

# The HIF1 $\alpha$ /JMY pathway promotes glioblastoma stem-like cell invasiveness after irradiation

Laurent R Gauthier, Mahasen Saati, Hayet Bensalah-Pigeon, Karim Ben M'Barek, Oscar Gitton-Quent, Romane Bertrand, Didier Busso, Marc-André Mouthon, Ada Collura, Marie-Pierre Junier, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

Laurent R Gauthier, Mahasen Saati, Hayet Bensalah-Pigeon, Karim Ben M'Barek, Oscar Gitton-Quent, et al.. The HIF1 $\alpha$ /JMY pathway promotes glioblastoma stem-like cell invasiveness after irradiation. Scientific Reports, 2020, 10 (1), pp.18742. 10.1038/s41598-020-75300-5. hal-02989416

### HAL Id: hal-02989416 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-02989416v1

Submitted on 5 Nov 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

## scientific reports

Check for updates

## **OPEN** The HIF1α/JMY pathway promotes glioblastoma stem-like cell invasiveness after irradiation

Laurent R. Gauthier<sup>12</sup>, Mahasen Saati<sup>1</sup>, Hayet Bensalah-Pigeon<sup>1</sup>, Karim Ben M'Barek <sup>1,4</sup>, Oscar Gitton-Quent<sup>1</sup>, Romane Bertrand<sup>2</sup>, Didier Busso<sup>1</sup>, Marc-André Mouthon<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>, Ada Collura<sup>2</sup>, Marie-Pierre Junier<sup>3</sup>, Hervé Chneiweiss<sup>3</sup>, José R. Pineda<sup>1,5</sup> & François D. Boussin<sup>1⊠</sup>

Human glioblastoma (GBM) is the most common primary malignant brain tumor. A minor subpopulation of cancer cells, known as glioma stem-like cells (GSCs), are thought to play a major role in tumor relapse due to their stem cell-like properties, their high resistance to conventional treatments and their high invasion capacity. We show that ionizing radiation specifically enhances the motility and invasiveness of human GSCs through the stabilization and nuclear accumulation of the hypoxia-inducible factor  $1\alpha$  (HIF1 $\alpha$ ), which in turn transcriptionally activates the Junction-mediating and regulatory protein (JMY). Finally, JMY accumulates in the cytoplasm where it stimulates GSC migration via its actin nucleation-promoting activity. Targeting JMY could thus open the way to the development of new therapeutic strategies to improve the efficacy of radiotherapy and prevent glioma recurrence.

Glioblastoma (GBM) is the most common and aggressive type of primary brain tumor<sup>1</sup>. The standard GBM treatment includes surgical resection with adjuvant radiotherapy and chemotherapy<sup>2</sup>. The radiotherapy treatment usually consists of five consecutive sessions of 2 Gy rate per week for six weeks, the total treatment being 60 Gy<sup>2</sup>. However, the majority of patients relapse and only 3 to 5% survive more than three years following treatment<sup>3</sup>. The highly infiltrative nature of GBM is thought to contribute to tumor relapse<sup>4</sup>. Moreover, ionizing radiation (1-20 Gy) has been shown to promote the migration and invasion of human glioblastoma cell lines in vitro<sup>5-17</sup> suggesting that this effect may allow some cancer cells to move away from the irradiated area, thereby reducing their radiation exposure at next irradiation sessions and overall the treatment efficacy.

Multiple studies have shown that GBM displays intratumoral heterogeneity with a hierarchical cellular organization stemming from a minor subpopulation known as glioma stem-like cells (GSCs), which are able to generate intracerebral tumor in immunodeficient mice<sup>18,19</sup> and share some properties with normal neural stem cells, including i) the expression of specific markers, ii) a capacity for self-renewal and iii) the ability to give rise to differentiated cells<sup>20-22</sup>. Their stem-like cell potential combined to their high resistance to available cancer treatments and their high invasion capacity<sup>23-25</sup> suggest that GSCs are involved in GBM relapse following treatment<sup>23,26</sup>.

Here, we demonstrate that sublethal doses ionizing radiation specifically promotes the migration and invasiveness of human GSC lines using in vitro and in vivo assays. We show that radiation-induced migration/invasion occurs through the stabilization and nuclear accumulation of the transcription factor hypoxia-inducible factor 1 alpha (HIF1 $\alpha$ ), which drives the transcription of Junction-mediating and regulatory protein (JMY)<sup>27</sup> that stimulates GSC migration through its actin nucleation-promoting activity.

<sup>1</sup>Université de Paris and Université Paris-Saclay, Inserm, LRP/iRCM/IBFJ CEA, UMR Stabilité Génétique Cellules Souches et Radiations, 18 route du panorama, 92265 Fontenay-aux-Roses, France. <sup>2</sup>Sorbonne Université, UPMC Univ Paris 06, INSERM, UMRS 938, Equipe Instabilité des Microsatellites et Cancer, Centre de Recherche Saint Antoine, 75012 Paris, France. <sup>3</sup>CNRS UMR8246, Inserm U1130, Neuroscience Paris Seine-IBPS, UPMC, Sorbonne Universités, Paris, France. <sup>4</sup>Present address: Inserm U861, I-Stem, CECS, UEVE, AFM, Institute for Stem Cell Therapy and Exploration of Monogenic Diseases, 91100 Corbeil-Essonnes, France. <sup>5</sup>Present address: Achucarro Basque Center for Neuroscience, Sede Building, 48940 Leioa, Vizcaya, Spain. 🖾 email: laurent-r.gauthier@cea.fr; francois.boussin@cea.fr

#### Results

 $\gamma$ -radiation increases the migration velocity and invasive capacity of human GSCs. We used time-lapse videomicroscopy to characterize the motility patterns of two human GSC lines: TG1N and TG16, which were obtained from patients with high-grade gliomas<sup>28,29</sup>. Since then they were systematically cultured as tumorospheres in defined stem cell culture conditions, allowing them to keep their GSC properties including their capacity to generate intracerebral tumors in immunodeficient mice (Supplementary Fig. S1A).

Twenty-four hours after plating on laminin substrate, TG1N and TG16 cells adopted a bipolar and elongated shape (Supplementary Fig. 1B) and displayed high motility (mean velocities of  $26.3 \pm 0.6 \mu$ m/h and  $25.7 \pm 1.1 \mu$ m/h, respectively) without a predefined direction (Supplementary Fig. S1C, Supplementary Movies S1 and S2), consistently with random motility pattern with high velocity previously reported for other GSC lines<sup>30</sup>.

We then determined the effects of different ionizing radiation doses ranging from 0 to 3 Gy on the motility pattern of TG1N and TG16 cells. In agreement with the well-known radiation-resistance of GSCs<sup>23,29</sup>, quantification of activated caspase-3 and -7 in irradiated cultures by ELISA revealed minimal increases in apoptosis at 24 h post-irradiation, even at the highest dose (Supplementary Table S1). This was further confirmed by using IncuCyte Cytox Reagent to assess cell death by videomicroscopy at different times after irradiation (Supplementary Table S2). Flow cytometric analysis with propidium iodide DNA staining at 24 h post-irradiation revealed no effect of 0.5 Gy irradiation on the cell cycle of TG1N and TG16 and only a low G2/M accumulation after 3 Gy in cultures of both cell lines (Supplementary Table S3). Similarly, the colony formation assay revealed that only the dose of 3 Gy significantly impairs clonogenicity of both TG1N and TG16 cells (Supplementary Fig. S2).

