

Observation of the 87 Rb 5S 1/2 to 4D 3/2 electric quadrupole transition at 516.6 nm mediated via an optical nanofibre

Tridib Ray, Ratnesh K Gupta, Vandna Gokhroo, Jesse L Everett, Thomas Nieddu, Krishnapriya S Rajasree, Síle Nic Chormaic

To cite this version:

Tridib Ray, Ratnesh K Gupta, Vandna Gokhroo, Jesse L Everett, Thomas Nieddu, et al.. Observation of the 87 Rb 5S 1/2 to 4D 3/2 electric quadrupole transition at 516.6 nm mediated via an optical nanofibre. New Journal of Physics, 2020, 22 (6), pp.062001. 10.1088/1367-2630/ab8265. hal-02899607

HAL Id: hal-02899607 <https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-02899607v1>

Submitted on 15 Jul 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

FAST TRACK COMMUNICATION • OPEN ACCESS

Observation of the ⁸⁷Rb 5S_{1/2} to 4D_{3/2} electric quadrupole transition at 516.6 nm mediated via an optical nanofibre

To cite this article: Tridib Ray et al 2020 New J. Phys. **22** 062001

View the [article online](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/ab8265) for updates and enhancements.

New Journal of Physics

The open access journal at the forefront of physics

Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft (DDPG **IOP** Institute of Physics

Published in partnership with: Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft and the Institute of Physics

OPEN ACCESS

RECEIVED 6 February 2020

REVISED 14 March 2020

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION 23 March 2020

PUBLISHED 15 June 2020

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons [Attribution 4.0 licence.](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

FAST TRACK COMMUNICATION

Observation of the 87 Rb $5S_{1/2}$ to $4D_{3/2}$ electric quadrupole transition at 516.6 nm mediated via an optical nanofibre

$\text{Tridib Ray}^{1,3}, \text{Ratnesh K Gupta}^{1}, \text{Vandna Gokhroo}^{1}, \text{Jesse L Everett}^{1}$ $\text{Tridib Ray}^{1,3}, \text{Ratnesh K Gupta}^{1}, \text{Vandna Gokhroo}^{1}, \text{Jesse L Everett}^{1}$ $\text{Tridib Ray}^{1,3}, \text{Ratnesh K Gupta}^{1}, \text{Vandna Gokhroo}^{1}, \text{Jesse L Everett}^{1}$, **Thomas Nieddu**¹ ,3 **, Krishnapriya S Rajasree**¹ **and Síle Nic Chormaic**1,2,[4](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4276-2014)

- ¹ Light-Matter Interactions for Quantum Technologies Unit, Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University, Onna, Okinawa 904-0495, Japan
- ² Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Grenoble INP, Institut Néel, 38000 Grenoble, France
- ³ Present address: Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, ENS-Université PSL, Collège de France, 4 place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France.
- ⁴ Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: sile.nicchormaic@oist.jp

Keywords: optical nanofibre, electric quadrupole, rubidium, cold atoms

Abstract

Light guided by an optical nanofibre has a very steep evanescent field gradient extending from the fibre surface. This gradient can be exploited to drive electric quadrupole transitions in nearby quantum emitters. In this paper, we report on the observation of the $5S_{1/2} \rightarrow 4D_{3/2}$ electric quadrupole transition at 516.6 nm (in vacuum) in laser-cooled ^{87}Rb atoms using only a few μ W of laser power propagating through an optical nanofibre embedded in the atom cloud. This work extends the range of applications for optical nanofibres in atomic physics to include more fundamental tests such as high-precision measurements of parity non-conservation.

1. Introduction

The simplest and generally the strongest interaction between electromagnetic waves and matter is the electric dipole (E1) interaction—the first radiating term in a multipole expansion. Naturally, optical excitations mostly exploit E1 allowed transitions [1], such as the cooling transition for alkali atoms. The commonly used selection rules for atomic transitions are based on the electric dipole approximation and the effect of the higher order terms are frequently neglected, leading to what are known as dipole forbidden transitions. The strength of the optical transitions can be defined in terms of several different parameters, such as the Einstein A and B coefficients, the dipole moments, or the oscillator strengths (i.e. the *f* values) [2]. The electric dipole Rabi frequency, which defines the actual number of transitions that take place per second in the two-level system, is linearly proportional to the electric dipole moment and the amplitude of the light field. The corresponding E1 oscillator strengths (i.e. the *f* values) are proportional to the square of the dipole moment and, hence, the square of the E1 Rabi frequency.

