

Spectroscopic Evidence for Superexchange in the Ferrimagnetic Spinel FeCr2S4

Sorin G Chiuzbăian, Stefania Brignolo, Coryn F Hague, Renaud Delaunay, Marco Guarise, Alessandro Nicolaou, Zhaorong Yang, Haidong Zhou, Jean-Michel Mariot

▶ To cite this version:

Sorin G Chiuzbăian, Stefania Brignolo, Coryn F Hague, Renaud Delaunay, Marco Guarise, et al.. Spectroscopic Evidence for Superexchange in the Ferrimagnetic Spinel FeCr2S4. Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2017, 121 (40), pp.22369-22376. 10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b07471. hal-02881461

HAL Id: hal-02881461 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-02881461

Submitted on 25 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Spectroscopic Evidence for Superexchange in the Ferrimagnetic Spinel FeCr₂S₄

Sorin G. Chiuzbăian,*^{,†,‡} Stefania Brignolo,^{†,‡} Coryn F. Hague,^{†,‡}

Renaud Delaunay,^{†,‡} Marco Guarise,^{†,⊥} Alessandro Nicolaou,[‡] Zhaorong Yang,[¶]

Haidong Zhou, $^{\S,\parallel}$ and Jean-Michel Mariot †,‡

†Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS, Laboratoire de Chimie

Physique–Matière et Rayonnement (UMR 7614), 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

‡Synchrotron SOLEIL, L'Orme des Merisiers, Saint-Aubin, B.P. 48, 91192 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

¶High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei 230031, China §University of Tennessee, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Experimental Condensed Matter Physics, 407A Nielsen Physics Building, Knoxville, TN 37996-1200, United States ||National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32310, United States

 \perp Present address: Laboratório Nacional Luz Sincrotron, 10000 Campinas, Brazil

E-mail: gheorghe.chiuzbaian@upmc.fr

Abstract

Low-energy orbital excitations in spinel FeCr₂S₄ have been studied element specifically at both Fe and Cr 2p–3d resonances by resonant inelastic X-ray scattering (RIXS). As a first step X-ray absorption spectroscopy measurements confirmed that iron, located at the tetrahedral (T_d) sites of the spinel structure, was in a Fe²⁺ (d⁶) state and chromium, located at the octahedral (O_h) sites, was in a Cr³⁺ (d³) state. We have identified RIXS spectral features with the help of crystal-field simulations that account consistently for on-site dd excitations and provide $10Dq = 0.30 \pm 0.05 \text{ eV}$ for Fe T_d sites and $10Dq = 1.60 \pm 0.05 \text{ eV}$ for Cr O_h sites. The giant magneto-optical Kerr effect previously observed for photons with 0.3 eV can be unambiguously attributed to the lowest possible dd excitations on the iron site Fe $(t_g^3 e^3) \rightarrow \text{Fe}(t_g^4 e^2)$. A 1.6 eV energy-loss feature, measured in the Fe 2p–3d RIXS spectra, is found to be a two-site orbital excitation directly related to superexchange (SE) interaction between Fe and Cr cations. It opens new perspectives for applying RIXS to study SE in a broad range of 3d transition metal compounds.

Introduction

The longstanding focus on the cooperative magnetic exchange interactions in inorganic compounds¹ is currently shifting towards the expanding field of magnetic metal–organic frameworks (MOFs)² and single-molecule magnets (SMMs).^{3,4} Magnetic MOFs and SMMs generally involve elements of the first transition metal series. Data storage and multiferroics are the driving force behind the search for such novel materials (see, e.g., References 5 and 6). In this contribution we demonstrate the use of resonant inelastic X-ray scattering (RIXS) measurements to confirm the presence of superexchange (SE) interactions between two metal centers carrying magnetic moments. This can be seen as a precursor to the study of engineered magnetic MOFs, where ligands play a role via long- and short-range SE interaction.⁷

Progress in synchrotron radiation techniques has notably made RIXS accessible for prob-

ing the chemical properties of complex materials.^{8–10} The inelastic scattering process involves the resonant excitation and decay of a core hole. It is often referred to as resonant X-ray Raman spectroscopy. Neutral excitations are created as in standard Raman and UV-vis spectroscopies but the advantage is that it provides access to electronic, magnetic, and vibrational excitations that bypass selection rules applying to classical optical excitations. It is a major addition also because of its intrinsic chemical selectivity.

Goodenough, Kanamori, and Anderson (GKA)^{1,11,12} demonstrated that optical and magnetic properties are interrelated through the SE mechanism. Since, theoretical models have been developed to describe the energetics of electronic excitations (on-site orbital excitations, inter-site charge transfers). Within a chemical-bond approach, 13,14 a fragment of an extended system is treated as an entity where the quantities of interest are obtained through the diagonalization of molecule-like Hamiltonians. RIXS can be used to map such neutral excitations. Consider the Ni–O bonds in nickel oxide. RIXS measurements at the Ni K (1s-3d),¹⁵ Ni L_{2,3} (2p-3d),¹⁶ and Ni M_{2,3} (3p-3d)^{17,18} edges map the dd excitations on Ni sites, as well as the ligand to metal charge-transfer (CT) excitations. Because of orbital hybridization, electrons can be exchanged between Ni and O sites. This makes it possible to obtain the fingerprint of Ni dd excitations following a resonant core-hole creation on O sites.¹⁹ In fact, because of the SE, dd excitations occurring at a metal site can propagate in extended systems, under certain conditions, as two-site orbital excitations or "orbitons".^{20–23} The latter are quasiparticles bearing momentum dependence. However, since the SE is essentially treated within a chemical-bond approach, spectroscopic evidence for SE should be available without the need for specific information concerning momentum dependence and therefore applicable to molecular systems lacking of translational symmetry. This represents the main goal of the present paper.

Spinel ferrites with the AB_2X_4 structure, under study since the discovery of their ferrimagnetism by Néel,²⁴ are convenient candidates for exploring SE interaction between heterogeneous metal centers. They are subject to both cation and anion chemical substitution with a potential for finely modifying their electronic structure. For thiospinels (X = S), there are two kinds of crystallographic sites: tetrahedral A sites and octahedral B sites. Studies in the 50's and 60's showed that FeCr₂S₄ is a ferrimagnetic semiconductor that exhibits a magnetoresistive effect around the Néel temperature ($T_N \approx 180 \text{ K}$).^{25,26} The electronic structure of FeCr₂S₄ was discussed phenomenologically by Goodenough in relation to the degree of covalent mixing between d electrons and the s, p orbitals of the sulfur ions.²⁷

Interest was revived in 1997, when Ramirez et al. measured a magnetoresistive ratio of $\approx 20\%$ in FeCr₂S₄,²⁸ that is to say somewhat comparable to that of R_{1-x}M_xMnO₃ (R = rare earth; M = Ca, Sr, Ba, and Pb) manganites ($\approx 50-80\%$).²⁹ More recently FeCr₂S₄ has been earmarked as possibly having a multiferroic ground state which, because of its net magnetic moment, would open up new perspectives for potential applications.^{30,31} Optical reflectivity measurements by Ohgushi et al. revealed a giant magneto-optical Kerr effect (MOKE) in the AB₂X₄ spinel compounds.^{32,33} For FeCr₂S₄, a Kerr rotation of $\approx 4.3^{\circ}$ was measured at low temperature, which has led to further investigations of the low temperature properties, with and without cation and anion substitution (see, e.g., References 34 and 35).

