

Cause-specific mortality after diagnosis of cancer among HIV-positive patients: A collaborative analysis of cohort studies

Adam Trickey, Margaret T May, M John Gill, Sophie Grabar, Janne Vehreschild, Ferdinand W.N.M. Wit, Fabrice Bonnet, Matthias Cavassini, Sophie Abgrall, Juan Berenguer, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Adam Trickey, Margaret T May, M John Gill, Sophie Grabar, Janne Vehreschild, et al.. Cause-specific mortality after diagnosis of cancer among HIV-positive patients: A collaborative analysis of cohort studies. International Journal of Cancer, 2020, 146 (11), pp.3134-3146. 10.1002/ijc.32895. hal-02555475

HAL Id: hal-02555475 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-02555475v1

Submitted on 27 Apr 2020 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





Cause-specific mortality after diagnosis of cancer among HIV-positive patients: A collaborative analysis of cohort studies

Adam Trickey ¹, Margaret T. May¹, M. John Gill², Sophie Grabar ^{3,4}, Janne Vehreschild^{5,6}, Ferdinand W.N.M. Wit^{7,8,9}, Fabrice Bonnet^{10,11}, Matthias Cavassini^{12,13}, Sophie Abgrall^{14,15,16}, Juan Berenguer¹⁷, Christoph Wyen⁵, Peter Reiss^{7,8,9}, Katharina Grabmeier-Pfistershammer¹⁸, Jodie L. Guest^{19,20}, Leah Shepherd²¹, Ramon Teira²², Antonella d'Arminio Monforte²³, Julia del Amo²⁴, Amy Justice^{25,26}, Dominique Costagliola³ and Jonathan A.C. Sterne¹

¹Population Health Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

²Division of Infectious Diseases, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada

- ³Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Institut Pierre Louis d'Épidemiologie et de Santé Publique (IPLESP), Paris, France
- ⁴Unité de Biostatistique et d'Épidémiologie Groupe Hospitalier Cochin Broca Hôtel-Dieu, Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP),
- Université de Paris, Paris, France
- ⁵Department I for Internal Medicine, University Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany
- ⁶German Centre for Infection Research, Partner Site Bonn-Cologne, Cologne, Germany
- ⁷Stichting HIV Monitoring, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- ⁸Department of Global Health, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

⁹Amsterdam Institute for Global Health and Development, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

- ¹⁰University of Bordeaux, ISPED, INSERM U1219, Bordeaux, France
- ¹¹CHU de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France
- ¹²Service of Infectious Diseases, Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland
- ¹³University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
- ¹⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Antoine Béclère Hospital, Clamart, France
- ¹⁵University of Paris Saclay, Paris-Sud University, UVSQ, Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France
- ¹⁶CESP INSERM U1018, Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France
- ¹⁷Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Gregorio Marañón (liSGM), Madrid, Spain
- ¹⁸Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
- ¹⁹Rollins School of Public Health, Atlanta, GA
- ²⁰Emory School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA
- ²¹Institute of Global Health, University College London, London, United Kingdom
- ²²Unit of Infectious Diseases, Hospital Sierrallana, Torrelavega, Spain
- ²³Clinic of Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine, San Paolo Hospital, University of Milan, Milan, Italy
- ²⁴National Epidemiology Center, Carlos III Health Institute, Madrid, Spain
- ²⁵Yale University School of Medicine and Public Health, New Haven, CT
- ²⁶VA Connecticut Healthcare System, West Haven, CT

People living with HIV (PLHIV) are more likely than the general population to develop AIDS-defining malignancies (ADMs) and several non-ADMs (NADMs). Information is lacking on survival outcomes and cause-specific mortality after cancer diagnosis among PLHIV. We investigated causes of death within 5 years of cancer diagnosis in PLHIV enrolled in European and North American HIV cohorts starting antiretroviral therapy (ART) 1996–2015, aged ≥16 years, and subsequently diagnosed with cancer. Cancers were grouped: ADMs, viral NADMs and nonviral NADMs. We calculated cause-specific mortality rates (MR) after

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

Key words: PLHIV, cohort, mortality, cancer, ADM, NADM

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

DOI: 10.1002/ijc.32895

History: Received 1 Aug 2019; Accepted 20 Dec 2019; Online 31 Jan 2020

Correspondence to: Adam Trickey, E-mail: adam.trickey@bristol.ac.uk

Abbreviations: ADMs: AIDS-defining malignancies; aMRR: adjusted mortality rate ratio; ART: antiretroviral therapy; ART-CC: Antiretroviral Therapy Cohort Collaboration; CoDe: coding of death in HIV; COHERE: Collaboration of Observational HIV Epidemiology Research in Europe; HICDEP: HIV Cohorts Data Exchange Protocol; IQR: interquartile range; LTFU: lost to follow-up; MRR: mortality rate ratio; NADMs: non-AIDS-defining malignancies; PLHIV: people living with HIV; SCC: squamous cell carcinoma

diagnosis of specific cancers and compared 5-year survival with the UK and France general populations. Among 83,856 PLHIV there were 4,436 cancer diagnoses. Of 603 deaths after ADM diagnosis, 292 (48%) were due to an ADM. There were 467/847 (55%) and 74/189 (39%) deaths that were due to an NADM after nonviral and viral NADM diagnoses, respectively. MR were higher for diagnoses between 1996 and 2005 *versus* 2006–2015: ADMs 102 (95% CI 92–113) per 1,000 years *versus* 88 (78–100), viral NADMs 134 (106–169) *versus* 111 (93–133) and nonviral NADMs 264 (232–300) *versus* 226 (206–248). Estimated 5-year survival for PLHIV diagnosed with liver (29% [19–39%]), lung (18% [13–23%]) and cervical (75% [63–84%]) cancer was similar to general populations. Survival after Hodgkin's lymphoma diagnosis was lower in PLHIV (75% [67–81%]). Among ART-treated PLHIV diagnosed with cancer, MR and causes of death varied by cancer type, with mortality highest for liver and lung cancers. Deaths within 5 years of NADM diagnoses were more likely to be from cancer than AIDS.

What's new?

People with HIV live longer than they used to, thanks to advances in antiretroviral therapy. These improvements reduced the incidence of AIDS-defining malignancies, such as Kaposi's sarcoma, but the increased life expectancy has led to more diagnoses of cancers not traditionally associated with HIV. Here, the authors studied cause-specific mortality among people with HIV diagnosed with cancer. For those people, within 5 years after a cancer diagnosis, cause of death was more likely to be cancer than AIDS. Survival rates after diagnosis varied by cancer type, but were similar to rates among the general population.

