

Gut Microbiota Profile of Obese Diabetic Women Submitted to Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass and Its Association with Food Intake and Postoperative Diabetes Remission

Karina Al Assal, Edi Prifti, Eugeni Belda, Priscila Sala, Karine Clement, Maria-Carlota Dao, Joël Dore, Florence Levenez, Carla R Taddei, Danielle Cristina Fonseca, et al.

To cite this version:

Karina Al Assal, Edi Prifti, Eugeni Belda, Priscila Sala, Karine Clement, et al.. Gut Microbiota Profile of Obese Diabetic Women Submitted to Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass and Its Association with Food Intake and Postoperative Diabetes Remission. Nutrients, 2020 , 12 (2), pp.278. $10.3390/nu12020278$. hal-02552682

HAL Id: hal-02552682 <https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-02552682v1>

Submitted on 23 Apr 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Article

Gut Microbiota Profile of Obese Diabetic Women Submitted to Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass and Its Association with Food Intake and Postoperative Diabetes Remission

Karina Al Assal 1,* ,† **, Edi Prifti 2,3,**† **[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8861-1305) Eugeni Belda ³ [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4307-5072) Priscila Sala ¹ , Karine Clément 4,5 , Maria-Carlota Dao 3,5 [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6284-7352) Joel Doré 6 , Florence Levenez ⁶ , Carla R. Taddei ⁷ [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0869-0651) Danielle Cristina Fonseca ¹ , Ilanna Marques Rocha ¹ [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2945-6872) Bianca Depieri Balmant ¹ , Andrew Maltez Thomas ⁸ [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5789-3354) Marco A. Santo ¹ , Emmanuel Dias-Neto 8,9 [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5670-8559) João Carlos Setubal 10,11 [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9174-2816) Jean-Daniel Zucker 2,5, Giliane Belarmino ¹ , Raquel Susana Torrinhas 1,**† **and Dan L. Waitzberg 1,**†

- ¹ Department of Gastroenterology, FMUSP, School of Medicine, University of São Paulo, Digestive Surgery Discipline (LIM 35), São Paulo 01246-903, Brazil; sala.priscila@gmail.com (P.S.); daniellecf_25@hotmail.com (D.C.F.); ilanna.marques@gmail.com (I.M.R.); biancadepieribalmant@hotmail.com (B.D.B.); santomarco@uol.com.br (M.A.S.); gilianebelarmino@gmail.com (G.B.); rtorrinhas@gmail.com (R.S.T.); dan@ganep.com.br (D.L.W.)
- ² Sorbonne Universités, IRD, Unité de Modélisation Mathématique et Informatique des Systèmes Complexes (UMMISCO), F-93143 Bondy, France; edi.prifti@ird.fr (E.P.); jean-daniel.zucker@ird.fr (J.-D.Z.)
- 3 Institute of Cardiometabolism and Nutrition (ICAN) Integromics Team, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, 75013 Paris, France; e.belda@ican-institute.org (E.B.); carlota.dao@tufts.edu (M.-C.D.)
- ⁴ Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris, Nutrition Department, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, 75013 Paris, France; karine.clement@psl.aphp.fr
- ⁵ Sorbonne Université, INSERM, NutriOmics Research Unit, 75013 Paris, France
- ⁶ Université Paris-Saclay, INRA, MetaGenoPolis, AgroParisTech, MICALIS, 78350 Jouy-en-Josas, France; joel.dore@inra.fr (J.D.); florence.levenez@inra.fr (F.L.)
- ⁷ Department of Clinical Analysis and Toxicology, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of São Paulo, São Paulo 05508-000, Brazil; crtaddei@usp.br
- ⁸ Medical Genomics Laboratory, CIPE/A.C. Camargo Cancer Center, São Paulo 01525-001, Brazil; andrew.thomas@accamargo.org.br (A.M.T.); emmanuel@accamargo.org.br (E.D.-N.)
- ⁹ Laboratory of Neurosciences (LIM-27) Alzira Denise Hertzog Silva, Institute of Psychiatry, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), São Paulo 05403-010, Brazil
- ¹⁰ Department of Biochemistry, Institute of Chemistry, University of São Paulo, São Paulo 05508-220, Brazil; setubal@iq.usp.br
- ¹¹ Biocomplexity Institute of Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA
- ***** Correspondence: karinaalassal@gmail.com; Tel./Fax: +55-11-3061-7459
- † These authors contributed equally.

Received: 7 October 2019; Accepted: 17 December 2019; Published: 21 January 2020

Abstract: Gut microbiota composition is influenced by environmental factors and has been shown to impact body metabolism. Objective: To assess the gut microbiota profile before and after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) and the correlation with food intake and postoperative type 2 diabetes remission (T2Dr). Design: Gut microbiota profile from obese diabetic women was evaluated before (*n* = 25) and 3 (*n* = 20) and 12 months (*n* = 14) after RYGB, using MiSeq Illumina-based V4 bacterial *16S rRNA* gene profiling. Data on food intake (7-day record) and T2Dr (American Diabetes Association (ADA) criteria) were recorded. Results: Preoperatively, the abundance of five bacteria genera differed between patients with (57%) and without T2Dr (*p* < 0.050). Preoperative gut bacteria genus signature was able to predict the T2Dr status with 0.94 accuracy ROC curve (receiver operating characteristic curve). Postoperatively (vs. preoperative), the relative abundance of some gut bacteria genera changed,

the gut microbial richness increased, and the *Firmicutes* to *Bacteroidetes* ratio (rFB) decreased (*p* < 0.05) regardless of T2Dr. Richness levels was correlated with dietary profile pre and postoperatively, mainly displaying positive and inverse correlations with fiber and lipid intakes, respectively (*p* < 0.05). Conclusions: Gut microbiota profile was influenced by RYGB and correlated with diet and T2Dr preoperatively, suggesting the possibility to assess its composition to predict postoperative T2Dr.

Keywords: bariatric surgery; type 2 diabetes mellitus; gut microbiota

1. Introduction

Obesity is a worldwide epidemic associated with an increasing prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2D) and its associated comorbidities. Over the past 10 years, animal and human studies have shown that obesity and its comorbidities are accompanied by changes in intestinal microbiota composition [1,2]. Long-term gut dysbiosis could promote epigenetic and metagenomic changes, which will further contribute to diabetes development [3]. Several factors associated with development of obesity, such as diet and lifestyle, can influence the gut bacteria profile, which in turn may contribute to obesity development [4,5]. For instance, high-fat diets can alter gut microbiota composition [6] and this change may be associated with obesity [5].

Whether altered gut microbiota composition has a subordinate or effector relationship with obesity remains to be better understood. However, evidence has suggested that commensal enteric bacteria may modulate the endogenous metabolism by influencing host energy harvest [7] and the release of intestinal hormones that regulate peripheral metabolism, such as insulin sensitivity, glucose tolerance, fat storage, and appetite [8]. Furthermore, gut microbiota may be a key factor influencing the complex regulation of body weight [1,2]. In germfree mice the fecal transplant of obese animals induced weight gain [1,9]. In humans, reduced microbial gene richness and diversity were associated with increased BMI (body mass index), low grade-inflammation, and insulin resistance [10,11].

Bariatric surgery is an integral part of severe obesity treatment and, particularly, the Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) surgery is effective in inducing fast and significant weight loss with improvement or remission of obesity related complications in most of patients [12,13]. RYGB is quite efficient for T2D resolution and considered by the Metabolic Surgery Guideline as the elective surgery of choice for improving metabolic control in diabetic patients [14–16]. After RYGB, experimental and clinical studies have reported changes in gut microbiota composition and diversity including a reduction in the Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio (rFB) [2,17]. Furthermore, an increase in *Proteobacteria* species has been consistently observed in humans [13,14,17,18] and rodents [19,20] following bariatric procedures.

RYGB-induced changes in microbiota are usually associated with weight loss and metabolic improvements [12,17,21]. When evaluating the fecal microbiota profile of obese diabetic patients before and after RYGB, Murphy R et al. [22] found increased preoperative levels of *Desulfovibrio* in patients without postoperative T2D remission when compared to patients who achieved this metabolic improvement. This finding, if confirmed, could impact the predictive outcome of RYGB for T2D resolution.