The RIXS measurements at Fe and Cr 2p–3d thresholds in FeCr_2S_4 presented here map the local and non-local neutral electronic excitations in considerable detail thus shedding light on the SE interaction. Such measurements, even when performed in the soft X-ray energy region, are bulk sensitive because the photon attenuation lengths are of the order of few hundred nanometers.³⁶

For the interpretation of the orbital excitations, we have computed the relevant Tanabe– Sugano (TS) diagrams³⁷ as a means of distinguishing between purely crystal-field (CF) effects and ligand field interactions.

Following a description of the experimental conditions and the investigated material, the RIXS data at Fe and Cr 2p–3d thresholds are presented and discussed in relation with multiplet calculations. The data are then examined together for signs of SE interaction and as a complementary aid to the understanding of the giant MOKE effect. Conclusions are drawn in the last section.

Methods and material

Experimental conditions for RIXS measurements.

The measurements were performed using the AERHA end station³⁸ installed on the SEXTANTS beam line³⁹ at the SOLEIL synchrotron radiation facility. Fe and Cr 2p X-ray absorption spectra (XAS) were recorded in the total electron-yield mode in order to select suitable excitation energies for the RIXS measurements. For the XAS measurements the beam impinged on the sample at normal incidence. The beamline apertures were selected to provide an energy resolution of 120 meV at the Fe 2p edge and of 110 meV at the Cr 2p edge.

The incoming beam was incident at a grazing angle of 20° with respect to the sample surface for the RIXS experiments. It was focused down to approximately 2 µm fwhm vertically on the sample surface. The vertical plane is the dispersive plane for the spectrometer. The beam was approximately 150 µm wide in the non-dispersive horizontal plane. The setup procedure includes aligning the beam focus and the spectrometer's $2.5 \,\mu\text{m} \times 2 \,\text{mm}$ entrance slit which marks the first focus of the elliptical collecting mirror. Linear polarization was used with the electric vector in the scattering plane. The scattered X-rays were observed at 95° to the incident beam. The spectra were recorded using the 1800 lines/mm diffraction grating of the AERHA spectrometer and a 2048 × 2048 pixel CCD detector (physical pixel size 13.5 µm) cooled to $-110 \,^{\circ}$ C. One of the features of the spectrometer is that its resolution can be adjusted to enhance transmission as required. Details can be found in Reference 38. Good counting statistics were obtained with an overall energy resolution of 250 meV fwhm at the Fe $2p_{3/2}$ edge and 230 meV fwhm at the Cr $2p_{3/2}$ edge, as estimated from Gaussian fits to the off-resonance elastic peaks.

XAS measurements were performed at room temperature (RT) under a pressure lower

than 5×10^{-9} mbar. RIXS spectra were recorded at RT and at 30 K.

 $\rm FeCr_2S_4$ single crystals were grown by the chemical vapor transport method in evacuated sealed quartz tubes.⁴⁰ CrCl₃ was used as a transport agent. During the growth process, the temperature at one end of the quartz tube was kept at 900 °C and 850 °C at the other. Crystals were bound to the sample holder with a conductive epoxy resin. To minimize surface contamination, the sample was cleaved in a load lock under 3×10^{-8} mbar and immediately transferred to the analysis chamber.

Modeling.

All spectral simulations and calculations of TS diagrams were performed using the CTM4XAS atomic multiplet program⁴¹ based on Cowan's code.⁴² The expansion of the electronic cloud around the Fe²⁺ and Cr³⁺ ions in the compound compared to the free ions was accounted for by a reduction factor $\beta = 0.80 \pm 0.05$ (nephelauxetic ratio) of the Slater–Condon integrals calculated within the Hartree–Fock scheme in spherical symmetry. The XAS transition rates are calculated as:

$$I^{\rm XAS} \propto |\langle i|T^{\rm (a)}|g\rangle|^2 \tag{1}$$

where $T^{(a)}$ represents the electric dipole operator for the transition from the ground state $|g\rangle = |2p^6 3d^n\rangle$ to the $|i\rangle = |2p^5 3d^{n+1}\rangle$ core-hole states considered within the corresponding symmetries. The RIXS spectra are calculated on the basis of the Kramers–Heisenberg equation:⁴³

$$I^{\text{RIXS}} \propto \sum_{f} \left| \sum_{i} \frac{\langle f | T^{(e)} | i \rangle \langle i | T^{(a)} | g \rangle}{E_{g} + \hbar \omega_{\text{in}} - E_{i} - i \Gamma_{i} / 2} \right|^{2} \\ \times \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma_{f} / 2}{(E_{i} - E_{f} + \hbar \omega_{\text{in}} - \hbar \omega_{\text{out}})^{2} + (\Gamma_{f} / 2)^{2}}$$
(2)

where $|f\rangle = |2p^6 3d^n\rangle$ are CF multiplets reached after scattering through the dipole emission operator $T^{(e)}$, $\hbar\omega_{in}$ ($\hbar\omega_{out}$) are the energies of the incoming (scattered) photons, Γ_i is the lifetime (Lorentzian) broadening of the 2p core-hole state, and Γ_f is the lifetime broadening of orbitally excited states. For Fe²⁺, Γ_i was set to 0.20 eV (0.37 eV) at the $2p_{3/2}$ ($2p_{1/2}$) resonances; for Cr^{3+} , the Γ_i values were 0.20 eV and 0.29 eV for $2p_{3/2}$ and $2p_{1/2}$ resonances, respectively.^{44,45} Γ_f was formally set to 0.001 eV in all cases, as core-hole free states have significantly larger lifetime. The magnitude of the CF splitting 10Dq was treated as a parameter to find the best agreement between simulated data and measured spectra. In order to reduce the number of parameters, CT excitations were not considered in the XAS/RIXS modeling. Their inclusion might improve the agreement between the simulated and measured XAS intensity after the white line. Accounting for CT excitations in RIXS simulations would have no impact on the position of the CF multiplets. Final states with an electron transfer from the ligand (sulfur) to the metal would shift some spectral weight from the dd excitations to above $(\hbar\omega_{\rm in} - \hbar\omega_{\rm out}) \approx 2 \,\mathrm{eV}$, where CT excitations are typically expected. All calculated spectra were convoluted with a Gaussian profile to include the experimental broadening. Details are given along in the following.