Background

People living with HIV (PLHIV) are more likely to develop cancer than those not infected with HIV.¹ This is the case not only for AIDS-defining malignancies (ADMs), such as Kaposi's sarcoma and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma² but also for some non-AIDS-defining malignancies (NADMs) such as anal cancer.^{3,4} The introduction of effective combination antiretroviral therapy (ART) in 1996 led to a large decrease in incidence of ADMs, because of control of HIV-replication and improvement of immune status.⁵ With further improvements in HIV care, particularly starting ART earlier in the course of HIV disease, the incidence of ADMs has continued to decline.⁶ Improvements treatment of HIV have resulted in PLHIV living longer,⁷ increasing the risk of NADMs that are associated with age in the general population.⁸

The incidence of ADMs and NADMs among PLHIV has been well-studied^{2,9-11} but there is a lack of information on survival outcomes after specific cancer diagnoses. Few studies have analysed 5-year survival after specific cancer diagnoses among PLHIV¹²⁻²⁰ and they were limited to prognosis after diagnosis of ADMs,^{12,19} or included untreated PLHIV^{14,15} who have worse prognosis than those on ART.⁵ Little information is available on causes of death among PLHIV after diagnosis of cancer. Patterns of survival after cancer diagnosis are affected by several factors. Mortality rates vary considerably between different types of cancer and stages of cancer.²¹ In the general population, a death after a diagnosis of cancer may be more likely due to that cancer than in PLHIV because of the competing risks of death due to AIDS and other HIV-related conditions. There are also differences in the demographics of those diagnosed with cancer in the general population compared to PLHIV, which may explain differences in patterns of death.^{22,23}

We studied cause-specific mortality after diagnosis of specific cancers to answer the question: what do PLHIV diagnose with cancer die of? We also investigated changes over time in 5-year survival after cancer diagnosis in PLHIV and compared this with survival in the general population of the United Kingdom and France.

Materials and Methods Participating cohorts

Data were combined from 10 HIV cohorts from Europe and North America that participate in the Antiretroviral Therapy Cohort Collaboration (ART-CC), which includes PLHIV aged \geq 16 years who started ART after 1996.²⁴ Ethics committees or institutional review boards approved the cohorts, which used standardised data collection methods, and followed-up patients at least every 6 months. Included cohorts (listed in the supplement) had information on diagnoses of both ADMs (Kaposi's sarcoma, cervical cancer and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma) and NADMs (all other cancers) and had information on cause of death available on \geq 70% of deaths.

Data on cancer and mortality outcomes

Information on cancers was captured through medical records at routine follow-up and hospitalisation diagnostic codes. Cohorts validated cancer diagnoses, and provided dates of and reasons for hospitalisations, which were considered due to cancer if any of the reasons indicated cancer. Patients could be diagnosed with multiple types of cancer on the date of a hospitalisation. Available data on cancers was heterogeneous between cohorts. Six of the 10 cohorts included used medical records to gather information on cancer diagnoses, one cohort had linkage to a cancer registry, one provided treatment for both HIV and cancer in the same centre, one had cancer diagnoses reported to their database and verified by a clinician, and one had heterogeneous methods of collection across their sites (Supporting Information Table S1).

Cancer information in the form of ICD9 or ICD10 codes was translated to defined subcategories for cancers within HIV Cohorts Data Exchange Protocol (HICDEP) by AT, and then verified by a clinician (MJG). We classified oral cavity and pharynx squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), anal SCC, liver hepatocellular carcinoma, vagina SCC, vulva SCC, penis SCC and Hodgkin lymphoma as viral NADMs following Park et al.²⁵ (Supporting Information Table S2).

Information on mortality was gathered through linkage with vital statistics agencies and hospitals or physician report, and the active follow-up of participants. We used an adaptation of the Coding of Death in HIV (CoDe) project protocol (www. chip.dk/Tools-Standards/CoDe/About) to classify causes of death, as described previously.²⁶ Deaths due to opportunistic infections secondary to complications of chemotherapy were classified as caused by cancer rather than infection or AIDS.

Eligibility of patients and definition of follow-up time

Eligible patients had a baseline CD4 cell count measured between 3 months before, and 2 weeks after, starting ART. Each cohort specified a date after which cancer data were deemed to be reliably collected: patients who initiated ART before then, or had cancer diagnoses before then, were excluded. Similarly, each cohort had a date before which cancer data were reliably collected: cancer diagnoses after this date, or patients starting ART following this, were excluded. Patients diagnosed with cancer before starting ART were excluded. Patients were followed up for a maximum of 5 years from the date of cancer diagnosis to the earliest of death, loss to follow-up or cohort-specific database administrative censoring. Patients with a gap of ≥ 1 year between date last known to be alive and administrative censoring were considered lost to follow-up (LTFU) and were censored 6 months after their last recorded measurement. If a patient had multiple records of the same type of cancer, the second and subsequent records were assumed to be multiple instances of treatment or hospitalisations.

Statistical analysis

We compared characteristics at start of ART and at cancer diagnosis of patients who were diagnosed with an ADM, viral NADM and nonviral NADM. Because of the substantial improvements in life expectancy of PLHIV since the introduction of ART, we stratified by period of cancer diagnosis (1996-2005 and 2006-2015).7 Individuals with diagnoses of multiple types of cancer could be in multiple groups. We tabulated the frequency of specific cancers by period of diagnosis.

We investigated causes of death after diagnosis of ADMs, viral NADMs, nonviral NADMs and after the most frequent cancers with \geq 30 diagnoses during each calendar period (cervical cancer, head and neck cancers, Hodgkin's Lymphoma,

Kaposi's Sarcoma, liver cancer, lung cancer and non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma). Patients diagnosed with multiple cancers or cancer groups were included in the analysis for each cancer or cancer group, from the corresponding date of diagnosis. However, if both cancers were the same type (e.g. both NADMs), the patient was included only once in the analysis for that group, from the date of diagnosis of the first cancer. A patient could be included in an analysis for cancer having been diagnosed with another type of cancer before or during the follow-up period: a sensitivity analysis included only patients diagnosed with one type of cancer. A sensitivity analysis also investigated excluding patients aged above 70 years of age at the time of cancer diagnosis.

For each cancer group, we used Cox survival regression models to estimate hazard ratios during the 5 years after cancer diagnosis, by period of cancer diagnosis (2006-2015 vs. 1996-2005) unadjusted and adjusted for CD4 count (0-99, 100-199, 200-349, 350-499, ≥500 cells/mm³), HIV-1 RNA (0-499, 500-9,999, ≥10,000 copies/ml), and age (16-39, 40-49, 50-59, ≥60 years), all taken as the measurement closest to and before the date of cancer diagnosis, combined sex/transmission risk group, and stratified by cohort (ensuring that all comparisons are among patients in the same cohort).

For each cancer group and specific cancer, we used Poisson models to estimate mortality rates from all causes, AIDS, ADMs, NADMs, other causes (not cancer), and unclassifiable/ unknown causes during the 5 years after cancer diagnosis, separately for 1996-2005 and 2006-2015. Other causes of death included all of the other coded deaths that were not due to AIDS or cancers, for example, hepatitis, cardiovascular, suicide, and so on. NADM deaths were not split as viral or nonviral NADMs as often detailed enough coding information was not available. We estimated both crude and standardised mortality rates; standardised to the ART-CC population diagnosed with cancer, by age, sex and HIV transmission risk group. In the analysis of liver cancer, we added viral hepatitis as a specific cause of death because the CoDe system classifies deaths from cancer associated with hepatitis under hepatitis rather than NADM. Separately for each cancer group and by calendar period of diagnosis, we used a competing risks framework to generate 5-year cause-of-death-specific cumulative incidence functions, which we plotted in a stacked graph.