The gastric volume reduction, included in the RYGB technique, decreases dramatically the food intake amount. Individual alterations in diet can modify the gut microbiota [23] and should be taken into account when considering gut microbiota changes after bariatric procedures. Our study was designed to assess the gut bacteria profile from obese diabetic patients before and after RYGB and its correlation with food intake. Furthermore, we tested possible correlations between gut microbiota profile and postoperative T2D remission, to assess whether gut bacteria may influence this metabolic outcome.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Ethical Issues

This study was performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the World Medical Association (WMA) Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee (Reference: CAPPesq 1011/09) and forms part of a major clinical trial [\(www.clinicaltrials.gov](www.clinicaltrials.gov) NCT01251016), which patient recruitment procedures and the partial study design were previously published elsewhere [24]. Written informed consent was obtained from each patient prior to recruitment.

2.2. Patients

Obese women (*n* = 25) who were candidates for RYGB were recruited from the Coloproctology and Digestive Surgery Service of Hospital das Clínicas of the University of São Paulo, School of Medicine (HC-FMUSP). The inclusion criteria were as follows: (i) aged 18–60 years; (ii) BMI ≥ 35 kg/m²; (iii) confirmed diagnosis of T2D with a fasting glucose ≥ 126 mg/dL; (iv) glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) \geq 7%, and (v) current use of oral anti-diabetic drugs. Patients were excluded for the following reasons: (i) *Helicobacter pylori* infection; (ii) diagnosis of thyroid or hepatic disease; (iii) use of antibiotics during the month preceding fecal sample collection; (iv) use of probiotics or prebiotics, and (v) current or recent participation in another interventional study. All patients underwent open RYGB surgery without silicon rings with standardized lengths for biliary-pancreatic loops (50–60 cm) and feed handles (100–120 cm). All the analyses and data collection of this study were performed before and 3 and 12 months after RYGB.

2.3. Metabolic Markers

Blood samples were collected in tubes containing ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) after a 12-h fast. Plasma was obtained by centrifugation (2800 rpm at 4 ◦C for 10 min) and was used to determine the levels of C-peptide, glucose, insulin, HbA1c, high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL), and triglycerides. These analyses were performed at the Clinical Laboratory of the HC-FMUSP using enzymatic methods (glucose and lipid profiles), liquid chromatography (HbA1c), and electrochemiluminescence (insulin and C-peptide). Data were used to calculate homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) levels and to identify hypercholesterolemia, hypertriglyceridemia, and metabolic syndrome, according to the criteria of the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) [25]. The intake of medication for metabolic control (i.e., diabetes, hypertriglyceridemia, hypertension) was also recorded.

2.4. T2D Remission

Total T2D remission was defined in accordance with American Diabetes Association (ADA) criteria that considers the absence of oral anti-diabetic medication intake or other anti-diabetic procedures along with fasting glucose < 100 mg/dL and HbA1c < 42.1 mmol/mol for at least one year after surgery [26,27].

2.5. Anthropometric and Body Composition Variables

Current body weight was measured with the patient standing barefoot in the center of a calibrated electronic platform scale (Life Measurement Instruments, Concord, CA, USA) wearing only underwear. For height assessment (in cm), we used a stadiometer (SannyTM, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) with the patient standing barefoot with heels together, spine erect, and arms extended next to the body. Waist (narrowest diameter between the xiphoid process and the iliac crest) and hip (widest diameter over the greater trochanters) measurements were determined as circumferences by adjusting a tape in the horizontal plane to derive the waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) [28,29].

2.6. Food Intake

A seven-day food record was applied one week before stool sample collection. The amount of food consumed was recorded in terms of cooking units (such as tablespoons and cups), guided by illustrations from a manual, which was offered to all the participating patients [30]. The mean calorie, carbohydrate, protein, fat, fiber, and vitamin B12 intake was estimated by using Virtual Nutri Plus[®] software [31] and two food chemical composition tables commonly used in Brazil: Tabela Brasileira de Composição de Alimentos-TACO [32] and Tabela de Composição de Alimentos: Suporte para Decisão Nutricional (Sônia Tucunduva) [33]. The fiber/lipid intake ratio (rF/L) was also calculated by dividing the mean of seven days record of fiber intake by the mean of seven days record of fat intake for each patient at each preoperative and postoperative time points studied.

2.7. Microbiome Profiling

All patients collected their own fecal samples at home using a specimen collection system (Fisher Scientific, Hampton, NH, USA). Samples were frozen at −20 ◦C and transported in containers with dry ice to our laboratory by a courier service specialized in the transport of biological samples under controlled temperatures. Fecal samples were aliquoted immediately (without thawing) and stored at −80 ◦C. The frozen aliquots were then shipped to MetaGenoPoliS at Jouy-en-Josas, France (http://[www.mgps.eu\)](http://www.mgps.eu), where total DNA was extracted and stored at −20 ◦C, as detailed in the International Human Microbiome Standards (IHMS) SOP06 (http://[www.microbiome-standards.org\)](http://www.microbiome-standards.org).

The V4 region of the *16S rRNA* gene of the microbiota was amplified using the two following primers [34]:

V4F: TCGTCGGCAGCCAGTGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGGTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA.

V4R: GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGGGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT.

Amplifications were generated in two steps using a custom preparation protocol (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). The first amplification was performed in duplicate, and the amplicons were pooled in the first clean-up. Pooled samples were loaded onto the Illumina MiSeq clamshell-style cartridge kit v2 at 500 cycles for paired-end 250 sequencing at a final concentration of 12 pM. The library was clustered to a density of approximately 800 k/mm². The MiSeq platform provided image analysis, base calling, and data quality assessment (Faculdade de Ciências Farmacêuticas, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil).

2.8. Bioinformatics and Statistical Analysis

Sequencing data were analyzed using Mothur software version 1.36 [35]. Paired-end Illumina MiSeq V4 reads were assembled and filtered according to the Mothur MiSeq SOP (http://[www.mothur.](http://www.mothur.org/wiki/MiSeq_SOP) org/wiki/[MiSeq_SOP\)](http://www.mothur.org/wiki/MiSeq_SOP) as described previously [34]. In brief, contigs, or sets of overlapping DNA segments, were created by overlapping Read1 and Read2, and V4 amplification primers were identified (maximum of two mismatches) and trimmed. Contigs were then screened to remove sequences divergent from the expected range (between 250 and 275 bp), those with ambiguous base calls, and those with misalignments to the V4 region. Unique sequences and their frequency in each sample were identified and followed by a preclustering algorithm that was used to remove 'noise' from sequences within each sample [36]. Sequences were screened for chimeras using the UCHIME algorithm [37], and a naive Bayesian classifier was applied to each sequence using the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) *16S rRNA* gene training set (v.9), and an 80% confidence threshold. Using Mothur, we filtered out sequences belonging to non-Eubacterial domains. Finally, sequences were split into groups according to their taxonomy at the level of order, and then operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were created at a 3% maximum dissimilarity level.

To avoid the bias associated with variable sequencing depth, each sample was downsized to 61,000 reads and then further normalized by the number of sequenced reads that were clustered in the operational taxonomic units (OTUs), yielding relative abundances that were subsequently analyzed with the MetaOMineR package [38]. The genus and OTU richness were computed using a downsizing and upsizing procedure at a final level of 100,000 reads. We computed Spearman's correlation coefficient, and for those cases when the coefficient was 1, we performed a principal coordinate analysis (PCA) for patients providing samples at all three time points $(n = 14)$. Nonparametric statistics: Mann–Whitney, Spearman correlation, and Kruskal–Wallis were applied when using microbiome data. Time-course analysis was performed using paired tests for each postoperative time point compared with baseline; this allowed us to maximize power. *p*-values were adjusted for multiple testing using the Benjamini–Hochberg (BH) procedure and were considered to be significant when the False Discovery Rate (FDR) was <5%, unless otherwise specified. Analysis and visualization were performed using the R statistical computing environment. Correlations were evaluated by using Spearman's correlation coefficient (rho).

Predictive analyses were performed using the kernlab R package with a support vector machine (SVM) classification model (linear kernel) with default parameters and an optimized $c = 10$ in cross validation. The model was generated using all data points, $n = 14$ samples at baseline (six T2D) nonremitted and eight T2D remitted). Due to the low number of examples, the algorithm was tested in cross validation with $k = 2$ (i.e., 50% training and 50% testing) drawn 50 times with different seeds (i.e., a total of 100 k-folds). Different models were created with subsets of the most correlated variables. The final model was selected with a number of features, which maximized results in cross-validation training. Graphics were built using R basic plots and the ggplot2 package.