Results and analysis

In the following, the experimental results are presented and discussed in relation to multiplet calculations: first for the Fe sites, then for the Cr sites. This is followed by a general comparison between Fe and Cr RIXS data and an analysis of the implications for the exchange interactions across the sulfur ligands. Finally our findings are confronted to previously reported optical reflectivity data^{32,33} and recent magnetic measurements.³⁰

Fe site.

Figure 1 shows the Fe 2p XAS measurement on FeCr_2S_4 and the corresponding simulated spectra. Our XAS data are in agreement with previously reported measurements.^{46,47} XAS measurements on FeCr_2O_4 ⁴⁶ and FeCr_2Se_4 ⁴⁸ display comparable Fe 2p XAS spectra, with a general shape very similar to that of divalent Fe in FeO.⁴⁶ Trivalent Fe, as in Fe₂O₃, has a recognizably different spectrum: it exhibits a pronounced multiplet structure with the $2p_{3/2}$ white line that is shifted by $\approx 2 \text{ eV}$ towards higher photon energies.⁴⁹ The divalent character of iron in FeCr₂S₄ is also consistent with the multiplet splitting observed in the Fe 3s X-ray photoelectron spectrum of this compound.⁵⁰



Figure 1: Fe 2p XAS for FeCr₂S₄: measured (continuous line) and simulated (dots). Arrows on the experimental spectrum indicate the incoming photon energies used for the RIXS measurements: (a) 704.4 eV, (b) 705.4 eV, (c) 705.8 eV, (d) 706.6 eV, and (e) 707.3 eV. The simulation is obtained from a CF multiplet calculation for Fe²⁺ within the T_d symmetry with 10Dq = 0.3 eV. Though the main atomic features can be identified with structure in the experimental spectrum, sharp calculated features are attenuated due to the covalent nature of the compound and the absence of CT in the calculation.

It should also be noted that Fe has T_d symmetry in FeCr₂S₄ and FeCr₂O₄, but has O_h symmetry in FeCr₂Se₄. FeCr₂S₄ has the spinel structure, whilst that of FeCr₂Se₄ is monoclinic. The fact that the FeCr₂S₄ and FeCr₂Se₄ XAS spectra are broader than that of FeCr₂O₄ is related to the lower ionicity of the Fe–S and Fe–Se bonds compared to that

of the Fe–O bond (S and Se have a comparable electronegativity, significantly smaller than that of O). The absence of significant differences in the multiplet structure of the FeCr_2X_4 XAS spectra points to a high degree of Fe 3d itinerancy in these compounds.

The simulated spectrum in Figure 1 was obtained from a CF multiplet calculation for Fe²⁺ within the T_d symmetry with 10Dq = 0.3 eV, a value deduced from the RIXS simulations (see below). Both the Lorentzian and Gaussian broadenings mentioned above were included. CT is not taken into account. The agreement with experiment is good in so far as the shape of the simulated spectrum displays the same features albeit with finer multiplet structure.

The Fe $2p_{3/2}$ -3d RIXS data (Figure 2) show no differences between RT and 30 K measurements other than a small change in the amplitude of the elastic peak. The latter can be due to a small shift of the sample position upon cooling, which results in a variation of the diffuse reflectivity because of a change of the surface morphology.

The T_d crystal field acting on the Fe²⁺ site partially lifts the degeneracy of atomic 3d⁶ terms, as illustrated at the bottom of Figure 2, taking the spin-orbit parameter of the 3d electrons $\zeta(3d)$ as zero. In fact $\zeta(3d)$ is 53 meV for the Fe²⁺ free ion (see Reference 51), a value that is too small to be resolved under the present experimental conditions. The first CF excitation measured at 0.33 eV energy loss unambiguously determines the CF strength on the Fe²⁺ site. We take it as $10Dq = 0.30 \pm 0.05 \,\text{eV}$. The full TS diagram computed for Fe²⁺ in T_d with the Racah parameter $B = 0.117 \,\text{eV}$ and $\zeta(3d) = 0 \,\text{eV}$ is given in the supporting information, Figure S1. The first energy loss corresponds to the $e^3t_2^{3[5}E(^5D)] \rightarrow e^2t_2^4[^5T_2(^5D)]$ transition and matches a resonance in the optical conductivity data.^{32,33} Further dd excitations can be attributed to transitions from the ground state to ${}^{3}T_1$ and ${}^{3}E$ excited states at transferred energies higher than 2.1 eV. The RIXS spectral weights include convolution with a 250 meV fwhm Gaussian profile to account for the combined experimental resolution (see Section "Methods and material"). The calculated spectra correctly reproduce the energy loss at 0.3 eV and dd excitations from $\approx 2 \,\text{eV}$ to 4 eV on the transferred energy scale. But we underline that, contrary to observation, no peak is predicted at an energy loss of 1.6 eV.



Figure 2: FeCr₂S₄ RIXS recorded across the Fe $2p_{3/2}$ edge (the intensities are normalized to the acquisition time). The lines are the experimental data (the letters refer to incoming photon energies $\hbar\omega_{in}$ as indicated in Figure 1). The simulated spectra result from CF multiplet calculations for a Fe²⁺ ion in T_d symmetry with 10Dq = 0.3 eV. The RIXS final states are shown as vertical bars. The feature at 1.6 eV is not accounted for by the CF-based calculations.

Other than the first loss peak the calculated RIXS spectrum starts at 2 eV and the main feature reaches maximum intensity on resonance at $\approx 3 \text{ eV}$ transferred energy. The origin of the extra peak observed experimentally is to be found elsewhere as discussed later.

Cr site.

