

Ada Altieri, Giulio Biroli, Chiara Cammarota

To cite this version:

Ada Altieri, Giulio Biroli, Chiara Cammarota. Dynamical Mean-Field Theory and Aging Dynamics. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical, $2020, 53$ (37), pp.375006. $10.1088/1751 8121/aba3dd$. hal-03014024

HAL Id: hal-03014024 <https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03014024v1>

Submitted on 19 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Ada Altieri

Laboratoire de Physique de l'École Normale Supérieure, Université PSL, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Université Paris-Diderot, Paris, France

Giulio Biroli

Laboratoire de Physique de l'École Normale Supérieure, Université PSL, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Université Paris-Diderot, Paris, France

Chiara Cammarota

Department of Mathematics, King's College London, Strand London WC2R 2LS, UK

Abstract. Dynamical Mean-Field Theory (DMFT) replaces the many-body dynamical problem with one for a single degree of freedom in a thermal bath whose features are determined self-consistently. By focusing on models with soft disordered p-spin interactions, we show how to incorporate the mean-field theory of aging within dynamical mean-field theory. We study cases with only one slow time-scale, corresponding statically to the one-step replica symmetry breaking (1RSB) phase, and cases with an infinite number of slow time-scales, corresponding statically to the full replica symmetry breaking (FRSB) phase. For the former, we show that the effective temperature of the slow degrees of freedom is fixed by requiring critical dynamical behavior on short time-scales, i.e. marginality. For the latter, we find that aging on an infinite number of slow time-scales is governed by a stochastic equation where the clock for dynamical evolution is fixed by the change of the effective temperature, hence obtaining a dynamical derivation of the stochastic equation at the basis of the FRSB phase. Our results extend the realm of the mean-field theory of aging to all situations where DMFT holds.

1. Introduction

Many metastable states, slow dynamics, and aging are hallmarks of glassiness. The study of mean-field models has been instrumental in revealing these features and understanding such phenomena. The first analysis of the dynamics of mean-field glassy systems was pioneered by Sompolinsky and Zippelius [1], who were the first to obtain dynamical mean-field equations for glassy systems. At that time, the interest was mainly on the *equilibrium* properties. Later, the focus shifted on *off-equilibrium*, and an exact analysis of the aging dynamics was worked out for a disparate set of models [2–5]. The peculiarity of these models is that their dynamical mean-field equations simplify considerably. In fact, instead of dealing with a self-consistent stochastic process, representing the dynamics of a single degree of freedom in the self-consistent bath formed by the rest of the system, their dynamics can be studied via a closed set of integro-differential equations on correlation and response functions, a fact that played an important role in their exact analysis.

The picture resulting from these works goes beyond the exact solution of these simplified models and provides a general scenario for aging dynamics for all mean-field glassy systems (see [6, 7] for very recent surprises). Yet, a complete dynamical meanfield theory of aging that applies to generic cases where the dynamics can be studied only through the analysis of the self-consistent stochastic process is still missing. This is not a mere technical curiosity, it is actually relevant for the study of topics as diverse as ecosystem dynamics, the glass transition, and optimization dynamics of neural networks [8–10].

The aim of our work is to extend the mean-field theory of aging to generic dynamical mean-field theories (DMFT). We take the mean-field picture of aging [11,12] as a starting point, and work out its main implications for DMFT. We make use of many results obtained along the years. In particular, we combine the ideas put forward by Sompolinsky and Zippelius [1, 13] on dynamics on very large time-scales with the ones developed by Cugliandolo and Kurchan on effective temperatures and slow thermal baths [14].

We shall show how to obtain explicit equations on the correlation and the response of the systems on diverging time-scales. In particular, in cases (called 1RSB-like) where the slow dynamics is described by only one diverging time-scale we find that the effective temperature of the slow degrees of freedom is determined by the condition that the dynamics on fast time scales is marginal, *i.e.* the correlation function decreases as a power law in time. In cases where the slow dynamics is described by an infinite set of diverging time-scales (so-called Full RSB-like) we find that the slow dynamics contribution is given by a stochastic equation where the clock for dynamical evolution is fixed by the change of the effective temperature. These results generalise the ones found in simplified models. The latter one provides a dynamical derivation of the stochastic equation at the basis of full-replica symmetry breaking [15].

We will comment in the Conclusions on possible extensions, and applications of our results to theoretical ecology [8], out-of-equilibrium dynamics of hard spheres in the limit of infinite dimensions [10, 16], and gradient-descent based algorithms for non-convex optimization problems [17, 18].

The paper is organised as follows: in Section 2 we will present a class of disordered models defined by p-spin interactions for which the DMFT formalism applies; in Section 3 the *aging* hypothesis is described in full generality along with the discussion on two different kinds of dynamically broken phases, according to a 1RSB and a

Dynamical Mean-Field Theory and Aging Dynamics 3

Full RSB Ansatz respectively. We will then present our formalism based on a sharp separation of time scales, focusing first on the (fast) TTI regime in Sec.(4.1) and then on the slow dynamical phase corresponding to aging, in Sec. (4.2). Next Sec. (5.1) will be then devoted to the definition of the effective temperature for models that display a one-step replica symmetry breaking solution: the key result relies on the determination of the marginal stability condition for two different classes of p-spin models, with continuous and discrete variables respectively. In Section 6 we will extend our predictions to a pairwise interaction model, namely the classical Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model. We will prove that also in this case we can write a dynamical effective stochastic process for the effective fields, which exactly maps into the equation for ultrametricity as it was obtained in the past in a static formalism. Finally, in Section 7 we will present our conclusive remarks and some perspectives for future investigations in related fields.

2. Dynamical mean-field equations

2.1. Models with p-spin interactions

In order to develop the theoretical framework we focus on a simple class of meanfield models, but our results can be generalized to more complex cases. The elementary degrees of freedom of the models are real variables, that we shall call spins and denote as s_i $(i = 1, ..., N)$. Each spin is subjected to an external potential $V(s_i)$. The interaction part of the Hamiltonian is given by random p -spin interactions:

$$
H_I = -\sum_{i_1 < \ldots < i_p} J_{i_1 \ldots i_p} s_{i_1} \ldots s_{i_p}
$$
 (1)

where the $J_{i_1...i_p}$ s are quenched random variables distributed according to the law

$$
P(J_{i_1...i_p}) = \sqrt{\frac{N^{p-1}}{\pi p!}} \exp\left(-\frac{J_{i_1...i_p}^2 N^{p-1}}{p!}\right).
$$
 (2)

The scaling in N is chosen in such a way to have a well-defined limit as $N \to \infty$. The Hamiltonian of the system is therefore

$$
H = H_I + \sum_i V(s_i) \tag{3}
$$

By tuning the potential $V(s_i)$ one can recover standard p-spin models with spherical spins, which assume continuous values $s_i \in \mathbb{R}$, or Ising spins, which assume discrete values $s_i \in \{\pm 1\}$. In the first case the addition of a soft spherical constraint is implemented by choosing $V(s_i) = 0.5\lambda s_i^2$ and gives origin to the class of spherical p-spin models, which for $p \geq 3$ provide a mean-field paradigm for structural glasses [19–23]. To obtain hard-spins, one can choose $V(s_i) = \alpha(s_i^2 - 1)^2$ and take the limit $\alpha \to \infty$. This leads to the so-called Ising p-spin models and, for $p = 2$, to the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick (SK) model of spin glasses [24, 25].

2.2. Dynamical Mean-Field Theory formalism

The dynamics we are going to focus on is induced by Langevin equations that read: d

$$
\frac{ds_i(t)}{dt} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial s_i} + \frac{1}{(p-1)!} \sum_{i_2...i_p} J_{i,i_2...i_p} s_{i_2}...s_{i_p} + \eta_i(t) . \tag{4}
$$

The first and second term appearing on the right hand side (RHS) correspond to the derivative of the Hamiltonian with respect to the given spin s_{i_1} , whereas the noise is (for simplicity) δ -correlated, $\langle \eta_i(t) \eta_j(t') \rangle = 2T \delta_{ij} \delta(t-t')$ (T is the temperature). We shall consider a high-temperature like initial condition at $t = 0$ given by a noninteracting product measure on the spins: $P(s_i, t = 0) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} P_0(s_i)$. One can then write the corresponding generating functional in terms of a bare contribution and a J-dependent term, which has to be eventually averaged over the disorder $[1, 19, 26]$, and from it obtain the dynamical mean-field equations. Alternatively, one can use the dynamical cavity method $[8, 15]$. These derivations are standard. Hence, we directly state the final result, i.e. the DMFT equation that reads:

$$
\dot{s}(t) = -\frac{\partial V(s(t))}{\partial s} + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_0^t dt'' R(t, t'') C^{p-2}(t, t'') s(t'') + \xi(t) ,\qquad (5)
$$

where the noise is such that

$$
\langle \xi(t)\xi(t')\rangle = 2T\delta(t-t') + \frac{p}{2}C^{p-1}(t,t') . \tag{6}
$$

The first contribution corresponds to the usual noise, whereas the second one accounts for the interaction with the rest of the system. The correlation and the response functions, $C(t, t')$ and $R(t, t')$, are defined respectively as

$$
C(t, t') = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} s_i(t) s_i(t'),
$$

\n
$$
R(t, t') = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \frac{\delta s_i(t)}{\delta h_i(t')} \Big|_{h_i=0},
$$
\n
$$
(7)
$$

where h_i is an external field linearly coupled to s_i . As $N \to \infty$, these quantities converge to a non-fluctuating value. The DMFT equation has to be solved selfconsistently, *i.e.* one has to find $C(t, t')$ and $R(t, t')$ such that the stochastic process in Eq. (5), with initial condition given by $P_0(s)$, leads to correlation and response functions equal to $C(t, t')$ and $R(t, t')$. In very specific instances, e.g. in the socalled spherical limit, the problem simplifies and $C(t, t')$ and $R(t, t')$ can be shown to satisfy closed-form integro-differential equations. Note that those closed equations have formally the same structure as Mode-Coupling Theory equations for structural glasses [27].

In general, this does not happen and one has to deal with the self-consistent process defined above. The aim of our work is to show how its solution can be handled for aging dynamics.

‡ It is possible to show that there is a unique solution respecting causality.

Dynamical Mean-Field Theory and Aging Dynamics 5

3. Slow Time-Scales: Aging and dynamical phases

As we discussed in the Introduction, one key feature of glassy systems is that they display slow and aging dynamics after a quench from high to low temperature. The behavior at a large time t_w after the quench is characterized by: (i) power law (or even slower) relaxation of one-time quantities, and (ii) a decorrelation time that grows with the time t_w .

The theoretical analysis based on mean-field models performed in the 90s has unveiled that there are at least two different classes of aging dynamics, correspondingly to two different classes of free-energy landscapes [12]. We now recall their main salient features.

3.1. Two classes of landscapes

In the case of mean-field glassy models one can give a precise meaning to the free-energy landscape, which is obtained from the TAP free-energy [28]. The number of minima, and more generally of critical points of given index, has been computed and analysed thoroughly [29–32], even rigorously in recent years [33, 34]. These works established the existence of two very different classes of landscapes, which are related to different thermodynamical and dynamical properties:

- Spin-glass landscapes: In this case, the number of free-energy minima is not exponential in the system size. The free-energy barriers are expected to be sub-extensive, and despite quenches to low temperature induce aging, one-time observables converge to their equilibrium thermodynamic limit. For instance, the asymptotic value of the energy density coincides§ with the equilibrium value obtained within the static approach, $E_{\infty} = E_{\text{eq}}$. Moreover, there is a strong connection between the asymptotic aging dynamics and the thermodynamics [35]. In fact, the system asymptotically approaches the marginal free-energy minima that are relevant for equilibrium properties. Finally, the dynamical transition at which the system falls out of equilibrium takes place at the same critical temperature of the thermodynamic spin-glass transition.
- Simple structural glass landscapes: In this case, the number of free-energy minima is exponential in the system size. The free-energy barriers are extensive, and one-time observables do not converge to their equilibrium value. A connection with free-energy minima still holds. In fact, long-time aging dynamics approaches free-energy minima with the largest basin of attraction, which are generally not the ones relevant for equilibrium thermodynamic properties. Starting from random initial conditions, i.e. quenches from infinite temperature, these have been identified as the typical most numerous minima that are marginally stable (called threshold states). In this case, the dynamical transition at which the system falls out of equilibrium takes place at higher temperature, T_d , than the thermodynamic glass transition T_s .

As we have recalled above, marginally stable free-energy minima play a key role in aging dynamics. Marginality means that the free-energy Hessian matrix at the minima is characterised by arbitrary small eigenvalues. There are models characterised by more complicated free-energy landscapes that combine features of spin-glass and

§ Note that we always consider the case in which the thermodynamic limit is taken from the start, *i.e.* asymptotic values corresponds to the specific limit order $\lim_{t,t'\to\infty} \lim_{N\to\infty}$.

58 59

structural glass landscapes, as for instance when a Gardner phase transition takes place (a likely general feature for structural glass models at low enough temperature) [36 –38]. These cases are left for future works, and hence not described in detail here.

