophodon turicensis (as well as probably the unfigured "m3 sin" from the same locality and possibly from the same individual described by LASKAREV, 1936, p. 112: see GAREVSKI et al. 2012). A mandible referred by LASKAREV (1936, pl. 1 and 2) to "Mastodon angustidens f. typica var. skopljensis" cannot be unequivocally determined on morphological grounds. It could indeed belong to G. angustidens but also to the amebelodontid Archaeobelodon filholi – while these two taxa are readily set apart by a number of cranio-mandibular characters, their dental morphology (especially the m3s which are preserved with the Nerezi specimen) can be very similar (TASSY 1985). The symphysis of the mandible is unfortunately not preserved, and LASKAREV's description does not mention the shape of the alveoli. Measurements of the third molars provided by LASKAREV (1936) fit with the size observed in male G. angustidens (see TASSY 1996, figs. 11.12 and 11.14) – the same size, however, would correspond to female individuals of A. filholi (see TASSY 1985). As far as mandibular measurements are concerned, the maximum height of the mandible (dental age XXII of TASSY 1996) plots closer to the A. filholi values for XXI (TASSY 1985, fig. 171) but does not surpass the G. angustidens values in such a way as to preclude affinities with the latter, and plots very close to the value

for G. angustidens from Villefranche d'Astarac (see TASSY 1985, fig. 178; TASSY 2013, fig. 40). Results from the other measurements provided by LASKAREV (1936) (and comparable to those in TASSY 1985) are also equivocal. Thus, the identification of the Nerezi mandible remains uncertain, but in any case the two elephantoids at the locality point at a pre-Turolian age – quite rare for Republic of Macedonia, where most fossil vertebrates localities are Turolian or later. Among the exceptions are two other closely situated localities: Dolno Sonje (Donje Solnje in LASKAREV 1936) has yielded a molar fragment, referred by LASKAREV (1936, pl. 4, fig. 1) to "Mastodon aff. angustidens f. subtapiroidea"; while precise identification is impossible, the figured fragment apparently belongs to a pre-Turolian elephantoid, judging from its primitive morphology. Similarly, fragmentary remains from Skopje, Zhelezara neighbourhood (GAREVSKI 1985) cannot be determined with precision but indicate a pre-Turolian age: the figured tusk fragment has a well pronounced lateral enamel band, a character absent in all Turolian elephantoids. The two Nerezi elephantoids are insufficient for a determination of the locality's age – Z. turicensis is known from MN3b to MN10 and G. angustidens from MN6 to MN9 (TASSY 1985; 1990). A. filholi (as an alternative identification for the Nerezi mandible) occurs from MN4 to MN7/8 (TASSY 1984, 1985), so the age of Nerezi could in theory be from early Miocene to Vallesian (but not later than MN9).

168

169

170

171

172

173

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

II.3. DELCHEVO FOSSILIFEROUS AREA

II.3.1. Stamer

The main locality is situated just at the vicinity of the village Stamer in brown-yellowish clay sands (coordinates: 41°57'21" N, 22°49'12" E; elev. 796 m); it yielded the following fauna:

Artiodactyla. Cervidae. Cf. Cervavitus sp. The basal part of a cervid antler has its first bifurcation low above the burr, and is thus certainly distinct from *Pliocervus* and Procapreolus; it is more like Cervavitus from the Northern Black Sea region and China, but is too incomplete for definitive identification. Measurements: diameters of the burr = 24×20 , length of first segment = 61. A second specimen has a slightly higher first fork (105 mm), but is probably of the same species. The genus is typical for the late Miocene of Central Asia and Eastern Europe but probably exists there until the early Pliocene (VISLOBOKOVA 1990). Some other very fragmentary, slender antler fragments with a high fork suggest another genus, perhaps Procapreolus. Giraffidae. Sivatherium garevskii. This gigantic giraffid was defined upon fragmentary cranial remains from this locality, including a relatively complete cranial appendage that was central in determining its affinities (GERAADS 2009). It is related both to the African S. maurusium and to the Upper Siwalik S. giganteum but closer to the latter. It is only the second known representative of this species in Europe, after a horn piece described by Abel more than a century ago (ABEL 1904). New additional materials in the private coll. of LUBE MITEVSKI from Stamer, probably from the same individual as the holotype, include a talus (max. height = 117mm, distal width = 73 mm) and a distal humerus (distal articular breadth = 140 mm). The genus is not known before the Pliocene. Perissodactyla. Equidae. *Hipparion* indet. (sp. 1): Three molars (M1–M3) discovered 2– 3 meters above the *Sivatherium* remains are from a medium to large sized hipparion. They are moderately plicated, the pli caballin is single on M3 and absent on the other molars. The hypoconal sinus is of medium depth, the lingual one is well developed on M3. The protocone is lingually rounded and labially flattened, subtriangular in outline. These teeth are larger than in the small *H. macedonicum*, *H. matthewi*, *H. periafricanum*, but the molar length (65.4 mm) is within the range of variation of all medium to large late Miocene hipparions of the Balkans,

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

Hippotherium brachypus, H. dietrichi, Cremohipparion proboscideum and C. mediterraneum.

They differ from the Pliocene *H. crassum* in their less plicated enamel and less open hypoconal sinus.

Hipparion indet. (sp. 2): A single fragment of P2 is of a large hipparion. Its enamel plication looks moderately complex, with deep folds, the pli caballin looks complex, the protocone is lingually rounded and labially flattened. Unfortunately it is at an early stage of wear and not all features are visible on the occlusal surface.

From the green clays of the village of Stamer, we have seen:

Artiodactyla. Suidae indet (in the private coll. of L. MITEVSKI in Stamer). A maxillary fragment with P3-M2 was discovered during the digging of a well in the center of the village (at a lower stratigraphic and topographic position than the main locality). The same clays outcrop in the vicinity of the village, overlain by the brown yellowish clay sands of the main locality. The teeth are intermediate in size between *Microstonyx* and *Propotamochoerus* (P2 = 16.5 x 9.8; P4 = 14.5 x 16.5; WM2 = 23.7) but are not diagnostic, especially given the present state of confusion regarding the taxonomy of Pliocene European suids. The premolars are less bunodont than those from Montpellier assigned to *Dasychoerus strozzii* by PICKFORD (2013), and the protocone of P4 is less shifted mesially, and in these two features they are more like *Sus scrofa*, but in the absence of M3 and front teeth, an identification would be premature.

Bovidae. Cf. *Parabos cordieri*. A pair of horn-cores from the same individual, very similar to those of *Parabos cordieri* (approx. restored anteroposterior diameter at the base = 47 – 48 mm; transversal diameter = 45 – 46 mm) indicate the presence of this bovid in the area, but the fossilization of these horn-cores is different from the other fossils mentioned, and they were found far from them (not in the main locality). *Parabos cordieri* is best known from the Ruscinian but was reported from the uppermost Miocene of Venta del Moro, Spain (MORALES 1984).

Biochronology: The Neogene beds of Stamer may include different fossiliferous levels. The green clays in the village (lowest beds) could be upper Miocene. Sivatherium in the main fossiliferous spot suggests a Ruscinian age, in agreement with the presence of *Parabos* cf. cordieri but the hipparions from the same fossiliferous spot suggest a Turolian age. II.3.2. **Zvegor** (41°57'32" N, 22°48'14" E; elev. 736 m) Proboscidea. Anancus sp. The locality, of late Turolian or early Ruscinian age, has yielded a palate with tusks and a mandible of *Anancus* sp., not described yet. II.4. VELES FOSSILIFEROUS AREA It is from the region of Veles (formerly Titov Veles) that the first late Miocene faunas were reported (SCHLOSSER 1921; LASKAREV 1921) and they are still often lumped under this name. In fact at least nine localities are known in the vicinity of the town of Veles, in the Vardar basin. Fossils from eight of them are stored in the MMNH-Sk, namely: Belushka, Chashka, Choloshevski Dol, Karaslari, Kiro Kuchuk, Prevalets, Rashtanski Pat, and Umin Dol. In addition, RADOVIĆ et al. (2013), following ĆIRIĆ (1957) noted Mesopithecus pentelicus and Hipparion sp. in the locality of Brce, also in the Veles area; these finds are discussed below, but there is no material from Brce in MMNH-Sk. From the area of Veles, but without precise provenance, Ch. pentelici and D. gigantissimum were also reported (ĆIRIĆ 1957; LASKAREV 1948). **II.4.1. Belushka (Beluska)** (41°39'35" N, 21°44'03" E; elev. 311 m) ĆIRIĆ collected in 1949-1950 and described (1957) a number of fossils from this site,

but most of them are not in the MMNH-Sk, and we have not seen them.

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

248 Proboscidea. A juvenile skull with mandible belonging to Ch. pentelici was described by GAREVSKI (1997). 249 Primates. Mesopithecus cf. pentelicus. ĆIRIĆ's material was re-described by RADOVIĆ et 250 251 al. (2013). They identified the maxillary NHMB-EO-0332652 as M. pentelicus. The lack of 252 canines forbids gender identification, and consequently size comparisons with samples from 253 well-known localities. However, the P4 protocone is low according to the description and 254 photo, so the identification is acceptable (see discussion below). NHMBEO-0332654 was 255 identified by RADOVIĆ et al. (2013) as M. cf. delsoni, but the preservation of the material is 256 too bad for taxonomic conclusions and the co-occurrence of both taxa is unlikely. 257 Artiodactyla. Giraffidae. Cf. Helladotherium duvernoyi. An upper tooth-row of large size (P2-M3 = c. 210 mm), poorly figured by ĆIRIĆ (1957, pl. 17) displays the broad 258 259 premolars of this species defined at Pikermi and reported from several other Turolian sites. 260 Bovidae. ĆIRIĆ (1957, pl. 18-19) figured a cranium as *Palaeoreas lindermayeri* but the 261 concave lateral part of the horn-cores does not fit this species. Other taxa that can very 262 tentatively be identified from ĆIRIĆ's plates are Majoreas woodwardi (pl. 25-26) and Gazella 263 sp. (pl. 29). 264 Perissodactyla. Equidae. Hippotherium brachypus. The description by ĆIRIĆ (1957) of 265 materials from this locality is insufficient for an identification. We found only one cranium, 266 MMNH-Sk B 2708 (Plate 1, Fig. 4; Table 1 [all equid measurements follow EISENMANN et al. 267 1988]). It is of a sub-adult individual, with M3 erupting. The orbit is above M3. The 268 preorbital bar is long (42 mm) and the lacrimal bone occupies more than half of its width. The 269 preorbital fossa is moderately deep, egg-shaped, anteroposteriorly oriented and well 270 delineated all around, with a weak pocket (about 5 mm). Judging by the premolar length (P2– 271 P4 = 86 mm), the tooth row appears to be long. The enamel plication on M1 is moderate (19 272 folds). The pli caballin is single. The protocone is oval. The single, relatively deep preorbital

fossa located far from the orbit is similar to that of <i>Hippotherium</i> . As the preorbital fossa in
Hippotherium primigenium is deeper and better outlined, and the tooth plication richer, we
can exclude it from the comparison. The other species with close features are <i>Hippotherium</i>
giganteum and Hippotherium brachypus. The species Hippotherium giganteum was erected
by GROMOVA (1952) for the large sized specimens from Grebeniki on the basis of only one
adult specimen. GABUNIA (1959) extended the diagnosis of the species with several adult
skulls from the same locality. After the more precise description of <i>Hippotherium brachypus</i>
from Pikermi by Koufos (1987b) and the discovery of new samples of this species (HD,
KAL, AKK, KHC 2) (Hristova et al. 2003, Hristova & Kovachev, 2005, Koufos &
VLACHOU 2005, HRISTOVA et al. 2013,) it is now obvious that the two species are quite
similar and characterized by: large size, elongated skull, long and wide muzzle, simple and
oval preorbital fossa, elongate preorbital bar, rich enamel plication in the upper cheek teeth,
and elliptical protocone. A possible difference between them could be a slightly shorter nasal
notch in the sample from Grebeniki, but the ranges of variation of this feature also overlap.
Pending a revision of the Grebeniki hipparions we can accept <i>Hippotherium giganteum</i> as a
synonym of <i>Hippotherium brachypus</i> (HENSEL, 1862), and MMNH-Sk B2708 falls within its
range of variation, as also shown by the Simpson diagrams (Text-fig 2).
Rhinocerotidae. Cf. Dihoplus pikermiensis. The skull poorly sketched in ĆIRIĆ (1957,
pl. 13-14) as Rhinoceros schleiermacheri could represent this Turolian species.
Biochronology: The fauna clearly indicates a Turolian age; the presence of
Hippotherium brachypus and the morphology of Mesopithecus fit better the middle Turolian.

II.4.2. Chashka (Čaška or Caska)

296	Proboscidea. Anancus? arvernensis. The locality has yielded only anancine remains -
297	isolated molars and a tusk described by GAREVSKI (1960b). Identification at the species level
298	(i.e. Pliocene Anancus arvernensis or Turolian Anancus sp.) is not possible.
299	Artiodactyla. Cervidae indet. Two basal pieces of antlers are still attached to the pedicle.
300	One is much weathered (diameters of pedicle 24 x 25, diameter of the burr ca. 35), but
301	another specimen (Chashka-2648) is better preserved (diameter of the burr 31, diameters of
302	the beam 21.2 x 22.4). The divergence of the beam increases upwards, and at about 5 cm
303	above the burr, there is still no indication of the first fork. This would fit <i>Procapreolus</i> or
304	Pliocervus (some additional antler fragments are too large for Procapreolus), but the material
305	is too incomplete for a better identification. More cervid remains from this locality are stored
306	at the Belgrade Museum.
307	Biochronology: The large number of cervid remains suggests a Ruscinian age, and the
308	Proboscideans do not contradict this hypothesis, but the stratigraphy is unclear.
309	
310	II.4.3. Choloshevski Dol (4.5 km from Veles on the road Veles – Štip)
311	Rodentia. Hystrix primigenia. A skull fragment with upper molars was described by
312	Garevski (1956).
313	Carnivora. Hyaenidae. Adcrocuta eximia: A right rostral mandible fragment (MMNH-
314	Sk CHD 27) of a young adult (measurements: Table 2). The p2 is pyriform, enlarged distally.
315	The premolar morphology resembles the Turolian stage of the species (see below under
316	Karaslari).
317	Biochronology: Middle Turolian ?
318	
319	II.4.4. Karaslari (41°41'21" N, 21°49'31" E; elev. 168 m)

The locality was discovered in the 1970s during the construction of the highway Skopje-Negotino, and excavated by R. GAREVSKI. With 22 mammal species, Karaslari is the richest upper Miocene mammalian locality of the R. of Macedonia. Proboscidea. There are two Proboscideans at Karaslari: Choerolophodon pentelici, represented by a sub-adult skull and mandibles, and an unidentified tetralophodont elephantoid: a breccia at the MMNH-Sk exhibition contains a DP4 with a broken crown. Three Turolian elephantoids co-occurring with Ch. pentelici ("Mastodon" grandincisivus, Tetralophodon atticus, and Anancus sp.; see MARKOV 2008) have tetralophodont intermediate teeth, and the DP4 could belong to any of them. Primates. Mesopithecus pentelicus. The material includes an unnumbered male skull with rather worn left and right P4-M3, and two unnumbered male mandibular fragments with p3-m3 (Tables 3-5, Plate 2, Fig. 1). Most of the left side of the neurocranium of this skull is missing, and a natural endocranial cast is visible. Teeth are worn in some extent, but it seems that the upper premolars had a small protocone. The Mesopithecus pentelicus skull (NMNH-Sk 68) on display with moderately worn P3–M3 and somewhat crushed vault (Plate 2, Fig. 2) could also be from Karaslari, after unpublished notes of the late R. GAREVSKI. The teeth are small (Table 3). Two fragments of male semimandibles No. 0332657 and 0332658 of small size (Table 4) are probably also from Karaslari. RADOVIĆ et al. (2013) published the *Mesopithecus* material collected by ĆIRIĆ and LASKAREV from three localities in the vicinity of Veles and stored in the NHMB. Following Koufos (2009a, b) they identified M. pentelicus, M. cf. pentelicus, M. delsoni, and M. cf. delsoni. The M3 size of NHMB-EO-0332656, identified as M. cf. pentelicus, is similar to that of a female M. pentelicus from the middle Turolian of Kalimantsi, thus confirming the presence of this species. By contrast, RADOVIĆ et al. (2013) identified the mandibles NHMB-

EO-0332657 and NHMB-EO-0332658 as Mesopithecus delsoni. The presence of two

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

different *Mesopithecus* species in the same locality is unlikely, especially if they are chronospecies. In fact, on the basis of the mandible dimensions and symphysis shape (judging from the published photos), we are not convinced of the presence of *M. delsoni* in this locality.

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

The genus Mesopithecus has been recorded from the late Miocene and Pliocene of Eurasia, where three species have been distinguished: M. delsoni, M. pentelicus (early and Middle Turolian) and the smaller Mesopithecus monspessulanus (latest Turolian [?] and Pliocene) (DELSON 1973, 1974; BONIS et al. 1990; DELSON et al. 2005; ALBA et al. 2014, and references therein). Its occurrence in the Vallesian (Wissberg) (DELSON 1973, 1974) is questionable (ANDREWS et al. 1996); it may result from stratigraphic mixture. The taxonomic status of the earliest form, M. delsoni Bonis et al., 1990, from Ravin des Zouaves n°5 is debated, as the differences between the late Miocene samples are rather subtle. They may be regarded as two species, M. delsoni and M. pentelicus (Bonis et al. 1990; Koufos et al. 2003), one species, M. pentelicus (ANDREWS et al. 1996; DELSON et al. 2000), one species with two subspecies M. p. delsoni and M. p. pentelicus (ALBA et al. 2014) or two species and intermediate stages with possible specific status (Koufos 2006a, 2009a, b). In any case, several differences usually separate the earlier form M. delsoni from M. pentelicus (best represented at Pikermi): longer tooth-row, little inclined planum alveolare, deeper mandibular corpus (see also Table 4), and larger m3 hypoconulid (BoNIS et al. 1990), a list to which KOUFOS et al. (2003) added a larger protocone on the upper premolars. One of us (N.S. unpublished results) confirms this last difference in the late early Miocene population of Hadjidimovo (Text-fig. 3), and adds the presence of a crista connecting the protocone to the labial cone in upper premolars. It is clear, however, that transitional forms exist in localities that are intermediate in age between RZO/ Hadjidimovo, and Pikermi. Overall, dental size decreases (with some exceptions) from the early Turolian M. delsoni to the late Turolian form from Dytiko, this trend being especially clear in m3, while it may be that the relative premolar length slightly increases in relation to the molar length (Table 5). This change could be related to a change in diet towards consumption of less abrasive/more arboreal food with time (SPASSOV & GERAADS 2007; MERCERON et al. 2009). In its overall size, and the probable small size of the premolar protocone, the Karaslari *Mesopithecus* is intermediate between the populations from the early/middle Turolian transition and that of Pikermi.

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

Artiodactyla. Suidae. Microstonyx erymanthius. (Plate 3, Fig. 2, 5). The best specimen is the skull NMNH-Sk KAR-1542/73 (Plate 3, Fig. 5). This species is well represented in the Republic of Macedonia by several specimens, including more or less complete skulls; some of them were illustrated by GAREVSKI (1956). Measurements are given in Tables 6 and 7. Their morphology and dimensions leave no doubt as to their belonging to *Microstonyx*, a genus common in the Turolian of Europe, but whose systematics has been much debated. Some authors (Bonis & Bouvrain 1996) recognize an evolution from the early Turolian (with the species or subspecies erymanthius to the middle-late Turolian (Microstonyx major s. str.), while others reject this distinction; by contrast, VAN DER MADE & MOYÀ-SOLÀ (1989) and VAN DER MADE et al. (1992) distinguished the late Vallesian and early Turolian forms as M. major major, whereas VAN DER MADE (1997) preferred to regard them as two distinct coexisting lineages. LIU et al. (2004) argued that differences in skull morphology could be related to the environment rather than to geological age. In any case, it is certainly true that there is no simple relationship between size (especially that of the third molars) and age. In the localities that have yielded enough material, the range of variation of M3/m3 length covers a large part of the variation of all other sites combined, and Karaslari is no exception. Like most other authors (VAN DER MADE et al. 1992; KOSTOPOULOS 1994; VAN DER MADE 1997; KOSTOPOULOS et al. 2001; GERAADS 2013), we failed to find any significant difference in the morphology of the cheek-teeth of M. major/erymanthius from the various localities. LIU

et al. (2004) stated that the Akkaşdağı population has "a somewhat complicated M3/m3 occlusal pattern", but the lack of detailed illustrations makes comparisons difficult; they also stated that "the main lingual cusp of P4 [is] placed as far forward as the labial one"; none of the specimens that we have seen, from the R. of Macedonia or elsewhere, has such a mesially shifted protocone.

Most *Microstonyx* lack a p1 (except probably the one from Dorn-Dürkheim: VAN DER MADE, 1997), but the occurrence of P1 is more variable. VAN DER MADE & MOYÀ-SOLÀ (1989) suggested that this tooth tends to disappear during the evolution of this lineage, and Kostopoulos (1994) and Kostopoulos et al (2001) agreed that early forms are more likely to preserve a P1 close to P2. This is the case in the skull from the earliest Turolian of Nikiti-1, and in a maxilla from Kalimantsi K-5268 suspected by Kostopoulos et al. (2001) to come from a lower level than the main Kalimantsi fauna, and this is also true for an unpublished maxilla in the Rodler collection of the NHMW, found at Kopran, where the lowermost layers of the Maragheh Fm crop out. Therefore, there is little doubt that this feature is really indicative of an early age. Unfortunately, most specimens from the R. of Macedonia lack this part of the maxilla, and the material shows that this feature is variable even within a single site.

PICKFORD (2015) reviewed these forms, and considered *Microstonyx* as a synonym of *Hippopotamodon* Lydekker, whose type-species is *H. sivalense*. However, the latter species is large, and has a large upper canine and a short snout (PICKFORD 1988), in contrast to *M. erymanthius*, so that the synonymy is not obvious. In addition, PICKFORD (2015) assumed that "*H." major* (type-locality: Cucuron) and "*H." erymanthius* (type-locality: Pikermi) belong to different lineages, the former (his "group A") having shorter snout, bigger canines, P1 and p1 present, and broader cheek-teeth than in group B (in which P1/p1 are often shed) that includes "*H." erymanthius*. He also noted, as a major distinctive feature, that M3 is as long as

420 m3 in "H." major from Cucuron, whereas it is shorter in "H." erymanthius. We are not 421 convinced that this distinction is valid, because: 422 - m3 is always distinctly longer than M3 in a suid, so that the sample from Cucuron 423 must be biased; indeed, it is clear that the partial skull in MNHN is from a larger individual 424 than the mandibles (as already noticed by GAUDRY). According to PICKFORD himself (2015), 425 the range of m3 length for "H." major (38.1 - 57.4) is above that of M3 (34.5 - 49.5) so that 426 their relative proportions are in fact normal; 427 - several maxillae from Pikermi in MNHNP and one in the Paleontological Museum, 428 University of Athens, bear a P1, but not the Cucuron maxilla; 429 - there is no evidence that the canines were larger, nor the snout shorter, at Cucuron than 430 at Pikermi. 431 Pending conclusive evidence of the existence of two species in the European Turolian, 432 we keep the oldest name *M. erymanthius*. 433 "Propotamochoerus" sp. A maxilla fragment with dP3-M2, not mentioned in GERAADS 434 et al. (2008) is the only remain of *Propotamochoerus* from Karaslari. PICKFORD (2013, fig.16) 435 assigned the material from the Republic of Macedonia to Dasychoerus, mostly on a size-436 based comparison with Pliocene forms, but we are unsure that this can be extended to the 437 upper Miocene; we acknowledge, however, that *Propotamochoerus* is hard to characterize. 438 Giraffidae. Bohlinia attica. Some long, slender limb bones belong to this close relative 439 of the modern giraffe (GERAADS 2009). There is also a piece of maxilla, MMNH-Sk KAR 440 2603, with P4, M2 and M3. The teeth are brachyodont, with strong labial styles and pillars; 441 P4 has the bifid parastyle characteristic of this species (GERAADS 2009). 442 Helladotherium sp. A maxilla MMNH-Sk KAR 2752 (Table 8) was described 443 elsewhere (GERAADS 2009). A metacarpal is slightly larger than most of those from Pikermi,

444 and matches better those from Bulgaria or Gülpinar, but some specimens from Maragha and 445 Ravin des Zouaves 5 are still larger. 446 Bovidae. Gazella sp. A few fragmentary horn-cores belong to this genus. 447 Palaeoreas lindermayeri. A frontal with complete right horn-core (MMNH-Sk KAR 448 2610, Plate 4, Fig. 6; Table 9) shows the main characters of the species: horn-core large 449 compared to skull size, very upright on the fronto-parietal region, not spiralled but strongly 450 twisted, with a strong posterolateral keel and a tendency towards an anterior one, frontal 451 sutures closed. Another horn-core (MMNH-Sk KAR 2613, Table 9) is slightly spiralled, with 452 accessory small keels and grooves parallel to the main one. This specimen is larger than the 453 other, and compares best with the Hadjidimovo ones (GERAADS et al. 2003). 454 Tragoportax rugosifrons. A partial skull MMNH-Sk KAR 1564/73 and two 455 unnumbered male partial skulls on display as well as an incomplete skull (MMNH-Sk KAR 456 1593/13, Tables 9, 10) with only the base of the right horn-core preserved can be referred to 457 this species (SPASSOV & GERAADS 2004). (max. oblique APD of the horn core = 84 mm, 458 perpendicular APD = 76.4). 459 *Pikermicerus gaudryi*. This species is represented by a frontlet (MMNH-Sk KAR 145) 460 on display that has the typical horn core features of this species (sensu SPASSOV & GERAADS 2004). 461 462 Perissodactyla. Equidae. The hipparions from Karaslari were studied by FORSTEN & 463 GAREVSKI (1989). These authors designated three species in the locality: Hipparion schlosseri 464 Antonius - dietrichi Wehrli, Hipparion verae Gabunia and Hipparion proboscideum 465 STUDER. Our analysis of the main skull material leads to somewhat different taxonomic 466 conclusions. 467 Hippotherium brachypus. Skulls: adult - MMNH-Sk Kar 20/75 MMNH-Sk Kar 24/73, 468 MMNH-Sk Kar 26/73, MMNH-Sk Kar 75/73, MMNH-Sk Kar 204/73; subadult - MMNH-Sk

Kar 76/73; juvenile - MMNH-Sk Kar 82/73, MMNH-Sk Kar 94/73, MMNH-Sk Kar 409/73, MMNH-Sk Kar 1552/73, MMNH-Sk Kar 2704 (Plate 1, Figs 2, 3; Text-fig. 4; Measurements: Table 1. The specimens of this species have deep, well delineated, subtriangular, and anteroposteriorly oriented preorbital fossa. The posterior pocketing is reduced, moderately deep to shallow or even not pocketed but with a posterior rim. The preorbital bar is long (42 to 49 mm). The anterior edge of the lacrimal bone is closer to the posterior rim of the fossa than to the anterior orbital rim. The nasal notch ends above P2. The tooth-row lengths of the two skulls with preserved teeth are 144 and 147 mm. The enamel plication is rich, with 15 to 22 folds. The pli caballin is usually double to complex. The protocone is oval, but triangular in slightly worn teeth. In their description of *Hipparion verae*, FORSTEN & GAREVSKI (1989) mentioned some skulls (MMNH-Sk KAR 24/73, MMNH-Sk KAR 26/73, MMNH-Sk KAR 20/75, MMNH-Sk KAR 75/73, MMNH-Sk KAR 204/73, MMNH-Sk KAR 76/73, MMNH-Sk KAR 407/73; and the juvenile skulls MMNH-Sk KAR 409/73, MMNH-Sk KAR 94/73) with anterior (=subnasal) fossa. Almost all of these skulls are included here in *Hippotherium brachypus*. Between the preorbital and buccinator fossae, they have a depression that takes the place of the subnasal fossa of *Cremohipparion*, but there are several differences between them. The subnasal fossa ends above P3-P4, its borders are well defined (at least the posterior one), and its bottom is more or less flat. The bar between the two fossae is well developed, about 20–30 mm long. It represents a semi-cylindrical structure, which could be observed in most cases even when the skull is crushed. The depression on the *Hippotherium brachypus* skulls is elliptical, ends above P2 or the anteriormost part of P3 and its long axis is parallel to the suture between premaxilla and maxilla. Its borders are not clear. We observed this depression in some other Hippotherium skulls (Hippotherium primigenium, Nesebar; Hippotherium brachypus, Hadjidimovo, Pikermi), but the bar is short (with X outlines), not so pronounced.

