

ReSIde: Reusable Service Identification from Software Families

Anas Shatnawi, Abdelhak-Djamel Seriai, Houari Sahraoui, Tewfik Ziadi,

Abderrahmene Seriai

▶ To cite this version:

Anas Shatnawi, Abdelhak-Djamel Seriai, Houari Sahraoui, Tewfik Ziadi, Abderrahmene Seriai. Re-SIde: Reusable Service Identification from Software Families. Journal of Systems and Software, 2020, 170, pp.110748. 10.1016/j.jss.2020.110748 . hal-02910330

HAL Id: hal-02910330 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-02910330

Submitted on 1 Aug2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ReSIde: Reusable Service Identification from Software Families

Anas Shatnawi^{a,c,1}, Abdelhak Seriai^a, Houari Sahraoui^b, Tewfik Ziadi^c, Abderrahmene Seriai^b

^aLIRMM, University of Montpellier, Montpellier, France ^bGEODES, University of Montreal, Montreal, Quebec, Canada ^cLIP6, Sorbonne University, Paris, France

Abstract

The clone-and-own approach becomes a common practice to quickly develop Software Product Variants (SPVs) that meet variability in user requirements. However, managing the reuse and maintenance of the cloned codes is a very hard task. Therefore, we aim to analyze SPVs to identify cloned codes and package them using a modern systematic reuse approach like Service-Oriented Architecture (SOA). The objective is to benefit from all the advantages of SOA when creating new SPVs. The development based on services in SOA supports the software reuse and maintenance better than the development based on individual classes in monolithic object-oriented software. Existing service identification approaches identify services based on the analysis of a single software product. These approaches are not able to analyze multiple SPVs to identify reusable services. In this paper, we propose ReSIde (Reusable Service Identification): an automated approach that identifies reusable services from a set of object-oriented SPVs. This is based on analyzing the commonality and the variability between SPVs to identify the implementation of reusable functionalities corresponding to cloned codes that can be packaged as reusable services. To validate ReSIde, we have applied it on three product families of different sizes. The results show that the services identified based on the analysis of singular ones.

Keywords: software reuse, service-oriented reengineering, reverse engineering, variability, software families, object-oriented source code

19

38

39

40

41

42

43

1. Introduction

It is a common practice that software developers rely on $_{\scriptscriptstyle 21}$ 2 the *clone-and-own* approach to deal with custom-tailored 22 3 software [1, 2]. New software products are developed by $_{23}$ 4 copying and modifying codes corresponding to functionali- 24 ties from existing software to meet the requirement of new $_{25}$ 6 needs of new customers. The resulting software products 26 7 are considered Software Product Variants (SPVs) because 27 8 they share features and differ in terms of others \blacksquare . The ₂₈ existence of this phenomenon has been proved by empirical 29 10 studies like 2 3. 11

For monolithic object-oriented SPVs, managing the soft₃₁ ware reuse and maintenance of the cloned codes is a very ³² hard task [4]. For reuse, e.g., it is hard to identify reusable ³³ codes from the monolithic object-oriented implementation ³⁴ of these SPVs [5]. For maintenance, e.g., it is difficult to ³⁵ propagate updates for fixing bugs related to the implementation of the cloned codes. Therefore, we are interested in ³⁷

tewfik.ziadi@lip6.fr (Tewfik Ziadi),

analyzing SPVs to identify cloned codes and package them using a modern systematic reuse approach like Service-Oriented Architecture (SOA). The objective is to benefit from all the advantages of SOA when creating new SPVs. With SOA, SPVs are defined in terms of flexible architectures composed of a set of independent coarse-grained services that implement reusable functionalities across several SPVs, and *clearly* define their external dependencies in an explicit way through their provided and required interfaces.

One of the most important steps for reengineering monolithic object-oriented SPVs to SOAs is the identification of reusable services corresponding to cloned codes of reusable functionalities across several SPVs. Moreover, the identification of reusable services is an efficient way to supply service-based libraries.

Existing service identification approaches identify services based on the analysis of a single software product [6, [7, 8, 9]. These existing approaches partition the objectoriented implementation to disjoint groups of classes where each group is the implementation of a potential service. As these approaches only analyze single products, the identified services may be useless in other software products and consequently their reusability is not guaranteed. In addition, these approaches are not able to analyze multiple

Email addresses: anas.shatnawi@lip6.fr (Anas Shatnawi), abdelhak.seriai@lirmm.fr (Abdelhak Seriai), sahraouh@iro.umontreal.ca (Houari Sahraoui),

seriai.abdelrahmene@gmail.com (Abderrahmene Seriai)
 ¹Corresponding author

SPVs to identify reusable services related to cloned func- 99 44 tionalities and their related codes. In fact the probabil-100 45 ity of reusing a service in a new software product is pro-101 46 portional to the number of software products that have102 47 already used it 10, 11. Thus, mining software services103 48 based on the analysis of a set of SPVs contributes to iden-104 49 tify reusable services. Nonetheless, this has not been inves-105 50 tigated in the literature. Identifying services by analyzing₁₀₆ 51 multiple SPVs makes it possible to improve the reusability¹⁰⁷ 52 of services to reduce the effort when developing new soft-108 53 ware products (by reuse) and to reduce the maintenance¹⁰⁹ 54 effort by making it possible to propagate any change to a¹¹⁰ 55 service across all of the products that reuse this service. 56

Identification): an automated approach that identifies reusable 57 58 services from a set of similar object-oriented SPVs. ReSIde 59 analyzes the commonality and the variability between the 60 object-oriented source code of multiple SPVs to identify 61 the implementation of reusable functionalities correspond-62 ing to cloned codes. These identified functionalities are 63 intended to be packaged as reusable services that can be 64 reused across multiple products. ReSIde is motivated by 65 the fact that services identified based on the analysis of 66 several existing SPVs will be more useful (reusable) for 67 the development of new SPVs than services identified from 68 singular ones. 69

To validate ReSIde, we have applied it on three open-70 source product families of different sizes (i.e., small, medium 71 and large-scale ones). We propose an empirical measure-72 ment to evaluate the reusability of the identified services. 73 According to this measurement, the results show that the 74 reusability of the identified services using ReSIde is bet-75 ter than the reusability of those identified from singular 76 software. 77

The idea of analyzing multiple SPVs to identify reusable 78 components was introduced in our conference paper 12. 79 In relationship with this conference paper, this journal pa-80 per addresses the identification of services and not software 81 components. Also, it includes additional contents in terms¹¹² 82 of: 113 83

- 1. Proposition of a deep analysis of the problem of iden-115 tifying reusable services from multiple SPVs. 116
- 2. Proposition of more details and deep analysis of the₁₁₇ 86 proposed solution, e.g., by giving more details $about_{118}$ 87 used algorithms and illustrating the solution based₁₁₉ 88 on new examples and figures. 89 120
- 3. Adding a new case study that is Health Watcher and 121 90 consequently extending the evaluation. 122 91
- Presentation of new detailed results and new analysis¹²³ 4. 92 of their relevance. 124 93
- 5. Adding threats to validity discussions. 94

84

85

- 6. Important extension of related work analysis and¹²⁶ 95 127 classification. 96
- 7. The analysis of the research and practical implica- $^{\scriptscriptstyle 128}$ 97 129 tions of the obtained results. 98

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2presents a background needed to understand our approach. In Section 3, we provide the foundations of ReSIde. Section 4.1 discusses how ReSIde identifies potential services from each SPV. In Section 4.2, we present the identification of similar services between different SPVs. Reusable services are recovered from the similar ones in Section 4.3. Section 4.4 presents how ReSIde structures the service interfaces. The evaluation results are discussed in Section 5 and Section 6. In Section 7, we present the related works to our approach. A conclusion of this paper is presented in Section 8



Figure 1: Service quality model

In this section, we discuss the service quality model proposed in our previous work 6 and which is reused in this paper to evaluate the quality of a cluster of classes to form a quality-centric service based on the structural dependencies between these classes. From the service structure point of view, any group of classes can form a service. Therefore, we need a measurement to distinguish good services from bad ones. To do so, we use this quality fitness function to identify only groups of classes that could form high quality services.

To define this service quality model, we studied the existing definition of services in the literature and identified three quality characteristics that should be measured to evaluate the quality of a group of classes to form a quality-centric service. These characteristics are: (i) the coarse-grained of functionalities implemented by the cluster of classes, (ii) the *composability* of the cluster of classes to be reused through their interfaces without any modifi-

125

cation, and (iii) the *self-containment* of the the cluster of 179
classes.

As presented in Figure 1. we perform similar to the₁₈₁ ISO9126 quality model 1.3 to refine these three charac-₁₈₂ teristics to a number of service properties that can be₁₈₃ measured using a number of object-oriented metrics (e.g.,₁₈₄ *self-containment* is refined to the number of required interfaces by a given service).

The service quality model identifies service character-138 istics and refine them as metrics. However, to identify ser-139 vices, we need to put these metrics as function that can be 187140 computed to output a numerical value for evaluating the 141 188 semantic of a service (i.e. what a service is) based on its 142 implementation composed of a cluster of object-oriented 143 classes. Therefore, we defined a quality fitness function 144 (QFF) based on our service quality model where its input $^{^{191}}$ 145 is a cluster of classes (E), and its output is a value, situ-146 ated in [0-1], corresponding to the quality of this cluster of ¹⁹³ 147 classes to form a quality-centric service. This QFF is rep-148 resented by Equation 1 based on the linear combination¹⁹⁵ 149 of the three quality characteristics: Functionality (Fun),¹⁹⁶ 150 Composability (Comp) and Self-Containment (SelfCon). 151

¹⁵²
$$QFF(E) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i} \cdot (\lambda_1 \cdot Fun(E) + \lambda_2 \cdot Comp(E) + \lambda_3$$
¹⁵³
$$SelfCont(E))$$
(1)

¹⁵⁴ Where λ_i are parameters used by the practitioners to ¹⁵⁵ weight each characteristic.

The Functionality (Fun), the Composability (Comp) and the Self-Containment (SelfCon) of a group of classes (E) are measured based on Equation 2, Equation 3 and Equation 4 respectively.

60
$$Fun(E) = \frac{1}{5} \cdot (np(E) + \frac{1}{I} \sum_{i \in I} LCC(i)$$

$$_{61} \quad LCC(I) + Coupl(E) + LCC(E)) \tag{2}$$

$$Comp(E) = \frac{1}{I} \sum_{i \in I} LCC(i)$$

$$(3)$$

163
$$SelfCont(E) = ExtCoupl(E)$$
 (4)¹⁹⁰

Where np(E) is the number of provided interfaces based₂₀₀ 164 on the number of public methods in E. LCC(i) (Loose₂₀₁) 165 Class Cohesion) 14 is the average of the cohesion of a_{202} 166 group of methods composing the service interfaces. These₂₀₃ 167 methods are the public methods implemented in the iden-204 168 tified classes of the service. LCC(i) is calculated based on₂₀₅ 169 the percentage between the number of links among these₂₀₆ 170 methods and the total number of possible links among₂₀₇ 171 these methods. LCC(I) is the cohesion between inter-208 172 faces. LCC(E) is the cohesion inside a service. $Coupl(E)_{209}$ 173 (Coupling) measures the level of connectivity (e.g., method₂₁₀ 174 calls, attribute accesses) of a group of classes with the₂₁₁ 175 reaming classes of the software. ExtCoupl (External Cou-212 176 pling) measures the coupling of a given potential service₂₁₃ 177 with other services (1 - Coupl). 178

In this paper, we use this quality fitness function as a black box component. It worths to note that it can be replaced by any service quality fitness function following the needs of the software engineers. Please refer to **6** for more details regarding the service quality model and its quality fitness function.

3. ReSIde foundations

3.1. Illustrative example

We present in Figure 2 an illustrative example to easy understand the foundations of our approach. We have 2 SPVs that are developed based on the clone-and-own approach.

SPV1 includes 5 classes that implement functionalities related to the photo management. SPV2 cloned SPV1 and extends it based on 10 additional classes. These classes aim to improve existing functionalities and to add other functionalities related to the music management.