3. Results

3.1. Patients

The baseline patient cohort was composed of 25 obese women before RYGB, with a BMI \geq 46 kg/m² (SD 5.25). As illustrated in Figure 1, some patients voluntarily withdrew from the study after $3 (n = 5)$ and 12 (*n* = 6) months of the surgery. Therefore, microbiota composition and richness, food intake, body composition and metabolic markers were assessed in 25 patients (mean age 45.80 ± 7.95) during the preoperative period and in 20 (mean age 46.80 ± 6.20) and 14 (mean age 46.50 ± 5.91) patients at 3 and 12 months after RYGB surgery, respectively. From these patients, the following data were lost: fat mass, fasting C peptide, and fasting insulin from one patient, HOMA-IR, and VLDL from two patients and diet intake record from five patients at the preoperative; HbA1c from one patient and fasting insulin, fasting C peptide and HOMA-IR from three patients at the 3-month postoperative time point; and fasting C peptide from one patient at the 6-month postoperative time point. Laboratory and microbiota profile associations with T2D remission were assessed in the 14 patients with complete data for all the periods, eight with T2D remission (mean age 48.90 ± 4.09) and six without T2D remission (mean age 43.30 ± 6.80).

3.2. RYGB Surgery Improved Body, Metabolic and Food Intake Profiles

Anthropometric and body composition variables were improved 3 months after surgery, including reduced body weight, reduced BMI, reduced waist circumference, and reduced body fat percentage (Table 1, Figure 2). Levels of C-peptide, glucose, insulin, HOMA-IR, HbA1c, and glucose tolerance were also improved (Table 1, Figure 2). Furthermore, 93% of our patients had stopped taking metformin (Table 1, Figure 2) and had reduced their energy intake, including reductions in carbohydrates, fats, and protein intakes (Table 1, Figure 2). At 12 months after RYGB surgery, anthropometric and body composition variables continued to improve, with a significant reduction in BMI, fat mass loss (12.8%), and lean mass gain (5%), except for one patient (Table 1, Figure 2). Medication intake for hypertension and lipid control was reduced in all patients. Energy and carbohydrate intake increased compared to the 3-month postoperative data (Table 1). No changes were observed in the fiber/lipid intake ratio (rF/L) at this time point (Table 1, Figure 2), there was a decrease in total fiber intake at both 3 and 12 months post RYGB in comparison with baseline data (Table 1, Figure S1).

Figure 1. Flowchart of the patient inclusion. Stool samples were collected at each time point studied. **Figure 1.** Flowchart of the patient inclusion. Stool samples were collected at each time point studied. Legend: A total of 25 patients were included in the study at preoperative, but five and six of them Legend: A total of 25 patients were included in the study at preoperative, but five and six of them voluntarily withdrew 3 and 12 months after surgery, respectively. The black boxes contain the voluntarily withdrew 3 and 12 months after surgery, respectively. The black boxes contain the number of patients included at each time point studied with successful stool collection, in order to evaluate evaluate the microbiota composition and richness, food intake, body mass index, biochemical markers of metabolism, and the relationship of microbiota composition and richness with food intake (**A**) and metabolism, and the relationship of microbiota composition and richness with food intake (**A**) and the the relationship of microbiota composition and richness with type 2 diabetes remission (**B**). * except percentage of fat mass, fasting C peptide, and fasting insulin (*n* = 24), for homeostatic model assessment for percentage of fat mass, fasting C peptide, and fasting insulin (*n* = 24), for homeostatic model of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) (*n* = 23) and for diet intake $(n = 20)$; [#] except for glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) (*n* = 19) and for fasting insulin, fasting C peptide for diet intervention of glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) (*n* = 19) and for fasting insulin, fasting e-peptide and HOMA-IR ($n = 17$); $^{\circledcirc}$ except for fasting C peptide ($n = 13$). the microbiota composition and richness, food intake, body mass index, biochemical markers of relationship of microbiota composition and richness with type 2 diabetes remission (**B**). * except for

data available at the three time points studied. In these patients, most of clinical, anthropometric, body composition, and biochemical variables improved significantly 3 and/or 12 months after surgery, except for the waist/hip ratio, plasma levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and total cholesterol, except for the walls, rip ratio, palsing evers of fow density inpeptiodic enorgy conditions and the frequency of metabolic syndrome (vs. preoperative; Supplementary Table S1. The six patients without T2D remission had the same benefits, as those with T2D remission in relation to ₋
anthropometric and body composition variables, but most of clinical and biochemical variables did not change after the surgery, except by a decrease in fasting glucose, glycated hemoglobin, fasting was a the bargain one property a decrease in additional gracese, gr, enter nethington, nething insulin, and insulin resistance 3 months and/or 12 months after surgery and an increase of the plasma
. high-density lipoprotein cholesterol when comparing these postoperative time points (vs. preoperative; Supplementary Table S2). Both patients with and without T2D remission had an overall decrease We found similar results for the eight patients that achieved T2D remission and selected for having

in food intake 3 and 12 months after surgery. However, only patients with T2D remission did not decrease the insoluble and soluble fiber intake. Those without T2D remission did not decrease saturated fat intakes (vs. preoperative; Supplementary Tables S2 and S3). When comparing the two groups of patients, significant differences were observed only for the following biochemical variables: at preoperative period fasting insulin levels was higher in patients with T2D remission than in patients without and at 12 months after surgery T2D remission patients had a decrease for hypercholesterolemia, hypertriglyceridemia, fasting glucose, and glycated hemoglobin (*p* < 0.050). No changes were observed for all the clinical, anthropometric, body composition, and food intake variables studied, although patients with T2D remission presented a borderline nonsignificant higher rF/L intake than patients without T2D remission at the 12-month postoperative time point ($p = 0.059$).

Figure 2. Clinical, anthropometric, body composition, and biochemical features and metformin and **Figure 2.** Clinical, anthropometric, body composition, and biochemical features and metformin and dietary intakes in obese patients with type 2 diabetes before and after 3 and 12 months of Roux en-Y dietary intakes in obese patients with type 2 diabetes before and after 3 and 12 months of Roux en-Y gastric bypass. Legend: data were collected at preoperative period (brown boxes; *n* = 25), at 3 months gastric bypass. Legend: data were collected at preoperative period (brown boxes; *n* = 25), at 3 months (red boxes; *n* = 20) and 12 (orange boxes; *n* = 14) months postoperatively. Metabolic syndrome and (red boxes; *n* = 20) and 12 (orange boxes; *n* = 14) months postoperatively. Metabolic syndrome and metformin use are shown when present (salmon bars) and absent (turquoise bars) at the three metformin use are shown when present (salmon bars) and absent (turquoise bars) at the three observed periods. (A) Markers of body composition (weight, body mass index, hip circumference and fat mass), (B) frequency of metabolic syndrome and changes in insulin resistance markers (fasting insulin, homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA) index and C-peptide) were significantly lower 3 and 12 months after Roux en-Y gastric bypass than at the preoperative. (C) Metformin (%) and markers of food intake (energy and carbohydrates) also were significantly lower 3 and 12 months after Roux en-Y gastric bypass than at the preoperative, except for fiber/lipid ratio.

Table 1. Clinical, anthropometric, body composition, biochemical, and daily food intake features from obese patients with type 2 diabetes, before and after 3 and 12 months of Roux en-Y gastric bypass.

