

Effects of chelators on the structure and electrochemical properties of Li-rich Li1.2Ni0.13Co0.13Mn0.54O2 cathode materials

A. Abdel-Ghany, A. M Hashem, A. Mauger, C.M. Julien

To cite this version:

A. Abdel-Ghany, A. M Hashem, A. Mauger, C.M. Julien. Effects of chelators on the structure and electrochemical properties of Li-rich Li1.2Ni0.13Co0.13Mn0.54O2 cathode materials. Journal of Solid State Electrochemistry, 2020, 24 (11-12), pp.3157-3172. 10.1007/s10008-020-04789-7. hal-03014158

HAL Id: hal-03014158 <https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03014158v1>

Submitted on 19 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Effects of chelators on the structure and electrochemical properties of Li-rich Li1.2Ni0.13Co0.13Mn0.54O2 cathode materials

A. E. Abdel-Ghany1, A. M. Hashem1, A. Mauger2, C.M. Julien2

1 National Research Centre, Inorganic Chemistry Department, 33 El Bohouth St. (former El Tahrir St.), Dokki-Giza 12622, Egypt

2 Institut de Minéralogie, de Physique des Matériaux et Cosmologie (IMPMC), Sorbonne Université, UMR-CNRS 7590, 4 place Jussieu, 75752 Paris, France

Abstract

In this study, carboxylic acids, namely concentrated citric acid and ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid (EDTA) solution, are used as chelators to synthesize Li-rich layered $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O₂$ (LLNMC) by sol-gel method. We investigate the influence of these chelators on the morphology crystal properties, and electrochemical performance of LNMC. Based on XRD data, the synthesized materials can be characterized as a combination of two phases, a rhombohedral $R\bar{3}m$ phase (LiNi_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}Co_{1/3}O₂) and a monoclinic $C2/m$ phase $(Li2MnO₃)$, which, according to the stoichiometry, are $xLi2MnO₃*(1x)LiNi_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}Co_{1/3}O₂ with$ $x=0.5$. The morphology and local structure were studied using electron microscopy (SEM, TEM and HRTEM) and Raman spectroscopy, respectively. A clear evidence for the effect of chelating agent was observed from electrochemical tests carried out by galvanostatic charge-discharge cycling and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. The sample prepared via EDTA as organic complexing agent exhibits the best electrochemical properties, with higher capacity and rate capability.

Keywords: Chelating agent; Li-rich cathode; Lithium-ion batteries; Electrochemical impedance

1. Introduction

The lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) are considered as a key product to the progressive replacement of fossil energy by green energy. Their use in some applications require ever growing energy densities, for instance to increase the driving range of electric vehicles. To satisfy this purpose, intense research is currently done on advanced positive electrode materials, since they are limiting components of LIBs [1,2]. In particular, layered lithiumrich oxides, represented by $xLi_2MnO_3·(1x)LiNi_xMn_yCo_{1-x-y}O_2$ (shorted as LLNMC) exhibit a reversible specific capacity of ≥ 250 mAh g⁻¹ and wide operating voltage range (2.0-4.8 V vs. Li+/Li) [3-7]. The performance compares well with the capacity of conventional cathode materials (≤ 200 mAh g¹), such as LiCoO₂ (LCO), LiNi_xMn_yCo_{1-x-} yO_2 (NMC) and LiNi_xCo_{1xy}Al_yO₂ (NCA). The remarkably high capacity of LLNMC is obtained when the $Li₂MnO₃$ component is activated, which requires a charge potential higher than 4.5 V [8-10]. However, this large potential leads to endangerment for its crystal structure, so that this electrode suffers from a high initial irreversible capacity loss (ICL), a low rate capability and increasing polarization upon cycle number [11]. The high ILC values are due to the extraction of lithium as Li2O followed by an elimination of oxide ion vacancies from the layered lattice involving the diffusion of cations and anions during first charge [5, 12]. To reduce this ICL, different strategies have been proposed. One of them is the optimisation of the nano-particle morphology [13]. Another one is the surface modification. This can be achieved by coating the particles with other compounds such as AlPO₄ [14], AlF₃ [15], FeF₃ [16], V₂O₅ [17], or Al₂O₃ [18]. Another effective strategy to reduce the oxygen release is pre-activation, which is another type of surface modification, this time by chemical reaction. Different reagents were used, including acids like HNO₃ [19] and citric acid [20], but also $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$, $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$, and $\text{NH}_4\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ [21-23]. Deionized water also proved effective in realizing pre-activation [24]. As an extreme case, the modification can extend to the bulk of the particles by insertion of abundant nanoscale defects [25]. The difficulty in this later case is to avoid the damage of the crystal lattice, which would deteriorate the cycle ability. Acid leaching is associated with a H⁺/Li⁺ exchange and simultaneous removal of $O²$ that activates Li₂MnO₃ [26,27].