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

In some skulls there is a groove at the same place, connecting the preorbital fossa with the buccinator one. The groove was described in the type skull of *H. giganteum* GROMOVA, 1952 from Grebeniki and was observed also in some skulls from Hadjidimovo and Karaslari. The species name *Hipparion verae* GABUNIA, 1979 was intended to replace H. gromovae GABUNIA, 1959 from Grebeniki, preoccupied by H. gromovae VILLALTA & CRUSAFONT, 1957. The species H. verae needs revision. It shares many similarities with Hipparion and possibly belongs to this genus. Its only definite occurrence is Grebeniki, other records being dubious. Comparisons of the above described KAR specimens with *H. verae* (Grebeniki) reveal several differences. The skulls from Karaslari (*Hippotherium brachypus*) have a bar that is longer (between 42–50 mm) and wider than in *H. verae*. The preorbital fossa in *H. verae* is shallow, with well-developed posterior border only, whereas in *Hippotherium* brachypus it is of medium depth to deep, with well outlined borders. Despite the smaller size of the Karaslari specimens, the distance from the most anterior point of P2 to the most anterior point of orbit is larger (157–167mm) whereas it varies from 146 to 159 mm for *H. verae*. Another difference is the more plicated tooth enamel. All skull features of the above-mentioned Karaslari specimens allow their assignment to Hippotherium brachypus. The SIMPSON diagram (Text-fig. 2) shows that the Karaslari sample has slightly shorter tooth row and palate length than the samples from HD, PIK and AKK

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

Hippotherium brachypus. The SIMPSON diagram (Text-fig. 2) shows that the Karaslari sample has slightly shorter tooth row and palate length than the samples from HD, PIK and AKK (KOUFOS 1987a, b; HRISTOVA et al. 2003; KOUFOS & VLAHOU 2005). The distance from the most anterior point of P2 to the anterior point of the orbit is close to the lower end of the range of the Hadjidimovo sample. The KAR sample share similarities with Hippotherium primigenium, but the preorbital fossa and posterior pocket are less developed, the nasal notch is deeper (above P2 instead of before P2 in Hippotherium primigenium) and the enamel plication is less complex.

Cremohipparion mediterraneum: Skulls: adult - MMNH-Sk Kar 23/73, MMNH-Sk Kar 81/73, MMNH-Sk Kar 86/73; subadult - MMNH-Sk Kar 28/73, MMNH-Sk Kar 78/73, MMNH-Sk Kar 209/73; juvenile - MMNH-Sk Kar 25/73, MMNH-Sk Kar 83/73a, MMNH-Sk Kar 86/73b, MMNH-Sk Kar 88/73 (Plate 5, Figs. 1, 2, Text-fig. 5). Most of the listed above skulls have been described by FORSTEN & GAREVSKI (1989) as Hipparion verae, while the specimen MMNH-Sk KAR 23/73 has been described as *Hipparion proboscideum*. The preorbital fossa is deep, subtriangular, and anteroventrally oriented, with weak dorsal rim. The preorbital bar is short (24–29 mm). The lacrimal is reduced in size, usually reaching or at most slightly invading the posterior border of the preorbital fossa. The suture of the lacrimal bone is not visible in one out of three specimens, while in the other two the lacrimal reaches the posterior border of the preorbital fossa but does not invade it; out of 8 juvenile skulls, four have the same lacrimal features and one has a lacrimal reaching about 6 mm forward of the preorbital fossa rim (the preorbital bar on this specimen is 24.5 mm wide). The posterior pocketing is reduced, moderate in depth to shallow. Some of the specimens have a faint subnasal fossa (less expressed than at Hadjidimovo). The tooth row length varies from 142 to 153 mm. The enamel plication is usually moderate with 12–15 plis, the pli caballin is single. In the specimen MMNH-Sk Kar 24/73 enamel plication is rich (18–21 plis) and the pli caballin is complex. The protocone is oval to slightly flattened lingually. Measurements are given in Table 11. The comparison with the diagnosis of *Hipparion verae* shows differences in the preorbital bar length and the preorbital fossa morphology and dimensions. The preorbital bar in *H. verae* is wider and the fossa is shallow, with only the posterior border well developed, whereas in C. mediterraneum the preorbital bar is narrow; the fossa is larger, deeper and the borders are well developed; there is a subnasal fossa on some of the specimens. Intraspecific variability cannot explain these differences between the Karaslari sample and H. verae.

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

Instead, the skull features of the Karaslari sample bring it close to *Cremohipparion* mediterraneum, especially the Pikermi population (Koufos 1987 a, b). Another species with subnasal fossa is *C. forstenae*. This name was created by ZHEGALO (1971) on the basis of the materials collected by SEFVE from Locality 30, Baode County, China. He did not provide detailed description of the cheek region, but BERNOR et al. (1990) expanded the species description and provided a precise diagnosis. The species is medium-sized, with short preorbital bar, lacrimal closely approaching or invading the posterior rim of the preorbital fossa, which is subtriangular, anteroventrally oriented, with posterior pocketing slight, posterior rim distinctly thickened, lacking anterior rim and distinct peripheral border outline. There is a distinct but shallow subnasal fossa (BERNOR et al. 1990). Despite the similarities in some features, the well delineated preorbital fossa of the Karaslari specimens differs from that of *C. forstenae*. Cremohipparion moldavicum is another species with short preorbital bar and large preorbital fossa. It was described from Taraklia (Moldova) (GROMOVA 1952) and several other localities from and Ukraine (GABUNIA 1959; FORSTEN 1980, KRAKHMALNAYA 1996 a, b; Forstén, A.-M. & Krakhmalnaya, T. 1997), as well as from Maragheh (Bernor 1985, WATABE & NAKAYA 1991, BERNOR et al. 2016) and Akkaşdağı (KOUFOS & VLACHOU 2005). Its features are close to those of C. mediterraneum, but there are several differences: slightly smaller skull size, shape (rhomboidal) and size (absolutely and relatively larger) of the preorbital fossa, slightly shallower nasal slit and absence of subnasal fossa. The Simpson log-

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

Hipparion dietrichi: cranials: adult - MMNH-Sk KAR 79/73, MMNH-Sk KAR 92/73, MMNH-Sk KAR 93/73, MMNH-Sk KAR 158, MMNH-Sk KAR 203/73; juvenile - MMNH-Sk KAR 95/73, MMNH-Sk KAR 159 (Plate 5, Figs. 5, 6; Text-fig. 7). The preorbital bar is

ratio diagrams shows the similarity of the Karaslari *C. mediteraneum* sample with the samples

of the species from other localities. (Text-fig. 8).

slightly reduced in length (36–41 mm) but the anterior edge of the lacrimal reaches farther anteriorly than its mid-length. The preorbital fossa is moderately deep to shallow, subtriangular, anteroventrally oriented and moderately to weakly delineated. Posteriorly the fossa is not pocketed but with a posterior rim. The nasal slit ends above anterior part of P2 or just before it. Tooth row length is about 142–150 mm. The enamel plication is moderate to rich with 10–24 plis, the pli caballin is single, the protocone is lingually flattened, and labially rounded. The muzzle is short (110–111 mm) and wide (57–65 mm). Measurements are given in Table 12. There are several species with shallow preorbital fossa and more or less reduced preorbital bar, assigned to the genus Hipparion (BERNOR et al. 1996). The above described sample shows greatest similarity with the species Hipparion dietrichi (Text-fig. 6), well known from several Greek Turolian localities – RZO, Vathylakkos, NIK, PER, and Samos (WEHRLI 1941; SONDAAR 1971; KOUFOS 1987a, b, c, 1988a, 2006c; VLACHOU & KOUFOS 2002, 2006, 2009; Koufos & Vlachou 2005) and from Strumyani, SW Bulgaria (GERAADS et al. 2011). Hipparion prostylum has a similar morphology, but in the Maragheh sample the reduced preorbital fossa is slightly pocketed, with stronger preorbital and we can exclude it from the comparison; BERNOR et al. (2016) called it aff. Hippotherium brachypus. Recently, Koufos & Vlachou (2016) erected a new *Hipparion* species, *H. philippus*. They unite under this name all samples described until now as *H. dietrichi* from the Balkan Peninsula, leaving only in H. dietrichi s.s. the samples from AKK and Samos. Their arguments about this splitting of H. dietrichi are the different size of the hipparions from both area: larger and more robust at AKK and Samos, smaller in continental Greece, Bulgaria and Republic of Macedonia. In their description of the new species they state: "...differs from H. dietrichi in having a smaller size, shorter muzzle, shorter POB, shorter snout and symphysis, and relatively more elongated and slenderer metapodials." In fact, the size

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

difference between the skulls of H. dietrichi from Samos and AKK on the one side and those from Bulgaria and R. of Macedonia on the other side, is not big, just a few millimetres. The skull length at Samos varies from 385 to 411 mm, and from 380 to 420 mm at STR2. The distance anterior rim of the orbit – anterior end of P2 is also very similar: 136 –146,6 mm at Samos H. dietrichi, and 145 – 149 mm for STR 2, 146 – 163 mm for the specimens from the R. of Macedonia. The preorbital bar length of STR2 and R. of Macedonia samples are in the range of variation of the AKK sample. The tooth row length varies from 133 to 155 mm at Samos, 152 – 158 mm at AKK, 137 – 150 mm in the R. of Macedonia, and 136 to 155 mm at STR2. The muzzle length varies from 106 to 117 mm at AKK, from 96 to 111 mm in the R. of Macedonia, and from 112 to 115 mm at STR2. Thus, these skull size differences are minute. Body size (reflected in the metapodial proportions) is highly sensitive to climate and to the quality and quantity of food resources, and differences are not necessarily of genetic origin. Its variations across the populations of *H. dietrichi* could be explained by the differences in paleoecological conditions between the Balkan Peninsula and the Samos/Turkey area during the Late Miocene, as well as by slight differences in age between the localities; they do not imply species distinction, and we prefer to keep the name H. dietrichi for the populations from Bulgaria and R. of Macedonia. Rhinocerotidae. Acerorhinus sp. A skull and attached mandible MMNH-Sk KAR 30/73 on display resembles a skull from Kalimantsi in Bulgaria (GERAADS & SPASSOV 2009) in that the lower incisors are quite long and upturned. This shape might have been exaggerated by reconstruction, but they were certainly larger than at Pentalophos (GERAADS & KOUFOS 1990), or in the holotype of A. neleus (ATHANASSIOU et al. 2014), from Kerassia but not that in the Pikermi specimen that these authors assign to the same species. Measurements are given in Table 13. Systematics of the Balkano-Turkish Acerorhinus is still confused (HEISSIG

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

1999; FORTELIUS et al. 2003; GERAADS & SPASSOV 2009; ATHANASSIOU et al. 2014), although detailed study of the Sinap material could clarify the issue.

Dihoplus pikermiensis. A complete skull with attached mandible, also on display, is the most complete known specimen of this species, although it is somewhat crushed transversally. Measurements are given in Table 13. Like the specimens from Bashibos mentioned below, it shows the typical features listed by GERAADS (1988) and GERAADS & SPASSOV (2009). The nasals are long and only gently convex dorsally, the nasal notch reaches only the anterior root of P2, and the infra-orbital foramen is above the posterior root of P3; both are more posterior in *Ceratotherium neumayri*, and in contrast to the latter species, the lower orbital border is not sloping ventrally. The premaxillae are well-preserved, and it is almost certain that there were no upper incisors, in contrast to some other specimens referred to this species (GERAADS & SPASSOV 2009). The i2s are rather uprightly inserted in the mandible, and have a flat horizontal wear. Their diameter is ca. 13.5 mm, and they are thus definitely smaller than in the specimen from Strumyani in Bulgaria (GERAADS & SPASSOV 2009).

Chalicotheriidae. *Anisodon* sp. An unnumbered mandible, described in detail and illustrated by GAREVSKI & ZAPFE (1983), has also been discussed by BONIS et al. (1995) and ANQUETIN et al. (2007) (plate 6, fig. 2). Not much can be added to the already published descriptions, but it must be made clear that a p2 was certainly present, albeit small and single-rooted, as GAREVSKI & ZAPFE (1983) had correctly noted in their text, although their figure is imperfect. State (0) of character (48) of ANQUETIN et al. (2007) is therefore incorrect. These latter authors included this mandible in their *Anisodon* group because of its symphysis extending more posteriorly than in *Chalicotherium*, and because of the ventral tubercle on the symphysis, also present in the Dytiko (*Anisodon macedonicus*) mandible. Although we believe that the number of known specimens is too low to provide a satisfactory support to

641 this conclusion (the occurrence of the ventral tubercle is unknown in C. goldfussi, and no 642 mandible of *Kalimantsia* has been found yet), we provisionally follow their conclusions. 643 Ancylotherium pentelicum. A skull on display was described by GAREVSKI (1974b) (T 644 6, Figs. 3, 4). It is worth mentioning that cracks on the cranial roof strongly suggest that a 645 frontal boss was present, as on the skull from Thermopigi (GERAADS et al. 2007). A lower jaw 646 from the same site was described by GAREVSKI & ZAPFE (1983); as already mentioned 647 (COOMBS 1989; GERAADS et al. 2006b), these authors misinterpreted the mental foramen as 648 the bottom of a canine alveolus, and this tooth is probably absent. The p2 is quite small, as at 649 Hadjidimovo (GERAADS et al. 2006a), so that the premolar series is short (71.3 mm) whereas 650 it is larger at Kiro Kuchuk (see below) and at Pikermi, but we do not know the meaning of 651 these differences. Two associated metapodials, MT III and Mt IV, are also of this species, but 652 their precise origin is doubtful, although they are certainly from the area of Veles. 653 Carnivora. Ailuridae. Simocyon primigenius. An unnumbered, relatively complete skull 654 was briefly described by GAREVSKI (1974a) and re-described by SPASSOV & GERAADS (2011). 655 The latter authors concluded that the skull of the middle Turolian Simocyon primigenius from 656 South-Eastern Europe has unique derived characteristics, related to a high morphofunctional 657 specialisation: strongly domed and enlarged frontal region, correlated with large frontal 658 sinuses. It differs not only from earlier European Simocyon species, but also from the Chinese 659 samples previously accepted as eastern populations of S. primigenius. SPASSOV & GERAADS 660 (2011) concluded that the Chinese material of Simocyon from Baode (ZDANSKY 1924) should 661 be called S. zdanskyi KRETZOI, 1927, of which the Fugu skull (WANG 1997) is probably an 662 early representative. Simocyon primigenius is restricted to the Aegean – Pontian region, 663 mostly in the middle Turolian. 664 Mustelidae. *Eomellivora* cf. *wimani*. We assign to this large species a virtually complete 665 skull, slightly compressed dorsally, and poorly preserved in its rostral and ventral parts. Most

teeth are missing, except for the left M1 (Plate 7, Fig. 6). In dorsal view the skull and zygomatic arches have *Mustela*-like outline. The muzzle is short. The nasal region is concave. The frontal region is relatively broad and flat, with weak postorbital processes. The postorbital constriction is moderate, located far from the postorbital processes. The neurocranium is piriform in outline. The temporal crests are well marked but low and short; the sagittal one is rather strong (but damaged) and long, starting rostrally at the level of the postorbital constriction. The external occipital protuberance is not protruding, as in the Batallones-1 skulls (VALENCIANO et al. 2015). The orbits are small and rounded; the zygomatic arches are long but robust (significantly more robust than in the similar-sized Simocyon skull), with well pronounced zygomatic process. The preserved right infraorbital foramen is large. M1 is short and wide, pyriform, and constricted in its central portion. It is short labially, but enlarged in its lingual part, which is circled by a cingulum. The paracone and metacone are not quite distinct in the slightly damaged labial tooth surface, but the paracone looks larger. Measurements: max. skull length = 185? mm (restored); zygomatic width = c. 123 mm; skull width over postorbital processes = c. 63; width at postorbital constriction = 47; W max. of the neurocranium = c. 72-; M1 lingual L = 9.3, W = 19. The Karaslari skull is similar in size to *Plesiogulo* ZDANSKY, but differs from this genus in the much less enlarged lingual part of M1 and the more rounded lingual contour of this tooth as well as by the weaker zygomatic process of the frontal bone, stronger postorbital constriction, and the more robust zygomatic arches (ZDANSKY 1924, pl. 8; KOUFOS 2006b, pl. 1). We follow here the taxonomic concept of VALENCIANO et al. (2015) who recognizes E. piveteaui Ozansoy for the Vallesian of Europe and Turkey, E. ursogulo Orlov for the early Turolian of Eastern Europe (Grebeniki), E. wimani ZDANSKY for the middle and late Turolian of Europe, Central Asia and N. America and E. hungarica KRETZOI for the late Turolian of Central Europe (Polgárdi). The M1, the only tooth that can be compared, is

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

691 slightly more slender than the known Eurasian specimens (WOLSAN & SEMENOV 1996, fig. 1; 692 VALENCIANO et al. 2015, fig. 4) but matches the dimensions of *E. piveteaui* and *E. wimani*. 693 The size difference between its paracone and metacone seems larger than in E. piveteaui and 694 E. ursogulo. The M1 of the Karaslari skull differs from that of the latter form in the mesio-695 distally shorter lingual part of the tooth. It fits better with E. wimani, which is acceptable from 696 a chronostratigraphic point of view. We tentatively assign it to the latter species, of which 697 Karaslari would document the only occurrence in the Balkans, and the last record of the genus 698 there. 699 Hyaenidae: Adcrocuta eximia. Material: skull MMNH-Sk KAR 2602 with P1-P2 (Plate 700 8, Fig. 3); damaged mandible with both c-m1 (MMNH-Sk KAR 68, of uncertain but likely 701 provenance)(Tables 2, 14). According to one of us (N.S.) some evolutionary trends can be 702 recognized in the species: 703 1. Morphometric trends: 704 - lengthening of the carnassials (P4 and m1) from the Vallesian to the second half of the 705 Turolian (especially from the early to the middle Turolian) (Text-figs. 11-14). 706 - size increase of p3 and especially p2 (mainly in width) of the Turolian populations in 707 relation to the Vallesian ones (RPL, RZO) (Text-fig. 15). Bonis & Koufos (1981) note the 708 slender upper and lower premolars (except P4) of the RPL specimen, A. eximia leptoryncha. 709 2. Morphological trends: 710 - secondary enlargement (probably related to improved bone-breaking efficiency) of the 711 P4 protocone, from the early Turolian to the middle Turolian (from Hadjidimovo - end of 712 MN11 - to Kalimantsi and Pikermi - MN12). 713 - reduction to disappearance of the anterior accessory cuspid of p2 and P3 and of the 714 lingual cingula of upper teeth:

- changes in p2, p3 and P3 shapes from the Vallesian and early Turolian to the middle Turolian: p2 changes from ellipsoid to piriform (in occlusal view), broadening distally; p3 from elongate to quadrangular with enlarged main cusp and broadening of the mesial part; P3 from rectangular to piriform, with enlargement of the mesial part; - the mandibular corpus of the relatively small in size (?) post-Pikermian/late Turolian representatives of the species becomes more twisted and robust, and the tooth row more arched but the material is limited for certain judgement. The above mentioned trends may provide a basis for biochronological estimates of the different Adcrocuta samples, especially those from the R. of Macedonia. The m1 size of MMNH-Sk KAR 68 matches the samples from Maragha and Hadjidimovo (lower Turolian), but is also comparable to the lower values of the MN12 samples. The p3 is broad as in the MN12 samples and the skull MMNH-Sk KAR 2602 is as large as the complete skull from Hadjidimovo, HD 9309 (maximal length of 276 mm vs. 268 mm) (Table 14), and its oblique length from orbit to rostrum (about 107 mm) is almost identical with the complete skull PIK-3000 from Pikermi. Thus, it best corresponds to early MN12 specimens, but the data are insufficient for firm biochronological conclusions. Felidae. Yoshi garevskii. A complete, undistorted skull and mandible, MMNH-Sk KAR 69 (Table 15) is the type of this species, characterized by a round, short, and deep skull with domed frontals, and short canines that are somewhat transversely compressed but are not serrated. SPASSOV & GERAADS (2015) assigned to the same genus the poorly preserved skulls from the Aegean region described as *Metailurus parvulus* (HENSEL, 1862), the Chinese type of "Metailurus" minor ZDANSKY, as well as some other skulls from China, and a partial skull from the middle Turolian of Kalimantsi (Bulgaria). The latter name thus becomes Y. minor (ZDANSKY, 1924), but M. parvulus is a nomen dubium. Metailurus ZDANSKY, 1924, is represented by the type-species M. major ZDANSKY, 1924, and M. ultimus (see LI, 2014) and

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

740	perhaps also by M. hengduanshanensis, M. mongoliensis and M. teilhardi (see also
741	ANDERSON & WERDELIN 2005). The new genus Yoshi was distributed from South Europe to
742	Central Asia. Although plesiomorphic in dental features, Yoshi (which is assigned to the
743	Pantherini), especially Y. garevskii, reaches a high specialization in its skull shape, parallel to
744	that of the cheetah, suggesting that it represents the first attempt towards the
745	morphofunctional model of this modern felid.
746	Machairodus sp. This machairodont is represented by an unnumbered juvenile half-
747	mandible with broken canine, incomplete p4 still embedded in bone, and incomplete m1
748	(Plate 9, Fig. 2). It is of moderate size (restored length of $c-m1 > 108$ mm; L p4 = 29.6 mm, L
749	m1 = c.~30 mm). The post-canine teeth lack crenulations, a feature that SOTNIKOVA (1991)
750	observed mostly in pre-Turolian forms. On the other hand there is probably a mesial cingular
751	cuspid on p4 and the m1-talonid looks vestigial, as in the middle Turolian
752	M. (Amphimachairodus) giganteus. The morphology of the Karaslari mandible corresponds to
753	the M. (Neomachairodus) stage sensu SOTNIKOVA & NOSKOVA (2004) or the
754	M. (Neomachairodus) / M. (Amphimachairodus) transition which probably correspond to the
755	middle/late Turolian transition. Given the controversies with the taxonomy of the genus, we
756	prefer not to attempt species identification.
757	Biochronology: <i>Tragoportax rugosifrons</i> is mostly a MN11 species but reaches MN12.
758	The Mesopithecus stage suggests the first half of MN12, Simocyon is mostly known in MN12
759	and the hipparions suggest the first half of MN12. The best fit for the Karaslari fauna is the
760	first half of the middle Turolian (MN12), i.e. pre-Pikermi middle Turolian.
761	
762	II.4.5. Kiro Kuchuk (Kiro Kucuk) (41°42'20" N, 21°45'21" E)
763	With at least 17 species of mammals Kiro Kuchuk is the second richest locality of
764	Republic of Macedonia after Karaslari.

765 Proboscidea. Deinotherium gigantissimum. MMNH-Sk KK 2740, a palate with left and 766 right DP2-DP4 and partially preserved erupting first molars, was described and discussed in 767 detail by Garevski & Markov (2011). 768 "Mammut" obliquelophus (on the adopted systematics for the Turolian mammutids of 769 Europe see MARKOV 2008). The material includes MMNH 2743, right M3 (Plate 10, Fig. 2; L 770 = 182; W = 87/91/87/78; H = 52 [on 4th pretrite]; ET = 5-6) and MMNH Sk KK 2773, a 771 partially preserved juvenile skull (Plate 10, Fig. 1; Tables 16, 17) with right DP4 and left 772 DP4–M1. Both DP4s are in use, the first molar is erupting, and the anterior deciduous 773 premolars (traces of which are still visible) were apparently lost postmortem. The facial skull 774 is mostly intact, showing a relatively short and high face; the orbit is situated above the tooth 775 row. Premaxillaries, bearing the two tusks (the right tusk is broken and a fragment is curated 776 separately at MMNH) diverge at the level of the infraorbital foramina (some matrix has been 777 left on the specimen between the two tusks). The nasal aperture is transversally enlarged, with 778 a straight border: a plesiomorphic condition typical for mammutids (TASSY 1994a). Both 779 zygomatic arches are broken. MMNH-Sk KK 2773 is the fourth known juvenile skull of 780 "Mammut" obliquelophus, the other three coming from Pikermi and RZO in Greece and 781 Belka in Ukraine (MARKOV 2008:160, and references therein). Interestingly, all four are of 782 similar individual age, with MMNH-Sk KK 2773 slightly older than the rest, judging from the 783 wear of the DP4s. Choerolophodon pentelici. MMNH-Sk KK 2811 is a right DP3 (Plate 10, Fig. 3). 784 785 Measurements: L = 57; Wmax. = 44.5; ET = 1.5. Size and derived morphology of the 786 specimen (second entoflexus present: see TASSY 1994b) correspond to the typical, later 787 (MN12) morph of Ch. pentelici. MMNH-Sk KK2684 is a right m1 (L = 91e; W = 788 46e/54e/53e; H = 52; ET = 2.5).

789 Primates. Mesopithecus pentelicus is represented by the rostral fragment of a skull and a 790 fragment of a male mandible. Mandible measurements indicate a relatively small specimen, 791 similar to the middle Turolian M. pentelicus s. str. L p4 = 5.8; L p3 (occl.) = 6.4; L m2 = 8.2, 792 W mes. m2 = 6.8, W dist. m2 = 6.6, L m1 = 9.6. 793 Rodentia. Hystrix primigenia. The species is represented by a mandible MMNH-Sk KK 794 2745. 795 Artiodactyla. Suidae. Microstonyx erymanthius. A poorly preserved unnumbered skull 796 is associated with a piece of mandible (measurements: Table 6); the P1 was absent. This 797 species is discussed under 'Karaslari'. 798 Giraffidae. Bohlinia attica. Two skulls have been described elsewhere (GERAADS 2009). 799 The most complete one is the best preserved cranium of this species, whose limb bones are 800 rather common in the Eastern Mediterranean Upper Miocene. 801 Bovidae. Nisidorcas sp.? A skull fragment (MMNH-Sk KK 2772) with part of left horn-802 core differs from *Palaeoreas* in that the sutures are visible, the horn-cores are small, have 803 only a weak posterolateral keel but no anterior keel; they are twisted on their axis but not 804 spiralled. This specimen may belong to the group of small antelopes from Greece and Turkey 805 centred on *Nisidorcas*, but is too incomplete for contributing to the definition of this genus 806 that persists in the Aegean region until the Middle Turolian (BOUVRAIN 1979; KOSTOPOULOS 807 & KOUFOS 1999; KOSTOPOULOS 2006). 808 Perissodactyla. Equidae. *Hippotherium brachypus*. There is only one unnumbered skull 809 (Plate 1, Fig. 1). It has a deep, subtriangular, and anteroposteriorly oriented preorbital fossa 810 with well delineated borders. The preorbital bar is long (43 mm). The posterior pocketing is 811 reduced, and moderately deep. The nasal notch ends above the anterior portion of P3 and it is 812 deeper than in the most crania of the species. Only one other specimen (HD) has such a deep 813 nasal notch; usually its end is above the P2 or just before it. The tooth row length is long

(164.3 mm). The enamel plication is rich, from 21 to 29 folds. The pli caballin is complex, the protocone is oval. The hypocone is rounded, the hypoconal sinus is deep, the lingual sinus well developed on M3 and rudimentary on the premolars. The features of the specimen are close to the rest samples of *H. brachypus* from the other localities. The specimen lacks its muzzle, which does not allow better comparison.