Our goal is to identify reusable services based on the analysis of source codes respectively of theses two SPVs.



Figure 2: Illustrative example of two SPVs

3.2. ReSIde principles

ReSIde aims to identify reusable services based on the analysis of the object-oriented source code of similar SPVs. To identify services from the source code of object-oriented software, we propose an object-to-service mapping model that maps the object-oriented elements (classes and methods) to SOA ones (services and interfaces). We present this mapping model in Figure 3. We define a service in terms of a cluster of object-oriented classes. A service implements a set of functionalities provided using its interfaces. Each interface is defined in terms of a set of object-oriented methods implemented in the classes of the service. The provided interfaces of a service are defined as a group of methods implemented by classes composing the service and accessed by classes of other services. The required interfaces of a service are defined as a group of

+

methods invoked by classes of the service and implemented²⁴⁹
 in the classes of other services.

To identify a cluster of classes frequently appear to-251 216 gether in several SPVs to implement the same functionali-217 ties, we rely on two types of dependencies: the co-existence²⁵² 218 together and the structural object-oriented dependencies.²⁵³ 219 The co-existence together dependencies measure how much²⁵⁴ 220 a cluster of classes are reused together in the same subset²⁵⁵ 221 of SPVs. These are used to guarantee that the resulting²⁵⁶ 222 services are reusable across different SPVs. The *structural*²⁵⁷ 223 object-oriented dependencies evaluate the quality of a clus-²⁵⁸ 224 ter of classes to form a quality-centric service based on the $^{\rm 259}$ 225 service quality model proposed in our previous work (c.f. $_{260}$ 226 Section $\boxed{2}$). These are used to guarantee that we produce₂₆₁ 227 quality-centric services. 228 262



Figure 3: Object-to-service mapping model

229 We summarize the principles of ReSIde as follows.

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

- ReSIde defines a service in terms of a cluster of object²⁷⁹ oriented classes. E.g., the PhotoAlbum service could²⁸⁰ be formed based on the *PhotoAlbum*, *AddPhotoToAl*-²⁸¹ bum, *AlbumManager* and *DeletePhotoFromAlbum* classes.
- A reusable service is the one identified in several²⁸³ SPVs. E.g., the PhotoAlbum service is identified²⁸⁴₂₈₅ in the two SPVs in our illustrative example.²⁸⁶₂₈₅
- Co-existence together dependency between classes is²⁸⁷ used to identify reusable services already reused in²⁸⁸ several SPVs. E.g., the *PhotoAlbum* and *AddPhoto-²⁸⁹ ToAlbum* co-exist together in the two SPVs. ²⁹⁰
- Object-oriented dependency between classes is used²⁹¹ to identify quality-centric services. E.g., the *Pho*-²⁹³ *toAlbum* and *AddPhotoToAlbum* are cohisive based²⁹⁴ on their method calls and attribute accesses.²⁹⁵
- ReSIde analyzes the commonality and the variability²⁹⁶ between SPVs to identify reusable services. 297
- Classes composing a reusable service should imple-299 ment one or more coarse-grained functionalities in

several SPVs. E.g., the *PhotoAlbum*, *AddPhotoToAlbum* and *DeletePhotoFromAlbum* classes implement the cohesive PhotoAlbum service.

- A class can belong to many services since this class could contribute to implement different functionalities by participating with different groups of classes. E.g., the *AlbumManager* class is a part of the PhotoAlbum service (*AlbumManager, PhotoAlbum, AddPhotoToAlbum, DeletePhotoFromAlbum*) and the MusicAlbum service (*AlbumManager, MusicAlbum, AddMusicToAlbum, DeleteMusicFromAlbum*).
- A provided interface of a service is a group of methods that are accessed by classes composing other services.
- A required interface of a service is a set of methods used by classes composing this service and belonging to other services' classes.

3.3. ReSIde process

Based on what we mentioned before, we propose a process presented in Figure 4 to identify reusable services from a set of SPVs. This process consists of four main steps.

1. Identification of potential services in each SPV.

We analyze each SPV independently to identify all potential services composing each SPV. To identify quality-centric potential services, we rely on objectoriented dependencies between classes to evaluate their quality. We consider that any set of classes could form a potential service if and only if it has an accepted value following the quality fitness function of the quality model presented in Section 2

In our illustrative example, we identify the 5 clusters of classes corresponding to potential services in SVP1.

- (a) *PhotoAlbum*, *AddPhotoToAlbum*.
- $(b)\ PhotoAlbum,\ AddPhotoToAlbum,\ PhotoView.$
- (c) PhotoListScreen, PhotoViewScreen.
- (d) PhotoListScreen, PhotoViewScreen, PhotoView.(e) PhotoViewScreen, PhotoView.

These clusters of classes are obtained based on the strength of the structural dependencies among the classes.

2. Identification of similar services between different SPVs. Due to the similarity between the SPVs, their identified potential services could provide similar functionalities. Similar services are those providing mostly the same functionalities and differ compared to few others. Thus, we identify similar services from all potential ones identified from different SPVs. To this end, we cluster the services into groups based on the lexical similarity among classes

274

275

276

277



Figure 4: The process of reusable services identification from multiple SPVs

- composing the services based on the cosine similarity₃₂₆ metric 15. 327
- Considering our illustrative, we identify the clus-₃₂₈ ter of [*PhotoAlbum*, *AddPhotoToAlbum*, *PhotoView*]₃₂₉ in SPV1 as similar to the cluster of [*AlbumMan*-₃₃₀ *ager*, *PhotoAlbum*, *AddPhotoToAlbum*, *PhotoView*]₃₃₁ in SPV2.
- 3. Identification of one reusable service from sim-333 307 ilar potential services. Similar services identified₃₃₄ 308 from different SPVs are considered as variants of one335 309 service because they provide mostly the same func-336 310 tionalities. Therefore, from a cluster of similar ser-337 311 vices, we identify one common service that is rep-338 312 resentative of this cluster of similar services and it₃₃₉ 313 is considered as the most reusable one compared to 314
- the members of the analyzed cluster. We rely on the $_{_{\rm 340}}$ 315 co-existence together dependencies and the structural 316 object-oriented dependencies to identify the classes³⁴¹ 317 composing this common service. The co-existence to-342 318 gether dependencies are identified based on the per-343 319 centage of services containing the classes. The struc-344 320 tural object-oriented dependencies are based on the345 321 quality fitness function of the quality model pre-346 322 sented in Section 2. 347 323
- For example, we identify the group of [*PhotoAlbum*,³⁴⁸ *AddPhotoToAlbum*, *PhotoView*] as implementation³⁴⁹

of the resuable service from the similar clusters of [*PhotoAlbum*, *AddPhotoToAlbum*, *PhotoView*] in SPV1 and [*AlbumManager*, *PhotoAlbum*, *AddPhotoToAlbum*, *PhotoView*] in SPV2.

4. Identification of object-oriented methods corresponding to service interfaces. Only classes constituting the internal structures, i.e., the implementation, of the reusable services are identified in the previous steps. However a service is used based on its provided and required interfaces. Thus, we structure service interfaces, required and provided ones, based on the analysis of the dependencies (e.g., method calls, attribute accesses) between services in order to identify how they interact with each others.

4. ReSIde in depth

4.1. Identification of potential services in each software product variant

We view a potential service as a cluster of object-oriented classes, where the corresponding value of the quality fitness function is satisfactory (i.e., its quality value is higher than a predefined quality threshold). Thus, our analysis consists of extracting any set of object-oriented classes that can be formed as a potential service. Such that the overlapping between the services is allowed.

350 4.1.1. Method to identify potential services

Identifying all potential services needs to investigate all 351 subsets of classes that can be formulated from the source 352 code. Then, the ones that maximize the quality fitness 353 function are selected. Nevertheless, this is considered as 354 NP-hard problem as the computation of all subsets re-355 quires an exponential time complexity $(O(2^n))$. To this 356 end, we propose a heuristic-based technique that aim to 357 extract services that are good enough ones compared to the 358 optimal potential services. We consider that classes com-359 posing a potential service are gradually identified start-360 ing from a core class that participates with other classes 361 to contribute functionalities. Thus, each class of the ana-362 lyzed SPV can be selected to be a core one. Classes having 363 either direct or indirect link with it are candidates to be 364 added to the corresponding service. 365

366 4.1.2. Algorithm to identify potential services

Algorithm 1 illustrates the process of identifying po-367 tential services. In this algorithm, Q refers to the qual-368 ity fitness function and Q_{-} threshold is a predefined quality 369 threshold. The selection of a class to be added at each step 370 is decided based on the quality fitness function value ob-371 tained from the formed service. Classes are ranked based 372 on the obtained value of the quality fitness function when 373 it is gathered to the current group composing the service.404 374 The class obtaining the highest quality value is selected to₄₀₅ 375 extend the current group (c.f. lines 7 and 8). We do this₄₀₆ 376 until all candidate classes are grouped into the service (c.f.₄₀₇ 377 lines 6 to 11). The quality of the formed groups is evalu- $_{408}$ 378 ated at each step, i.e., each time when a new class is added. 379 We select the peak quality value to decide which $classes_{410}$ 380 form the service (c.f. lines 10 and 11). This means that₄₁₁ 381 we exclude classes added after the quality fitness function₄₁₂ 382 reaches the peak value since they minimize the quality of_{413} 383 the identified service. For example, in Figure 5, the $7th_{414}$ 384 and the 8th added classes are putted aside from the group₄₁₅ 385 of classes related to *service* 2 because when they have been_{416} 386 added the quality of the service is decreased compared to_{417} 387 the peak value. Thus, classes retained in the group are_{418} 388 those maximizing the quality of the formed service. Af- $_{419}$ 389 ter identifying all potential services of such a SPV, the $_{420}$ 390 only ones retained are services that their quality values $_{421}$ 391 are higher than a quality threshold that is defined by $soft_{422}$ 392 ware architects (c.f. lines 12 and 13). For example, in_{423} 393 Figure 5, suppose that the predefined quality threshold₄₂₄ 394 value is 70%. Thus, Service 1 does not reach the required₄₂₅ 395 threshold. Therefore, it should not be retained as a po_{-426} 396 tential service. This means that the starting core class i_{427} 397 not suitable to form a service. 398 428

4.2. Identification of similar services between different soft ware product variants

We define similar services as a set of services providing₄₃₁ mostly the same functionalities and differing in few ones.₄₃₂ These can be considered as variants of the same service. ₄₃₃ **Input:** Object-Oriented Source Code(*OO*) **Output:** A Set of Potential Services(*PS*)

- $1 \ classes = extractInformation(OO);$
- 2 for each c in classes do
- $\mathbf{s} \quad service = c$:

3	set vice = c,
4	candidateClasses =
	classes.getConnectedClasses(c);
5	bestService = service;
6	while $(candidateClasses \ge 1)$ do
7	c1 = getNearestClass(service,
	candidateClasses);
8	service = service + c1;
9	candidateClasses = candidateClasses - c1;
10	if $Q(service)$ > $Q(bestService)$ then
11	bestService = service;
	end
	end
12	if $Q(bestService) > Q_threshold$ then
13	PS = PS + bestService;
	end
	end
14	return PS
	Algorithm 1: Identifying Potential Services

4.2.1. Method to identify similar services

SPVs are usually developed using the clone and own technique. Thus, we consider that classes having similar names implement almost the same functionalities. Although some of the composed methods are overridden, added or deleted, the main functionalities are still the same ones from the architectural point of view. Therefore, the similarity as well as the difference between services are calculated based on the object-oriented classes composing these services. Thus, similar services are those sharing the majority of their classes and differing considering the other ones.

Groups of similar services are built based on a lexical similarity metric. Thus, services are identified as similar compared to the strength of similarity links between classes composing them. A survey of text similarity metrics is conducted in 16. Practitioners could use any of these similarity metrics based on their needs. For our experimentation, we selected the cosine similarity metric because it is based on the angle between vectors instead of points 15. Following this cosine similarity metric each service is considered as a text document, which consists of a list of service classes' names. The similarity between a set of services is calculated based on the ration between the number of shared classes to the total number of distinguished classes.