In Figure 3, we compare measured and simulated Cr 2p XAS spectra for FeCr_2S_4 . The XAS measurement supports the assumption that chromium is in 3+ state.⁵⁰ This is also supported by the Cr 3s multiplet splitting observed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy in a series of trivalent chromium compounds.⁵⁰

The simulated spectrum is obtained from a CF multiplet calculation for a Cr^{3+} ion



Figure 3: Cr 2p XAS for FeCr₂S₄: measured (line) and simulated (dots). Arrows on the experimental spectrum indicate the incoming photon energies used for the RIXS measurements: (a) 572.5 eV, (b) 573.0 eV, (c) 574.5 eV, and (d) 575.7 eV. The simulation is obtained for Cr³⁺ within the O_h symmetry with 10Dq = 1.6 eV.

in the O_h symmetry with 10Dq = 1.6 eV. This 10Dq value is consistent with the RIXS data (see below); it is also consistent with band structure calculations.^{52,53} CT is not taken into account. Both lifetime and instrumental broadenings are taken into account in the simulation (see Section "Methods and material"). The calculated spectrum is broadened with a Lorentzian profile with a fwhm of 0.2 eV across the $2p_{3/2}$ resonance and with a fwhm of 0.29 eV across the $2p_{1/2}$ resonance to allow for lifetime broadening,⁴⁵ and with a Gaussian profile with a fwhm of 0.11 eV to account for instrumental broadening. The calculation adequately explains the general shape of the experimental data, though it is closer to the XAS spectrum of Cr_2O_3 ,⁵⁴ a more ionic compound, in which Cr^{3+} ions are also in an O_h environment. This falls within our expectations, since multiplet calculations are performed for pure ionic states.

The Cr $2p_{3/2}$ -3d RIXS measurements at RT are shown on a transferred energy scale in Figure 4. Comparing the calculated excited states for a Cr³⁺ ion in O_h symmetry with experiment [see also the full TS diagram given in the supporting information, Figure S2, for the Racah parameter B = 0.115 eV and $\zeta(3d) = 0 \text{ eV}$] shows us that the loss peak at 1.6 eV can be attributed to the first dd excitation corresponding to the transition between



Figure 4: FeCr₂S₄ RIXS recorded across the Cr $2p_{3/2}$ edge (the intensities are normalized to the acquisition time). The lines are the experimental data (the letters refer to incoming photon energies $\hbar\omega_{\rm in}$ as indicated in Figure 3). The simulated spectra result from CF multiplet calculations for a Cr³⁺ ion in O_h symmetry with $10Dq = 1.6 \,\mathrm{eV}$. The RIXS final states are shown as vertical bars.

the ground state $t_{2g}^3({}^4A_{2g})$ and the first excited state $t_{2g}^2e_g^1({}^4T_{2g}))$. The high energy-loss side of this peak is made up of contributions from dd excitations involving states lying at higher energy (i.e., ${}^2E_{1g}$, ${}^2T_{1g}$ and ${}^4T_{1g}$ states) and from CT states as well. The agreement between the calculated and experimental spectra could be improved by including CT by means of additional adjustable parameters. This would not modify the position of the dd excitations but add CT spectral weight mainly at higher transfer energies. For the purpose of understanding the origin of the 1.6 eV peak this would be superfluous as discussed below.

Although FeCr_2S_4 is paramagnetic at room temperature, short range magnetic order persists. Our measurements at 30 K are well below the ferrimagnetic ordering temperature but only minor differences were observed either side of $T_N \approx 180 \text{ K}$ as already pointed out for the Fe RIXS data.

Discussion

Our observation from XAS and RIXS favors Cr^{3+} and Fe^{2+} oxidation states. It should be born in mind, however, that these are atom specific probes that involve a core-hole potential U_{dc} , typically 1 eV larger than the Coulomb repulsion energy U_{dd} .⁵⁵ Recently Lo Presti and Destro⁵⁶ have examined the formal oxidation state of Fe and Cr in $FeCr_2S_4$ using high resolution X-ray diffraction and unrestricted Hartree–Fock calculations applied to the experimentally determined structure. They conclude that Fe is close to 2+, but Cr has a slightly lower d density than would be expected for 3+.

Contrary to spectra in the optical regime, RIXS can map excitations that involve changes of multiplicity via two electric dipole transitions. dd excitations on Fe and Cr sites show up at constant energy loss [i.e., $(\hbar\omega_{\rm in} - \hbar\omega_{\rm out})$ is constant] in Figures 2 and 4, thus similar to Raman spectra. For excitations above threshold, normal X-ray fluorescence sets in. The energy of $\hbar\omega_{\rm out}$ is then independent of $\hbar\omega_{\rm in}$ and the X-ray emission band represents, to a first approximation, the partial electronic densities of valence states; in our case Cr or Fe 3d states hybridized with the sulfur 3sp band. The Raman regime can however also extend beyond the absorption threshold in the shape of localized excitonic states.⁵⁷ In Figure 5 we present spectra for a few excitation energies on an *emitted photon energy* scale after subtraction of the Cr and Fe absorption threshold energies ($\hbar\omega_{\rm out} - E_{\rm edge}$), where $E_{\rm edge}$ is 575.0 eV for Cr and 707.1 eV for Fe. On this scale, zero energy marks the limit between the X-ray Raman regime and the X-ray fluorescence regime: the elastic peak has negative energy values for the Raman regime; fluorescence sets in for positive excitation energies.

Figure 5 spotlights the salient features that we wish to discuss. The Cr 1.6 eV loss peak is observed even for excitations above threshold and remains intense relative to the elastic peak. It is straightforwardly attributable to a $(t_{2g}^3 \rightarrow t_{2g}^2 e_g^1)$ dd excitation. On the other hand the first Fe dd excitation at 0.33 eV weakens above zero.

Despite the partially covalent character of the compound we observe that the Fe and Cr elementary excitations are well accounted for by the TS diagrams for Fe^{2+} in T_d symmetry



Figure 5: Fe and Cr $2p_{3/2}$ RIXS of FeCr₂S₄ shown on an emitted photon energy scale from which the respective $2p_{3/2}$ threshold energies have been subtracted. Thus the fluorescence regime is reached for elastic peaks above zero energy. Elastic peaks below zero energy indicate spectra in the Raman regime. As a guide, a 1.6 eV dimension line is shown in each case. The Cr spectra shown here were taken at 30 K.

and Cr^{3+} in O_h symmetry except for the 1.6 eV peak in the Fe RIXS spectrum. According to optical absorption, substitutional Fe²⁺ ions in cubic ZnS also shows a first dd excitation at 0.4 eV followed by a gap before further loss structure appears above 2 eV; no significant structure is observed at 1.6 eV.⁵⁸

The dependence of the 1.6 eV peak as a function of $\hbar\omega_{in}$ strongly suggests that this is not due to CT. In particular it vanishes for $\hbar\omega_{in}$ greater than the threshold energy whereas the hybridization between the S 3p and Fe 3d states responsible for CT should also be evident in the fluorescence regime.

We situate CT at $\approx 4.5 \text{ eV}$ loss which is supported by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements of Fe 3p core levels in FeCr₂S₄,⁵⁹ though the XPS value of $\approx 4 \text{ eV}$

would not necessarily be applicable to the RIXS data because of differences in Coulomb interaction and CT could extend to lower losses.