Rhinocerotidae. *Dihoplus pikermiensis*. There are four virtually complete rhino skulls from Kiro Kuchuk, and they all display the typical features of this species, by comparison with *Ceratotherium neumayri*, of which there is no evidence at Kiro Kuchuk: elongated nasals and horizontal ventral orbital surface, short cranial basis, post-tympanic process stretched well forwards and overlapping the postglenoid process, steep caudal edge of the pterygoid wings (Plate 11, Figs. 1-4; Table 13). The well-preserved tooth-rows also show the characteristics of this species (GERAADS 1988; GIAOURTSAKIS 2009; GERAADS & SPASSOV 2009).

Chalicotheriidae. Chalicotheriinae gen. et sp. indet. A chalicotheriine M3 MMNH-Sk KK 2810 has a continuous mesial cingulum, a metacone distinctly more labial than the paracone, a mesiodistally oriented labial wall of the metacone, a broad postfossette, and a hypocone distinct from the distal cingulum. This tooth is not so long (L = 43.6, W = 38.4) as that of *Kalimantsia* (GERAADS et al. 2001), but its other features do not match those of other late Miocene forms (ANQUETIN et al. 2007). In *Chalicotherium goldfussi*, the metacone is more transverse and the postfossette is narrower; in *Anisodon* the metacone is less labial, and the distal crest reaches the summit of the hypocone.

Ancylotherium pentelicum. Jaw fragments with partial upper tooth rows, MMNH-Sk KK 2770 (M1–M2), MMNH-Sk KK 2805 (P3–DP4–M1), and MMNH-Sk KK 2812 (DP4–M1) fall into the variation range of this species (Plate 6, Fig. 6; Table 18), which varies mostly in the size of P2 and especially M3; unfortunately, none of these teeth is represented in the R. of Macedonia.

A partial mandible (MMNH-Sk KK 2748) bears the left p2-p4 and right p2. Holes in the poorly preserved symphysis could represent incisor alveoli. The premolars are almost completely circled by a cingulum, except in the middle of the lingual side. Measurements: mandible depth under p2 = 49.5, under $p4 = ca. 55 \times 30$. Left teeth: $p2 = 19.1 \times 12.4$; p3 = 28x 16.3; $p4 = 33.5 \times 20.5$; length p2-p4 = ca. 80. MMNH-Sk KK 2733 is an exceptionally complete sub-adult posterior limb, including all associated elements from tibia to third phalanges (Pl. 6, Fig. 5). Unfortunately, it is still partly included in matrix, so that detailed study is impossible. The tibia much differs from that of Anisodon grande in its larger size and more normal proportions, with a proximal epiphysis not much broader than the distal one (see also ROUSSIAKIS & THEODOROU 2001). Some measurements are: Tibia: overall length = 465; proximal width = 165; min. width of shaft = 80; distal width = 140. Calcaneus: max. length = 166. Talus: max. width = 113. Mt II: max. length = 136. Mt III: max. length = 162; max. transverse distal W = 56; min. W shaft = 40. Mt IV: max. length = 148; APD proximal articulation = 53. Carnivora. "Ictitheres": The large ictithere group was widespread in the late Miocene and includes numerous taxa of the Pikermian fauna. Paradoxically, although discussed and described in a large number of works, its taxonomy remains controversial (for discussion see WERDELIN & SOLOUNIAS 1991; ANDERSON & WERDELIN 2005; SEMENOV 1989, 2008). Plioviverrops cf. orbignyi. We refer to this species a left half-mandible NMNH-Sk KK2803 with p2-m1 and a large m2 alveolus (Plate 12, Fig. 2; Table 19). The rostral part and the mandibular ramus are broken off. The corpus is slender, the masseteric fossa hardly reaches the distal border of the m2 alveolus. Two mental foramina are present, under p2 and p3. The labial cingulum is present mainly on the mesiolabial and distolabial parts of the premolars. The p2 and p3 are low and long, with distal edges much longer than the mesial ones and bearing small but clear cuspids. The anterior cingula are also cuspid-like, so that the

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

mesial edges of the teeth bear small but clear additional cuspids at their bases. The distal cingula are strong. A small but salient lingual protuberance is visible at mid-length of the crown base of p3, probably above an extra-root. The p4 is molariform, with a strong anterior cuspid and a very large talonid (much broader than the rest of the tooth). In spite of heavy wear, a large posterior additional cuspid is visible on the labial border of the talonid, whose lingual cingulum is well developed and bears a small, worn cusplet on its top. The m1 is much worn, so the relative heights of the conids can only be estimated. The metaconid and paraconid are of similar height. The protoconid was at most as high as the metaconid. The talonid is very large. The hypoconid was much lower than the entoconid. The latter is very tall, its apex is worn but it could have been only a little lower than the metaconid. Five species of *Plioviverrops* are known in the Miocene. *Plioviverrops gervaisi* and P. gaudryi are successive species from the early to middle Miocene of France. The other three species are Turolian: P. orbignyi (early to middle Turolian of the Eastern Mediterranean), P. guerini (early to middle Turolian of Spain) and P. faventinus (late Turolian of Brisighella, Italy) (BEAUMONT & MEIN 1972; KOUFOS 2006b, 2011 and references therein). The type species P. orbignyi from Pikermi was reported from several Turolian localities: Ravin des Zouaves 5, Vathylakkos-2 and 3, Prochoma, Mytilinii-1B on Samos Island (Greece) (SOLOUNIAS 1981; BONIS & KOUFOS 1991; KOUFOS 2000, 2006b, 2011). BAKALOV (1934) and BAKALOV & NIKOLOV (1962) also reported this species from Kalimantsi, Bulgaria; only the skull from Kalimantsi-Peshternik (early middle Turolian) is correctly identified, but three additional skulls from Kalimantsi are stored in the PMA. NMNH-Sk KK 2803 clearly differs from P. gervaisi and P. gaudryi in the large talonid, tall paraconid and low protoconid of m1; in these hypocarnivorous features as well as in its smaller size it also differs from *Protictitherium*. *Plioviverrops guerini* was described as lacking p2-p3 accessory cuspids and having a relatively small m1 talonid (VILLALTA &

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

CRUSAFONT 1945; CRUSAFONT & PETTER 1969) and a protoconid taller than the other cuspids on this tooth (TORRE 1989), but accessory cuspids have been reported on p3 in later publications (ALCALA 1994). NMNH-Sk KK 2803 has stronger accessory cuspids on the premolars, a larger m1 talonid, a lower m1 protoconid, and a shorter m1. BONIS & KOUFOS (1991) describe some material from Vathylakkos-3 as P. cf. guerini but later Koufos (2006b) questioned this identification and the existence of *P. guerini* as a distinct taxon, and we agree with him. The various specimens described as P. orbignyi from Pikermi, Samos, Vathylakkos and Perivolaki (as well as the mandibles from Kalimantsi, Bulgaria) display a large dental polymorphism (especially in the premolars) that also includes morphotypes said to be characteristic for *P. guerini* (as shown by the comparison between the Perivolaki mandible PER-1 and the Kalimantsi sample in PMA). The development of accessory cuspids has been shown to be variable in modern mustelids (Wolsan 1989). It is unlikely that two different species (P. orbignyi and P. guerini) co-occurred during the early to middle Turolian at either ends of the Northern Mediterranean. Alternatively, all remains from S-W Europe and the Balkans, virtually lacking additional cuspids could represent *P. guerini*. Still another possibility, which we favour, is that only one species ranged throughout the Northern Mediterranean during the Turolian, P. guerini being a synonym of P. orbignyi, but more material would be welcome. *Plioviverrops faventinus* from Brisighella differs from KK-2803 in its stronger, very massive anterior accessory cuspids on p3-4, probably larger m1 entoconid, and larger size. However, MMNH-Sk KK 2803 is more like this species than other specimens of *P. orbignyi* in the strong accessory cuspids (especially the anterior ones) of its premolars and in the development of the talonid (especially the entoconid). Hyaenidae. Hyaenotheriini indet. A right mandible fragment (MMNH-Sk KK 2768)

with c and p2-m1, and a fragment of a maxilla (MMNH-Sk KK 2769) are probably from the

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

914 same individual (Plate 12, Fig. 1). The ventral mandibular border under p3 is concave. A 915 small additional cuspid is present on the lingual edge of the distal cingulum as in 916 Hyaenotherium wongii whose teeth are of similar size and which is present in the late 917 Miocene of Greece (SOLOUNIAS 1981; WERDELIN & SOLOUNIAS 1991). The P3 is broad 918 distally and its lingual border is concave. The mesial border of the protocone of P4 is at the 919 level of the mesial border of the minute parastyle. Tooth measurements (length x width): c =920 8.8×6.3 ; $p3 = 13.5 \times 5.9$; $p4 = 15.3 \times 6.6$; $m1 = 18.3 \times 7.6$; $C = 8.5 \times 6.9$; $P1 = 4.2 \times 3.8$; $P2 = 4.2 \times 3.8$; $P3 = 4.2 \times 3.$ 921 $= 11.7 \times 4.0$; P3 = 14.5 x 7.7; P4 = 23.6 x 13.5. 922 Cf. Lycyaena chaeretis. A rostral fragment of a cranium (MMNH-Sk KK 2808) with the 923 canine (diameters = 14×12.3) and poorly preserved left P4 can be referred to this hyaenid, 924 the canine being too broad for an ictithere; its dimensions are small for an Adcrocuta and 925 close to those of the canine (14.9 x 11.2) of an undescribed partial skull of L. chaeretis from 926 the middle Turolian of Kalimantsi, Bulgaria, collected by D. KOVACHEV (PMA). 927 Adcrocuta eximia. The material consists of three mandibular fragments (MMNH-Sk KK 928 2799, left and right from the same individual, and MMNH-Sk KK 2775, with c, p2-m1; p2-929 p3 inverted in the jaw during its restoration), and three partial skulls (MMNH-Sk KK 2806 930 right rostral part; MMNH-Sk KK 2771 with I3-M1; and unnumbered skull) (Plate 8, Figs. 1, 931 2, 4, 5). The morphologies of P3 and P4, as well as those of p2 and p3 indicate a relatively 932 evolved stage (current research by N.S. – see the discussion of *Adcrocuta* under Karaslari). 933 However, the carnassial teeth are small (Table 2, 14). On the whole, the Adcrocuta from Kiro 934 Kuchuk best fits the early middle Turolian but all indicators are not fully consistent. 935 Felidae. Paramachaerodus sp. Material: MMNH-Sk KK 2813, male (?) cranial rostrum, 936 restored with plaster with I1-3 and left canine; MMNH-Sk KK-2807, female (?) cranium with 937 most teeth preserved but strongly crushed dorsoventrally (Plate. 9, Figs. 3,4; Table 20). The 938 canine of MMNH-Sk KK 2813 is strongly compressed transversally. Its mesial edge is

damaged, but clear crenulations are visible along its distal edge. On MMNH-Sk KK 2807 the sagittal crest is damaged, but was probably weak. It has an elongated rostral part and well developed zygomatic processes of the frontal. The canines are also machairodont: elongated and transversally compressed, with a flattened lingual surface; the tooth edges are damaged and coated with glue, but thin crenulations are present at least on the mesial edges, and probably also on the metacone of P4. The C–P3 diastema is long. I3 is distinctly larger than I1–2, conical, and caniniform. The diastema is damaged, but no trace of P1 or P2 is visible. P3 is elongated, broad distally because of a clear distolingual expansion; there is only a minute mesial accessory cusp but a large distal one. P4 is much longer, with a small protocone located between the levels of the paracone and parastyle, a minute preparastyle and an almost straight buccal border, slightly concave distally. P3 and P4 are almost in line with each other.

The Kiro Kuchuk material differs from the earlier *Promegantereon ogygia* in the crenulated teeth (although they are weak), strongly flattened and larger canines, elongated P3 and straight P4 buccal border. It has the crenulated teeth and the P3 and P4 morphology of *Paramachaerodus*, but differs from the type of *Pa. orientalis* (Upper Maragheh) in the clear distolingual expansion of P3, aligned P3 and P4, rudimentary preparastyle of P4, and larger C–P3 diastema (although the individual variability of this feature is not very clear). It also differs from the Chinese *Pa. maximiliani* in the weak crenulations, virtual lack of P4 preparastyle (very large in *Pa. maximiliani*), protocone of P4 located more mesially, and weaker canines (SALESA et al. 2010). Thus the KK material combines features of *Paramachaerodus* (tooth crenulations and elongated P3) and of *Promegantereon* (virtual lack of P4 preparastyle and aligned P3-P4), bridging the gap between these genera (diagnosed in SALESA et al. 2010).

Biochronology: The *Paramachaerodus* features look less derived than the typical *Pa. orientalis* from the middle Turolian, and the *Adcrocuta* stage might also indicate early MN12, so that we very tentatively suggest pre-Pikermian middle Turolian age for Kiro Kuchuk.

II.4.6. Prevalets (Prevalec) (41°42'03" N, 21°45'17" E, elev. 263 m)

According to GAREVSKI (1956), the fauna described by SCHLOSSER (1921) originates from the region of Prevalets. SCHLOSSER (1921) obtained the following list from the locality near Köprülü (an * indicates a likely identification from Schlosser's descriptions and figures; updated taxonomy in brackets; other species are discussed below):

*Mesopithecus pentelici; Ictitherium robustum [Thalassictis robusta?]; *Machairodus orientalis; Mastodon longirostris; ? Deinotherium giganteum; Rhinoceros schleiermacheri [Dihoplus orientalis?]; Nestoritherium pentelici [Ancylotherium pentelicum]; Hipparion gracile; *Sus [Microstonyx] erymanthius; Camelopardalis parva; Palaeotragus rouenii; *Helladotherium cf. duvernoyi; Tragocerus [Tragoportax] amaltheus; ? Tragocerus sp.; Palaeoreas lindermayeri; Protragelaphus cf. skouzesi; Gazella brevicornis; Gazella deperdita. His Hipparion gracile probably belongs to two different species: a small one (upper tooth row length 124 mm), and a medium-sized one (tooth row length about 143 mm, and relatively robust metapodials) that could be a Hippotherium. His Camelopardalis parva is probably a Palaeotragus rouenii, and there is no evidence of Bohlinia in his material. Most of the bovid identifications are based upon teeth, except for a partial skull that he called "? Tragocerus sp." while acknowledging that its horn-cores are not Tragoportax-like. We have seen no other remain that could be referred to this species. Except the Proboscideans, the fauna described below is from the collection of R. GAREVSKI.

987 Proboscidea. A mandible figured by SCHLOSSER (1921, p. 13, as Mastodon longirostris-988 arvernensis) belongs to Anancus, as seen from the molar structure and apparent brevirostry. 989 Observable characters are insufficient to discriminate between A. arvernensis and the 990 Turolian Anancus sp. (MARKOV 2004, 2008). Considering the Turolian age of the fauna 991 described by SCHLOSSER (1921), the mandible can be referred to Anancus sp. 992 Artiodactyla. Bovidae. Palaeoreas cf. lindermayeri. Two horn-cores at least (MMNH-993 Sk Prev 2652 and MMNH-Sk Prev 2654) can be assigned to this species. The pedicle is 994 longer anteriorly than laterally, there is a strong posterolateral keel and almost no spiralling. 995 They are of medium size, intermediate between Kalimantsi-Pikermi and Hadjidimovo 996 (GERAADS et al. 2003). 997 Palaeoreas? sp. Two partial frontlets, MMNH-Sk Prev 1000 and MMNH-Sk Prev 998 1595, are probably of a species of *Palaeoreas* because of their strongly angled frontal bone 999 and, at least on MMNH-Sk Prev 1000, fused frontal suture and large sunken pits close to the 1000 midline (Table 9). Horn-core divergence is weak basally but increases upwards, so that the 1001 lateral border is concave in front view; they are not spiralled but twisted, and MMNH-Sk Prev 1002 1595 shows that the posterolateral rounded keel becomes anterior about 10 cm above the base, 1003 so that, more proximally, the anterior side of the horn-core looks slightly concave in lateral 1004 view. We believe that this is the species that ĆIRIĆ (1957) described as *Palaeoreas* 1005 *lindermayeri* but assignment to this species is not satisfactory. It could be closer to *P. zouavei* 1006 from RZO (BOUVRAIN 1980), or perhaps to some variety of "P." elegans (a Turkish species 1007 included in his new genus Majoreas by Kostopoulos 2004), although there is no more than 1008 a hint of an anterior keel at Prevalets. 1009 Perissodactyla. Chalicotheriidae. Chalicotheriinae indet. Two associated upper teeth, 1010 DP4 (c. 32.6 x 33.3) and M1 (c. 44.3 x 40.2), belong to a chalicotheriin, but are too cracked 1011 and distorted for identification.

1012 Carnivora. Hyaenidae. Adcrocuta eximia. An unnumbered right mandible with c-p3 and 1013 an unnumbered left mandible with c-p4, are probably from two different individuals (Table 1014 2). In spite of the moderate size of the premolars and of the mandibles depth, the piriform 1015 shape of p2 and the mesially enlarged p3 best match the middle Turolian samples. 1016 Felidae. Machairodus (Amphimachairodus) giganteus. A skull of Machairodus is on 1017 exhibit in the MMNH-Sk (Plate 9, Fig. 5). The occipital part and teeth are strongly damaged. 1018 The maximal length of the skull is close to 370 mm (restored); the skull width over the 1019 zygomatic processes is 135 mm; the mesiodistal diameter of the canine, whose tip is broken is 1020 36 mm; the length of P3 is 22.6 mm. The skull is somewhat distorted and the neurocranium is 1021 crushed, most of the occipital is missing, as well as most of the teeth. The frontal is depressed 1022 between the well-expressed temporal crests, as in old male lions. The I3 are positioned behind 1023 I1–I2 that are all in line. It is one of the largest known skulls of *Machairodus*. Comparable 1024 ones are (Table 20): a skull of M. palanderi ZDANSKY from the Baodean (Middle Turolian) of 1025 China (SOTNIKOVA 1991), another one from Baode, very broad over the zygomatic processes 1026 (QIU & SHI 2008), the largest skull of the Batallones-1 sample (MN10) of M. aphanistus 1027 (ANTÓN et al. 2004), the skulls from Grebeniki (MN11), Ukraine and Halmyropotamos, 1028 Greece (MN12) (PAVLOW 1914; MELENTIS 1967). From the humerus and M1 sizes, M. kabir 1029 from Toros-Menalla and Sahabi (whose age corresponds to the European middle to late 1030 Turolian) was also very large (PEIGNÉ et al. 2005; SARDELLA & WERDELIN 2007), but its skull 1031 is unknown. 1032 The canine is rather long. It seems (current research by N.S.) that there is a general 1033 trend of upper canine size increase from the Vallesian to the middle/Late Turolian (Table 21), 1034 especially if we take in consideration the easternmost late populations of the so called 1035 M. horribilis (= M. palanderi). This form is regarded by some authors (QIU ET AL., 2008) as a 1036 separate species, but could just be a geographic subspecies of *M. giganteus*. The upper canine

increases mostly in height, but also in mesiodistal length, while possibly becoming thinner (Koufos 2000), but these trends may be obscured by sexual dimorphism, which was strong in the Machairodontinae (Antón et al. 2004; Peigné et al. 2005).

The P3 of the Prevalets specimen is reduced. The reduction of this tooth is an evolved trend in general (current research by N.S.), but it can hardly be used for age estimates because of individual (and probably geographical) variation. The P3 of the Prevalets skull is shorter than in the Vallesian *M. aphanistus* population from Batallones-1 (ANTÓN et al. 2004). The tooth length is 22.8 mm at Prsten (see below); 25 at Grebeniki; 26.3 (pers. data) at Hadjidimovo; 23 at Pikermi; 23.7 at Halmyropotamos; 23–25 at Taraklia; 22.5–26 in "*M. palanderi*"; 21 mm in *M. kurteni* (PAVLOW 1914; ZDANSKY 1924; RYABININ 1929; MELENTIS 1967; SOTNIKOVA 1991). Thus, on the whole, the few visible features of the skull indicate a relatively evolved stage, of the *M. (Amphimachairodus) giganteus* group.

In spite of the accumulation of recent *Machairodus* s.l. finds, recent opinions on its systematics remain controversial (GERAADS et al. 2004; MORLO & SEMENOV 2004; SOTNIKOVA & NOSKOVA 2004; ANTÓN et al. 2004; PEIGNÉ et al. 2005; SARDELLA & WERDELIN 2007; QIU ET AL., 2008; CHRISTIANSEN 2013). Geologically younger forms of *Machairodus* may be united in *Amphimachairodus* (ANTÓN et al. 2004; SARDELLA & WERDELIN 2007; CHRISTIANSEN 2013), but three successive subgenera were erected for *Machairodus* by SOTNIKOVA & NOSKOVA (2004). Two species are usually accepted for the Vallesian to the middle Turolian of Eurasia (BEAUMONT 1975; ANTÓN et al. 2004; PEIGNÉ et al. 2005), but MORLO & SEMENOV (2004) favour a monospecific taxonomy. Alternatively, SOTNIKOVA & NOSKOVA (2004) and QIU ET AL. (2008) accept several successive and geographic Eurasian late Miocene species. In any case, most features improving the shearing complex appear gradually in mosaic: lengthening of the carnassials, reduction of the P4 protocone and of the m1 talonid-metaconid complex, reduction of p3/P3, enlargement of the

P4 preparastyle and metastyle, development of the canines and crenulations, lengthening of the mandibular diastema, development of the mental apophysis (BEAUMONT 1975;

SOTNIKOVA 1991; SPASSOV & KOUFOS 2002; MORLO & SEMENOV 2004; GERAADS et al. 2004; PEIGNÉ et al. 2005). BEAUMONT (1975) considers *M. aphanistus* as smaller than *M. giganteus*, but the available data shows no obvious trend in this regard (Table 21). The skull/body size slightly decreased at the end of the late Turolian, judging after *M. kurteni* (SOTNIKOVA 1991) and "*M.* ex gr. *giganteus*" from Baccinello (ROOK et al. 1991).

Biochronology: The presence of *Anancus* suggests a post-Pikermian age (MARKOV 2008; SPASSOV et al. 2012) within the Turolian, and nothing in the rest of the fauna really

II.4. 7. Rashtanski Pat

contradicts this age.

Proboscidea: *Deinotherium gigantissimum* is represented by an isolated M2, described by GAREVSKI (1976a) as *D. giganteum*.

II.4.8. Umin Dol (Umen Dol) (41°45'33" N, 21°47'51" E, elev. 285 m)

Proboscidea. As with Karaslari, two elephantoids occur at Umin Dol: *Ch. pentelici* (a mandibular fragment with dp3; tooth measurements: c.47 x 28.0), and ? *Tetralophodon atticus* (skull fragment with DP2-DP4 at the exhibition: and a fragment of DP4). The second elephantoid is not *Anancus*, judging from the morphology of the teeth but it could also be "*Mastodon*" *grandincisivus*: chronological and geographical distribution of *T. atticus* and "*M.*" *grandincisivus* overlap, and material such as that from Umin Dol is insufficient for a certain identification (see discussion by MARKOV 2008). [Note: Recently, KONIDARIS et al. (2014) suggested that *T. atticus* and "*M.*" *grandincisivus* are synonymous, representing a single amebelodontid species, *Konobelodon atticus*. This view is not followed here and

1087 T. atticus is regarded as a derived Tetralophodon species, co-occurring with the 1088 amebelodontid "Mastodon" grandincisivus]. 1089 Rodentia. A Hystrix primigenia maxilla with teeth is noted in a handwritten check-list 1090 by R. GAREVSKI, but the material was not found after his death. 1091 Artiodactyla. Suidae. Microstonyx erymanthius. There are some incomplete upper tooth-1092 rows and a mandible (measurements: Tables 6, 7). No specimen shows whether a P1 was 1093 present. This species is discussed under "Karaslari". 1094 Bovidae. Palaeoreas lindermayeri. A poorly preserved incomplete cranium with horn-1095 core bases (MMNH-Sk UD 23) can be referred to this species on the basis of size, and of the 1096 large P4 with strong styles (Table 9). A frontlet (MMNH-Sk UD 2614/2615) is probably of 1097 the same species. Both specimens are in the size-range of the Kalimantsi and Pikermi 1098 specimens, but smaller than the Hadjidimovo ones (GERAADS et al. 2003). 1099 Sporadotragus sp. MMNH-Sk UD 1590 is a poorly preserved fragment of skull (Plate 1100 4, Fig. 1); its horn cores (46.5 x 30.5) show the tendency towards a flat anterior surface and 1101 anteromedial keel typical of this genus, as well as its small molars compared to overall size 1102 (GERAADS et al. 2006a). Other measurements: width over pedicles = 96.5; width across 1103 middle of supra-orbital foramina = 44.5; length M1-M3 = 46.9. 1104 Gazella sp. Some horn-cores might belong to Gazella capricornis. 1105 Perissodactyla. Equidae. From this locality FORSTEN & GAREVSKI (1989) described 1106 "Hipparion prostylum GERVAIS / schlosseri - dietrichi ANTONIUS -WEHRLI" and Hipparion matthewi ABEL. We were able to find and examine four of their skulls in the MMNH-Sk: two 1107 1108 adult skulls (MMNH-Sk UD 1517/66, MMNH-Sk UD 802/66, MMNH-Sk UD no number) 1109 and one juvenile (MMNH-Sk UD 206/60) we identified as *Hippotherium brachypus* Hensel, 1110 and another one (MMNH-Sk UD 99/60) as Hipparion sp.

Hippotherium brachypus. MMNH-Sk UD 802/66 is poorly preserved, but what remains of the preorbital fossa is deep. The other two adult specimens (MMNH-Sk UD 1517/66, NMNH-Sk UD no number (Plate 1, Fig. 5) from this species have deep, well delineated, subtriangular and anteroposteriorly oriented preorbital fossa. The preorbital bar is long (40.3 mm for MMNH-Sk UD 1517/66). The posterior pocketing is reduced, moderately deep to shallow. The muzzle is long (134 mm on another, unnumbered skull). The tooth row is 131 mm to 145 mm long. The enamel plication is moderate (in a senile specimen) to rich; the pli caballin is single or double, the protocone is lingually flattened - labially rounded to oval. FORSTEN & GAREVSKI (1989) described MMNH-Sk UD 1517/66 as Hipparion dietrichi WEHRLI, but its morphology coincides with the diagnosis of *Hippotherium brachypus*. The same is true of the other adult specimen. Both are distinct from *H. dietrichi*, which is characterized by shallow to moderately deep preorbital fossa, less plicated teeth and shorter muzzle with straight incisor line. The measurements are given in Table 1. Comparisons of the UD material with samples of *Hippotherium brachypus* from other localities reveal its smaller size. The distance from orbit to anterior point of P2 is also smaller than for the other samples -152 mm, but the SIMPSON diagram (Text-fig. 2) shows that the preorbital fossa dimensions and location are close to the other samples. Hipparion sp. (small): The adult skull MMNH-Sk UD 99/60 is somewhat dorsoventrally crushed (Plate 5, Fig. 4; Table 11; Text-fig. 7). The orbits are not preserved. The moderately deep and moderately delineated preorbital fossa is obviously far from the orbit: its posterior end is above the anterior part of M1 and the anterior one above the mesostyle of P2. It is anteroventrally oriented and without posterior pocketing. The nasal slit ends before P2 (most likely at mid-distance P2 – C). The buccinator fossa is deep. The premolar length is 64 mm. The enamel plication is low to moderate (9–13 plis). The pli caballin is single, short. The protocone is rounded; on P2 it is connected with the protoloph.