The next term in the multipole expansion is the electric quadrupole (E2). Quadrupole transitions play an important role in atomic and molecular spectroscopy [3–5] with relevance in photochemistry, atmospheric physics, and fundamental processes [6], to name just a few. The E2 Rabi frequency is linearly proportional to the quadrupole moment and the gradient of the light field. Similarly to the E1 case, the oscillator strength for E2 transitions is proportional to the square of the E2 Rabi frequency. This implies that the electric quadrupole oscillator strengths also depend on the gradient of the electric field. Due to this dependency, E2 transitions are less studied than their E1 counterparts as it can be challenging to create a large enough field gradient experimentally. Note that the square of the quadrupole Rabi frequency is equivalently proportional to the E2 oscillator strength.

Several platforms for driving E2 transitions in the alkali atoms have been proposed and/or demonstrated, with recent particular focus on the $S \rightarrow D$ transitions since they may be useful for high-precision measurements of parity non-conservation (PNC) [6] and could be used for an exchange of orbital angular momentum between light and the internal states of the atom [7, 8]. There are significant studies on the $6S_{1/2}$ to $5D_{5/2}$ transition in Cs at 685 nm using a variety of techniques including evanescent light fields from prism surfaces [5, 9], surface plasmons [10], and continuous wave (CW) free-space excitation [11], with proposals for using optical vortices [12], nano-edges [13], and plasmonics [10, 14].

Experiments in Rb have been more limited, with pulsed laser excitation of the $5S_{1/2}$ to the 4D levels at 516.5 nm [15, 16] and from the $5S_{1/2}$ to *n*D, where $n = 27 - 59$ Rydberg levels, using pulsed excitation at ∼297 nm [17] being reported. Some works have also focussed on $n_1^2P \to n_2^2P$ electric quadrupole transitions in Rb by exploiting double resonances [18, 19]. However, for the reasons mentioned earlier, our focus is primarily on the S \rightarrow D quadrupole transitions. The difficulty in exciting the 5S_{1/2} \rightarrow 4D transitions lies in the fact that the ratio of E1 to E2 transitions in the visible region is of the order of 107, so aside from the desirability of a strong electric field gradient, sufficient laser intensity at ∼516.6 nm is needed to drive the transition, with the cross-section for absorbing one photon being 1.4×10^{-17} cm² [15].

In this paper, we report on the experimental observation of the 516.6 nm (in vacuum) electric quadrupole transition in a cloud of laser-cooled ⁸⁷Rb atoms mediated by an optical nanofibre (ONF) using CW light. The rapid radial exponential decay of the 516.6 nm evanescent field from the surface of the ONF provides a very steep electric field gradient in the region of highest field intensity even for very low excitation laser powers, leading to relatively efficient excitation of the E2 transition. Additionally, optical nanofibres are quite easy to fabricate and integrate into magneto-optical traps or atomic vapour cells, as evidenced by the sheer volume of work in the last decade $[20-31]$, negating the necessity for nanofabrication facilities as needed when using metamaterials or other such nanostructures.