As an aid to discussing this further in relation with the orbital interactions we present the FeCr₂S₄ unit cell in Figure 6. The Cr³⁺S₆²⁻ quasi-regular octahedrons share edges in the FeCr₂S₄ structure. The half-filled Cr t_{2g} orbitals are oriented almost orthogonally to the S 3p ligands. This leads to ferromagnetic alignment in accordance with GKA rules since electron transfer between d_{xy}, d_{xz}, d_{yz} orbitals on two adjacent Cr sites cannot take place. The Cr–S–Cr bond angle is in fact 94°,⁵⁶ but the symmetry argument remains valid for angles not too far from 90°.

Fe atoms in tetrahedral symmetry lie at the center of a cube with only four corners occupied by S atoms to form the tetrahedron, the t_2 orbitals $(d_{xy}, d_{xz} \text{ and } d_{yz})$ point to the mid-point of the cube edges and the *e* orbitals $(d_{z^2} \text{ and } d_{x^2,y^2})$ point to the cube faces. The S atoms are shared with the Cr octahedral structure and the Fe–S–Cr bond angle is 122°.⁵⁶ The magnetic properties of FeCr₂S₄ are in support of antiferromagnetic coupling between Fe and Cr sites but identification of the magnetic interactions in terms of exchange across S 3p orbitals is not as clear cut as when 180° or 90° GKA rules can be applied directly. What is clear is that the Fe²⁺ regular tetrahedrons are well separated from each other, so we can assume Fe–Fe interactions are negligible compared to interactions between Fe²⁺ and Cr³⁺ across a single sulfur ion.

A rough estimate of the overlap between metal and ligand orbitals can be established by first imagining that the Fe–S–Cr bond angle is 90°. A S 3p ligand orbital drawn along the *c*-axis in Figure 6 will form a non-orthogonal weak p_{π} -type bond with the Cr d_{yz} orbital. But, as viewed from the Fe²⁺ atom, one lobe of this p_{π} -type bond is a p_{σ} orbital pointing towards the Fe atom. It mixes with t_2 orbitals but is not mixing with *e* orbitals as the overlap is the same for positive and negative lobes both as concerns d_{x²-y²} and d_{z²}.⁶⁰ This situation changes little as the Fe–S–Cr bond angle is increased by 32° to reach the true Fe–S–Cr bond angle. For instance, the change in bond angle from 90° to 122° will cancel



two-site orbital excitation following Fe 2p excitation



Figure 6: Portion of the FeCr₂S₄ crystal structure (the crystallographic *c*-axis is indicated) along with a schematic representation of the antiferromagnetic coupling between Fe and Cr via the S 3p orbitals in the ground state $|g\rangle$ (top panel). On-site orbital excitations subsequent to the decay of the Fe 2p⁵3d⁷ or Cr 2p⁵3d⁴ intermediate states $|i\rangle$ are shown (middle panel). The Fe 2p⁵3d⁸L⁻¹ intermediate state opens a spin-up channel for the Cr($t_{2g}^3 e_g^0$) to Cr($t_{2g}^2 e_g^1$) excitation (see bottom panel). The equivalent opening of the spin-down channel in the Cr 2p⁵3d⁵L⁻¹ configuration cannot affect the Fe down-spin states that are full. It should be noted that there is no CT in the final states $|f\rangle$ represented here.

the strict orthogonality between Fe t_2 and S p_{σ} -like type orbitals; the resulting hybridization is however expected to be small. The covalent mixing λ of non-orthogonal orbitals i and jcan be estimated from the energy separation $\Delta \varepsilon_{ij}$ according to $\lambda \propto (\Delta \varepsilon_{ij})^{-1}$. Applied to the half-filled Fe t_2 and half-filled Cr t_{2g} ground state, the main interaction should therefore be Fe (t_2^3) -S-Cr (t_{2g}^3) SE. Support for this orbital-based picture and the ensuing antiferromagnetic interaction is provided by band structure calculations that also estimate the relative energies of the d states involved. That is to say that $\varepsilon[\operatorname{Fe}(t_2^3)] \approx \varepsilon[\operatorname{Cr}(t_{2g}^3)]$.^{52,53} The S p_{π} orbitals in the T_d symmetry are difficult to visualize but it is clear that they will have sufficient p_{σ} character with respect to Cr to mix with its $d_{x^2-y^2}$, d_{z^2} orbitals. It is easier to picture an effective S p_{σ} orbital between Fe and Cr centers tilted by 29° with respect to the respective true p_{σ} axes. The overlap with Cr e_g remains strong and on the Fe side it mainly overlaps the non-orthogonal t_2 orbitals.

The 2p–3d absorption process at the Fe resonance leaves a 2p core hole behind and an electron excited in the spin-up Fe e orbital. The additional 3d electron effectively screens the core hole meaning that non-local inter-site electron transfers between the Cr and Fe states are little required for efficient screening. This is a notable difference with 1s–4p RIXS where the core hole is weakly screened and a non-local inter-site electron transition may occur during the ultrashort lifetime of the 1s core hole. In the case of the K-edge RIXS of Cu in La₂CuO₄, ²⁰ for instance, the Cu 3d orbitals at the 1s hole site are filled leaving a two-hole Cu 3d state on a neighboring site. Incidentally the transfer involves SE since it occurs via the oxygen ligand.

To understand the origin of the 1.6 eV loss in the Fe 2p RIXS process we need to consider the configuration interaction in the intermediate state. It consists of strong hybridization between $2p^53d^7$ and $2p^53d^8L^{-1}$ forming bonding, non-bonding, and antibonding states (L⁻¹ represents the transfer of an electron from the ligand). In Figure 6 the top panel shows a schematic representation of the exchange interaction in the ground state leading to the antiferromagnetic coupling between Fe and Cr. Next, the middle panel shows how the decay of the purely bonding Fe $2p^53d^7$ or Cr $2p^53d^4$ intermediate states can reveal the elementary low energy excitations of $0.33 \,\text{eV}$ and $1.6 \,\text{eV}$ for Fe and Cr respectively. The non-bonding states (not represented in Figure 6) give rise to the extended CT feature centered at $\approx 4.5 \,\text{eV}$ in the RIXS spectra for both Fe and Cr. The antibonding component shown schematically in the two-site orbital picture of Figure 6 demonstrates that only a spin-up electron may be transferred from S 3p to the Fe site thus opening the spin-up channel to the neighboring Cr site. Consequently SE makes it possible to produce a 1.6 eV loss in the Fe spectrum induced by the $\operatorname{Cr}(t_{2g}^3 e_g^0)$ to $\operatorname{Cr}(t_{2g}^2 e_g^1)$ excitation. It should be noted that in the final state no CT has taken place between Fe and the S 3p. The equivalent Cr RIXS process does not give rise to a 0.33 eV loss because the Cr $2p^53d^5L^{-1}$ state involves the transfer of a spin-down electron in accordance with Hund's rule. On the Fe site, all down-electron states are already filled so the opening of a spin-down channel has no effect.