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129

1130

1131

1132

1133

1134

The muzzle is ventrally bent, and is short (m1 = 94 mm), and relatively narrow (m15 - 53mm), with more or less straight incisor arch. This badly preserved specimen was described by FORSTEN & GAREVSKI (1989) as C. matthewi ABEL. After its diagnosis, this species is small, the row P2-M3 is 100-130 mm long, the enamel plication is simple, the protocone is slightly oval, nearly round, the fossa preorbitalis slightly developed (SONDAAR 1971). According to BERNOR et al. (1996) the species has a short and narrow muzzle, a short nasal notch with a posterior end located above P2, a short preorbital bar, a single oval, deep preorbital fossa, simple enamel plication, and a small, simple pli caballin. A number of features of MMNH-Sk UD 99/60 are close to the noted diagnostic features of C. matthewi, but it clearly differs from this species in the wider preorbital bar, the elliptical preorbital fossa and ventrally bent muzzle (perhaps because of distortion). It shares some similarities with *Hipparion dietrichi*, but is smaller. The specimen is senile, which probably explains the short premolars. Another specimen also smaller than the known normal for *H. dietrichi* is PER -193 from Perivolaki (Greece), which is very similar to our specimen (VLACHOU & KOUFOS 2006). The most important differences are the bent muzzle and location of the posterior end of the preorbital fossa (posterior end above the anterior part of M1 in MMNH-Sk UD 99/60 versus the anterior part of M2 at Perivolaki, therefore the preorbital bar in the Umin Dol skull is much wider). Rhinocerotidae. Ceratotherium neumayri is represented by a skull on exhibit at the MMNH-Sk; it has the typical features of this species (GERAADS 1988; GERAADS & KOUFOS 1990; GERAADS & SPASSOV 2009): the nasals are very wide, deep and rounded; the rugosities for the nasal and the frontal horns occupy large areas; the ventral orbital surface is inclined downwards; the temporal crests diverge caudally, reaching the occipital crest and forming a large V-shaped figure; the occipital crest is wide and overhangs the occipital condyles; the mandible ventral border is convex.

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157

1158

1160 Carnivora. Hyaenidae. Adcrocuta eximia. An unnumbered mandible with p2-p4 (L 1161 =54.2) belongs to this species Biochronology. The most likely age after the hipparions and *Palaeoreas* is middle 1162 1163 Turolian (MN12), and this is not contradicted by the rest of the fauna. 1164 1165 II.5. NEGOTINO – KAVADARTSI FOSSILIFEROUS AREA 1166 **II.5.1. Dolni Disan** (41°25'08" N, 22°07'36" E; elev. 287 m) 1167 Proboscidea. Deinotherium gigantissimum. MMNH-Sk DD 2738/1 and MMNH-Sk DD 1168 2738/2, a pair of tusks. Identification is based on the structure of the dentine (no SCHREGER 1169 lines). Lengths: 560/520 (2738/1); 570/520 (2738/2). Diametres: 90 x 70e (1); 97 x 70 (2). 1170 Choerolophodon pentelici. MMNH-Sk DD 2742, right m3 (Plate 10, Fig. 4). L: ca. 185; 1171 W: 70/78/83/80/60; H:>>62 ET: 3-5. 1172 Tetralophodon atticus. An adult skull (Plate 10, Fig. 6). described by GAREVSKI (1976b) 1173 as Tetralophodon longirostris belongs rather to the Turolian species of the genus, T. atticus 1174 (being the only known adult *T. atticus* skull in the world). With no associated mandible, an 1175 alternative determination as "Mastodon" grandincisivus must be considered. Morphology of 1176 the M2 (e.g. posttrite ornamentation present) seems to support this at first glance but a similar 1177 morphology can occur among Eppelsheim T. longirostris (G.M., pers. obs. NHMUK 2006). 1178 Besides, morphology of the erupting M3 (mesiodistal compression, reduced accessory 1179 conules) is similar to material referred by MARKOV (2004) to T. atticus, and the Dolni Disan 1180 skull most probably belongs in that species too. 1181 Anancus sp. MMNH-Sk DD 2741, posterior fragment (4 lophs and cingulum) of a left 1182 M3 (Plate 10, Fig. 5). Lfr: 170; W: 100/100/100e/91.5; H: 64 (on penultimate pretrite). 1183 Artiodactyla. Giraffidae. Bohlinia cf. attica. A long and slender radius must belong to 1184 this close relative of the giraffe, but is perhaps slightly smaller.

1185 Bovidae. Tragoportax cf. amalthea. An unnumbered crushed and incompletely prepared 1186 cranium has very massive horn-cores inserted close together (L M1–M3 = 61.9) (Table 9). Biochronology. The combination of Tragoportax cf. amalthea with the archaic Anancus 1187 1188 sp. suggest a post-Pikermian Turolian age. 1189 1190 II.5.2. Kalnitsa (Kalnica) 1191 Artiodactyla. Suidae. "Propotamochoerus" sp. ? A mandible was described by 1192 GERAADS et al. (2008). They concluded that the Balkan Turolian sample is clearly distinct 1193 from both the Vallesian P. palaeochoerus and the Pliocene P. provincialis, but cannot be 1194 satisfactorily referred to the Asian species P. hysudricus or P. hyotherioides, and that this 1195 form belongs to a separate species, probably distinct from the P. palaeochoerus -1196 P. provincialis lineage. PICKFORD (2015) assigned the Kalnitsa material to Dasychoerus sp. 1197 There is no evidence of *Microstonyx* at Kalnitsa. 1198 Bovidae: We have seen only horn-core fragments from this site; they can be tentatively 1199 identified as Gazella sp., Tragoportax sp., and Palaeoreas sp. 1200 Biochronology: If PICKFORD's identification of the suid as *Dasychoerus* is correct, the 1201 age of Kalnitsa could be MN13, but nothing in the fauna really precludes a younger age. 1202 1203 II.5.3. Tremnik 1204 Proboscidea. Isolated tusks with the typical curved shape demonstrate the presence of 1205 Choerolophodon (probably Ch. pentelici) at this site. 1206 1207 **II.5.4 Veshie (Vesje)** (41°22'31" N, 22°07'54" E; elev. 621 m) 1208 Proboscidea. Choerolophodon pentelici. The material includes tusks, a poorly preserved 1209 mandible with m2-m3, and isolated molars:

1210 - MMNH-Sk-130, posterior fragment (3 lophids and cingulum) of a left m3. Length of 1211 fragment = 169; W = 89e/92/83; ET = 4. 1212 - MMNH-Sk-129, right m3 Probably same individual as 130. L = 208; W = 1213 79/82/87/81.5; ET = 3.5-5.5. Both from "Vesje – Pat". 1214 - MMNH-Sk-82, left M2. L = 110; W = 71e/76/77; ET = 4. 1215 - MMNH-Sk-80, right M3. L = 194; W = 87/95.5/94/82; ET = 4.5-5. Together with a 1216 right M2, these are from "Vesje – Dol" and most probably belong to the same individual. 1217 Primates. Mesopithecus pentelicus. The material includes an incomplete, probably male 1218 mandible MMNH-Sk- 2671 in bad condition, with detached teeth on the left half-mandible 1219 and a right half-mandible with all cheek-teeth (p3-m3). The dimensions of the m3 fit better 1220 the first half of the middle Turolian (Plate 2, Fig. 3), but the material is insufficient for 1221 reliable taxonomic or biostratigraphic conclusions. 1222 Rodentia. A partial skull of *Hystrix primigenia* is mentioned in an unpublished MS of 1223 R. Garevski (L M1-M3 = 26.7). 1224 Artiodactyla. Giraffidae. Palaeotragus rouenii. A talus with a distal width of 44.2 and 1225 max. length of 69.5 mm must belong to this species, as no other giraffid is that small. 1226 We have seen no bovid remain from this site. 1227 Perissodactyla. Equidae. We assign the skull MMNH-Sk VSH 2735 to *Hipparion* 1228 dietrichi WEHRLI. Its preorbital bar is long (49.5 mm). The preorbital fossa is shallow, 1229 subtriangular, anteroventrally oriented and weakly delineated. Posteriorly the fossa is not 1230 pocketed but has a posterior rim. The nasal notch ends probably above the anterior border of 1231 P2. The tooth row length is 149 mm. The enamel plication is moderate, the plis varying from 1232 11 to 17, the pli caballin is single. The protocone is rounded to lingually flattened - labially

rounded. The muzzle is short (approximately 96 mm). Measurements are given in Table 12.

Chalicotheriinae gen. et sp. indet. A complete upper tooth series (MMNH-Sk VSH 2702; Plate 6, Fig. 1; Table 22) is one of the nicest known specimens of a late Miocene chalicotheriine, and is worth being described in some detail, paying special attention to the characters used by ANQUETIN et al. (2007) in their cladistic analysis. The P2 is much shorter than long, and almost rectangular, in contrast to those of Anisodon macedonicus from Dytiko, Anisodon grande, and Chalicotherium goldfussi (ZAPFE 1979, Figs. 6-7). P3 and P4 differ in size, but not in their length/width proportions, unlike the premolars of Kalimantsia (GERAADS et al. 2001), and they are morphologically almost identical. They have no protoloph, as in most other species, but there is an incipient protoloph in the right P4 of Kalimantsia. ANQUETIN et al. (2007) stated that the protoloph reaches the protocone in C. goldfussi, but this is incorrect, as it is clearly short both on the maxilla HLMD-Din-3168 (figured by ZAPFE 1979, fig. 7) and on an isolated P3, HLMD-Din-3138, both from the *Deinotherium* sands of Eppelsheim; it seems that the only Turolian form with a well-developed protoloph on P3 and P4 is the skull from Akkaşdağı referred to Ancylotherium by SARAÇ & SEN (2005), but that obviously belongs to a chalicotheriin. Kalimantsia is the only form almost lacking a metaloph on P3. On M2, the protocone occupies a central position, but it is more anterior on the other molars; therefore, state of character (41) of ANQUETIN et al. (2007) (which is hard to appreciate) is ambiguous. The metacone is distinctly more labial than the paracone, unlike that of Anisodon. The M3 is longer than broad, but the difference is less clear than in *Kalimantsia*; the second lobe is not much reduced, and the labial wall is not very oblique, as in this latter genus, and as on the molar from Kiro Kuchuk. This is character 45 of ANQUETIN et al. (2007), but their coding is incorrect, as this labial wall is much more transverse in the Dytiko specimen than in *Kalimantsia*, not the opposite. There is no lingual cingulum. The

1234

1235

1236

1237

1238

1239

1240

1241

1242

1243

1244

1245

1246

1247

1248

1249

1250

1251

1252

1253

1254

1255

1256

postfossette is distinctly narrower than on the molar from Kiro Kuchuk, and unlike the condition of *Anisodon*.

This short comparison highlights the difficulty in assigning Late Miocene European chalicotheriins to well-defined taxa. It looks as if none of the new specimens (here, the M3 from Kiro Kuchuk and the maxilla from Veshie) can fit into a previously defined taxon. The problem can be evaded by using parsimony analysis, which always yields a result but heavily rests upon a number of subjective estimates and a priori assertions. The key problem with chalicotheriins is that we are unable to evaluate intra-specific variation; once again, what we need is more fossils.

Carnivora. 'Ictitheres'. *Ictitherium* cf. *viverrinum*. MMNH-Sk VSH 2747 is the cranium of an adult individual with all incisors and canines, left P1–M2 and right P3–M2 (Plate 12, Fig. 3). The left zygomatic arch is rounded, the right one is missing. The bones of the cranial vault are heavily fragmented. The postorbital part is crushed transversally and the shape of the zygomatic processes of the frontals is unclear. Only the right tympanic bulla is present. Measurements: L P4–M2 = 40.4 mm; P2 = 11.5×5.7 ; P3 = 13×7.2 ; P4 = 20.2×10.6 ; M1 7.5×14.7 +; M2 = 6×8.9 ; total skull length = 165+. The nasal bones extend caudally far beyond the frontal edges of the orbits. The tympanic bulla is rather convex (but see below); there is a marked concavity (in lateral and ventral view) between the jugular process and the caudal end of the zygomatic arch. The temporal crests look short. The size of the incisors increases from I1 to I3. P1 is large, with one root and separated by distinct diastema from C and P2. P4 is short, its protocone reaches farther mesially than the paracone; P3 is large, broad distally and with a lingual cingulum; M1 is relatively long; M2 is large relative to M1.

The morphology of the temporal crests, the length and morphology of P4, the size and proportion of the molars differ from the hyaenotheres sensu SEMENOV (1989, 2008). Among the ictitheres (sensu SEMENOV 1989) the skull size excludes the genera *Protictitherium* and

Plioviverrops. The prejugular concavity of the skull contour, the large and mesially extended P4 protocone, the relatively small angle between the labial surfaces of P4 and M1, the relatively flat caudal surface of the tympanic bulla as well the relatively large M2 exclude Thalassictis, but match Ictitherium. The palatal notch almost reaches the distal surface of M2; M1 is located at the distolingual edge of P4 and the P4 protocone is salient mesially. These features are close to those of I. viverrinum (= I. robustum), I. gaudryi (the latter is probably a synonym of the former: Werdelin & Solounias 1991; Anderson & Werdelin 2005) and I. pannonicum, but the latter species is larger (Semenov 1989). Ictitherium viverrinum ranges from Western Europe to China and is well known from Pikermi (Anderson & Werdelin 2005).

Biochronology: The more probable age of the locality after the hipparions is early to early middle Turolian. The size of a single *Mesopithecus* specimen fits better the first half of the middle Turolian.

II.5.5. Vozartsi (Vozarci) (41°25'24" N, 21°55'01" E, elev. 232 m)

Suidae. *Propotamochoerus* sp. Several specimens of this rare form have been described recently (GERAADS et al. 2008). Together with the Kalnitsa material, they were assigned to *Dasychoerus* sp. by PICKFORD (2013) but here the age of the locality is definitely Turolian, so that this would imply that the genus appears in the Miocene. The revision, in 2008, of the MMNH-Sk collections convinced us that, in contrast to what was stated earlier, there is no evidence of *Microstonyx* at Vozartsi. It seems, therefore, that in the Vardar Valley at least, the two genera are mutually exclusive.

Cervidae indet. A few antler fragments are unidentifiable to genus.

Giraffidae: *Helladotherium* sp. A piece of maxilla MMNH-Sk Voz-1870-79

(measurements: Table 8), two large and robust metapodials, a first anterior phalanx MMNH-

Sk Voz-915-9 and a talus MMNH-Sk Voz-1325/69 belong to a sivatheriine that is usually called *Helladotherium* in the Eastern Mediterranean, but that could be identical with the south Asiatic *Bramatherium* (GERAADS & GÜLEÇ 2000; GERAADS et al. 2005; GERAADS 2009).

Measurements of metapodials:

1312		length	prox. W	W of shaft	dist. W
1313	Mc Voz-912-69	408	102	62.5	100
1314	Mt Voz-1681	470	86	52.5	91

Medial height x distal width of talus: 99 x 72.

Bovidae. *Gazella* cf. *capricornis*. Two frontlets (MMNH-Sk Voz-558 (Plate 4, Fig. 8) and MMNH-Sk Voz-1619) and several horn-cores belong to a gazelle with horn-cores that are short, little divergent, and only slightly curved and compressed but, despite recent careful analyses (Kostopoulos 2005, 2016), these late Miocene gazelles remain imperfectly characterized, and we prefer not to attempt a formal identification.

Palaeoreas cf. lindermayeri. Two frontlets with incomplete horn-cores (MMNH-Sk Voz-559 (Table 9) and MMNH-Sk Voz-1588 show no trace of mid-frontal suture, supra-orbital pits close to the midline, and horn-cores that are rather far from the orbit, moderately divergent, strongly twisted but not spiralled, and (at least on MMNH-Sk Voz-559 which is better preserved) a strong sharp posterolateral keel, and a weaker rounded anterior one. They are certainly of Palaeoreas, but species identification is tentative.

Prostrepsiceros cf. *axiosi*. We tentatively refer to this species, defined in the early Turolian of Ravin des Zouaves-5 (Kostopoulos 2004), a single horn-core (MMNH-Sk Voz-29/1(Table 9) similar to those of *P. zitteli* but smaller and more openly spiralled. Unfortunately it is not connected to the frontal, so that precise orientation is impossible. It is much like those illustrated by ĆIRIĆ (1957, pl. 25-26), identified as *P. zitteli* by GERAADS & GÜLEÇ (1999), but referred to the present species by Kostopoulos (2004).

Prostrepsiceros rotundicornis. (Table. 9) A frontlet of rather small size (MMNH-Sk
Voz-694; Plate 4, Fig. 3) has salient orbital rims, supra-orbital pits close to horn-cores that
have their bases close to each other, their cross-section almost circular, and are probably
tightly twisted. A frontlet MMNH-Sk Voz-693 and some isolated horn-cores are probably of
the same species; MMNH-Sk Voz-724 and MMNH-Sk Voz-97 display an anteromedial
groove at the base, much like in the specimen illustrated by Kostopoulos (2005, fig.9).
Prostrepsiceros cf. houtumschindleri. A relatively complete skull with parts of the horn-
cores (MMNH-Sk Voz-1594, (Table. 9), but with most of the frontal reconstructed in plaster,
has its horn-cores inserted behind the orbits, more spiralled than in Palaeoreas, and with a
strong posterolateral keel.
It may look hard to accept the occurrence of three different species of Prostrepsiceros at
Vozartsi, but we do not see how to reduce this number; it may be that these three species are
in fact not of the same genus.
Oioceros sp. (Table. 9) A left horn-core base (MMNH-Sk Voz-14) with anticlockwise
torsion must belong to this genus or to a closely related one.
"Samodorcas" cf. kuhlmanni? (Table. 9) A horn-core base (MMNH-Sk Voz-29)
resembles the single known specimen of this Samos taxon (ANDREE 1926; BOUVRAIN &
BONIS 1985), but the Vozartsi specimen is smaller.
Cf. Pachytragus sp. (Table. 9) Members of the Palaeoryx-Protoryx-Pachytragus group
are remarkably rare in the Republic of Macedonia; unfortunately, the provenance of a couple
of horn-cores is not definitely recorded. MMNH-Sk Voz-721 is the incomplete base of
another one; the frontal is extensively pneumatized, and the horn-core is but slightly
compressed, without keel or torsion. Its rather small size (50.5 x 47.5) is unlike <i>Protoryx</i> , but
not enough of the braincase is preserved to choose between the other two genera.
A frontlet on display (MMNH-Sk Voz-1709/69) belongs to Pikermicerus gaudryi.

Tragoportax sp. nov. A poorly preserved cranium (MMNH-Sk Voz-1592/68) and an unnumbered frontlet (only the horn-core bases are preserved with part of the frontal bone and the frontoparietal area) can be assigned to *Tragoportax* (see: SPASSOV & GERAADS 2004) (Plate 13, Figs. 1-3), but their frontal morphology differs from that of other representatives of the genus. A third skull specimen (MMNH-Sk Voz-1596/68) could belong to the same form, but the fronto- parietal area and the horn-cores are strongly damaged (Table 9). In front of the horn-cores, two long, prominent swellings, strongly convex in lateral view, but lacking keels, delimit the anterior part of the V-shaped intercornual area. Anterior swellings at the horn-core bases are not rare in other Tragoportax, but they are never so strong nor so clearly distinct from the horn-cores themselves. On the frontlet, the horn cores are subtriangular in section but were probably rather short and slender. The horn-cores are inserted closer to each other than in T. rugosifrons. Additional measurements: MMNH-Sk Voz-1592/68: occipital height = 50. Unnumbered frontlet - postcornual constriction = c. 82 mm, biorbital diameter = c. 128 mm. MMNH-Sk Voz-1596/68: L P3-M3 = 81.7. Perissodactyla. Equidae. Cremohipparion proboscideum. FORSTEN & GAREVSKI (1989) described from Vozartsi Hipparion schlosseri Antonius - dietrichi Wehrli, Hipparion proboscideum STUDER and Hipparion matthewi ABEL. We found only two of the described skulls (MMNH-Sk Voz 74/66, MMNH-Sk Voz 84/69) (Plate 5, Fig. 3) in MMNH-Sk; they belong to Cremohipparion proboscideum STUDER, but the great number of postcranials stored in the MMNH-Sk provide additional taxonomic information. The skulls have a very deep, subtriangular, and anteroventrally oriented preorbital fossa. The preorbital bar is short (16–18 mm). The lacrimal invades the posterior border of the preorbital fossa. The posterior pocketing is reduced and moderate in depth. Both skulls have well developed, deep and well delineated subnasal fossa. In MMNH-Sk Voz 74/66 it is separated from the buccinator fossa by a bar. The subnasal fossa depth in its posterior end is about 10 mm (MMNH-Sk Voz

1358

1359

1360

1361

1362

1363

1364

1365

1366

1367

1368

1369

1370

1371

1372

1373

1374

1375

1376

1377

1378

1379

1380

1381

74/66) and 13 mm (MMNH-Sk Voz 84/69). In the latter specimen, the posterior wall of the anterior fossa forms a very shallow pocket. The bar between the preorbital fossa and the subnasal one is wide (11 to 18 mm). The tooth row in MMNH-Sk Voz 74/66 is 146 mm. The enamel plication is complex with 16–22 plis, but the specimen 74/66 is an adult individual (the protocone is connected with the protoloph on P² and M¹) and probably the plis were much more numerous. MMNH-Sk Voz 84/69 is a senile specimen and the teeth are much erased. The pli caballin is single to double. The protocone is rounded to lingually flattened and labially rounded. Measurements: Table 11. Other species with subnasal fossa are C. mediterraneum and C. forstenae. The differences with C. forstenae are clear: in the latter species the preorbital bar is wider, the preorbital and subnasal fossae are shallow and poorly delineated. The species C. proboscideum and C. mediterraneum share similar features, but are easy to distinguish. C. mediterraneum has a shallower, less clearly delimited subnasal fossa; some specimens lack it. The enamel is less plicated. Some metapodials and other postcranials have been assigned by FORSTEN & GAREVSKI (1989) to Cremohipparion proboscideum, Hipparion dietrichi, Hipparion verae and to Cremohipparion matthewi, but only the first species is represented by skulls. The metapodials can be sorted into four groups (Text-fig. 9, 10; Tables 23, 24). Most postcranials belongs to a species with relatively long, robust metapodials (large mid-shaft width), phalanxes and large astragali; FORSTEN & GAREVSKI (1989) assigned them to C. proboscideum, but their diagrams are similar to those of some specimens of *Hippotherium brachypus* from Pikermi and Hadjidimovo, therefore they could belong to a large *Hippotherium*. We assign to a second

species metapodials that are as long as those of the first group but more slender, and

phalanxes and medium sized astragali. They show similarities with slender *Hippotherium*,

such as Hippotherium primigenium as well as with some samples of Cremohipparion with

1383

1384

1385

1386

1387

1388

1389

1390

1391

1392

1393

1394

1395

1396

1397

1398

1399

1400

1401

1402

1403

1404

1405

1406

more robust metapodials (C. proboscideum or C. mediterraneum from Hadjidimovo). The third group includes slender and long metapodials whose Simpson diagrams show similarities with Hipparion dietrichi from Nikiti-2, RZO, Perivolaki and Vathylakkos 2 (Koufos 1987 c, 1988a; VLACHOU & KOUFOS 2002, 2006; KOUFOS & VLACHOU, 2016). One metacarpal, one first phalanx and one astragalus can be referred to a fourth, small species. Their dimensions and proportions are close to C. macedonicum from Nikiti-2 and Perivolaki (VLACHOU & Koufos 2002, 2006; Koufos & Vlachou, 2016). In short, C. proboscideum is documented at Vozartsi by two skulls, but on the basis of metapodial data tree other hipparions were also present, but remain unidentified. Carnivora. Hyaenidae. Adcrocuta eximia: two hemimandibles (No. 66 & 69, Plate 7, Fig. 2; Table 2). The only evolved features are the mesially enlarged third premolars and the big depth of the mandible MMNH-Sk Voz-69. Other features are primitive: both p2 are ellipsoid in occlusal view and possess well marked anterior additional cuspid; the carnassial teeth are small. These features place the small sample from Vozartsi closer to the early Turolian than to the middle Turolian (N.S., in progress). Biochronology: BOUVRAIN & BONIS (2007) suggested that the locality could be of MN13 age but, given the presence of Cremohipparion proboscideum, Tragoportax rugosifrons, Prostrepsiceros cf. axiosi, Propotamochoerus and of an Adcrocuta eximia with some primitive features, the age of the locality could be MN11 or early MN12; in any case this conclusion is tentative. **II.5.5. Zmiovets 1** (**Zmijovec 1**) (a site situated close to Dolni Disan) Proboscidea. Anancus ?arvernensis. A mandible of Anancus arvernensis MMNH-Sk ZM 2734 was described by GAREVSKI & MLADENOVSKI (2006), which implies a Pliocene age

for the locality. Since Zmiovets is situated higher than the deposits at Dolni Disan yielding

1408

1409

1410

1411

1412

1413

1414

1415

1416

1417

1418

1419

1420

1421

1422

1423

1424

1425

1426

1427

1428

1429

1430

1431

Turolian fauna, and since Turolian *Anancus* sp. and Pliocene *Anancus arvernensis* are indistinguishable by lower dentition, this remains a plausible assumption.

II.5.6. Zmiovets 2

A new rich locality is discovered at the beginning of the century, between Dolni Disan and Zmiovets 1, at a lower stratigraphic position than the latter. Field identification of metapodials and teeth (N.S. and D.G.) indicates the presence of a slender *Hipparion* and of a bovid (*Tragoportax*?) as well as a probable Turolian age of the locality.

II.6. Morievo area (Mariovo)

Proboscidea. A mammutid molar fragment from Gradeshnitsa (Gradesnica) described by PETRONIJEVIC (1952) as *Mastodon borsoni* could indeed belong to the Pliocene "*Mammut*" *borsoni* but also to the Turolian species, "*Mammut*" *obliquelophus*. The two taxa cannot be distinguished by dental morphology (MARKOV 2004, 2008), and there is no associated fauna, so the age of the locality is not clear.

The recently published *Zygolophodon turicensis* from the coal mines near Bitola (GAREVSKI et al. 2012) is the only taxon occurring at the locality, and its age could be from early to late Miocene (MN3 to MN10).

Perissodactyla. Rhinocerotidae. *Chilotherium* sp. Several rhino tooth fragments in the NMNHS are labelled "Morievo region, Macedonia" (an area between Prilep and Bitola); their colouration indicates coal-bearing deposits. Some of them represent the westernmost point of distribution of *Chilotherium* s. str. in Europe and indicate a Turolian age (GERAADS & SPASSOV 2009). The protoloph has pinched lingual extremity isolating the protocone that is flattened and trapezoid. The antecrochet is long and curves lingually towards the valley entrance.