2. Theoretical considerations

Recently, we theoretically investigated the enhancement of the $5S_{1/2} \rightarrow 4D_{5/2}$ quadrupole interaction for a 87 Rb atom in the evanescent field of an optical nanofibre [8]. We proposed that, while the E2 Rabi frequency reduces rapidly with radial distance from the fibre, the E2 oscillator strength enhancement is still significant even at appreciable distances from the nanofibre surface. In reference [8], the oscillator strength enhancement was expressed in terms of an *enhancement factor*, ηosc, which is the ratio of the oscillator strength of a fibre-guided field to the oscillator strength defined for a free-space, plane wave field with equal intensity. The enhancement depends on the wavelength and the fibre geometry, not the transition itself. Following the methods from reference [8], here we consider a different E2 excitation in 87Rb, namely the $5S_{1/2} \rightarrow 4D_{3/2}$ transition. We focus on this transition due to technical issues related to photon detection for the subsequent decay channels. In figure 1(a), η_{osc} is plotted as a function of atom position in the *xy*-plane (where we assume the fibre axis is along *z* and the axis for the quasilinearly polarised guided light is along x). Assuming light is guided in the fundamental fibre mode, HE_{11} , we find a maximum enhancement factor for the oscillator strength, $\eta_{osc} = 4.92$, for an atom located on the fibre surface and positioned along the *x*-axis. As the atom moves further from the fibre, the enhancement still exists as long it is positioned close to the *x*-axis. This enhancement factor is not easily measured experimentally since it is a comparison between the ONF-mediated quadrupole transition and that in free-space for a specific intensity at a single point; it does not account for the exponential decay of the evanescent field.

If we take the varying profile of the evanescent field into consideration, a far more experimentally useful and accessible parameter is the electric quadrupole Rabi frequency. Let us consider an alkali atom with ground state $|g\rangle = |nFM_F\rangle$ and excited state $|e\rangle = |n'F'M_{F'}\rangle$, where *n* is the principal quantum number, *F* is the total angular momentum quantum number of the atom and M_F is the magnetic quantum number. The quadrupole Rabi frequency, using Cartesian coordinates (x_1, x_2, x_3) , is given by [32]

$$
\Omega_{F M_F F' M_{F'}} = \frac{1}{6\hbar} \sum_{ij} \langle n' F' M_{F'} | \mathcal{Q}_{ij} | n F M_F \rangle \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_j}{\partial x_i},\tag{1}
$$

where $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, the \mathcal{Q}_{ii} are the quadrupole tensor components representing the strength of the quadrupole transition and the \mathcal{E}_i are the field components. The \mathcal{Q}_{ij} are defined by [8]

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{ij} = q(3x_i x_j - R^2 \delta_{ij}).\tag{2}
$$

Here, *q* is the electron charge, *xi* is the *i*th coordinate of the valence electron of the atom and $R=\sqrt{x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2}$ is the distance from the valence electron to the centre-of-mass of the atom.

We now introduce a root-mean-square (RMS) quadrupole Rabi frequency, $\overline{\Omega}_{FF}$, determined from equation (1) using

$$
\bar{\Omega}_{FF'}^2 = \sum_{M_F M_{F'}} \left| \Omega_{FM_F F' M_{F'}} \right|^2, \tag{3}
$$

where we sum over all the possible M_F values. In figure 1(b), we use analytical expressions for the evanescent field components based on the experimental fibre parameters and propagating power to plot $\overline{\Omega}_{FF'}$ as a function of an atom's position relative to the fibre, either along or perpendicular to the quasipolarisation axis. We choose 1 μ W of resonant optical power (at 516.6 nm) in the fundamental mode, HE₁₁, of the nanofibre with quasilinear polarisation. We see that the RMS quadrupole Rabi frequency reduces dramatically as the atom moves away from the fibre surface.

3. Experiments

In our experiment, we drive the $5S_{1/2} \rightarrow 4D_{3/2}$ E1 forbidden, E2 allowed optical transition in a cold atomic ensemble of 87Rb, via the evanescent field of an optical nanofibre embedded in the atom cloud. The relevant energy level diagram is shown in figure $2(a)$ and a schematic of the experiment is given in figure $2(b)$. The E1 forbidden transition is excited using a single photon pathway at 516.6 nm derived from a frequency-doubled Ti: sapphire laser (M Squared Lasers Inc. SolsTiS and ECD-X second harmonic generator) set to 1033.3 nm. The 516.6 nm light from the second harmonic generator (SHG) is mode cleaned using a single-mode fibre and is coupled to one pigtail of the ONF using a pair of dichroic mirrors, see figure 2(b). Shortpass filters are placed after the SHG to remove any residual 1033.3 nm, which could lead to two-photon excitation of the transition of interest. We control the 516.6 nm power through the ONF using a half-wave plate combined with a polarising beam splitter (PBS) and neutral density filters (NDF).