Legend: Data expressed in mean ± standard deviation or absolute percentage. Significant differences between periods are highlighted in bold, according to the following comparisons: * 3-month postoperative (*n* = 20) versus preoperative ($n = 25$); # 12-month postoperative ($n = 14$) versus preoperative; ω 3-month postoperative versus 12-month postoperative. Most variables were significantly lower 3 and/or 12 months after surgery than at preoperative, except waist:hip ratio, diastolic and systolic blood pressures, and fiber:lipid ratio and vitamin B12 intakes. Missing data: one patient for percentage of fat mass, fasting C peptide, and fasting insulin, two patients for insulin resistance and very-low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and five patients for diet intake at the preoperative; one patient for glycated hemoglobin and for fasting insulin, and three patients for fasting C peptide and insulin resistance at the 3-month postoperative time point; one patient for fasting C peptide at the 12-month postoperative time point. A1C, glycated hemoglobin; B12, vitamin B12; BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; DXA, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; F:L ratio, fiber to lipid ratio; FG, fasting glucose; FI, fasting insulin; FM, fat mass; HC, hip circumference; HDL, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; Hiperchol., hypercholesterolemia; Hypertrigl., hypertriglyceridemia; HOMA-IR, insulin resistance index; IF, insoluble fiber; LDL, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; MetS, metabolic syndrome; MSF, monounsaturated fat; PUF, polyunsaturated fat; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SF, soluble fiber; TC, total cholesterol; Triglyc., triglycerides; VLDL, very-low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; WC, waist circumference.

3.3. Patients with Total T2D Remission Displayed a Distinct Genus Signature Before RYGB Surgery

For all assessed time points, there was no significant difference in genus richness between patients with $(n = 8)$ and without $(n = 6)$ total T2D remission. However, at the genus level, for the preoperative time point, patients with total T2D remission had significantly lower levels of *Asaccharobacter* and *Atopobium* and higher levels of *Gemella, Coprococcus*, and *Desulfovibrio* when compared to the levels of patients without T2D remission (*p* < 0.050) (Figure 3A–E).

Figure 3. Gut bacteria genera at the preoperative period in obese patients classified according to type 2 2 diabetes remission after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. Legend*:* comparison at the preoperative period of gut bacteria genus profile between patients classified, after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, according of gut bacteria genus profile between patients classified, after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, according to to presence (blue boxes; *n* = 8) and absence of T2D remission (red boxes; *n* = 6). There was a higher relative abundance of (A) Asaccharobacter ($p = 0.038$) and (B) Stopobium ($p = 0.047$) and a lower relative abundance of (C) Gemella ($p = 0.018$), (D) Coprococcus ($p = 0.029$), and (E) Desulsovibrio relative abundance of (**C**) Gemella (*p* = 0.018), (**D**) Coprococcus (*p* = 0.029), and (**E**) Desulsovibrio (*p* = $(p = 0.030)$ in the patients with T2D remission than in patients without. diabetes remission after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. Legend: comparison at the preoperative period

role to predict the outcome of T2D remission after surgery. We tried this hypothesis in 14 patients by building a multivariate model using Support Vector Machines (SVM). This analysis showed that with only 10 bacterial genera features, we could correctly classify all patients in terms of their T2D remission status (Figure 4B). Furthermore, when testing the generalization of the SVM approach in a cross-validation (see methods), we found that the prediction generalized fairly well, with a mean test accuracy of 0.94 in the ROC curve (receiver operating characteristic curve). (Figure 4A), a standard error of the mean <0.01, and a confidence interval ranging from 0.92 to 0.96. Since they require a larger training set due to the larger number of parameters. These 10 genera displayed differences in $t_{\rm H}$ require a larger training set due to the larger number of $t_{\rm H}$ and $t_{\rm H}$ generalized. These $t_{\rm H}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ is abundance between the two groups (Figure 4B). The univariate associations results suggested the preoperative gut microbiome to have a potential abundance and prevalence between the two groups (Figure 4B).

3.4. RYGB Surgery Was Associated with Changes in the Gut Bacteria Profile Regardless of Total T2D Remission

V4 16S $rRNA$ amplicons, derived from fecal samples, generated a mean of $141,200 \pm 82,194$ high quality reads, with a minimum of 61,100 reads. As described above, we computed genus richness as the number of genera present in a given sample. Compared with the preoperative period, log-transformed genus richness changed following RYGB surgery, with a significant increase 3 months after surgery (*n* = 20, *p* < 0.006, Mann–Whitney paired test) and a trend towards an increase 12 months after surgery (*n* = 14, *p* < 0.060) compared with the richness at 3 months. The richness distribution at each period is shown in Figure 5A. However, the operational taxonomic unit (OTU) richness, which correlated with genus richness (*rho* = 0.69), showed similar but nonsignificant trends. The principal coordinates analyses (PCoA) (Figure 5B) showed the overall changes in the gut microbiome, as seen in the first two components; both principal components correlated with genus richness (*rho* > 0.41), with components 1 and 2 best explaining the separation between time points, which explained 36% of the genus abundance variation. Both principal components correlated with genus richness (*rho* > 0.41). This analysis showed a shift along the first axis from preoperative to 12 months after surgery and along the second axis towards 3 months after surgery, which remained stable until 12 months post-RYGB surgery. At the phylum level, the ratio of Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes (rFB) decreased significantly only 12 months after RYGB surgery when compared to the preoperative value ($rFB = 1.53$ vs. 3.27, $p < 0.046$ (paired Mann–Whitney)). This finding was supported by a paired test on log_{10} transformed values (Figure 5C). The microbiome at the genus level shifted considerably 3 months following RYGB surgery when

compared with the preoperative baseline microbiome. At 3 months, nine different bacterial genera were more abundant: *Veillonella*, *Streptococcus*, *Gemella*, *Oribacterium*, *Atopobium*, one unclassified *Lactobacillales* genus, *Leptotrichia*, *Neisseria*, and one unclassified *Pasteurellaceae* genus. One genus (*Faecalibacterium*) was less abundant (*FDR* < 0.050; Figure 5D). At 12 months after surgery, when compared with the preoperative baseline values, we observed an increase in *Veillonella* and *Streptococcus* and a decrease in *Flavonifractor*, *Blautia*, and *Butyricicoccus* (*p* < 0.005) (Figure 5D). Only the *Oribacterium* genus significantly decreased between the 3- and 12-month time points postoperatively (*p* < 0.003). Postoperative changes in gut microbiota richness and composition were observed regardless of T2D remission status, and no specific postoperative genus signature discriminating patients who achieved this metabolic outcome were identified.

Figure 4. Prediction of type 2 diabetes mellitus remission after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass in obese **Figure 4.** Prediction of type 2 diabetes mellitus remission after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass in obese patients based on the preoperative gut bacteria signature. Legend: (**A**) ROC plot of a classification patients based on the preoperative gut bacteria signature. Legend: (**A**) ROC plot of a classification model built with the 10 bacteria genera that most correlated with the type 2 diabetes remission status model built with the 10 bacteria genera that most correlated with the type 2 diabetes remission status with a linear kernel showing a 100% sensitivity and specificity in predicting the outcome. (**B**). Left: with a linear kernel showing a 100% sensitivity and specificity in predicting the outcome. (**B**). Left: boxplot graphic showing the abundance distribution of the 10 bacteria genera that most correlated boxplot graphic showing the abundance distribution of the 10 bacteria genera that most correlated with the type 2 diabetes remission status among patients with (blue boxes; *n* = 8) and without (red boxes; *n* = 6) type 2 diabetes remission. Right: prevalence of these genera in patients with (blue triangles; $n = 8$) and without (red triangles; $n = 6$) type 2 diabetes remission and in all patient samples (gray bars) (gray bars) is indicated as a percentage of the total follow-up cohort (*n* = 14). is indicated as a percentage of the total follow-up cohort (*n* = 14).

3.4. RYGB Surgery Was Associated with Changes in the Gut Bacteria Profile Regardless of Total T2D 3.5. Genus Richness Was Associated with the Food Intake Profile

Remission The impact of energy and nutrient (carbohydrates, protein, fiber, and lipids; rFL, and vitamin B12) individual correlations. At preoperative baseline, the genus richness had a positive correlation with the rFL (*rho* = 0.60, *p* < 0.005) (*FDR* < 0.013) (Figure 6A) and with fiber intake alone (*rho* = 0.51, *p* < 0.021) (*FDR* < 0.048) (Figure 6B). Compared to the preoperative baseline richness, the fold change in genus richness 3 months after surgery had a direct correlation with the fold change in rFL (*rho* = 0.55, *p* < 0.012, FDR < 0.03) (Figure 6C) and an inverse correlation with the fold change in lipids (rho = -0.46, p < 0.043, FDR < 0.051) (Figure 6D) as well as the fold change in vitamin B12 ($rho = -0.47$, $p < 0.036$, FDR < 0.051) (Figure 6E) intake. The inverse correlation between the fold change in lipid intake and the fold change in genus richness persisted until 12 months after surgery (*rho* = -0.57, *p* < 0.033) (Figure 6F). Taking all the study time points together, we searched for correlations between the intake of different dietary macronutrients and the levels of particular bacterial genera, by analyzing each patient individually. Lipid intake had a direct correlation with levels of one unclassified genus of *Acidaminococcaceae* σ_{R}^{th} = 0.68 $n < 0.001$) and an inverse correlation with *Parahacteroides* lovels (*rho* = -0.57 $n < 0.008$) \mathcal{O}_1 . This analysis showed a shift along the first axis from preoperative to 12 months after surgery surgery intake on genus richness was analyzed at each time point of the study for each patient, in order to test (*rho* = 0.68, *p* < 0.001) and an inverse correlation with *Parabacteroides* levels (*rho* = −0.57, *p* < 0.008).