4.2.2. Algorithm to identify similar services

We use a hierarchical clustering technique to gather similar services into groups. This hierarchical clustering technique consists of two algorithms. The first algorithm



Figure 5: Forming potential services by incremental selection of⁴⁵⁶ classes 457

Input: Potential Services(*PS*) **Output:** Dendrogram (*dendrogram*) 1 Dendrogram dendrogram = PS; $\mathbf{2}$ while (|dendrogram| > 1) do c1. c2 =3 mostLexicallySimilarNodes(dendrogram); c = newNode(c1, c2);4 remove(c1, dendrogram); $\mathbf{5}$ 6 remove(c2, dendrogram);add(c, dendrogram);7 end s return dendrogram Algorithm 2: Building Dendrogram of Similar Services

aims at building a binary tree, called *dendrogram*. This 434 dendrogram provides a set of candidate clusters by pre-435 senting a hierarchical representation of service similarity. 436 Figure 6 shows an example of a dendrogram, where S_i 437 refers to $Service_i$. The second algorithm aims at traveling 438 439 through the built dendrogram, in order to extract the best clusters, representing a partition. 440

To build a dendrogram of similar services, we rely on 441 Algorithm 2. It takes a set of potential services as an 442 input. The result of this algorithm is a dendrogram rep-443 resenting candidate clusters, similar to Figure 6. The al-444 gorithm starts by considering individual services as initial 445 leaf nodes in a binary tree, i.e., the lowest level of the 446 dendrogram in Figure 6 (c.f. line 1). Next, the two most 447 similar nodes are grouped into a new one, i.e., as a parent 448 of them (c.f. lines 3 and 4). For example, in Figure 6, the 449 450 S_2 and S_3 are grouped. This is continued until all nodes are grouped in the root of the dendrogram (c.f. lines 2 to 451 7). 469 452

To identify the best clusters, we rely on Algorithm 3⁴⁷⁰ 453 that uses a depth first search technique to travel through $_{471}$ 454 the dendrogram. It starts from the dendrogram root $node_{472}$ 455



Figure 6: An example of a dendrogram

to find the cut-off points (i.e., the highest node holding S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S6 in Figure 6). It compares the similarity of the current node with its children (c.f. lines 4 to 7). For example, the node holding S1, S2, S3, S4 and the node holding S5, S6 in Figure 6. If the current node has a similarity value exceeding the average similarity value of its children, then the cut-off point is in the current node where the children minimize the quality fitness function value (c.f. lines 7 and 8). Otherwise, the algorithm recursively continues through its children (c.f. lines 9 to 11). The results of this algorithm are a collection of clusters, where each cluster groups a set of similar services (c.f. line 12).

Input: Dendrogram(*dendrogram*) **Output:** A Set of Clusters of Potential Services(clusters)

1 Stack *traversal*;

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

- 2 traversal.push(dendrogram.getRoot());
- **3 while** (! traversal.isEmpty()) **do**
- Node father = traversal.pop();4
- Node left = dendrogram.getLeftSon(father);5
- Node right =6 dendrogram.getRightSon(father); if similarity(father) > (similarity(left) +7
- similarity(right) / 2) then clusters.add(father)
- 8
- else 9
- 10 traversal.push(left);
- traversal.push(right);11 end

 - end
- 12 return clusters

Algorithm 3: Dendrogram Traversal to Identify Cluster of Similar Services

4.3. Identification of one reusable service from similar potential services

As previously mentioned, similar services are considered as variants of a common one. Thus, from each cluster 473 of similar services, we extract a common service which is
474 considered as the most reusable compared to the members
475 of the analyzed group.

476 4.3.1. Method to identify reusable service based on similar 477 ones

Classes composing similar services are classified into 478 two types. The first one consists of classes that are shared 479 by these services. We call these classes as *Shared* classes. 480 In Figure 7, C3, C4, C8 and C9 are examples of Shared 481 classes in the three services belonging to the cluster of 482 similar services. The second type is composed of other 483 classes that are diversified between the services. These are 484 called as Non-Shared classes. C1, C2, C5, C6, C7 and 485 C10 are examples of Non-Shared classes in the cluster of 486 similar services presented in Figure 7 487

As *Shared* classes are identified in several SPVs to be 488 part of one service, we consider that *Shared* classes form 489 the core of the reusable service. Thus, C3, C4, C8 and C9490 should be included in the service identified from the cluster $_{526}$ 491 presented in Figure $\overline{7}$. However, these classes may not 492 form a correct service following our quality fitness function. 493 Thus, some *Non-Shared* classes need to be added to the 528 494 reusable service, in order to keep the service quality high.⁵²⁹ 495 The selection of a Non-Shared class to be included in the 530 496 531 service is based on the following criteria: 497 532

The quality of the service obtained by adding a Non-⁵³³
 Shared class to the core ones. This criterion is to⁵³⁴
 increase the service quality. Therefore, classes max-⁵³⁵
 imizing the quality fitness function value are more⁵³⁶
 preferable to be added to the service.

 $\bullet\,$ The density of a Non-Shared class in a cluster of sim- $_{539}$ 503 ilar services. This refers to the occurrence ratio of_{540} 504 the class compared to the services of this group. It 505 is calculated based on the number of services includ-541 506 ing the class to the total number of services com-542 507 posing the cluster. We consider that a class having⁵⁴³ 508 a high density value contributes to build a reusable⁵⁴⁴ 509 service because it keeps the service belonging to a⁵⁴⁵ 510 larger number of SPVs. For example, in Figure 7, the⁵⁴⁶ 511 densities of C2 and C1 are respectively 66% (2/3)⁵⁴⁷ 512 and 33% (1/3). Thus, C2 is more preferable to be⁵⁴⁸ 513 included in the service than C1, as C2 keeps the⁵⁴⁹ 514 reusable service belonging to two SPVs, while $C1^{550}$ 515 keeps it belonging only to one SPV. 551 516 552

As results of the clone-and-own approach, classes of₅₅₃ 517 identified services could have different implementations across 518 various SPVs. These different implementations of the same₅₅₅ 519 cloned class across SPVs should be merged by creating₅₅₆ 520 one suitable and representative abstraction that allows the 557 521 variability configuration, e.g., using the preprocessing an-558 522 notations. In literature, we identify potential approaches 523 to be reused for merging the several implementations of⁵⁵⁹ 524 cloned methods/classes 17 18. 560 525



Figure 7: An example of a cluster of three similar services

4.3.2. Algorithm to identify reusable service based on similar ones

Based on the method given in the previous section, an optimal solution requires identifying all subsets of a collection of classes which represents an NP-complete problem (i.e., $O(2^n)$). This algorithm is not scalable for a large number of *Non-Shared* classes (e.g., 10 Non-Shared classes need 1024 operations, while 20 classes need 1048576 operations).

Therefore, we propose to identify the optimal solution only for services with a small number of *Non-Shared* classes. Otherwise, we rely on a near-optimal solution. In the following subsections, we discuss two algorithms to identify an optimal solution and a near-optimal one respectively.

Algorithm providing optimal solution for reusable service *identification*. Algorithm 4 computes an optimal reusable service from similar ones, where Q refers to the service quality fitness function, *Q_threshold* refers to the predefined quality threshold and $D_{-}threshold$ refers to the predefined density threshold. First, for each cluster of similar services, we extract all candidate subsets of classes among the set of Non-Shared ones (c.f. lines 1 to 8). Then, the subsets that reach a predefined density threshold are only selected (c.f. line 12). The density of a subset is the average densities of all classes in this subset. Next, we evaluate the quality of the service formed by grouping core classes with classes of each subset resulting from the previous step (c.f. lines 13 and 14). Thus, the subset maximizing the quality value is grouped with the core classes to form the reusable service. Only services with a quality value higher than a predefined threshold are retained (c.f. lines 15 to 17).

Algorithm providing near-optimal solution for reusable service identification. We defined a heuristic algorithm pre-

Input: Clusters of Services(*clusters*) **Output:** A Set of Reusable Services(RC)for each cluster \in clusters do 1 shared = cluster.getFirstservice().getClasses;2 $allClasses = \emptyset;$ 3 for each service \in cluster do 4 5 $shared = shared \cap service.getClasses();$ allClasses =6 $allClasses \cup service.getClasses();$ end nonShared = allClasses - shared;7 allSubsets = generateAllsubsets(nonShared);8 reusableService = shared;9 10 bestService = reusableService;for each subset \in allSubsets do 11 if $Density(subset) > D_threshold$ then 12 if $Q(reusableService \cup subset)) >$ 13 Q(bestService) then bestService =14 $reusableService \cup subset;$ end end end $\mathbf{15}$ if $Q(bestService) >= Q_threshold$ then add(RC, bestService);16 end end 17 return RC Algorithm 4: Optimal Solution for Reusable Service

sented in Algorithm 5, where Q refers to the quality fit-561 ness function, *Q_threshold* refers to the predefined quality₅₈₄ 562 threshold and *D_threshold* refers to the predefined density₅₈₅ 563 threshold. First of all, Non-Shared classes are evaluated⁵⁸⁶ 564 based on their density. The Classes that do not reach assor 565 predefined density threshold are rejected (c.f. lines 9 to⁵⁸⁸ 566 11). Then, we identify the greater subset that reaches asso 567 predefined quality threshold when it is added to the corespo 568 classes. To identify the greater subset, we consider the591 569 set composed of all Non-Shared classes as the initial one592 570 (c.f. lines 9 to 11). This subset is grouped with the cores93 571 classes to form a service. If this service reaches the pre-594 572 defined quality threshold, then it represents the reusable⁵⁹⁵ 573 service (c.f. lines 12 to 15). Otherwise, we remove the596 574 Non-Shared class that reduces the quality of the service⁵⁹⁷ 575 when this Non-Shared class is added to the correspond-576 ing core classes (c.f. line 17). We do this until a service⁵⁹⁸ 577 reaching the quality threshold or the subset of Non-Shared⁵⁹⁹ 578

Identification

4.4. Identification of object-oriented methods correspond-602
 ing to service interfaces

classes becomes empty (c.f. line 12).

579

A service is used based on its provided and required⁶⁰⁴ interfaces. For object-oriented services, the interaction

Input: Clusters of Services(*clusters*) **Output:** A Set of Reusable Services(RC)1 for each cluster \in clusters do shared = cluster.getFirstservice().getClasses;2 $allClasses = \emptyset;$ 3 for each service \in cluster do 4 $shared = shared \cap service.getClasses();$ 5 allClasses =6 $allClasses \cup service.getClasses();$ end nonShared = allClasses - shared;7 reusableService = shared;8 for each class $\in nonShared$ do 9 10 if $Density(class) < D_{threshold}$ then nonShared = nonShared - class;11 end end while (|nonShare| > 0) do 12 if $Q(reusableService \cup nonShare) >=$ 13 *Q_threshold* then add(RC, reusable service); $\mathbf{14}$ break; 15 else 16 17 removeLessQualityClass(nonShare, shared); end end end 18 return RC

Algorithm 5: Near-Optimal Solution for Reusable Service Identification

between the services is realized through object-oriented method calls (i.e., method invocations). A service provides its services through a set of object-oriented methods that can be called by the other services that require functionalities of this service. Thus, the provided interfaces are composed of a set of public methods that are implemented by classes composing this service. On the other hand, required interfaces are composed of methods that are invoked by classes of this service and belong to classes of other services (i.e., the provided interfaces of the other services). The identification of service interfaces is based on grouping a set of object-oriented methods into a set of service interfaces. We rely on the following heuristics to identify these interfaces:

Object-oriented methods belonging to the same object-oriented entities. In object-oriented, methods implementing cohesive functionalities are generally implemented by the same object-oriented entities (e.g., object-oriented interface, abstract class and concrete class). Therefore, we consider any objectoriented entity that groups together a set of methods as an indicator of high probability that these methods belong to the same service interface. We propose

600

Algorithm 6 to measure how much a set of methods₆₄₄ 607 M belongs to the same service interface. This algo-645 608 rithm calculates the size of the greatest subset of M_{646} 609 which consists of methods that belong to the same 610 object-oriented class or interface (c.f. lines 1 to 4). 611 Then, it divides the size of the greatest subset by 612 the size of M (c.f. line 5) and returns SI as a final 613 return value (c.f. line 6). 614