Atoms excited to the $4D_{3/2}$ state can decay back to the ground state via two channels, see figure $2(a)$: (i) the 5P_{1/2} intermediate state, by cascaded emission of two photons at 1476 nm and 795 nm and (ii) the 5P_{3/2} intermediate state, by cascaded emission of two photons at 1529 nm and 780 nm [33, 34], which couple into the ONF and can be detected at the output pigtail. Detection of either of the emitted photon pairs would allow us to infer the electric quadrupole excitation. In this work, we detect the second step of decay path (i) at 795 nm due to the availability of single photon detectors (SPD) at near infrared (NIR) wavelengths and its spectral separability from the 780 nm photons scattered during the atom cooling process. The first step in the decay path at 1476 nm is undetected.

A frequency reference for the quadrupole transition is obtained using free-space, two-photon spectroscopy in a Rb vapour cell heated to 125°C [35]. We use the tightly focussed, 1033.3 nm direct output from the Ti-sapphire laser to drive the two-photon transition. The laser is scanned around 1033.3 nm such that atoms are excited to the $4D_{3/2}F'$ level. Figure 3 shows the two-photon spectroscopy signal for $5S_{1/2}F = 2$ to $4D_{3/2}F'$. The four observed peaks correspond to the allowed transitions for the two-photon excitation at 1033.3 nm ($\Delta F \leq 2$) [36].

To study the quadrupole transition itself, we use ${}^{87}Rb$ atoms that are cooled and trapped in a conventional 3D magneto-optical trap (MOT) to a temperature of about ∼120 μ K. The cold atom cloud

laser frequency.

has a Gaussian full width at half maximum (FWHM) of ∼0.5 mm. We fabricate the ONF, which has a waist diameter of ∼400 nm, by exponentially tapering a section of SM800-125 fibre using the flame-brushing method [37]. Further details of the MOT setup and the ONF can be found elsewhere [31]. The ONF has been optimised for 780 nm propagation and only ∼10% of the 516.6 nm light (used to drive the E2 transition) as measured at the input to the ONF pigtail is detected at the output. We assume that most losses are at the down-taper as the adiabatic criterion is not satisfied for this wavelength. For wavelengths shorter than ∼550 nm, the ONF supports several guided modes. Hence, for light at 516.6 nm, the ONF can support the fundamental, HE_{11} , mode and the first group of higher order modes, TE_{01} , TM_{01} , and $HE_{21,eo}$ [38]. We have seen elsewhere that, by coupling the HE_{11} mode into the input fibre pigtail to the ONF, the amount of coupling into the higher order modes is minimal [39, 40] and we, therefore, assume that the atoms only interact with the fundamental mode at the nanofibre waist. Note that, in all the following, when we refer to light power through the ONF, the values given are those measured at the output pigtail of the fibre and not at the nanofibre waist. This may be higher, due to both the aforementioned design criteria and, additionally, wavelength-specific material losses.

In addition to the fibre-guided light at 516.6 nm, we also inject a pair of 1064 nm counter-propagating beams into the fibre. This has several purposes. First, it keeps the ONF hot during the experiments, thus avoiding atom deposition on the fibre. Second, it attracts atoms towards the ONF surface due to the optical dipole force, thereby increasing the number of atoms in the evanescent field, resulting in larger photon signals.