Various synthesis methods have been used to prepare LLNMCs, such as sol-gel [11,28-31], co-precipitation [32-35]), solid state reaction [36,37], molten salt [38] and combustion [39]. Among them, the sol–gel method is the most popular to produce homogeneous and stoichiometric nano-sized materials [13,40].

In the aqueous organic sol-gel route, precursors, i.e. metal acetates or metal nitrates, react with a polyfunctional acid such as citric acid to form an amorphous gel with production of stable complexes and absence of precipitation. Ethylene-diamine-tetraacetic acid (EDTA, $(CH_2N)_2(CH_2COOH)_4$) is an amimo-polycarboxylic acid, which forms more stable soluble complexes with metallic elements than citric acid due to its ability to bind almost any cation. Depending on the pH of the aqueous solution, the four carboxylate groups of EDTA allow the molecule to behave as multi-dentate ligand. The binding ability of EDTA is generally enhanced with increasing pH [13,40], especially as it is triply ionized at pH 7.4 [41]. ETDA synthesis was successfully used to prepare a variety of oxides for LIBs, such as $LiV₃O₈$ [42], $LiNi_{0.80}Co_{0.15}Al_{0.05}O₂$ [43], and Li₃V₂(PO₄)₃ [44], Li₄Ti₅O₁₂ [45], Li_{1.2}Mn_{0.6-x}Ni_{0.2-x}Al_{2x}O₂ [46]. Recently, the EDTA technique was utilized to explore the best conditions for the production of nanoparticles of LiMn2O4. This synthesis has revealed many advantages such as a better control of particle morphology at temperature of 650 °C, the lowest calcination process for LiMn₂O₄ [47].

 $Li_{1,2}Ni_{0,13}M_{10,54}Co_{0,13}O_2$ compounds belong to the family of *z*Li(Li_{1/3}Mn_{2/3})O₂•(1*z*)Li(Ni_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}Co_{1/3})O₂ solid solutions with *z*=0.5 [48]. These materials have been fabricated by wet-chemical synthesis with the assistance of different chelators such as citric acid (CA) [49] and oxalic acid (OA) [30]. The synthesis of $Li_{1,2}Ni_{0,13}M_{10,54}Co_{0,13}O_2$ assisted by oxalic acid produced abundant nano-particles and porous structures generated by gas release during the high temperature calcination process [30]. Different morphologies influenced by the precipitators such as NaOH and $(NH₄)₂CO₃$ were obtained for Li-rich oxides prepared via rapid nucleation and postsolvothermal method [50]. In the context of the transition-metal (TM) oxide synthesis, the formation of strong links through the carboxyl groups with a metal ion leads to the

nucleation of nanosized particles with high degree of homogeneity [51]. But with the deterioration of the CA during the preparation and calcination processes its granules encapsulated randomly with carbon on the surface of the cathode, which damages the desired electrochemical properties [52]. This was a motivation to use another chelating agent such as EDTA, which in addition contains nitrogen atoms that work as fuel in internal heat production and performs a combustion reaction. Heat generated leads to acceleration in the decomposition of the remaining component that results in smaller particle size with higher homogeneity. As a result, the physicochemical properties of the powders depend importantly on the nature of chelating agent used in the sol-gel method.

In this work, a comparison of the structural and electrochemical of $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Co_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}O_2$ powders was done by studying the effect of different chelating agents, namely CA, EDTA and CA-EDTA mixture. Another sample was fabricated via sol-gel method free of chelating agent, (self-sol-gel, SSG). We believe that a comparison and understanding of the role of different chelating agents should be helpful to design and choose suitable synthetic routes for this promising electrode material.

2. Experimental

2.1. Sample synthesis

Four Li1.2Ni0.13Mn0.54Co0.13O2 samples were prepared by a sol−gel method from an aqueous solution of metal acetates mixed. One sample was prepared by SSG route via a two-step process and three samples were fabricated using CA, EDTA and CA-EDTA. The precursor of the SSG powders was prepared via a two-step process by evaporation of two aqueous solutions: solution (1) of mixed metal acetates with 10% excess lithium (molar ratio Li/Ni/Co/Mn = 1.1:0.33:0.33:0.33), and solution (2) containing $Li(CH_3COO)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ (10% excess lithium and Mn(CH₃COO)₂•4H₂O with the molar ratio Li:Mn = 2.2:1. Each solution was stirred for 5 h at room temperature. Then, solution (2) was placed in water bath at 80 °C with dropwise addition of solution (1). This mixture was evaporated continuously until it turned into brown bubble. Finally, the precursor was achieved by burning the gel in the hot plate at 250 °C. The obtained powder was calcined at 800 °C for 20 h in air to get the final product $Li_{1,2}Ni_{0,13}Co_{0,13}Mn_{0,54}O_2$. For the sol-gel samples fabricated in the presence of chelating agent, the preparation of the precursor is reported in Ref. [53]. In brief, stoichiometric amounts of metal acetates *M*(CH3COO)2•4H2O (*M*=Ni, Mn, Co) were dissolved in distilled water and stirred continuously with an excess of Li acetate (10% excess Li). The chelating agent was drowsily added to the solution under continuous stirring for 6 h. The molar ratio of metal/chelator was 1:1 for CA or EDTA, while metal/(CA+EDTA) was 1:1.5. After adjusting the pH of the solution (hence ammonia addition) at value \sim 7–8, the solution was evaporated at 80 °C until a viscous transparent gel was obtained which transformed in to xerogel dried in oven at 120 °C for 12 h. The powders were calcined at 450 °C for 5 h then reground and calcined again at 800 °C for 20 h in air to obtain the final products.