Input: A Set of Methods(M), a Set of Object-Oriented Entities(OOI) **Output:** Same Object-Oriented Entity Value (SI) $1 \ sizeGreatest =$ $|M \cap OOI.getFirstInterface().getMethods()|;$ for each interface $\in OOI$ do $\mathbf{2}$ 3 if $|M \cap interface.getMethods()| >$ sizeGreatest then sizeGreatest =4 $|M \cap interface.getMethods()|;$ end end **5** SI = sizeGreatest / M.size();6 return SI Algorithm 6: Same Object-Oriented Entity (SI)

Object-oriented method cohesion. Methods access⁶⁵³ 615 the same set of attributes to participate to $\operatorname{provide}^{654}$ 616 the same services. Thus, cohesive methods have $^{\rm 655}$ 617 more probability to belong the same service interface $^{\rm 656}$ 618 than those that are not. To measure how much a $\mathrm{set}^{^{657}}$ 619 of methods is cohesive, we use the Loose Class Co- $^{\rm 658}$ 620 hesion (LCC) metric 14. We select LCC because it 659 621 measures direct and indirect dependencies between⁶⁶⁰ 622 methods. Please refer to $\boxed{14}$ for more details about₆₆₁ 623 LCC. 624

Method lexical similarity. The lexical similarity of 625 methods probably indicates to similar implemented 663 626 services. Therefore, methods having a lexical sim-⁶⁶⁴ 627 ilarity likely belong to the same interface. To this⁶⁶⁵ 628 end, we utilize Conceptual Coupling metric 19 to⁶⁶⁶ 629 measure methods lexical similarity based on the se-667 630 mantic information obtained from the source code,⁶⁶⁸ 631 669 encoded in identifiers and comments. 632 670

```
Method correlation of usage: when a service provides
633
          functionalities for another service, it provides the
m__{\rm 671}
634
          through the same object-oriented entities (e.g., object-
635
          oriented interface, abstract class and concrete class).672
636
          Thus, methods that have got called together by object<sup>23</sup>
637
          oriented classes the other services are likely to belong<sup>674</sup>
638
          to the same service interface. To this end, we pro-675
639
          pose Algorithm 7 to calculate the Correlation of Us-
640
          age (CU) of a given set of methods M. It is based
641
          on the size of the greatest subset of M that has got
642
          called together by the same service (c.f, lines 2 to 4).
643
```

The final value of CU is the percentage between the identified size of the greatest subset and the size of M (c.f, line 5).

Input: A Set of Methods(M), a Set of services(Services) **Output:** Correlation of Usage Value(CU)1 $sizeGreatest = |M \cap$ Services.getFirstservice().getCalledMethods(); **2** for each service \in Services do if $|M \cap service.getCalledMethods()| >$ 3 sizeGreatest then sizeGreatest =4 $|M \cap interface.getCalledMethods()|;$ end end 5 CU = sizeGreatest / M.size(); $\mathbf{6}$ return CUAlgorithm 7: Correlation of Usage (CU)

According to these heuristics, we define a fitness function for measuring the quality of a group of methods M to form a service interface. We rely on a set of parameters (i.e., λ_i) to allow architects to weight each characteristic as needed. The values of these parameters are situated in [0-1]. The selection of values of these parameters is based on the knowledge of architects about the SPVs. Furthermore, architects could use these parameters to analyze the relationships between each characteristic and the quality of the obtained service interfaces by changing the values of parameters. Once architects identify the best values based on a set of test cases of service interfaces, they could generalize these values to the remaining of the SPVs in the same family.

$$Interface(M) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}} \cdot (\lambda_{1} \cdot SI(M) + \lambda_{2} \cdot LCC(M) + \lambda_{3} \cdot CS(M) + \lambda_{4} \cdot CU(M))$$
(5)

Based on this fitness function, we use a hierarchical clustering technique to partition a set of public methods into a set of clusters, where each cluster is considered as a service interface. The hierarchical clustering technique constructs a dendrogram of similar public methods like Algorithm [2]. Then, it extracts the best clusters of public methods using a depth first search technique similar to Algorithm [3].

5. Evaluation

5.1. Data collection

To evaluate ReSIde, we collect three sets of software product families that are Mobile Media² 20, Health Watcher³ and ArgoUML⁴ 21.

647

648

649

650

651

652

 $^{^2 \}rm Available at http://homepages.dcc.ufmg.br/~figueiredo/spl/icse08 <math display="inline">^3 \rm Available$ at http://ptolemy.cs.iastate.edu/design-study/#healthwatcher

⁴Available at http://argouml-spl.tigris.org/

Mobile Media (MM) is a SPL that manipulates music,⁷³⁰ video and photo on mobile phones. It is implemented us-⁷³¹ ing Java. In our experimentation, we considered, as SPVs,⁷³² a set of 8 products derived by [20] as representative of⁷³³ all features of the SPL. The average size of a product is⁷³⁴ 43.25 classes. Health Watcher product variants imple-⁷³⁵ ment a set of web-based software applications that offers

services related to managing health records and customer⁷³⁶ complaints. We consider 10 SPVs written in Java. On av-⁷³⁷ erage, each SPV is composed of 137.6 classes. ArgoUML⁷³⁸ (AL) is a UML modeling tool. It is developed in Java as ar³⁹ software product line. We applied ReSIde on *9* products generated and used in [22]. Each SPV contains 2198.11740

classes on average. 741 689 Our method to select these software families is based⁷⁴² 690 on four factors. First, we consider covering different sizes⁷⁴³ 691 of software families to test the scalability of ReSIde with744 692 different system sizes; MM as a small-scale software (43.25745 693 classes per SPV). HW as medium-scale software (137.6746 694 classes per SPV), and AL as a large-scale one (2198.11747 695 classes per SPV). Second, we consider software families⁷⁴⁸ 696 that were already used by other researchers in the domain 697

of reverse engineering of software product lines such as⁷⁴⁹
[23], [22], [24], [25]. Third, the suitability of the case studies to⁷⁵⁰
identify services that were reused in the implementation of⁷⁵¹
several SPVs. Fourth, the availability of their source code.⁷⁵²

⁷⁰² 5.2. Research questions and their methodologies

 $_{703}$ We aim to answer four Research Questions (RQs) as 754 follows. 755

5.2.1. RQ1: What are good threshold values to identify⁷⁵⁷ 705 potential services from each SPV? 758 706 Goal. As the selection of threshold values affects both the 759 707 quality and the number of the identified potential services.⁷⁶⁰ 708 the aim of this RQ is to help software architects selecting 709 proper threshold values to consider a group of classes form-⁷⁶¹ 710 762 ing a potential service or not. 711

763

753

⁷¹² Methodology. To support software architects choosing a^{764} ⁷¹³ proper threshold value, we assign the quality threshold⁷⁶⁵ ⁷¹⁴ values situated in [0%, 100%]. The goal of changing the⁷⁶⁶ ⁷¹⁵ threshold values is to explicitly identify the general rela-⁷¹⁶ tionship between the number of identified services and the⁷⁶⁷

⁷¹⁷ selected threshold values. To do this, we need to explore⁷⁶⁸ all the values of the interval [0%-100%]. To segment this⁷⁶⁹ ⁷¹⁹ interval, we can start from 0% and increment using any⁷⁷⁰ value (1%, 1.55%, 5%, 8,09%, 23%, etc.). We rely on two ⁷²¹ strategies applied successively.

The first strategy is to explore the threshold values based 772722 on a 5% increment. We consider that 5% is a fair empirical $\frac{1}{774}$ 723 increment for two reasons. First it provides a finite num- $_{775}$ 724 ber of values that are distributed uniformly compared to $\frac{1}{76}$ 725 this interval (i.e. 0%, 5%, 10%, 15%... 100%). Second, the 726 variation of values obtained based on successive increments 727 allows the interpolation of other unconsidered values. As $^{779}_{11}$ 728 soon as we identify an interesting interval based on the 729

number of identified services and the maximum value of the quality fitness function, we apply *the second strategy* which consists of exploring the values in this interval by a finer increment which is 1. We show the impact of threshold values on the average number of identified services for each software family of SPVs.

5.2.2. RQ2: What potential services implement similar functionalities across different SPVs?

Goal. The goal of this RQ is to study the characteristics of potential services identified as similar across SPVs.

Methodology. We applied the second step of ReSide to cluster similar potential services based a hierarchical clustering technique. For each case study, we identify the number of clusters, the average number of services in the identified clusters, the average number of Shared classes in these clusters, the average value of the Functionality characteristic, the average value of the Self-containment characteristic, and the average value of Composability characteristic of the Shared classes in these clusters.

5.2.3. RQ3: What are the reusable services identified based on ReSide?

Goal. The aim of this RQ is to study the characteristics of reusable services identified from clusters of similar potential services.

Methodology. We rely on the third step of ReSide to extract one reusable service from each cluster of potential services. For each case study, we identify the number of the identified services, the average service size in terms of number of included classes, and the average value of the Functionality, the Self-containment, and the Composability of the identified services.

5.2.4. RQ4: What is the reusability of services identified based on ReSide?

Goal. This RQ evaluates the improvement of the reusability of services identified based on the analysis several SPVs using ReSide compared to the reusability of services identified based on the analysis of singular software.

Methodology. To validate the reusability of services identified by the ReSIde approach, we propose a validation process presented in Figure [8]. This process consists of three main steps as follows:

Dividing SPVs into K parts: To prove that our validation can be generalized for other independent SPVs, we depend on *K-fold* cross validation method [15]. In data mining, *K-fold* is widely used to validate the results of a mining model. The main idea is to evaluate the model using an independent data set. Thus, K-fold divides the data set into two parts: train data, and test data. On the one hand, train data are used to learn the mining model. On the



Figure 8: The process of validating the reusability of services identified by ReSIde

other hand, test data are then used to validate the⁸⁰⁹ mining model. To do so, K-fold divides the data set⁸¹⁰ into K parts. The validation is applied K times by⁸¹¹ considering K-1 parts as train data and the other⁸¹² one as test data. We validate ReSIde by dividing⁸¹³ the SPVs into K parts. Then, we only identify services from the train SPVs (i.e., K-1 parts). Next, we⁸¹⁴ validate the reusability of these services in the test⁸¹⁵ SPVs. We evaluate the result by assigning 2, 4 and⁸¹⁶

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

- 8 to the K at each run of the validation.
 817
 2. Identifying services of train SPVs: To compare₈₁₈ the reusability of services identified based on the₈₁₉ analysis of multiple SPVs versus singular SPV, we₈₂₀ identified services using the ReSIde approach and a₈₂₁ traditional service identification approach that ana-₈₂₂ lyzes only singular SPVs independently. We selected₈₂₃ Adjoyan et al. approach [6] due to the availability of₈₂₄ the tool of its implementation.
- 3. Calculating the reusability of services in $test_{826}$ 798 **SPVs:** We consider that the reusability of a service₈₂₇ 799 is evaluated based on the number of SPVs that the_{828} 800 service can be reused in. For a collection of $SPVs_{,829}$ 801 the reusability is calculated as the ratio between the $_{830}$ 802 number of SPVs that can reuse the service to the_{331} 803 total number of SPVs in the test part. A service₃₃₂ 804 can be reused in a SPV if it provides functionalities₈₃₃ 805 required by this SPV. We analyze the functionalities $_{834}$ 806 of each SPV in the test part to check if an identified $_{835}$ 807 service provides some of these functionalities. The 808

functionalities required by a SPV are identified based on the potential services extracted from this SPV using the first step of ReSIde in Section 4.3. The validation results are calculated based on the average of all K trails.

5.3. Results

5.3.1. RQ1: What are good threshold values to identify potential services from each SPV?

The results obtained from MM, HW and AL case studies are respectively shown in Figure 9. Figure 10 and Figure 11, where the values of the threshold are at the X-axis, and the average numbers of the identified services in a SPV are at the Y-axis.