Interestingly, protein intake showed an inverse correlation with *Akkermansia* levels (*rho* = −0.63, $p < 0.002$) and a direct correlation with levels of one unclassified *Veillonellaceae (rho* = 0.57, $p < 0.008$). Carbohydrate intake showed an inverse correlation with levels of *Butyricimonas* (*rho* = −0.64, *p* < 0.003) and one unclassified Proteobacteria ($rho = -0.57$, $p < 0.009$) and a positive correlation with Rothia levels $(rho = 0.64, p < 0.003)$, as well as with rFL, which showed a strong positive correlation with *Collinsella* levels (*rho* = 0.72, $p < 0.0004$).

Figure 5. Changes of the gut microbioma composition and richness in obese patients after 3 and 12 **Figure 5.** Changes of the gut microbioma composition and richness in obese patients after 3 and months after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. Legend: (**A**) boxplots showing that, in comparison to the 12 months after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. Legend: (**A**) boxplots showing that, in comparison to the preoperative (brown boxes), the gut bacteria genus richness increased after 3 months (red boxes) of preoperative (brown boxes), the gut bacteria genus richness increased after 3 months (red boxes) of Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (*p* = 0.006) and remained increased at the postoperative 12 months (orange Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (*p* = 0.006) and remained increased at the postoperative 12 months (orange boxes; $p = 0.066$ vs. postoperative 3-months). (**B**) Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) showing overall segregation of the gut microbiome profile (at genus level) from the preoperative (brown circle/dots) to the 3-month (red circle/dots) and 12-month (orange circle/dots) postoperative time points, circle/dots) to the 3-month (red circle/dots) and 12-month (orange circle/dots) postoperative time according to the most discriminating factors by dissimilarity (PC01 with 21% discrimination (y axis) according to the most discriminating factors by dissimilarity (PC01 with 21% discrimination (y dias)
and PC02 with 15% discrimination (x axis). **(C**) Boxplots showing that the Firmicutes:Bacteroidetes ratio (rFB) decreased significantly only 12 months after RYGB $(p = 0.043)$. (**D**,**E**) Heatmaps of the most Firmicutes:Bacteroidetes ratio (rFB) decreased significantly only 12 months after RYGB (*p* = 0.043). discriminating gut bacteria genera between the periods studied showing changes in its abundance (**p** axis) by patient (x axes): in comparison to the preoperative (pre; (D) and (E) left heatmaps), nine bacteria genera became more abundant and only one genus became less abundant at the 3-month postoperative time point (3 m; (D) right heatmap), while only two bacteria genera became more point (3 m; (D) right heatmap), while only two bacteria genera became more abundant and three bacteria genera became less abundant at the 12-month postoperative time point (12 m; (**E**) right heatmap). The intensity of square's color (light to dark) reflects the intensity of gut $\frac{1}{2}$ bacteria genera abundance. * *p* < 0.005. reflects the intensity of gut bacteria genera abundance. * *p* < 0.005. an overall segregation of the gut microbiome profile (at genus level) from the preoperative (brown

Figure 6. Correlation between food intake profile and gut microbiome richness in obese women with type 2 diabetes mellitus before and 3 and 12 months after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. Legend: scatterplots indicating correlations (Pearson test) between gut microbiome richness at the bacteria scatterplots indicating correlations (Pearson test) between gut microbiome richness at the bacteria genus level (x axis) and food intake profiles (y axis), where the red lines show whether these were genus level (x axis) and food intake profiles (y axis), where the red lines show whether these were positive (increasing lines) and negative (decreasing lines). The correlations were tested considering each patient (dots), with a direct approach for the preoperative period and between fold changes in microbiome richness and dietary intake after surgery (vs. preoperative) for the postoperative periods. Preoperativelly (pre), there was a positive correlation for fiber:lipid ratio (**A**) ($p = 0.005$, $q = 0.013$) and fiber (**B**) ($p = 0.021$, $q = 0.048$) intake with the gut microbiome richness (**A**,**B**); at the 3-month postoperative time point (M3), there was a positive correlation between the fold changes for fiber:lipid ratio (**C**) ($p = 0.012$, $q = 0.027$) and a negative correlation for vitamin B12 (**D**) ($p = 0.036$, $q = 0.051$) intake with the fold change of gut microbiome richness (C,D) , respectively. At the 3-month $(M3, (E); p = 0.043,$ $q = 0.051$) and 12-month (M12, (**F**); $p = 0.033$, $q = 0.120$) postoperative time points, there was a negative correlation between the fold change of lipid intake and the fold change of gut microbiome richness.

4. Discussion

RYGB is an efficient approach to improve severe obesity and obesity-related T2D, clinical conditions known to be accompanied by gut bacteria dysbiosis [13,14,17,18,22]. In obese diabetic patients, we here confirmed previous studies reporting significant changes in gut microbiota composition and richness following RYGB [17,22]. These changes occurred regardless of postoperative T2D remission. However,

a distinct preoperative genus was observed comparing patients who achieved T2D remission and those who did not. In parallel, individual food intake, mainly in fiber and fat amount, were associated with gut microbiota richness and/or composition. Our data suggest that in T2D obese patients a particular preoperative gut microbiome may be associated, after RYGB, to glycemic homeostasis and that dietary habits may have a role in this process.

Recently, based on metabolic, clinical and genetic levels, Ahlwvist et al. classified adult-onset diabetic patients into five distinct replicable subgroups, two of which were characterized by obesity [39]. From this perspective, different phenotypes of obesity-related T2D may arise from distinct mechanisms leading to insulin resistance. One may then speculate that only patients with total T2D remission following RYGB may present significant intestinal dysbiosis as a main factor influencing glycemic homeostasis, which is reversed by the surgery. This would explain why the bacterial profile between patients with and without total T2D remission was different in the preoperative period and became more similar postoperatively. However, this hypothesis needs further exploration in extended cohorts.

In our study, the preoperative gut bacteria signature of patients with total T2D remission after RYGB included higher levels of the *Desulfovibrio* genus than those of patients without total T2D remission. Several bacteria from the *Desulfovibrio* genus are endotoxins producers and may elicit the release of inflammatory cytokines [40]. Furthermore, they have the ability to reduce sulfate to hydrogen sulfide, which can disrupt the energy metabolism of gut epithelium [41]. Taken together, these properties favor the epithelial cell death and gut barrier damage with consequent bacteria translocation [41,42]. Obesity and diabetes are characterized by a chronic low-grade inflammation that seems to involve gut bacteria dysbiosis and translocation [43]. Higher gut *Desulfobrio* concentrations are reported in pregnant woman with gestational diabetes, compared with pregnant women without gestational diabetes [44]. This observation suggests a potential role of bacteria from this genus on the diabetes development, probably by contributing to the disruption of the gut epithelial barrier.

Of interest and different from our findings, Murphy et al. [22] found a direct association between lower preoperative levels of gut *Desulfovibrio* and T2D remission after RYGB, in a previous study using a similar sample size and methodology. Fecal microbiota can vary in different regions, as observed among Chinese and European populations when studying T2D metagenomic markers [1]. Geographical and environmental aspects of the populations involved in our study and the study conducted by Murphy et al. (Brazil and New Zealand), mainly distinct food intake profile, suggest that the baseline bacterial backgrounds were different between them. For instance, red meat and fat intakes are high in New Zealand [45], probably higher than those found in patients attending a Brazilian public hospital. In fact, at the preoperative period, the patients included in Murphy et al. study presented a different food intake profile than our patients, characterized by a lower consumption of calories and general macronutrients. In our study, food intake profile influenced gut microbiota genus richness. Therefore, these different findings of *Desulfovibrio* levels may be associated with bacterial background within the genus, potentially influenced by distinct eating habits, where one contributes to glycemic homeostasis and the other contributes to the maintenance of insulin resistance.