2.2. Methods

The structure of the samples was investigated using X-ray diffractometer (XRD) (model Philips X'Pert) using nickel-filtered Cu-*K* α radiation (λ = 0.15406 nm). The diffractograms were taken at room temperature in the 2θ-range of 10-80°. The specific area of as-prepared powders was determined by Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) from N_2 adsorption at 77 K in the relative pressure range of $0.05 \le (p/p_0) \le 0.30$, using an Autosorb-iQ apparatus (Quantachrome, Germany). The morphology and composition of the samples were investigated by scanning electron microscopy ZEISS model ULTRA 55, equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDX). High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) images were obtained using an electronic microscope JEOL model JEM-2010. Raman spectra were recorded with a micro-Raman spectrophotometer (Horiba) coupled with an optical microscope with $100 \times$ objective and a 633 nm He–Ne laser excitation source. Measurements were carried out at acquisition time of 30 s. Care was taken against sample photo-decomposition using a low excitation power 100 W cm−2. The Raman peak at 520 cm−1 of a silicon crystal was used as reference for the wavenumber calibration. Electrochemical tests were performed on CR2025 type coin cells. The positive electrode was made by mixing 80 wt.% active material, 10 wt.% carbon black as conductive material and 10 wt.% polyvinylidene fluoride (PVdF) in N-methyl pyrrolidinone (NMP) solvent to form a homogeneous slurry. This slurry was then spread onto an aluminium foil current collector and dried at 80 °C for 2 h to remove the solvent before being pressed. The cathode loading was 3.5 mg cm-2. The electrode film was punched in the form of disks, typically with a diameter of 10 mm, and then dried at 80 °C for 12 h under vacuum. The cells including lithium sheet as the counter electrode, Celgard 2500 or 2300 film as the separator, and 1 mol $L⁻¹$ LiPF₆ in a mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC)/dimethyl carbonate (DEC) (1:1) solution (LP30, Merk) as electrolyte were assembled in a glove box (moisture and oxygen content ≤5ppm) under argon atmosphere. The galvanostatic charge–discharge curves were performed using a potentiostat/galvanostat (VMP3 Bio-Logic) in the potential range 2.0–4.8 V. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) data were collected using a phase sensitive multimeter (model PSM 1700, UK) in the frequency range 0.01 Hz–100 kHz using a voltage bias of 5 mV.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Structural investigations

The structural properties of layered $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O_2$ powders synthesized by sol-gel method were investigated by X-ray diffraction and Raman spectroscopy. Figure 1 displays the XRD patterns of the synthesized LLNMC samples. Except for the superlattice lines in the 2θrange 20-25°, the Bragg lines are well indexed to the layered α-NaFeO₂ structure with the *R*3*m* rhombohedral symmetry. No extra reflection peaks corresponding to any impurity phases were detected in XRD patterns indicating the high purity of as-prepared samples. The inserts in Figure 1 show the magnification of the low intensity lines in the 2θ-range 20-25° indexed to monoclinic *C*2/*m* structure [3,54,55]. These features are not only related to the degree of Li-ion ordering in the transition-metal (TM) layer, but also to the particle size and the number of planar defects along the TM layers [56-58], which result in crystal rotation of the monoclinic *C*2/*m* structure [59]. Based on the XRD results the synthesized materials can be viewed as a combination of two phases, the rhombohedral $R\bar{3}m$ phase (LiNi_{0.33}Mn_{0.33}Co_{0.33}O₂) and the monoclinic *C*2/*m* phase (Li₂MnO₃). The materials can be formulated as x Li₂MnO₃ \cdot (1*x*)LiNi_{0.33}Mn_{0.33}Co_{0.33}O₂ with *x*=0.5. Figure 2 presents the Rietveld refinements. For the $R\bar{3}m$ phase, refinement involved fixing the