The relationship recalled above between free-energy minima and aging dynamics is at the core of the *weak ergodicity breaking* hypothesis, that has been proven to hold in a large class of systems, in particular the instances we are going to discuss in the rest of the paper. Recent results showed that the general situation can be more intricate: in particular starting the quench from finite (and not infinite) temperature it was shown that for mixed p-spin models [6] the long-time dynamics approach marginally stable free-energy minima that are not the *threshold* ones. In numerical simulations in spin-glass models analysed on sparse graphs [7], the authors claimed that the weak ergodicity breaking does not hold.

3.2. Aging and its two dynamical regimes

In order to analyse aging dynamics, in particular within mean-field, it is useful to make extensive use of long-time limit analysis, which allows for a sharp timescale separation between a fast regime, in which rapid degrees of freedom equilibrate, and a slow regime displaying violation of fluctuation-dissipation relations and nonequilibrium phenomena [12,39]. The existence of two-time sectors have been explicitly shown to hold for certain class of mean-field models $[2, 40-42]$. The exploitation of such time separation stands at the core of mean-field analysis of aging dynamics $[2-4, 12, 39, 41, 43-46]$. Cutting a long story short, we directly recall the form the correlation function takes in the long time limit $t, t' \rightarrow \infty$:

$$
C(t, t') = C_{\text{TTI}}(t - t') + C_{\text{A}}(t, t') . \tag{8}
$$

In the time-translation invariant (TTI) sector, which corresponds to $t, t' \geq 1$ with $(t-t')$ of order one, only the first term on the RHS gives a non vanishing contribution and accordingly

$$
C_{\text{TTI}}(0) = q_d - q_1 , \qquad C_{\text{TTI}}(\infty) = 0 . \qquad (9)
$$

The overlap q_d is by definition equal to $C(t,t)$, whereas q_1 corresponds to the plateau value of the correlation function separating TTI and aging regime, see Fig. 1. The aging sector corresponds to $t, t' \gg 1$, and $(t-t')$ which diverges together with t, t' . \ln

In this regime
$$
C_{\text{TTI}}
$$
 is zero and the only contribution to $C(t, t')$ is given by $C_{\text{A}}(t, t')$, which satisfies the boundary condition:

$$
C_{\mathcal{A}}(t,t) = q_1 \tag{10}
$$

The response function displays an analogous behavior which can be decomposed in a TTI and an aging contribution. In the TTI sector the response function verifies the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, *i.e.* $R_{\text{TTI}}(\tau) = -\frac{1}{T} \frac{dC_{\text{TTI}}(\tau)}{d\tau}$. This is natural since degrees of freedom contributing to the TTI regime relax on a finite time-scale and, hence, equilibrate at long times.

The behavior in the aging regime depends on the dynamical phase, in particular whether there is only one or multiple diverging time-scales.

• One diverging time-scale: the 1RSB dynamical ansatz In the simplest scenario, the aging regime is described by a single diverging timescale. The

Dynamical Mean-Field Theory and Aging Dynamics 7

corresponding dynamical Ansatz reads:

$$
C_{\mathcal{A}}(t, t') = \mathcal{C}\left[\frac{\widehat{h}(t')}{\widehat{h}(t)}\right], \qquad R_{\mathcal{A}}(t, t') = \dot{\widehat{h}}(t')\mathcal{R}\left[\frac{\widehat{h}(t')}{\widehat{h}(t)}\right]
$$
(11)

where $\hat{h}(t)$ is a monotonously increasing function that corresponds to the relaxation timescale of the slow degrees of freedom (from now on we consider $t > t'$). It depends on t because the system is aging: the older is the system, the slower is the relaxation. An important and highly non-trivial relationship between correlation and response holds in this regime: $R_A(t, t') = \frac{x}{T} \partial_{t'} C_A(t, t').$ This is a generalization of the fluctuation-dissipation relation for the aging regime (with an effective temperature T_{eff} defined by $x = T/T_{\text{eff}}$). This aging behavior has been found in models characterized by simple structural glass landscapes, and it is the dynamical counterpart of the 1RSB static Ansatz.

Figure 1. The correlation function $C(t, t')$, which depends generically on two times scales, displays a decreasing trend from the maximum value q_d to the plateau value whose height coincides with q_1 and signals the onset of non-ergodicity. The escape from the plateau is regulated by the function $C_A(t, t')$.

• Infinitely many diverging time-scale: the Full RSB dynamical Ansatz
This case is characterised by infinitely many diverging timescales. A

This case is characterised by infinitely many diverging timescales. monotonously increasing function $h_i(t)$ is associated to each timescale i. The aging contribution to the correlation function can be written as a combination of rescaled functions \mathcal{C}_i associated to each timescale [39]:

$$
C_{\mathcal{A}}(t, t') = \sum_{i} \mathcal{C}_{i} \left[\frac{\hat{h}_{i}(t')}{\hat{h}_{i}(t)} \right]
$$
 (12)

where $C_i(1)$ is equal to $q_i - q_{i-1}$ and $C_i(0) = 0$ (remember that $t > t'$). Each \mathcal{C}_i describes the drop of the correlation from q_i to q_{i-1} that takes place within the timescale i . A generalized fluctuation-dissipation relation is valid within each time-scale, *i.e.* $R_A(t, t') = \frac{x_i}{T} \partial_{t'} C_A(t, t')$ for t, t' such that $0 < \frac{\hat{h}_i(t')}{\hat{h}_i(t)}$ $\frac{h_i(t)}{\hat{h}_i(t)} < 1$. We have described the aging Ansatz in terms of a discrete set of timescales. One can take the limit of an infinite number of timescale assuming that that all the differences $q_i - q_{i-1}$ goes to zero and at the same time the number of timescales goes to infinity. In this case, it is useful to introduce the function $x(q)$ which relates x_i with q_i . The aging behaviour just described is the dynamical counterpart of the Full RSB static Ansatz.

Dynamical Mean-Field Theory and Aging Dynamics

3.3. Fast and slow noises

Within DMFT the single spin stochastic equation is characterised by an effective noise that take into account both the thermal noise and the interaction with the rest of the system. Given that the system is characterised by several timescales, so does the noise. To make explicit these different contributions, we express $\xi(t)$ as the sum of two independent Gaussian noise contributions, $\xi_{TTI}(t)$ and $\xi_A(t)$, such that

$$
\langle \xi_{\text{TTI}}(t)\xi_{\text{TTI}}(t')\rangle = 2T\delta(t-t') + \frac{p}{2} \left[C_{\text{TTI}}(t-t') + q_1\right]^{p-1} - \frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1} ,\qquad(13)
$$

$$
\langle \xi_A(t)\xi_A(t')\rangle = \frac{p}{2}C_A(t,t')^{p-1} . \tag{14}
$$

By choosing the covariances in this way, the sum $\xi(t) = \xi_{\text{TTI}}(t) + \xi_{\text{A}}(t)$ leads to a correct representation of the noise in the asymptotic limit $t, t' \geq 1$. The slow noise $\xi_A(t)$ can be further decomposed in multiple contributions if there are many slow timescales. Using the notation introduced above for the Full RSB dynamical Ansatz, one can introduce independent Gaussian noise contributions $\xi_{A,i}(t)$ for each slow timescale. In order to have a correct representation of the noise in the asymptotic limit, the covariance of the $\xi_{A,i}(t)$ s has to be chosen in the following way:

$$
\langle \xi_{A,i}(t)\xi_{A,i}(t')\rangle = \frac{p}{2}\left(C_i\left[\frac{\widehat{h}_i(t')}{\widehat{h}_i(t)}\right] + q_{i-1}\right)^{p-1} - \frac{p}{2}q_{i-1}^{p-1}.
$$
 (15)

4. Fast and Slow Time-Scales: Analysis of the TTI and Aging Regimes

In the following we show how to disentangle the regimes of fast and slow timescales in the analysis. As we shall show, in the first regime the system is in quasiequilibrium and one can study its corresponding quasi-equilibrium dynamics. In the second regime, instead, the system is evolving very slowly (the slower the older is the system). This leads to an almost adiabatic change of some of the parameters determining the fast dynamics. We will obtain the probability distribution of such parameters along the aging dynamics. All that will allow us to find all the quantities of interest to characterise aging dynamics, except the effective temperature to which we come back in the next two sections.

4.1. TTI regime

In the following we will make extensive use of this aforementioned timescale separation, focusing first on our analytical derivation in the time-translational invariant regime. We consider the time evolution of the spin variable $s(t)$ written in terms of an effective Langevin process:

$$
\dot{s}(t) = -\frac{\partial V(s(t))}{\partial s} + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int dt'' R(t, t'') C^{p-2}(t, t'') s(t'') + \xi(t) \tag{16}
$$

where $V(s(t))$ stands for a generic potential, whereas $\xi(t)$ is a normally distributed coloured noise with zero mean and covariance defined by Eq. (6). We use timescale separation to decompose the second term on the RHS of Eq. (16), playing the role of

a friction contribution:

 $\ddot{}$

$$
\int_0^t dt'' R(t, t'') C^{p-2}(t, t'') s(t'') \simeq \int_{t-\tau}^t R_{\text{TTI}}(t-t') \left[C_{\text{TTI}}(t-t') + q_1 \right]^{p-2} s(t') dt' + \int_0^{t-\tau} R_A(t, t') C_A^{p-2}(t, t') s(t') dt' \tag{17}
$$

where $\tau/t \sim o(1)$, the response function $R_{\text{TTI}}(t-t') = \frac{1}{T} \frac{d}{dt'} C_{\text{TTI}}(t-t')$, $R_{\text{TTI}}(t-t') \rightarrow$ 0 as $t - t' \to \infty$, and $R_A(t, t')$ is uniformly small but when integrated over time leads to a finite contribution. By integrating the contribution that accounts only for the time-translation invariant regime by parts, we eventually obtain:

$$
\int_{t-\tau}^{t} R_{\text{TTI}}(t-t') \left[C_{\text{TTI}}(t-t') + q_1 \right]^{p-2} s(t') dt' \simeq
$$
\n
$$
\simeq \frac{1}{T(p-1)} \left[(C_{\text{TTI}}(0) + q_1)^{p-1} s(t) - (C_{\text{TTI}}(\tau) + q_1)^{p-1} s(t-\tau) \right] +
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{1}{T(p-1)} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} \left[C_{\text{TTI}}(t-t') + q_1 \right]^{p-1} \dot{s}(t') dt' .
$$
\n(18)

For very large τ , even if still much smaller than t , $C_{TTI}(\tau) \simeq 0$ and the above equation becomes

$$
\int_{t-\tau}^{t} R_{\text{TTI}}(t-t') \left[C_{\text{TTI}}(t-t') + q_1 \right]^{p-2} s(t') dt' \simeq
$$
\n
$$
\simeq \frac{1}{T(p-1)} \left(q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1} \right) s(t) - \frac{1}{T(p-1)} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} \left\{ \left[C_{\text{TTI}}(t-t') + q_1 \right]^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1} \right\} \dot{s}(t') dt'
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{T(p-1)} \left(q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1} \right) s(t) - \frac{2}{p(p-1)} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} \nu_{\text{TTI}}(t-t') \dot{s}(t') dt'
$$
\n(19)

where

$$
\nu_{\rm TTI}(t-t') \equiv \frac{p}{2T} \left[C_{\rm TTI}(t-t') + q_1 \right]^{p-1} - \frac{p}{2T} q_1^{p-1} \tag{20}
$$

Recalling Eq. (13), we therefore also get:

$$
\langle \xi_{\text{TTI}}(t)\xi_{\text{TTI}}(t')\rangle \simeq 2T\delta(t-t') + T\nu_{\text{TTI}}(t-t') . \tag{21}
$$

The original Eq. (16) can thus be rewritten as

$$
\dot{s}(t) \simeq -\frac{\partial V(s)}{\partial s} + \frac{p}{2T} (q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1}) s(t) - \int_{t-\tau}^t \nu_{\text{TTI}}(t-t') \dot{s}(t') dt' + \xi_{\text{TTI}}(t) + h(t) \tag{22}
$$

where the terms accounting for the slow (aging) dynamical behavior have been embedded into what can be considered a slowly evolving effective field

$$
h(t) \equiv \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_0^{t-\tau} R_A(t, t') C_A^{p-2}(t, t') s(t') dt' + \xi_A(t) . \tag{23}
$$

Dynamical Mean-Field Theory and Aging Dynamics 10

Eq. (22) shows that the original dynamical problem can be mapped into a stochastic process for the single variable $s(t)$ in the presence of friction and subject to a quasi stationary effective potential

$$
\mathcal{V}(s, h(t)) = V(s) - \frac{p}{4T}(q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1})s^2 - h(t)s.
$$
 (24)

Such a new stochastic process will therefore be associated to a quasi-stationary conditional probability distribution, which is nothing but the Boltzmann-Gibbs distribution at a given external temperature T ,

$$
P(s|h(t)) = \frac{1}{Z(h)} \exp\left[-\frac{\mathcal{V}(s, h(t))}{T}\right]
$$
\n(25)

and to a quasi stationary free-energy obtained from the corresponding partition function $Z(h)$, $F(h(t)) = -T \ln(Z(h))$. Here, we have followed [14] where a similar procedure was used in to study the motion of a particle moving in a random potential and in contact with two thermal baths varying on very different timescales. As also shown in [14] the solution of the full dynamics requires a detailed characterisation of the statistical properties of the quasi-static field $h(t)$. We discuss it for the present problem in the next section.