GSC migration velocity was measured over periods of 4 h ranging from 8–28 h post-irradiation. We showed dose-dependent increases of migration velocity of irradiated cells as compared to that of unirradiated controls, which remained stable during this period of time (Fig. 1A). No increase was detected after 0.1 Gy, whereas the highest increase was observed at 8–12 h after 3 Gy irradiation (1.34- and 1.23-fold increases for TG1N and TG16, respectively, \*\*\*p <0.001; Fig. 1A). Migration velocity decreased thereafter at the highest dose probably due to the cell cycle alterations reported above (Supplementary Table S2 and S3). By contrast, we showed that 0.5 Gy induced a persistent increase in the migration velocity of the two cell lines, which remained detectable up to 52 h post-irradiation (Fig. 1B).

Irradiated (0.5 Gy) GSCs significantly explored a wider territory than unirradiated controls as shown by cumulative traces (Fig. 1C) and mean square displacement (MSD) measurements (Supplementary Fig. S3A,B) of cells tracked from 24 to 28 h or 24 to 26 h post-irradiation respectively. This occurred without any change in directional persistence estimated either by the end-point method (defined as the ratio of the distance between two points by the actual trajectory; Supplementary Fig. S3C,D) or over time (every 10 min, Supplementary Fig. S3E,F).

We then tested the effect of radiation on GSCs invasiveness using the Matrigel invasion chamber assay. As shown in Fig. 2A, 0.5 Gy significantly increased the invasiveness of both GSC lines (TG1N:  $221 \pm 41\%$  and TG16:  $125 \pm 11\%$ ).

To further explore in vivo the effects of radiation on GSC invasiveness, irradiated (0.5 Gy) TG1N and TG16 cells were stereotaxically injected into the striatum of adult Nude mice (Fig. 2B). Serial coronal brain slices obtained two days after engraftment revealed that human nestin-positive cells exhibited a greater dispersion in the coronal plane, when cells were irradiated prior to injection compared to unirradiated controls (Fig. 2C).

Altogether, our data showed that sublethal doses of irradiation stimulate both the motility and invasive capacity of human GSCs.

**Radiation-induced migration of GSCs depends on a rapid and transient nuclear accumulation of HIF1** $\alpha$ . HIF1 $\alpha$  has been shown to play a key role as a transcription factor in hypoxia-induced migration/invasion of several glioblastoma cell lines<sup>9,31–35</sup>. Since HIF1 $\alpha$  nuclear accumulation has been previously reported to be induced by ionizing radiation in tumor cells<sup>36</sup>, we investigated whether HIF1 $\alpha$  could be involved in the radiation-induced migration/invasion of GSCs.

In normoxia, HIF1a is hydroxylated by prolyl hydroxylase (PHD) leading to its recognition by the von Hippel-Lindau protein and subsequent ubiquitination and targeting to the proteasome for rapid degradation<sup>37</sup>. PHD destabilization under hypoxic conditions allows the accumulation of HIF1a and its translocation to the nucleus<sup>38</sup>, where it forms a heterodimeric transcription factor complex with HIF1ß and binds the promoter regions of target genes<sup>39</sup>.

To investigate the role of HIF1a in radiation-induced migration, we treated our cells with Deferoxamin (DFO), an iron chelator known to stabilize HIF1a<sup>40</sup>. As shown in Fig. 3A–C, 100  $\mu$ M DFO induced the nuclear accumulation of HIF1a in 86±6% of TG1N cells compared to 12±7% of controls (\*\*\**p*<0.001). Similar data were obtained with TG16 cells (Supplementary Fig. S4D).

Strikingly, we found a similar nuclear accumulation of HIF1a in 70% of irradiated TG1N cells at 1 h postirradiation, compared to control cells (Fig. 3A,B, \*\*\*p < 0.001). This increase remained transient since the percentage of HIF1a-positive cells, as well as nuclear HIF1a intensity returned to control levels at 4 h post-irradiation (Fig. 3A,B and Supplementary Fig. S4A,B). Confirming the radiation-induced activation of the HIF1a pathway, we showed an increased transcriptomic expression of well-known HIF1a target genes in irradiated-GSCs<sup>41</sup> (Supplementary Fig. S5A).

We next showed that the radiation-induced accumulation of HIF1a did not involve transcriptional regulation, since HIF1a mRNA levels remained unchanged in irradiated cells compared to unirradiated controls, consistently with the determinant role of post-transcriptional modifications in HIF1a accumulation<sup>42</sup> (Supplementary Fig. S4C). Interestingly, DFO treatment increased cell velocity (Fig. 3D; \*\*\*p < 0.001), mimicking the effects of irradiation (Fig. 3D; \*\*p < 0.01). Similar data were obtained with TG16 cells (Supplementary Fig. S4E).





To further investigate the importance of HIF1 $\alpha$  on radiation-induced migration, we then used YC1, a nitric oxide-independent activator of soluble guanylyl cyclase described to indirectly block HIF1 $\alpha$  expression at the post-transcriptional level<sup>43</sup>. We first checked the inhibition efficiency of 50  $\mu$ M YC1 on HIF1 $\alpha$  expression induced by DFO in our cells and under the culture conditions used for these cells (Supplementary Fig. S5B). To this end, we used HIF1 $\alpha$  knockdown TG1N GSCs generated by lentiviral vector transduction of small-hairpin RNAs against HIF1 $\alpha$  (shHIF1 $\alpha$ ), which dramatically decreased the HIF1 $\alpha$  mRNA basal levels; Supplementary



**Figure 2.** Irradiation increases the invasiveness of GSCs in vitro and in vivo. (**A**) Matrigel invasion chambers were used to measure invasiveness of TG1N and TG16 cells after 0.5 Gy irradiation. The results are expressed as percentages of non-irradiated controls (n = 5 chambers per group from 3 independent experiments; \*\*p < 0.01). (**B**) Human nestin immunostaining (arrows) of coronal slices of brains of nude mice 48 h after striatal graftings of unirradiated (left) or irradiated (0.5 Gy, right) TG1N cells (upper panel) or TG16 cells (lower panel). (**C**) Quantification of dispersion of unirradiated or irradiated TG1N and TG16 cells in coronal slices of mouse brains Data were compiled from two independent experiments including 3 to 5 brains per condition (\*p < 0.05).

Fig. S5C) and shCt TG1N GSCs, transduced with a lentiviral vector expressing a small-hairpin directed against irrelevant sequence (shCt). Western blot analysis revealed that DFO treatment induced accumulation of HIF1 $\alpha$  in the nuclear fraction of shCt TG1N GSCs after 2 h, whereas it was not detected in the cytoplasmic fraction and in untreated controls (Supplementary Fig. S5B). Finally, YC1 inhibited the effect of DFO treatment on the accumulation of HIF1 $\alpha$  in the nuclear fraction similarly as the HIF1 $\alpha$  knockdown (Supplementary Fig. S5B), showing that YC1 is an efficient inhibitor of HIF1 $\alpha$ .