Accordingly, we found an inverse correlation between gut bacteria richness and decreased fat intake at the postoperative period. An experimental study of mice fed a fat-rich diet reported a significant increase in *Desulfovibrio* along with a functional change in the intestinal barrier and a change in the production of microbial peptides, suggesting that *Desulfovibrio* bacteria can be sensitive to changes in fat intake [46]. It is possible that, in our study, decreased fat intake may have contributed to changes in gut bacteria background that reversed the *Desulfovibrio* prevalence observed in the preoperative gut microbiome and potentially contributed to glycemic homeostasis after RYGB. It is worth noting that decreased fat intake occurred independently of T2D remission, but even patients who did not achieve this metabolic benefit experienced an improvement of markers of glucoses homeostasis (decreased fasting glucose, glycated hemoglobin, fasting insulin, and insulin resistance) after RYGB and have a similar postoperative reduction of HOMA-IR values than patients with T2D remission (*p* > 0.050; Supplementary Figure S1).

Individuals presenting low fecal microbial richness have been shown to exhibit increased adiposity, metabolic disturbances, and inflammatory phenotypes when compared to individuals with high fecal bacterial richness [10]. A direct association between fiber intake and gut bacteria richness was also observed by us and previously reported by Tap et al. [47]. In the present study, the increase in intestinal microbial richness following RYGB surgery was not associated with total T2D remission or improvements in metabolic markers. However, other studies have found a beneficial association, although these authors could not determine cause and effect [12,17]. Furthermore, in our study, only patients without T2D remission decreased total, soluble, and insoluble fiber intake and did not decrease the saturated fat intake. A lack of decrease in the fiber intake by patients with T2D remission was unexpected, facing the restrictive procedures enrolled in the RYGB technique. However, changes in food preference are reported in animals and humans after RYGB and seem to be associated with modifications in taste sensibility and, mainly, digestive motivation [48]. Our observations may have a practical effect on dietary orientation for patients after RYGB, particularly with regard to a higher fiber intake.

One feature observed by us and previously reported by others was an increase in bacteria from the Proteobacteria phylum after RYGB [22,49,50], which may be linked to changes in the pH and oxygenation of the intestinal lumen and modifications in the chewing and digestion of food, providing good conditions for the Enterobacteria growth [51]. Increased Proteobacteria is conversely associated with higher insulin resistance and fat-rich diet intake [52]. However, we and others could not find any relationship between Proteobacteria and BMI, body weight and composition, or biochemical profiles [17,50]. This conflicting evidence reinforces the impression that the potential impact of gut microbiota on clinical outcomes after RYGB may be dependent on the whole gut microbiota ecosystem response to the new surgical-induced gut environment, even at phylum level. Previous research has shown an association between increased Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratios and obesity [53] as well as a reduction in the Firmicutes phylum following RYGB [18,49]. Similar to Murphy et al., we observed an increase in bacteria from the Firmicutes and Actinobacteria phyla 3 months after RYGB [22]. However, although we did not find any postoperative changes between the 3- and 12-month time points in the overall abundance of Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes, we did observe a significant reduction in the Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio 12 months after the surgery. This last finding has been described previously [12,18] and was associated with weight loss and metabolic improvement [2,12,17], although this association is still being debated [54].

Our study has some limitations that deserve to be highlighted. The limitations included a small number of patients, but available studies in this field have samples ranging from three to a maximum of 60 patients [12,13,17,18,22,55]. Furthermore, our obese diabetic population was composed only of women to allow for a more homogeneous sample, as fecal microbiota is known to differ by sex [56]. Although limiting our findings for males, our study has good clinical applicability, as in our public university hospital, there are far more female candidates than male candidates for bariatric surgery. Another limiting factor in our investigation was the absence of a group of obese nondiabetic patients and obese diabetic patients who did not undergo bariatric surgery and who increased their intake of dietary fiber as controls. These groups would have allowed us to identify whether the change in fecal microbiota could be due to RYGB itself or to changes in fiber ingestion. Finally, our analysis of the gut microbiota revealed only the bacterial genus taxonomy, precluding us to associate total T2D remission with changes in specific bacterial species after RYGB.

5. Conclusions

Supporting previous findings, RYGB increased the gut microbiota richness and altered its bacteria genus profile; however, these changes did not correlate with T2D remission. On the other hand, the gut microbiota profile was influenced by diet intake pre and postoperatively and correlated with T2D remission at the preoperative, suggesting that a particular gut microbiome profile, potentially induced by dietary habits, may be present in patients responsive to T2D remission. These findings shed some light on the possibility of evaluating the gut microbiota profile to predict T2D remission after RYGB or modulating this variable (maybe by dietary interventions) to achieve such metabolic benefit.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://[www.mdpi.com](http://www.mdpi.com/2072-6643/12/2/278/s1)/2072-6643/12/2/278/s1, Table S1: Clinical, anthropometric, body composition, biochemical and daily food intake features from obese patients with postoperative type 2 diabetes remission, before and after 3 and 12 months of Roux en-Y gastric bypass, Table S2: Clinical, anthropometric, body composition, biochemical, and daily food intake features from obese patients without postoperative type 2 diabetes remission, before and after 3 and 12 months of Roux en-Y gastric bypass, Figure S1: Behavior of the Homeostatic Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR) in obese patients before and 3 and 12 months after Roux en-Y gastric bypass according to the total type 2 diabetes remission. Legend. Boxplots comparing HOMA-IR between patients with (pink boxes; *n* = 8) and without (blue boxes; *n* = 6) remission of type 2 diabetes after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, at preoperative (pre) and postoperative 3-months (3 m) and 12-months (12 m), where each color-matching dot corresponds to one individual. According to the Wilcox test, no changes were observed between these groups for all time points studied (preoperative, $p = 0.950$; postoperative 3-months, $p = 0790$; postoperative 12-months, $p = 0.660$).

Author Contributions: K.A.A. and D.L.W. contributed to the conception and design of the study. K.A.A., E.P., P.S., J.D., C.R.T., A.M.T., J.C.S., F.L., M.A.S. and E.D.-N. were responsible for the acquisition, analysis, and/or interpretation and discussion of the data. K.A.A., E.P., D.L.W., J.D., G.B. and K.C. drafted the manuscript. K.A.A., P.S., D.L.W., and R.S.T. participated in study design and coordination and helped to draft the manuscript. E.P. and E.B. participated in the design of the study and performed statistical analysis. K.A.A., E.B., C.R.T., A.M.T., E.D.-N., J.D., K.C., J.-D.Z., I.M.R., D.C.F., B.D.B., R.S.T., M.-C.D. and D.L.W. participated in the analysis of the data, critical analysis of the results, and writing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by *Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo* (FAPESP), project no.2011/09612-3. A.M.T. was supported by a FAPESP scholarship (15/01507-7). M.-C.D. and K.C. received funding from EU-Metacardis.

Acknowledgments: We would like to acknowledge the Hospital das Clinicas, São Paulo, Brazil and FAPESP for supporting the study, as well as Institute of Cardiometabolism and Nutrition, Integromics groups (ICAN) and INRA (Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique) for their support. The authors thank the patients who participated in the study. E.D.-N. is a research fellow from Conselho Nacional de Pesquisas (CNPq) and acknowledges the support provided by Associação Beneficente Alzira Denise Hertzog Silva (ABADHS).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Availability of Supporting Data: Nucleotide sequences used for this study have been deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under the accession number SRP113514.