4. Results and discussion

For a demonstration of the quadrupole excitation in the cold atom-ONF system, we study the $4D_{3/2}F'$ transition in detail. As mentioned, atoms can decay from $4D_{3/2}F'$ to $5S_{1/2}F$ via $5P_{1/2}$ emitting 795 nm photons. These emitted photons can be filtered efficiently from the 780 nm photons scattered from the trapping light leading to a relatively clean signal. The experiment is performed by scanning the Ti: sapphire laser frequency across the $4D_{3/2}F$ transitions, see figure 2, and recording the 795 nm decay photons generated from the ONF-mediated E2 transition on a single photon counting module (SPCM). Simultaneously, we record the 1033.3 nm, two-photon spectroscopy signal from the vapour cell using a photomultiplier tube (PMT), see figure 2. Each experiment cycle is 10 s long, with 20 ms of bin time both for the SPCM and the PMT. Each data point is an average of 50 cycles. We can also detect the transmission of the 516.6 nm light through the fibre using a photodiode (PD) if desired.

As a first experiment, we studied the dependence of the 795 nm emission on the 516.6 nm power propagating through the ONF, see figure $4(a)$. The four observed peaks correspond to the electric quadrupole transitions, $\Delta F \leqslant 2$, and they are comparable to the observed two-photon dipole spectroscopy signal at 1033.3 nm in figure 3. Expected features, such as peak broadening and peak shifts due to the presence of the ONF, are visible in the spectra, with wide asymmetric tails which we attribute to the van der Waals interaction between the nanofibre and the atoms [41]. Due to the roughly exponential decay profile of the evanescent field, atoms experience a varying 516.6 nm intensity as they are excited to the $4D_{3/2}$ state. We ignore this and assume that the 795 nm emission into the fibre is produced by stationary atoms in a constant field with an effective quadrupole Rabi frequency Ω_{eff} . This frequency includes the oscillator strength f_{FF} for each $F \to F'$ transition, so each F' level experiences a different Ω_{eff} for a specific propagating power in the fibre. We also ignore the pumping of atoms into particular M_F states by the cooling beams, as we expect this to be random and for the polarisation dependence to essentially average out over the length of the nanofibre. This allows us to equate the RMS quadrupole Rabi frequency with the experimentally measured quadrupole Rabi frequency.

From figure 4(a), we extract Ω_{eff} for each power by modelling each *F'* transition as a broadened Lorentzian. We integrate the photon counts for each transition, with bounds set manually. The $F = 0$ transition is discarded due to the large overlap that it has with $F = 1$. Using the area under the peak allows us to ignore the exact source of the broadening. The integrated photon count is then related to the effective Rabi frequency by $A \propto \Omega_{\text{eff}}^2 / \sqrt{\Gamma^2 + 2\Omega_{\text{eff}}^2}$, where $\Gamma/2\pi$ is the decay rate of the $4D_{3/2}$ state indirectly towards the ground state. In figure 4(b) we fit the data to find $\Omega_{\text{eff}} = (0.12 \pm 0.02) \Gamma$ for 1 μ W of propagating power, with the data plotted directly against the fitted value. Ignoring intermediate state lifetimes and the effect of the nanofibre on E1 transition rates, the dipole decay from the $4D_{3/2}$ state gives $\Gamma/2\pi = 2.12$ MHz, resulting in $\Omega_{\text{eff}}/2\pi = 250 \pm 50$ kHz for a power of 1 μ W in the fibre. For comparison, the theoretically estimated value for the Rabi frequency (see figure 1(b)), averaged over the azimuthal angle, is about 250 kHz for 1 μ W of propagating optical power, 200 nm from the fibre. Since there is good qualitative agreement between the value predicted by theory and that measured experimentally by our alternate

Figure 5. Quadrupole transition spectroscopy signal in ⁸⁷Rb for the $5S_{1/2}$ ground state to the $4D_{3/2}$ excited states using 516.6 nm light through the ONF showing the 795 nm signal as a function of the 516.6 nm frequency for different Rb getter currents. The peaks are the same as in figure 4. The relative frequency is defined as the atomic transition frequency for $5S_{1/2}$ *F* = 2 to $4D_{3/2} F = 3$ minus the laser frequency.

1033.3 nm in a vapour cell. Top: quadrupole excitation in cold atoms when the SHG output is passed through an AOM before being coupled to the ONF. The presence of the 1st order signal due to the AOM frequency shift, in the absence of a 2nd order signal, verifies the E2 excitation. The strong 0th order signal is due to inefficient coupling of light into the 1st order. Note that the frequency calibration on the *x*-axis is done with respect to 516.6 nm light.

method, we can conclude that the 516.6 nm power at the waist should correspond roughly to that measured at the output.