1458 Tapiridae. The scarce *Tapirus* sp. finds from Zivojno, near Bitola, could be late 1459 Turolian (VAN DER MADE & STEFANOVIC 2006) but the coal deposits near Bitola could have 1460 various ages (see above – *Zygolophodon turicensis*). 1461 Biochronology: We could conclude that the coal bearing deposits in the Morievo area 1462 could include different levels, some of which are of late Miocene age. 1463 1464 1465 II.7. VALANDOVO FOSSILIFEROUS AREA 1466 **II.7.1. Bashibos (Basibos)** (41°18'41"N, 22°40'30" E; elev. 284 m) 1467 Proboscidea. Choerolophodon sp. is represented by poorly preserved dental remains and 1468 tusks. 1469 Artiodactyla. Suidae: Microstonyx erymanthius. There are two specimens with complete 1470 upper tooth-rows: a maxillary MMNH-Sk BB 1540 and a skull MMNH-Sk BB 1541 (Plate 3, 1471 Fig. 1), the latter associated with a piece of mandible. Both specimens have a relatively large 1472 P1 (measurements: Table 6, 7), a character that might indicate an early age, although the 1473 evidence is admittedly weak. 1474 Bovidae: Protragelaphus sp. A skull with complete right horn-core (MMNH-Sk BB 1475 1645), plus frontlets (MMNH-Sk BB 1582, MMNH-Sk BB 1584, MMNH-Sk BB 1587, 1476 MMNH-Sk BB 6175) and isolated horn-cores (MMNH-Sk BB 2621 and unnumbered), are of 1477 rather large size (Plate 4, Figs 2, 4; Table 9). The horn-cores have little spiralisation but strong 1478 torsion, with a single very strong posterolateral keel. Their measurements compare fairly well 1479 with those of the Samos specimen SMNL 13279 of Protragelaphus skouzesi (ANDREE 1926, 1480 pl.15, fig.4-5) but the torsion is less strong, as the keel coils for only about a complete whorl. 1481 The horn-cores are more like those of the antelope from Grebeniki that PAVLOW (1913)

ascribed to P. skouzesi, but that BOUVRAIN (1978) identified as a probable Prostrepsiceros.

However, in the species from Bashibos, the supra-orbital pits are farther from the horn-cores, and the orbital rims less prominent. It also differs from *Helladodorcas* BOUVRAIN, 1997, from the late Vallesian of Pentalophos, in its larger size, less flange-like posterolateral keel, and narrower nasals; it is perhaps intermediate between this genus and *Protragelaphus*.

Cf. *Prostrepsiceros* sp. MMNH-Sk BB 2611 is a frontlet with incomplete horn-cores (Plate 4, Fig. 5). The frontal plane is little angled on the parietal one. The horn-cores are compressed (46.4 along the main axis x 35.7 perpendicularly), without keels, with their long axis inclined at 45° on the sagittal plane. They are not very inclined but curved backwards, and the divergence is moderate basally but increases upwards, so that the spiral is anticlockwise on the right horn-core. They resemble *P. fraasi* or *P. rotundicornis*, but the large size and strong compression are unlike these species (see, e.g., KOSTOPOULOS 2006, fig. 7); they fit within this genus, but it seems that they cannot be assigned to any known species.

Samotragus sp. The basal part of a left horn-core MMNH-Sk BB 2623 (Plate 4, Fig. 7; Table 9). has an anticlockwise torsion and an almost circular cross-section with only a lateral groove underlined by a small ridge posterior to it. This horn-core is slightly larger than those of *Oioceros*, and about the same size and morphology as those of *S. praecursor* from Ravin de la Pluie, but the systematics of this group of antelopes is still debated, and even the generic assignment is tentative.

Mesembriacerus sp. The posterior part of a small skull MMNH-Sk BB 2607 (Plate 4, Fig. 9; Table 9). differs from all bovids of the Balkano-Iranian Turolian. It is not crushed, except for the area of insertion of the horn-cores. The latter, of which only the base is preserved, appear still more inclined that they were in life, and were small, even compared with the size of the skull, and could indicate a female individual. They are oval in cross-section, with no keel or torsion, and little or no divergence. Details of the posterior part of the skull are obscured by sediment, but the auditory region was short and deep, with small bulla

and auditory foramen, quite narrow mastoid exposure dorsally, and broad occipital condyles. The basioccipital is short, with posterior tuberosities much lower (more ventral) than the basion, indicating stiffening of the atlanto-cranial articulation, as in several "ovibovines" practising frontal clashing ("Rammkampf"). The interparietal, which has a rough surface, has a very particular orientation: instead of being almost in the same plane as the parietals in lateral view, it is here, between the temporal lines, almost in the same plane as the occipital. The angle between occipital and parietal planes, which usually corresponds to the nuchal crest, corresponds here approximately (sutures are not visible) to the rostral border of the interparietal. Among late Miocene bovids of the Balkano-Iranian province, only Mesembriacerus from the Vallesian of Ravin de la Pluie in Greece (BOUVRAIN 1975; BOUVRAIN & BONIS 1984), of which D. KOSTOPOULOS kindly provided us with photos of the holotype, displays such morphology, and we are confident in assigning MMNH-Sk BB-2607 to this genus. Not enough of it is preserved for detailed comparisons, but it looks as if the interparietal of the Bashibos form was still more vertical than in Greece, so that we prefer not to attempt species identification, as this might reflect an age difference. Still, the presence of *Mesembriacerus* is indicative of an early age for the locality. Some measurements of MMNH-Sk BB 2607 (besides those given in Table 9) are: width over posterior tuberosities of occipital = 26.1; width over mastoids = 71.7; width over condyles 53.7; minimum width between temporal lines = 34.8. Gazella sp. is represented by two horn-cores, MMNH-Sk BB 2628 and MMNH-Sk BB 69/75. Perissodactyla. Equidae. Forsten & Garevski (1989) described from this locality Hipparion schlosseri Antonius - dietrichi Wehrli and H. verae Gabunia. Unfortunately, we could not find all of the skulls described by FORSTEN & GAREVSKI in the NMNH-Sk collections. Our revision of the available material indicates the presence of two species in the

1508

1509

1510

1511

1512

1513

1514

1515

1516

1517

1518

1519

1520

1521

1522

1523

1524

1525

1526

1527

1528

1529

1530

1531

1533 locality, but we identify them as Hippotherium brachypus HENSEL and Hipparion dietrichi 1534 WEHRLI. Hippotherium brachypus. The preorbital fossa of all three specimens of this species 1535 1536 (MMNH-Sk BB 223/77, MMNH-Sk BB 232/77 and MMNH-Sk BB 1551/70) is well 1537 delineated, subtriangular, deep to moderately deep and anteroposteriorly oriented. The 1538 preorbital bar is long (40.5 - 48.6 mm). The posterior pocketing is reduced, moderately to 1539 slightly deep. The nasal notch ends above the P1. The tooth row length is 139 mm for 1540 MMNH-Sk BB 1551/70 and 158 mm for MMNH-Sk BB 232/77. The enamel plication is 1541 moderate (in one very old specimen) to rich, with 15 to 29 plis on the premolars and 12 - 271542 plis on the molars. The pli caballin is single to double/complex. The protocone is oval to 1543 lingually flattened - labially rounded (measurements: Table 1). 1544 The specimens MMNH-Sk BB 232/77 and MMNH-Sk BB 1551/70 were described by 1545 FORSTEN & GAREVSKI (1989) as H. verae. In some of their features, they are close to the 1546 characteristics of *H. verae* (see above under Karaslari), but they present a number of 1547 differences: the preorbital bar is wider, the preorbital fossa is deeper, well outlined all around 1548 and the enamel plication is more complex, so they are considered here as *Hippotherium* 1549 brachypus. The specimen MMNH-Sk BB 223/77 was identified as H. dietrichi by FORSTEN & 1550 GAREVSKI (1989), but its fossa is deeper, with anterior rim and posterior pocket about 5 mm 1551 deep. 1552 The specimens of *Hippotherium brachypus* from Bashibos are clearly distinct from the 1553 Vallesian species *Hippotherium primigenium*: the preorbital fossa is shallower with less sharp 1554 anterior and dorsal borders and shallower posterior pocket, and deeper nasal notch. There is 1555 also a very short, robust metatarsal that could be assigned to this species. 1556 Hipparion dietrichi. We ascribe to this species the skull of a very old individual 1557 (MMNH-Sk BB 256/75), not mentioned by FORSTEN & GAREVSKI. Its preorbital bar is long

(41 mm). The preorbital fossa is shallow, subtriangular, anteroventrally oriented and weakly delineated; it is not pocketed posteriorly but has a posterior rim. The nasal notch ends above the anterior rim of P2. The tooth row length is 137 mm, the enamel plication is simple, the pli caballin is vestigial, the protocone is rounded to lingually flattened - labially rounded, almost connected with the protoloph on P2, P3 and M3. The muzzle was probably short (distance P2-C is 50 mm). All these features allow identifying this skull as *H. dietrichi*. Measurements: Table 12). Rhinocerotidae. Dihoplus pikermiensis. A complete skull with attached mandible on display shows the typical features listed by GERAADS (1988) and GERAADS & SPASSOV (2009), except that the nasal bones are short, with the hooked profile of those of Ceratotherium neumayri, but this is probably an effect of deformation (Plate 11, Fig. 4; Table 13). There are well preserved cylindrical i2s, small but not minute, with a wear facet almost perpendicular to the long axis of the tooth, which is almost vertically inserted. There is no evidence of any upper incisor, but this absence is less secure than at Karaslari, because the premaxillae of the Bashibos specimen are less well preserved. Carnivora. Hyaenidae. Adcrocuta eximia. The material includes mandibles No 1781 & 785 (old 67); and two maxillary fragment with P3-P4 and P2-P3, respectively (Plate 7, Fig. 1 and Plate 12, Fig. 4; Tables 2, 14). The upper premolars have a strong lingual cingulum and P3 has a very strong anterior additional cusp. These features could be considered as primitive. The mandibles have also primitive features, including very small carnassials and elongated p3s, like early Turolian samples. Felidae. Yoshi aff. garevskii (Plate 9, Fig. 1; Table 15). A fragment of right mandible has all its teeth broken except p4. The symphysis is not preserved but was probably shallow, in contrast with the Machairodontinae. The diastema is short with moderately steep mandible upper edge between c and p3 in lateral view. The middle part of the ventral border of corpus

1558

1559

1560

1561

1562

1563

1564

1565

1566

1567

1568

1569

1570

1571

1572

1573

1574

1575

1576

1577

1578

1579

1580

1581

is convex. Two mental foramina are visible under the mesial and distal ends of p3. The teeth are not serrated. The canine has a robust root and strong distal and lingual ridges. Between them, the lingual surface is flat; the mesiolabial surface is rounded but the distolabial one is relatively flat with a sharp change of curvature between them. There is no trace of p2. The third premolar lacks an anterior additional cuspid; p4 is rather symmetrical with sub-equal mesial and distal accessory cuspids. The lingual border of the carnassial tooth is very slightly concave.

1583

1584

1585

1586

1587

1588

1589

1590

1591

1592

1593

1594

1595

1596

1597

1598

1599

1600

1601

1602

1603

1604

1605

1606

1607

In its size the mandible is comparable with the small Machairodontinae of the Promegantereon-Paramachaerodus group, as well as with the representatives of the genus Yoshi Spassov & Geraads, 2015 whose type-species is Y. garevskii, based upon a skull from Karaslari, but which also includes the small forms previously included in *Metailurus* ZDANSKY. The Bashibos mandible differs from *Paramachaerodus* in the lack of tooth crenulations, in the clearly shorter c - p3, the steeper upper edge of the diastema, and the deeper symphysis (canine inserted at a higher level), as well as in the smaller size. Promegantereon (perhaps an early grade of Paramachaerodus) also lacks tooth crenulations, but in other features it also differs from the Bashibos mandible, especially in the size and shape of the diastema, related to the deep machairodontin symphysis. In addition Promegantereon (i.e. its only species P. ogygia) usually retains a vestigial p2 (SALESA et al. 2010). The Bashibos mandible corresponds to the morphology of the mandibles described as "Metailurus parvulus" from Greece (ROUSSIAKIS et al. 2006 and references therein), which in fact belong to Yoshi (Y. garevskii SPASSOV & GERAADS, 2015 and Y. minor [ZDANSKY, 1924]). The Bashibos specimen is slightly larger than Y. minor and closer to Y. garevskii, but data are scarce. The Bashibos mandible is the earliest known member of the genus.

Paramachaerodus sp. MMNH-Sk BB 93/69 is an upper canine whose tip is missing. The two cutting edges are sharp and located at the mesial and distal edges of the crown, so

that the crown cross-section is a very elongated ellipse, with weakly rounded labial surface and almost flat lingual surface (mesiodistal x labiolingual diameters: 16.0 x 10.5; restored length about 40 mm?). The crenulations on the distal edge are weaker than usual in this genus.

Biochronology. None of the bovids from Bashibos looks identical with those of the well-known Turolian of the Balkano-Iranian province, including those of the Axios Valley that is geographically very close, and a Turolian age is very unlikely. However, the hipparions fit better the first half of the Turolian. The evolutionary stage of *Adcrocuta* indicates an age probably older than the middle Turolian and the *Paramachaerodus* morphology fits with the early Turolian stage. Pending more detailed study of this material or further collecting at the locality, we consider that Bashibos is the earliest site of the R. of Macedonia upper Miocene, and is probably of Vallesian or lowermost Turolian age.

II.7.2. Prsten (41°18'50"N, 22°39'43" E; elev. 246 m)

Proboscidea: Choerolophodon sp. A juvenile skull with mandible, as yet unprepared.

Artiodactyla. Suidae. *Microstonyx erymanthius*. This suid is relatively abundant at this site, with two maxillae, two palates, and a mandible (measurements: Tables 6, 7). Specimen C has a P1 coming into contact with P2 whereas specimen B definitely lacks this tooth. This species is discussed in more detail under "Karaslari". As noted above, the evolution of *Microstonyx* remains imperfectly understood, but the presence of a P1 would support an early age for Prsten.

Perissodactyla. Rhinocerotidae: *Dihoplus* cf. *pikermiensis*: The anterior part of a skull 28.8.1997 can unambiguously be assigned to *Dihoplus* rather than to *Ceratotherium neumayri* because of its long nasals, infra-orbital foramen situated anteriorly (above the posterior root of P3), non sloping ventral orbital border, and by the tooth characters: well-marked paracone fold and lingually pinched protocone and hypocone. Measurements are given in Table 13. The

robustness of the zygomatic arch is reminiscent of *D. schleiermacheri*, a Vallesian species probably ancestral to the Turolian *D. pikermiensis*, but the nasal notch is slightly deeper than in the former species. Unfortunately the premaxillae are missing.

Carnivora. Hyaenidae. *Adcrocuta eximia*. Skull MMNH-Sk Prst 1397/78 is well-preserved but lacks teeth except the right P2 and P3 (Table 14). The premolars are taller than in the Vallesian material but the P3 is less broad mesially than in the middle Turolian forms. In a left mandible fragment Pr2 (Plate 7, Fig. 4; Table 2), the p2 and p3 are narrow, as in the teeth from Kavakdere (early Turolian of Turkey – pers. measurements in MNHNP): the p2 is piriform in occlusal view, but with vestigial anterior additional cuspid and elongated talonid; p3 is broad posteriorly, with marked anterior additional cuspid and elongated talonid. Most of these features demonstrate a rather primitive condition (N.S., in progress).

Adcrocuta sp. The right half-mandible Pr1 with p2-m1 (ramus partially preserved, corpus destroyed and reconstructed in plaster) has an unusual size and morphology (Plate 7, Fig. 5). The area of p1 is missing. Due to the reconstruction the p2-p3 are artificially uplifted and exceedingly inclined backward. Measurements (L x W): $c = 14.3 \times 12.4$; $p2 = 12.8 \times 9.8$; $p3 = 16.2 \times 11.7$; $p4 = 19.4 \times 12.0$; $m1 = 25.0 \times 11.9$; p2-m1 = 72.2

All teeth are very small (see below). The canine is thick labio-lingually. Its mesiolingual ridge is located more distally than usual in *Adcrocuta eximia*. The p2 is short and broad, broadest distally, and with much reduced posterior cuspid. There is no an anterior additional cuspid, but a thick cingulum circles the mesial border of the tooth. The p3 is stubby, and broadest mesially. The p4 is also enlarged mesially, with an unusually well marked and strong cingulum along the lingual side. The m1 is broad, with a short talonid, almost unicuspid: the entoconid is a minute cuspid on the lingual side, while the hypoconid is centrally located. The broad and short premolars, the p4 without entoconid and the m1 without metaconid strongly differ from *Lycyaena*, as well as from "*Chasmaporthetes bonisi*"

(KOUFOS 1987d), which is synonymized with Adcrocuta eximia by WERDELIN & SOLOUNIAS (1991), while the absence of m2 and the much smaller m1 talonid differ strongly from Hyaenictis graeca. The tooth-row as well as individual teeth are smaller than in Turolian Adcrocuta (Text-fig 12, 16), but also than in A. eximia leptoryncha from the Vallesian of Ravin de la Pluie (Greece) (Bonis & Koufos 1981), or than in a specimen of Lycyaena chaeretis from Kalimantsi, Bulgaria. This difference in size with other Adcrocuta is stronger on the premolars than on m1, which is also a distinctive feature of the Pr1 mandible. On the other hand the premolars are similar in morphology to those of the middle Turolian A. eximia, but p4 and m1 have strong lingual cingula. This combination of features probably warrant distinction at a taxonomic level higher than the subspecies, because typical Adcrocuta eximia is present in the locality (Text-fig. 16; Table 2), but we refrain from naming a new species because of the fragmentary character of this single mandible. Felidae. Machairodus sp. A brief description of a Machairodus material (neurocranium and several teeth) from Prsten was published (but poorly illustrated) by GAREVSKI (1992) as M. aphanistus. The upper canine is moderately long mesiodistally (c. 30.5: Table 21) and thick (13.3). Its compression index (43.6) fits better M. aphanistus than M. giganteus but their ranges widely overlap (Koufos 2000; Roussiakis & Theodorou 2003). The P3 (22.8 x 10.5) is smaller than at Batallones-1 (MN10) but close to the mean value of all upper Miocene Machairodus. Its low posterior additional cusp and weakly expressed cingular mesial and distal cusps are plesiomorphic characters. The dimensions of the P4 Prst-128 (GAREVSKI 1992, fig. 2B) are 40 x 18.1, those of the P4 Prst-125 (GAREVSKI 1992, fig. 2A) are 43 x 18.7 (Plate 9, Fig. 6); thus these teeth are larger than in Vallesian forms. The parastyle-preparastyle complex is moderately developed. The protocone of Prst-128 is strong, slightly weaker than the protocone of the Batallones-1 M. aphanistus (ANTÓN et al. 2004, fig.7L). The postcanine teeth lack crenulations, as in pre-

1658

1659

1660

1661

1662

1663

1664

1665

1666

1667

1668

1669

1670

1671

1672

1673

1674

1675

1676

1677

1678

1679

1680

1681

Turolian forms (SOTNIKOVA 1991), but some Turolian specimens may also lack crenulations. The lack of post-canine crenulations and a moderately developed parastyle-preparastyle complex correspond to the *Neomachairodus* of SOTNIKOVA & NOSKOVA (2004), which is replaced in the Middle Turolian by *Amphimachairodus*, thus suggesting an early age, perhaps very end of the Vallesian or MN11, but the large size rules out an earlier age.

Biochronology: The age of the locality could be close to that of Bashibos; it is probably not later than the early Turolian, but could even be late Vallesian.

Discussion and conclusions

This study shows that the fauna investigated might cover the time span from the early Miocene to the late Turolian/early Ruscinian, but most of the fossils represent middle Turolian mammals (Text-fig. 17). There are at least 57 identified species, discovered in 25 different paleontological sites (Table 25), most of them being from the Vardar and Strumitsa river basins, but also from the Morievo, Valandovo and Delchevo regions. The richest localities are the middle Turolian localities of Karaslari (with 22 species) and Kiro Kuchuk (17 sp.). The presence of *Zygolophodon turicensis* indicates that the Nerezi locality and the lower levels of Morievo coal-bearing area are the oldest of the studied localities. The presence of *Anancus* sp. (whose earliest occurrence in Europe is probably at Azmaka in Bulgaria: SPASSOV et al. 2012) in Dolni Disan, Prevalets, and Zvegor places these localities (together with Stamer, where *Sivatherium* was discovered) among the youngest ones, with an age close to the Miocene/Pliocene boundary.

The rich fossil material stored in the Macedonian Museum of Natural History, Skopje, provides new, important data on the Turolian Hipparion fauna of the Pikermian biome (sensu Solounias et al. 1999) of the Balkans, previously mostly documented in Greece and Bulgaria. The faunas of the Republic of Macedonia display the typical features of the Balkan

Turolian mammal fauna. The main results by taxonomic groups can be summarized as follows.

Among the Primates, it seems that, contrary to previous statements (RADOVIĆ et al. 2013), *Mesopithecus* is only represented by its typical, more derived stage, *M. pentelicus*.

Among the Carnivora, besides the widespread *Adcrocuta eximia*, another species of the genus is probably present at Prsten, one of the earliest locality investigated, with a likely age close to the Vallesian/Turolian transition; *Machairodus* s.l. is represented by two different evolutionary stages – "*Neomachairodus*" and "*Amphimachairodus*" (see above on PRE and PRS fauna); *Paramachaerodus* sp. from Kiro Kuchuk demonstrates transitional features between *Promegantereon* and the younger form *Paramachaerodus*, showing that generic distinction between these taxa is perhaps not necessary.

The rhinoceros *Dihoplus* is much more common than *Ceratotherium*, and the Morievo region represents the western-most distribution of *Chilotherium* on the continent. Kiro Kuchuk and Karaslari demonstrate the rare co-occurrence of the Schizotheriinae and Chalicotheriinae, previously definitely documented only at Hadjidimovo and Azmaka in Bulgaria (GERAADS et al. 2001; SPASSOV et al. 2012); it thus seems to be a typical feature of the Balkan Turolian faunas. Our revision of the Equidae does not confirm the presence of *Hipparion verae* mentioned by FORSTEN & GAREVSKI (1989) at Karaslari; *Cremohipparion mediterraneum* is surprisedly rare in the Turolian localities in comparison with *H. brachypus* and *H. dietrichi*; the earliest *H. brachypus* (the samples from BB and KK) demonstrate the richest enamel plications and presence of a complex pli caballin on the upper cheeck teeth.

The diversity of the Bovidae is comparable to that found in Bulgarian and Greek localities, and greater than that of central Europe. Their most clear feature is the abundance of spiral-horned forms (especially *Palaeoreas*, *Prostrepsiceros*, and *Protragelaphus*), as in Greece and Bulgaria but, as in Bulgaria but in contrast to Greece (and Turkey), the large

forms of the *Pachytragus-Protoryx* group are extremely rare. A probably new species of *Tragoportax* is present at Vozartsi, in addition to more common forms of this genus. Some rare bovids, like" *Samodorcas*" cf. *kuhlmanni*? and Cf. *Pachytragus* sp. were found in the same locality, which might be of early Turolian age. The identification of *Samotragus* and *Mesembriacerus* suggest that the localities of Bashibos and Prsten could be still earlier, and perhaps even Vallesian

Our analyses of the faunas of the R. of Macedonia contribute to the anatomy, taxonomy and evolution of several taxa such as *Simocyon*, several hipparion species, *Propotamochoerus, Bohlinia, Metailurus*, and allowed the description of some new ones. The new species *Sivatherium garevskii* was described (GERAADS 2009) from the locality of Zvegor, whose age could be close to the Miocene/Pliocene boundary, thus representing an early member of the genus. The genus *Yoshi* was created (SPASSOV & GERAADS 2005) on the basis of a complete skull and mandible discovered among the very rich fauna from Karaslari. Its probable first occurrence is at Bashibos.

The rich faunas of Karaslari and Kiro Kuchuk help reconstructing the middle Turolian environment in the Vardar region. The most widespread landscapes could be, after the supposed ecological requirements of the mammals, the bushlands and open woodlands inhabited by schizotheriine and chalicotheriine chalicotheres, giraffids (mainly *Bohlinia*), the monkey *Mesopithecus*, the rhino *Dihoplus pikermiensis*, the bovids *Tragoportax* and *Pikermicerus*, and the grazer *Choerolophodon* (KONIDARIS et al. 2016), while *Microstonyx* could have dwelled closer to the paleo-Vardar river.

The ever-increasing accumulation of data in recent years help improving the picture of the semi-open landscapes (scrublands and woodlands) of the Turolian of Eurasia (FORTELIUS et al. 2006). They were probably widespread in South-Eastern Europe, the eastern part (at least) of Central Europe, the Northern peri-Pontic area, the Middle East, and large territories

of Central Asia. However, their faunal composition was not uniform. Faunal similarities (especially regarding spiral-horned bovids and giraffids) support the existence of a Balkan-Iranian (Greco-Irano-Afghan) zoogeographic province (Bonis et al. 1992), whose westernmost part is now better known, thanks to the field work conducted in the late Miocene of the Republic of Macedonia and our analyses of the resulting collections. The Northern peri-Pontic region shares a number of similarities with this Balkan-Iranian province, especially regarding the hipparions, giraffids, bovids (spiral-horned antelopes, boselaphins, gazelles) (KOROTKEVICH 1988; KRAKHMALNAYA 1996), and it may be that it was part of this mega-province as well; further research may refine the characteristics of the various sub-provinces. Our investigations confirm the typical features of the Balkan part of this province, characterized by *Choerolophodon pentelici*, *Mesopithecus pentelici*, *Bohlinia attica*, *Palaeoreas lindermayeri*, *Tragoportax*, *Pikermicerus Dihoplus pikermiensis*, *Hippotherium brachypus* (together with the lack of *Hipparion verae* and *C. moldavicum*), as well as the typical association of schizotheriines and chalicotheriines.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

N.S., D.G., L.H. and G.M. are especially grateful to S. Petkovski for providing access to collections of the MMNH-Sk and for his much appreciated help there. Travel funds were provided by the Development of the Bulgarian Science Foundation (Bulgarian Academy of Science) and CNRS. G.M. gratefully acknowledges NHMUK and the financial support of the European Union for a visit to London (SYNTHESYS GB-TAF-1641) and P. Tassy (MNHN) for discussion of the Nerezi specimens. L.H. is thankful for the financial support of the European Union for her visits to Vienna (SYNTHESYS AT-TAF-2283) and London (SYNTHESYS GB-TAF-1678). N.S. is grateful to S. STOYANOV (Univ. of Forestry, Sofia) for the help in the statistical processing of numerical data (hyaenid material). Thanks to

1783 P. Brewer, S. Chapman, A. Currant, J. Hooker (NHMUK), G. Daxner-Höck (NHMW) 1784 and P. TASSY (MNHN) for access to collections. The comments of the reviewers (Nikos Solounias and Sevket Sen) greatly improved the manuscript. 1785 1786 References 1787 1788 ABEL, O. (1904): Über einen Fund von Sivatherium giganteum bei Adrianopel. – Sitzungs. 1789 math. nat. Kl. kaiser. Akad. Wissensch. 113: 1–22. 1790 ALBA, D., DELSON, E., CARNEVALE, G., COLOMBERO, S., DELFINO, M., GIUNTELLI, P., PAVIA, 1791 M.& PAVIA, G. (2014): First joint record of *Mesopithecus* and cf. *Macaca* in the 1792 Miocene of Europe. – J. Hum. Evol. **67** (1): 1–18. 1793 ALCALÁ, L., 1994. Macromamíferos neógenos de la fosa de Alfambra-1794 Teruel. Ph.D. thesis. Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales. Madrid, 554 p. 1795 ANDERSSON, K. (1998): Redescription of the Uppsalamaterial of Metailurus, with a review of 1796 the genus. – Master of Science Thesis, Uppsala University, 30 pp. 1797 ANDERSSON, K. & WERDELIN, L. (2005): Carnivora from the late Miocene of Lantian, China. 1798 – Vert. PalAsiat. **43**: 256–271. 1799 ANDREE, J. (1926): Neue Cavicornier aus dem Pliocän von Samos. – Palaeontographica 67: 1800 135–175. 1801 ANDREWS, P., HARRISON, E., DELSON, E., BERNOR, R.L. & MARTIN, L.(1996): Distribution 1802 and biochronology of European and southwest Asian Miocene Catarrhines. – In: BERNOR R.L., FAHLBUSCH, V. & MITTMANN, H.W. (eds): The evolution of Western 1803 1804 Eurasian Neogene mammal Faunas. – 168–207, Columbia University Press. 1805 ANQUETIN, J., ANTOINE, P.-O. & TASSY, P. (2007): Middle Miocene Chalicotheriidae 1806 (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) from France, with a discussion on chalicotheriine 1807 phylogeny. – Zool. J. Linn. Soc. **151**: 577-608.