We calculated 5-year survival after diagnosis of the seven most frequently occurring cancers between 2006 and 2015, and compared this to 5-year cancer survival in the general population in the United Kingdom (2009-2013) (https://www. cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancer-statistics/survival) and France (2005-2010),^{27,28} standardised to the age and sex proportions of the ART-CC population diagnosed with the cancer. Kaposi's sarcoma and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma were excluded from this analysis as the burden among PLHIV drives the general population burden. For head and neck cancers combined, detailed information on 5-year survival by age group was not available for the United Kingdom.

of UICC

Data availability

The data that support the findings of the study are property of the individual cohorts, which are listed on the ART-CC website (http://www.bristol.ac.uk/art-cc/whoswho/). For data availability, the individual cohorts should each be contacted and data will be made available upon reasonable request, where applicable.

Results

Records from 83,586 PLHIV providing 451,651 person-years of follow-up were analysed. We included 4,436 records of incident cancer for analysis, from 3,953 individual patients. Median follow-up time was 3.3 years from first ADM diagnosis (interquartile range [IQR]: 0.7-5.0), while from first nonviral NADM diagnosis it was 1.2 years (IQR: 0.3-3.6), and from first viral NADM diagnosis 2.3 years (IQR: 0.6-5.0). Table 1 shows the numbers of malignancies of different types recorded in 1996-2005 and 2006-2015, as well as characteristics of patients according to type of malignancy. Both median age and median CD4 count at cancer diagnosis were lower for individuals diagnosed with an ADM than with an NADM, and for viral NADM compared to nonviral NADM. Both median age and median CD4 count at cancer diagnosis increased between 1996 and 2005 and 2006-2015, in each cancer group. The viral load when starting ART was similar across cancer groups and the two time periods, but the viral load at diagnosis of cancer was higher for patients diagnosed with ADMs than for those diagnosed with NADMs. Patients diagnosed with ADMs were more likely to have AIDS when starting ART than those diagnosed with NADMs. The most frequently occurring cancers were the ADMs Kaposi's sarcoma and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, while lung cancer and Hodgkin's lymphoma were the commonest NADMs (Table 2). Supporting Information Table S3 shows how characteristics, numbers of cancers and deaths varies by cohort.

Prognosis after diagnoses of ADMs, viral NADMs and nonviral NADMs

Among 2,162 PLHIV who were diagnosed with an ADM 292/603 (48%) deaths were due to an ADM. Among 2,047 diagnosed with an NADM 467/847 (55%) deaths were due to an NADM. The proportions of deaths due to an NADM were 74/189 (39%) after a viral NADM diagnosis (n = 627) and 393/660 (60%) after a nonviral NADM diagnosis (n = 1,420).

Rates of mortality after ADM diagnosis were lower in the later period: the unadjusted mortality rate ratio (MRR) for 2006–2015 *versus* 1996–2005 was 0.73 (95% CI 0.61, 0.86) and the adjusted mortality rate ratio (aMRR) was 0.77 (0.65, 0.92). There was weaker evidence for such decrease for mortality after viral NADM diagnoses: MRR 0.75 (0.55, 1.02) and aMRR 0.76 (0.54, 1.07) and after nonviral NADM diagnoses: MRR 0.80 (0.68, 0.94) and aMRR 0.84 (0.70, 1.00)—seen in Table 3.

Table 3 shows crude and standardised rates of all-cause and cause-specific mortality during the 5 years after cancer diagnosis for the three cancer groups and by period of cancer diagnosis, with Supporting Information Table S4 giving more detailed information. Figure 1 shows patterns of 5-year cumulative cause-specific mortality according to type of cancer and calendar period. Among PLHIV diagnosed with an ADM, standardised rates and 5-year cumulative incidence were greater for mortality due to an ADM than for other causes of death. AIDS was the second commonest cause of death in this group for both periods. Similarly, mortality due to NADMs was the leading cause of death among PLHIV diagnosed with nonviral NADMs. The pattern of causes of death for PLHIV diagnosed with viral NADMs was less clear: the standardised rate of deaths coded as 'other' was nearly as great as the standardised rate of deaths due to NADMs, particularly during 2006-2015. Many of these deaths had a cause classified as related to viral hepatitis. The proportion of PLHIV lost to follow up in the 5-years after their cancer diagnosis was 16, 15 and 12% for those diagnosed with an ADM, viral NADM and nonviral NADM, respectively. Supporting Information Table S5 shows that there was little difference in mortality rates when patients diagnosed with more than one type of cancer were excluded from analyses, while Supporting Information Table S6 shows that there was also little difference excluding those aged over 70 years at the time of cancer diagnosis.

Prognosis after diagnosis of specific cancers

Table 4 shows mortality rates for the seven most commonly diagnosed cancers: these were higher after diagnoses of NADMs than ADMs and were very high for lung, liver, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and head and neck cancers. For head and neck and lung cancer, the very high rates of NADM mortality suggest that cause of death was predominantly due to the diagnosed cancer. This was also the case for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, for which standardised rates of ADM mortality were high. A substantial proportion of deaths from liver cancer had been classified as due to viral hepatitis by our process for assigning CoDe cause of death classifications: these are shown as liver-related in Table 4. Table 4 also contains unadjusted and adjusted MRRs for cancers diagnosed between 2006 and 2015 compared to 1996-2005. Evidence of reductions in MRRs between the two-calendar year periods was seen for liver cancer: MRR 0.61 (95% CI 0.38, 1.00) and aMRR 0.41 (95% CI 0.22, 0.76), and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: MRR 0.62 (95% CI 0.51, 0.77) and aMRR 0.65 (95% CI 0.52, 0.81).

Estimated 5-year survival after diagnosis of cervical, head and neck, liver and lung cancer during 2006–2015 was similar in PLHIV to survival in people in the general population diagnosed with these cancers in the United Kingdom and France (Fig. 2). Five-year survival for PLHIV diagnosed with Table 1. Numbers and characteristics of patients diagnosed with an AIDS-defining malignancy (ADM), viral non-AIDS defining malignancy (NADM) or a nonviral NADM, by calendar period of diagnosis