4.2. Aging Regime

In the aging regime we assume that correlation and response obey generalised FDT relations with violation parameter x and effective aging temperature $T_{\text{eff}} = T/x$. Moreover, we can replace the dynamical variable $s(t')$, in the integral of the first term of the slowly evolving field, with its average on the short times fluctuations, $\langle s(t') \rangle$, or equivalently its average over the distribution in Eq. $((25))$, which self-consistently depends on the field and can be directly expressed in terms of the free-energy $F(h)$

$$
h(t) \simeq \frac{p}{2T_{\text{eff}}} \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \left(C_{\text{A}}^{p-1}(t, t') \right) \langle s(t') \rangle_{h(t')} + \xi_A(t) =
$$

$$
- \frac{p}{2T_{\text{eff}}} \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \left(C_{\text{A}}^{p-1}(t, t') \right) \frac{\partial F(h)}{\partial h(t')} + \xi_A(t) .
$$
 (26)

Starting from this self-consistent equation on $h(t)$ it is possible to show [14] that the corresponding slow non-Markovian dynamics coupled to a bath of temperature T_{eff} is associated to the following stationary distribution for h

$$
P(h) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left[-\frac{h^2}{2\left(\frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1}\right)} - \frac{x}{T}F(h) \right],
$$
 (27)

where Z is the the normalization factor.

The stationary distribution of the slowly evolving field can now be used to explicitly characterise the full probability distribution of the degrees of freedom:

$$
P(s) = \int dh P(s|h) P(h)
$$
\n(28)

Dynamical Mean-Field Theory and Aging Dynamics 11

and of its moments. Except for the parameter x, which will be determined and discussed in the next two section, we can now obtain all quantities of interest for aging dynamics, in particular the overlaps q_1 and q_d :

$$
\frac{1}{\langle s^2 \rangle} = \frac{1}{Z} \int dh \ e^{\left(-\frac{h^2}{2\left(\frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1}\right)} - \frac{x}{T}F(h)\right)} \left(\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \ e^{-\frac{V(s)}{T} + \frac{p}{4T^2}(q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1})s^2 + \frac{hs}{T}s^2}}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \ e^{-\frac{V(s)}{T} + \frac{p}{4T^2}(q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1})s^2 + \frac{hs}{T}}}\right)} = q_d
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\langle s \rangle^2} = \frac{1}{Z} \int dh \ e^{\left(-\frac{h^2}{2\left(\frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1}\right)} - \frac{x}{T}F(h)\right)} \left[\left(\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \ e^{-\frac{V(s)}{T} + \frac{p}{4T^2}(q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1})s^2 + \frac{hs}{T}s}}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \ e^{-\frac{V(s)}{T} + \frac{p}{4T^2}(q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1})s^2 + \frac{hs}{T}}}\right)^2} = q_1
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\langle 30 \rangle}
$$

Remarkably, these equations do not involve the dynamics any longer and resemble the static ones obtained by the replica method. This is not a coincidence, and it is at the basis of the correspondence between dynamic and static approaches, as discussed below in more details.

4.3. Relationship with the statics

Replica aficionados will certainly realise that the two equations above coincides with the ones that one obtain by the replica method for the overlaps within pure states (whether the phase is 1RSB or FRSB). In the following we illustrate this relationship in the simple $p = 2$ case and assuming a 1RSB Ansatz. The generalization to larger values of p and to a FRSB Ansatz is straightforward.

At equilibrium the usual way to obtain equations for the overlap parameters and the effective temperature is based on exploitation of the replica method [15], which allows one to compute the replicated free energy $f = -\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{T}{N} \overline{\ln(Z)}$ by means of the following identity

$$
\overline{\ln Z} = \lim_{n \to 0} \frac{\overline{Z^n} - 1}{n} . \tag{31}
$$

Instead of dealing with the disordered average of the logarithmic, one has just to compute and average the replicated partition function with n distinct copies of the original system. Generically, the replicated partition function can be eventually expressed in terms of an action S which is a function of the overlap matrix Q_{ab} :

$$
\overline{Z^n} = \int \prod_{(ab)} \frac{dQ_{ab}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{S[Q_{ab}]}
$$
\n(32)

given the usual definition of the overlap between two spin configurations labeled by the replica indices a, b:

$$
Q_{ab} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} s_i^a s_i^b \tag{33}
$$

Different approximations can be introduced to correctly parametrise the overlap matrix Q_{ab} , the simplest being the replica symmetric (RS) one. This solution however is unable to describe the physics of disordered systems in the low-temperature regime, whose correct solution is based on an iterative block structure of that matrix [15,47–49]. Figure 2 shows a representation of a 1RSB realisation of such structure in an $n \times n$ matrix parametrised by a diagonal value q_d , and off-diagonal elements either equal to q_1 if the replica indices belong to the same block B of size $x \times x$ (in light green) or equal to q_0 if the elements are outside the diagonal blocks (in dark green). This scheme can be iterated k times and used to construct a kRSB structure for the overlap matrix, which becomes Full RSB in the $k \to \infty$ limit.

Note that the correspondence in the notation between the parameters of the 1RSB structure and the moments of the dynamical variable within the DMFT approach is not accidental and

Figure 2. Pictorial representation of a one-step replica symmetry breaking scheme for the overlap matrix Q_{ab} . The $n \times n$ matrix is divided into $n/x \times n/x$ blocks, each of them of size $x \times x$.

hints at the identification of the corresponding dynamic and static quantities. For instance, the static replica computation of the overlap q_1 in the 1RSB scheme is obtained by averaging single site variables from two replicas belonging to the same block β , with a weight given by the replicated action S rewritten introducing an auxiliary variable z to decouple single replica integrals in the 1RSB Ansatz.

For pairwise interactions $(p = 2)$, the final equation for q_1 reads:

$$
q_{1} = \langle s_{a}s_{b} \rangle = \frac{1}{\overline{Z^{n}}} \int dz \frac{e^{-\frac{z^{2}}{2q_{1}}}}{\sqrt{2\pi q_{1}}} \left(\int ds \ s e^{-\frac{1}{T} \left[V(s) - zs - \frac{1}{2T} (q_{d} - q_{1}) s^{2} \right] } \right)^{2} \times \\ \times \left(\int ds \ e^{-\frac{1}{T} \left[V(s) - zs - \frac{1}{2T} (q_{d} - q_{1}) s^{2} \right] } \right)^{x-2} \times \\ \times \left[\int dz \frac{e^{-\frac{z^{2}}{2q_{1}}}}{\sqrt{2\pi q_{1}}} \left(\int ds \ e^{-\frac{1}{T} \left[V(s) - zs - \frac{1}{2T} (q_{d} - q_{1}) s^{2} \right] } \right)^{x} \right]^{\frac{n}{x}-1}, \tag{34}
$$

with

$$
\overline{Z^n} = \left[\int dz \frac{e^{-\frac{z^2}{2q_1}}}{\sqrt{2\pi q_1}} \left(\int ds \ e^{-\frac{1}{T} \left[V(s) - zs - \frac{1}{2T} (q_d - q_1) s^2 \right] } \right)^x \right]^{\frac{n}{x}} . \tag{35}
$$

Considering the analytical continuation $n \to 0$, the equation becomes:

$$
q_1 = \frac{\int dz \left(\frac{\left(\int ds \ s e^{-\frac{1}{T} \left[V(s) - zs - \frac{1}{2T} (q_d - q_1) s^2 \right] \right)}}{\left(\int ds \ e^{-\frac{1}{T} \left[V(s) - zs - \frac{1}{2T} (q_d - q_1) s^2 \right] \right)}} \right)^2 \left(e^{-\frac{z^2}{2q_1} - \frac{x}{T} F(z)} \right)}{\int dz \ e^{-\frac{z^2}{2q_1} - \frac{x}{T} F(z)}} \tag{36}
$$

with

$$
F(z) = -T \ln \left(\int ds \ e^{-\frac{1}{T} \left[V(s) - zs - \frac{1}{2T} (q_d - q_1) s^2 \right]} \right) \ , \tag{37}
$$

Dynamical Mean-Field Theory and Aging Dynamics 13

which is formally equivalent to the equation for q_1 derived in the DMFT computation. The same correspondence holds between the overlap on the diagonal of the 1RSB matrix and the equal time correlation of the dynamical variable, also called q_d .

Interestingly, comparing the DMFT and the replica equations, it also becomes evident a one to one correspondence between the dynamical aging field h and the auxiliary variable z. An intuitive understanding of such correspondence can be acquired by re-deriving the static equations through the cavity approach [15, 50], where it clearly emerges that single spin variables are effectively subject to random local fields z characterised by the same non trivial distribution as the aging fields in the DMFT approach.

Finally this highlights the well known [39,43,51,52] link between the FDT violation parameter and the 1RSB parameter x , as they play a formally identical role in the static and dynamic equations for q_d and q_1 .

4.4. DMFT for Ising and spherical p-spin models

In this Section, in order to provide simple examples and show connections with known results, we apply the formalism we developed to the spherical and the Ising cases introduced in Sec. 2.1.

4.4.1. Ising p-spin model For an Ising p-spin model $V(s)$ is (formally) zero for $s = \pm 1$ and infinite otherwise, hence $s^2 = 1$ and $q_d \equiv \langle s^2 \rangle = 1$. Moreover we have

$$
P(s|h(t)) = \frac{e^{\frac{h(t)s}{T}}}{2\cosh(h/T)}
$$
(38)

with $Z(h) = 2 \cosh(h/T)$ and $F(h) = -T \ln(2 \cosh(h/T))$ and for the only non trivial overlap

$$
q_1 \equiv \overline{\langle s \rangle^2} = \overline{\left(\frac{e^{h/T} - e^{-h/T}}{2\cosh(h/T)}\right)^2} = \overline{\tanh^2(h/T)} =
$$

=
$$
\frac{\int dh \ e^{-\frac{h^2}{2\left(\frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1}\right)}\tanh^2(h/T)[\cosh(h/T)]^x}{\int dh \ e^{-\frac{h^2}{2\left(\frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1}\right)}[\cosh(h/T)]^x}.
$$
 (39)

 $4.4.2.$ Spherical p-spin model To study the spherical p-spin model, we consider a soft spherical constraint implemented with the introduction of a quadratic potential $V(s) = \frac{\lambda s^2}{2}$ involving the spherical parameter λ . The conditional probability distribution for spin dynamical variables thus becomes

$$
P(s|h(t)) = \frac{1}{Z(h)} \exp\left\{-\frac{\left[\lambda - \frac{p}{2T}(q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1})\right]}{2T} \left(s - \frac{h}{\left[\lambda - \frac{p}{2T}(q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1})\right]}\right)^2 + \frac{h^2}{2T\left[\lambda - \frac{p}{2T}(q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1})\right]}\right\},
$$
\n(40)

with

$$
Z(h) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi T}{\left[\lambda - \frac{p}{2T}(q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1})\right]}} \exp\left\{\frac{h^2}{2T\left[\lambda - \frac{p}{2T}(q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1})\right]}\right\},
$$
(41)

from which the long and short time limit of the correlation function turn out to be respectively

$$
q_1 \equiv \overline{\langle s \rangle^2} = \overline{\left(\int ds P(s|h) s \right)^2} = \frac{h^2}{\left[\lambda - \frac{p}{2T} (q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1}) \right]^2} , \qquad (42)
$$

$$
q_d \equiv \overline{\langle s^2 \rangle} = \overline{\int P(s|h)s^2} = \frac{T}{\lambda - \frac{p}{2T}(q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1})} + \frac{h^2}{\left[\lambda - \frac{p}{2T}(q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1})\right]^2} \,. \tag{43}
$$

The equation on their difference $q_d - q_1$, evaluated at $q_d = 1$, becomes a condition on the spherical parameter λ to be imposed so that the spherical constraint is always satisfied during the dynamics

$$
\lambda - \frac{p}{2T} (1 - q_1^{p-1}) = \frac{T}{1 - q_1} \tag{44}
$$

Finally, by using the above equation on the spherical parameter λ and $q_d = 1$ in Eq. (42) it is possible to rewrite the equation on q_1 as follows

$$
q_1 \left[\lambda - \frac{p}{2T} (1 - q_1^{p-1}) \right]^2 = \left(\frac{1}{\frac{p}{2} q_1^{p-1}} - \frac{x}{T \left[\lambda - \frac{p}{2T} (1 - q_1^{p-1}) \right]} \right)^{-1}
$$
(45)

which after some passages becomes

$$
\lambda = T + \frac{p}{2T} \left(1 - q_1^p (1 - x) \right) \tag{46}
$$

As we will show in detail in Appendix A, the equation for the Lagrange multiplier can be found in an alternative way by introducing a virial equation, namely by multiplying every side of the equation of motion by s and averaging over the associated stochastic process. In the case of the Ising model, instead, the corresponding virial equation leads to an automatically satisfied condition that trivially corresponds to the normalization of the distribution $P(h)$. For a detailed explanation, we refer the interested reader to the Appendix.