Mn and Co occupancy and varying the ratios of the Ni occupancies on the transition metal and lithium sites. The crystallographic parameters for the LLNMC samples are listed in Table 1. For all samples, the (006)/(102) and (108)/(110) doublets are sharp and split clearly, with higher *c*hex/ a_{hex} ratios (>4.98), indicating the good anisotropy of the layered frameworks [53]. The I_{103}/I_{104} intensity ratio is currently considered as one of the indicators of the degree of cation mixing [60,61]. Higher value of I_{103}/I_{104} is desirable for lower amount of the cation mixing and better electrochemical properties. It is also believed that the lower value of $(I_{006}+I_{102})/I_{101}$ is an indicator of better hexagonal ordering [8,62,63]. The results reported in Table 1 show that the *I*103/*I*104 is high for all the samples; however, due to the uncertainty on the value of this parameter, it is not possible to make a clear distinction between the different samples. On another hand, the peak intensity ratio of *I*103/*I*104 increased significantly for the LLNMC synthesized samples in the sequence SSG < CA-EDTA < CA < EDTA making the $Li_{1,2}Ni_{0,13}Mn_{0,54}Co_{0,13}O_2$ powders synthesized with assistance of EDTA chelator the best candidate for high electrochemical performances. Note that the cationic mixing (i.e. concentration of Ni2+ ions located in the Li+ sites) is lower than 2% for all samples and the phase ratio is close to the nominal fraction $(x=0.5)$. Table 1 also shows that the $[I_{(006)} + I_{(102)}]/I_{(101)}$ ratio is higher in the CA- and EDTAsamples, which suggests that the hexagonal ordering is smaller.

Table 1. The structural parameters of $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O_2$ powders prepared by sol-gel method via different chelators, and without chelator (SSG).

Crystal data	$Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Co_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}O_2$				
	$^{\prime}$ 'A	EDTA	CA-EDTA	SSG.	

Figure 1. XRD pattern of Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O₂ powders synthesized by sol-gel method assisted by different chelating agents and without chelating agent. Inserts show the detailed patterns in the 2θ range $20-25^{\circ}$ due to the monoclinic phase.

Figure 2. Rietveld refinements of powder XRD patterns for Li-rich $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Co_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}O₂$ synthesized by wet chemistry using different chelating agents. Both monoclinic Li_2MnO_3 and rhombohedral $LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O_2$ phases were considered.

To understand this effect, we note that the excess of alkali ions $(Lⁱ)$ in TM planes of Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O₂ preferentially around Mn atoms, results in a bimodal distribution of local chemical environments, i.e. Li₂MnO₃ around Mn atoms and LiMO₂ (M=Ni, Mn, Co), in the vicinity of TM cations. In addition, the coexistence of these phases with different bond lengths and lattice environments induces tensile strain in particles and increases the disorder parameters of the $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O_2$ samples [64]. Thus, the local strain caused by defects and lattice deformations depends on the crystallite nucleation, i.e. the synthesis conditions imposed by the chelating agent. The strain field intensity and the coherence length that sizes the structural disorder can be deduced from the Scherrer's formula:

$$
B^2 \cos^2 \theta = 16 < e^2 > \sin^2 \theta + K^2 \lambda^2 / L^2 \tag{1}
$$

where B is the full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) in radian of the XRD spectrum at the Bragg angle θ , *L* is the coherence length (crystallite size), $\langle e^{2} \rangle$ is the lattice strain, *K* is a near-unity constant related to crystallite shape (we have chosen the standard value $K=0.8$) and λ is the wavelength of the K_{α1} radiation. The plot of the first member as a function of $sin^2\theta$ is reported in Fig. 3 for different chelating agents. The curves are linear for all the $Li_{1,2}Ni_{0,13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0,13}O_2$ materials, in agreement with Eq. (1). The crystallite size (L) and the lattice strain (e^2) were calculated from the intercept and the slope of the line, respectively. The resulting values are summarized in Table 1. As a result, the crystallite size decrease and the lattice strain increase, especially in the samples CA and EDTA. This result is consistent with the smaller value of the coherence length (size of the mono-crystallites) and the larger strain field observed in these two samples, and confirms that these samples contain crystal defects more than other two samples. This will be clarified in the next section.

Figure 3. (a) Analysis of the micro-strain in $Li_{1,2}Ni_{0,13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O_2$ powders. $\langle e^2 \rangle$ was calculated using the Eq. (2). (b) BET surface area of $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O_2$ powders as a function of the chelating agent.

The porosity is another important parameter. To overcome a loss in specific capacity, porous cathodes are being extensively employed. A large porosity implies a large effective surface area with the electrolyte. This is favourable to the rate capability, but it can also accelerate the electrolyte decomposition, so that a compromise has to be found. The specific surface area of the $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O_2$ particles has been studied by BET measurements (Fig. 3b and Table 1). these results show that the EDTA-prepared LLNMC powders exhibit a specific surface area of 9 $m²$ g⁻¹, which is beneficial to the electrochemical performance.