Further light can be shed on the ligand-metal interaction by observing the CT part of the spectra at $\approx 4.5 \,\text{eV}$ energy loss (see Figures 2, 4, and 7). For the Fe environment, the CT peak shifts by 2 eV for a 2 eV increase in $\hbar\omega_{\text{in}}$. It indicates excitation to non-bonding *e* states only very weakly mixed with the ligand band; the Fe CT peak remains at constant energy loss. In the case of Cr the CT peak shifts by $\approx 1.0 \,\text{eV}$ for a 2 eV increase in incident photon energy; when plotted on an energy loss scale it disperses. The origin of such a dispersion has been discussed by Matsubara *et al.*⁶¹ and is dependent on a variation in CT energy over the



Figure 7: Charge transfer structure highlighted in Cr and Fe $2p_{3/2}$ -3d RIXS. Some elastic peaks have been truncated for clarity. In the Cr spectra, the main CT feature does not follow the Raman shift exactly as $\hbar\omega_{\rm in}$ increases. In the Fe spectra, the CT feature disperses as $\hbar\omega_{\rm in}$ increases (arrows).

width of ligand states. The Cr d orbitals are expected to overlap more effectively the very broad S 3p band so the center of gravity of the CT peak shifts with increasing $\hbar\omega_{in}$. The difference in interaction within the octahedral Cr and tetrahedral Fe environments is also clear from the fluorescence data shown in the top panel of Figure 5. As $\hbar\omega_{in}$ increases above threshold energy the 1.6 eV excitation in the Cr RIXS continues as a relatively strong peak i.e., independently of strong on-site screening of the Cr 2p hole. On the contrary as $\hbar\omega_{in}$ increases beyond the empty d states for Fe RIXS, the 2.0 eV energy loss remains intense but the 1.6 eV peak disappears.

Conclusions

Several aspects of the RIXS Fe and Cr excitations in FeCr₂S₄ confirm the optical absorption data deduced from reflectivity measurements reported by Ohgushi *et al.*^{32,33} They observe the Fe low energy excitation at $\approx 0.3 \text{ eV}$ which, from our RIXS measurements, can be ascribed unambiguously to Fe $(t_g^3 e^3) \rightarrow$ Fe $(t_g^4 e^2)$ on-site excitations thanks to the chemical sensitivity of the method. It is the feature that is linked to giant MOKE. Weak MOKE signals are also detected at 2.2 eV and 4.5 eV in correspondence with the main RIXS features. Only a very low intensity feature is observed at 1.6 eV identified as relating specifically to a Cr excitation according to our analysis; no giant Kerr rotation is observed in this energy region. Our main finding is that excitations in the Fe 2p–3d RIXS process provide a clear spectral fingerprint for SE interaction in FeCr₂S₄. This should stimulate the development of novel models for the parametrization of SE in other 3d transition metal compounds, especially in molecular systems with heteronuclear metal centers.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank N. Jaouen for support during the measurements and R. Gaudemer for technical assistance. S.G.C., C.F.H., R.D., and J.-M.M. acknowledge the support of the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR), under Grant No. ANR-05-NANO-074 (HR-RXRS). M.G. and S.G.C. acknowledge the support of LabEx MiChem managed by the ANR under the reference ANR-11-IDEX-0004-02. Additional funding was provided by Synchrotron SOLEIL and UPMC. H.D.Z. thanks the support from NSF-DMR through Award DMR-1350002.

Supporting Information Available

Tanabe–Sugano diagrams computed for Fe^{2+} in T_d symmetry (Figure S1) and Cr^{3+} in O_h symmetry (Figure S2) in the absence of 3d spin-orbit coupling.

This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org/.

References

- Goodenough, J. B. Magnetism and the Chemical Bond; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1963.
- (2) Zhou, H.-C.; Long, J. R.; Yaghi, O. M. Introduction to Metal–Organic Frameworks. *Chem. Rev.* 2012, 112, 673–674, and the articles in this special issue on MOFs.
- (3) Launay, J.-P.; Verdaguer, M. Electrons in Molecules: from Basic Principles to Molecular Electronics; Oxford University Press: Oxford, 2014.
- (4) Benelli, C.; Gatteschi, D. Introduction to Molecular Magnetism: from Transition Metals to Lanthanides; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, 2015.
- (5) Kurmoo, M. Magnetic Metal–Organic Frameworks. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 1353–1379.
- (6) Bechlars, B.; D'Alessandro, D. M.; Jenkins, D. M.; Iavarone, A. T.; Glover, S. D.; Kubiak, C. P.; Long, J. R. High-Spin Ground States via Electron Delocalization in

Mixed-Valence Imidazolate-Bridged Divanadium Complexes. *Nat. Chem.* **2010**, *2*, 362–368.

- (7) Tiana, D.; Hendon, C. H.; Walsh, A. Ligand Design for Long-Range Magnetic Order in Metal–Organic Frameworks. *Chem. Commun.* 2014, 50, 13990–13993.
- (8) Gallo, E.; Lamberti, C.; Glatzel, P. dd Excitations in CPO-27-Ni Metal–Organic Framework: Comparison between Resonant Inelastic X-ray Scattering and UV–Vis Spectroscopy. *Inorg. Chem.* 2013, *52*, 5633–5635.
- (9) Lundberg, M.; Kroll, T.; DeBeer, S.; Bergmann, U.; Wilson, S. A.; Glatzel, P.; Nordlund, D.; Hedman, B.; Hodgson, K. O.; Solomon, E. I. Metal–Ligand Covalency of Iron Complexes from High-Resolution Resonant Inelastic X-ray Scattering. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 17121–17134.
- (10) Thomas, R.; Kas, J.; Glatzel, P.; Samarai, M. A.; de Groot, F. M. F.; Mori, R. A.; Kavčič, M.; Zitnik, M.; Bucar, K.; Rehr, J. J. et al. Resonant Inelastic X-ray Scattering of Molybdenum Oxides and Sulfides. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2015**, *119*, 2419–2426.
- (11) Kanamori, J. Superexchange Interaction and Symmetry Properties of Electron Orbitals.
 J. Phys. Chem. Solids 1959, 10, 87–98.
- (12) Anderson, P. W. New Approach to the Theory of Superexchange Interactions. *Phys. Rev.* 1959, 115, 2–13.
- (13) Lee, J. S.; Kim, M. W.; Noh, T. W. Optical Excitations of Transition-Metal Oxides under the Orbital Multiplicity Effects. *New J. Phys.* 2005, 7, 147.
- (14) Oleś, A. M.; Khaliullin, G.; Horsch, P.; Feiner, L. F. Fingerprints of Spin-Orbital Physics in Cubic Mott Insulators: Magnetic Exchange Interactions and Optical Spectral Weights. *Phys. Rev. B* 2005, *72*, 214431.