5. Effective temperature in the 1RSB case

5.1. A diagrammatic approach

As we have shown in the previous section, the dynamical aging Ansatz allows us to establish the equations satisfied by the dynamical overlaps. The effective temperature, however, remains unknown. We will now present a general approach that allows one to derive the equation for the effective temperature in models for which the dynamical 1RSB Ansatz holds. Our procedure is based on the physical requirement that the dynamics in the TTI sector is marginal, *i.e.* the relaxation to the plateau q_1 is power-law and not exponential. Our starting point is the stochastic Eq. (22), which describes relaxation dynamics in the TTI regime.

We shall use standard diagrammatic perturbation theory following the procedure developed for equilibrium critical spin-glass dynamics [53]. Eq. (22) can be rewritten as

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{t} R_0^{-1}(t - t')s(t')dt' = -\frac{\partial V(s)}{\partial s} + \xi_{\text{TTI}}(t) + h(t) ,
$$
\n(47)

where $R_0^{-1}(t-t')$ has a simple expression in the Fourier domain (\mathcal{F}_{ω} denotes the Fourier transform):

$$
R_0^{-1}(\omega) = -i\omega + \mathcal{F}_{\omega} \left(\partial_t \nu_{\text{TTI}}(t) \right) \tag{48}
$$

We now present the method in the simplified case in which no field $h(t)$ is present, and then later we explain how to generalize it. The response function can be expressed in terms of the self-energy Σ as

$$
R_{\rm TTI}(\omega) = \frac{1}{R_0^{-1}(\omega) - \Sigma(\omega)} \ . \tag{49}
$$

This Schwinger-Dyson equation is generically represented in diagrammatic theory using a straight line for R_0 and a dashed circle for Σ :

$$
\begin{aligned}\n &\text{---} \bigodot \text{---} = \frac{1}{1 - (\text{---} \bigotimes)} + \text{---} \bigotimes \text{---} \bigotimes \text{---} + \cdots \\
&= \frac{1}{1 - (\text{---} \bigotimes)}\n \end{aligned}\n \tag{50}
$$

Page 15 of 32 **AUTHOR SUBMITTED MANUSCRIPT - JPhysA-114041.R1**

Dynamical Mean-Field Theory and Aging Dynamics 15

The dashed circle corresponds to all self-energy diagrams generated when doing the perturbation theory in the couplings corresponding to $\frac{\partial V(s)}{\partial s}$.

In cases where there are no conservation laws, as for the mean-field glassy systems we focus on, the response function decreases exponentially to zero at large times in the high-temperature ergodic regime. This behavior changes for marginal (or also critical [53]) dynamics where instead one expects a power-law relaxation. Accordingly, the behavior at small ω of $R_{\text{TTI}}^{-1}(\omega)$ is linear in the former case and power-law with an exponent less than one for marginal and critical dynamics. Hence, the condition encoding the existence of marginal dynamics is:

$$
\lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{\partial R_{\rm TTI}^{-1}(\omega)}{\partial \omega} = \infty.
$$
\n(51)

We now show that this requirement leads to a simple equation. In fact, using that at large times

$$
\partial_t \nu_{\rm TTI}(t) \simeq \frac{p(p-1)}{2T} q_1^{p-2} \partial_t C_{\rm TTI}(t) = -\frac{p(p-1)}{2} q_1^{p-2} R_{\rm TTI}(t) , \qquad (52)
$$

one finds that for marginal dynamics and in the small ω limit:

 ϵ

$$
R_0^{-1}(\omega) \simeq -i\omega - \frac{p(p-1)}{2} q_1^{p-2} R_{\text{TTI}}(\omega) . \tag{53}
$$

By taking the inverse of the Schwinger-Dyson equation and differentiating it, one gets in the small ω limit:

$$
\frac{\partial R_{\text{TTI}}^{-1}(\omega)}{\partial \omega} = \frac{\partial R_0^{-1}(\omega)}{\partial \omega} - \frac{\partial \Sigma(\omega)}{\partial \omega} \simeq \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \left[-i\omega - \frac{p(p-1)}{2} q_1^{p-2} R_{\text{TTI}}(\omega) \right] - \frac{\partial \Sigma(\omega)}{\partial \omega} \,. \tag{54}
$$

Using the identity:

$$
\frac{\partial R_{\text{TTI}}(\omega)}{\partial \omega} = -\frac{\partial R_{\text{TTI}}^{-1}(\omega)}{\partial \omega} R_{\text{TTI}}^{2}(\omega) \tag{55}
$$

we finally obtain:

$$
\frac{\partial R_{\text{TT1}}^{-1}(\omega)}{\partial \omega} = \frac{-i - \partial \Sigma(\omega)/\partial \omega}{1 - \frac{p(p-1)}{2} q_1^{p-2} R_{\text{TT1}}^2(\omega)} \ . \tag{56}
$$

It can be shown that the numerator is not singular $(e.g.$ to all orders in perturbation theory) [53]. In consequence, the divergence for $\omega \to 0$ of the LHS — the condition for d ynamical marginality $-$ is given by the vanishing of the denominator:

$$
1 = \frac{p(p-1)}{2} q_1^{p-2} R_{\text{TTI}}^2(\omega) \big|_{\omega=0} \tag{57}
$$

When a random field h is present in the stochastic equation, one has to redo the previous procedure introducing a h-dependent response function $\tilde{r}(\omega, h)$, which when averaged over the static field h leads to the average response function: $\tilde{r}(\omega, h) = R(\omega)$. By repeating the previous analysis, see [53] for the similar case of critical dynamics, one finds:

$$
1 = \frac{p(p-1)}{2} q_1^{p-2} \overline{\tilde{r}^2(0, h)}
$$
\n(58)

By using FDT, which is valid in the TTI regime, one obtains $\frac{\overline{r}^2(0,h)}{\overline{r}^2(0,h)} = \left(\frac{(s^2)-(s)^2}{T}\right)^2$ $\frac{-\langle s \rangle^2}{T}\Big)^2 =$

 $\left(\frac{\partial(s)}{\partial h}\right)^2$ and hence a condition for marginal dynamics that depends only of the probability distribution of h and which therefore provides the extra equation allowing to fix the effective temperature:

$$
1 = \frac{p(p-1)}{2} q_1^{p-2} \overline{\left(\frac{\langle s^2 \rangle - \langle s \rangle^2}{T}\right)^2} . \tag{59}
$$

It can be shown that this is exactly the expression for the vanishing of the replicon in the 1RSB analysis of this model. This therefore completes the analysis of the aging dynamics in

Dynamical Mean-Field Theory and Aging Dynamics 16

the 1RSB case, and shows how one can establish the connection with the static formalism. As before, in order to show a simple application and the connection with known results, we apply the result above to i) Ising spins and $p \ge 2$, ii) continuous variables and $p > 2$.

5.2. Applications to Ising and spherical p-spin models with $p > 2$

5.2.1. Ising p-spin model Application of Eq. (59) to the Ising p-spin model requires the use of the previously derived Eq. (39) and $q_d = \langle s^2 \rangle = 1$ to get

$$
\overline{\tilde{r}^2(0,h)} = \overline{\left(\frac{\langle s^2 \rangle - \langle s \rangle^2}{T}\right)^2} = \frac{1}{T^2} \overline{\left[1 - \tanh^2(h/T)\right]^2} ,\qquad(60)
$$

and therefore

$$
1 = \frac{p(p-1)}{2T^2} q_1^{p-2} \left[1 - 2 \tanh^2(h/T) + \tanh^4(h/T) \right] , \qquad (61)
$$

which coincides with the expression derived in [54] (see also [38]).

The last condition, together with the Eq. (39) on q_1 and $q_d = 1$, forms a closed system of equations derived in this case within the DMFT approach, which can be therefore used to determine the q_1 and x that characterise aging dynamics for an Ising p-spin with $p > 2$. For $p = 2$ the situation will be different as a Full RSB phase is going to control the aging behaviour of a relaxation dynamics after a quench. In this case a specific extension of DMFT must be considered as explained in Sec. 6. In this case, Eq. (61) evaluated at $p = 2$, together with the condition $x = 1$, can be used to determine at what temperature aging dynamics would set in.

5.2.2. Spherical p-spin model To obtain a similar closed set of equations in the spherical case, we recall again the results of Sec. (4.4) and in particular Eqs. (46) and (44). The addition of Eq. (59), which in this case gives

$$
1 = \frac{p(p-1)}{2}q_1^{p-2} \overline{\left(\frac{\langle s^2 \rangle - \langle s \rangle^2}{T}\right)^2} = \frac{p(p-1)}{2T^2}q_1^{p-2}(1-q_1)^2,
$$
 (62)

closes the set so that it is possible to determine λ , q_1 and x within the DMFT approach. Note that this set of equations corresponds to the one obtained in the works on the spherical p -spin model $[2, 55]$.

6. Aging dynamics in the Full RSB regime

The aim of this section is to show how to tackle cases with an infinite number of slow time-scales. For the class of models we focus on, this happens for Ising spins and $p = 2$, which corresponds to the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick (SK) model. The different nature of its transition (spin-glass like) largely affects the kind of aging behaviour taking place in the long time dynamics. We have therefore to derive a new rule for the slow evolution of the external field. Conversely the description of the short time dynamics within a TTI framework will remain unchanged. In particular the dynamical variable $s(t)$ on short time scales evolves according to a stochastic process in the presence of friction as described by Eq. (22) with $q_d = 1$,

$$
\nu_{\rm TTI}(t - t') = \frac{1}{T} C_{\rm TTI}(t - t') \tag{63}
$$

(since $p = 2$), and associated to a quasi stationary conditional probability $P(s|h(t))$ of the form in Eq. (25), which in this case becomes

$$
P(s|h(t)) = \frac{e^{\frac{h(t)s}{T}}}{2\cosh(h(t)/T)},
$$
\n(64)

Page 17 of 32 **AUTHOR SUBMITTED MANUSCRIPT - JPhysA-114041.R1**

Dynamical Mean-Field Theory and Aging Dynamics 17

and immediately implies $\langle s(t)\rangle = m(t) = \tanh(h(t)/T)$. Recall that the slowly evolving external field $h(t)$ was defined in Eq. (23) and in this case given by

$$
h(t) = \xi_A(t) + \int_0^t dt' R_A(t, t') m(t')
$$
\n(65)

where $\langle \xi_A(t) \xi_A(t') \rangle = C_A(t, t')$. Finally, the slow evolution of such external field, controlled by aging dynamics, will set in as soon as the condition of marginal stability in Eq. (61) for $p = 2$ is satisfied:

$$
0 = 1 - \frac{1}{T^2} \left[1 - 2 \overline{\tanh^2(h/T)} + \overline{\tanh^4(h/T)} \right] . \tag{66}
$$

In the following we derive an explicit equation that describes the evolution of the aging field $h(t)$ along the dynamics. To this aim we notice that

$$
\Delta h(t) = h(t + \Delta t) - h(t) =
$$

= $\xi_A(t + \Delta t) - \xi_A(t) + \int_0^{t + \Delta t} dt' R_A(t + \Delta t, t') m(t') - \int_0^t dt' R_A(t, t') m(t') = (67)$
= $\xi_A(t + \Delta t) - \xi_A(t) + \left[R_A(t, t) m(t) + \int_0^t \partial_t R_A(t, t') m(t') dt' \right] \Delta t.$

Note that Δt represents a small change in unit of very large time-scales. There are three contributions to the change of the slow field: the first is due to the evolution of the stochastic slow noise between t and $t + \Delta t$, the second depends on the state of the system at time t, and the last is obtained integrating over all the past behavior.