Further demonstrating the role of the HIF1a pathway in radiation-induced migration, YC1 prevented i) the radiation-induced increase of fluorescence intensity of nuclear HIF1a in TG1N (Fig. 3C) and TG16 (Supplementary Fig. S4D), ii) the radiation-induced transcriptomic expression of HIF1a target genes<sup>41</sup> (Supplementary Fig. S5A) and iii) the radiation-induced velocity of GSCs (Fig. 3D, Supplementary Fig. S4E).

Finally, we treated TG1N and TG16 cells with specific siRNAs that decreased HIF1a mRNA expression by 88–93% (Fig. S4F). As shown in Fig. 3E and S4G, HIF1a knockdown in TG1N and TG16 cells inhibited radiation-induced migration compared to control siRNA (siCt)-transfected cells. Altogether, these data strongly demonstrate the key role for HIF1a in the radiation-induced GSC migration.

**Stimulation of the Hiflα/JMY pathway increases radiation-induced GSC migration.** Nuclear HIF1α is known to bind to hypoxia response elements (HRE) present in the promoters of a large number of genes<sup>44</sup>; these genes encode proteins critical for many important cellular processes, including migration<sup>45</sup>. Junction-mediating and regulatory protein (JMY) is one of the genes whose transcription is driven by HIF1α under hypoxic conditions<sup>46</sup>. JMY has also been reported to enhance cell motility and invasion via its ability to induce actin nucleation<sup>47,48</sup>.

Immunofluorescence revealed that JMY was significantly up-regulated both in TG1N and TG16 cells 24 h after 0.5 Gy irradiation (Fig. 4A,B; \*\*\*p < 0.001). RT-qPCR showed an increase in JMY mRNA levels detectable from 8 h post-irradiation and persisting thereafter (Fig. 4C and Supplementary Fig. S6A). As shown in Supplementary Fig. S7, the activation kinetic of JMY after irradiation is comparable to that of other well-known HIF1a target genes<sup>41</sup>. Moreover, measurement of JMY promoter activity in TG1N cells using luciferase assays confirmed that irradiation induced the activation of the JMY promoter (Fig. 4D).

Strikingly, stabilizing HIF1a levels with DFO increased the expression of JMY, whereas blocking HIF1a with YC1 prevented the irradiation-induced increase in JMY in both TG1N and TG16 cells (Fig. 4E and Supplementary Fig. S6B).

Finally, to further investigate the importance of HIF1α in the radiation-induced increase of JMY, we assessed JMY mRNA expression in HIF1α knockdown TG1N and TG16 GSCs (Supplementary Fig. S5C and S6C) and their respective controls 18 h after irradiation (0.5 Gy). As shown in Fig. 4F and Supplementary Fig. S6D, JMY mRNA expression increased after irradiation in shCt GSCs cells, but remained unchanged in both HIF1α knockdown TG1N and TG16 GSCs. Therefore these data clearly demonstrate that the transcriptional activation of JMY is dependent on HIF1α in irradiated GSC.

We next investigated the effects of JMY knockdown using a specific siRNAs that decreased by 64 and 70% JMY mRNA expression in TG1N and TG16 cells respectively compared to siCt-transfected cells (Supplementary Fig. S6E). JMY knockdown did not alter the basal migration rates of TG1N (Fig. 4G) and TG16 cells (Supplementary Fig. S6F). In contrast, it abolished the effects of radiation on their migration (Fig. 4G and Supplementary Fig. S6F).

We next obtained TG1N cells stably knocked down for JMY using lentiviral vectors expressing both GFP and shRNAs against JMY. JMY mRNA expression was decreased by 72% in shJMY-TG1N, as compared to cells



**Figure 3.** A rapid and transient nuclear accumulation of HIF1a is involved in radiation-induced migration of GSCs. (**A**) Immunostaining of HIF1a in DFO-treated (100  $\mu$ M, 1 h) or irradiated (0.5 Gy 1 or 4 h PI) TG1N GSCs. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar: 20  $\mu$ m. (**B**) Percentage of intranuclear HIF1a-positive cells after DFO treatment or after (0.5 Gy) irradiation (n = 100 cells per condition; \*\*\**p* < 0.001). (**C**) YC1 (50  $\mu$ M) treatment 2 h prior to irradiation (0.5 Gy) prevented the radiation-induced nuclear accumulation of HIF1a in TG1N GSCs. One hour after irradiation or DFO treatment (100  $\mu$ M), nuclear HIF1a fluorescence intensity was determined in at least 50 cells per condition (\*\**p* < 0.01 and \*\*\**p* < 0.001). (**D**) Effects of YC1 or DFO treatments on TG1N GSCs migration velocity 24 h PI. Migration velocity was expressed as percentages of the unirradiated control and calculated from at least 80 cells for each condition (\*\**p* < 0.01 and \*\*\**p* < 0.001). (**E**) HIF1a knockdown prevented the radiation-induced migration of TG1N GSCs were transfected with a siRNA targeting HIF1a (siHIF1a) or a scramble control (siCt) and irradiated (0.5 Gy) 24 h later. Twentyfour hours after irradiation, migration velocity was determined and expressed as percentage of the unirradiated control. Data were obtained from at least 70 cells per condition (\*\**p* < 0.001).

.....

expressing a negative control shRNA (shCt-TG1N cells) (Fig. Supplementary S6G). As reported above using siJMY, the JMY knockdown did not alter the in vitro basal migration rates of TG1N, whereas it completely abolished the radiation-induced migration (Fig. 4H). The stable JMY knockdown prevented also the radiation-induced invasion capacity of TG1N cells, as estimated in the invasion chamber test (Fig. 4I).

ShJMY-TG1N cells and shCt-TG1N cells were then stereotaxically injected into the striatum of nude mice just after irradiation as described above. Analysis of serial coronal brain slices obtained two days after engraftments revealed that contrary to shCt-TG1N cells, 0.5 Gy radiation prior to injection did not increase the dispersion of shJMY-TG1N cells (Fig. 4J).

Altogether, these results demonstrate that radiation-induced migration of GSCs is linked to HIF1α-dependent cytoplasmic accumulation of JMY.

The role of JMY in cell motility has been attributed to its actin nucleation-promoting activity<sup>46,48</sup>. We thus quantified F-actin in irradiated GSCs by measuring Alexa-596 phalloidin staining. Interestingly, 24 h after irradiation (i.e. at the peak of radiation-induced migration (Fig. 1), we showed a significant increase in cellular content of F-actin in irradiated, as well as DFO-treated GSCs (Fig. 5A–D). By contrast, HIF1α inhibition by YC1 (Fig. 5A–D) or by siRNAs (Fig. 5E,F), as well as the knockdown of JMY (Fig. 5E,F), prevented both the increase of F-actin and the radiation-induced migration (Figs. 3E and 4G, Supplementary Fig. S4G and S6F).

Altogether, our data demonstrate that ionizing radiation at sublethal dose enhances the migration of human GSC via the HIF1/JMY pathway involving the nucleation promoting activity of JMY.

**Radiation-induced migration is related to GSC stemness.** We finally investigated the dynamic behavior of our cell lines cultured under differentiating (diff) conditions (medium supplemented with 10% FBS without FGF2 and EGF) that let them loss their stem cell properties including their capacity to generate brain tumors in immunodeficient mice<sup>29</sup>. No obvious morphological changes were observed in diffTG1N which maintained a stable (diffTG1N) migration velocity compared to their parental cells (Fig. 6A). In contrast, diffTG16 cells presented with a markedly flattened cytoplasm and a significant decrease in migration velocity compared to the parental GSC lines (Fig. 6A).