	Cancer diagnos	is 1996–2005		Cancer diagnos	is 2006–2015	
Characteristics at start of ART and at diagnosis of cancer	ADM (n = 1,091)	NADM viral (<i>n</i> = 186)	NADM nonviral (n = 424)	ADM (<i>n</i> = 1,071)	NADM viral (n = 441)	NADM nonviral (n = 996)
Age, years: median (IQR)						
ART start	38 (32, 47)	39 (34, 47)	43 (36, 53)	39 (32, 47)	41 (34, 48)	46 (38, 54)
Diagnosis of cancer	40 (34, 48)	43 (36, 50)	45 (39, 56)	42 (36, 50)	46 (40, 53)	51 (44, 60)
CD4 cells/mm ³ , median (IQR)						
ART start	125 (41, 264)	183 (68, 320)	160 (67, 301)	170 (60, 300)	201 (98, 322)	211 (82, 330)
Diagnosis of cancer	170 (64, 320)	239 (103, 408)	263 (119, 435)	238 (96, 416)	350 (185, 550)	414 (240, 631)
RNA copies/ml log median (IQR)						
ART start	5.1 (4.6, 5.6)	4.9 (4.2, 5.3)	5.0 (3.3, 5.5)	5.1 (4.6, 5.5)	4.9 (4.2, 5.4)	5.0 (4.4, 5.4)
Diagnosis of cancer	3.2 (2.3, 5.0)	2.5 (1.7, 4.1)	2.3 (1.7, 3.5)	2.4 (1.7, 4.7)	1.7 (1.6, 1.8)	1.7 (1.5, 1.7)
Sex and transmission risk group, n	n (%)					
MSM	549 (50%)	78 (42%)	140 (33%)	554 (52%)	225 (51%)	369 (37%)
Male PWID	68 (6%)	36 (19%)	60 (14%)	51 (5%)	54 (12%)	107 (11%)
Female PWID	34 (3%)	4 (2%)	17 (4%)	28 (3%)	9 (2%)	38 (3%)
Male heterosexual	184 (17%)	46 (25%)	95 (22%)	186 (17%)	82 (19%)	257 (26%)
Female heterosexual	144 (13%)	13 (7%)	67 (16%)	173 (16%)	40 (9%)	159 (16%)
Male other/unknown	88 (8%)	9 (5%)	41 (10%)	60 (6%)	24 (5%)	48 (5%)
Female other/unknown	24 (2%)	0 (0%)	4 (1%)	19 (2%)	7 (2%)	18 (2%)
AIDS at ART start						
No	526 (48%)	140 (75%)	281 (66%)	644 (60%)	329 (75%)	715 (72%)
Yes	565 (52%)	46 (25%)	143 (34%)	427 (40%)	112 (25%)	281 (28%)
Hepatitis C virus at ART start						
Negative	866 (79%)	126 (68%)	290 (68%)	859 (80%)	318 (72%)	739 (74%)
Positive	93 (9%)	41 (22%)	74 (17%)	91 (9%)	87 (20%)	141 (14%)
Missing/unknown	132 (12%)	19 (10%)	60 (14%)	121 (11%)	36 (8%)	116 (12%)
Ever smoked						
No	215 (20%)	28 (15%)	36 (8%)	340 (32%)	105 (24%)	218 (22%)
Yes	209 (19%)	58 (31%)	120 (28%)	335 (31%)	193 (44%)	469 (47%)
Missing/unknown	667 (61%)	100 (54%)	268 (63%)	396 (37%)	143 (32%)	309 (31%)

Abbreviations: ART, antiretroviral therapy; MSM, men who have sex with men; PWID, people who inject drugs.

Hodgkin's lymphoma was lower than in the general population diagnosed with that cancer.

Discussion

Among PLHIV diagnosed with cancer, deaths due to an ADM were the leading cause of death after an ADM diagnosis while deaths due to an NADM were the leading cause of death after diagnosis of nonviral NADMs. Deaths after diagnosis with viral NADMs were most likely to be due to a NADM or due to other causes, many of which were classified as due to viral hepatitis. PLHIV diagnosed with nonviral NADMs had much higher mortality rates than those diagnosed with ADMs, or viral NADMs. Mortality rates after diagnosis of cancer were lower during 2006–2015 than 1996–2005: these declines were not explained by changes in CD4 count and viral load at cancer diagnosis. Possible explanations are earlier cancer stage at diagnosis due to improvements in screening,²⁹ improvements

in care among PLHIV,^{7,30} greater awareness of drug-drug interactions,³¹ availability of more effective treatment for cancer³² or patients with higher CD4 counts being able to withstand more doses of chemotherapy.³³

The most common cancers reported were the ADMs Kaposi's sarcoma, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, and cervical cancer and the NADMs lung cancer, Hodgkin's lymphoma, anal cancer, head and neck cancers and liver cancer. For lung cancer, head and neck cancer and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma most deaths were likely to have been caused by the diagnosed cancer. Five-year survival was low after diagnosis of liver cancer (as in the general population), but the underlying cause of death was often classified as viral hepatitis. Five-year survival among PLHIV diagnosed with liver, lung and cervical cancers was similar to survival reported from general population cancer registries, but it was lower for PLHIV diagnosed with Hodgkin's lymphoma.

Cancer type	n: 1996–2005	n: 2006–2015	n: Total
Kaposi's sarcoma	616	547	1,163
Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma	449	464	913
Lung cancer	116	255	371
Hodgkin's lymphoma	109	196	305
Anal cancer	22	124	146
Cervical cancer	51	91	142
Head and neck cancers	42	96	138
Liver cancer	42	95	137
Leukaemia (all types)	28	61	89
Prostate cancer	16	62	78
Breast cancer	16	46	62
Bladder cancer	14	43	57
Connective tissue cancers	22	29	51
Malignant melanoma	10	40	50
Colon cancer	7	39	46
Brain cancer	17	19	36
Pancreatic cancer	5	25	30
Kidney/renal cancer	6	23	29
Stomach cancer	9	20	29
Rectal cancer	7	19	26
Uterus cancer	7	17	24
Testicular cancer	5	13	18
Penis cancer	4	12	16
Gynaecologic cancer	5	10	15
Bone cancer	6	7	13
Oesophageal cancer	2	10	12
Gall bladder cancer	2	4	6
Lip cancer	1	3	4

Not including metastasis, multiple myelomas, those of unspecified site, or unknown cancers.

Comparisons with other literature

To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to analyse cause-specific mortality among PLHIV diagnosed with a range of specific cancers, spanning multiple countries. A large registry-linkage study in the USA found much higher mortality rates after diagnosis for comparable cancers and also found that a higher percentage of the mortality of PLHIV diagnosed with NADMs was due to AIDS.³⁴ This difference could be due to earlier diagnosis of cancer in our cohorts compared to their registry-linkage study, or because all of the patients in our analysis were on ART at the time of the cancer diagnosis, which was not necessarily the case in the USA study.³⁴ A US study among elderly adults found higher cancer-specific mortality for prostate and breast cancer for PLHIV compared to HIV-negative people, however, we did not look at these individual cancers in our study.35 A small German study found that after just over a year, 87% of the deaths that occurred after diagnosis of lung cancer were due to lung cancer.¹³ This observation is concordant with our findings, although we had to infer that death due to NADM was due specifically to lung cancer. A general population study in the USA on diffuse large B-cell lymphoma patients found that 24% of deaths were attributed to noncancer causes,³⁶ a lower figure than in our study. However, mortality rates depend on the stage of cancer at diagnosis, age and demographics of the patients, which can vary between health systems, making comparisons difficult.