The results show that the number of the identified services is lower than the number of classes composing the SPVs, for low threshold values. The reason behind that is the fact that some of the investigated classes produce the same service. For example, *InvalidPhotoAlbumName*-*Exception* and *InvalidImageFormatException* produce the same service, when they are considered as the core for identifying potential services.

Moreover, the results show that the number of identified services is the same for all quality threshold values in this interval [0%, 55%] for the three case studies. This means that selecting a value in this interval does not make sense as it does not make a distinction between services having diverse quality values.



Figure 9: Changing threshold value to extract potential services from MM

The results of incrementing 5% each time allow us to 836 identify the interesting intervals as [65%, 70%], [75%, 80%]837 and [80%, 85%] respectively for MM, HW and AL case 838 studies. Thus, any value in these intervals can be selected 839 as a threshold to be considered respectively for each case 840 study. We rely on the number of functionalities of the 841 analyzed SPVs to select threshold values in these inter-842 vals. We use the number of classes of SPVs as indica-843 tors for the number of functionalities implemented in the 844 SPVs (direct proportion). We assign 70%, 77% and 83%845 as threshold values respectively for MM, HW and AL case 846 studies. Table I shows the detail results obtained based 847 on these threshold values. It presents the total number of 848 potential services (TNOPS) identified based on the analy- $_{869}$ 849 sis of all SPVs, the average size of these services (ASOS) in 850 terms on number of included classes, the average value of_{871} 851 the Functionality characteristic (AF), the average value of₈₇₂ 852 the *Self-containment* characteristic (ASC) and the average₈₇₃ 853 value of the *Composability* characteristic (AC). 854

Figure 12 presents an example of a potential service $_{\rm s75}$ 855 extracted from AL. This service is identified by considering₈₇₆ 856 GoClassToNavigableClass as the core class. The quality $_{\rm 877}$ 857 fitness function reaches the peak value when we add $18_{_{\rm 878}}$ 858 classes to this identified service. We find that classes $added_{_{879}}$ 859 later reduce the quality of the identified service. Therefore, $_{880}$ 860 we reject classes added after the 18th class to be part $\mathrm{of}_{\scriptscriptstyle 881}$ 861 this identified service. 862 882

5.3.2. RQ2: What potential services implement similar functionalities across different SPVs?

Table 2 presents the results of the process of group- $_{886}$ ing similar potential services into clusters. For each case₈₈₇ study, it shows the number of clusters (*NOC*), the average number of services in the identified clusters (*ANOC*),



Figure 10: Changing threshold value to extract potential services from HW

Table	1:	The	results	of	potential	services	extraction	1

Family Name	TNOPS	ASOS	AF	ASC	AC
MM	24.50	6.45	0.56	0.71	0.83
HW	96.6	5.55	0.61	0.76	0.99
AL	811	11.38	0.64	0.83	0.89
$m_{NOD} \alpha + 1 = 1$	C 1				

TNOPS: total number of potential services.

ASOS: average size of potential services in classes.

AF: average value of the *Functionality* characteristic of potential services. *ASC*: average value of the *Self-containment* characteristic of potential services. *AC*: average value of the *Composability* characteristic of potential services.

the average number of *Shared* classes in these clusters (ANSC), the average value of the Functionality characteristic (AFS), the average value of the Self-containment characteristic (ASCS), and the average value of Composability characteristic of the Shared classes (ACS) in these clusters. The results show that SPVs sharing a bunch of similar services. For instance, each SPV of MM has 24.5 services in average. These services are grouped into 42clusters. This means that each SPV shares 5.38 services with the other SPVs, in average. Thus, a reusable service can be identified from these services. In the same way, AL SPVs share 5.26 services. Table 3 shows an example of a cluster of similar services identified from AL case study. where X refers to that a class is a member in the corresponding SPV. In this example, we note that the services have 5 Shared classes. These classes have been identified to be part of the same service in 9 SPVs of AL. Thus, they can be considered as core classes to form a reusable service that is reused in the 9 SPVs.



Figure 11: Changing threshold value to extract potential services from AL

Table 2: The results of service clustering

Family Name	NOC	ANOC	ANSC	AFS	ASCS	ACS		
MM	42	5.38	5.04	0.59	0.71	0.89		
HW 504 6.17 5.33 0.62 0.74 0.99								
AL	325	5.26	8.67	0.57	0.87	0.93		
NOC: the number of clusters.								
ANOC: the average number of services in the identified clusters.								
ANSC: the average nu	mber of Sha	ared classes in	these clusters.					

AFS: the average value of the Functionality of the Shared classes in the identified clusters ASCS: the average value of the Self-containment of the Shared classes in the identified clusters ACS: the average value of Composability of the Shared classes in the identified clusters

5.3.3. RQ3: What are the reusable services identified based 888 on ReSide? 889

Table 4 summarizes the final set of reusable services 890 identified using ReSIde. Based on our experimentation, we 891 assign 50% to the density threshold value. For each prod-892 uct family (i.e., a set of SPVs), we present the number of 893 the identified services (NOIS), the average service size in 894 terms of number of included classes (ASS), and the aver-895 age value of the Functionality (AF), the Self-containment 896 (ASC), and the Composability (AC) of the identified ser-897 vices. The results show that some of the identified clus-898 ters do not produce reusable services. For instance, $\mathrm{in}^{_{910}}$ 899 Mobile Media, the 42 clusters produce only 39 services.⁹¹¹ 900 This means that three of the clusters are not able to $\mathrm{form}^{^{912}}$ 901 reusable services. The reason behind that is one of the 913 902 following two situations. The first one is that the selec-⁹¹⁴ 903 tion of threshold density causes to remove classes that are $^{\scriptscriptstyle 915}$ 001 important to constitute the service, and hence, the service $^{^{916}}$ 905 was rejected because it did not exceed the quality thresh-⁹¹⁷ 906 old value. The second one is that the produced service $^{\scriptscriptstyle 918}$ 907 is already identified from another cluster, therefore, the 919 908



Figure 12: An instance of a potential service extracted from AL case study

Table 3: An instance of a cluster of similar potential services in AL

SPV No.									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Class Name									
ArgoEventTypes	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
JWindow	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
TabFigTarget	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
FileConstants	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
OclAPIModelInterpreter	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
StreamSource	Х				Х	Х	Х		Х
SortedListModel	Х		Х		Х	Х	Х		
BooleanSelection2		Х	Х	Х				Х	

service is removed to avoid the redundancy.

Table 4:	The f	inal	set	of id	entified	reus	able :	servi

	iai set oi iu	entineu r	eusable s	ervices.			
Family Name	NOIS	ASS	\mathbf{AF}	ASC	\mathbf{AC}		
MM	39	5.61	0.58	0.74	0.90		
HW	443	6.90	0.63	0.75	0.99		
AL	324	9.77	0.61	0.84	0.84		
NOIS: the number of the identified reusable services. ASS: the average size of identified reusable services in terms of number of included classes. AF: the average value of the Functionality of identified reusable services. ASC: the average value of the Self-containment of identified reusable services. AC: the average value of the Composability of identified reusable services.							

Table 5 shows examples of a set of reusable services that are identified based on the analysis of Mobile Media. Where, NOV refers to the number of SPVs that contain the service, NOC represents the number of classes that form the service. S, A and C respectively represent the Functionality, the Self-containment, and the Composability of each service. As it is shown in Table 5, the second service provides two functionalities, which are AddConstants Photo Album, and Count Software Splash Down Screen. The former one deals with adding a photo to an

⁹²⁰ album. The letter is dedicated to the splash screen service.

Table 5: Some services							
Description of the functionalities	NOV	NOC	s	Α	С		
New Constants Screen Album Image	6	6	0.59	0.75	0.94		
Add Constants Photo Album 8 10				0.75	0.80		
Count Software Splash Down Screen	wn Screen 8 10 0.57 0.75				0.89		
Base Image Constants Album Screen Accessor List	6	0	0.67	0.50	0.85		
Controller Image Interface Thread		3	0.07	0.50	0.00		
<i>NOV</i> : the number of SPVs that contain the services.							
NOC: the number of classes that form the services.							
F: the value of the <i>Functionality</i> characteristic of the services.							
S: the value of the <i>Self-containment</i> characteristic of the services.							
C: the value of the <i>Composability</i> characteristic of the services.							

5.3.4. RQ4: What is the reusability of services identified
 based on ReSide?

The results obtained from MM, HW and AL case stud-923 ies are respectively presented in Figure 13, Figure 14 and 924 Figure 15. These results show that the reusability of the 925 services which are identified from a collection of similar 926 software is better than the reusability of services which 927 is identified from singular software. We note that the 928 reusability is increased when the number of K is increased. 929 The reason is that the number of train SPVs is increased 930 compared to the test SPVs. For example, there is only one 931 test SPV when K=8. We note that the difference between 932 the reusability results of the two approaches is increased 933 as well as the number of train SPVs is increased. 934

The slight difference between the reusability results for 935 small K comes from the nature of our case studies, where 936 these case studies are very similar. Consequently, the re-937 sulting services are closely similar. In other words, there 938 are many groups of similar services containing exactly the 939 same classes. This yields a reusable service that is identi-940 cal to cluster services. Therefore, the reusability has the 941 same value for all of these services. However, ReSIde re-942 mains outperforming the traditional service identification⁹⁶¹ 943 approach proposed by Adjoyan et al. 6. In Table 5.3.4,962 944 we provide a conceptional comparison between the $\operatorname{ReSide}_{963}$ 945 and Adjoyan approaches based on seven attributes. 946 964

947 6. Discussion

948 6.1. Deployment of the identified services

ReSide currently reverse engineers the structural im-⁹⁶⁹ 949 plementation of reusable services in terms of groups of⁹⁷⁰ 950 object-oriented classes. To complete the reengineering to^{971} 951 SOA, these groups of classes need to be transformed and 952 packaged based on existing service-oriented models. There-972 953 fore, we plan in our near future work to extend ReSide973 954 where reusable web services (e.g., generate WSDL files)⁹⁷⁴ 955 and REST services can be generated from these groups of 956 clusters identified in this paper. In this context, we need975 957 to deal with direct dependencies between different services,976 958 exception handling of Java programs and the instantiation₉₇₇ 959 of services. 960



Figure 13: The results of reusability validation of MM services



Figure 14: The results of reusability validation of HW services

6.2. The adaption of our approach for SPVs that already applied SOA

Although our approach is designed for object-oriented SPVs, it can be adapted for SPVs that already applied SOA from the start. This adaptation is based on ignoring the first step of our approach (i.e., Identification of Potential Services in Each SPV) where we identify a set of services from the object-oriented implementation of each SPV. This means that we provide as input for the second step of our approach the already implemented services corresponding to the SOA of SPVs.

6.3. Threats to validity

ReSIde is concerned by two types of threats to validity. These are internal and external.

6.3.1. Threats to internal validity

There are three aspects to be considered regarding the internal validity. These are as follows.

965

966

967

Table of Comparisons Settion Respiration approaches								
Attributes	ReSide approach	Adjoyan's approach						
Goal	Service identification	Service identification						
Input artifacts	Multiple software product variants	Single software product						
Target development paradigm	Object-oriented	Object-oriented						
Quality metrics	Structural and co-existence together dependencies	Structural dependencies						
Used Algorithms	Authors' defined heuristic and clustering algorithms	Clustering algorithm						
Service interface identification	Yes	No						
Output	Clusters of classing corresponding to services	Clusters of classing corresponding to services						

Table 6: Comparisons between ReSide and Adjoyan's approaches



1016

Figure 15: The results of reusability validation of AL services $\frac{1017}{1018}$

1019 1020

- 1. The input we used to evaluate our approach are $\mathrm{Ab}_{\overline{1021}}$ 978 stract Syntax Trees (ASTs) of the analyzed SVPs_{1022} 979 These ASTs obtained based on Eclipse Java Devel-980 opment Tools⁵ (JDT) API reflect static dependen-981 cies between source code entities. This means that 1025982 all dependencies in the source code will be equally $_{1026}$ 983 considered regardless if they are really existed or not 984 compared to the program execution scenarios. As_{1028} 985 dependencies between source code entities are used 1029to compute the value of the fitness function that 1_{1030} 987 evaluates the quality of clusters of classes to form 988 services, then the precision of the identified services $_{\scriptscriptstyle 1032}$ 989 can be impacted. I.e., the fitness function may con-990 sider some dependencies that are not materialized in $_{1033}$ 991 the program execution scenarios.
- the program execution scenarios.
 Also, the ASTs do not consider polymorphism and⁰³⁴ dynamic binding. Consequently some dependencies¹⁰³⁵
 are not captured (e.g., Java reflection dependencies).¹⁰³⁶
 This impacts the recall of the identified services.¹⁰³⁷
- 2. We use a hierarchical clustering algorithm to $\operatorname{group}^{1038}$ 997 similar services. We use this hierarchical clustering¹⁰³⁹ 998 algorithm because it does not need to specify the $\overset{\widetilde{}_{1040}}{}$ 999 number of clusters in advance as we do not $\mathrm{know}^{^{1041}}$ 1000 the number of services to be identified in advance. 1042 1001 However, it provides a near optimal solution of the⁰⁴³ 1002 partitioning. Other grouping techniques may pro¹⁰⁴⁴ 1003 vide more accurate solutions, such as search-based⁰⁴⁵ 1004 1046

algorithms. This will be a future extension of Re-SIde to implement simulated annealing and genetic algorithms.