In a second experiment, we studied the dependence of the 795 nm emission on the getter current to the Rb source. Results are shown in figure 5. By assuming a Gaussian profile atom cloud around the ONF, we estimate that a getter current of 4.0 A (4.8 A) corresponds to a density of ~6 \times 10⁹ (8 \times 10⁹) atoms/cm³. Note, however, that the cloud shape changes dramatically during these measurements and it is more accurate to consider the number of atoms in the trap increasing with getter current. In addition, the size of the atom cloud is increasing along the length of the nanofibre, leading to stronger photon signals.

As a verification that the transition is E2 driven, we used an acousto-optic modulator (AOM), with a central frequency $\omega_{\text{RF}} \sim 245$ MHz, to shift the frequency of the SHG output light. Here, light from the SHG at 516.6 nm and any residual light at 1033.3 nm passed through the AOM and the positive first-order was sent through the ONF. The frequency of the light with respect to the SHG output is shifted by ω_{RF} . If the atoms were excited via the E2 transition at 516.6 nm, the spectroscopy signal peaks should shift by ω_{RF} , whereas if excitation was via the two-photon transition by residual light at 1033.3 nm, the peaks should

shift by 2 $\times \omega_{RF}$. Observations show, see figure 6, that the signal is shifted by ω_{RF} ; thence, the excitation is indeed via the quadrupole transition.

5. Conclusion

We have demonstrated an optical nanofibre-mediated electric quadrupole transition, $5S_{1/2}$ to $4D_{3/2}$, in ${}^{87}Rb$ at 516.6 nm [42], by recording fluorescence emissions at 795 nm. An important feature is that only a few μ W of power were needed to drive the E2 transition. Even though the 1476 nm photon from the first step in the decay path is undetected in this work, it may be possible to indirectly determine the lifetime of the 4D level from the fluorescence distribution of the 795 nm step [43] since the lifetime of the $5P_{1/2}$ level is well-documented [44, 45]. This will be the focus of future work.

The $5S_{1/2} \rightarrow 4D_{X/2}$ transition in ⁸⁷Rb could be used to study parity-violating nuclear forces beyond the standard model with the accuracy in Rb expected to be higher than that for Cs [6, 46] or could be exploited for the transfer of orbital angular momentum of light to the internal degrees of freedom of an atom. A similar technique to the work presented here could be used to study the $4D_{5/2}$ transition; this decays to $5S_{1/2}$ along a single path via the $5P_{3/2}$ state with the emission of 1529 nm and 780 nm correlated photons. The challenge would be the detection of the 1529 nm photons to distinguish from the 780 nm cooling beams. The advantages would be that the oscillator strength of this transition for a free-space beam has already been measured experimentally [16] and a similar transition in Cs, i.e., the $6S_{1/2} \rightarrow 5D_{5/2}$ transition, has been studied in the evanescent field of a prism [5]. This work extends the use of optical nanofibres in atomic systems and could find applications in atomic clocks, lifetime measurements of atomic states, and in devising trapping schemes for neutral atoms.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University and JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) Grant No. 19K05316. The authors wish to acknowledge F Le Kien for useful discussions, M Ozer and K Karlsson for technical support, and E Nakamura for research support.