By dropping the last term, which gives a sub-leading contribution, one can recognize that the equation on $\Delta h(t)$ has the form of a stochastic equation with a drift term:

$$
D^{(1)}(t) = R_A(t, t)m(t)\Delta t = -\frac{x}{T} \frac{\partial C_A(t, t')}{\partial t} \Big|_{t'=t} m(t)\Delta t
$$

$$
\approx \frac{x}{T} [C_A(t, t) - C_A(t + \Delta t, t)]m(t)
$$
(68)

and a noise term with variance that reads (keeping only terms up to the linear order in Δt):

$$
D^{(2)}(t) = \overline{\xi_{A}(t + \Delta t)\xi_{A}(t + \Delta t)} + \overline{\xi_{A}(t)\xi_{A}(t)} - \overline{\xi_{A}(t + \Delta t)\xi_{A}(t)} - \overline{\xi_{A}(t)\xi_{A}(t + \Delta t)}
$$

=
$$
C_{A}(t + \Delta t, t + \Delta t) + C_{A}(t, t) - 2C_{A}(t + \Delta t, t).
$$
 (69)

In order to evaluate the differences of correlations in the drift and the variance of the noise we use the FRSB aging Ansatz discussed in Section 3. The aging correlation function $C_A(t,t')$ with $t \geq t'$ equals the intrastate overlap $q(x)$ of the states reached at the largest timescale t and associated to FDT violation parameter x. Therefore the drift and the variance can be rewritten in terms of the intrastate overlaps as follows

$$
D^{(1)}(t) \simeq \frac{x}{T}m(h)\left(q(x) - q(x - \Delta x)\right) \simeq \frac{x}{T}m(h)\dot{q}(x)\Delta x\tag{70}
$$

$$
D^{(2)}(t) \simeq q(x - \Delta x) + q(x) - 2q(x - \Delta x) \simeq \dot{q}(x)\Delta x \tag{71}
$$

Finally we note that the covariance of the noise at different timescales is zero since:

$$
\overline{\xi}(t + \Delta t)\xi(t' + \Delta t) + \overline{\xi(t)\xi(t')} - \overline{\xi(t + \Delta t)\xi(t')} - \overline{\xi(t)\xi(t' + \Delta t)} =
$$

= $q(x - \Delta x) + q(x) - q(x - \Delta x) - q(x) = 0$. (72)

In conclusion we have obtained that the slow field $h(t)$ satisfies a stochastic Langevin equation

$$
\frac{dh(x)}{dx} = \frac{x}{T}m(h)\dot{q}(x) + \sqrt{\dot{q}(x)}z(x).
$$
\n(73)

Figure 3. Representation of k different time sectors (for simplicity with $k = 6$) showing the hierarchical organization of timescales according to a k-RSB Ansatz. The Full RSB picture corresponds to sending the number of sectors k to infinity. In this limit, the piecewise function parametrising the overlap matrix is well defined and converges to the continuous function $q(x)$.

where $z(x)$ is a Gaussian white noise, $\overline{z(x)z(x')} = \delta(x-x')$, and the evolution is measured in terms of the change of the effective temperature x (each x corresponds to a time-scale t_x as recalled in Fig. 3).

Remarkably, such Langevin's equation coincides with the one derived in the studies that focused on the thermodynamic FRSB phase [15, 56–60]. This shows that the distributions of the effective fields on the slow time-scales coincide with the ones of the thermodynamic FRSB solution in the hierarchical clusters. Moreover, also $m(h)$ and $q(x)$ have the same expression than in the static case: $m(h)$ is the magnetization on the time-scale t_x , hence it is averaged over all effective fields corresponding to smallest time-scales and it depends on $h(x)$, which is the effective field at time t_x . Whereas $q(x)$ is the overlap on the time-scale t_x , *i.e* it is the square of the magnetization $m(h(x))$ average over $h(x)$. In order to solve equation 73, one has to know $m(h)$ and $q(x)$ which are determined self-consistently from the solution of the stochastic equation itself. Given the one-to-one mapping with the static case, we refer to the classic original paper on FRSB for more details [15, 56, 59, 60].

In summary, our procedure shows (as it was expected from the solution of simplifed models [4,61]) that aging dynamics in the FRSB case is strongly related to the static solution. Indeed, we have provided a purely dynamical derivation of the stochastic equation (73) at the basis of FRSB.

6.1. Relationship and analogies with Sompolinsky's dynamical approach

The first who proposed a deep investigation of the spin glass phase using a dynamic approach was Sompolinsky in the eighties $[1,13]$. He proposed a way to obtain the properties of the spin-glass phase using an approach that takes into account dynamics over diverging time-scales. Cutting a long story short, his main assumptions are:

- there exists an infinite number of diverging timescales belowe T_c in mean-field spinglasses.
- the spin-spin correlation function is affected by all those timescales, in particular for each time-scale t_x , one gets

$$
q(x) = \overline{\langle s_i(0)s_i(t_x) \rangle} \ . \tag{74}
$$

• the equilibrium response function until the diverging timescale t_x is given by:

$$
\chi(x) = \int_0^{t_x} R(t_x, t')dt' = \frac{1}{T} (\Delta(x) + (1 - q(1)))
$$
\n(75)

Dynamical Mean-Field Theory and Aging Dynamics 19

where the first term on the right hand side is by definition the contribution to the response from the diverging time-scales, and the second term is the contribution due to the fast degrees of freedom $(q(1)$ is related to the spin-spin correlation, see Eq. (74)).

• The anomalous part of the response and the correlation on diverging timescales are related by

$$
\dot{\Delta}(x) = -x\dot{q}(x) \tag{76}
$$

The physics behind Sompolinsky's solution was never fully justified; nevertheless it was shown that these assumptions allows to recover the Parisi solution of spin-glasses.

In the following we show that the last assumption—the crucial one—is analogous to the generalized fluctuation-dissipation relation we used in the last section. In fact, within the dynamical aging ansatz the slow time-scales lead to an anomalous contribution to the aging response function for $t, t' \gg 1$:

$$
\int_{t'}^{t} R(t, t'')dt'' = \frac{1 - q(x_{max})}{T} + \int_{x}^{x_{max}} \frac{x}{T} \dot{q}(x')dx'
$$
\n(77)

where we have used the same notation of the previous section, and t, t' are taken in the time sector corresponding to x, i.e. $0 < \frac{h_x(t')}{h_x(t)} < 1$ (x_{max} is the value of x corresponding to the first plateau in the correlation function, and we have traded the index i in $h_i(t)$ for the corresponding value of x).

Identifying the response in the LHS above with $\chi(x)$, and taking the derivative with respect to x we discover that the Sompolinsky's relation $\dot{\Delta}(x) = -x\dot{q}(x)$ is mutatis mutandis the generalized fluctuation-dissipation relation.

The interpretations of our and Sompolinsky approaches are clearly different: we study aging dynamics whereas he wasn't discussing off-equilibrium. However, algebraically the two approaches are identical, and the assumption $\Delta(x) = -x\dot{q}(x)$ becomes under the lens of the off-equilibrium approach the generalized FDT discovered by Cugliandolo and Kurchan in their study of mean-field aging [2]. This result offers a new perspective on the Sompolinsky's solution, and clarifies its algebraic equivalence with the Parisi's solution of spin-glasses.

7. Conclusions and Perspectives

Developing a mean-field procedure to study the dynamics of out-of-equilibrium systems has been a fundamental step in the theory of disordered and amorphous systems. It has allowed to address challenging questions about low-temperature glassy behaviors, and to understand the role of complex landscapes in determining slow dynamics [11, 12, 23, 39].

In this work, we have considered cases in which the slow dynamics is studied by Dynamical Mean-Field Theory (DMFT), and the resulting equations do not always simplify in integro-differential equations on response and correlation functions. Instead, one has to deal with the full-fledged self-consistent problem in which the thermal bath properties are determined from the stochastic process induced by the bath itself. Our approach is based on the mean-field theory of aging dynamics [3, 11, 12, 39, 42, 46]. It relies on the hypothesis of well-defined timescale separation, between fast degrees of freedom, leading to a time translational invariant (TTI) regime, and slow degrees of freedom, leading to an aging regime. This separation of time scales feeds into the self-consistent stochastic process associated to DMFT: it leads to generalized friction and noise that also have fast and slow contributions. Our main result is establishing a procedure that allows to study this self-consistent dynamical problem and obtain the main quantities of interest, e.g. effective temperatures, correlations and responses on slow time-scales. The resulting equations make explicitly the link between aging dynamics and static replica computations, which was worked

out in simplified models $[2, 4, 15, 38, 43, 59, 62]$ and then assumed to valid more generally. They also display strong relationship with the quasi-equilibrium picture of glassy dynamics [63 ,64].

A natural extension of our work concerns the so-called Gardner phase [36], which appears in many mean-field models at low temperature, and it has been shown to have very important consequences in jamming physics [37]. The associated dynamical behavior is expected to be a combination of the 1RSB and Full RSB studied in this paper, so our results provide a good starting point to address it. Recent works have unveiled that the *weak long-term memory* property at the basis of the mean-field theory of aging is not verified at least in certain models and for certain initial conditions (quenches from finite temperature) [6, 7]. It would be interesting to investigate how our framework can be generalised to these cases.

Let us conclude highlighting possible direct applications of our findings. We foresee three main directions:

- Dynamical theory of aging and shear of glasses in the limit of infinite dimensions. The DMFT equations to treat those cases have been established recently in [10, 16]. Our framework provides a way to analyze them and generalise the results obtained on these topics using simplified mean-field disordered systems [2 , 65].
- Dynamical theory of slow dynamics in well mixed interacting ecosystems. Simple models of ecosystems with a large number of species $[8, 66, 67]$ have been shown to display aging and, in cases of symmetric interactions, Full RSB physics [68 ,69]. Since DMFT naturally applies to them [8 ,70], a generalization of our approach offers a promising way to develop a theory of such phenomena.
- Inference and Machine Learning. Gradient descent algorithms are natural methods to deal with non-convex optimization problems. Although they are widely used in practice, a theory of their algorithmic threshold is lacking. Only very recently a first result has been obtained in a model of matrix-tensor PCA [17 , 18]. The study of the gradient descent dynamics in models such as the non-convex spherical perceptron [71], and generalized linear models [72] can be done by DMFT [9]. In consequence, our approach combined with the one of [17 , 18] provides a guide to develop a theory of the algorithmic threshold of gradient descent in these contexts.

Acknowledgments

We thanks L. Cugliandolo and J. Kurchan for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the Simons Foundation Grants No. #454935 (Giulio Biroli).

Appendix A. Alternative analysis of the spherical p-spin in terms of the virial equation

Appendix A.1. 1st approach

We propose here a complementary approach to derive the expression of the Lagrange multiplier in the spherical p -spin model. Our starting point is the equation for the spin evolution, Eq. (16) of the main text, in which we multiply both sides by s and average over the stochastic process. In this way, we can express the expectation value of the constraining force term in an analytically treatable way.

$$
\left\langle s\frac{ds}{dt}\right\rangle = -\left\langle s\frac{\partial V}{\partial s}\right\rangle + \frac{p(p-1)}{2}\int_0^t R(t,t')C^{p-2}(t,t')\langle s(t)s(t')\rangle dt' + \langle \xi(t)s(t)\rangle \tag{A.1}
$$

Using a property of Gaussian integrals, we can simplify the term $\langle \xi(t)s(t) \rangle$ by means of Novikov theorem [73] (aka Girsanov theorem in mathematical jargon). Keeping the discussion

Dynamical Mean-Field Theory and Aging Dynamics 21

as general as possible, let us suppose to be interested in computing the following value

$$
\langle \phi_k F(\phi) \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_0[0]} \int d\phi \, \phi_k F(\phi) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi A \phi} = -\frac{1}{Z_0[0]} \sum_n \Delta_{kn} \int d\phi \, F(\phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi A \phi} =
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{Z_0[0]} \sum_n \Delta_{kn} \int d\phi \, \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_n} F(\phi) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi A \phi}
$$
 (A.2)

where $\Delta = A^{-1}$ that in field theory corresponds also to the bare propagator, while the normalization factor is $Z_0[0] = \sqrt{2\pi/\det A}$. Using the aforementioned theorem, which remains valid as long as we consider Gaussian noises, the expectation value between the noise and the spin variable over the stochastic process can be rewritten in a more compact way as

$$
\langle \xi(t)s(t') \rangle = \int dt'' \mathcal{M}(t, t'') R(t', t'')
$$
\n(A.3)

where the kernel $\mathcal{M}(t,t') = 2T\delta(t-t') + \frac{p}{2}C^{p-1}(t,t')$ contains a non-interacting part satisfying the TTI hypothesis, and an interacting non-translational invariant contribution. Moreover, the first term on the LHS of Eq. (A.1), according to Ito's prescription, can be rewritten as

$$
\frac{ds^2}{dt} = 2s\frac{ds}{dt} + 2T\,,\tag{A.4}
$$

which reduces to: $s\frac{ds}{dt} + T = 0$. Then, Eq. (A.1) becomes:

$$
\left\langle s\frac{ds}{dt}\right\rangle = -\left\langle s\frac{\partial V}{\partial s}\right\rangle + \frac{p(p-1)}{2}\int_0^t R(t,t')C^{p-2}(t,t')\langle s(t)s(t')\rangle dt' + \langle \xi(t)s(t)\rangle \Rightarrow
$$

$$
-T = -\lambda + \frac{p(p-1)}{2}\int_0^t R(t,t')C^{p-1}(t,t')dt' + \int_0^t \left[2T\delta(t-t'') + \frac{p}{2}C^{p-1}(t,t'')\right]R(t,t'')dt''
$$
(A.5)

that, since $R(t, t)$ is zero for causality, implies

$$
-T = -\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2} \int_0^t R(t, t') C^{p-1}(t, t') dt' . \tag{A.6}
$$

This expression provides the well-known condition for the Lagrange multiplier in the case of a spherical p-spin model:

$$
\lambda = T + \frac{p^2}{2} \int_0^t R(t, t') C^{p-1}(t, t') dt' \qquad (A.7)
$$

If we integrate by part the argument in the integral we recover a compact expression for the spherical parameter:

$$
\lambda = T + \frac{p}{2} \left(\frac{1 - q_1^p}{T} + \frac{q_1^p}{T_{\text{eff}}} \right) \tag{A.8}
$$

which is equivalent to Eq. (46) and can be related to the expression of the asymptotic energy \mathcal{E}_{∞} as well, as known from Cugliandolo-Kurchan equations and corresponding to [2]:

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\infty} = -\frac{1}{2T} \left[(1 - q_1^p) + p q_1^{p-1} \int_0^1 d\mu'' \mathcal{R}(\mu'') \mathcal{C}^{p-1}(\mu'') \right]
$$
(A.9)

Note that the correlation and response depend now on the rescaled parameter $\mu \equiv t'/t$ that implies $C(t, t') = q C(\mu)$ and $tR(t, t') = \mathcal{R}(\mu)$.