Several HIV cohort studies have estimated 5-year survival after cancer diagnoses. Five-year survival after diagnosis of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma was lower in the Collaboration of Observational HIV Epidemiology Research in Europe (COHERE), a European study, than in ART-CC (55% vs. 71%), but our study included more recent data.¹⁶ The Italian Cancer and AIDS registries linkage study found much lower 5-year survival probabilities than ART-CC after several specific cancers, for example, liver cancer 8% vs. 26%, but our study was during the early ART period and included PLHIV not on ART who had an AIDS diagnosis.¹² Another Italian study which included PLHIV not on ART found similar 5-year survival to ART-CC after a diagnosis of Hodgkin's lymphoma.¹⁴ A separate Italian cohort study, which also included PLHIV not on ART estimated 4-year survival concordant with our 5-year survival for liver cancer, but much lower survival for Hodgkin's lymphoma (30% vs. 71%), lung cancer (6% vs. 16%), and cervical cancer (53% vs. 86%).¹⁵ A large French study which found improved survival between 2005 and 2009 compared to 1997-2000 for some haematological cancers and for ADMs, but not for solid cancers, reported higher 5-year survival for Hodgkin's lymphoma than in ART-CC (87% vs. 71%), and similar survival for lung and liver cancers.¹⁷ Compared to ART-CC, a study in the USA found survival was lower for lung cancer (10% vs. 16%), and higher for Hodgkin's lymphoma (83% vs. 71%), possibly due to differences in the demographics between the two populations or the inclusion of PLHIV not on ART in the study from the USA.¹⁸

Strengths and limitations

We analysed a large dataset of PLHIV receiving care in clinical cohorts in Western Europe and North America: our findings should be generalisable to PLHIV on ART in highincome regions with recent cancer diagnoses. The size of our dataset enabled analyses of a wider range of specific cancers than previous studies. Most cohorts linked to death registries, but some PLHIV diagnosed with cancers with poor survival may have died after being lost to follow-up, so we may have underestimated mortality rates.³⁷ Information on cancer stage at diagnosis was not available: this may have resulted in some misclassification of precancerous lesions as cancer which could have biased survival estimates upwards. However, we focused our analyses on cancers that are more robustly validated and performed checks to remove precancers from the analysis.

	nncer
(٠ĭ
	-
Ì	0
	es
	SIL
(S.
	Ħ
	2
	t
	ē
Ì	

Table 3. All-cause and cause-specific mortality rates per 1,000 years (95% confidence intervals) during the 5-years after diagnosis of (i) AlDS defining malignancy (ADM), (ii) viral non-AlDS

	1996–20	005		2006–20	15		llnadiusted MRR ²	Adiusted MRR ² (95% CI)
Cause of death	Deaths	Crude rate	Standardised rate ¹	Deaths	Crude rate	Standardised rate ¹	(95% Cl) for 2006–2015 vs. 1996–2005	for 2006–2015 vs. 1996–2005
Diagnosis of ADM	n = 1,09	1 (889 Male, 202 F	emale)	n = 1,07	1 (850 Male, 221 F	-emale)		
All	353	102 (92, 113)	77 (57, 103)	250	88 (78, 100)	73 (58, 93)	0.73 (0.61, 0.86)	0.77 (0.65, 0.92)
AIDS (not ADM)	83	24 (19, 30)	19 (16, 24)	41	15 (11, 20)	11 (8, 14)		
ADM	163	47 (40, 55)	34 (22, 52)	129	46 (38, 54)	37 (27, 52)		
NADM	15	4 (3, 7)	2 (0, 7)	8	3 (1, 6)	2 (1, 5)		
Other	36	10 (8, 14)	7 (5, 12)	32	11 (8, 16)	10 (8, 13)		
Unknown	56	16 (12, 21)	14 (11, 17)	40	14 (10, 19)	13 (8, 20)		
Diagnosis of viral NADM	n = 186	(169 Male, 17 Fem	ale)	n = 441 ((385 Male, 56 Fem	ale)		
AII	71	134 (106, 169)	98 (79, 122)	118	111 (93, 133)	86 (56, 134)	0.75 (0.55, 1.02)	0.76 (0.54, 1.07)
AIDS (not ADM)	7	13 (6, 28)	10 (7, 15)	6	6 (3, 13)	4 (1, 14)		
ADM	5	9 (4, 23)	12 (6, 22)	8	8 (4, 15)	8 (3, 20)		
NADM	35	66 (47, 92)	42 (21, 83)	39	37 (27, 50)	29 (11, 72)		
Other	19	36 (23, 56)	25 (15, 40)	41	39 (28, 52)	25 (17, 39)		
Unknown	5	9 (4, 23)	9 (3, 28)	24	23 (15, 34)	20 (12, 36)		
Diagnosis of nonviral NADM	<i>n</i> = 424	(336 Male, 88 Fem	ale)	n = 996 ((781 Male, 215 Fei	male)		
All	232	264 (232, 300)	222 (136, 363)	428	226 (206, 248)	213 (172, 263)	0.80 (0.68, 0.94)	0.84 (0.70, 1.00)
AIDS (not ADM)	20	23 (15, 35)	25 (19, 34)	13	7 (4, 12)	5 (3, 9)		
ADM	19	22 (14, 34)	21 (8, 54)	22	12 (8, 18)	13 (9, 19)		
NADM	133	151 (128, 180)	119 (62, 227)	260	137 (122, 155)	125 (95, 164)		
Other	40	46 (33, 62)	38 (25, 59)	40	21 (15, 29)	19 (14, 25)		
Unknown	20	23 (15, 35)	19 (14, 25)	91	48 (39, 59)	51 (36, 72)		
¹ Standardised by sex/risk group ² Cox models stratified by cohort. Abbreviations: ADM, AIDS-definir	and age to t Adjusted m ig malignand	the ART-CC populatic odel contains sex/tr cv: Cl. confidence int	on diagnosed with c ansmission risk cat terval: MRR. mortali	ancer. egory, and a tv rate ratio.	age, CD4 cells/mm ³ : NADM, non-AIDS d	, and RNA copies/ml at c lefining malignancv.	ancer diagnosis.	



Figure 1. Cumulative cause-specific mortality of patients from first diagnosis of ADM (upper), viral NADM (middle) and nonviral NADM (lower), stratified by period of cancer diagnosis (1996–2005 and 2006–2015). Abbreviations: ADM, AIDS-defining malignancy; NADM, Non-AIDS defining malignancy. Cumulative incidence functions were generating using a competing risks framework. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

Int. J. Cancer: 146, 3134–3146 (2020) © 2020 The Authors. International Journal of Cancer published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of UICC

	e.
	2
	3
	\mathbf{D}
S	
	0
	X
	8
7	
Ľ	$\overline{}$
	~
	2
	2
	2
k	
	ບ
	e
Ś	

Table 4. All-cause and cause-specific mortality rates per 1,000 years (95% confidence intervals) during the 5-years after diagnosis of cervical cancer, head and neck cancers, Hodgkin's