ORCID iDs

Jesse L Everett ¹ <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6290-9354> Síle Nic Chormaic <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4276-2014>

References

- [1] Demtröder W 2010 *Atoms, Molecules and Photons* (Berlin: Springer)
- [2] Hilborn R C 1982 *Am. J. Phys.* **[50](https://doi.org/10.1119/1.12937)** [982–6](https://doi.org/10.1119/1.12937)
- [3] Sayer B, Wang R, Jeannet J C and Sassi M 1971 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys.* **[4](https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3700/4/4/028)** [L20–3](https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3700/4/4/028)
- [4] Camy-Peyret C, Flaud J M, Delbouille L, Roland G, Brault J W and Testerman L 1981 *J. Physique Lett.* **[42](https://doi.org/10.1051/jphyslet:019810042013027900)** [279–83](https://doi.org/10.1051/jphyslet:019810042013027900)
- [5] Tojo S, Hasuo M and Fujimoto T 2004 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **[92](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.92.053001)** [053001](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.92.053001)
- [6] Roberts B M, Dzuba V A and Flambaum V V 2014 *Phys. Rev.* A **[89](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.89.042509)** [012502](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.89.042509)
- [7] Babiker M, Bennett C R, Andrews D L and Dávila Romero L C 2002 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **[89](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.89.143601)** [143601](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.89.143601)
- [8] Le Kien F, Ray T, Nieddu T, Busch T and Nic Chormaic S 2018 *Phys. Rev.* A **[97](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.97.013821)** [013821](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.97.013821)
- [9] Tojo S, Fujimoto T and Hasuo M 2005 *Phys. Rev.* A **[71](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.71.012507)** [012507](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.71.012507)
- [10] Chan E A, Aljunid S A, Adamo G, Zheludev N I, Ducloy M and Wilkowski D 2019 *Phys. Rev.* A **[99](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.99.063801)** [063801](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.99.063801)
- [11] Pucher S, Schneeweiss P, Rauschenbeutel A and Dareau A 2020 *Phys. Rev.* A **[101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.101.042510)** [042510](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.101.042510)
- [12] Lembessis V E and Babiker M 2013 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **[110](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.110.083002)** [083002](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.110.083002)
- [13] Shibata K, Tojo S and Bloch D 2017 *Opt. Express* **[25](https://doi.org/10.1364/oe.25.009476)** [9476](https://doi.org/10.1364/oe.25.009476)
- [14] Sakai K, Yamamoto T and Sasaki K 2018 *Sci. Rep.* **[8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26175-0)** [7746](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26175-0)
- [15] Teppner U and Zimmermann P 1978 *Astron. Astrophys.* **64** 215–7
- [16] Nilsen J and Marling J 1978 *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer* **[20](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-4073(78)90138-3)** [327–9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-4073(78)90138-3)
- [17] Tong D, Farooqi S M, van Kempen E G M, Pavlovic Z, Stanojevic J, Côté R, Eyler E E and Gould P L 2009 *Phys. Rev.* A **[79](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.79.052509)** [052509](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.79.052509)
- [18] Ponciano-Ojeda F *et al* 2015 *Phys. Rev.* A **[92](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.92.042511)** [042511](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.92.042511)
- [19] Mojica-Casique C, Ponciano-Ojeda F, Hernández-Gómez S, López-Hernández O, Flores-Mijangos J, Ramírez-Martínez F, Sahagún D, Jáuregui R and Jiménez-Mier J 2016 *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **[50](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6455/aa4fc7)** [025003](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6455/aa4fc7)
- [20] Hendrickson S M, Lai M M, Pittman T B and Franson J D 2010 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **[105](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.105.173602)** [173602](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.105.173602)
- [21] Vetsch E, Reitz D, Sagué G, Schmidt R, Dawkins S T and Rauschenbeutel A 2010 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **[104](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.104.203603)** [203603](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.104.203603)
- [22] Nayak K P, Das M, Le Kien F and Hakuta K 2012 *Opt. Commun.* **[285](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optcom.2012.04.018)** [4698–704](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optcom.2012.04.018)