The composition and the distribution of 3*d*-transition metals in the prepared samples were further examined by EDX spectroscopy. The results are reported in Figure 4. Li cannot be detected because of its low energy density. To identify the chemical composition of the prepared samples, the percentage of each TM cation is calculated by dividing its atomic percent by the summation of total atomic percent of all TM species. Table 2 lists the calculated compositions for the four samples before and after the synthesis. It can be found that the calculated percent value for the elements Mn, Ni, and Co are approximately the same as the theoretical values.

Element	Nominal (at. $\%$)		Chemical composition (at.%)					
		SSG	СA	CA-EDTA	EDTA			
Mn K	67.5	67.7	67.2	67.0	67.1			
Ni K	16.25	15.7	16.3	16.3	16.5			
Co K	16 25	16.6	16.5	16.7	16.4			

Table 2. Nominal and chemical composition measurements of $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O₂$ prepared by the different sol-gel routes.

Figure 4. EDX analysis of Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O₂ powders with and without chelating agent. EDX L_α peaks are observed in the 0.6-0.85 keV energy range while K_α peaks are pointed out at 5.9-7.5 keV.

3.2 Morphological characterization

The electronic microscopy (SEM, TEM and HRTEM) analyses were carried out not only to clarify the effect of chelating agents on the crystal texture, but also to determine the particle size, morphology and degree of Li ordering $(C2/m)$ phase) in the TM layers. The morphologies investigated by SEM are shown in Figs. 5a-d for $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O_2$ samples prepared by SSG, CA-EDTA, CA and EDTA, respectively.

Figure 5. Scanning electron micrographs of Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O₂ powders at magnifications of 10 and 50k×: (a and b) SG, (c and d) CA-EDTA, (e and f) CA and (g and h) EDTA.

Figure 6 shows the TEM images of the $Li_{1,2}Ni_{0,13}Mn_{0,54}Co_{0,13}O_2$ powders prepared by SSG, CA-EDTA, CA and EDTA, respectively. As evidenced, the $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Co_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}O_2$ powders appear as homogeneous sphere-like particles with a uniform size distribution in the range 150– 200 nm. The corresponding HRTEM images (Fig. 6 b, d, f and h) exhibit clearly lattice fringes with a *d*-spacing of ≈0.47 nm, which matches well with the inter-planar distance of the $(003)_{\text{hex}}$ of LiMO₂ and/or the (001)_{mon} of Li₂MnO₃ with a remarkable structural compatibility [65]. Therefore, it is more difficult to differentiate between the two layered structures. Based on these observations, all samples exhibit obviously well-defined fringes indicating the good crystallinity of powders. The particle size is about the same in all the samples. It is well known that the size, morphology and the distribution of the particles are of great importance for Li–ion battery performance. Generally, lithium insertion/extraction is much easier with active materials having small particle size to limit the length that the Li⁺ ions must cross to arrive at the surface. However, no significant difference of morphology and size distribution of the particles can be

detected between the four samples, so that any difference in the electrochemical properties will not be attributable to such parameters.

Figure 6. TEM and HRTEM of Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O₂ powders synthesized by sol-gel route: SSG (a and b), CA-EDTA (c and d), CA (e and f), and EDTA (g and h). The well-resolved lattice fringes indicate the crystallinity of the sample.

The selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns of the $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O_2$ powders are shown in Fig. 7 a-d. The SAED pattern consists mainly of two types of reflections;

(i) strong reflections that can be treated as fundamental reflections (marked as solid arrow), (ii) weak triplet reflections that appear in between two fundamental reflections (marked as dotted arrow) [66]. Reflections of the first type (fundamental reflections) are from rhombohedral $(R\bar{3}m)$ phase and often designated as O3 reflections (Fig.7 a-d). These reflections appear due to random distribution of the cations in the TM layer without any long-range ordering. Therefore, ordering between lithium and transition metal ions in the transition metal layers is not present. The weak triplet reflections observed in Fig. 7 suggest the presence of the monoclinic (*C*2/*m*) phase. These reflections illustrate the ordering of lithium ions with the cations in the TM layers. The presence of lithium ions in the transition metal layer creates a long-range ordering in the unit cell. Note these two types of reflection are observed in all four samples (Fig.7 a-d).

In addition to the two types of reflections mentioned above, forbidden reflections (1010) only appear in the CA and EDTA samples (Fig.7c and d). These reflections are normally observed in a trigonal/rhombohedral symmetry along the (0001) zone direction. The presence of such reflections indicate that the rhombohedral symmetry is disturbed in these two samples, with stacking faults or dislocations in the unit cell [66-68]. This result is also consistent with the higher local strain-field detected by XRD in the CA and EDTA samples.

Figure 7. SAED patterns of Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O₂ powders synthesized with and without chelating agents assisted sol-gel method, (a) SSG, (b) CA-EDTA, (c) CA and (d) EDTA.