1808	ANTÓN, M., SALESA, M.J., MORALES, J. & TURNER, A. (2004): First known complete skulls of
1809	the scimitar-toothed cat Machairodus aphanistus (Felidae, Carnivora) from the
1810	Spanish late Miocene site of Batallones-1. – J. Vert. Paleont. 24 (4): 957–969.
1811	Athanassiou, A., Roussiakis, S.J., Giaourtsakis, I.X., Theodorou , G.E. & Iliopoulos,
1812	G. (2014): A new hornless rhinoceros of the genus Acerorhinus (Perissodactyla:
1813	Rhinocerotidae) from the upper Miocene of Kerassiá (Euboea, Greece), with a
1814	revision of related forms. – Palaeontographica A 303 (1–3): 23–59.
1815	BAKALOV, P. (1934): Die Hipparionenfauna von Kalimanzi und Kromidovo, bezirk Sweti
1816	Wratsch, SW Bulgarien, part I (Fissipedia und Suidae). – Jahrbuch der Universität.
1817	Sofia, Phys. mat. Fak. 30 (3): 313–349.
1818	BAKALOV, P. & NIKOLOV, I. (1962): Les fossiles de Bulgarie – X – Mammifères tertiaries.
1819	Académie des Sciences de Bulgarie, 170 p.
1820	BEAUMONT, G. DE (1975): Recherches sur les félidés (mammifères, carnivores) du Pliocène
1821	inférieur des sables à <i>Dinotherium</i> des environs d'Eppelsheim (Rheinhessen). – Arch.
1822	Sci. 28 (3): 369–405.
1823	BEAUMONT, G.D. & MEIN, P. (1972): Recherches sur le genre Plioviverrops Kretzoi
1824	(Carnivora, ?Hyaenidae). – C. R. Séances Soc. Phys. Hist. Nat. Genève 25 (3): 383–
1825	394.
1826	BERNOR, R.L., KOVAR-EDER, J., SUC, J.P. & TOBIEN, H. (1990): A contribution to the
1827	evolutionary history of European late Miocene age hipparionines (Mammalia :
1828	Equidae). – Paléobiol. Contin. 17: 291–309.
1829	BERNOR, R., KOUFOS, G., WOODBURNE, M. & FORTELIUS, M. (1996): The evolutionary
1830	history and biochronology of European and southwest Asian late Miocene and
1831	Pliocene hipparionine horses. – In: BERNOR R.L., FAHLBUSCH, V. & MITTMANN, H.W.

1832	(eds): The evolution of Western Eurasian Neogene mammal Faunas. 307–338,
1833	Columbia University Press.
1834	BERNOR, R.L., (1985): Systematic and evolutionary relationships of the hipparionine horses
1835	from Maragheh, Iran (late Miocene, Turolian age). — Palaeovertebrata 4: 15:173–269
1836	BERNOR, R., MIRZAI ATAABADI M, MESHIDA, K., & WOLF, D. (2016): The Maragheh
1837	hipparions, late Miocene of Azarbaijan, Iran. –In Mirzaie Ataabadi M.& Fortelius M.
1838	(eds.): The late Miocene Maragheh mammal fauna; results of recent multidisciplinary
1839	research. Palaeobiodiversity and Palaeoenvironments, 96(3) 453–488.
1840	BERNOR, R., TOBIEN, H., HAYEK, LA., MITTMANN, HW. (1997): Hippotherium
1841	primigenium (Equidae, Mammalia) from the late Miocene of Höwenegg (Hegau,
1842	Germany). – Andrias 10 : 1–230.
1843	Bonis, L. de & Bouvrain, G. (1996): Suidae du Miocène supérieur de Grèce. – Bulletin du
1844	Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle 18 (1): 107–132.
1845	Bonis, L. De, Koufos, G. (1981): A new hyaenid (Carnivora, Mammalia) in the Vallesian
1846	(late Miocene) of northern Greece Sci. An., Fac. Phys. Math., Univ. Thessaloniki
1847	21 : 79-94.
1848	BONIS, L. DE & KOUFOS, G.D. (1991): The late Miocene small carnivores of the Lower Axios
1849	Valley (Macedonia, Greece). – Geobios 24 (2): 361–379.
1850	BONIS, L. DE, BOUVRAIN, G., GERAADS, D. & KOUFOS, G.D. (1990): New remains of
1851	Mesopithecus (Primates, Cercopithecidae) from the late Miocene of Macedonia with
1852	the description of a new species. – J. Vert. Paleont. 10: 473–483.
1853	BONIS, L. DE, BOUVRAIN, G., KOUFOS, G.D. & TASSY, P. (1995): Un crâne de chalicothère
1854	(Mammalia, Perissodactyla) du Miocène supérieur de Macédoine (Grèce) : remarques
1855	sur la phylogénie des Chalicotheriinae. – Palaeovertebrata 24: 135–176.

1856 BONIS, L. DE, BRUNET, M., HEINTZ, E. & SEN, S. (1992): La province gréco-irano-afghane et la 1857 répartition des faunes mammaliennes au Miocène supérieur. – Paleontol. i Evol. 24-1858 **25**: 103–112. 1859 BOUVRAIN, G. (1975): Un nouveau bovidé du Vallésien de Macédoine (Grèce). – C. R. Acad. 1860 Sci. **280**: 1357–1359. 1861 BOUVRAIN, G. (1978): Protagelaphus theodori n. sp. (Mammalia, Artiodactyla, Bovidae) du Miocène de Macédoine. - Géol. Médit. 5 (2): 229-236. 1862 1863 BOUVRAIN, G. (1979): Un genre nouveau de Bovidé de la fin du Miocène. – Bull. Soc. Géol. 1864 Fr. **21** (4): 507–511. 1865 BOUVRAIN, G. (1980): Le genre *Palaeoreas* (Mammalia, Artiodactyla, Bovidae), systématique et extension géographique. – Paläont. Z. **54** (1–2): 55–65. 1866 1867 BOUVRAIN, G. (1997): Les Bovidés du Miocène supérieur de Pentalophos (Macédoine, 1868 Grèce). – Münchner Geowiss. Abh. 34: 5–22. 1869 BOUVRAIN, G. & BONIS L. DE (1984): Le genre Mesembriacerus (Bovidae, Artiodactyla): un 1870 oviboviné primitif du Vallésien de Macédoine (Grèce). – Palaeovertebrata 14: 201– 1871 223. 1872 BOUVRAIN, G. & BONIS, L. DE (1985): Le genre Samotragus (Artiodactyla, Bovidae), une 1873 antilope du Miocène supérieur de Grèce. – Ann. Paléont. 71: 257–299. 1874 BOUVRAIN, G. & BONIS, L. DE (2007): Ruminants (Mammalia, Artiodactyla: Tragulidae, 1875 Cervidae, Bovidae) des gisements du Miocène supérieur (Turolien) de Dytiko (Grèce). Ann. Paléont. 93: 121-147. 1876 1877 Brunner, J. (1939): Neue Funde zur Hipparionfauna von Veles in Mazedonien. Anzeiger 1878 Akad. Wissensch. Wien, Math.-Naturwiss. Kl. 2: 11–13. 1879 CHRISTIANSEN, P. (2013): Phylogeny of the sabertoothed felids (Carnivora: Felidae: 1880 Machairodontinae). – Cladistics 29: 543–559.

1881 ĆIRIĆ, A. (1957): Pikermiska fauna iz okoline titovog velesa. – Bull. Mus. Hist. Nat. Pays 1882 serbe A 8: 1–82. 1883 ĆIRIĆ, A. (1964): About *Tragoceras velesis* n.sp. from pikermian fauna surroundings of Titov 1884 Veles. – Geol. Maced. 1 (1): 35–38. 1885 COOMBS, M.C. (1989): Interrelationships and diversity in the Chalicotheriidae. – In: 1886 PROTHERO, D.R. & SCHOCH, R.M. (eds): The evolution of Perissodactyls. – 438–457, 1887 Clarendon press. 1888 CRUSAFONT-PAIRO, M., G. PETTER, G. (1969). Contribution à l'étude des Hyaenidae. La sous-1889 famille des Ictitheriinae. – Ann. Paléont. 35 (1): 89–127. DELSON, E. (1973): Fossil colobine monkeys of the circum-Mediterranean region and the 1890 1891 evolutionary history of the Cercopithecidae (Primates, Mammalia). – Ph.D., Columbia 1892 University. 1893 DELSON, E. (1974): Preliminary review of cercopithecid distribution in the circum 1894 Mediterranean region. – Mém. BRGM 78: 131–135. 1895 DELSON, E., THOMAS, H., & SPASSOV, N. (2005): Fossil old world monkeys (Primates, 1896 Cercopithecidae) from the Pliocene of Dorkovo, Bulgaria. – Geodiversitas 27: 159– 1897 166. 1898 Delson, E., Terranova, C., Jungers, W., Sargis, E., Jablonski, N., Dechow, P. (2000): 1899 Body Mass in Cercopithecidae (Primates, Mammalia): Estimation and Scaling in 1900 Extinct and Extant Taxa. – Anthrop. Papers Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist. 83: 1–159. 1901 EISENMANN, V., ALBERDI, M., DE GIULI, C., STAESCHE, U.(1988): Studying fossil Horses. – 1902 In: WOODBURNE, M. & P. SONDAAR (eds.) "New York International Hipparion 1903 Conference, 1981", pp 71. 1904 FORSTÉN, A.-M. (1980): Hipparions of the Hipparion mediterraneum group from south-1905 western USSR. – Ann. Zool. Fennici, 17:27-38.

1906 FORSTÉN, A.-M. & KRAKHMALNAYA, T., (1997): The hipparions (Mammalia, Equidae) from 1907 the late Miocene of Cherevinchoe on the northern Black Sea coast, Ukraina. — Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Monatshefte, H8: 489–499. 1908 1909 FORSTEN, A. & GAREVSKI, R. (1989): Hipparions (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) from 1910 Macedonia (Yugoslavia). – Geol. Maced. 3 (2): 159–206. 1911 FORTELIUS, M., HEISSIG, K., SARAC, G. & SEN, S. (2003): Rhinocerotidae (Perissodactyla). – 1912 In: FORTELIUS, M., KAPPELMAN, J., SEN, S., AND BERNOR, R. L. (eds): Geology and 1913 paleontology of the Miocene Sinap Formation, Turkey. – 282–307, Columbia 1914 University Press. 1915 FORTELIUS M., ERONEN J., LIU L., PUSHKINA D., TESAKOV A., VISLOBOKOVA I., ZHANG Z. 1916 (2006). Late Miocene and Pliocene large land mammals and climatic changes in Eurasia. – Palaeogeog., Palaeoclim., Palaeoecol. 238: 219–227. 1917 1918 GABUNIA, L. (1959): Histoire du genre Hipparion. – Izdatelstvo Akademii Nauk SSSR, 570 1919 pp. [in Russian] 1920 GABUNIA, L. (1979): New name about Hipparion gromovae. - Palaeontologicheskii Zhurnal, 1921 **1**: 136. [in Russian] 1922 GAREVSKI, R. (1956): Neue Fundstellen von Pikermifauna in Mazedonien. – Acta Mus. 1923 Maced. Sci. Nat. 4 (35): 67–96. 1924 GAREVSKI, R. (1960a): Neuer Fund von Mastodon in den Diatomeenschichten bei Barovo 1925 (Kavadarci) Mazedonien. – Fragm. Balcan. Mus. Maced. Sci. Nat. 3 (16): 133–144 GAREVSKI, R. (1960b): Die Mastodonreste beim Bahnhof Caska in Mazedonien. – Acta Mus. 1926 1927 Mac. Sci. Nat. 7 (4): 75–87. 1928 GAREVSKI, R. (1974a): Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Pikermifauna Mazedoniens. Fossilreste der 1929 Simocyoniden. – Fragm. Balcan. Mus. Maced. Sci. Nat. 9 (19): 189–197.

1930	GAREVSKI, R. (1974b): Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Pikermifauna Mazedoniens. Fossilreste der
1931	Chalicotheriiden. – Fragm. Balcan. Mus. Maced. Sci. Nat. 9 (20): 201–206.
1932	GAREVSKI, R. (1976a): Ein weiterer Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Pikermifauna Mazedoniens. Die
1933	Dinotherienreste von der Umgebung T. Veles. – Fragm. Balcan. Mus. Maced. Sci.
1934	Nat. 10 (3): 21–25.
1935	GAREVSKI, R. (1976b): Weiterer Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Pikermifauna Mazedoniens. Der
1936	Mastodonschädel von der Umgebung des Dorfes Dolni Disan (Negotino). – Posebno
1937	Izdanie Musei Macedonici Scientiarum Naturalium 7: 21–26.
1938	GAREVSKI, R. (1985): Die Mastodonreste aus Umgebung der Stadt Skopje in Mazedonien. –
1939	Razprave IV, Razreda Sazu, 26, Zbornik Ivana Rakovca / Ivan Rakovec Volume 26:
1940	61–68. Ljubljana.
1941	GAREVSKI, R. (1989a): Ein Aceratherium Schädel von dem Dorf Karaslari in der Umgebung
1942	von Titov Veles (Mazedonien). – Geol. Maced. 4 (1): 133–150.
1943	GAREVSKI, R. (1989b): Hipparions (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) from Macedonia
1944	(Yugoslavia). – Geol. Maced. 3 (2): 159-206.
1945	GAREVSKI, R. (1991): Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Pikermifauna Mazedoniens. Die Nashornreste
1946	(Mammalia). – Geol. Maced. 5 (1): 39–48.
1947	GAREVSKI, R. (1992): Die Machairodusreste von der Fundstelle Prsten in der Umgebung
1948	Valandovo (Mazedonien). – Geol. Maced. 6 (1): 61–70.
1949	GAREVSKI, R. (1997): Ein Schädel samt Unterkiefer von Choerolophodon pentelici Gaudry &
1950	Lartet aus der Pikermifauna von Makedonien. – Geološki zbornik 12: 247–264.
1951	GAREVSKI, R. & MLADENOVSKI, G. (2006): Weiterer Fund von Mastodon aus Lokalität
1952	"Zmijovec" beim Dorfe Dolni Disan, Kreis Negotino Mus. Maz. Sci. Nat.
1953	Anniversary proceedings (1926–2006); 31–37.

1954 GAREVSKI, R. & MARKOV, G.N. (2011): A Deinotherium gigantissimum (Mammalia, 1955 Proboscidea) palate with deciduous dentition from the area of Veles, Republic of Macedonia. – Paläont. Z. **85** (1): 33–36. 1956 1957 GAREVSKI, R. & ZAPFE, H. (1983): Weitere Chalicotheriiden-Funde aus der Pikermifauna von 1958 Titov Veles (Mazedonien, Jugoslawien). – Acta Mus. Mac. Sci. Nat. 17 (1): 1–19. 1959 GAREVSKI, R., GAREVSKA, B., & MARKOV, G.N. (2012): Remains of Zygolophodon turicensis 1960 (Proboscidea, Mammutidae) from the coal mines near Bitola, Republic of Macedonia. 1961 - Hist. nat. bulgar. **20**: 157–162. 1962 GERAADS, D. (1988): Révision des Rhinocerotidae (Mammalia) du Turolien de Pikermi. 1963 Comparaison avec les formes voisines. – Ann. Paléont. **74** (1): 13–41. 1964 GERAADS, D. (2009): Giraffidae (Mammalia) de la fin du Néogène de la république de 1965 Macédoine (ARYM). – Geodiversitas **31** (4): 893–908. 1966 GERAADS, D. (2013): Large mammals from the late Miocene of Corakyerler, Cankiri, Turkey. 1967 - Acta zool. bulgar. **65** (3): 381-390. GERAADS, D. & GÜLEÇ, E. (1999): On some spiral-horned antelopes from the upper Miocene 1968 1969 of Turkey, with remarks on their distribution. – Paläont. Z. 73 (3–4): 403–409. 1970 GERAADS, D. & GÜLEÇ, E. (2000): A Bramatherium skull (Giraffidae, Mammalia) from the 1971 upper Miocene of Kavakdere (central Turkey). Biogeographic and phylogenetic 1972 implications. – Bull. Min. Res. Expl. 121: 51–56. 1973 GERAADS, D., KAYA, T., and MAYDA, S. (2005): Late Miocene large mammals from Yulafli, Thrace region, Turkey, and their biogeographic implications. – Acta Palaeontologica 1974 1975 Polonica **50** (3): 523–544. GERAADS, D. & KOUFOS, G. (1990): Upper Miocene Rhinocerotidae (Mammalia) from 1976 1977 Pentalophos-1, Macedonia, Greece. Palaeontographica A 210: 151–168.

1978 GERAADS, D. & SPASSOV, N. (2009): Rhinocerotidae from the late Miocene of Bulgaria. – 1979 Palaeontographica A 287: 99–122. 1980 GERAADS, D., KAYA, T. & TUNA, V. (2004): A skull of Machairodus giganteus (Felidae, 1981 Mammalia) from the late Miocene of Turkey. – N. Jhb. Geol. Paläont. Monatsh. 2004 1982 (2): 95–110. 1983 GERAADS, D., SPASSOV, N. & GAREVSKI, R. (2008): New specimens of *Propotamochoerus* 1984 (Suidae, Mammalia) from the late Miocene of the Balkans. – N. Jhb. Geol. Paläont. 1985 Monatsh. 248 (1): 103–113. 1986 GERAADS, D., SPASSOV, N., HRISTOVA, L., MARKOV, G.N. & TZANKOV, T. (2011): Upper 1987 Miocene mammals from Strumyani, south-western Bulgaria. – Geodiversitas 33 (3): 1988 451–484. 1989 GERAADS, D., SPASSOV, N. & KOVACHEV, D. (2001): New Chalicotheriidae (Perissodactyla, 1990 Mammalia) from Bulgaria. – J. Vert. Pal. 21 (3): 596–606. 1991 GERAADS, D., SPASSOV, N. & KOVACHEV, D. (2003): Palaeoreas lindermayeri (Wagner, 1848) from the upper Miocene of Bulgaria, and a revision of the species. – 1992 1993 Geodiversitas, **25** (2): 405–415. 1994 GERAADS, D., SPASSOV, N. & KOVACHEV, D. (2005): Giraffidae (Artiodactyla, Mammalia) 1995 from the late Miocene of Kalimantsi and Hadjidimovo, south-western Bulgaria. – 1996 Geol. balcan. **35** (1–2): 11–18. 1997 GERAADS, D., SPASSOV, N. & KOVACHEV, D. (2006a): A new Sporadotragus (Bovidae, 1998 Mammalia) from the late Miocene of Bulgaria. – Riv. Ital. Paleont. Strat. 112 (3): 1999 473–479. 2000 GERAADS, D., SPASSOV, N. & KOVACHEV, D. (2006b): The Bulgarian Chalicotheriidae 2001 (Mammalia): an update. – Rev. Paléobiol. **25** (2): 429–436.

2002 GERAADS, D., TSOUKALA, E. & SPASSOV, N. (2007): A skull of Ancylotherium 2003 (Chalicotheriidae, Mammalia) from the late Miocene of Thermopigi (Serres, N. 2004 Greece), and the relationships of the genus. – J. Vert. Pal. 27 (2): 461–466. 2005 GIAOURTSAKIS, I. (2009): The late Miocene mammal faunas of the Mytilinii Basin, Samos Island, Greece. 9. Rhinocerotidae. – Beitr. Paläont. 31: 157–187. 2006 2007 GROMOVA, V. (1952): Hipparions (gen. Hipparion). – Trudy Paleontologicheskogo Instituta 2008 Akademii Nauk SSSR, 36: 475 pp. [in Russian] 2009 HEISSIG, K. (1999): Family Rhinocerotidae. – In: RÖSSNER, G. & HEISSIG, K. (eds). the 2010 Miocene land mammals of Europe. Pfeil, 175–188. 2011 HRISTOVA, L., GERAADS, D., MARKOV, G. & SPASSOV, N. (2013): Late Miocene mammals 2012 from Kocherinovo, southwestern Bulgaria. – Acta Zool. Bulgar. 65 (4): 517–529. 2013 HRISTOVA, L., KOVACHEV, D., SPASSOV, N. (2002): The Hipparions (Equidae, Mammalia) 2014 from the Upper Miocene locality Hadzhidimovo, SW Bulgaria. – Rev. Bulg. Geol. 2015 Society, **63** (1-3): 89-98. [in Bulgarian, English abstract] 2016 HRISTOVA, L., KOVACHEV, D., SPASSOV, N., (2003): Hipparion brachypus Hensel, 1862 from 2017 the Late Miocene of Hadzhidimovo, Southwestern Bulgaria. – Comptes rendus de 2018 l'Academie bulgare des Sciences, **56** (2): 77-84. 2019 HRISTOVA, L., KOVACHEV, D. (2005): Notes on taxonomy on the Middle Turolian hipparions 2020 from localities near Kalimantsi village. – Mathematics and natural sciences. 2021 Proceedings of the International scientific conference 8-11.06.2005. South-West 2022 University, Blagoevgrad, V. 2: 180-184. 2023 KITTL E. (1887): Beiträge zur Kenntnis der fossilen Säugethiere von Maragha in Persien. 1. Carnivoren. – Annalen des k.k. Naturhistorischen Hofmuseums, 2: 317-338. 2024 2025 KONIDARIS, G.E., ROUSSIAKIS, S.J., THEODOROU, G.E. & KOUFOS G.D. (2014): The Eurasian 2026 occurrence of the shovel-tusker Konobelodon (Mammalia, Proboscidea) as illuminated 2027 by its presence in the late Miocene of Pikermi (Greece). – J. Vert. Paleont. 34 (6): 2028 1437-1453. 2029 KONIDARIS, G., KOUFOS, G., KOSTOPOULOS, D. & MERCERON, G. (2016): Taxonomy, 2030 biostratigraphy and palaeoecology of *Choerolophodon* (Proboscidea, Mammalia) in the Miocene of SE Europe-SW Asia: implications for phylogeny and biogeography. – 2031 2032 J. Syst. Palaeont. **14** (1): 11–27. 2033 KOROTKEVICH, E. (1988): History of the formation of the Hipparion-fauna of East Europe. 2034 Naukova Dumka. Kiev, 161 pp. (in Russian). KOSTOPOULOS, D.S. (1994): Microstonyx major (Suidae, Artiodactyla) from the late Miocene 2035 2036 locality of "Nikiti-1", Macedonia, Greece: some remarks about the species. – Bull. 2037 Geol. Soc. Greece **30** (1): 341–355. 2038 KOSTOPOULOS, D.S. (2004): Revision of some late Miocene spiral horned antelopes (Bovidae, 2039 Mammalia). – N. Jb. Geol. Paläont. Abh. **231** (2): 167–190. 2040 KOSTOPOULOS, D.S. (2005): The Bovidae (Mammalia, Artiodactyla) from the late Miocene of 2041 Akkaşdağı, Turkey. – Geodiversitas 27 (4): 747–791. 2042 KOSTOPOULOS, D.S. (2006): The late Miocene vertebrate locality of Perivolaki, Thessaly, 2043 Greece. 9. Cervidae and Bovidae. – Palaeontographica A **276** (1–6): 151–183. 2044 KOSTOPOULOS, D. (2009): The Pikermian Event: Temporal and spatial resolution of the 2045 Turolian large mammal fauna in SE Europe. – Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, 2046 Palaeoecology **274**: 82–95. 2047 KOUFOS, G., KOSTOPOULOS, D., VLACHOU T., KONIDARIS G. (2016): Palaeontology of the 2048 upper Miocene vertebrate localities of Nikiti (Chalkidiki Peninsula, Macedonia, 2049 Greece). Synthesis. – Geobios **49**, 147–154 2050 KOSTOPOULOS, D.S. (2016): Palaeontology of the upper Miocene vertebrate localities of 2051 Nikiti (Chalkidiki Peninsula, Macedonia, Greece). Artiodactyla. – Geobios 49: 119–

2052 134. 2053 KOSTOPOULOS, D.S. & KOUFOS, G.D. (1999): The Bovidae (Mammalia, Artiodactyla) of the 2054 "Nikiti-2" (NIK) Faunal Assemblage (Chalkidiki Peninsula, N. Greece). – Ann. 2055 Paléont. **85** (3): 193–218. 2056 KOSTOPOULOS, D.S., SPASSOV, N. & KOVACHEV, D. (2001): Contribution to the study of 2057 *Microstonyx*: evidence from Bulgaria and the SE European populations. – 2058 Geodiversitas **23** (3): 411–437. 2059 Koufos, G.D. (1987a): Study of the Turolian Hipparions of the Lower Axios Valley 2060 (Macedonia, Greece). 2. Locality "Prochoma-1" (PXM). - Paläont. Z. 61 (3-4): 339-2061 358. KOUFOS, G.D. (1987b): Study of the Pikermi Hipparions. Part I: generalities and taxonomy. – 2062 Bull. Mus. Nat. Hist. Nat. C 9 (2): 197–252. 2063 2064 KOUFOS, G.D. (1987c): Study of the Turolian Hipparions of the Lower Axios Valley 2065 (Macedonia, Greece).1. Locality "Ravin Des Zouaves-5" (RZO). – Geobios 20 (3): 2066 293–312. 2067 KOUFOS, G.D. (1987d): Chasmaporthetes bonisi, a new hyaenid (Carnivora, Mammalia) from 2068 the late Miocene of Macedonia (Greece). – Bull. Soc. géol. Fr., 8, 3 (5): 913–920. 2069 KOUFOS, G.D. (1988a): Study of the Turolian Hipparions of the Lower Axios Valley 2070 (Macedonia, Greece). 3. Localities of Vathylakkos. – Paleontologia i Evolució, 22: 2071 15-39. 2072 KOUFOS, G.D. (1988b): Study of the Turolian *Hipparions* of the Lower Axios Valley 2073 (Macedonia, Greece). 4. Localities of Dytiko – Palaeovertebrata. 18 (4): 187–239. 2074 KOUFOS, G.D. (2000): Revision of the late Miocene carnivores from the Lower Axios Valley. 2075 – Münch. Geowiss. Abh. A **39**: 51–92. 2076 KOUFOS, G.D. (2006a): The late Miocene Vertebrate locality of Perivolaki, Thessaly,