	1996-2	005		2006-20	15			
			Central designed		:	Cton doubles d	Unadjusted MRR ⁴	Adjusted MRR ⁴ (95% CI)
End point	Deaths	Crude rate	otaliualuiseu rate ¹	Deaths	Crude rate	otaliual uiseu rate ¹	(73% cl) 101 2000-2013 vs. 1996-2005	101 2009-2013 VS. 1996-2005
Cervical cancer	n = 51 ((0 Male, 51 Female)		<i>n</i> = 91 (0) Male, 91 Female)			
All	8	39 (20, 79)	33 (16, 68)	16	60 (37, 98)	54 (35, 83)	1.44 (0.60, 3.46)	1.15 (0.42, 3.13)
AIDS (not ADM)	ŝ	15 (5, 46)	21 (15, 30)	4	15 (6, 40)	9 (2, 34)		
ADM	e	15 (5, 46)	3 (0, 23)	7	26 (13, 55)	28 (20, 39)		
NADM	0	0 (0, 0)	0 (0, 0)	2	8 (2, 30)	9 (7, 13)		
Other	1	5 (1, 35)	5 (0, 47)	2	8 (2, 30)	3 (0, 33)		
Unknown	-	5 (1, 35)	5 (0, 47)	1	4 (1, 27)	4 (1, 25)		
Head/neck cancers	n = 42 ((35 Male, 7 Female)		<i>n</i> = 96 (8	33 Male, 13 Female			
All	18	182 (115, 289)	183 (71, 473)	36	175 (126, 242)	162 (108, 244)	0.76 (0.41, 1.41)	0.62 (0.31, 1.24)
AIDS (not ADM)	1	10 (1, 72)	20 (4, 115)	1	5 (1, 34)	2 (0, 14)		
ADM	0	0 (0, 0)	0 (0, 0)	1	5 (1, 34)	10 (1, 83)		
NADM	15	152 (91, 251)	136 (60, 310)	21	102 (67, 156)	90 (50, 160)		
Other	2	20 (5, 80)	27 (7, 104)	5	24 (10, 58)	15 (5, 44)		
Unknown	0	0 (0, 0)	0 (0, 0)	8	39 (19, 78)	46 (29, 72)		
Hodgkin's lymphoma	n = 109	(98 Male, 11 Female)		<i>n</i> = 196 ((170 Male, 26 Femi	ale)		
All	28	74 (51, 107)	71 (57, 87)	35	64 (46, 89)	62 (37, 105)	0.68 (0.40, 1.15)	0.87 (0.48, 1.57)
AIDS (not ADM)	6	16 (7, 35)	13 (9, 20)	4	7 (3, 20)	7 (2, 25)		
ADM	4	11 (4, 28)	16 (9, 31)	7	13 (6, 27)	14 (5, 40)		
NADM	10	26 (14, 49)	17 (6, 45)	13	24 (14, 41)	21 (10, 47)		
Other	6	16 (7, 35)	23 (11, 48)	6	11 (5, 24)	10 (4, 26)		
Unknown	2	5 (1, 21)	2 (0, 9)	5	9 (4, 22)	9 (7, 13)		
Kaposi's sarcoma	<i>n</i> = 616	(542 Male, 74 Female)		n = 547 ((489 Male, 58 Fem	ale)		
All	128	55 (46, 66)	46 (40, 54)	80	48 (39, 60)	43 (36, 51)	0.75 (0.56, 1.01)	0.91 (0.67, 1.22)
AIDS (not ADM)	46	20 (15, 27)	19 (14, 24)	19	12 (7, 18)	9 (6, 13)		
ADM	39	17 (12, 23)	14 (11, 20)	27	16 (11, 24)	16 (12, 21)		
NADM	4	2 (1, 5)	1 (0, 4)	2	1 (0, 5)	1 (0, 3)		
Other	19	8 (5, 13)	6 (4, 8)	19	12 (7, 18)	11 (7, 16)		
Unknown	20	9 (6, 13)	7 (4, 10)	13	8 (5, 14)	7 (4, 11)		
Liver cancer	n = 42 ((39 Male, 3 Female)		n = 95 (7	6 Male, 19 Female	(
All	30	626 (434, 895)	324 (141, 744)	56	398 (306, 517)	401 (300, 536)	0.61 (0.38, 1.00)	0.41 (0.22, 0.76)
AIDS (not ADM)	1	21 (3, 148)	6 (1, 61)	1	7 (1, 50)	2 (0, 18)		
ADM	0	0 (0, 0)	0 (0, 0)	1	7 (1, 50)	3 (0, 24)		
NADM	17	355 (221, 571)	180 (63, 519)	11	78 (43, 141)	79 (27, 231)		
								(Continues)

End point Deaths Crude Liver-related 8 167 (Other 3 63 (2)			2006-20	15		Ilnadiusted MRR ²	Adincted MRR ² (95% CI)
Liver-related 8 167 Other 3 63 (2)	le rate	Standardised rate ¹	Deaths	Crude rate	Standardised rate ¹	(95% CI) for 2006–2015 vs. 1996–2005	for 2006–2015 vs. 1996–2005
Other 3 63 (2	(83, 334)	60 (14, 250)	31	220 (155, 313)	225 (171, 297)		
	20, 194)	32 (1, 157)	2	14 (4, 57)	10 (2, 51)		
Unknown 1 21 (3	3, 148)	46 (14, 155)	10	71 (38, 132)	82 (54, 124)		
Lung cancer N = 116 (101 N	Male, 15 Female)		N = 255 ((217 Male, 38 Fem	ıale)		
All 92 1,019	[9 (831, 1,250)	791 (329, 1901)	184	752 (651, 869)	933 (756, 1,153)	0.81 (0.62, 1.06)	0.86 (0.65, 1.15)
AIDS (not ADM) 3 33 (1	11, 103)	17 (2, 123)	2	8 (2, 33)	19 (7, 54)		
ADM 2 22 (6	6, 89)	14 (2, 94)	1	4 (1, 29)	4 (1, 25)		
NADM 64 709 ((555, 906)	557 (198, 157)	133	544 (459, 644)	669 (508, 882)		
Other 12 133 ((76, 234)	106 (53, 214)	11	45 (25, 81)	46 (25, 83)		
Unknown 11 151 ((110, 209)	96 (50, 183)	37	151 (110, 208)	195 (130, 292)		
Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma $n = 449 (371 \text{ N})$	Male, 78 Female)		n = 464 ((389 Male, 75 Fem	iale)		
All 234 237 ((208, 269)	177 (121, 259)	168	173 (148, 201)	148 (107, 205)	0.62 (0.51, 0.77)	0.65 (0.52, 0.81)
AIDS (not ADM) 38 38 (2	28, 53)	23 (9, 55)	19	20 (12, 31)	15 (11, 21)		
ADM 132 ((111, 156)	99 (69, 141)	104	107 (88, 129)	91 (62, 134)		
NADM 11 11 11 (6	6, 20)	5 (2, 16)	4	4 (2, 11)	3 (1, 7)		
Other 17 (1	11, 28)	13 (5, 30)	13	13 (8, 23)	10 (6, 18)		
Unknown 38 38 (2	28, 53)	37 (31, 44)	28	29 (20, 42)	28 (21, 38)		

Trickey et al.

3143

Int. J. Cancer: 146, 3134–3146 (2020) © 2020 The Authors. International Journal of Cancer published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of UICC



Figure 2. ART-CC (all countries) and general population 5-year survival percentages from the United Kingdom (UK) and Francestandardised to the age and sex proportions of the ART-CC population-for people diagnosed with selected cancers*. *CI: Confidence Interval; gen-pop: general population. UK general population survival percentage not available for head and neck cancer. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

We did not have conclusive data on cancer treatment such as chemotherapy and radiotherapy, which is a major prognostic factor.³² We also do not know if all people received the same standard of treatment across the different countries and regions.²¹ Survival comparisons with the general population may have been impacted by higher rates of smoking in PLHIV, but we were unable to adjust for this and other lifestyle factors.