3.3. Vibrational properties

X-ray diffraction analysis can be used to determine the order of the crystal structure at the long-range scale. Raman scattering was used as a complimentary tool because it is not only sensitive to the short-range order of the lattice [60,70]. Figure 8 shows the Raman scattering spectra of $Li_{1,2}Ni_{0,13}Mn_{0,54}Co_{0,13}O_2$ samples synthesized by the different methods. All the samples display the same Raman fingerprints, in which the four Raman active modes of $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Co_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}O_2$ are observed at ca. 661, 603, 491, and 435 cm⁻¹. This result is in good agreement with those reported in the literature [70-73] and is consistent with the factor group analysis of the $R\bar{3}m$ and $C2/m$ symmetry, respectively. In general, there are two predicted Raman-active vibrational modes A1g and Eg in the ideal layered oxide (Li*M*O2) with R3m symmetry. The *A*1g and *E*g originated from the stretching *M*–O and bending O-*M*-O vibrations, respectively [8,74], which corresponds to two sharp Raman peaks near 603 and 491 cm-1, respectively. The A1g mode has the greater oscillator strength and that the intensity is almost twice that of the *E*g mode [69]. An additional small Raman band at 435 cm-1 originates from the

Li₂MnO₃-like structure due to the reduced local symmetry of *C*2/*m* rather than $R\bar{3}m$ [73]. The high-frequency band at 661 cm⁻¹ is characteristic of the spinel phase [75,76] and is observed in all our samples. Therefore, according to our synthesis process, a small part of the particle surface is in the spinel structure. Note, however, that the intensity of this Raman band is smaller than in the case of Li-rich samples synthesized under synthesis conditions allowing the transformation of the pristine layered structure to a spinel structure for facilitating Li-ion transport in the surface area [77].

Figure 8. Raman scattering spectra of $Li_{1,2}Ni_{0,13}Mn_{0,54}Co_{0,13}O_2$ powders synthesized with and without chelating agents. The spectral analysis using Lorentzian line profiles are plotted for evaporation sample.

3.4. Electrochemical properties

Figure 9 (a-d) shows the charge/discharge profiles of as-prepared $Li_{1,2}Ni_{0,13}Mn_{0,54}Co_{0,13}O_2$ electrodes cycled at 0.1C rate in the potential between 2.0 and 4.8 V vs. Li+/Li. All the initial charge/discharge profiles show the same general features as in previous works [78]. Below 4.5V, the sloping curve of the first charge corresponds to the de-intercalation of lithium ions from the layered structure $(LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O₂)$ accompanied by the oxidation of Ni^{2+/4+} and Co^{3+/4+} [79].

The plateau at 4.5 V is the activation of the $Li₂MnO₃$ part, causing an irreversible oxygen evolution from the lattice in the form of $Li₂O$ and active MnO₂ [80-82].

Figure 9. Galvanostatic charge/discharge profiles of Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O₂ electrodes cycled at 0.1C rate in the potential between 2.0 and 4.8 V vs. Li+/Li (a) SSG (b) CA-EDTA, (c) CA, and (d) EDTA.

Figure 10 shows the incremental capacity curves (IC), *i.e*. differential capacity *vs*. cell voltage (d*Q*/d*V*), at the 1st and 50th discharge. This analysis can be considered as an efficient tool to determine the electrochemical spectroscopy of an electrode. The IC curves were extracted from the GCD profiles (lithiation process) to further characterize the electrochemical behavior at the 50th cycle. Each plot displays two broad peaks, one at \sim 3.3 V commonly reflects Mn^{3+/4+} activities from the layered compound, and the other one at \sim 3.7 V associated to the reduction of

Ni^{2+/4+} [83,84]. Figure 10 also shows that the EDTA electrode exhibits the highest potential at 3.39 V for the first plateau, while the second plateau at 3.74 V occurs for the CA-EDTA electrode. The voltage-fade issue of Li-rich cathode materials is an intrinsic weakness [85-87]. In addition, a small redox peak around 2.6 V confirms the presence of spinel structure with electrochemical activity in the EDTA-sample [23]. The origin of voltage decay is believed to be an intrinsic property due to the transition-metal ions migration, which induces layered-to-spinel transformation [88-90]. The good electrochemical features in Fig. 10 are attributable to the regular texture of the particle shown previously by TEM studies.

Figure 10. Differential voltage dQ/dV vs. cell voltage for $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O_2$ electrodes taken at the 1st and 50th discharge. Cells were cycled at 0.1C rate. Curves are derived from data in Fig. 9.