2077 Greece.3. Primates. – Palaeontographica A **276** (1–6): 23–37. 2078 Koufos, G.D. (2006b): The Late Miocene Vertebrate locality of Perivolaki, Thessaly, 2079 Greece. 4. Carnivora. – Palaeontographica A **276** (1–6): 39–74. 2080 KOUFOS, G.D. (2006c): The Late Miocene Vertebrate locality of Perivolaki, Thessaly, Greece. 2081 5. Proboscidea, Rhinocerotidae. – Palaeontographica A **276** (1–6): 75–80. 2082 KOUFOS, G. (2009a): The Genus Mesopithecus (Primates, Cercopithecidae) in the late 2083 Miocene of Greece. – Boll. Soc. Paleont. Ital. 48 (2): 157–166. 2084 Koufos, G. D. (2009b): The Neogene cercopithecids (Mammalia, Primates) of Greece. – 2085 Geodiversitas **31** (4): 817–850. 2086 KOUFOS, G. (2011): The Miocene carnivore assemblage of Greece. – Est. Geol. 67 (2): 291– 2087 320. 2088 Koufos, G. (2013): Neogene mammal biostratigraphy and chronology of Greece. - In: X. 2089 WANG, L. FLYNN, M. FORTELIUS (eds.): Fossil mammals of Asia – Neogene 2090 Biostratigraphy and Chronology, 595–621. 2091 Koufos, G. D., Bonis, L. De, Kostopoulos, D., K., Virot, L & Vlachou, T. (2004): 2092 New material of *Mesopithecus* (Primates, Cercopithecidae) from the Turolian 2093 locality of Vathylakkos 2, Macedonia, Greece. – *Paläont. Zeitschrift*, **78** (1): 2094 213-228, Bonn. 2095 KOUFOS, G., SPASSOV, N. & KOVACHEV, D. (2003): Study of Mesopithecus (Primates, 2096 Cercopithecoidea) from the late Miocene of Bulgaria. – Palaeontographica A **269** (1– 2097 3): 39–91. 2098 KOUFOS, G. & VASILEIADOU K. (2015): Miocene/Pliocene mammal faunas of southern 2099 Balkans: implications for biostratigraphy and palaeoecology. – Palaeobio Palaeoenv 2100 **95**:285–303

2101 KOUFOS, G.D. & VLACHOU, T. (2005): Equidae (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) from the late 2102 Miocene of Akkaşdağı, Turkey. – In Sen S. (ed.), Geology, mammals and 2103 environments at Akkaşdağı, late Miocene of Central Anatolia. Geodiversitas 27 (4): 2104 633–705. 2105 KOUFOS, G. & VLACHOU, T. (2016): Palaeontology of the upper Miocene vertebrate localities 2106 of Nikiti (Chalkidiki Peninsula, Macedonia, Greece) Equidae. – Geobios, 49: 85–115. 2107 KOVACHEV, D. (2002): First finds of Machairodus giganteus Wagner in the Upper Meotian 2108 around the village Hadjidimovo, Gotze DelchevDistrict (Southwest Bulgaria). Review 2109 of the Bulgarian Geological Society, 63:77-88. 2110 KRAKHMALNAYA, T. (1996a): The Early Maeotian Hipparion-fauna of the region to the North 2111 of Black Sea. Naukova Dumka. Kiev, 225 pp. 2112 KRAKHMALNAYA T. (1996b): Hipparions of the Northern Black Sea coast area (Ukraine and 2113 Moldova): species composition and stratigraphic distribution - Acta zoologica 2114 cracoviensia, 39 (1): 261-267. LASKAREV, V. (1921): Sur la découverte de la faune de Pikermi près de Veles (Serbie 2115 2116 méridionale). – Glasnik Geografskog Drustva 6: 156–159. 2117 LASKAREV, V. (1936): Sur les restes du Mastodon angustidens Cuv. trouvés en Yougoslavie. 2118 – Bull. Soc. scient. Skoplje, sect. sci. nat. 17 (5): 105–129. [Serbian, French 2119 summary]. 2120 LASKAREV, V. (1948): Sur les restes fossiles de *Dinotherium* en Serbie. – Bull. Mus. Hist. 2121 Nat. Pays serbe A, 1: 1–20. [Serbian, French summary]. 2122 LI, Y. (2014): Restudy of *Metailurus major* from Yushe Basin, Shanxi Province reported by 2123 Teilhard de Chardin and Leroy. – Vert. PalAsiat., 52 (4): 467–485. 2124 LIU, L., KOSTOPOULOS, D.S. & FORTELIUS, M. (2004): Late Miocene Microstonyx remains 2125 (Suidae, Mammalia) from northern China. – Geobios 37 (1): 49–64.

2126 MARKOV, G.N. (2004): The fossil proboscideans of Bulgaria. – Ph.D. thesis, 225 + 81 pp., 2127 University of Sofia [in Bulgarian with English summary]. MARKOV, G.N. (2008): The Turolian proboscideans (Mammalia) of Europe: preliminary 2128 2129 observations. – Hist. Natur. Bulgar. 19: 153–178. 2130 MELENTIS, J. (1967): Die Pikermifauna von Halmyropotamos (S. Euböa/Griechenland). – 2131 Praktika Akad. Hellen. 41: 261–266. 2132 MERCERON, G., SCOTT, J., SCOTT, R.S., GERAADS, D., SPASSOV, N. & UNGAR, P.S. (2009): 2133 Folivory or fruit/seed predation for *Mesopithecus*, an earliest colobine from the late 2134 Miocene of Eurasia. – J. Hum. Evol. 57: 732–738. 2135 MORALES, J. (1984): Venta del Moro: su macrofauna de mamíferos y biostratigrafía 2136 continental del mioceno terminal mediterráneo. – Editorial universidad complutense, 2137 Madrid, 340 pp. 2138 MORLO, M. & SEMENOV, Y.A. (2004): New Carnivora (Mammalia) from the early Vallesian 2139 (late Miocene, MN 9) of Ukraine. – J. Vert. Pal. 24 (sup. 3): 96a. 2140 PAPP, A. (1939): Zur Kenntnis der Hipparionfauna von Veles in Mazedonien. Sitzung der 2141 mathematisch –naturwissenschaftlichen klasse vom 30 november und 14 dezember . – 2142 Akad. Wissensch. Wien. **18/19**: 119–121. 2143 PAVLOW, M. (1913): Mammifères tertiaires de la Nouvelle Russie. 1ère partie : Artiodactyla 2144 Perissodactyla (*Aceratherium kowalevskii* n.s.). – Nouv. Mém. Soc. Imp. Natur. Moscou **17** (3): 1–67. 2145 2146 PAVLOW, M. (1914): Mammifères tertiaires de la Nouvelle Russie. 2ème partie. Aceratherium 2147 incisivum, Hipparion, Proboscidea, Carnivora. – Nouv. Mém. Soc. Imp. Natur. 2148 Moscou **17** (4): 1–78. 2149 PEIGNE, S., BONIS, L. DE, LIKIUS, A., MACKAYE, H.T., VIGNAUD, P. & BRUNET, M. (2005): A 2150 New Machairodontine (Carnivora, Felidae) from the late Miocene Hominid locality of

2151	TM 266, Toros-Menalla, Chad. – C. R. Palevol 4 (3): 243–253.
2152	PETRONIJEVIC, Z.M. (1952): Mastodon borsoni HAYS from the village Gradesnice
2153	(Morihovo), Macedonia – Bull. Mus. Hist. Nat. Pays Serbe A, 5: 243–248. [in
2154	Serbian]
2155	PICKFORD, M. (1988): Revision of the Miocene Suidae of the Indian subcontinent. –
2156	Münchner Geowiss. Abh. 12: 1–95.
2157	PICKFORD, M. (2013): Re-assessment of the suids from the sables marins de Montpellier and
2158	selections of a lectotype for Sus provincialis Blainville, 1847. – Geodiversitas 35 (3):
2159	655–689.
2160	PICKFORD, M. (2015): Late Miocene Suidae from Eurasia: the Hippopotamodon and
2161	<i>Microstonyx</i> problem revisited. – Münchner Geowiss. Abh. 42 : 1–126.
2162	PILGRIM G. 1931. Catalogue of the Pontian Carnivore of Europe in the departament of
2163	geology. – British Museum (Natural History), London, 164 pp.
2164	QIU, Z-X., & SHI, Q-Q. (2008): Description of skull material of Machairodus horribilis
2165	Schlosser, 1903. – Vert. PalAsiat. 10: 265–283.
2166	RADOVIĆ, P., ALABURIC, S., MARKOVIĆ, Z. & VLASTIĆ, S. (2013): New view on the old
2167	collection - "Pikermian fauna" from the vicinity of Veles (Republic of Macedonia).
2168	Part 1 – Primates. – Bulletin of the Natural History Museum, 6 : 7–29.
2169	ROOK, L., FICCARELLI, G. & TORRE, D. (1991): Messinian carnivores from Italy. – Boll. Soc.
2170	Paleont. Ital. 30 (1): 7–22.
2171	ROUSSIAKIS S. & THEODOROU, G. (2001): Ancylotherium pentelicum (Gaudry & Lartet, 1856)
2172	(Perissodactyla, Mammalia) from the classic locality of Pikermi (Attica, Greece),
2173	stored in the Paleontological and Geological Museum of Athens. – Geobios 34 : 563–
2174	584.
2175	ROUSSIAKIS S. & THEODOROU, G. (2003): Carnivora from the late Miocene of Kerassiá

2176 (Northern Euboea, Greece). – Deinsea 10: 269–277. 2177 ROUSSIAKIS, S.J., THEODOROU, G.E. & ILIOPOULOS, G. (2006): An almost complete skeleton of Metailurus parvulus (Carnivora, Felidae) from the late Miocene of Kerassia 2178 2179 (Northern Euboea, Greece). – Geobios 39: 563–584. RYABININ, A. (1929): Carnivora vera, Rodentia, Subungulata. – Travaux du Musee 2180 2181 Geologique pres l'academie des Sciences de l'URSS, 5, 75-143. [in Russian, with 2182 French summary] 2183 SALESA, M., ANTON, M., TURNER, A., ALCALA, L., MONTOYA, P. & MORALES, J. (2010): 2184 Systematic revision of the late Miocene saber-toothed felid Paramachaerodus in 2185 Spain. – Palaeontology **53** (6): 1369–1391. 2186 SARAÇ, G. & SEN, S. (2005): Chalicotheriidae (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) from the late Miocene of Akkaşdağı, Turkey. – Geodiversitas 27 (4): 591–600. 2187 2188 SARDELLA, R. & WERDELIN, L. (2007): Amphimachairodus (Felidae, Mammalia) from Sahabi 2189 (latest Miocene – earliest Pliocene, Libya) with a review of African Miocene 2190 Machairodontinae. – Riv. Ital. Paleont. Strat. 113 (1): 67–77. 2191 SCHLOSSER, M. (1921): Die Hipparionenfauna von Veles in Mazedonien. – Abh. bayer. Akad. 2192 Wiss., math.-phys. Kl. **29** (4): 1–55. 2193 SEMENOV, Y. (1989): Ictitheres and morphologically related hyaenas from the Neogene of the 2194 USSR. – Naukova Dumka, Kiev 2195 SEMENOV, Y. (2008): Taxonomical reappraisal of "ictitheres" (mammalia, carnivora) from the late Miocene of Kenya. – C. R. Palevol 7 (8): 529–539. 2196 2197 SOLOUNIAS, N. (1981): The Turolian fauna from the island of Samos, Greece. With special 2198 emphasis on the hyaenids and the bovids. – Contrib. Vert. Evol. 6: 1–232.

2199	SOLOUNIAS, N., PLAVCAN, J. & WITMER, L. (1999): The paleoecology of the Pikermian Biome
2200	and the Savanna myth In: AGUSTI, J., ROOK, L. ANDREWS P. (eds.). The evolution of
2201	Neogene terrestrial ecosystems in Europe. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,
2202	436-453.
2203	SONDAAR, P.Y. (1971): The Samos Hipparion. – Proc. Kon. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch., B. 74
2204	(4): 417–441.
2205	SOTNIKOVA, M.V. (1991): A new species of <i>Machairodus</i> from the late Miocene Kalmakpai
2206	locality in eastern Kazakhstan (USSR). – Ann. Zool. Fenn. 28 (3–4): 361–369.
2207	SOTNIKOVA, M. & NOSKOVA, N. (2004): The History of Machairedus in Eurasia. – In: 18th
2208	International Senckenberg Conference in Weimar, L. C. MAUL & KAHLKE, RD. (eds)
2209	Terra Nostra 2: 238.
2210	SPASSOV, N. & GERAADS, D. (2004): Tragoportax PILGRIM and Miotragocerus STROMER
2211	(Mammalia, Bovidae) from the Turolian of Hadjidimovo, Bulgaria, and a revision of
2212	the late Miocene Mediterranean Boselaphini. – Geodiversitas 26 (2): 339–370.
2213	SPASSOV, N. & GERAADS, D. (2007): Dolichopithecus balcanicus sp. nov., a new colobinae
2214	(Primates, Cercopithecidae) from the early Pliocene of south-eastern Europe, with a
2215	discussion on the taxonomy of the genus. – J. Hum. Evol. 52 : 434–442.
2216	Spassov, N. & Geraads, D. (2011): A skull of Simocyon primigenius (Roth & Wagner,
2217	1854) from the late Miocene of Karaslari (Republic of Macedonia), with remarks on
2218	the systematics and evolution of the genus. – N. Jb. Geol. Paläont. Abh. 262 (2): 151–
2219	161.
2220	SPASSOV, N. & GERAADS, D. (2015): A new felid from the late Miocene of the Balkans and
2221	the contents of the genus Metailurus Zdansky, 1924 (Carnivora, Felidae). – J. Mamm.
2222	Evol. 22 : 45–56.

2223	SPASSOV, N. & KOUFOS, G. (2002): The first appearance of <i>Dinocrocuta gigantea</i> and
2224	Machairodus aphanistus (Mammalia, Carnivora) in the Miocene of Bulgaria Mitt.
2225	Bayer. Staats. Paläont. hist. Geol. 42: 83–101.
2226	Spassov, N., Geraads, D., Hristova, L., Markov, G. N., Merceron, G., Tzankov, T.,
2227	STOYANOV, K., BÖHME, M. & DIMITROVA, A. (2012): A hominid tooth from Bulgaria:
2228	the last pre-human hominid of continental Europe. – J. Hum. Evol. 62 : 138–145.
2229	SPASSOV, N., TZANKOV, T. & GERAADS, D. (2006): Late Neogene stratigraphy,
2230	biochronology, faunal diversity and environments of south-west Bulgaria (Struma
2231	River Valley). – Geodiversitas, 28 (3): 477–498.
2232	STUDER, T. (1911): Eine neue Equidenform aus dem Obermiocän von Samos. – Verhandl.
2233	Deutschen Zool. Gesell., 20-21 : 192–200.
2234	TASSY, P. (1984): Le mastodonte à dents étroites, le grade trilophodonte et la radiation initiale
2235	des Amebelodontidae. – In: BUFFETAUT E., MAZIN JM., SALMON E. (eds): Actes du
2236	symposium paléontologique Georges Cuvier. p. 459–473, Montbéliard.
2237	TASSY, P. (1985): La place des mastodontes miocènes de l'ancien monde dans la phylogénie
2238	des Proboscidea (Mammalia): hypothèses et conjectures. Thèse doctorat ès Sciences,
2239	862 pp., Université Pierre et Marie Curie.
2240	TASSY, P. (1990): The "proboscidean datum event": how many proboscideans and how many
2241	events? – In: LINDSAY E.H., FAHLBUSCH V., MEIN P. (eds): European Neogene
2242	mammal chronology. p. 237–252, Plenum press.
2243	TASSY, P. (1994a): Gaps, parsimony, and early Miocene elephantoids (Mammalia), with a re-
2244	evaluation of Gomphotherium annectens (Matsumoto, 1925). – Zool. J. Linn. Soc.
2245	112 : 101–117.

2246 TASSY, P. (1994b): Les gisements de mammifères de Miocène supérieur de Kemiklitepe, 2247 Turquie: 7. Proboscidea (Mammalia). – Bull. Mus. Nat. Hist. Nat. C, sér. 4, 16 (1): 2248 143–157. 2249 TASSY, P. (1996): Growth and sexual dimorphism among Miocene elephantoids: the example of Gomphotherium angustidens. – In: SHOSHANI J., TASSY P. (eds): The Proboscidea. 2250 2251 Evolution and palaeoecology of elephants and their relatives. p. 92–100, Oxford 2252 University Press. 2253 TASSY, P. (2013): L'anatomie cranio-mandibulaire de Gomphotherium angustidens (Cuvier, 2254 1817) (Proboscidea, Mammalia): données issues du gisement d'En Péjouan (Miocène 2255 moyen du Gers, France). – Geodiversitas 35 (2): 377–445. 2256 TORRE, D. (1989): On *Plioviverrops faventinus* n.sp. a new carnivore of Late Messinian age. – Boll. Soc. Paleont. Ital. 28: 2–3. 2257 2258 VALENCIANO, A., ABELLA, J., SANISIDRO, O., HARTSTONE-ROSE, A., ÁLVAREZ-SIERRA, M.A. 2259 & MORALES, J. (2015): Complete description of the skull and mandible of the giant 2260 mustelid Eomellivora piveteaui Ozansoy,1965 (Mammalia, Carnivora, Mustelidae), 2261 from Batallones (MN10), late Miocene (Madrid, Spain). – J. Vert. Pal., 35: 4, e934570. 2262 2263 VAN DER MADE, J. (1997): The fossil pig from the late Miocene of Dorn-Dürkheim 1 in 2264 Germany. – Cour. Forsch-Inst. Senck. 197: 205–230. 2265 VAN DER MADE, J. & MOYÀ-SOLÀ, S. (1989): European Suinae (Artiodactyla) from the late Miocene onwards. – Boll. Soc. Paleont. Ital. **28** (2–3): 329–339. 2266 2267 VAN DER MADE, J. & STEFANOVIC, I. (2006): A small tapir from the Turolian of Kreka (Bosnia) and a discussion on the biogeography and stratigraphy of the Neogene tapirs. 2268 2269 - N. Jb. Geol. Paläont. Abh. **240** (2): 207–240. 2270 VAN DER MADE, J., MONTOYA, P. & ALCALÁ, L. (1992): *Microstonyx* (Suidae, Mammalia)

2271 from the upper Miocene of Spain. – Geobios 25 (3): 395–413. 2272 VILLALTA, J.F. & CRUSAFONT, M. (1945): Nuevas aportaciones al conocimiento de los 2273 carnivoros Pontienses del Valles-Penedes. Dip. Prov. Barcelona. Publ. Inst. Geol. 2274 Miscelanea Almera, 7: 11–121. 2275 VILLATA, J. & CRUSAFONT, M. (1957): Dos nuevas especies de Hipparion del Pikermiense 2276 Español. – Curs. Conf. Inst. Lucas Mallada 4: 65–69. 2277 VISLOBOKOVA, I. (1990): [The fossil cervids of Eurasia]. – Trudy Paleont. Inst. **240**: 1–208 [Russian, English summary]. 2278 VLACHOU, D. & KOUFOS, G.D. (2002): The hipparions (Mammalia, Perissodactyla) from the 2279 2280 Turolian locality "Nikiti-2" (NIK), Macedonia, N. Greece. – Ann. Paléont. 88: 2281 215-263. 2282 VLACHOU, D. & KOUFOS, G.D. (2006): The late Miocene vertebrate locality of Perivolaki, 2283 Thessaly, Greece. 6. Equidae. Palaeontographica **276**: 81–119. 2284 VLACHOU, D. & KOUFOS, G.D. (2009): The late Miocene mammal faunas of the Mytilinii 2285 Basin, Samos Island, Greece: new collection. 11. Equidae. – Beitr. Paläont. 31: 207– 2286 281. WANG, X. (1997): New cranial material of Simocyon from China, and its implications for 2287 2288 phylogenetic relationships to the red panda (*Ailurus*). – J. Vert. Paleont. 17: 184–198. 2289 WATABE, M. & NAKAYA, H. (1991): Cranial Sekeletons of Hipparion (Perissodactyla, 2290 Mammalia) from Maragheh (Turolian, late Miocene), Northwest Iran. Memoirs of the 2291 Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Series of Geology & Mineralogy. 56 (1-2): 55-125. 2292 WEHRLI, H. (1941): Beitrag zur Kenntnis der "Hipparionen" von Samos. – Paläont. Z. 22: 2293 2294 321-386. 2295 WERDELIN, L. & SOLOUNIAS, N. (1991): The Hyaenidae: taxonomy, systematics and

2296	evolution. – Fossils and Strata 30 : 1–106.
2297	WOLSAN, M. (1989): Dental polymorphism in the genus <i>Martes</i> (Carnivora: Mustelidae) and
2298	its evolutionary significance. – Acta Theriol. 34 (40): 545–593.
2299	WOLSAN, M. & SEMENOV, Y.A. (1996): A revision of late Miocene mustelid carnivoran
2300	Eomellivora. – Acta Zool. Cracov. 39 (1): 593–604.
2301	ZAPFE, H. (1979): Chalicotherium grande (BLAINV.) aus dem miozänen Spaltenfüllung von
2302	Neudorf an der March (Devinska Nová Ves), Tschechoslowakei. – N. Denkschr. natur
2303	Mus. Wien 2 : 1–282.
2304	ZAPFE, H. (1991): Mesopithecus pentelicus Wagner aus dem Turolien von Pikermi bei Athen,
2305	Odontologie und Osteologie (Eine Dokumentation). – Neue Denkschriften des
2306	Naturhistorischen Museums in Wien, pp. 203.
2307	ZDANSKY, O. (1924): Jungtertiäre Carnivoren Chinas. – Pal. Sinica 2: 1–149.
2308	ZHEGALLO, V. (1971): Hipparions from the Neogene deposits of western Mongolia and Tuva.
2309	– Sovm. SvetMongol Nauch-Issled. Geol. Eksped. Tr., 3: 98–119 (in Russian).
2310	
2311	
2312	
2313	

2314	Text-figures captions
2315	
2316	Text-fig. 1.
2317	Map of the Neogene localities of vertebrate fauna investigated in the present work.
2318	
2319	Text-fig. 2
2320	Logarithmic ratio diagram comparing the skulls of Hippotherium brachypus HENSEL, 1862
2321	from Macedonian localities and other localities from the Balkan peninsula, and Hippotherium
2322	giganteum Gromova, 1952: Akkaşdağı (AKK); Bashibos (BB); Belushka (BEL);
2323	Hadjidimovo (HD); Grebeniki (GR); Karaslari (KAR); Kiro Kuchuk (KK); Kocherinovo
2324	(KCH 2); Perivolaki (PER); Pikermi (PIK); Umin Dol (UD).
2325	Standard <i>Hippotherium primigenium</i> von MEYER, 1829, Höwenegg (BERNOR et al. 1997).
2326	Measurements after EISENMANN et al. 1988:
2327	1. muzzle length, prostion-middle of the line connecting the anterior borders of P2; 2. palatal
2328	length, middle of the line connecting the anterior borders of P2 to anterior border of choane;
2329	3. vomerine length; 4, post-vomerine length; 6. basilar length: basion-prostion; 7. premolar
2330	length; 8. molar length; 9. upper cheek teeth length; 14. minimal muzzle breadth; 15. muzzle
2331	breadth at I1-I1; 30. length of the naso-incisival notch; 31. cheek length, posterior end of the
2332	narial opening-anterior border of the orbit; 32. distance orbit-preorbital fossa (POF); 33.
2333	length of PF; 35. height of POF (perpendicular to 33); 36. distance ventral border of POF-
2334	crista facialis; 37. distance infraorbital foramen-alveoles of the tooth series; 38. distance
2335	posterior end of PF-alveoli of the cheek teeth.
2336	

Text-fig. 3.

Size variability of the lingual cusp in P3-P4 in the main SE. European Turolian
Mesopithecus samples with time (from the end of the early Turolian – Hadjidimovo till the
second half of the middle Turolian – Pikermi). All the teeth included are unworn or very
slighty worn. Legend: the lingual cusp size (large, medium or small) is estimated after its
height and its surface area (above all its labio-lingual diameter) in relation to the labial one
(after original observations in MNHNP, NMNHS & PMA)
Text-fig. 4.
Logarithmic ratio diagram comparing the skulls of <i>Hippotherium brachypus</i> (HENSEL, 1862)
from Macedonian localities: Bashibos (BB); Karaslari (KAR); Kiro Kuchuk (KK); Umin Dol
$(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}).$
Standard Hippotherium primigenium von Meyer, 1829, Höwenegg (Bernor et al. 1997).
See Text-fig. 2. for measurement numbers.
Text-fig. 5.
Text-fig. 5. Logarithmic ratio diagram comparing the skulls of <i>Cremohipparion mediterraneum</i> ROTH &
Logarithmic ratio diagram comparing the skulls of <i>Cremohipparion mediterraneum</i> ROTH &
Logarithmic ratio diagram comparing the skulls of <i>Cremohipparion mediterraneum</i> ROTH & WAGNER, 1855, and <i>C. proboscideum</i> STUDER, 1911, from Macedonian localities: Karaslari
Logarithmic ratio diagram comparing the skulls of <i>Cremohipparion mediterraneum</i> ROTH & WAGNER, 1855, and <i>C. proboscideum</i> STUDER, 1911, from Macedonian localities: Karaslari (KAR): solid lines; Vozartsi (VOZ): dashed lines.
Logarithmic ratio diagram comparing the skulls of <i>Cremohipparion mediterraneum</i> ROTH & WAGNER, 1855, and <i>C. proboscideum</i> STUDER, 1911, from Macedonian localities: Karaslari (KAR): solid lines; Vozartsi (VOZ): dashed lines. Standard <i>Hippotherium primigenium</i> VON MEYER, 1829, Höwenegg (BERNOR et al. 1997).
Logarithmic ratio diagram comparing the skulls of <i>Cremohipparion mediterraneum</i> ROTH & WAGNER, 1855, and <i>C. proboscideum</i> STUDER, 1911, from Macedonian localities: Karaslari (KAR): solid lines; Vozartsi (VOZ): dashed lines. Standard <i>Hippotherium primigenium</i> VON MEYER, 1829, Höwenegg (BERNOR et al. 1997).
Logarithmic ratio diagram comparing the skulls of <i>Cremohipparion mediterraneum</i> ROTH & WAGNER, 1855, and <i>C. proboscideum</i> STUDER, 1911, from Macedonian localities: Karaslari (KAR): solid lines; Vozartsi (VOZ): dashed lines. Standard <i>Hippotherium primigenium</i> VON MEYER, 1829, Höwenegg (BERNOR et al. 1997). See Text-fig. 2. for measurement numbers.