References

- 1. Karlsson, F.H.; Tremaroli, V.; Nookaew, I.; Bergstrom, G.; Behre, C.J.; Fagerberg, B.; Nielsen, J.; Backhed, F. Gut metagenome in European women with normal; impaired and diabetic glucose control. *Nature* **2013**, *498*, 99–103. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12198)
- 2. Ley, R.E.; Turnbaugh, P.J.; Klein, S.; Gordon, J.I. Microbial ecology, human gut microbes associated with obesity. *Nature* **2006**, *444*, 1022–1023. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/4441022a) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17183309)
- 3. Qin, J.; Li, Y.; Cai, Z.; Li, S.; Zhu, J.; Zhang, F. A metagenome-wide association study of gut microbiota in type 2 diabetes. *Nature* **2012**, *490*, 55–60. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11450) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23023125)
- 4. Ades, P.A.; Savage, P.D. Obesity in coronary heart disease, an unaddressed behavioral risk factor. *Prev. Med.* **2017**, *104*, 117–119. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2017.04.013) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28414064)
- 5. Romieu, I.; Dossus, L.; Barquera, S.; Blottiere, H.M.; Franks, P.W.; Gunter, M.; Hwalla, N.; Hursting, S.D.; Leitzmann, M.; Maegetts, B.; et al. Energy balance and obesity, what are the main drivers? *Cancer Causes Control* **2017**, *28*, 247–258. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10552-017-0869-z) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28210884)
- 6. Turnbaugh, P.J.; Ridaura, V.K.; Faith, J.J.; Rey, F.E.; Knight, R.; Gordon, J.I. The effect of diet on the human gut microbiome, a metagenomic analysis in humanized gnotobiotic mice. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2009**, *1*, 6ra14. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3000322)
- 7. Tremaroli, V.; Backhed, F. Functional interactions between the gut microbiota and host metabolism. *Nature* **2012**, *489*, 242–249. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11552)
- 8. Martin, A.M.; Sun, E.W.; Rogers, G.B.; Keating, D.J. The Influence of the Gut Microbiome on Host Metabolism through the Regulation of Gut Hormone Release. *Front. Physiol.* **2019**, *10*, 428. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2019.00428)
- 9. Turnbaugh, P.J.; Ley, R.E.; Mahowald, M.A.; Magrini, V.; Mardis, E.R.; Gordon, J.I. An obesity-associated gut microbiome with increased capacity for energy harvest. *Nature* **2006**, *444*, 1027–1031. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature05414)
- 10. Le Chatelier, E.; Nielsen, T.; Qin, J.; Prifti, E.; Hildebrand, F.; Falony, G. Richness of human gut microbiome correlates with metabolic markers. *Nature* **2013**, *500*, 541–546. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12506)
- 11. Debédat, J.; Clément, K.; Aron-Wisnewsky, J. Gut microbiota dysbiosis in human obesity, impact of bariatric surgery. *Curr. Obes. Rep.* **2019**, *8*, 229–242. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13679-019-00351-3) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31197613)
- 12. Furet, J.P.; Kong, L.C.; Tap, J.; Poitou, C.; Basdevant, A.; Bouillot, J.L.; Mariat, D.; Corthier, G.; Doré, J.; Henegar, C.; et al. Differential adaptation of human gut microbiota to bariatric surgery-induced weight loss, links with metabolic and low-grade inflammation markers. *Diabetes* **2010**, *59*, 3049–3057. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/db10-0253) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20876719)
- 13. Palleja, A.; Kashani, A.; Allin, K.H.; Nielsen, T.; Zhang, C.; Li, Y. Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery of morbidly obese patients induces swift and persistent changes of the individual gut microbiota. *Genome Med.* **2016**, *8*, 67. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13073-016-0312-1) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27306058)
- 14. Zhang, H.; DiBaise, J.K.; Zuccolo, A.; Kudrna, D.; Braidotti, M.; Yu, Y. Human gut microbiota in obesity and after gastric bypass. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2009**, *106*, 2365–2370. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0812600106)
- 15. Sjostrom, L.; Peltonen, M.; Jacobson, P.; Ahlin, S.; Andersson-Assarsson, J.; Anveden, A. Association of bariatric surgery with long-term remission of type 2 diabetes and with microvascular and macrovascular complications. *JAMA* **2014**, *311*, 2297–2304. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.2014.5988)
- 16. Busetto, L.; Dicker, D.; Azran, C.; Batterham, R.L.; Farpour-Lambert, N.; Fried, M. Practical Recommendations of the Obesity Management Task Force of the European Association for the Study of Obesity for the Post-Bariatric Surgery Medical Management. *Obes. Facts* **2017**, *10*, 597–632. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000481825)
- 17. Kong, L.C.; Tap, J.; Aron-Wisnewsky, J.; Pelloux, V.; Basdevant, A.; Bouillot, J.L.; Zucker, J.D.; Doré, J.; Clément, K. Gut microbiota after gastric bypass in human obesity, increased richness and associations of bacterial genera with adipose tissue genes. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2013**, *98*, 16–24. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.113.058743)
- 18. Graessler, J.; Qin, Y.; Zhong, H.; Zhang, J.; Licinio, J.; Wong, M.L. Metagenomic sequencing of the human gut microbiome before and after bariatric surgery in obese patients with type 2 diabetes, correlation with inflammatory and metabolic parameters. *Pharmacogenom. J.* **2013**, *13*, 514–522. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/tpj.2012.43)
- 19. Li, J.V.; Ashrafian, H.; Bueter, M.; Kinross, J.; Sands, C.; le Roux, C.W. Metabolic surgery profoundly influences gut microbial-host metabolic cross-talk. *Gut* **2011**, *60*, 1214–1223. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/gut.2010.234708)
- 20. Liou, A.P.; Paziuk, M.; Luevano, J.M., Jr.; Machineni, S.; Turnbaugh, P.J.; Kaplan, L.M. Conserved shifts in the gut microbiota due to gastric bypass reduce host weight and adiposity. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2013**, *5*, 178ra41. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3005687)
- 21. Peat, C.M.; Kleiman, S.C.; Bulik, C.M.; Carroll, I.M. The Intestinal Microbiome in Bariatric Surgery Patients. *Eur. Eat. Disord. Rev.* **2015**, *23*, 496–503. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/erv.2400) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26426680)
- 22. Murphy, R.; Tsai, P.; Jullig, M.; Liu, A.; Plank, L.; Booth, M. Differential Changes in Gut Microbiota After Gastric Bypass and Sleeve Gastrectomy Bariatric Surgery Vary According to Diabetes Remission. *Obes. Surg.* **2017**, *27*, 917–925. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11695-016-2399-2) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27738970)
- 23. Healey, G.R.; Murphy, R.; Brough, L.; Butts, C.A.; Coad, J. Interindividual variability in gut microbiota and host response to dietary interventions. *Nutr. Rev.* **2017**, *75*, 1059–1080. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nutrit/nux062) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29190368)
- 24. Sala, P.; Belarmino, G.; Machado, N.M.; Cardinelli, C.S.; Al Assal, K.; Silva, M.M.; Fonseca, D.C.; Ishida, R.K.; Santo, M.A.; de Moura, E.G.; et al. The SURMetaGIT study, Design and rationale for a prospective pan-omics examination of the gastrointestinal response to Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery. *J. Int. Med. Res.* **2016**, *44*, 1359–1375. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0300060516667862)
- 25. Alberti, K.G.; Zimmet, P.; Shaw, J. Group IDFETFC. The metabolic syndrome—A new worldwide definition. *Lancet* **2005**, *366*, 1059–1062. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67402-8)
- 26. Booth, H.; Khan, O.; Prevost, T.; Reddy, M.; Dregan, A.; Charlton, J. Incidence of type 2 diabetes after bariatric surgery, population-based matched cohort study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* **2014**, *2*, 963–968. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(14)70214-1)
- 27. Still, C.D.; Wood, G.C.; Benotti, P.; Petrick, A.T.; Gabrielsen, J.; Strodel, W.E. Preoperative prediction of type 2 diabetes remission after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery, a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* **2014**, *2*, 38–45. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(13)70070-6)
- 28. MAK. Avaliação nutricional. In *Guia de Nutrição-Nutrição Clínica no Adulto*; Cuppari, L., Ed.; Editora Manole 1 edição São Paulo Manole: São Paulo, Brazil, 2002.