3. Due to the lack of models that measure the reusability of object-oriented services, we propose our own empirical measurement to validate the reusability of the identified services. This can threat the reusability validation results.

6.3.2. Threats to external validity

There are two aspects to be considered regarding the external validity. These are as follows:

- 1. ReSIde is experimented via SPVs that are implemented by Java. As other object-oriented languages (e.g., C++, C#) include other concepts than Java (e.g., templates and preprocessor directives in C++), we need to develop new parsers to handle these new concepts properly to allow ReSide to work with these other languages.
- 2. Only three case studies have been collected in the experimentation (Mobile Media, Health Watcher and ArgoUML). However these are used in several research papers that address the problem of migrating SPVs into software product line. On average, the selected case studies obtained the same results. We do not claim that our results can be generalized for other similar case studies without testing ReSIde with a large number of case studies. This will be a logical extension of our work.

6.4. Research implications

As research implication, we find that services identified based on the analysis of multiple SPVs are more reusable than ones identified from singular software. We can generalize this conclusion to all domain of software reuse. E.g., when a collection of SPVs is available, it will be suitable to recover reusable entities (e.g., components, microservices, modules) by analyzing commonality and variability across these SPVs.

6.5. Practical implications

As it is mentioned in the motivation of the paper, SOA improves the management of reuse and maintenance of cloned SPVs. However, it provides limited customizability of services as they are used as black-boxes. Furthermore,

⁵https://www.eclipse.org/jdt/

the performance of the identified services may be nega₁₀₂
tively impacted as the service technologies add communi₁₀₃
cation layers between services. This impact can be ampli₁₀₄
fied if the identified services rely on heavy data exchange₁₀₅
The security challenge maybe emerged if the identified ser₁₀₆
vices are going to be accessed by third-party applications₁₀₇ **7. Related work**

1109 In this section, we discuss four research areas $\operatorname{crosscut}_{\overline{110}}$ 1054 ting with ReSIde. These are service identification, $\operatorname{com}_{\overline{1}11}$ 1055 ponent identification, software product line architecture 1056 recovery and feature identification research areas. We $de_{\overline{1}113}$ 1057 cide to also include the latter three research areas $because_{114}$ 1058 we find that they share with service identification $similar_{115}$ 1059 input artifacts (e.g., source code) and technical analysis $_{116}$ 1060 processes (e.g., reverse engineering, clustering algorithm) $_{1117}$ 1061 but with different conceptual identification goals (i.e., $\operatorname{ser}_{\overline{1118}}$ 1062 vice vs component vs feature). 1063 1119

1064 7.1. Service identification

1120 1121

Several service identification approaches have been pro+122 1065 posed to identify services based on the analysis of object+123 1066 oriented software 6, 7, 8, 9. According to the life cycle of 124 1067 service identification approaches, we classify approaches₁₂₅ 1068 presented in the literature based on four axes; the goal₁₁₂₆ 1069 the input, the applied process and the resulting output₁₂₇ 1070 of service identification approaches. In our classification₁₁₂₈ 1071 we select approaches based on two criteria. The first on@129 1072 focuses on the approaches that are frequently cited since¹³⁰ 1073 they are considered as the most known approaches pre+131 1074 sented in the state-of-the-art. The second one is related to132 1075 the comprehension of the classification axes. This means 1076 that we select approaches that cover all of the classifica⁺¹³³ 1077 tion axes to give concrete examples of these classification₁₃₄ 1078 axes. 1079 1135

The goal of an identification approach can be: $under_{\overline{1}136}$ 1080 standing, reuse, construction, evolution, analysis or $man_{\overline{1}137}$ 1081 agement 26. Software understanding is supported by $\text{pro}_{\overline{1}_{138}}$ 1082 viding a high level of abstraction describing the system,130 1083 structure. Reuse is supported by providing a coarse-grain₁₄₀ 1084 software entities that can be easily decoupled from the $sys_{\overline{1}141}$ 1085 tem and deployed in another one. Construction is $guided_{142}$ 1086 by explaining how software components interact with $each_{143}$ 1087 other through their interfaces. A better comprehension of_{144} 1088 the outcome changes is provided to software maintainers $_{\scriptscriptstyle 1145}$ 1089 Thus, they can be more precise in estimating cost of $\text{mod}_{\overline{1}146}$ 1090 ifications of the software evolution. Software analysis is 1091 enriched by understanding the dependencies provided by 1092 software architectures. Managing the development tasks 1093 get success, when a clear view of the system structure is 1094 provided 26. 1095

The input of a service identification approach can be source codes [6, 27, 7, 28, 29], data bases [30, 31, 32], execution and log traces [33, 34, 35], business process models [36, 37], knowledge of human expertises [27, 38], documentations [39, 40, 41, 42] or a combination of these input artifacts [29, 43, 33]. The process of service identification approaches aims to cluster elements of input artifacts into services. Existing approaches uses several algorithm including *clustering al*gorithm, genetic algorithm [27, [44], Formal Concept Analysis [45], [32], [46] or user-defined heuristics [27], [8]. These algorithms rely on various quality characteristics in their fitness functions to maximize the service quality of identified clusters. Such quality characteristics are loose coupling [6, [27], [28, [47], [40], [43], [48, [49], [41], cohesion [6], [27], [28, [47], [40], [43], [48, [49], [37], service granularity level [47], [40], [41], [43], [48, [49], self-containment [6], [41], composability [6] and interoperability [42].

The output of these service identification approaches is normally clusters of classes where each cluster represents the implementation of one service. Some approaches propose to package these clusters to form web service **[6**], REST services **[7]**, **50** or microservices **[8]**, **51**, **49**.

Nevertheless all of these existing service identification approaches perform the identification based on the analysis of only one single software product. Services are identified as group of object-oriented elements that have strong object-oriented dependencies without considering the global reusability of these elements together in other software products. Therefore, the identified services may be useless in other software products and consequently their reusability is not guaranteed. In our evaluation results where we compare our approach with a traditional one, we find that the reusability of services identified by considering multiple software products outperforms the reusability of services identified using the traditional service identification approaches.

7.2. Component identification

Following the definitions of services 52 53 54 55 56 and software components 576 587 598 we find that services are very similar to software components in terms of their characteristics (*loose coupling, reusability, autonomy, composability,* etc.). However, we can distinguish between services and components based on two aspects: the granularity level and the deployment technologies and models. Services start at higher level of abstractions compared to components. A service can be a part of a business process (at the requirement level), an architectural element (at the design level) and a function (at the implementation level). Components appear only at the design level and the implementation level in terms of

⁶A component is "abstract, self-contained packages of functionality performing a specific business function within a technology framework. These business components are reusable with well-defined interfaces" [57].

⁷A component is "a unit of composition with contractually specified interfaces and explicit context dependencies only. A software component can be deployed independently and is subject to composition by third parties" 58.

 $^{^{8}}$ A component is "a software element that (a) encapsulates a reusable implementation of functionality, (b) can be composed without modification, and (c) adheres to a component model" **59**.

architectural elements and functions. Services and compo-1203 1147 nents are different in terms of deployment technologies and²⁰⁴ 1148 models that are used to technically implement them. For²⁰⁵ 1149 examples, services can be web-services 60, micro-services 1150 61, REST services 62, etc., while components can be207 1151 OSGi 63, Fractal 64, SOFA 65, etc. These have varia-1208 1152 tions in their specification that make the implementation₂₀₉ 1153 of services and components varied and respectively their₂₁₀ 1154 provided and required interfaces. 1211 1155

Therefore, we can see that service identification and₂₁₂ component identification are very similar in terms of iden₄₂₁₃ tifying architectural elements that represent main reusable₂₁₄ functionalities, but they are different in the way of pack₄₂₁₅ aging these functionalities following SOA or component-

¹¹⁶¹ based models and deployment technologies (e.g., REST₂₁₆
 ¹¹⁶² services vs OSGi components).

Many approaches were proposed to identify $compo_{1218}$ nents from object-oriented software such as [66, 67, 68, 69]₁₂₁₉ [70, 71]. These approaches mined components from $single_{120}$ software that limits the reusability of identified $compo_{1221}$ nents.

¹¹⁶⁸ In [66], Kebir et al. extracted the component-based $ar_{\overline{1}223}$ ¹¹⁶⁹ chitecture based on partitioning classes into clusters $cor_{\overline{1}224}$ ¹¹⁷⁰ responding to components. The partitions are based on_{225} ¹¹⁷¹ static code dependencies.

Mishra et al. 67 also extracted the component-based₂₂₇ 1172 architecture. Components are extracted based on informa 1173 tion realized in use cases, sequence diagrams, and class di-1174 agrams. Unlike source code, sequence diagrams, use cases₁₂₃₀ 1175 and class diagrams are not always available. Hamza 72 1176 identified components from requirements and use cases us₁₂₃₂ 1177 ing formal concept analysis. He focused on the component₂₃₃ 1178 stability rather than the component reusability. 1179 1234

1180Allier et al.[68] depended on dynamic dependencies
 $_{1236}$ 1181between software classes to extract a component-based ar
 $_{1236}$ 1182chitecture. They relied on the use-cases to identify the
 $_{1237}$ 1183execution trace scenarios. Classes that frequently occur in
 $_{1238}$ 1184the execution traces are grouped into a component.

Liu et al. [73] identified interfaces of identified $compo_{1240}$ nents. Similar to our approach, they defined a $component_{1241}$ interface as a group of methods belonging to the cluster of_{242} classes of an identified component. They relied on process₁₂₄₃ mining tools to analyze the direct connections between the₂₄₄ clusters of classes.

¹¹⁹¹ 7.3. Software product line architecture identification

SPLA recovery approaches are similar to our approach²⁴⁶ 1192 in terms of the analysis of the variability between software products. However, compared to our service identifica- 1247 1193 1194 tion approach, they are different in their goal which is the 1248 1195 identification of one architecture model that describes the 1249 1196 design of a set of software products in the same family of 250 1197 software product line [74] based on variation points and 1198 1252 variants between architectural-elements 75, 76, 77. In 1199 other words, their focus is more on the understandability 1200 of the design of the family of products than the reusability $\frac{1254}{1254}$ 1201 of identified services. 1202

Technically, SPLA recovery approaches identify componentbased architecture (not potential reusable services) from each software product as disjoint clusters of classes that describe the system structure of this product. Then, they analyze the variability between the recovered architectures to identify variation points and variants using *clone detection algorithm* [78, 79, 80], *clustering algorithm* [25], or *user-defined* heuristics [81, 82]. They identify different aspects of SPLA such as mandatory components [25], optional components [25], component variability [78, 81], [25, 79, 82, 80], variability dependencies between different components [25, 79, 82], and feature model of architecture variability [25].