2362 H. verae: Akkasdağı (AKK); Bashibos (BB); Grebeniki (GR); Karaslari (KAR); Perivolaki 2363 (PER); Ravin des Zouaves-5 (RZO); Strumyani-2 (STR-2); Veshie (VES). 2364 Standard Hippotherium primigenium VON MEYER, 1829, Höwenegg (BERNOR et al. 1997). 2365 See Text-fig. 2. for measurement numbers. 2366 2367 Text-fig. 7. 2368 Logarithmic ratio diagram comparing the skulls of *Hipparion* sp. from Umin Dol (**UD**) and 2369 Hipparion dietrichi WEHRLI 1941, from different Macedonian localities: Barovo (BAR); 2370 Belushka (BEL); Bashibos (BB); Karaslari (KAR); Veshie (VES). 2371 Standard Hippotherium primigenium VON MEYER, 1829, Höwenegg (BERNOR et al. 1997). 2372 See Text-fig. 2. for measurement numbers. 2373 2374 Text-fig. 8. 2375 Logarithmic ratio diagram comparing the skulls of *Cremohipparion* from Macedonian 2376 localities, localities from the Balkan Peninsula and the type of *C. proboscideum* from Samos: 2377 Hadjidimovo (HD); Kalimantsi (KAL); Karaslari (KAR); Perivolaki (PER); Pikermi (PIK); 2378 Ravin des Zouaves-5 (**RZO**); Strumyani 2 (**STR2**); Vozartsi (**VOZ**). 2379 Standard Hippotherium primigenium VON MEYER, 1829, Höwenegg (BERNOR et al. 1997). 2380 See Text-fig. 2. for measurement numbers. 2381 Text-fig. 9. 2382 2383 Logarithmic ratio diagram comparing equid metacarpals from Vozarsti. Standard:

Measurements after EISENMANN et al. 1988: 1. maximal length; 3. minimum width of shaft; 4.

Hippotherium primigenium VON MEYER, 1829, Höwenegg (BERNOR et al. 1997).

2384

depth of shaft; 5. proximal articular breadth; 6. proximal articular depth; 10. distal maximum

2387 supra-articular breadth; 11. distal maximum articular breadth; 12. distal maximum depth of 2388 the keel; 13. distal minimum depth of the lateral condyle; 14. distal maximum depth of the 2389 medial condyle. 2390 Text-fig.10. 2392 Logarithmic ratio diagram comparing equid metatarsals from Bashibos (BB) and Vozarsti 2393 (VOZ). Measurements and standard as for Text-fig. 9. 2394 2395 **Text-fig. 11.** Proportions of the upper carnassial tooth in *Adcrocuta eximia* from early to 2396 middle Turolian localities (original measurements in MNHNP, NMNHS, PMA and MMNH-2397 Sk). Early Turolian localities: RZO: Ravin des Zouaves; HD: Hadjidimovo; MAR: Maragha; 2398 middle Turolian localities: SLQ: Salonique (= Vathylakkos- 3, after G. Koufos, pers. comm.); 2399 K: Kalimantsi; PIK: Pikermi; KAR: Karaslari. 2400 **Text-fig.12.** Proportions of the lower carnassial tooth in *Adcrocuta eximia* from late Vallesian 2402 to middle Turolian localities. PRST: Prsten - Adcrocuta sp.; RPL: Ravin de la Pluie, 2403 Vallesian; KD: Kavak Dere, early Turolian; KAL: Kalimantsi, middle Turolian;, the other 2404 explanations as for Text-fig. 11. 2405 2406 **Text-fig. 13.** Lengths of Adcrocuta eximia m1s from early Turolian localities of the Balkan-2407 Iranian paleo-zoogeographic province (MAR: Maragha [Maragheh], Bashibos, Kavak Dere, 2408 RZO: Ravin des Zouaves, HD: Hadjidimovo; VOZ: Vozartsi) and middle Turolian localities 2409 (SLQ: Salonique [= Vathylakkos- 3, see Text-Fig. 11]; AK: Akkaşdağı; KAL: Kalimantsi; 2410 PIK: Pikermi). The difference in the mean values of the early Turolian (27.5 mm) and middle Turrolian (29.3 mm) samples is highly significant (t = 4.1836, df = 10.2, p = 0.001798).

2391

2401

2411

2412	
2413	Text-fig. 14. Lengths of <i>Adcrocuta eximia</i> P4s from early Turolian localities of the Balkan-
2414	Iranian province (Bashibos, HD; MAR, for the abbreviations see Text-fig= 13) and middle
2415	Turolian localities (AZM: Azmaka; SLQ; KAL; PIK [for the other abreviations see Text-fig.
2416	13]. The difference in the mean values of the early Turolian (37.4 mm) and middle Turrolian
2417	(39.0 mm) samples is significant ($t = 2.541$, $df = 26.38$, $p = 0.01726$).
2418	
2419	Text-fig. 15. Plot of P2-M1 length vs. p2 length in different <i>Adcrocuta eximia</i> , <i>Adcrocuta</i> sp.
2420	from Prsten (PRST) and Lycyaena chaeretis from Kalimantsi (unpubl.). (Abbreviations as in
2421	Text-fig. 13-14).
2422	
2423	Text-fig. 16. Logarithmic ratio diagram comparing size of lower cheek-teeth of different
2424	Adcrocuta from the late Miocene Balkan-Iranian zoogeographic paleo-province.
2425	(PRS2: Adcrocuta eximia from Prsten; PRST: Adcrocuta sp. from Prsten; the other
2426	explanations as for Text-fig. 13-15).
2427	Text-fig. 17. Tentative chronostratigraphic position of some main fossiliferous late Miocene
2428	localities from R. of Macedonia and neighbouring Balkan territories (the chronostratigraphic
2429	position of the Greek, Bulgarian and Turkish localities is in concordance with data from:
2430	(Spassov 2002; Geraads et al. 2005, 2011; Kostopoulos 2009; Spassov et al. 2012;
2431	HRISTOVA et al. 2013; KOUFOS, & VASILEIADOU 2015; KOUFOS et al. 2016) (for abbreviations
2432	see the relevant paragraph in the main text).
2433	
2434	

2435	Table captions
2436	
2437	Table 1. Measurements of Hippotherium brachypus skulls, in mm (after EISENMANN et al.
2438	1988).
2439	1., muzzle length, prostion-middle of the line connecting the anterior borders of P2; 2. palatal
2440	length, middle of the line connecting the anterior borders of P2 to anterior border of choane;
2441	3. vomerine length; 4. post-vomerine length; 5. Post-palatal length: basion – anterior border of
2442	choanae; 6. basilar length: basion-prostion; 7. premolar length; 8. molar length; 9. upper
2443	cheek teeth length; 14. minimal muzzle breadth; 15. muzzle breadth at I1-I1; 24. Posterior
2444	ocular line: last point – middle of the supra occipital crest; 25. Facial height: height of the
2445	skull in front of P2; 30. length of the naso-incisival notch; 31. cheek length, posterior end of
2446	the narial opening-anterior border of the orbit; 32, distance orbit-preorbital fossa (POF); 33.
2447	length of PF; 35. height of POF (perpendicular to 33); 36. distance ventral border of POF-
2448	crista facialis; 37. distance infraorbital foramen-alveoles of the tooth series; 38. distance
2449	posterior end of PF-alveoli of the cheek teeth; O-P2 . distance from the anteriormost point of
2450	the orbit to the anterior end of P2.
2451	
2452	Table 2. Mandibular and lower teeth measurements of <i>Adcrocuta eximia</i> , in mm.
2453	
2454	Table 3. Comparative measurements of upper teeth of late Miocene Mesopithecus, in mm.
2455	Localities arranged (with the exception of the ones from R. of Macedonia) by decreasing age.
2456	Azmaka 6: SPASSOV et al. (2012); Hadjidimovo-1: KOUFOS et al. (2003) and personal data;
2457	Vathylakkos: Koufos et al (2004); Kalimantsi: Koufos et al. (2003); Pikermi: Zapfe (1991);
2458	Dytiko: Bonis et al (1990).
2459	

2460	Table 4. Comparative mandible measurements of the Karaslari Mesopithecus with other
2461	samples, arranged by decreasing age from top to bottom, in mm. Personal measurements in
2462	MNHNP, NMNHS & PMA, except Ravin des Zouaves 5 (Bonis et al. 1990) and Perivolaki
2463	(Koufos 2006).
2464	
2465	Table 5. Comparative lower teeth measurements of late Miocene male Mesopithecus.
2466	Localities outside the Rep. of Macedonia are listed by decreasing age from top to bottom.
2467	Ravin des Zouaves 5: Bonis et al. (1990);Hadjidimovo: unpubl. data; Kalimantsi: Koufos et
2468	al. (2003) and unpubl. data; Kromidovo: Koufos et al. (2003); Perivolaki: Koufos (2006);
2469	Vathylakkos: Coll. ARAMBOURG, MNHNP, pers. data; Pikermi: Bonis et al. (1990), and
2470	ZAPFE, (1991); Dytiko 1 & 3: BONIS et al. (1990). H = labial depth below m2.
2471	
2472	Table 6. Measurements of Microstonyx major teeth, in mm.
2473	
2474	Table 7. Measurements of Microstonyx major teeth, in mm.
2475	
2476	Table 8. Measurements of Helladotherium upper teeth, in mm.
2477	
2478	Table 9. Measurements of bovid frontlets and horn-cores, in mm.
2479	
2480	Table 10. Measurements of Tragoportax skulls, in mm.
2481	
2482	Table 11. Measurements of Cremohipparion mediterraneum C. proboscideum and Hipparion
2483	sp. skulls, in mm (after Eisenmann et al. 1988).
2484	

2485	Table 12 . Measurements of <i>Hipparion dietrichi</i> skulls, in mm(after EISENMANN et al. 1988).
2486	
2487	Table 13. Cranial features and measurements of Rhinocerotidae skulls and tooth-rows (at
2488	occlusal level), in mm. 1 to 7: Dihoplus pikermiensis; 8: Ceratotherium neumayri; 9:
2489	Acerorhinus sp. 1: Karaslari, on exhibit; 2: Bashibos, on exhibit; 3: Kiro Kuchuk 16.9.1997;
2490	4: Kiro Kuchuk 221; 5: Kiro Kuchuk 222; 6: Kiro Kuchuk 223; 7: Prsten 28.8.1997; 8: Umin
2491	Dol, on exhibit 9: Karaslari 30/73.
2492	
2493	Table. 14. Cranial and upper teeth measurements of Adcrocuta eximia, in mm.
2494	
2495	Table 15. Comparative mandibular dimensions of Yoshi species with Promegantereon ogygia
2496	(SALESA et al. 2010; * personal measurements (N.S.) in Museo Nacional de Ciencias
2497	Naturales, Madrid; Yoshi garevskii, and Y. cf. minor after SPASSOV & GERAADS 2014;
2498	Y. minor after ANDERSON 1998; Paramachaerodus orientalis: original measurements of the
2499	cast of the type specimen of Machairodus schlosseri WEITHOFER from Pikermi.
2500 2501	Table 16. Cranial measurements (after TASSY, 1996) of "Mammut obliquelophus" from Kiro
2502	Kuchuk, MMNH 2773.
2503	
2504	Table 17. Dental measurements of "Mammut obliquelophus" from Kiro Kuchuk, MMNH 2773,
2505	in mm.
2506	
2507	Table 18: Measurements of Ancylotherium pentelicum upper teeth, in mm.
2508	
2509	Table 19 . Comparative mandibular dimensions of <i>Plioviverrops</i> , in mm. KK: Kiro Kuchuk
2510	(mandible No.2803); KAL: Kalimantsi, Burdovski pat (PMA, personal data); PER: Perivolaki

2511 (KOUFOS 2006); PIK: Pikermi (MNHNP; first measurement: PIK-3022, type: after BONIS & 2512 Koufos 1991; second measurement: PIK-3016, personal data); Axios: Vathylakkos and 2513 Ravin des Zouaves (Koufos 2000); LM: Los Mansuetos (Crusafont Pairó and Petter 2514 1969); BRIS: Brisighella (TORRE 1989). 2515 2516 **Table 20.** Comparative dimensions of the *Paramachaerodus* from Kiro Kuchuk with 2517 P. orientalis from Maragha (MAR), Pikermi (PIK), Concud & Puente Minero (CON & PM) 2518 and P. maximiliani from China. Data from PILGRIM (1931), KITTL (1887), SALESA et al. 2519 (2010), and ZDANSKY (1924), respectively. 2520 2521 **Table 21.** Skull and upper canine measurements of *Machairodus* s. l. from various localities 2522 (in mm). ML: maximal length of skull; BL: basal length of skull. Skull width is measured 2523 over zygomatic processes. 2524 Table 22. Measurements of Chalicotheriinae gen. et sp. indet. MMNH-Sk VSH 2702, in mm 2525 (measurements taken as in Geraads et al., 2001). 2526 2527 Table 23. Measurements of the Equid metacarpals from Vozarci, in mm (after EISENMANN et 2528 al. 1988). 2529 1. maximal length; 3. minimum width of shaft; 4. depth of shaft; 5. proximal articular breadth; 2530 6. proximal articular depth; 7. Maximal diameter of the articular facet for third carpal (magnum); 8. diameter of the anterior facet for the fourth carpal (unciforme); 10. distal 2531 2532 maximum supra-articular breadth; 11. distal maximum articular breadth; 12. distal maximum depth of the keel; 13. distal minimum depth of the lateral condyle; 14. distal maximum depth 2533 2534 of the medial condyle.

- 2535 **Table 24.** Measurements of the Equid metatarsals from Vozarci and Bashibos, in mm(after
- EISENMANN et al. 1988). 1. maximal length; 3. minimum width of shaft; 4. depth of shaft; 5.
- proximal articular breadth; 6. proximal articular depth; 7. Maximal diameter of the articular
- 2538 facet for the third tarsal (cuneiforme 3); 8. diameter of the anterior facet for the fourth tarsal
- 2539 (cuboid); 10. distal maximum supra-articular breadth; 11. distal maximum articular breadth;
- 2540 12. distal maximum depth of the keel; 13. distal minimum depth of the lateral condyle; 14.
- distal maximum depth of the medial condyle.

- 2543 **Table 25.** Distribution of the Neogene mammal taxaof Republic of Macedonia studied by
- 2544 localities.

2545

2546 FIGURE CAPTIONS

- 2547 Plate 1.
- 2548 1. Hippotherium brachypus, Kiro Kuchuk, Republic of Macedonia. Skull, KK 2003; a. lateral
- view, b. left upper cheek teeth.
- 2. Hippotherium brachypus, Karaslari, Republic of Macedonia. Skull, KAR 20/75; a. lateral
- view, b. left upper cheek teeth.
- 2552 3. Hippotherium brachypus, Karaslari, Republic of Macedonia. Skull, KAR 26/73; a. lateral
- view, b. left upper cheek teeth.
- 4. Hippotherium brachypus, Belushka, Republic of Macedonia. Skull, B 2708; a. lateral view,
- b. left upper cheek teeth.
- 2556 5. Hippotherium brachypus, Umin Dol, Republic of Macedonia. Skull, no number; a. lateral
- view, b. left upper cheek teeth.
- Scale bar: for the skulls = 50 mm; for the cheek teeth = 30 mm.

2559

- 2560 Plate 2.
- Fig. 1. Mesopithecus pentelicus, Karaslari, Republic of Macedonia. Unnumbered skull; a.right
- lateral view, b. frontal view, c. dorsal view; d. left toothrow: P4-M3 of the same skull.
- 2563 Fig. 2. Mesopithecus, Karaslari (or Prevalets?), Republic of Macedonia. Skull MMNH 68; a.
- left lateral view, b. frontal view, c. dorsal view; d. Upper tooth row (P3-M1); e. P3 of the
- same skull in mesial view.
- 2566 Fig. 3. Mesopithecus, Veshie, Republic of Macedonia. Mandible MMNH 2671 in occlusal
- 2567 view.
- scale bar = 5 cm for the skulls, 3 cm for the tooth rows, Fig. 2e out of scale.

- 2570 **Plate 3.**
- 2571 1. *Microstonyx erymanthius*, Bashibos, Republic of Macedonia. Skull BB-1541; ventral view.
- 2572 2. Microstonyx erymanthius, Karaslari, Republic of Macedonia. Skull Kar-3473; a. lateral
- view; b. occlusal view of P4–M3.
- 2574 3. Microstonyx erymanthius, Prsten, Republic of Macedonia. P1–M1 Prsten-C; occlusal view.
- 4. Microstonyx erymanthius, Prsten, Republic of Macedonia. P2–M2 Prsten-115, occlusal
- 2576 view.
- 5. Microstonyx erymanthius, Karaslari, Republic of Macedonia. Skull Kar-1542; a. ventral
- view of snout; b. detail of front teeth, ventral view.
- 2579 6. Microstonyx erymanthius, Bashios, Republic of Macedonia. Mandible BB-1541 (same
- 2580 individual as fig.1), p4–m3, occlusal view.
- 2581 Scale bar = 5 cm for figs. 2b, 3, 4, 6; 7.5 cm for fig. 5b; 15 cm for figs. 1, 5a; 30 cm for fig.
- 2582 2a.

2583

2584 Plate 4.

- 2585 1. Sporadotragus sp., Umin Dol, Republic of Macedonia. skull UD-1590; a. lateral view; b.
- 2586 front view.
- 2587 2. Protragelaphus sp., Bashibos, Republic of Macedonia. Skull BB-1645; front view.
- 2588 3. Prostrepsiceros rotundicornis, Vozartsi, Republic of Macedonia. Frontlet Voz-694; front
- 2589 view.
- 4. Protragelaphus sp., Bashibos, Republic of Macedonia. Frontlet BB-1645; a. right lateral
- view; b. front view.
- 5. Cf. *Prostrepsiceros* sp., Bashibos, Republic of Macedonia. BB-2611; a. right lateral view;
- b. front view.
- 2594 6. Palaeoreas lindermayeri, Karaslari, Republic of Macedonia. Frontlet Kar-2610; a. right
- 2595 lateral view; b. front view.
- 7. Samotragus sp., Bashibos, Republic of Macedonia. Left horn-core BB-2623; a, left lateral
- view; b, front view.
- 8. Gazella cf. capricornis, Vozartsi, Republic of Macedonia. Frontlet Voz-558; a. front view;
- b. left lateral view.
- 2600 9. Mesembriacerus sp., Bashibos, Republic of Macedonia. Braincase with bases of horn-cores
- 2601 BB-2607; a. ventral view; b. dorsal view; c. postero-lateral view; d. posterior view.
- 2602 Scale bar = 20 cm for figs. 1–6, 10 cm for figs. 7–9.
- 2604 Plate 5.

- 2605 1. Cremohipparion mediterraneum, Karaslari, Republic of Macedonia. Skull, KAR 23/73; a.
- lateral view, b. left upper cheek teeth.
- 2. Cremohipparion mediterraneum, Karaslari, Republic of Macedonia. Skull, KAR 28/73; a.
- lateral view, b. left upper cheek teeth.

- 3. Cremohipparion proboscideum, Vozartsi, Republic of Macedonia. Skull, VOZ 74/66; a.
- lateral view, b. right upper cheek teeth.
- 4. Hipparion sp., Umin Dol, Republic of Macedonia. Skull, UD 90/66; a. lateral view, b. right
- 2612 upper cheek teeth.
- 5. Hipparion dietrichi, Karaslari, Republic of Macedonia. Skull, KAR 92/73; a. lateral view,
- b. left upper cheek teeth.
- 2615 6. Hipparion dietrichi, Karaslari, Republic of Macedonia. Skull, KAR 203/73; a. lateral view,
- b. upper cheek teeth.
- Scale bar: for the skulls = 50 mm; for the cheek teeth = 30 mm.

- 2619 **Plate 6.**
- 2620 1. Chalicotheriinae gen. et sp. indet., Veshie, Republic of Macedonia. P2–M3 Veshie 2702;
- 2621 occlusal view.
- 2. Anisodon sp., Karaslari, Republic of Macedonia. Mandible; a, detail of symphyseal area; b,
- p3-m2, occlusal view.
- 3. Ancylotherium pentelicum, Karaslari, Republic of Macedonia. Tooth-row p2-m3; occlusal
- 2625 view.
- 4. Ancylotherium pentelicum, Karaslari, Republic of Macedonia. Skull; a, lateral view; b,
- dorsal view.
- 2628 5. Ancylotherium pentelicum, Republic of Macedonia. Partial hind-limb, front view.
- 2629 6. Ancylotherium pentelicum, Kiro Kuchuk, Republic of Macedonia. Upper left P3, DP4, M1
- 2630 KK2805, occlusal view.
- 2631 Scale bar = 5 cm for figs. 1, 2b, 6; 10 cm for fig. 3; 20 cm for fig. 4; 40 cm for fig. 5.

2632

2633 **Plate 7**.

- Fig. 1. Adcrocuta eximia, Bashibos, Republic of Macedonia. Left mandible MMNH-Sk 785
- 2635 (old No. 67); a. labial view, b. occlusal view.
- 2636 Fig. 2. Adcrocuta eximia, Vozartsi, Republic of Macedonia. Right mandible MMNH-Sk Voz
- 2637 66; a. from in lingual view, b. occlusal view.
- 2638 Fig. 3. Adcrocuta eximia, Karaslari, Republic of Macedonia. Right half of the mandible
- 2639 MMNH-Sk 68; occlusal view.
- Fig. 4 . Adcrocuta eximia, Prsten, Republic of Macedonia. Left half the mandible MMNH-Sk
- 2641 Pr2; occlusal view.
- Fig. 5 Adcrocuta sp., Prsten, Republic of Macedonia. Right half-mandible Pr1; a labial view,
- b. occlusal view.
- Fig. 6. Eomellivora cf. wimani, Karaslari, Republic of Macedonia. Cranium; a. dorso-lateral
- view, b. dorsal view; c: ventral view of the maxilla, showing the outline of M1.
- Scale bar = 30 mm for Fig.6C, = 50 mm for all others.
- 2647
- 2648 **Plate 8.**
- 2649 Fig.1. Adcrocuta eximia, Kiro Kuchuk, Republic of Macedonia. Cranium MMNH-Sk KK
- 2650 2771; a. right lateral view; b. P2-P4 of the same skull in occlusal view.
- Fig. 2. Adcrocuta eximia, Kiro Kuchuk, Republic of Macedonia. Cranial fragmemt MMNH-
- 2652 Sk KK2806; right lateral view, b.ventral view.
- 2653 Fig. 3. Adcrocuta eximia, Karaslari, Republic of Macedonia. Cranium MMNH-Sk KAR 2602;
- 2654 right lateral view.
- Fig. 4. Adcrocuta eximia, Kiro Kuchuk, Republic of Macedonia. Teeth (p4-m1) of the
- 2656 mandible MMNH-Sk KK 2775 (from 15.9.2000); a. lingual view, b. occlusal view.
- Fig. 5. Adcrocuta eximia, Kiro Kuchuk, Republic of Macedonia. Mandible MMNH-Sk KK
- 2658 2799 (from 19.04.2000); a. right lateral view, b. occlusal view.

2659 Scale bar= 50 mm: the two complete skulls; 30 mm: the rest. 2660 Plate 9. 2661 2662 Fig. 1. Yoshi aff. garevskii, Bachibos, Republic of Macedonia. Unnumbered mandible 2663 fragment; a. lingual view, b. occlusal view. Scale = 20 mm 2664 Fig. 2. Machairodus sp., Karaslari, Republic of Macedonia. Unnumbered, right semi-2665 mandible; a. lingual view, b. occlusal view. Scale = 50 mm Fig. 3. Paramachaerodus sp., Kiro Kuchuk, Republic of Macedonia. Female (?) cranium of 2666 MMNH-Sk KK-2807; a. ventral view, b. right P3-P4 from the same cranium, c. left upper 2667 2668 canine from the same skull. Scale = 50 mm, - the cranium; 30 mm - the teeth 2669 Fig. 4. Paramachaerodus sp., Kiro Kuchuk, Republic of Macedonia. Cranial rostrum with the 2670 left canine of MMNH-Sk KK 2813, male (?); restored with plaster, out of scale (for size see 2671 table 20). 2672 Fig. 5. Machairodus (Amphimachairodus) giganteus, Prevalets Republic of Macedonia. 2673 Cranium. Sscale bar = 80 mm. 2674 Fig. 6. Machairodus sp., Prsten, Republic of Macedonia. A cast of the upper carnassial tooth

2676

2675

- 2677 Plate 10.
- Fig. 1. "Mammut" obliquelophus, Kiro Kucuk, Republic of Macedonia. Partially preserved

(Prst-125); a. occlusal view, b. labial view. Scale bar = 30 mm

- juvenile skull MMNH 2773; a. dorsal view, b. lateral view, c. ventral view. Scale bar: 10 cm;
- 2680 Fig. 2. "Mammut" obliquelophus, Kiro Kucuk, Republic of Macedonia. MMNH 2743; right
- 2681 M3. Scale bar: 10 cm;
- Fig. 3. Choerolophodon pentelici, Kiro Kucuk, Republic of Macedonia. MMNH 2811, right
- 2683 D3. Scale bar: 5 cm;

- Fig. 4. Choerolophodon pentelici, Dolni Disan, Republic of Macedonia. MMNH 2742, right
- 2685 m3. Scale bar: 10 cm;
- 2686 Fig. 5. Anancus sp., Dolni Disan, Republic of Macedonia. MMNH 2741, posterior fragment
- of a left M3. Scale bar: 10 cm;
- Fig. 6. Tetralophodon atticus, Dolni Disan, Republic of Macedonia. Skull; a. lateral view, b.
- 2689 close view of the erupting right M3 in the skull. Scale bar: 10 cm

- 2691 **Plate 11.**
- 2692 1. Dihoplus pikermiensis, Kiro Kuchuk, Republic of Macedonia. Skull KK-222; a. lateral
- view, b. occlusal view of right P2–M3.
- 2. Dihoplus pikermiensis, Kiro Kuchuk, Republic of Macedonia. Skull KK-221; lateral view.
- 2695 3. Dihoplus pikermiensis, Kiro Kuchuk, Republic of Macedonia. Skull KK-16-9-1997; ventral
- 2696 view.
- 4. Dihoplus pikermiensis, Republic of Macedonia. Unnumbered skull and mandible on
- 2698 exhibit; lateral view.
- 2699 5. Acerorhinus sp., Karaslari, Republic of Macedonia. Skull and mandible on exhibit MMNH-
- 2700 Sk KAR 30/73; a. lateral view, b. dorsal view.
- Scale bar = 30 cm.

2702

- 2703 Plate 12.
- Fig. 1 Hyaenotheriini indet., Kiro Kuchuk, Republic of Macedonia, associated right mandible
- and maxilla fragments. Mandible MMNH-Sk KK 2768 a. labial view, b. occlusal view; c.
- 2706 Maxilla MMNH-Sk KK 2769 in occlusal view. Scale bar = 30 mm.
- 2707 Fig. 2. *Plioviverrops* cf. *orbignyi*, Kiro Kuchuk, Republic of Macedonia. Left half-mandible
- 2708 (NMNH-Sk KK280); a. lingual view, b. labial view. Scale bar = 30 mm.

Fig. 3. Ictitherium cf. viverrinum, Veshie, Republic of Macedonia. Cranium (MMNH-Sk
VSH 2747); a. ventral view, b. dorsal view, c. right cheek-teeth (P3-M2) of the same
specimen. Scale bar = 50 mm- the skull; 30 mm- the teeth.
Fig. 4. Adcrocuta eximia, Republic of Macedonia. Upper premolars in lingual view: P3 (b)
from an unnumbered maxillary fragment and P3-P4 (c) from another one (Bashibos) in
comparison with the same teeth (a) from Kiro Kuchuk (cranium MMNH-Sk KK2806), not at
scale.
Plate 13.
Fig. 1. Tragoportax sp. nov., Vozartsi, Republic of Macedonia. Unnumbered frontlet in
different (a & b) dorso-lateral views;
Fig. 2. Tragoportax sp. nov., Vozartsi, Republic of Macedonia. Cranium MMNH-Sk Voz-
1592/68; a. in dorsal view, b. lateral view. Out of scale.
Fig. 3. Tragoportax sp. nov.? Vozartsi, Republic of Macedonia. Cranium MMNH-Sk Voz-
1596/68. Scale 130 mm.