Due to the lack of universal linkage to cancer registries, we could not examine cancer incidence as some diagnoses might not have been recorded by the cohorts. Cause-specific mortality for PLHIV with recorded diagnosis of cancer should not be affected by this issue. We excluded those diagnosed with cancer who subsequently started ART due to uncertainty in timing of HIV infection and diagnosis. The cause of death information in ART-CC was not specific enough to determine causes of death due to specific cancers, for example, some causes of death were only able to be coded as an NADM, rather than, for example, lung cancer. Therefore, our analyses are assuming that if, using the same example, a death was due to an NADM cancer after a diagnosis of lung cancer, then that death was most likely due to lung cancer. Additionally, for this analysis, we were unable to separate out deaths due to opportunistic infections caused by complications of chemotherapy as it was not available in HICDEP as a category of deaths. Classification of some deaths as AIDS-related will have been based on a previous AIDS diagnosis, so some deaths may have been misclassified as due to infection rather than cancer, when the immediate cause was a complication of chemotherapy and the underlying cause, cancer, was not recorded. However, most cohorts recorded the underlying cause of death, or multiple causes of death, as well as the immediate cause.

Implications

The improvement in survival of PLHIV diagnosed with cancer in 2006-2015 compared to 1996-2005 may reflect improvements in care among PLHIV, such as increased cancer screening resulting in earlier detection of cancers, which are easier to treat, or more effective cancer treatment.³² Another explanation could be improvements in ART resulting in better immunological status at cancer diagnosis, leading to more patients being able to tolerate chemotherapy.³³

We reported estimates of 5-year survival for several types of cancer. This information is important for clinicians and is easy to communicate to patients. The comparison with 5-year survival in the general population quantifies the disparity that exists in some cancers and is a benchmark for future progress towards equalising survival rates. Although mortality rates decreased between 1996-2005 and 2006-2015, survival was worse for PLHIV diagnosed with Hodgkin's lymphoma than in the general population, possibly due to more aggressive forms of the cancer among PLHIV, interactions between ART and chemotherapy, or delayed use of new therapeutic options compared to the general population. More positively, for cervical, head and neck, liver and lung cancers there was no evidence of disparity in survival after cancer diagnosis between PLHIV and the general population. The publication and dissemination of such information may encourage PLHIV to be more proactive in being screened for cancer.

The population of PLHIV is ageing due to increased life expectancy attributable to more effective ART.¹⁶ As the cohort of PLHIV ages, they will be at increased risk of cancers not considered related to HIV that were previously rarely seen.⁸ As with the general population,³⁸ many of these cancers are linked to lifestyle factors and comorbidities such as smoking and hepatitis C virus, both major sources of mortality among PLHIV.39-41 Emphasis should be placed on targeting such behaviours and treating comorbidities such as chronic viral hepatitis in order to prevent cancer.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all patients, doctors, and study nurses associated with the participating cohort studies. This work was supported by the UK Medical Research Council (MRC; grant number MR/J002380/1) and the UK Department for International Development (DFID) under the MRC/DFID Concordat agreement and is also part of the EDCTP2 programme supported by the European Union. The ART-CC is funded by the US National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (U01-AA026209). JACS is funded by National Institute for Health Research Senior Investigator award NF-SI-0611-10168. Data from 11 European cohorts were pooled in June 2014, within COHERE in EuroCoord. COHERE receives funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under EuroCoord grant agreement number 260694. Sources of funding of individual cohorts include the ANRS (France REcherche Nord&Sud Sida-hiv Hépatites), the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), the French, Italian, and Spanish Ministries of Health, the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant 33CS30_134277), the Ministry of Science and Innovation and the Spanish Network for AIDS Research (Spanish Network of Excellence on HIV (RD12/0017/0018, RD16CIII/0002/0006)), the Stichting HIV

Infectious Causes of Cancer

3145

Monitoring, the European Commission (EuroCoord grant 260694), the Alberta Government, the National Institutes of Health (NIH), National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (U10-AA13566, U24-AA020794), the US Department of Veterans Affairs, the Michael Smith Foundation for Health Research, the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, the VHA Office of Research and Development and unrestricted grants from Abbott, Gilead, Tibotec-Upjohn, ViiV Healthcare, MSD, GlaxoSmithKline, Pfizer, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Roche and Boehringer Ingelheim.

Conflict of interest

J.J.V. has personal fees from Merck/MSD, Gilead, Pfizer, Astellas Pharma, Basilea, Deutches Zentrum fur Infektionsforschung, Uniklink Freiburg/ Kongress und Kommunikation, Akademie fur Infektionsmedizin, Universitat Manchester, Duetsche Fesellschaft fu Infektiologie, Arztekammer Nordrhein, Uniklinik Aachen, Back Bay Strategies, Deutsche Gesellschaft fur Innere Medizin and grants from Merck/MSD, Gilead, Pfizer, Astellas Pharma, Basilea, Deutsches Zentrum fur Infektionsforschung, Bundesministrium fur Bildung und Forschung. M.J.G. has received honoraria in the last 3 years from *ad hoc* membership of national HIV advisory boards, Merck, Gilead and ViiV. F.W.N.M.W. has received personal fees for HIV advisory board membership from ViiV. F.B. has received travel grants and honoraria from ViiV Healthcare, Gilead, BMS and MSD. His institution has received research grants from Gilead and ViiV Healthcare. D.C. reports research grants from Janssen, MSD France, ViiV, personal fees from Janssen and MSD France for lectures, personal fees from ViiV for travel/accommodations/meeting expenses, personal fees from Gilead France for French HIV board, personal fees from Innavirvax and Merck Switzerland for consultancy, outside the submitted work. J.D.A. has received research grants and teaching fees from Gilead, ViiV Health Care, and MSD. M.C. reports that his institution received research grants from Gilead and ViiV. R.T. has received travel grants to attend medical meetings and/or speaker honoraria from Gilead, Janssen Cilag, MSD and ViiV Healthcare. P.R. through his institution has received independent scientific grant support from Gilead Sciences, Janssen Pharmaceuticals Inc, Merck & Co and ViiV Healthcare, and has served on scientific advisory boards for Gilead Sciences, ViiV Healthcare, Merck & Co, Teva pharmaceutical industries, for which honoraria were all paid to his institution-none related to the content of this manuscript. All other members of the writing committee declare no competing interests.