Interestingly, ionizing radiation did not increase migration velocity (Fig. 6B) nor the expression of HIF1a (Fig. 6C) and JMY (Fig. 6D) in the differentiated cell lines, suggesting that the radiation-induced stimulation of cell motility is specific to GSCs due to the lack of activation of the HIF1a/JMY pathway in differentiated cells.

#### Discussion

GSCs are thought to play crucial roles in GBM relapse<sup>23,25</sup>. Here, we report that sublethal doses of irradiation enhance the motility and invasiveness of human GSCs through HIF1a nuclear accumulation that in turn increases the cytoplasmic actin nucleator JMY. Our study is thus in line with previous reports showing that ionizing radiation promotes migration and invasion in various cancer cell lines<sup>15</sup>, including human glioma cell lines<sup>5–14,16,17,49</sup>. However, to our knowledge, our study is the first to show not only that human GSCs are prone to this radiation effect, but also that this is specifically linked to their stemness properties, as radiation did not induce this effect on "differentiated" glioma cells. This link may explain why we were able to use a lower dose (0.5 Gy) to enhance GSC motility compared to the dose of 1 Gy<sup>10–12</sup> or greater<sup>5–9,13,14,16,17</sup> required to stimulate non-stem-like human glioma cell lines.

Previous studies have reported that hypoxia may increase the migration/invasion capacity of various glioblastoma cell lines in a HIF1 $\alpha$ -dependent manner<sup>31-35,50</sup>, suggesting that HIF1 $\alpha$  is involved in therapeutic failure and GBM relapse<sup>49</sup>. Our study shows that the key factor linking the radiation-induced enhancement of GSCs to stem-like properties is the fact that GSCs are much more prone than differentiated cells to the activation of HIF1 $\alpha$ in response to radiation. This may also explain why we were able to show that 0.5 Gy enhanced the migration of GSCs, whereas Kim et al. have reported that 6 Gy-irradiation in either single or fractioned doses, but not 2 Gy irradiation, was able to induce HIF1 $\alpha$  stabilization and stimulate the migration of U87 and U373 glioma cells<sup>9</sup>.

We have shown that radiation induces the migration of GSCs through a HIF1α-dependent cytoplasmic accumulation of JMY. Indeed, whereas we cannot exclude the involvement of other HIF1α-dependent pathway due to the well-known pleiotropic effects of HIF1α, our data demonstrate that JMY was absolutely required for this radiation-induced effect. JMY was initially described as a transcriptional cofactor cooperating with p300/CBP to augment p53 signaling during the DNA damage response<sup>27,51</sup>. JMY has been reported to accumulate in the nucleus after exposure to ultraviolet light, etoposide and actinomycin, promoting p53-mediated apoptosis. Since TG1N, but not TG16<sup>52</sup>, are p53 proficient, the role of JMY in radiation-induced migration is not related to p53. Moreover, the ionizing radiation doses used in this study did not trigger the nuclear accumulation of JMY and induced very low levels of GSC apoptosis, suggesting that JMY does not act as a transcriptional cofactor in radiation-induced cell migration. Rather, our data show that JMY is functioning through its previously described role in cell motility under hypoxic conditions by controlling actin dynamics via its nucleation-promoting activity<sup>46,47</sup>.

HIF1 $\alpha$  has been widely considered a prominent cancer drug target due to its role in the regulation of multiple survival pathways in solid hypoxic tumors. However, targeting HIF1 $\alpha$  is highly challenging and may induce severe side effects due its multiple functions<sup>53–56</sup>. In this context, specific targeting of JMY could provide new therapeutic perspectives to limit radiation-induced migration of GSCs and hence prevent tumor recurrence following radiotherapy.

#### Materials and methods

**Human glioma stem-like cell (GSC) lines and treatments.** The TG1N and TG16 GSC lines were obtained from surgical resections carried out at Sainte Anne Hospital (Paris, France) on patients with high-grade gliomas according to the WHO classification<sup>28,29,52</sup>. Since then they were systematically cultured as tumorospheres in defined stem cell culture condition (serum-free Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium DMEM/F12 supplemented with B27 without vitamin A (1X, Invitrogen), heparin (5  $\mu$ g/mL, Stem Cell Technologies), human recombinant epidermal growth factor (EGF, 20 ng/ml, Sigma) and human basic fibroblast growth factor (FGF-2, 20 ng/ml, Sigma)) at 37 °C in an atmosphere containing 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Every week, cells were mechanically dissociated after a 10 min incubation at room temperature with the Accutase cell dissociation reagent (Sigma) and reseeded at  $0.5 \times 10^6$  cells per T75 flask.

Cells were γ-irradiated with the indicated doses 24 h after plating using a <sup>137</sup>Cs irradiator (IBL637, CIS BIO International or GSR-D1, Gamma-Service Medical GmbH) or with a <sup>60</sup>Co medical irradiator (Alcyon). When indicated, Deferoxamine (DFO, Interchim), or YC1 (Cayman Chemical) was added 2 h before irradiation.

**Time-lapse experiments.** Cells were plated on 24-well plates (25–35,000 cells /well) coated with laminin (5  $\mu$ g/mL; Sigma). Videomicroscopy was carried out at least 8 h after plating using an inverted microscope (Olympus IX81) coupled with a Coolsnap HQ camera (Princeton Instruments) controlled with Metamorph software (Universal Imaging) as previously described<sup>57</sup> or using a NIKON A1R confocal laser microscope (Nikon Corp. Tokyo). Imaging conditions were maintained at 37 °C (The Box & The Cube, LIS), 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and 18% O<sub>2</sub> with a relative humidity of 95% controlled by an active gas supply system (the Brick, LIS). Images were taken of



Figure 4. The HIF1a/JMY pathway is involved in radiation-induced migration of GSCs. (A) JMY immunostaining (white) of GSCs 24 h after 0 Gy or 0.5 Gy irradiation. F-actin filament networks are stained with fluorescent-phalloidin (red). Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar: 20 µm. (B) Quantification of JMY fluorescence intensity. At least 20 cells were scored per condition. (\*\*\*p < 0.001). (C) Quantification of JMY mRNA levels by RT-qPCR in TG1N cells. Experiments were performed in triplicate (\*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01 and \*\*\*p < 0.001). (D) JMY promoter activity in TG1N cells was estimated by luciferase reporter assay at different times following irradiation (0.5 Gy). Data obtained from quadruplicates (\*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01 and \*\*\*p < 0.001). (E) TG1N cells were treated with 50 µM YC1 one hour before irradiation (0.5 Gy). Immunostainings were performed 24 h after irradiation or after treatment with 100  $\mu$ M DFO. JMY-fluorescence intensity was measured (n = 30 cells per condition; \*\*\*p < 0.001). (F) Quantification of JMY mRNA levels by RT-qPCR in control TG1N cells (shCt) and in HIF1α-deficient TG1N cells. Experiments were performed in duplicate (\*p < 0.05). (G,H) Twenty-four hours after irradiation (0.5 Gy), cells transfected with siCt or siJMY (F) or transduced with shJMY-TG1N or shCt-TG1N cells (G) were tracked every 10 min for 4 h. Data were obtained from at least 65 cells per condition (\*\*\*p < 0.001). The results are expressed as percentages of unirradiated controls (siCt TG1N cells (F) or shCt TG1N cells (G)). (I) Invasion in Matrigel chambers of ShJMY-TG1N cells and ShCt-TG1N cells after 0.5 Gy radiation. GFP-positive cells present on the lower membrane were numbered. For each condition, results were expressed as percentages of unirradiated ShCt-TGIN cells (n=3 chambers per group; \*\*p<0.01). (J) Quantification of the dispersion of unirradiated or irradiated ShJMY-TG1N cells and ShCt-TG1N cells in coronal slices of nude mouse brains 48 h after intrastriatal injections (n = 3-5 brains per condition; \*p < 0.05).