- [23] Lacroûte C, Choi K S, Goban A, Alton D J, Ding D, Stern N P and Kimble H J 2012 *New J. Phys.* **[14](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/14/2/023056)** [023056](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/14/2/023056)
- [24] Kumar R, Gokhroo V and Nic Chormaic S 2015 *New J. Phys.* **[17](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/12/123012)** [123012](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/12/123012)
- [25] Kumar R, Gokhroo V, Deasy K and Nic Chormaic S 2015 *Phys. Rev.* A **[91](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.91.053842)** [053842](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.91.053842)
- [26] Sayrin C, Clausen C, Albrecht B, Schneeweiss P and Rauschenbeutel A 2015 *Optica* **[2](https://doi.org/10.1364/optica.2.000353)** [353–6](https://doi.org/10.1364/optica.2.000353)
- [27] Kumar R, Gokhroo V, Tiwari V B and Nic Chormaic S 2016 *J. Opt.* **[18](https://doi.org/10.1088/2040-8978/18/11/115401)** [115401](https://doi.org/10.1088/2040-8978/18/11/115401)
- [28] Ruddell S K, Webb K E, Herrera I, Parkins A S and Hoogerland M D 2017 *Optica* **[4](https://doi.org/10.1364/optica.4.000576)** [576–9](https://doi.org/10.1364/optica.4.000576)
- [29] Béguin J B, Müller J, Appel J and Polzik E 2018 *Phys. Rev.* X **[8](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevx.8.031010)** [031010](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevx.8.031010)
- [30] Kato S, Német N, Senga K, Mizukami S, Huang X, Parkins S and Aoki T 2019 *Nat. Commun.* **[10](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08975-8)** [1–6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08975-8)
- [31] Rajasree K S, Ray T, Karlsson K, Everett J L and Nic Chormaic S 2020 *Phys. Rev. Research* **[2](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevresearch.2.012038)** [012038](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevresearch.2.012038)
- [32] Jackson J D 1999 *Classical Electrodynamics* 3rd edn (New York: Wiley)
- [33] Moon H S, Ryu H Y, Lee S H and Suh H S 2011 *Opt. Express* **[19](https://doi.org/10.1364/oe.19.015855)** [15855–63](https://doi.org/10.1364/oe.19.015855)
- [34] Roy R, Condylis P C, Johnathan Y J and Hessmo B 2017 *Opt. Express* **[25](https://doi.org/10.1364/oe.25.007960)** [7960–9](https://doi.org/10.1364/oe.25.007960)
- [35] Nieddu T, Ray T, Rajasree K S, Roy R and Nic Chormaic S 2019 *Opt. Express* **[27](https://doi.org/10.1364/oe.27.006528)** [6528–35](https://doi.org/10.1364/oe.27.006528)
- [36] Salour M 1978 *Ann. Phys.* **[111](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(78)90070-2)** [364–503](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(78)90070-2)
- [37] Ward J M, Maimaiti A, Le V H and Nic Chormaic S 2014 *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **[85](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4901098)** [111501](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4901098)
- [38] Frawley M C, Petcu-Colan A, Truong V G and Nic Chormaic S 2012 *Opt. Commun.* **[285](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optcom.2012.05.016)** [4648–54](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optcom.2012.05.016) [39] Nieddu T 2019 Optical nanofibers for multiphoton processes and selective mode interactions with rubidium *PhD Thesis* Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University
- [40] Mekhail S P 2019 Optical fiber probes for in-vivo neuronal compressive microendoscopy and mode analysis in nanofibers *PhD Thesis* Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University
- [41] Minogin V and Chormaic S N 2010 *Laser Phys.* **[20](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1054660X09170137)** [32–7](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1054660X09170137)
- [42] Safronova M S and Safronova U I 2011 *Phys. Rev.* A **[83](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.052508)** [052508](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.83.052508)
- [43] Gomez E, Baumer F, Lange A D, Sprouse G D and Orozco L A 2005 *Phys. Rev.* A **[72](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.72.012502)** [012502](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.72.012502)
- [44] Simsarian J E, Orozco L A, Sprouse G D and Zhao W Z 1998 *Phys. Rev.* A **[57](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.57.2448)** [2448–58](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.57.2448)
- [45] Gutterres R F, Amiot C, Fioretti A, Gabbanini C, Mazzoni M and Dulieu O 2002 *Phys. Rev.* A **[66](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.66.024502)** [024502](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.66.024502)
- [46] Dzuba V A, Flambaum V V and Roberts B 2012 *Phys. Rev.* A **[86](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.86.052512)** [062512](https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.86.052512)