References

- Borges AH. Combination antiretroviral therapy and cancer risk. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS* 2017; 12:12–9.
- Raffetti E, Albini L, Gotti D, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality for all causes in HIV-infected patients over a quarter century: a multicentre cohort study. *BMC Public Health* 2015;15:235.
- Shiels MS, Cole SR, Kirk GD, et al. A metaanalysis of the incidence of non-AIDS cancers in HIV-infected individuals. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2009;52:611–22.
- Nguyen ML, Farrell KJ, Gunthel CJ. Non-AIDSdefining malignancies in patients with HIV in the HAART era. Curr Infect Dis Rep 2010;12:46–55.
- Murphy EL, Collier AC, Kalish LA, et al. Viral activation transfusion study I. highly active antiretroviral therapy decreases mortality and morbidity in patients with advanced HIV disease. *Ann Intern Med* 2001;135:17–26.
- Borges AH, Neuhaus J, Babiker AG, et al. Immediate Antiretroviral Therapy reduces risk of infection-related cancer during early HIV infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016;63:1668–76.
- Antiretroviral Therapy Cohort Collaboration. Survival of HIV-positive patients starting antiretroviral therapy between 1996 and 2013: a collaborative analysis of cohort studies. *Lancet HIV* 2017;4:e349–e56.
- Vandenhende MA, Roussillon C, Henard S, et al. Cancer-related causes of death among HIVinfected patients in France in 2010: evolution since 2000. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0129550.
- Hleyhel M, FHDH-ANRS FHDH. Risk of non-AIDS-defining cancers among HIV-1-infected individuals in France between 1997 and 2009: results from a French cohort. *AIDS* 2014;28: 2109–18.
- Chiu CG, Smith D, Salters KA, et al. Overview of cancer incidence and mortality among people living with HIV/AIDS in British Columbia, Canada: implications for HAART use and NADM development. *BMC Cancer* 2017;17:270.
- 11. Vogel M, Friedrich O, Luchters G, et al. Cancer risk in HIV-infected individuals on HAART is

largely attributed to oncogenic infections and state of immunocompetence. *Eur J Med Res* 2011;16: 101–7.

- Maso LD, Suligoi B, Franceschi S, et al. Survival after cancer in Italian persons with AIDS, 1986-2005: a population-based estimation. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2014;66:428–35.
- Hoffmann C, Kohrs F, Sabranski M, et al. HIVassociated lung cancer: survival in an unselected cohort. Scand J Infect Dis 2013;45:766–72.
- Spagnuolo V, Galli L, Salpietro S, et al. Ten-year survival among HIV-1-infected subjects with AIDS or non-AIDS-defining malignancies. *Int J Cancer* 2012;130:2990–6.
- Gotti D, Raffetti E, Albini L, et al. Survival in HIV-infected patients after a cancer diagnosis in the cART era: results of an italian multicenter study. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e94768.
- Collaboration of Observational HIV Epidemiological Research Europe (COHERE) Study Group, Bohlius J, Schmidlin K, et al. Prognosis of HIVassociated non-Hodgkin lymphoma in patients starting combination antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS* 2009;23:2029–37.
- Hleyhel M, Belot A, Bouvier AM, et al. Trends in survival after cancer diagnosis among HIVinfected individuals between 1992 and 2009. Results from the FHDH-ANRS CO4 cohort. *Int J Cancer* 2015;137:2443–53.
- Marcus JL, Chao C, Leyden WA, et al. Survival among HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected individuals with common non-AIDS-defining cancers. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarers Prev* 2015;24: 1167–73.
- Biggar RJ, Engels EA, Ly S, et al. Survival after cancer diagnosis in persons with AIDS. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2005;39:293–9.
- Achenbach CJ, Cole SR, Kitahata MM, et al. Mortality after cancer diagnosis in HIV-infected individuals treated with antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS* 2011;25:691–700.
- Allemani C, Matsuda T, Di Carlo V, et al. Global surveillance of trends in cancer survival 2000-14 (CONCORD-3): analysis of individual records for

37 513 025 patients diagnosed with one of 18 cancers from 322 population-based registries in 71 countries. *Lancet* 2018;391:1023–75.

- Shiels MS, Althoff KN, Pfeiffer RM, et al. HIV infection, immunosuppression, and age at diagnosis of non-AIDS-defining cancers. *Clin Infect Dis* 2017;64:468–75.
- Robbins HA, Pfeiffer RM, Shiels MS, et al. Excess cancers among HIV-infected people in the United States. JNCI J Natl Cancer Inst 2015;107:dju503.
- May MT, Ingle SM, Costagliola D, et al. Cohort profile: Antiretroviral Therapy Cohort collaboration (ART-CC). Int J Epidemiol 2014;43:691–702.
- Park LS, Tate JP, Sigel K, et al. Time trends in cancer incidence in persons living with HIV/AIDS in the antiretroviral therapy era: 1997-2012. *AIDS* 2016;30:1795–806.
- Ingle SM, May MT, Gill MJ, et al. Impact of risk factors for specific causes of death in the first and subsequent years of antiretroviral therapy among HIVinfected patients. *Clin Infect Dis* 2014;59:287–97.
- Cowppli-Bony A, Uhry Z, Remontet L, et al. Survie des personnes atteintes de cancer en France metropolitaine 1989–2013. Boulogne-Billancourt, France: Institut National Du Cancer, 2016.
- Monnereau A, Troussard X, Belot A, et al. Unbiased estimates of long-term net survival of hematological malignancy patients detailed by major subtypes in France. *Int J Cancer* 2013;132: 2378–87.
- Goedert JJ, Hosgood HD, Biggar RJ, et al. Screening for cancer in persons living with HIV infection. *Trends Cancer* 2016;2:416–28.
- Kielmann K, Cataldo F. Engaging with HIV care systems: why space, time and social relations matter. Sex Transm Infect 2017;93:e053173.
- Beumer JH, Venkataramanan R, Rudek MA. Pharmacotherapy in cancer patients with HIV/-AIDS. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2014;95:370–2.
- Biemar F, Foti M. Global progress against cancerchallenges and opportunities. *Cancer Biol Med* 2013;10:183–6.
- Wargo JA, Reuben A, Cooper ZA, et al. Immune effects of chemotherapy, radiation, and targeted

Int. J. Cancer: 146, 3134–3146 (2020) © 2020 The Authors. International Journal of Cancer published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of UICC

Therapy and opportunities for combination with immunotherapy. *Semin Oncol* 2015;42:601–16.

- Coghill AE, Shiels MS, Suneja G, et al. Elevated cancer-specific mortality among HIV-infected patients in the United States. J Clin Oncol 2015; 33:2376–U77.
- Coghill AE, Suneja G, Rositch AF, et al. HIV infection, cancer treatment regimens, and cancer outcomes among elderly adults in the United States. *JAMA Oncol* 2019;5:e191742.
- 36. Howlader N, Mariotto AB, Besson C, et al. Cancer-specific mortality, cure fraction, and

noncancer causes of death among diffuse large Bcell lymphoma patients in the immunochemotherapy era. *Cancer* 2017;123: 3326–34.

- Egger M, Spycher BD, Sidle J, et al. Correcting mortality for loss to follow-up: a Nomogram applied to Antiretroviral treatment Programmes in sub-Saharan Africa. *PLoS Med* 2011;8: e1000390.
- Anand P, Kunnumakara AB, Sundaram C, et al. Cancer is a preventable disease that requires major lifestyle changes. *Pharm Res* 2008;25:2097–116.
- Park LS, Hernandez-Ramirez RU, Silverberg MJ, et al. Prevalence of non-HIV cancer risk factors in persons living with HIV/AIDS: a meta-analysis. *AIDS* 2016;30:273–91.
- Lifson AR, Neuhaus J, Arribas JR, et al. Smokingrelated health risks among persons with HIV in the strategies for Management of Antiretroviral Therapy clinical trial. *Am J Public Health* 2010;100:1896–903.
- Meijide H, Pertega S, Rodriguez-Osorio I, et al. Increased incidence of cancer observed in HIV/hepatitis C virus-coinfected patients versus HIV-monoinfected. *AIDS* 2017;31:1099–107.