**Figure 5.** Irradiation increases cellular levels of F-actin in a JMY-dependent manner. (**A**,**C**) F-actin staining with phalloidin in TG1N (**A**) or in TG16 (**C**) GSCs. Scale bars: 20  $\mu$ M (**A**) and 10  $\mu$ M (**C**). (**B**,**D**) Quantification of phalloidin fluorescence intensity 24 h after 0.5 Gy irradiation (in cells pretreated or not with 50  $\mu$ M YC1) or after 100  $\mu$ M DFO for TG1N (**B**) and TG16 GSCs (**D**). At least 35 cells were scored per condition (\*\*\*p < 0.001). (**E**,**F**) Quantification of F-actin fluorescence intensity staining by phalloidin after irradiation (0.5 Gy) or not in GSCs with siCt, siJMY or siHIF1\alpha-electroporated TG1N (**E**) and TG16 (**F**). At least 20 and 25 cells were scored by condition (respectively in TG1N and TG16 GSCs; \*p < 0.05, \*\*\*p < 0.001 and ns not significant).

6–20 fields per condition using a 10X objective (Olympus IX81) or in mosaic acquisition with a 20X objective (Nikon A1R) every 10 min. Tracking and overlay of individual cell tracks over a period of 4 h were carried out using the track object function in Metamorph software (Molecular Devices) or using the MTrackJ plugin in ImageJ software. Dynamic parameters as migration velocity, mean square displacement (MSD) and directional persistence were calculated with an Excel macro developed by F. Cordelières (Bordeaux imaging center, UMS 3420 CNRS, France) and/or with the custom-made open-source computer program DiPer<sup>58</sup>.

**Cell cycle analysis.** GSCs were collected after accutase treatment, washed with PBS, fixed in 70% ice-cold ethanol and kept at -20 °C for 24 h. Fixed GSCs were then washed in PBS and resuspended in propidium iodide and RNase (50 µg/ml each). The cell suspension was incubated for 15 min at 37 °C and cell cycle data was obtained by flow cytometry (LSRII; BD Biosciences) with CellQuest software. Cell cycle distribution was



**Figure 6.** Radiation-induced migration is related to stemness. (**A**) Differentiation markedly reduced the motility of TG16 cells. The mean migration velocities of GSCs (Undiff) and differentiated cancer cells (Diff) were calculated from at least 75 cells per group 24 h after plating (\*\*\*p < 0.001 and *ns* not significant). (**B**) Irradiation did not enhance the migration of differentiated cancer cells. Cells were tracked 24 h after irradiation (0.5 Gy) every ten minutes over the course of 4 h. The mean migration velocities of control (0 Gy) and irradiated cells were calculated from at least 120 cells per group tracked every ten minutes over the course of 4 h (*ns* not significant). (**C**) Irradiation did not increase nuclear expression of HIF1a in differentiated cells. Immunostainings were performed 1 h after irradiation (0.5 Gy). Nuclear HIF1a fluorescence intensity was measured in at least 50 cells per condition (ns: not significant). (**D**) Irradiation did not increase JMY expression in differentiated cells. Immunostainings were performed 24 h after irradiation (0.5 Gy). JMY fluorescence intensity was measured in at least 65 cells per condition (*ns* not significant).

analyzed by using the univariate cell cycle platform in Flow Jo V10 software, the Den Jett Fox model integrated (Tree Star, USA).

**Cell viability.** Cell viability was estimated by videomicroscopy experiments as described in time-lapse experiments section (see above). Just before the beginning of acquisition, the IncuCyte Cytotox Reagent was added in the full media at the concentration of 250 nM as recommended by the manufacturer (Essen Bioscience). Once cells become unhealthy, the plasma membrane integrity diminishes, allowing entry of the IncuCyte Reagent and yielding a 100–1000-fold increase in fluorescence upon binding to DNA. Cytotoxity was estimated by the ratio of dead cells number (red fluorescent cells) on viable cells over time at 8, 12, 16, 20, 24 and 28 h after irradiation at the doses between 0 to 3 Gy in a mosaic of 2 X 6 fields (objective 20X). Data were obtain in triplicate from two independent experiments. Percentage of cell death was estimated by the number of red-positive cells dead on total cell number counted automatically with NIS software.

**Cell invasion assay.** Experiments were performed using Matrigel invasion chambers (BD Biosciences) as described previously<sup>29</sup>. Briefly, 24 h after irradiation (0.5 Gy), cell suspensions ( $5 \times 10^4$  cells/0.5 mL) were seeded in triplicate onto the upper chamber with medium lacking growth factors (EGF and FGF). Culture medium with growth factors was added to the lower chamber. After 29 h at 37 °C, cells still present on the upper surface of the membrane were gently removed with cotton-tipped swabs. Cells that have invaded the lower surface of the membrane were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and their nuclei were stained with DAPI (1 µg/ml; Sigma). Membranes were imaged with a Nikon eclipse 50i (objective 10X/NA 0.3) and a Hamamatsu CCD ORCA-05G camera controlled by NIS-element BR V3.2 software equipped with a motorized stage. Nuclei or GFP-positive cells—in experiments involving cells transfected with lentiviral vectors—detected on membranes were numbered and results were expressed as percentage of unirradiated controls.

**HIF1** $\alpha$  and JMY knockdowns. After dissociation, cells were electroporated (1300 V, 10 ms, 3 pulses) with pools of 4-target-specific siRNAs targeting HIF1 $\alpha$  (ON-TARGETplus SMART pool human HIF1 $\alpha$ , L-004018-00, Dharmacon) or of 3 target-specific siRNAs to JMY (siJMY, SC35724, Santa Cruz) using the Neon Transfection System (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Negative control (siCt, Santa Cruz SC37007 or ON-TARGETplus non targeting pool; D-001810-10-20) were simultaneously used to evaluate RNAi off-target effects and verify the accuracy of gene specific siRNA dependent RNAi. Transfected cells were then

plated on laminin-coated 24-well plates. Knockdown efficiency was assessed 24-48 h after transfection by RTqPCR.

Generation of stable Hif1α-deficient GSCs was performed using a pTRIP-MND-GFP-H1-SanDI lentivirus vector<sup>59</sup> in which a shRNA targeting 5'- GTGATGAAAGAATTACCGAAT -3' of HIF1α (NM\_181054.1, NM\_001530.2; hypoxia-inducible factor 1α subunit) was inserted. The target sequence was available in a database of National RNAi Core Facility of BROAD Institute and the plasmid lentivirus shHIF1α was kindly provided to us by Pflumio's laboratory (INSERM U1274, CEA, DRF-JACOB-IRCM-SCSR-LSHL, UMR Genetic Stability Stem Cells and Radiation).