- (15) Huotari, S.; Pylkkänen, T.; Vankó, G.; Verbeni, R.; Glatzel, P.; Monaco, G. Crystal-Field Excitations in NiO Studied with Hard X-ray Resonant Inelastic X-ray Scattering at the Ni K edge. *Phys. Rev. B* 2008, 78, 041102.
- (16) Ghiringhelli, G.; Matsubara, M.; Dallera, C.; Fracassi, F.; Gusmeroli, R.; Piazzalunga, A.; Tagliaferri, A.; Brookes, N. B.; Kotani, A.; Braicovich, L. NiO as a Test Case for High Resolution Resonant Inelastic Soft X-ray Scattering. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 2005, 17, 5397–5412.
- (17) Chiuzbăian, S. G.; Ghiringhelli, G.; Dallera, C.; Grioni, M.; Amann, P.; Wang, X.; Braicovich, L.; Patthey, L. Localized Electronic Excitations in NiO Studied with Resonant Inelastic X-Ray Scattering at the Ni M Threshold: Evidence of Spin Flip. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2005**, *95*, 197402.
- (18) Wray, L. A.; Yang, W.; Eisaki, H.; Hussain, Z.; Chuang, Y.-D. Multiplet Resonance Lifetimes in Resonant Inelastic X-ray Scattering Involving Shallow Core Levels. *Phys. Rev. B* 2012, *86*, 195130.
- (19) Duda, L.-C.; Schmitt, T.; Magnuson, M.; Forsberg, J.; Olsson, A.; Nordgren, J.; Okada, K.; Kotani, A. Resonant Inelastic X-Ray Scattering at the Oxygen K Resonance of NiO: Nonlocal Charge Transfer and Double-Singlet Excitations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2006**, *96*, 067402.
- (20) Forte, F.; Ament, L. J. P.; van den Brink, J. Single and Double Orbital Excitations Probed by Resonant Inelastic X-Ray Scattering. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2008**, *101*, 106406.
- (21) Ulrich, C.; Ament, L. J. P.; Ghiringhelli, G.; Braicovich, L.; Moretti Sala, M.; Pezzotta, N.; Schmitt, T.; Khaliullin, G.; van den Brink, J.; Roth, H. et al. Momentum Dependence of Orbital Excitations in Mott-Insulating Titanates. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2009, 103, 107205.

- (22) Wohlfeld, K.; Daghofer, M.; Nishimoto, S.; Khaliullin, G.; van den Brink, J. Intrinsic Coupling of Orbital Excitations to Spin Fluctuations in Mott Insulators. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2011, 107, 147201.
- (23) Schlappa, J.; Wohlfeld, K.; Zhou, K. J.; Mourigal, M.; Haverkort, M. W.; Strocov, V. N.;
 Hozoi, L.; Monney, C.; Nishimoto, S.; Singh, S. et al. Spin-Orbital Separation in the Quasi-One-Dimensional Mott Insulator Sr₂CuO₃. *Nature* 2012, 485, 82–85.
- (24) Néel, L. Propriétés Magnétiques des Ferrites: Ferrimagnétisme et Antiferromagnétisme.
 Ann. Phys. (Paris) 1948, 3, 137–198.
- (25) Lotgering, F. K. On the Ferrimagnetism of Some Sulphides and Oxides. *Philips Res. Rep.* 1956, 11, 190–249.
- (26) Bongers, P. F.; Haas, C.; van Run, A. M. J. G.; Zanmarchi, G. Magnetoresistance in Chalcogenide Spinels. J. Appl. Phys. 1969, 40, 958–963.
- (27) Goodenough, J. B. Descriptions of Outer d Electrons in Thiospinels. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 1969, 30, 261–280.
- (28) Ramirez, A. P.; Cava, R. J.; Krajewski, J. Colossal Magnetoresistance in Cr-Based Chalcogenide Spinels. *Nature* **1997**, *386*, 156–159.
- (29) Coey, J. M. D.; Viret, M.; von Molnár, S. Mixed-Valence Manganites. Adv. Phys. 1999, 48, 167–293.
- (30) Bertinshaw, J.; Ulrich, C.; Gunther, A.; Schrettle, F.; Wohlauer, M.; Krohns, S.;
 Reehuis, M.; Studer, A. J.; Avdeev, M.; Quach, D. V. et al. FeCr₂S₄ in Magnetic
 Fields: Possible Evidence for a Multiferroic Ground State. *Sci. Rep.* 2014, 4, 6079.
- (31) Lin, L.; Zhu, H. X.; Jiang, X. M.; Wang, K. F.; Dong, S.; Yan, Z. B.; Yang, Z. R.; Wan, J. G.; Liu, J. M. Coupled Ferroelectric Polarization and Magnetization in Spinel FeCr₂S₄. Sci. Rep. **2014**, 4, 6530.

- (32) Ohgushi, K.; Ogasawara, T.; Okimoto, Y.; Miyasaka, S.; Tokura, Y. Gigantic Kerr Rotation Induced by a d-d Transition Resonance in MCr₂S₄ (M = Mn, Fe). *Phys. Rev.* B 2005, 72, 155114.
- (33) Ohgushi, K.; Okimoto, Y.; Ogasawara, T.; Miyasaka, S.; Tokura, Y. Magnetic, Optical, and Magnetooptical Properties of Spinel-Type ACr₂X₄ (A = Mn, Fe, Co, Cu, Zn, Cd; X = O, S, Se). J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 2008, 77, 034713.
- (34) Berry, F. J.; Dmitrieva, T. V.; Ovanesyan, N. S.; Lyubutin, I. S.; Thomas, M. F.; Sarkisyan, V. A.; Ren, X.; Aminov, T. G.; Shabunina, G. G.; Rudenko, V. et al. Magnetic Order in FeCr₂S₄-Type Chalcogenide Spinels. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 2007, 19, 266204.
- (35) Amiel, Y.; Rozenberg, G. K.; Nissim, N.; Milner, A.; Pasternak, M. P.; Hanfland, M.; Taylor, R. D. Intricate Relationship between Pressure-Induced Electronic and Structural Transformations in FeCr₂S₄. *Phys. Rev. B* **2011**, *84*, 224114.
- (36) Ament, L. J. P.; van Veenendaal, M.; Devereaux, T. P.; Hill, J. P.; van den Brink, J. Resonant Inelastic X-ray Scattering Studies of Elementary Excitations. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 2011, *83*, 705–767.
- (37) Sugano, S.; Tanabe, Y.; Kamimura, H. Multiplets of Transition-Metal Ions in Crystals;
 Series: Pure and Applied Physics; Academic Press: New York, 1970; Vol. 33.
- (38) Chiuzbăian, S. G.; Hague, C. F.; Avila, A.; Delaunay, R.; Jaouen, N.; Sacchi, M.; Polack, F.; Thomasset, M.; Lagarde, B.; Nicolaou, A. et al. Design and Performance of AERHA, a High Acceptance High Resolution Soft X-ray Spectrometer. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 2014, *85*, 043108.
- (39) Sacchi, M.; Jaouen, N.; Popescu, H.; Gaudemer, R.; Tonnerre, J.-M.; Chiuzbăian, S. G.;Hague, C. F.; Delmotte, A.; Dubuisson, J. M.; Cauchon, G. et al. The SEXTANTS