7.4. Feature identification

The distinguish between service identification and feature identification approaches comes from the differences between the concepts of a service and a feature. We have different goals and details processes to achieve these goals. Following Kang et al 83, a feature is defined as a nonstructural element that provides user visible aspects. On the other hand, a service is a structural (architectural) element that could implement either user visible or invisible aspects. Furthermore, services and features belong to various abstraction levels. Features abstract software requirements at a high level (e.g., requirement level), and services are architectural elements at the design level. Please note that services can be used to represent the implementation of features at the design level. The mapping model could be many-to-many, many-to-one, one-to-many or one-toone depending on the software engineers' decisions. Technically, features does not have any interfaces that represent the interaction between each others, rather than service interfaces, required and provided ones.

Feature identification has been investigated by many approaches. These aims to identify program units such as methods, or classes that represent features related only to user visible aspects, and without considering the position of these feature at the design level. Dit et al. **84** provided a survey of feature identification approaches. Features were identified based on the analysis of single software product like **85**, **86**, **87**, or based on the analysis of multiple software products by exploring the commonality and the variability between these products like **88**, **89**, **90**, **91**.

8. Conclusion

In this paper, we presented *ReSIde* (**Re**usable **S**ervice **Identification**): an automated approach that identifies reusable services based on the analysis of a set of similar objectoriented SPVs. ReSIde identifies *reusable* functionalities of cloned codes that can be qualified as services across multiple SPVs based on the analysis of the commonality and the variability between the source code of these SPVs. We consider that identifying service based on the analysis of multiple SPVs provides more guarantee for the reusabil+313
 ity of the identified services, compared to the analysis of \$314
 singular SPV.

ReSIde firstly identifies all potential services from $each_{317}$ 1258 SPV independently. A potential service is defined based³¹⁸ 1259 on a group of object-oriented classes identified gradually³¹⁹ 1260 based on one core class. Groups of classes have quality val $^{1320}_{1321}$ 1261 ues exceeding a pre-defined threshold value are considered³²² 1262 as potential services. Then, ReSIde explores the common⁴³²³ 1263 ality and the variability between the identified potential³²⁴ 1264 services to identify ones that are shared between different $^{1325}_{1326}$ 1265 SPVs. The identification of these shared services is $based_{327}$ 1266 on a clustering algorithm. From each cluster of services¹³²⁸ 1267 ReSIde extracts one common service that represents that $^{\sharp^{329}}$ 1268 most reusable and quality-centric service in this cluster. $\frac{1}{1331}$ 1269

To validate ReSIde, we applied it on three case studies₃₃₂ 1270 of product variants of three different sizes; 8 products of³³³ 1271 Mobile Media as a small-scale software (43.25 classes per^{1334} 1272 1335 product), 10 products of Health Watcher as medium-scale $\frac{1}{1336}$ 1273 software (137.6 classes per product), and 9 products of Ar₁₃₃₇ 1274 goUML as a large-scale one (2198.11 classes per product)¹³³⁸ 1275 The results demonstrated the applicability of ReSIde on $^{1339}_{1340}$ 1276 the selected three case studies and the capability to $iden_{\overline{1341}}$ 1277 tify reusable services that can be existed in multiple SPVs1342 1278 We proposed an empirical measurement method to eval¹³⁴³ 1279 uate the reusability of the identified services. The results $^{1344}_{1345}$ 1280 of this measurement method showed that the reusability y_{346} 1281 of the services identified based on ReSIde is better than³⁴⁷ 1282 the reusability of those identified based on the analysis of $^{\scriptscriptstyle 348}$ 1283 1349 singular software product. 1284 1350

As future directions, we plan to extend ReSIde to ${\rm trans}_{1351}$ 1285 form the object-oriented implementation of identified ser1352 1286 vices into truly deployable services by making them adhere $^{\scriptscriptstyle 353}$ 1287 1288 croservices. Also, we want to evaluate the reusability of 356 1289 the identified services based on the human expert knowl¹³⁵⁷ 1290 1358 edge. 1291 1359

1292 References

- [1] S. Fischer, L. Linsbauer, R. E. Lopez-Herrejon, A. Egyed, En¹³⁶³ hancing clone-and-own with systematic reuse for developing³⁶⁵ software variants, in: Software Maintenance and Evolution (ICSME), 2014 IEEE International Conference on, IEEE, pp³⁶⁷ 391–400.
- [2] J. Businge, M. Openja, S. Nadi, E. Bainomugisha, T. Berger^{1,509} Clone-based variability management in the android ecosystem¹³⁷⁰ in: 2018 IEEE International Conference on Software Mainte³⁷¹ nance and Evolution (ICSME), IEEE, pp. 625–634.
- [3] A. Shatnawi, T. Ziadi, M. Y. Mohamadi, Understanding source
 [3] A. Shatnawi, T. Ziadi, M. Y. Mohamadi, Understanding source
 [3] a. Shatnawi, T. Ziadi, M. Y. Mohamadi, Understanding source
 [3] code variability in cloned android families: an empirical study
 [3] and the standard state of the state of t
- [4] Y. Dubinsky, J. Rubin, T. Berger, S. Duszynski, M. Becker, 1377
 K. Czarnecki, An exploratory study of cloning in industrial software product lines, in: Software Maintenance and Reengi, 1379
 neering (CSMR), 2013 17th European Conference on, IEEE, pp 1300
 25–34.
- ¹³¹⁰ 25–34.
 ¹³¹¹ [5] W. Jin, T. Liu, Y. Cai, R. Kazman, R. Mo, Q. Zheng, Ser¹³⁸¹₁₃₂₂
 vice candidate identification from monolithic systems based on ¹³⁸³₁₃₈₃

execution traces, IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering (2019).

- [6] S. Adjoyan, A. Seriai, A. Shatnawi, Service identification based on quality metrics - object-oriented legacy system migration towards SOA, in: The 26th International Conference on Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering, Hyatt Regency, Vancouver, BC, Canada, July 1-3, 2013., pp. 1–6.
- [7] R. Rodríguez-Echeverría, F. Maclas, V. M. Pavón, J. M. Conejero, F. Sánchez-Figueroa, Generating a rest service layer from a legacy system, in: Information System Development, 2014, pp. 433–444.
- [8] M. Gysel, L. Kölbener, W. Giersche, O. Zimmermann, Service cutter: A systematic approach to service decomposition, in: ESOCC, pp. 185–200.
- [9] M. Abdellatif, A. Shatnawi, Y.-G. Guéhéneuc, H. Mili, J. Privat, Toward service identification to support legacy objectoriented software systems migration to soa.
- [10] M. Gasparic, A. Janes, A. Sillitti, G. Succi, An analysis of a project reuse approach in an industrial setting, in: Software Reuse for Dynamic Systems in the Cloud and Beyond, Springer, 2014, pp. 164–171.
- [11] J. Sametinger, Software engineering with reusable components, Springer Science & Business Media, 1997.
- [12] A. Shatnawi, A.-D. Seriai, Mining reusable software components from object-oriented source code of a set of similar software, in: IEEE 14th International Conference on Information Reuse and Integration (IRI 2013), IEEE, pp. 193–200.
- [13] I. Iso, Iec 9126-1: Software engineering-product quality-part 1: Quality model, Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization (2001).
- [14] J. M. Bieman, B.-K. Kang, Cohesion and reuse in an objectoriented system, in: ACM SIGSOFT Software Engineering Notes, volume 20, ACM, pp. 259–262.
- [15] J. Han, M. Kamber, J. Pei, Data mining, southeast asia edition: Concepts and techniques, Morgan kaufmann, 2006.
- [16] W. H. Gomaa, A. A. Fahmy, A survey of text similarity approaches, International Journal of Computer Applications 68 (2013) 13–18.
- [17] K. Narasimhan, C. Reichenbach, J. Lawall, Cleaning up copypaste clones with interactive merging, Automated Software Engineering 25 (2018) 627–673.
- [18] G. P. Krishnan, N. Tsantalis, Unification and refactoring of clones, in: CSMR-WCRE, IEEE, pp. 104–113.
- [19] D. Poshyvanyk, A. Marcus, The conceptual coupling metrics for object-oriented systems, in: Software Maintenance, 2006. ICSM'06. 22nd IEEE International Conference on, IEEE, pp. 469–478.
- [20] E. Figueiredo, N. Cacho, C. Sant'Anna, M. Monteiro, U. Kulesza, A. Garcia, S. Soares, F. Ferrari, S. Khan, F. Dantas, et al., Evolving software product lines with aspects, in: ACM/IEEE 30th International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE'08), IEEE, pp. 261–270.
- [21] M. V. Couto, M. T. Valente, E. Figueiredo, Extracting software product lines: A case study using conditional compilation, in: 15th European Conference on Software Maintenance and Reengineering (CSMR2011), IEEE, pp. 191–200.
- [22] H. Eyal Salman, A.-D. Seriai, C. Dony, Feature-level change impact analysis using formal concept analysis, International Journal of Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering 25 (2015) 69–92.
- [23] L. P. Tizzei, C. M. Rubira, J. Lee, An aspect-based feature model for architecting component product lines, in: 2012 38th Euromicro Conference on Software Engineering and Advanced Applications, IEEE, pp. 85–92.
- [24] J. Martinez, N. Ordoñez, X. Tërnava, T. Ziadi, J. Aponte, E. Figueiredo, M. Valente, Feature location benchmark with argouml spl, in: Systems and Software Product Line Conference (SPLC).
- [25] A. Shatnawi, A.-D. Seriai, H. Sahraoui, Recovering software product line architecture of a family of object-oriented product variants, Journal of Systems and Software 131 (2017) 325–346.

1360

1361

- [26] D. Garlan, Software architecture: A roadmap, in: Proceedings455
 of the Conference on The Future of Software Engineering, ICSE456
 '00, ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2000, pp. 91–101.
- 1387 [27] H. Jain, H. Zhao, N. R. Chinta, A spanning tree based approach458
 1388 to identifying web services, International Journal of Web Ser4459
 1389 vices Research 1 (2004) 1. 1460
- 1390 [28] S. Alahmari, E. Zaluska, D. De Roure, A service identifica₄₄₆₁
 1391 tion framework for legacy system migration into soa, in: Ser₄₄₆₂
 1392 vices Computing (SCC), 2010 IEEE International Conference₄₆₃
 1393 on, IEEE, pp. 614–617. 1464
- H. M. Sneed, C. Verhoef, S. H. Sneed, Reusing existing object¹⁴⁶⁵
 oriented code as web services in a soa, in: Maintenance⁴⁶⁶
 and Evolution of Service-Oriented and Cloud-Based Systems⁴⁶⁷
 (MESOCA), 2013 IEEE 7th International Symposium on the¹⁴⁶⁸
 IEEE, pp. 31–39.
- 1399[30] D. Saha, Service mining from legacy database applications, in14701400Web Services (ICWS), 2015 IEEE International Conference 0114711401IEEE, pp. 448–455.1472
- 1402[31] Y. Baghdadi, Reverse engineering relational databases to iden+4731403tify and specify basic web services with respect to service ori+4741404ented computing, Information systems frontiers 8 (2006) 395-14751405410.
- [32] C. Del Grosso, M. Di Penta, I. G.-R. de Guzman, An approach477
 for mining services in database oriented applications, in: 11th478
 European Conference on Software Maintenance and Reengineer4479
 ing, IEEE, pp. 287–296.
- 1410[33] A. Fuhr, T. Horn, V. Riediger, Using dynamic analysis and 4811411clustering for implementing services by reusing legacy code, in14821412Reverse Engineering (WCRE), 2011 18th Working Conference 4831413on, IEEE, pp. 275–279.1484
- 1414[34] B. Upadhyaya, Y. Zou, F. Khomh, An approach to extract4851415restful services from web applications, International Journal of4861416Business Process Integration and Management 7 (2015) 213-14871417227.
- 1418[35] S. Mani, V. S. Sinha, N. Sukaviriya, T. Ramachandra, Using4891419user interface design to enhance service identification, in: Web4901420Services, 2008. ICWS'08. IEEE International Conference on14911421IEEE, pp. 78–87.1492
- 1422[36] E. Sosa, P. J. Clemente, J. M. Conejero, R. Rodríguez44931423Echeverría, A model-driven process to modernize legacy web4941424applications based on service oriented architectures, in: 2013495142515th IEEE International Symposium on Web Systems Evolution4961426(WSE), IEEE, pp. 61–70.
- 1427[37] M. J. Amiri, S. Parsa, A. M. Lajevardi, Multifaceted service4981428identification: Process, requirement and data, Computer Sci44991429ence and Information Systems 13 (2016) 335–358.1500
- 1430[38] H. M. Sneed, Integrating legacy software into a service ori+5011431ented architecture, in: Software Maintenance and Reengineer+5021432ing, 2006. CSMR 2006. Proceedings of the 10th European Con+5031433ference on, IEEE, pp. 11-pp.1504
- [39] L. Aversano, L. Cerulo, C. Palumbo, Mining candidate web505
 services from legacy code, in: 10th International Symposium506
 on Web Site Evolution, IEEE, pp. 37–40.
- [40] M. Nakamur, H. Igaki, T. Kimura, K. Matsumoto, Identifying508 services in procedural programs for migrating legacy system to509 service oriented architecture, Implementation and Integration510 of Information Systems in the Service Sector (2012) 237.
- 1441[41] Z. Zhang, H. Yang, Incubating services in legacy systems fors12
architectural migration, in: Software Engineering Conference;513
2004. 11th Asia-Pacific, IEEE, pp. 196–203.14432004. 11th Asia-Pacific, IEEE, pp. 196–203.
- [42] H. Sneed, Migrating to web services: A research framework, inisis
 Proceedings of the International.
- [43] Z. Zhang, R. Liu, H. Yang, Service identification and packaging517
 in service oriented reengineering., in: SEKE, volume 5, pp1518
 620–625.
- 1449[44] M. Abdelkader, M. Malki, S. M. Benslimane, A heuristic apis201450proach to locate candidate web service in legacy software, Ini5211451ternational Journal of Computer Applications in Technology 475221452(2013) 152–161.1523152
- [45] Z. Zhang, H. Yang, W. C. Chu, Extracting reusable object⁴⁵²⁴
 oriented legacy code segments with combined formal concept⁵²⁵