Generation of stable JMY-deficient GSCs was performed using lentivirus vectors constructed as follow: different hybrids of primers encoding the expected shRNA sequences were annealed by mixing 500pmoles each in NEB2.1 1 × buffer (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA). After 5 min at 100 °C, the annealing occurred slowly by cooling down the heat block for overnight in a styrene box. Thus, the different hybrids of primers were inserted in pTRIP-MND-GFP-H1-SanDI<sup>59</sup> under control of H1 promoter by complementary single-strand annealing<sup>60</sup>. Briefly, the plasmid was digested by *San*DI (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and was treated with T4 DNA polymerase (0.75U) for generating single-strand sequence complementary to single strand extended sequences present in primer hybrids. The annealing reaction was transformed in DH5α-T1R homemade competent cells. Positive clones were validated by DNA sequencing.

A pTRIP-H1-MND-GFP lentiviral vector expressing a small hairpin directed against HVC (5'- GTGTTG GGTCGCGAAAGG -3')<sup>61</sup> was used as control (shCt).

One day after platting on laminin substrate (5  $\mu$ g/mL; Sigma), GSCs were transduced with a pool of the three lentiviral vectors at a MOI 5 (MOI-defined as the number of lentiviral particles able to transduce used per HEK-293). Transduced GSCs expressing GFP were then Fac-sorted based on GFP expression and thereafter maintained in culture.

**Reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR).** RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit or the RNeasy plus Micro Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Isolated RNAs were transcribed into cDNA using the High capacity RNA to cDNA Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). Quantitative PCR reactions were performed in 96-well plates in triplicate using SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). The primers used are listed in Supplemental Table S4.

**Luciferase JMY reporter assay.** GSCc  $(15 \times 10^3 \text{ cells})$  were electroporated using the Neon\* transfection system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with either 50 ng of the control (empty) pLightSwitch\_empty\_Prom vector (ref #S790005) or the pLightSwitch Prom reporter plasmid for the JMY gene promoter (#S719700; SwitchGear Genomics), then immediately transferred in 96-well plates previously coated with laminin (5 µg/mL; Sigma). GSCc were irradiated (0.5 Gy) 24 h after electroporation and Luciferase reporter activity was determined at different time points using the LightSwitch Dual Assay System (SwitchGear Genomics) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

**Sub-cellular fractionation and western blot.** Cytoplasmic and nuclear protein extraction and protein quantification was performed according to the manufacturer's recommendations (CelLytic<sup>m</sup> NuCLEAR<sup>m</sup> Extraction Kit, MERCK and Pierce<sup>m</sup> BCA Protein Assay Kit, ThermoFisher respectively). Proteins were separated by PAGE and transferred following standard protocols<sup>62</sup>. Membranes were first probed using the following primary antibodies: anti-HIF1a (BD610958, clone 54 from Bioscience; 1/500), anti-Lamin B1 (sc374015, Santa Cruz; 1/500) or anti- $\alpha$ -tubulin (DM1A, Sigma; 1/4000). Next, membranes were incubated with secondary IRDye680 and IRDye800 antibodies (respectively 926-68021 and 926-32211, Licor; 1/15000). Bands were detected with the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (Licor) and quantified using ImageStudio Lite 5.2 software (Licor).

**Intracerebral grafts.** Swiss<sup>nu/nu</sup> mice were maintained with access to food and water ad libitum in a colony room kept at a constant temperature (19–22 °C) and humidity (40–50%) on a 12:12 h light/dark cycle. All animal-related procedures were performed in compliance with the European Communities Council Directive of 22th September 2010 (EC/2010/63) and were approved by Comité d'Ethique en Expérimentation Animale, Direction de la Recherche Fondamentale, CEA (authorization #A12-029 and #A16-002; CEEA-CEA DRF IdF).

Cell grafting was performed as previously described<sup>63</sup> using a stereotaxic apparatus (David Kopf model 900 Small Animal Stereotaxic Instrument). 100,000 dissociated cells (2  $\mu$ L) were inoculated into the two hemispheres using a 33G Hamilton needle (Hamilton Bonaduz) at the following coordinates: anteroposterior: + 0.5 mm, dorsoventral: – 3 mm and lateral: + 1.5 (control cells) and – 1.5 mm (irradiated cells). Forty-eight hours after grafting, animals were deeply anesthetized and intracardially perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. Brains were removed, postfixed overnight, cryoprotected with 10% sucrose/PBS, and frozen in dry ice-cooled isopentane. A cryostat (Leica CM3050S) was used to prepare serial coronal brain sections (14  $\mu$ m) with an inter-slice spacing of 60  $\mu$ m. These sections were mounted in order to analyze the dispersion of grafted cells by immunofluorescence staining with an anti-human nestin antibody (MAB1259, 1/400; R&D Systems, Fig. 2b) or immunodetection of GFP expression as previously described<sup>29,63</sup>. Images were acquired at 10 × magnification using NIS Elements software with a Pathfinder-Nikon motorized microscope (Nikon Instruments Inc.).

GSC dispersion in the coronal plane was calculated as the sum of the surfaces in  $\mu m^2$  occupied by human nestin-positive or GFP-positive cells in the different coronal slices analyzed.

**Immunostaining.** Adherent cells were fixed for 10 min in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS and then permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS as previously described<sup>29</sup>. Cells were then incubated with the primary anti-

body in blocking buffer for 1 h at room temperature and then washed and incubated with an Alexa-conjugated secondary antibody (1/1000, Molecular Probes) and with 2 Units of AlexaFluor 594 phalloidin (Thermo Fisher scientific) per coverslip for one hour. Cells were counterstained with DAPI (1  $\mu$ g/ml, Sigma) and mounted with Fluoromount (Southern Biotech). The primary antibodies used were rabbit anti-HIF1a (NB100-449, Novus Biological), mouse anti-HIF1a (NB100-105, Novus Biological) and MA1-516, Thermo Fisher Scientific), goat anti-JMY (L16, Santa Cruz), mouse anti-JMY (G11, Santa Cruz) and rabbit anti-JMY (M300, Santa Cruz). Images were captured using a BX51 (Olympus) coupled with a Retiga200R camera or using a Leica TCS SPE confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems). Nuclear HIF1a, cytoplasmic JMY and F-actin mean fluorescence intensities were measured using DAPI or phalloidin staining for object segmentation with ImageJ software.

**Statistical analyses.** All values are reported as the mean  $\pm$  SEM. Statistical significance for two groups was assessed by the unpaired Mann–Whitney test or t-test. For comparison between more groups, a non-parametric ANOVA was performed followed by post hoc tests. As previously reported<sup>64</sup>, a two-way ANOVA with time and condition was used to compare MSD data. Statview (Abacus Concepts) and Prism Graphpad 7.1 software programs were used. Statistical significance levels are denoted as follow: \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01 and \*\*\*p < 0.001.