Beamline at SOLEIL: a New Facility for Elastic, Inelastic and Coherent Scattering of Soft X-rays. J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 2013, 425, 072018.

- (40) Watanabe, T. Growth of CoCr₂S₄ and FeCr₂S₄ Single Crystals by Chemical Vapor Transport. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 1972, 32, 1443–1443.
- (41) Stavitski, E.; de Groot, F. M. F. The CTM4XAS Program for EELS and XAS Spectral Shape Analysis of Transition Metal L Edges. *Micron* 2010, 41, 687–694.
- (42) Cowan, R. D. The Theory of Atomic Spectra and Structure; Los Alamos Series in Basic and Applied Sciences; University of California Press: Berkeley, CA, 1981; Vol. 3.
- (43) (a) Kramers, H. A.; Heisenberg, W. Über die Streuung von Strahlung durch Atome. Z. Phys. 1925, 31, 681–708; (b) Heitler, W. The Quantum Theory of Radiation, 3rd ed.; Clarendon Press: Oxford, 1954.
- (44) Keski-Rahkonen, O.; Krause, M. O. Total and Partial Atomic-level Widths. At. Data and Nucl. Data Tables 1974, 14, 139–146.
- (45) Fuggle, J. C., Inglesfield, J. E., Eds. Unoccupied Electronic States; Topics in Applied Physics; Springer Verlag: Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1992; Vol. 69; Chapter "Corehole lifetime broadenings" (Appendix B), pp 347–351.
- (46) Kang, J.-S.; Kim, G.; Lee, H. J.; Kim, H. S.; Kim, D. H.; Han, S. W.; Kim, S. J.; Kim, C. S.; Lee, H.; Kim, J.-Y. et al. Synchrotron Radiation Spectroscopy Study of FeCr₂X₄ (X = S and Se). J. Appl. Phys. **2008**, 103, 07D717.
- (47) Verma, V. K.; Singh, V. R.; Ishigami, K.; Shibata, G.; Harano, T.; Kadono, T.; Fujimori, A.; Koide, T.; Tokura, Y. X-ray Magnetic Circular Dichroism Study of FeCr₂S₄. *Photon Factory Activity Report 2011* **2012**, *29 Part B*, 129.
- (48) Kang, J.-S.; Hwang, J.; Kim, D. H.; Lee, E.; Kim, W. C.; Kim, C. S.; Lee, H.-K.; Kim, J.-Y.; Han, S. W.; Hong, S. C. et al. Soft X-ray Magnetic Circular Dichroism

Study of Valence and Spin States in FeT_2O_4 (T = V, Cr) Spinel Oxides. J. Appl. Phys. **2013**, 113, 17E116.

- (49) Regan, T. J.; Ohldag, H.; Stamm, C.; Nolting, F.; Lüning, J.; Stöhr, J.; White, R. L. Chemical Effects at Metal/Oxide Interfaces Studied by X-ray-Absorption Spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. B* 2001, 64, 214422.
- (50) Tsurkan, V.; Demeter, M.; Schneider, B.; Hartmann, D.; Neumann, M. Exchange Splitting of the Cr, Fe and Mn 3s XPS Spectra in Some Ternary Magnetic Semiconductor Sulphides. *Solid State Commun.* 2000, 114, 149–154.
- (51) van der Laan, G.; Kirkman, I. W. The 2p Absorption Spectra of 3d Transition Metal Compounds in Tetrahedral and Octahedral Symmetry. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 1992, 4, 4189–4204.
- (52) Sarkar, S.; De Raychaudhury, M.; Dasgupta, I.; Saha-Dasgupta, T. Electronic Structure of FeCr₂S₄: Evidence of Coulomb Enhanced Spin-Orbit Splitting. *Phys. Rev. B* 2009, *80*, 201101(R).
- (53) Sarkar, S.; Maitra, T.; Valentí, R.; Saha-Dasgupta, T. Comparative Study of FeCr₂S₄ and FeSc₂S₄: Spinels with Orbitally Active A Site. Phys. Rev. B 2010, 82, 041105.
- (54) Theil, C.; van Elp, J.; Folkmann, F. Ligand Field Parameters Obtained from and Chemical Shifts Observed at the Cr L_{2,3} Edges. *Phys. Rev. B* 1998, *59*, 7931–7936.
- (55) de Groot, F.; Kotani, A. Core Level Spectroscopy of Solids; Advances in Condensed Matter Science; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2008; Chapter 4.
- (56) Lo Presti, L.; Destro, R. Experimental and Theoretical Charge Density Distribution of the Colossal Magnetoresistive Transition Metal Sulfide FeCr₂S₄. J. Chem. Phys. 2008, 128, 044710.

- (57) Gel'mukhanov, F.; Ågren, H. Resonant X-ray Raman Scattering. *Phys. Rep.* 1999, 312, 87–330.
- (58) Slack, G. A.; Ham, F. S.; Chrenko, R. M. Optical Absorption of Tetrahedral Fe²⁺ (3d⁶) in Cubic ZnS, CdTe, and MgAl₂O₄. *Phys. Rev.* **1966**, *152*, 376–402.
- (59) Kurmaev, E. Z.; Postnikov, A. V.; Palmer, H. M.; Greaves, C.; Bartkowski, S.; Tsurkan, V.; Demeter, M.; D. Hartmann, D.; Neumann, M.; Zatsepin, D. A. et al. Electronic Structure of FeCr₂S₄ and Fe_{0.5}Cu_{0.5}Cr₂S₄. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 2000, 12, 5411–5421.
- (60) Figgis, B. N. Introduction to Ligand Fields; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1966.
- (61) Matsubara, M.; Uozumi, T.; Kotani, A.; Parlebas, J.-C. Charge Transfer Excitation in Resonant X-ray Emission Spectroscopy of NiO. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn 2005, 74, 2052–2060.

Graphical TOC Entry