Appendix A.2. 2nd approach

We again consider the equation of motion and multiply both sides by s

$$
\left\langle s\frac{ds}{dt}\right\rangle = -\left\langle s\frac{\partial V}{\partial s}\right\rangle + \frac{p(p-1)}{2}\int_0^t dt' R(t,t')C^{p-2}(t,t')\langle s(t)s(t')\rangle + \langle \xi(t)s(t)\rangle \tag{A.10}
$$

averaging then over the stochastic process. At this level, we do not need to specify the precise form of the potential. We proceed integrating by parts the first term in the RHS to keep the computation as general as possible. The last expectation value can be treated exactly as before using Novikov's theorem. This term basically sums up to the friction term on the RHS leading to

$$
-T = -\frac{1}{Z} \left\{ \int ds \left[-Ts \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} e^{-V(s)/T} \right) e^{\frac{p}{4T^2} (1 - q^{p-1})s^2 + \frac{hs}{T}} \right] \right\} + \frac{p^2}{2} \int_0^t R(t, t') C^{p-1}(t, t') dt' \tag{A.11}
$$

$$
-T = -\left[T + \frac{p}{2T}(1 - q_1^{p-1})\langle s^2 \rangle_h + h\langle s \rangle_h\right] + \frac{p}{2T}\left[1 - q_1^p(1 - x)\right]
$$
\n
$$
+ \left[1 - \frac{p}{2T}\left(1 - q_1^p(1 - x)\right)\right]
$$
\n
$$
(A.12)
$$

$$
\Rightarrow 0 = -\frac{p}{2T}(1 - q_1^{p-1})\langle s^2 \rangle_h - h \langle s \rangle_h + \frac{p}{2T} [1 - q_1^p(1-x)] .
$$

In the spherical model, the field distribution $P(h)$ can be exactly computed and becomes

$$
P(h) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left[-\frac{h^2}{2\left(\frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1}\right)} - \beta x F(h)\right] = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left\{-\frac{h^2}{2\left(\frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1}\right)} + \frac{\beta x h^2}{2\left[\lambda - \frac{p}{2T}\left(1 - q_1^{p-1}\right)\right]}\right\},\tag{A.13}
$$

where $T_{\text{eff}} = 1/(\beta x)$, while the normalization factor Z is

$$
Z = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{\frac{2q_1^{1-p}}{p} + \frac{(-1+q_1)x\beta}{T}}} \,. \tag{A.14}
$$

Using the additional condition on the spherical constraint, we can further simplify the denominator of the normalization factor and obtain a more compact expression for λ , as also reported in the main text:

$$
\lambda - \frac{p}{2T} (1 - q_1^{p-1}) = \frac{T}{1 - q_1} \tag{A.15}
$$

Hence, the short and long-time limit of the correlation function, which correspond respectively to q_d and q_1 in a static 1RSB computation, are:

$$
\overline{\langle s^2 \rangle} = \frac{1}{Z} \int dh \ P(h) \left[\frac{T}{T/(1-q_1)} + \frac{h^2}{(T/(1-q_1))^2} \right] =
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{(-1+q_1) \left[-2q_1 T^2 - pq_1^p(-1+q_1)(-1+x) \right]}{T \left[2q_1 T + p(-1+q_1) q_1^p x \beta \right]}
$$
\n(A.16)

and

$$
\overline{\langle s \rangle h} = \overline{\frac{h^2}{\left[\lambda - \frac{p}{2T}(1 - q^{p-1})\right]^2}} = \frac{1}{Z} \int dh \ P(h) \frac{h^2(1 - q_1)}{T} = -\frac{pq_1^p(-1 + q_1)}{2q_1T + pq_1^p(-1 + q_1)x\beta} \ . \tag{A.17}
$$

Then we use the spherical normalization condition, i.e. $\langle s^2 \rangle = 1$, which in terms of Eq. $(A.16)$ leads to an additional condition for the breaking parameter x:

$$
x^* = \frac{q_1^{-1-p} \left[pq_1^p - 2pq_1^{1+p} + pq_1^{2+p} - 2q_1^2 T^2 \right]}{p(-1+q_1)} . \tag{A.18}
$$

Page 23 of 32 **AUTHOR SUBMITTED MANUSCRIPT** - JPhysA-114041.R1

Dynamical Mean-Field Theory and Aging Dynamics 23

Coming back to Eq. $(A.12)$ and inserting the obtained expression for x, we eventually get:

$$
0 = -\frac{p}{2T}(1 - q_1^{p-1})\overline{\langle s^2 \rangle} - \overline{h\langle s \rangle} + \frac{p}{2T}[1 - q_1^p(1-x)] \Rightarrow
$$

\n
$$
0 = -\frac{p}{2T}(1 - q_1^{p-1}) + \frac{pq_1^p(-1+q_1)}{2q_1T + pq_1^px\beta(-1+q_1)}\bigg|_{x^*} + \frac{p}{2}\left(\frac{1 - q_1^p}{T} + \frac{q_1^p}{T_{\text{eff}}}\right)
$$
\n(A.19)

$$
\frac{p}{2T}(1 - q_1^{p-1}) = -\frac{q_1T}{1 - q_1} + \frac{p}{2} \left(\frac{1 - q_1^p}{T} + \frac{q_1^p}{T_{\text{eff}}} \right) \tag{A.20}
$$

To conclude this part of the computation we can resort to Eq. (A.15) and re-express everything in terms of λ as:

$$
\lambda = T + \frac{p}{2} \left(\frac{1 - q_1^p}{T} + \frac{q_1^p}{T_{\text{eff}}} \right) .
$$
 (A.21)

Appendix A.3. 3rd approach

We present now a third, alternative way based on integrating by parts the average spin values, which can be eventually re-expressed in terms of single free-energy differentiation contributions. Taking advantage of the simplifications performed up to Eq. (A.12), we can directly use the resulting equation

$$
-T = -\left[T + \frac{p}{2T}(1 - q_1^{p-1})\overline{\langle s^2 \rangle} + \overline{h\langle s \rangle}\right] + \frac{p}{2T}\left[1 - q_1^p(1 - x)\right]
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow 0 = -\frac{p}{2T}(1 - q_1^{p-1})\overline{\langle s^2 \rangle} - \overline{h\langle s \rangle} + \frac{p}{2T}\left[1 - q_1^p(1 - x)\right].
$$
 (A.22)

Given a generic function $F(h)$, we can write the following expectation value as

$$
h\langle s\rangle_h = -\int dh \ P(h) \frac{\partial F}{\partial h} h = -\int dh \ e^{-\frac{h^2}{2\left(\frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1}\right)} - \beta x F(h)} \frac{\partial F}{\partial h} h =
$$

= $-\left(\frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1}\right) \int dh \ e^{-\frac{h^2}{2\left(\frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1}\right)}} \left(e^{-\beta x F(h)} \frac{\partial F}{\partial h}\right)' =$
= $-\left(\frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1}\right) \int dh \ e^{-\frac{h^2}{2\left(\frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1}\right)}} \left[e^{-\beta x F(h)}(-\beta x) \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial h}\right)^2 + e^{-\beta x F(h)} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial h^2}\right].$ (A.23)

In this way, the he equation of motion (A.22) becomes

$$
\frac{p}{2T}(1-q_1^{p-1}) = \frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1} \int dh \ e^{-\frac{h^2}{2\left(\frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1}\right)}} e^{-\beta x F(h)}(-\beta x) \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial h}\right)^2 +
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1} \int dh \ e^{-\frac{h^2}{2\left(\frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1}\right)}} e^{-\beta x F(h)} \left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial h^2}\right) + \frac{p}{2T} \left[1-q_1^p(1-x)\right]
$$
\n(A.24)

where we have always used $\langle s^2 \rangle = 1$. Specialising the analysis to the spherical model with the following free-energy

$$
F(h) = -T \ln \int ds \ e^{-\frac{1}{T} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda - \frac{p}{2T} (1 - q_1^{p-1}) \right) s^2 - h s \right]} = -T \ln \left[\mathcal{N} e^{\frac{h^2}{2T \left[\lambda - \frac{p}{2T} (1 - q_1^{p-1}) \right]}} \right] \tag{A.25}
$$

whose normalization factor is

$$
\mathcal{N} = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \left[\lambda - \frac{p}{2T} (1 - q_1^{p-1}) \right]}} ,
$$
\n(A.26)

we have then proposed another way to derive the expression of the spherical parameter λ .

Appendix B. Ising spin model: failure of the previously proposed approach

For a discrete model we can in principle apply the same procedure starting from the usual equation of motion

$$
\left\langle s\frac{ds}{dt}\right\rangle = -\left\langle s\frac{\partial V}{\partial s}\right\rangle + \frac{p(p-1)}{2}\int_0^t dt' R(t,t')C^{p-2}(t,t')\langle s(t)s(t')\rangle + \langle \xi(t)s(t)\rangle ,\qquad (B.1)
$$

from which, by integrating by parts the first term on the RHS, we eventually obtain:

$$
-T = -\frac{1}{Z} \left\{ \int ds \left[s(-T) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} e^{-V(s)/T} \right) e^{\frac{p}{4T^2} (1 - q^{p-1})s^2 + \frac{hs}{T}} \right] \right\} +
$$

+
$$
\frac{p^2}{2} \int_0^t R(t, t') C^{p-1}(t, t') dt' .
$$
 (B.2)

At this level, we only need to determine the first two expectation values of the spins according to a 1RSB Ansatz:

$$
0 = -\frac{p}{2T}(1 - q_1^{p-1})\overline{\langle s^2 \rangle} - \overline{h\langle s \rangle} + \frac{p^2}{2} \int_0^t \frac{x}{T} \frac{\partial C}{\partial t'}(t, t') C^{p-1}(t, t') dt' . \tag{B.3}
$$

In the Ising spin case the conditional probability distribution $P(s|h)$ reads

$$
P(s|h) = \frac{e^{\frac{hs}{T}}}{2\cosh(h/T)} ,
$$
 (B.4)

which is crucial to determine the only non-trivial expectation value

$$
\overline{\langle s \rangle^2} = q_1 \equiv \overline{\left(\frac{e^{h/T} - e^{-h/T}}{2\cosh(h/T)}\right)^2} = \overline{\tanh^2(h/T)}
$$
(B.5)

as the other one is automatically known, $\langle s^2 \rangle = 1$. The field distribution in the Ising case is also known, defined throughout the parameter $x \leq 1$:

$$
P(h) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\frac{h^2}{2\left(\frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1}\right)}} \left(2\cosh(h/T)\right)^x , \qquad (B.6)
$$

which allows us to rewrite the equation of motion $(B.2)$ in the following form

$$
0 = -\frac{p}{2T}(1 - q_1^{p-1}) - \int \frac{dh}{Z} e^{-\frac{h^2}{2(\frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1})}} (2\cosh(h/T))^x \tanh(h/T)h + \frac{p^2}{2} \int \frac{x}{T} \frac{\partial C}{\partial t'}(t, t') C^{p-1}(t, t') dt'.
$$
 (B.7)

By integrating the second term on the RHS by parts and distinguishing the equilibrium and the off-equilibrium contributions of the response function, we end up with

$$
0 = -\frac{p}{2T}(1 - q_1^{p-1}) - \frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1} \left\{ \int \frac{dh}{Z} e^{-\frac{h^2}{2\left(\frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1}\right)}} (2\cosh(h/T))^x \left(1 - \tanh^2(h/T)\right) \frac{1}{T} + \int \frac{dh}{Z} e^{-\frac{h^2}{2\left(\frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1}\right)}} (2\cosh(h/T))^x \left(\frac{x}{T}\right) \tanh^2(h/T) \right\} + \frac{p}{2T} \left[1 - q_1^p(1-x)\right]
$$
\n(B.8)

53 54

123456789

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ 3 $\overline{4}$ 5 6 $\overline{7}$ 8 9

55 56

57 58

59

Dynamical Mean-Field Theory and Aging Dynamics 25

in other words

$$
0 = -\frac{p}{2T}(1 - q_1^{p-1}) - \frac{p}{2T}q_1^{p-1}\left(1 - (1 - x)\overline{\tanh^2(h/T)}\right) + \frac{p}{2T}\left[1 - q_1^p(1 - x)\right] \tag{B.9}
$$

which however leads to a trivial condition.