- 29. Callaway, C.W.; Bouchard, C.; Himes, J.H.; Lohman, T.G.; Martin, A.D. Circumferences. In *Anthropometric Standardization Reference Manual. II*; Logman, T.G., Roche, A.F., Seefeldt, V.C., Eds.; Human Kinetics Books: Champaign, IL, USA, 1988.
- 30. JPM. *Consumo Alimentar–Visualizando Porções–Série Nutrição e Metabolismo*; Guanabara Koogan: Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 2007.
- 31. Silva, M.M.; Sala, P.C.; Cardinelli, C.S.; Torrinhas, R.S.; Waitzberg, D.L. Comparison of Virtual Nutri Plus® and Dietpro 5i® software systems for the assessment of nutrient intake before and after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. *Clinics* **2014**, *69*, 714–722. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.6061/clinics/2014(11)02)
- 32. (NEPA). NdEePeA. *TACO-Tabela Brasileira de Composição de Alimentos*; UNICAMP: Campinas, Brazil, 2011.
- 33. STP. *Tabela de Composição de Alimentos, Suporte para Decisão Nutricional*; São Paulo Manole: Barueri, SP, Brazil, 2002.
- 34. Kozich, J.J.; Westcott, S.L.; Baxter, N.T.; Highlander, S.K.; Schloss, P.D. Development of a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on the MiSeq Illumina sequencing platform. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2013**, *79*, 5112–5120. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01043-13)
- 35. Schloss, P.D.; Westcott, S.L.; Ryabin, T.; Hall, J.R.; Hartmann, M.; Hollister, E.B. Introducing mothur, open-source; platform-independent; community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2009**, *75*, 7537–7541. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01541-09)
- 36. Schloss, P.D.; Gevers, D.; Westcott, S.L. Reducing the effects of PCR amplification and sequencing artifacts on 16S rRNA-based studies. *PLoS ONE* **2011**, *6*, e27310. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0027310) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22194782)
- 37. Edgar, R.C.; Haas, B.J.; Clemente, J.C.; Quince, C.; Knight, R. UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. *Bioinformatics* **2011**, *27*, 2194–2200. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21700674)
- 38. Prifti, E.; Chatelier, E.L. MetaOMineR. A Quantitative Metagenomics Data Analyses Pipeline 1.0. 2014. Available online: https//[cran.r-project.org](https//cran.r-project.org/web/packages/momr/index.html)/web/packages/momr/index.html (accessed on 13 December 2019).
- 39. Ahlqvist, E.; Storm, P.; Käräjämäki, A.; Martinell, M.; Dorkhan, M.; Carlsson, A.; Vikman, P.; Prasad, R.B.; Aly, D.M.; Almgren, P.; et al. Novel subgroups of adult-onset diabetes and their association with outcomes, a data-driven cluster analysis of six variables. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* **2018**, *6*, 361–369. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(18)30051-2)
- 40. Weglarz, L.; Dzierzewicz, Z.; Skop, B.; Orchel, A.; Parfiniewicz, B.; Wiśniowska, B.; Swiatkowska, L.; Wilczok, T. Desulfovibrio desulfuricans lipopolysaccharides induce endothelial cell IL-6 and IL-8 secretion and E-selectin and VCAM-1 expression. *Cell. Mol. Biol. Lett.* **2003**, *8*, 991–1003. [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14668922)
- 41. Kushkevych, I.; Dordevic, D.; Kollar, P.; Vítězová, M.; Drago, L. Hydrogen sulfide as toxic product in the small-large intestine axis and its role in IBD development. *J. Clin. Med.* **2019**, *8*, 1054. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/jcm8071054) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31330956)
- 42. Cani, P.D.; Bibiloni, R.; Knauf, C.; Waget, A.; Neyrinck, A.M.; Delzenne, N.M.; Burcelin, R. Changes in gut microbiota control metabolic endotoxemia-induced inflammation in high-fat diet-induced obesity and diabetes in mice. *Diabetes* **2008**, *57*, 1470–1481. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/db07-1403)
- 43. Bleau, C.; Karelis, A.D.; St-Pierre, D.H.; Lamontagne, L. Crosstalk between intestinal microbiota.; adipose tissue and skeletal muscle as an early event in systemic low-grade inflammation and the development of obesity and diabetes. *Diabetes Metab. Res. Rev.* **2015**, *31*, 545–561. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/dmrr.2617)
- 44. Crusell, M.; Hansen, T.; Nielsen, T.; Allin, K.H.; Rühlemann, M.C.; Damm, P.; Vestergaard, H.; Rørbye, C.; Jørgensen, N.R.; Christiansen, O.B.; et al. Gestational diabetes is associated with change in the gut microbiota composition in third trimester of pregnancy and postpartum. *Microbiome* **2018**, *6*, 89. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s40168-018-0472-x)
- 45. Ferguson, L.R. Meat consumption, cancer risk and population groups within New Zealand. *Mutat. Res.* **2002**, *506*, 215–224. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0027-5107(02)00168-9)
- 46. Van Hul, M.; Geurts, L.; Plovier, H.; Druart, C.; Everard, A.; Stahlman, M. Reduced obesity.; diabetes and steatosis upon cinnamon and grape pomace are associated with changes in gut microbiota and markers of gut barrier. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metabol.* **2017**, *314*, E334–E352. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00107.2017)
- 47. Tap, J.; Furet, J.P.; Bensaada, M.; Philippe, C.; Roth, H.; Rabot, S. Gut microbiota richness promotes its stability upon increased dietary fibre intake in healthy adults. *Environ. Microbiol.* **2015**, *17*, 4954–4964. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13006) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26235304)
- 48. Kapoor, N.; Al-Najim, W.; le Roux, C.W.; Docherty, N.G. Shifts in Food Preferences after Bariatric Surgery, Observational Reports and Proposed Mechanisms. *Curr. Obes. Rep.* **2017**, *6*, 246–252. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13679-017-0270-y) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28699145)
- 49. Tremaroli, V.; Karlsson, F.; Werling, M.; Stahlman, M.; Kovatcheva-Datchary, P.; Olbers, T. Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass and Vertical Banded Gastroplasty Induce Long-Term Changes on the Human Gut Microbiome Contributing to Fat Mass Regulation. *Cell Metab.* **2015**, *22*, 228–238. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2015.07.009) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26244932)
- 50. Medina, D.A.; Pedreros, J.P.; Turiel, D.; Quezada, N.; Pimentel, F.; Escalona, A. Distinct patterns in the gut microbiota after surgical or medical therapy in obese patients. *PeerJ* **2017**, *5*, e3443. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.3443) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28649469)
- 51. Duncan, S.H.; Louis, P.; Thomson, J.M.; Flint, H.J. The role of pH in determining the species composition of the human colonic microbiota. *Environ. Microbiol.* **2009**, *11*, 2112–2122. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.01931.x)
- 52. Carvalho, B.M.; Guadagnini, D.; Tsukumo, D.M.L.; Schenka, A.A.; Latuf-Filho, P.; Vassallo, J. Modulation of gut microbiota by antibiotics improves insulin signalling in high-fat fed mice. *Diabetologia* **2012**, *55*, 2823–2834. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00125-012-2648-4)
- 53. Heinsen, F.A.; Fangmann, D.; Muller, N.; Schulte, D.M.; Ruhlemann, M.C.; Turk, K. Beneficial Effects of a Dietary Weight Loss Intervention on Human Gut Microbiome Diversity and Metabolism Are Not Sustained during Weight Maintenance. *Obes. Facts* **2016**, *9*, 379–391. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000449506)
- 54. Lloyd-Price, J.; Mahurkar, A.; Rahnavard, G.; Crabtree, J.; Orvis, J.; Hall, A.B. Strains.; functions and dynamics in the expanded Human Microbiome Project. *Nature* **2017**, *550*, 61–66. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature23889)
- 55. Aron-Wisnewsky, J.; Prifti, E.; Belda, E.; Ichou, F.; Kayser, B.D.; Dao, M.C.; Verger, E.O.; Hedjazi, L.; Bouillot, J.L.; Chevallier, J.M.; et al. Major microbiota dysbiosis in severe obesity;fate after bariatric surgery. *Gut* **2019**, *68*, 70–82. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2018-316103)
- 56. Haro, C.; Rangel-Zuniga, O.A.; Alcala-Diaz, J.F.; Gomez-Delgado, F.; Perez-Martinez, P.; Delgado-Lista, J. Intestinal Microbiota Is Influenced by gender and body mass index. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0154090. [\[CrossRef\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0154090)

© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://[creativecommons.org](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.)/licenses/by/4.0/).