Received: 18 October 2019; Accepted: 13 October 2020 Published online: 30 October 2020

#### References

- Davis, M. E. Glioblastoma: overview of disease and treatment. *Clin. J. Oncol. Nurs.* 20, S2-8. https://doi.org/10.1188/16.CJON. S1.2-8 (2016).
- 2. Stupp, R. *et al.* Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **352**, 987–996. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa043330 (2005).
- Ostrom, Q. T. et al. The epidemiology of glioma in adults: a "state of the science" review. Neuro-Oncology 16, 896–913. https://doi. org/10.1093/neuonc/nou087 (2014).
- Lee, S. Y. et al. Induction of metastasis, cancer stem cell phenotype, and oncogenic metabolism in cancer cells by ionizing radiation. Mol. Cancer 16, 10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-016-0577-4 (2017).
- Hegedus, B., Zach, J., Czirok, A., Lovey, J. & Vicsek, T. Irradiation and Taxol treatment result in non-monotonous, dose-dependent changes in the motility of glioblastoma cells. J. Neurooncol. 67, 147–157 (2004).
- Zhai, G. G. et al. Radiation enhances the invasive potential of primary glioblastoma cells via activation of the Rho signaling pathway. J. Neurooncol. 76, 227–237. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-005-6499-4 (2006).
- Rieken, S. et al. Carbon ion irradiation inhibits glioma cell migration through downregulation of integrin expression. Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. 83, 394–399. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2011.06.2004 (2012).
- Edalat, L. et al. BK K+ channel blockade inhibits radiation-induced migration/brain infiltration of glioblastoma cells. Oncotarget 7, 14259–14278. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.7423 (2016).
- Kim, Y. H. et al. Radiation promotes malignant progression of glioma cells through HIF-1alpha stabilization. Cancer Lett. 354, 132–141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2014.07.048 (2014).
- Park, C. M. *et al.* Ionizing radiation enhances matrix metalloproteinase-2 secretion and invasion of glioma cells through Src/ epidermal growth factor receptor-mediated p38/Akt and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt signaling pathways. *Cancer Res.* 66, 8511–8519. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-4340 (2006).
- Steinle, M. *et al.* Ionizing radiation induces migration of glioblastoma cells by activating BK K(+) channels. *Radiother. Oncol.* 101, 122–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2011.05.069 (2011).
- Wild-Bode, C., Weller, M., Rimner, A., Dichgans, J. & Wick, W. Sublethal irradiation promotes migration and invasiveness of glioma cells: implications for radiotherapy of human glioblastoma. *Cancer Res.* 61, 2744–2750 (2001).
- Badiga, A. V. et al. MMP-2 siRNA inhibits radiation-enhanced invasiveness in glioma cells. PLoS ONE 6, e20614. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0020614 (2011).
- De Bacco, F. et al. Induction of MET by ionizing radiation and its role in radioresistance and invasive growth of cancer. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 103, 645–661. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djr093 (2011).
- Moncharmont, C. et al. Radiation-enhanced cell migration/invasion process: a review. Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol. 92, 133–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.critrevonc.2014.05.006 (2014).
- Nguemgo Kouam, P. *et al.* Robo1 and vimentin regulate radiation-induced motility of human glioblastoma cells. *PLoS ONE* 13, e0198508. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0198508 (2018).
- D'Alessandro, G. et al. Radiation increases functional KCa3.1 expression and invasiveness in glioblastoma. Cancers https://doi. org/10.3390/cancers11030279 (2019).
- Cheng, L. et al. Elevated invasive potential of glioblastoma stem cells. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 406, 643–648. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2011.02.123 (2011).
- 19. Singh, S. K. *et al.* Identification of human brain tumour initiating cells. *Nature* **432**, 396–401. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature0312 8 (2004).
- Ignatova, T. N. *et al.* Human cortical glial tumors contain neural stem-like cells expressing astroglial and neuronal markers in vitro. *Glia* 39, 193–206. https://doi.org/10.1002/glia.10094 (2002).
- Chen, R. et al. A hierarchy of self-renewing tumor-initiating cell types in glioblastoma. Cancer Cell 17, 362–375. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2009.12.049 (2010).
- Kreso, A. & Dick, J. E. Evolution of the cancer stem cell model. Cell Stem Cell 14, 275–291. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. stem.2014.02.006 (2014).
- Bao, S. *et al.* Glioma stem cells promote radioresistance by preferential activation of the DNA damage response. *Nature* 444, 756–760. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05236 (2006).
- Qiu, B. *et al.* Human brain glioma stem cells are more invasive than their differentiated progeny cells in vitro. *J. Clin. Neurosci.* 19, 130–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocn.2011.06.014 (2012).
- Rich, J. N. Cancer stem cells in radiation resistance. *Cancer Res.* 67, 8980–8984. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-0895 (2007).
- Chen, J. et al. A restricted cell population propagates glioblastoma growth after chemotherapy. Nature 488, 522–526. https://doi. org/10.1038/nature11287 (2012).
- 27. Shikama, N. et al. A novel cofactor for p300 that regulates the p53 response. Mol. Cell 4, 365–376 (1999).