The case $p = 2$. -. We can consider two simple limiting case, for $x = 0$ and $x = 1$. By setting $x = 0$ and $p = 2$ in the above equation, we simply recover an identity relationship for the overlap q_1 , that is:

$$
0 = \frac{1}{T} \left\{ -(1 - q_1) - q_1 \frac{1}{Z} \int dh \ e^{-h^2/(2q)} \left[1 - \tanh^2(h/T) \right] + (1 - q_1^2) \right\}
$$
(B.10)

where the normalization factor $Z = \sqrt{2\pi q_1}$. Therefore, we immediately find q_1 $\int dh P(h, x = 0) \tanh^2(h/T)$. Furthermore, if we consider the other straightforward limit for $x = 1$ – which essentially corresponds to an expansion around the plateau, as performed in [74] – we find an automatically satisfied relation for the field distribution $P(h)$, *i.e.* $\int dh P(h) = 1$.

Appendix B.1. Double-well potential: perturbative expansion in the limit of a infinitely narrow double well

To better investigate the peculiarities of the different models, we have also considered a double-well potential

$$
V(s) = \alpha (s^2 - 1)^2
$$
 (B.11)

where α is a tunable parameter that modulates the roughness of the given potential. In the limit of large α , we can safely consider the saddle-point approximation and rewrite the potential as a function of the two different symmetric contributions, *i.e.* $P(s, h)$ = $P(+1, h)+P(-1, h)$. We thus perform a harmonic expansion around each minimum obtaining to the leading order

$$
\tilde{V}(s) = 4\alpha(s-1)^2 + o\left((s-1)^2\right)
$$
\n(B.12)

and similarly for the other minimum, each being of $O(1/\alpha)$. By neglecting the contribution of higher-order terms, the two normalization factors accounting respectively for the expansion around $s = 1$ and $s = -1$ turn out to be respectively:

$$
Z_1 \propto \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \ e^{\left[-\frac{4\alpha}{T} + \frac{p}{4T^2} (q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1}) \right] u^2 + \left[\frac{p}{2T^2} (q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1}) + \frac{h}{T} \right] u + \frac{h}{T} + \frac{p}{4T^2} (q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1})} \tag{B.13}
$$

and

$$
Z_{-1} \propto \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \ e^{-\frac{4\alpha}{T} + \frac{p}{4T^2} (q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1})} u^2 + \left[-\frac{p}{2T^2} (q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1}) + \frac{h}{T} \right] u - \frac{h}{T} + \frac{p}{4T^2} (q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1})},
$$
\n(B.14)

where u has been introduced to denote the change of variable, *i.e.* $u = s - 1$ in the first Z-contribution and $u = s + 1$ in the second one.

The boundary term $\frac{p}{4T^2} (q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1})$ and the external field h contribute only to tilting the potential, hence favouring the positive (or negative) minimum depending on the relative decrease of the free energy. Therefore, the resulting expression of the free energy can be

written as a sum of the two harmonic contributions:

$$
F(h) = -T \ln \left\{ \int ds_{(1)} e^{-\frac{1}{T} \left[V(s_{(1)}) - \frac{p}{4T} (q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1}) s_{(1)}^2 - h s_{(1)} \right]_+ + \int ds_{(-1)} e^{-\frac{1}{T} \left[V(s_{(-1)}) - \frac{p}{4T} (q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1}) s_{(-1)}^2 - h s_{(-1)} \right]_+ \right\}} =
$$

=
$$
-T \ln \left(\frac{e^{\frac{h^2 q_1 q_d T + 4 \alpha p q_1^p q_d - 4 \alpha p q_1 q_d^p - 16 h q_1 q_d T \alpha}}{-p q_1^p q_d T + p q_1 q_d^p T + 16 q_1 q_d T^2 \alpha}} + \frac{e^{\frac{h^2 q_1 q_d T + 4 \alpha p \left(q_1^p q_d - q_1 q_d^p \right) + 16 h q_1 q_d T \alpha}}{-p q_1^p q_d T + p q_1 q_d^p T + 16 q_1 q_d T^2 \alpha}}{\sqrt{\frac{-p q_1^p q_d + p q_1 q_d^p + 16 q_1 q_d T^2}{q_1 q_d T^2}}}} \right) \tag{B.15}
$$

from which, focusing on the h-dependent terms and neglecting irrelevant prefactors in the logarithm, we recover in the limit $\alpha \to \infty$ the well-known relationship for the Ising model, i.e. $F(h) = -T \ln (2 \cosh(h/T)).$

To enter into the details of the computation, we consider as usual the effective equation of motion

$$
\left\langle s\frac{ds}{dt}\right\rangle = -\left\langle s\frac{\partial V}{\partial s}\right\rangle + \frac{p(p-1)}{2}\int_0^t dt' R(t,t')C^{p-2}(t,t')\langle s(t)s(t')\rangle + \langle \xi(t)s(t)\rangle \tag{B.16}
$$

which, as long discussed before, can be simplified by integrating by parts. It eventually leads to

$$
0 = -\frac{p}{2T} \left(q_d^{p-1} - q_1^{p-1} \right) \overline{\langle s^2 \rangle} - \overline{h \langle s \rangle} + \frac{p}{2T} \left[q_d^p - q_1^p (1-x) \right] . \tag{B.17}
$$

which requires the computation of the following expectation value

$$
\overline{h\langle s\rangle_h} = -\int dh \ P(h) \frac{\partial F}{\partial h} h = -\int dh \ e^{-\frac{h^2}{2\left(\frac{p}{2}q^{p-1}\right)} - \beta x F(h)} \frac{\partial F}{\partial h} h =
$$

= -\left(\frac{p}{2}q^{p-1}\right) \int dh \ e^{-\frac{h^2}{2\left(\frac{p}{2}q^{p-1}\right)}} e^{-\beta x F(h)} \left[(-\beta x) \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial h}\right)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial h^2}\right]. (B.18)

and therefore of the first two derivatives of $F(h)$ w.r.t h to be conveniently expanded in powers of $1/\alpha$. According to Eq. (B.18), the two contributions respectively imply:

$$
\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial h}\right)^2 = \left\{-\frac{2q_1q_dT\left[h + 8\alpha\tanh\left(\frac{16hq_1q_d\alpha}{-pq_1^pq_d + pq_1q_d^p + 16q_1q_dT\alpha}\right)\right]}{(-pq_1^pq_d + pq_1q_d^p + 16q_1q_dT\alpha)}\right\}^2, \quad (B.19)
$$

$$
\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial h^2} = -\frac{2q_1q_dT \left[-pq_1^p q_d + pq_1q_d^p + 16q_1q_dT\alpha + 128q_1q_d\alpha^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \left(\frac{16hq_1q_d\alpha}{-pq_1^p q_d + pq_1q_d^p + 16q_1q_dT\alpha} \right) \right]}{(-pq_1^p q_d + pq_1q_d^p + 16q_1q_dT\alpha)^2},
$$
\n(B.20)

that, once they are expanded to the leading order in $1/\alpha$, yield:

$$
\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial h}\right)^2 \simeq \tanh^2(h/T) + \frac{1}{8q_1q_d\alpha} \left[\tanh(h/T) \times \times \left(2hq_1q_d - p(-q_1^pq_d + q_1q_d^p)\frac{1}{T}(h/T\ \text{sech}^2(h/T) + \tanh(h/T)\right)\right] + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\right)
$$
\n(B.21)

$$
\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial h^2} \simeq -\frac{1}{T} \operatorname{sech}^2(h/T) + \frac{1}{8q_1 q_d \alpha} \left[-p \left(-q_1^p q_d + q_1 q_d^p \right) \frac{1}{T^2} \operatorname{sech}^2(h/T) \times \right. \\
\times (-1 + h/T \tanh(h/T)) \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\right)
$$
\n(B.22)

54 55

123456789

- 56
- 57
- 58 59

 \spadesuit In the simplest case, which corresponds to setting the diagonal value $q_d = 1$ and the breaking parameter $x = 1$, the equation of motion reduces to

$$
\frac{p}{2T} - \frac{p}{2T}q_1^{p-1} = -\frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1} \int dh P(h) \frac{x}{T} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial h}\right)^2 + \frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1} \int dh P(h) \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial h^2} + \frac{p}{2T} ,
$$

\n
$$
0 = 1 - \int dh P(h) \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial h}\right)^2 + \int dh P(h) \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial h^2}
$$
 (B.23)

and focusing only on the leading order terms, we would get:

$$
0 = 1 - \int dh P(h) \tanh^2(h/T) - \int dh P(h) \mathrm{sech}^2(h/T) . \tag{B.24}
$$

Again, this equation results into an identity condition for the probability distribution $P(h)$. Going further in the expansion of Eqs. (B.19)-(B.20) and including also higher-order terms in the computation

$$
0 = -\frac{p}{2T}q_1^{p-1} \int dh P(h) \left[\frac{1}{8\alpha} \tanh(h/T)2h + \frac{p(q_1^p - q_1)}{8q_1T^2\alpha} h \tanh(h/T) \operatorname{sech}^2(h/T) + \frac{p(q_1^p - q_1)}{8q_1T\alpha} \tanh^2(h/T) \right] - \frac{p}{2T}q_1^{p-1} \int dh P(h) \left[\frac{p(q_1^p - q_1)}{8q_1T\alpha} \left(\operatorname{sech}^2(h/T) + \frac{1}{T} h \tanh(h/T) \operatorname{sech}^2(h/T) \right) \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\right)
$$
\n(B.25)

we nevertheless notice that the last term – that might be possibly simplified by integration by parts – cancels out with the same term of opposite sign in the first line.

The problem is thus solved in the case of a spherical p -spin, but not in more general cases. Even for the soft-spin version of the Ising model, based on the introduction of a tunable parameter α modulating the roughness of the double-well potential, the equation above appears to be needless and to provide only very basic information.

From this analysis, we conclude that the virial equation (B.16) is nothing more than an equation for the correlation $C(t, t')$ at equal times that, in the case of an Ising model, is automatically satisfied whereas in the spherical model leads to an additional condition useful to fix the spherical parameter. To determine the effective temperature and close the system of equations, we need to define an additional condition to be mapped on the analogue of an equation for the response function.

Dynamical Mean-Field Theory and Aging Dynamics 28

Appendix C. Connection between effective temperature and breaking parameter of the static solution

In the case of the spherical p -spin model, we have all the tools to show the underlying mapping between the effective temperature T_{eff} and the breaking point x of the static solution. The stationary field distribution has a simple quadratic dependence on the effective field and can be easily manipulated to get all other missing information.

$$
P(h) = \exp\left\{-\frac{h^2}{T_{\text{eff}}}\left[\frac{1}{\frac{2pq_1^{p-1}}{2T_{\text{eff}}}} - \frac{1}{2\left(\lambda - \frac{p}{2T}(1 - q_1^{p-1})\right)}\right]\right\} =
$$
\n
$$
= \exp\left\{-\frac{h^2}{T_{\text{eff}}}\frac{\lambda - \frac{p}{2T}(1 - q_1^{p-1}) - \frac{p}{2T_{\text{eff}}}q_1^{p-1}}{2\frac{p}{2T_{\text{eff}}}q_1^{p-1}\left(\lambda - \frac{p}{2T}(1 - q_1^{p-1})\right)}\right\}
$$
\n(C.1)

In Secs. (4.2) and (4.4) we have shown that

$$
\overline{\langle s \rangle} = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(s|h)s}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(s|h)} = \frac{1}{\lambda - \frac{p}{2T}(1 - q_1^{p-1})} \left[\frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_0^t R_A(t, t') C_A(t, t')^{p-2} s(t') dt' + \xi_A \right]
$$

$$
= \frac{h}{\lambda - \frac{p}{2T}(1 - q^{p-1})}.
$$
\n(C.2)

to be eventually averaged over the effective field distribution $P(h)$. Using the information on the average spin value, we can rewrite the equation over the off-diagonal value of the overlap matrix, q ¹, as

$$
q_1 = \frac{\frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-1} \left[\lambda - \frac{p}{2T}(1 - q_1^{p-1})\right]}{\left[\lambda - \frac{p}{2T}(1 - q_1^{p-1})\right]^2 \left[\lambda - \frac{p}{2T}(1 - q_1^{p-1}) - \frac{p}{2T_{\text{eff}}}q_1^{p-1}\right]}
$$
(C.3)

and by simple algebraic manipulations get the following expression:

$$
\left[\lambda - \frac{p}{2T}(1 - q_1^{p-1})\right] \left[\lambda - \frac{p}{2T}(1 - q_1^{p-1}) - \frac{p}{2T_{\text{eff}}}q_1^{p-1}\right] = \frac{p}{2}q_1^{p-2} .\tag{C.4}
$$

The first parenthesis can be rewritten in a more straightforward way by using the condition for the Lagrange multiplier, as derived in Eq. (A.15), *i.e.* $\lambda - \frac{p}{2T}(1 - q_1^{p-1}) = T/(1 - q_1)$. The above equation becomes then

$$
\frac{T^2}{(1-q_1)^2} - \frac{T}{(1-q_1)} \frac{p}{2T_{\text{eff}}} q_1^{p-1} = \frac{p}{2} q_1^{p-2} \quad \to \quad 1 - \frac{(1-q_1)}{T} \frac{p}{2T_{\text{eff}}} q_1^{p-1} = \frac{p}{2T^2} q_1^{p-2} (1-q_1)^2 \tag{C.5}
$$

To extract a resulting equation for the effective temperature we can recall the condition obtained in the main text in terms marginal stability, which has been imposed on the TTI dynamics. Then, using Eq. (62) and simply equating the RHS to $1/(p-1)$, we obtain:

$$
p - 2 - \frac{(1 - q_1)}{T} \frac{p(p - 1)}{2T_{\text{eff}}} q_1^{p - 1} = 0.
$$
 (C.6)

We have thus recovered the relationship between the effective temperature and the breaking parameter x within the 1RSB approximation in the replica formalism for the spherical p-spin model:

$$
x \equiv \frac{T}{T_{\text{eff}}} = \frac{(p-2)(1-q_1)}{q_1} \ . \tag{C.7}
$$

Dynamical Mean-Field Theory and Aging Dynamics 29

The resulting value of the breaking parameter x corresponds to those TAP states which are marginally stable, the so-called *threshold states*. The critical slowing down of the dynamics and related aging phenomena are then consequences of the flatness of the free energy around these states.