As shown in the charge/discharge curves at 0.1C (Fig. 9), the EDTA and CA electrodes have not only a significantly higher initial discharge capacity of 267 and 262 mAh g-1, respectively, compared with those of the CA/EDTA (249 mAh g-1) and SSG (228 mAh g-1) electrodes, but also lower irreversible capacity-loss (ICL) in the range 75-78 mAh g-1. For the synthesized materials, ICLs are in the sequence EDTA ˂ CA ˂ CA-EDTA ˂ SSG. The initial coulombic efficiencies appeared in the following order: EDTA (79.1 %) > CA (77.8 %) > CA-EDTA (76.5 %) > SSG (74.3 %). Among the four materials, the EDTA and CA-materials present the lowest initial ICL, and the highest first charge/discharge capacity and initial coulombic efficiency.

To evaluate the rate capabilities of the synthesized $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O_2$ electrodes (CA, EDTA, CA-EDTA and SSG), the cells were cycled in the volte range 2.0-4.8 V at various current rates from 0.1C to 3.0C ($1C = 250$ mA g⁻¹) and the results are shown in Fig. 11a. Among the four electrodes under investigations, EDTA and CA-electrodes exhibited the best results at any rate. At 3.0C, these two electrodes demonstrated a discharge capacity 136 and 123 mAh g-1, respectively. Going back to C/10 after 30 cycles, EDTA and CA electrodes can retain discharge capacities of 245 and 238 mAh g-1, which represent 91.7 and 91% of the initial discharge capacity obtained at C/10 rate, which proves that the electrode material has not been damaged by cycling up to 3C rate.

The cycling performance of the $Li_{1,2}Ni_{0,13}Mn_{0,54}Co_{0,13}O_2/\ell L$ cells were carried out at 0.1C rate in the voltage range of 2.0–4.8 V (see Fig. 11b). Over 100 cycles, all the electrodes possess the same behavior for the cycling performance. The discharge capacity gradually decreases to different degrees during the initial fifteen cycles; which is followed by relative stability until the 50th cycle. After 50 cycle, the SSG electrode has the lower capacity retention (75.4%). The retained discharge capacities after 50 cycles in the 2.0–4.8 V follow the trend: EDTA (227.5 mAh g-1) > CA (218.6 mAh g-1) > CA-EDTA (206.5 mAh g-1) > SSG (187.3 mAh g-1) which represent capacity retentions % as in the same sequence also 85.6, 83.5, 82.1 and 75.4%, respectively. For the best (EDTA) electrode, the capacities at the 20th cycle and the 100th cycle are 235 and 195 mAh g-1, corresponding to a capacity retention of 80%.

Figure 11. (a) Rate capability of $Li_{1,2}Ni_{0,13}Mn_{0,54}Co_{0,13}O_2$ electrodes at different C-rates. (b) Cycling performance of $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O₂$ powders synthesized by sol-gel method assisted by different carboxylic acids. Experimental were carried out at 0.1C discharge rate in the potential between 2.0 and 4.8V.

Electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) were carried out to explore the origin of the different electrochemical performance of the $Li_{1,2}Ni_{0,13}Mn_{0,54}Co_{0,13}O_2$ powders. Figure 12 (a,b) shows the Nyquist plots of the fresh cell and after 5 cycles for the four $Li_{1,2}Ni_{0,13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0,13}O_2$ electrodes. EIS spectra were fitted using the equivalent circuit shown in Fig. 12c. Before cycling, at high frequency, all the EIS curves exhibit one depressed semicircle the diameter of which represents the interfacial charge transfer resistance between the surface film and the active cathode mass (R_{ct}) . At low frequency, the linear region represents the Warburg contribution, related to the lithium ion diffusion in the bulk materials. The R_{ct} values of EDTA, CA, CA-EDTA and SSG of the fresh electrodes is 126, 145, 168 and 233 Ω , respectively. After 5th cycle at 0.1C (Fig. 12 b), the R_{ct} values of EDTA and CA did not change significantly, while they have increased for CA-EDTA (265 Ω) and SSG (388 Ω) electrodes. In addition, the overall shape of the EIS spectra (deformed arc of cycle followed by a sloping part) is still conserved for the EDTA and CA electrodes. To the contrary, the shape of the EIS spectra of the CA-EDTA and SSG electrodes has been modified by the 5 cycles, with a highly resistive CPE component masking the sloping part that was observed before cycling. This gives evidence of the formation a highly resistive solid-electrolyte interface (SEI) due to side reactions between the electrolyte and the CA-EDTA and SSG-Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O₂ particles. The lower value of R_{ct} for EDTA and CA electrodes also explains their higher rate capability.

Figure 12. Electrochemical impedance spectra of Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O₂ electrode in (a) fresh cell and (b) after and after 5 cycles. (c) Equivalent circuit used for the fit of Nyquist diagrams.