analysis and slicing techniques for service integration, in: 2006 Sixth International Conference on Quality Software (QSIC'06), IEEE, pp. 385–392.

- [46] F. Chen, Z. Zhang, J. Li, J. Kang, H. Yang, Service identification via ontology mapping, in: 2009 33rd Annual IEEE International Computer Software and Applications Conference, volume 1, IEEE, pp. 486–491.
- [47] R. S. Huergo, P. F. Pires, F. C. Delicato, Mdcsim: A method and a tool to identify services, IT Convergence Practice 2 (2014) 1–27.
- [48] R. S. Huergo, P. F. Pires, F. C. Delicato, A method to identify services using master data and artifact-centric modeling approach, in: Proceedings of the 29th Annual ACM Symposium on Applied Computing, ACM, pp. 1225–1230.
- [49] L. Baresi, M. Garriga, A. De Renzis, Microservices identification through interface analysis, in: European Conference on Service-Oriented and Cloud Computing, Springer, pp. 19–33.
- [50] F. J. Frey, C. Hentrich, U. Zdun, Capability-based service identification in service-oriented legacy modernization, in: Proceedings of the 18th European Conference on Pattern Languages of Program, ACM, p. 10.
- [51] G. Mazlami, J. Cito, P. Leitner, Extraction of microservices from monolithic software architectures, in: Web Services (ICWS), 2017 IEEE International Conference on, IEEE, pp. 524–531.
- [52] D. K. Barry, Web Services, Service-oriented Architectures, and Cloud Computing: The Savvy Manager's Guide, Morgan Kaufmann, 2003.
- [53] M. Nakamura, H. Igaki, T. Kimura, K.-i. Matsumoto, Extracting service candidates from procedural programs based on process dependency analysis, in: Services Computing Conference, 2009. APSCC 2009. IEEE Asia-Pacific, IEEE, pp. 484–491.
- [54] A. Erradi, S. Anand, N. Kulkarni, Soaf: An architectural framework for service definition and realization, in: Services Computing, 2006. SCC'06. IEEE International Conference on, IEEE, pp. 151–158.
- [55] A. Brown, S. Johnston, K. Kelly, Using service-oriented architecture and component-based development to build web service applications, Rational Software Corporation (2002).
- [56] T. O. Group, Service oriented architecture, in: https://www.opengroup.org/soa/sourcebook/togaf/soadef.htm.
- [57] G. Baster, P. Konana, J. E. Scott, Business components: A case study of bankers trust australia limited, Commun. ACM 44 (2001) 92–98.
- [58] C. Szyperski, Component software: beyond object-oriented programming, Pearson Education, 2002.
- [59] C. Lüer, A. Van Der Hoek, Composition environments for deployable software components, Citeseer, 2002.
- [60] G. Alonso, F. Casati, H. Kuno, V. Machiraju, Web services, in: Web Services, Springer, 2004, pp. 123–149.
- [61] D. Namiot, M. Sneps-Sneppe, On micro-services architecture, International Journal of Open Information Technologies 2 (2014) 24–27.
- [62] C. Riva, M. Laitkorpi, Designing web-based mobile services with rest, in: Service-Oriented Computing-ICSOC 2007 Workshops, Springer, pp. 439–450.
- [63] O. Alliance, Osgi service platform, release 3, IOS Press, Inc., 2003.
- [64] E. Bruneton, T. Coupaye, M. Leclercq, V. Quéma, J.-B. Stefani, The fractal component model and its support in java, Software: Practice and Experience 36 (2006) 1257–1284.
- [65] F. Plasil, D. Balek, R. Janecek, Sofa/dcup: Architecture for component trading and dynamic updating, in: Configurable Distributed Systems, 1998. Proceedings. Fourth International Conference on, IEEE, pp. 43–51.
- [66] S. Kebir, A.-D. Seriai, S. Chardigny, A. Chaoui, Quality-centric approach for software component identification from objectoriented code, in: 2012 Joint Working IEEE/IFIP Conference on Software Architecture (WICSA) and European Conference on Software Architecture (ECSA), IEEE, pp. 181–190.

- IS26 [67] S. Mishra, D. S. Kushwaha, A. K. Misra, Creating reusable597
 IS27 software component from object-oriented legacy system through598
 IS28 reverse engineering., Journal of object technology 8 (2009) 133-1599
 IS20 152. 1600
- [68] S. Allier, H. A. Sahraoui, S. Sadou, S. Vaucher, Restructur⁴⁶⁰¹
 ing object-oriented applications into component-oriented ap⁴⁶⁰²
 plications by using consistency with execution traces, in¹⁶⁰³
 Component-Based Software Engineering, Springer, 2010, pp¹⁶⁰⁴
 216–231.
- [69] A. Shatnawi, A.-D. Seriai, H. Sahraoui, Z. Alshara, Reversecce engineering reusable software components from object-orientedcor apis, Journal of Systems and Software 131 (2017) 442–460. 1608
- [70] A. Shatnawi, H. Shatnawi, M. A. Saied, Z. A. Shara₁₆₀₉
 H. Sahraoui, A. Seriai, Identifying components from object₄₆₁₀
 oriented apis based on dynamic analysis, arXiv preprint₆₁₁
 arXiv:1803.06235 (2018).
- [71] Z. Alshara, A.-D. Seriai, C. Tibermacine, H. L. Bouziane₁₆₁₃
 ¹⁵⁴³ C. Dony, A. Shatnawi, Materializing architecture recov₄₆₁₄
 ¹⁵⁴⁴ ered from object-oriented source code in component-based lan₄₆₁₅
 ¹⁵⁴⁵ guages, in: European Conference on Software Architecture₁₆₁₆
 ¹⁵⁴⁶ Springer, pp. 309–325.
- 1547 [72] H. S. Hamza, A framework for identifying reusable software618
 1548 components using formal concept analysis, in: Sixth Internat619
 1549 tional Conference on Information Technology: New Generations620
 1550 (ITNG), 2009, IEEE, pp. 813–818.
- [73] C. Liu, B. van Dongen, N. Assy, W. van der Aalst, Com ponent interface identification and behavioral model discovery
 from software execution data, in: International Conference on
 Program Comprehension, pp. 1–10.
- [74] P. Clements, L. Northrop, Software product lines: practices and patterns (2002).
- 1557 [75] H. Gomaa, Designing software product lines with uml, in:
 1558 Software Engineering Workshop Tutorial Notes, 2005. 29th
 1559 Annual IEEE/NASA, pp. 160–216.
- [76] C. Lima, Product line architecture recovery: an approach proposal, in: Proceedings of the 39th International Conference on Software Engineering Companion, IEEE Press, pp. 481–482.
- 1563 [77] M. Zahid, Z. Mehmmod, I. Inayat, Evolution in software archi1564 tecture recovery techniques—a survey, in: Emerging Technolo1565 gies (ICET), 2017 13th International Conference on, IEEE, pp.
 1566 1–6.
- T. Mende, F. Beckwermert, R. Koschke, G. Meier, Supporting the grow-and-prune model in software product lines evolution using clone detection, in: 12th European Conference on Software Maintenance and Reengineering (CSMR), IEEE, pp. 163-172.
- [79] R. Koschke, P. Frenzel, A. P. Breu, K. Angstmann, Extending
 the reflexion method for consolidating software variants into
 product lines, Software Quality Journal 17 (2009) 331–366.
- 1575 [80] R. Kolb, D. Muthig, T. Patzke, K. Yamauchi, A case study
 1576 in refactoring a legacy component for reuse in a product line,
 1577 in: Proceedings of the 21st IEEE International Conference on
 1578 Software Maintenance (ICSM 2005), IEEE, pp. 369–378.
- [81] M. Pinzger, H. Gall, J.-F. Girard, J. Knodel, C. Riva, W. Pasman, C. Broerse, J. G. Wijnstra, Architecture recovery for product families, in: Software Product-Family Engineering, Springer, 2004, pp. 332–351.
- [82] K. C. Kang, M. Kim, J. Lee, B. Kim, Feature-oriented reengineering of legacy systems into product line assets-a case study, in: Software Product Lines, Springer, 2005, pp. 45–56.
- [83] K. C. Kang, S. G. Cohen, J. A. Hess, W. E. Novak, A. S. Peterson, Feature-oriented domain analysis (FODA) feasibility study, Technical Report, DTIC Document, 1990.
- [84] B. Dit, M. Revelle, M. Gethers, D. Poshyvanyk, Feature location in source code: a taxonomy and survey, Journal of Software: Evolution and Process 25 (2013) 53–95.
- [85] G. Antoniol, Y.-G. Guéhéneuc, Feature identification: a novel approach and a case study, in: 21st IEEE International Conference on Software Maintenance (ICSM'05), IEEE, pp. 357–366.
- [86] K. Chen, V. Rajlich, Case study of feature location using depen dence graph, in: Program Comprehension, 2000. Proceedings.

IWPC 2000. 8th International Workshop on, IEEE, pp. 241–247.

- [87] R. Damaševičius, P. Paškevičius, E. Karčiauskas, R. Marcinkevičius, Automatic extraction of features and generation of feature models from java programs, Information Technology and Control 41 (2012) 376–384.
- [88] Y. Xue, Reengineering legacy software products into software product line based on automatic variability analysis, in: Proceedings of the 33rd International Conference on Software Engineering, ACM, pp. 1114–1117.
- [89] T. Ziadi, L. Frias, M. A. A. da Silva, M. Ziane, Feature identification from the source code of product variants, in: Software Maintenance and Reengineering (CSMR), 2012 16th European Conference on, IEEE, pp. 417–422.
- [90] A. Ra'Fat, A. Seriai, M. Huchard, C. Urtado, S. Vauttier, H. E. Salman, Feature location in a collection of software product variants using formal concept analysis, in: International Conference on Software Reuse, Springer, pp. 302–307.
- [91] J. Carbonnel, M. Huchard, A. Gutierrez, Variability representation in product lines using concept lattices: feasibility study with descriptions from wikipedia's product comparison matrices, in: FCA&A 2015, co-located with 13th International Conference on Formal Concept Analysis (ICFCA 2015), volume 1434.