Appendix D. Connection with previous formalisms and identification of the anomaly

To prove the extreme generality of our approach we have also considered the problem of particle in a random manifold that has been extensively studied in the past $[4,5]$ and from which, under suitable assumptions, the usual equations for the spherical p-spin model can be recovered. The mean-field dynamical equations the two-time correlation and response functions can be expressed in the following form:

$$
\frac{\partial C(t,t')}{\partial t} = -\lambda C(t,t') + \frac{p}{2} \int_0^{t'} ds \ C^{p-1}(t,s)R(t',s) +
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_0^t ds \ C^{p-2}(t,s)R(t,s) [C(t,t') - C(s,t')] + 2TR(t',t) ,
$$
\n(D.1)

$$
\frac{\partial R(t, t')}{\partial t} = -\lambda R(t, t') - \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_0^t ds \ C^{p-2}(t, s) R(t, s) \left[R(t, t') - R(s, t') \right] \tag{D.2}
$$

where the function must satisfy the following prescriptions according to the causality property and the Ito integration scheme:

$$
R(t,t) = 0
$$
, $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} R(t, t - \epsilon) = 1$, $R(t', t) = 0$ if $t > t'$ (D.3)

Therefore, the last term in the equation for the correlation function vanishes and, as $t' \rightarrow t$, Eq. (D.1) becomes

$$
\frac{1}{2}\frac{dC(t,t)}{dt} = -\lambda C(t,t) + T + \frac{p}{2} \int_0^t ds \ C^{p-1}(t,s)R(t,s) +
$$

+
$$
\frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_0^t ds \ C^{p-2}(t,s)R(t,s) [C(t,t) - C(s,s) + B(t,s)]
$$
 (D.4)

or, equivalently, in terms of the mean-squared displacement $B(t, t')$, which is defined as

$$
B(t, t') \equiv C(t, t) + C(t', t') - 2C(t, t') = \overline{\langle [s(t) - s(t')]^{2} \rangle}.
$$
 (D.5)

If the correlation is set to q , the above equation for the total correlation evaluated for $t \approx t'$ reduces to

$$
\lambda(t)q = T + \int_0^t ds \left[\frac{p}{2} C^{p-1}(t,s) + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} C^{p-2}(t,s) B(t,s) \right] R(t,s) .
$$
 (D.6)

The Lagrange multiplier has an explicit dependence on time and has to be properly fixed accordingly to the condition on the spherical constraint. If we impose $q = 1$ and simplify the product of the different combinations of correlations, we recover exactly the same expression as in $(A.7)$, which has been obtained before in Eq. $(A.21)$ by using a virial expansion, namely:

$$
\lambda = T + \frac{p^2}{2} \int_0^t R(t, t') C^{p-1}(t, t') dt'
$$
 (D.7)

Additional equation on the response function and derivation of the marginal stability condition

We want now to consider the second equation, for the response function, and to derive the analogue of the anomaly, which accounts for those times that are not included in the asymptotic regime but for which aging effects are nevertheless relevant. The anomaly essentially couples the asymptotic time regime, for which $t - t'$ is finite, with the nonasymptotic dynamical contribution. It is zero in the high-temperature phase and takes a non-vanishing contribution in the aging regime, associated with a finite value of the overlap parameter [4].

The equation for the response function analysed in the aging regime implies

$$
0 = \left[-\lambda_{\infty} + \frac{p}{2T} \left(1 - q_1^{p-1} \right) \right] R_A(t, t') + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} R_A(t, t') C_A^{p-2}(t, t') \frac{(1-q_1)}{T} + \\ + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_{t'}^{t} R_A(s, t'') C_A^{p-2}(s, t'') R_A(t'', t') ds \tag{D.8}
$$

which, for $t' \approx t$, becomes:

$$
0 = R_{A}(t, t') \left[-\lambda_{\infty} + \frac{p}{2T} (1 - q_1^{p-1}) + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} C_{A}^{p-2}(t, t') \frac{1 - q_1}{T} \right] .
$$
 (D.9)

By plugging the asymptotic value of the Lagrange multiplier in the previous expression

$$
\lambda_{\infty} = \frac{T}{(1 - q_1)} + \frac{p}{2T} (1 - q_1^{p-1}), \qquad (D.10)
$$

we immediately get

$$
0 = R_A(t, t') \left[-\frac{T}{(1-q_1)} - \frac{p}{2T} (1 - q_1^{p-1}) + \frac{p}{2T} (1 - q_1^{p-1}) + \frac{p(p-1)}{2T} q_1^{p-2} (1 - q_1) \right]
$$
(D.11)

By requiring that the response function is nonzero, we can obtain the marginality condition in an alternative way

$$
\frac{1}{p-1} = \left(\frac{p}{2T^2}\right) q_1^{p-2} (1 - q_1)^2
$$
\n(D.12)

which precisely corresponds to the appearance of a vanishing replicon eigenvalue in the stability matrix according to a static replica formalism.

Dynamical Mean-Field Theory and Aging Dynamics 31

References

- [1] Sompolinsky H and Zippelius A 1982 Physical Review B 25 6860
- [2] Cugliandolo L F and Kurchan J 1993 Physical Review Letters 71 173
- [3] Cugliandolo L F, Kurchan J and Ritort F 1994 Physical Review B 49 6331
- [4] Franz S and Mézard M 1994 Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications 210 48–72
- [5] Cugliandolo L F and Le Doussal P 1996 Physical Review E 53 1525
- [6] Folena G, Franz S and Ricci-Tersenghi F 2019 arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.01421
- [7] Bernaschi M, Billoire A, Maiorano A, Parisi G and Ricci-Tersenghi F 2019 arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.11195
- [8] Roy F, Biroli G, Bunin G and Cammarota C 2019 Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 52 484001
- [9] Agoritsas E, Biroli G, Urbani P and Zamponi F 2018 Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 51 085002
- [10] Agoritsas E, Maimbourg T and Zamponi F 2019 Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 52 144002
- [11] Cugliandolo L F 2002 arXiv preprint arXiv:cond-mat/0210312
- [12] Cugliandolo L F 2003 Slow relaxation and nonequilibrium dynamics in condensed matter Les Houches Session vol 77
- [13] Sompolinsky H 1981 Physical Review Letters 47 935
- [14] Cugliandolo L F and Kurchan J 2000 Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 69 247–256
- [15] Mézard M, Parisi G and Virasoro M 1987 Spin glass theory and beyond: An Introduction to the Replica Method and Its Applications vol 9 (World Scientific Publishing Company)
- [16] Agoritsas E, Maimbourg T and Zamponi F 2019 Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 52 334001
- [17] Mannelli S S, Biroli G, Cammarota C, Krzakala F and Zdeborová L 2019 Who is afraid of big bad minima? analysis of gradient-flow in spiked matrix-tensor models Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems pp 8676–8686
- [18] Mannelli S S, Biroli G, Cammarota C, Krzakala F, Urbani P and Zdeborová L 2020 Physical Review X 10 011057
- [19] Kirkpatrick T R and Thirumalai D 1987 Physical Review B 36 5388
- [20] Thirumalai D and Kirkpatrick T 1988 Physical Review B 38 4881
- [21] Kirkpatrick T R, Thirumalai D and Wolynes P G 1989 Physical Review A 40 1045
- [22] Kirkpatrick T and Thirumalai D 1989 Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 22 L149
- [23] Castellani T and Cavagna A 2005 Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2005 P05012
- [24] Sherrington D and Kirkpatrick S 1975 Physical review letters 35 1792
- [25] Kirkpatrick S and Sherrington D 1978 Physical Review B 17 4384
- [26] Crisanti A, Horner H and Sommers H J 1993 Zeitschrift für Physik B Condensed Matter 92 257–271
- [27] Bengtzelius U, Gotze W and Sjolander A 1984 Journal of Physics C: solid state Physics 17 5915
- [28] Thouless D J, Anderson P W and Palmer R G 1977 Philosophical Magazine 35 593–601
- [29] Cavagna A, Giardina I and Parisi G 1998 Physical Review B 57 11251
- [30] Fyodorov Y V 2004 Physical review letters 92 240601
- [31] Fyodorov Y V and Williams I 2007 Journal of Statistical Physics 129 1081–1116
- [32] Bray A J and Dean D S 2007 Physical review letters 98 150201
- [33] Auffinger A and Arous G B 2011 arXiv preprint arXiv:1110.5872
- [34] Auffinger A, Arous G B and Cerny J 2013 Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics 66 165–201
- [35] Franz S, Mézard M, Parisi G and Peliti L 1998 Physical Review Letters 81 1758
- [36] Gardner E 1985 Nuclear Physics B 257 747–765
- [37] Charbonneau P, Kurchan J, Parisi G, Urbani P and Zamponi F 2014 Nature communications 5 1–6
- [38] Rizzo T 2013 Physical Review E 88 032135
- [39] Bouchaud J P, Cugliandolo L F, Kurchan J and Mezard M 1998 Spin glasses and random fields 161–223
- [40] Monthus C and Bouchaud J P 1996 Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 29 3847
- [41] Cugliandolo L F and Kurchan J 2008 Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 41 324018

- [42] Franz S and Virasoro M A 2000 Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 33 891
- [43] Cugliandolo L F, Kurchan J and Parisi G 1994 Journal de Physique I 4 1641–1656
- [44] Cugliandolo L F and Lozano G 1998 Physical review letters 80 4979
- [45] Bouchaud J 2000 Institute of Physics Publishing 359 365–367
- [46] Biroli G 2005 Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2005 P05014
- [47] Parisi G 1980 Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 13 1101
- [48] Parisi G 1983 Physical Review Letters 50 1946
- [49] Parisi G 1987 Physica Scripta 35 123

- [50] Mézard M and Parisi G 2003 Journal of Statistical Physics 111 1–34
- [51] Baldassarri A, Cugliandolo L F, Kurchan J and Parisi G 1995 Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 28 1831
- [52] Cugliandolo L F, Kurchan J and Peliti L 1997 Physical Review E 55 3898
- [53] Fischer K H and Hertz J A 1993 Spin glasses vol 1 (Cambridge university press)
- [54] Crisanti A, Leuzzi L and Rizzo T 2005 Physical Review B 71 094202
- [55] Crisanti A and Sommers H J 1992 Zeitschrift für Physik B Condensed Matter 87 341–354
- [56] Mézard M and Virasoro M A 1985 Journal de Physique 46 1293–1307
- [57] Crisanti A and Rizzo T 2002 Physical Review E 65 046137
- [58] Parisi G 2017 Journal of Statistical Physics 167 515–542
- [59] Sommers H J 1983 Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 16 447
- [60] Sommers H J and Dupont W 1984 Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics 17 5785
- [61] Cugliandolo L F and Kurchan J 1994 Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 27 5749
- [62] Barrat A 1997 arXiv preprint cond-mat/9701031
- [63] Franz S and Parisi G 2013 Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2013 P02003
- [64] Franz S, Parisi G and Urbani P 2015 Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 48 19FT01
- [65] Berthier L and Barrat J L 2002 The Journal of Chemical Physics 116 6228–6242
- [66] Bunin G 2017 Physical Review E 95 042414
- [67] Barbier M, Arnoldi J F, Bunin G and Loreau M 2018 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 115 2156–2161
- [68] Biroli G, Bunin G and Cammarota C 2018 New Journal of Physics 20 083051
- [69] Altieri A, Roy F, Biroli G and Cammarota C in preparation (2020)
- [70] Galla T 2018 EPL (Europhysics Letters) 123 48004
- [71] Franz S and Parisi G 2016 Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 49 145001
- [72] Maillard A, Arous G B and Biroli G 2019 arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.02143
- [73] Camacho C and Neto A L 2013 Geometric theory of foliations (Springer Science & Business Media)
- [74] Parisi G and Rizzo T 2013 Physical Review E 87 012101