- 28. Patru, C. et al. CD133, CD15/SSEA-1, CD34 or side populations do not resume tumor-initiating properties of long-term cultured cancer stem cells from human malignant glio-neuronal tumors. BMC Cancer 10, 66. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-10-66 (2010).
- 29. Silvestre, D. C. et al. Alternative lengthening of telomeres in human glioma stem cells. Stem Cells 29, 440-451. https://doi. org/10.1002/stem.600 (2011).
- 30. Pollard, S. M. et al. Glioma stem cell lines expanded in adherent culture have tumor-specific phenotypes and are suitable for chemical and genetic screens. Cell Stem Cell 4, 568-580. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2009.03.014 (2009)
- 31. Fujiwara, S. et al. Silencing hypoxia-inducible factor-1alpha inhibits cell migration and invasion under hypoxic environment in malignant gliomas. Int. J. Oncol. 30, 793-802 (2007).
- Joseph, J. V. et al. Hypoxia enhances migration and invasion in glioblastoma by promoting a mesenchymal shift mediated by the HIF1alpha-ZEB1 axis. Cancer Lett. 359, 107-116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2015.01.010 (2015).
- 33. Mendez, O. et al. Knock down of HIF-1alpha in glioma cells reduces migration in vitro and invasion in vivo and impairs their ability to form tumor spheres. Mol. Cancer 9, 133. https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-4598-9-133 (2010).
- 34. Zhang, Y. et al. Melatonin antagonizes hypoxia-mediated glioblastoma cell migration and invasion via inhibition of HIF-1alpha. *J. Pineal Res.* **55**, 121–130. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpi.12052 (2013). 35. Zhou, X. *et al.* Geranylgeranyltransferase I regulates HIF-1alpha promoting glioblastoma cell migration and invasion. *J. Neurooncol.*
- 112, 365-374. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-013-1081-y (2013).
- 36. Moeller, B. J., Cao, Y., Li, C. Y. & Dewhirst, M. W. Radiation activates HIF-1 to regulate vascular radiosensitivity in tumors: role of reoxygenation, free radicals, and stress granules. Cancer Cell 5, 429-441 (2004).
- 37. Hamanaka, R. B. & Chandel, N. S. Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species regulate hypoxic signaling. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 21, 894-899. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2009.08.005 (2009).
- 38. Chandel, N. S. et al. Reactive oxygen species generated at mitochondrial complex III stabilize hypoxia-inducible factor-1alpha during hypoxia: a mechanism of O2 sensing. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 25130-25138. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M001914200 (2000).
- 39 Jaakkola, P. et al. Targeting of HIF-alpha to the von Hippel-Lindau ubiquitylation complex by O2-regulated prolyl hydroxylation. Science 292, 468-472. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1059796 (2001).
- Wang, G. L. & Semenza, G. L. Desferrioxamine induces erythropoietin gene expression and hypoxia-inducible factor 1 DNAbinding activity: implications for models of hypoxia signal transduction. Blood 82, 3610-3615 (1993).
- 41. Semenza, G. L. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1: oxygen homeostasis and disease pathophysiology. Trends Mol. Med. 7, 345–350. https://www.action.org/actional-actionactio-actional-actional-actional-actional-actional-actionacti ://doi.org/10.1016/s1471-4914(01)02090-1 (2001).
- 42. Huang, L. E., Arany, Z., Livingston, D. M. & Bunn, H. F. Activation of hypoxia-inducible transcription factor depends primarily upon redox-sensitive stabilization of its alpha subunit. J. Biol. Chem. 271, 32253-32259 (1996).
- 43. Chun, Y. S., Yeo, E. J. & Park, J. W. Versatile pharmacological actions of YC-1: anti-platelet to anticancer. Cancer Lett. 207, 1-7 (2004).
- 44. Dengler, V. L., Galbraith, M. & Espinosa, J. M. Transcriptional regulation by hypoxia inducible factors. Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 49, 1-15. https://doi.org/10.3109/10409238.2013.838205 (2014).
- Rankin, E. B. & Giaccia, A. J. The role of hypoxia-inducible factors in tumorigenesis. Cell Death Differ. 15, 678-685. https://doi. 45 org/10.1038/cdd.2008.21 (2008).
- 46. Coutts, A. S. et al. Hypoxia-driven cell motility reflects the interplay between JMY and HIF-1alpha. Oncogene 30, 4835-4842. https ://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2011.188 (2011).
- Coutts, A. S., Weston, L. & La Thangue, N. B. A transcription co-factor integrates cell adhesion and motility with the p53 response. 47. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 106, 19872-19877. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906785106 (2009).
- 48. Zuchero, J. B., Coutts, A. S., Quinlan, M. E., Thangue, N. B. & Mullins, R. D. p53-cofactor JMY is a multifunctional actin nucleation factor. Nat. Cell Biol. 11, 451-459. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb1852 (2009).
- 49. Alexandru-Abrams, D., Jadus, M. R., Hsu, F. P., Stathopoulos, A. & Bota, D. A. Therapeutic targeting of malignant glioma. Anticancer Agents Med. Chem. 14, 1075-1084 (2014).
- 50. Li, P., Zhou, C., Xu, L. & Xiao, H. Hypoxia enhances stemness of cancer stem cells in glioblastoma: an in vitro study. Int. J. Med. Sci. 10, 399-407. https://doi.org/10.7150/ijms.5407 (2013).
- 51. Coutts, A. S., Boulahbel, H., Graham, A. & La Thangue, N. B. Mdm2 targets the p53 transcription cofactor JMY for degradation. EMBO Rep. 8, 84-90. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.embor.7400855 (2007).
- 52. El-Habr, E. A. et al. A driver role for GABA metabolism in controlling stem and proliferative cell state through GHB production in glioma. Acta Neuropathol. 133, 645-660. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-016-1659-5 (2017).
- 53. Hu, Y., Liu, J. & Huang, H. Recent agents targeting HIF-1alpha for cancer therapy. J. Cell. Biochem. 114, 498-509. https://doi. org/10.1002/jcb.24390 (2013).
- 54. Wang, G., Wang, J. J., Fu, X. L., Guang, R. & To, S. T. Advances in the targeting of HIF-1alpha and future therapeutic strategies for glioblastoma multiforme (Review). Oncol. Rep. 37, 657-670. https://doi.org/10.3892/or.2016.5309 (2017).
- Onnis, B., Rapisarda, A. & Melillo, G. Development of HIF-1 inhibitors for cancer therapy. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 13, 2780-2786. https ://doi.org/10.1111/j.1582-4934.2009.00876.x (2009).
- 56. Xia, Y., Choi, H. K. & Lee, K. Recent advances in hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1 inhibitors. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 49, 24-40. https ://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2012.01.033 (2012).
- 57. Gauthier, L. R. et al. Rad51 and DNA-PKcs are involved in the generation of specific telomere aberrations induced by the quadruplex ligand 360A that impair mitotic cell progression and lead to cell death. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 69, 629-640. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00018-011-0767-6 (2012).
- 58. Gorelik, R. & Gautreau, A. Quantitative and unbiased analysis of directional persistence in cell migration. Nat. Protoc 9, 1931–1943. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2014.131 (2014).
- 59. Gerby, B. et al. Optimized gene transfer into human primary leukemic T cell with NOD-SCID/leukemia-initiating cell activity. Leukemia 24, 646-649. https://doi.org/10.1038/leu.2009.235 (2010).
- 60. Li, M. Z. & Elledge, S. J. Harnessing homologous recombination in vitro to generate recombinant DNA via SLIC. Nat. Methods 4, 251-256. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth1010 (2007).
- 61. Lazrak, M. et al. The bHLH TAL-1/SCL regulates endothelial cell migration and morphogenesis. J. Cell Sci. 117, 1161-1171. https ://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.00969 (2004).
- 62. Collura, A. et al. Patients with colorectal tumors with microsatellite instability and large deletions in HSP110 T17 have improved response to 5-fluorouracil-based chemotherapy. Gastroenterology 146, 401-411. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2013.10.054 (2014).
- Jeitany, M. et al. A preclinical mouse model of glioma with an alternative mechanism of telomere maintenance (ALT). Int. J. Cancer 136, 1546-1558. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.29171 (2015).
- 64. Dang, I. et al. Inhibitory signalling to the Arp2/3 complex steers cell migration. Nature 503, 281-284. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature12611 (2013).

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank members of the LRP for helpful discussions and are indebted to V. Barroca and the staff of the animal facilities and to N. Deschamps and J. Baijer for cell sorting. We also thanks I. Naguibneva for the gift of the pTRIP shHIF1a plasmid. MS is the recipient of a doctoral fellowship from the Ministère de la Recherche. This work was supported by grants from CEA (Segment Radiobiologie), La Ligue contre le Cancer (Comité d'Ile de France), Electricité de France (EDF), Fondation de France (N° Engt: 2013-00042632) and Ramón y Cajal program (RYC-2013-13450).

#### Author contributions

L.R.G. and M.S. performed videomicroscopy and RT-qPCR experiments. L.R.G., M.S., H.B., K.B.M., O.G., D.B. performed cultures, immunostaining and transfection. L.R.G., J.R.P. and M.A.M. performed GSC engraftments. L.R.G., R.B. and A.C. performed cellular fractionation and western blot experiments. M.P.J. and H.C. provided GSC lines. L.R.G. and F.B. conception and design of the study, analyzed/interpreted data, supervised the study and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

### Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-75300-5.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to L.R.G. or F.D.B.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020