4. Discussion

A comparison of the results from electrochemical experiments shown in Fig. 13 evidences that materials prepared with EDTA and CA chelators exhibit the best performance in terms of discharge capacity and coulombic efficiency as well. The EIS experiments show that both the EDTA and CA chelating agents are effective to protect the surface of the $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O₂$ particles against reactions with the electrolyte forming a resistive SEI. This is caused by the formation of a protective spinel-like structure on the cathode surface, as the chelating agents remove some of the Li₂O in the Li₂MnO₃ phase. In addition, a channel for Li⁺ transmission is created by this surface layer, and the impedance at the interface between the cathode

material and the electrolyte is effectively reduced, facilitating the rapid transport of Li+ through the electrode interface, which increases the rate capacity [31].

Figure 13. Electrochemical performance of the $Li₁₂Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O₂ electrodes. (a) charge/$ discharge capacity. (b) Comparison of the charge, discharge capacity and coulombic efficiency as a function of the chelators.

The results also show that EDTA is a better reagent than CA, which reveals the insufficiency of citric acid to chelate all metal ions. A more surprising result is the poor result obtained with a combination CA-EDTA. For instance, this combination was found more efficient than EDTA alone to synthesize cerate-zirconate powders by a modified sol-gel method [91]. The combined CA-EDTA method was also found particularly useful for synthesizing ultra-fine powders of complex oxide compositions [92]. In our case, however, this combination was found inefficient. The main reason is that this combination failed to reduce the Ni2+-Li antisite defect, known to be responsible for a degradation of electrochemical properties in $LiNi_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}Co_{1/3}O₂$ [93]. After Table 1, the concentration of antisite defects remains at the level of 1.44%, only slightly smaller than the concentration (1.55%) found in the sample prepared without any chelating agent. To the contrary, the complexing ability of either CA or EDTA is very good, as it reduces the concentration of cation antisite defects to 1.27±0.02 %. In a previous study on the effect of chelating agents, Li et al. [31] have determined that CA was a less efficient chelating agent than sucrose or glucose, because one mole sucrose can be hydrolyzed into two different monosaccharides and further chelates three M (Li, Ni, Co and Mn) ions to form a more uniform ion-chelated matrix during sol-gel process. If we consider that CA is not a good candidate, we can attribute the failure of CA-EDTA to the fact that the amount of EDTA was too small in this mixture. On another hand, EDTA alone was efficient to fill the purpose in the present work. We can also note that the electrochemical properties of the sample prepared with EDTA is slightly degraded with respect to the results obtained in ref. [31] with sucrose as the chelating agent. However, we know that the concentration of antisite reduced to 1.25% in the EDTA-sample is small enough so that it does not affect the electrochemical properties [91]. Therefore, we believe that the difference between the electrochemical properties of the samples prepared with EDTA in this work and sucrose in [31] is not related to the amount of antisite defects. Instead, this difference is attributable to the different morphology of the particles and of the surface layer originating from other parameters of the synthesis, such as the pH of the solution (5 in [31] against 7-8 in the present case). Comparing with the electrochemical properties obtained with samples synthesized with the same pH and tested at 1C rate, we find that the capacity (202 mAh g-1) of the EDTA-sample is larger than the values reported in [25].

5. Conclusions

Four samples of $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O₂$ were prepared by a sol-gel method from an aqueous solution of Li, Ni, Mn and Co acetates, with different chelating agents: Citric acid (CA), ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid (EDTA), a CA-EDTA mixture and free chelating agent (SSG). Characterization by XRD showed that all the samples are well crystallized with layered α -NaFeO₂ structure with a rhombohedral $R\overline{3}m$ space group with good crystallinity, plus the monoclinic $C2/m$ phase (Li₂MnO₃). The as prepared samples were further characterized by SEM, TEM, HERTEM and Raman scattering. The best electrochemical properties were obtained with EDTA. This chelating agent not only leads to the lowest concentration of $Ni²⁺-Li⁺$ antisite defects, which results in enhanced capacity retention, but also minimizes the charge transfer resistance, which results in improved rate capability.

References

1. Ellis BL, Lee KT, Nazar LF (2010) Positive electrode materials for Li-ion and Li batteries. Chem Mater 22:691-714

- 2. Julien CM, Mauger A, Vijh A, Zaghib K (2016) Lithium Batteries: Science and Technology. Springer, Cham, Switzerland
- 3. Dai D, Li B, Tang H, Chang K, Jiang K, Chang Z, Yuan X (2016) Simultaneously improved capacity and initial coulombic efficiency of Li-rich cathode $Li[Li_{0.2}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}Ni_{0.13}]O_2$ by enlarging crystal cell from a nanoplate precursor. J Power Sources 307:665-672
- 4. Yu HJ, Zhou HS (2013) High-energy cathode materials $(Li₂MnO₃-LiMO₂)$ for lithium-ion batteries. J Phys Chem Lett 4:1268-1280
- 5. Thackeray MM, Kang SH, Johnson CS, Vaughey JT, Benedek R, Hackney SA (2007) Li₂MnO₃-stabilized LiMO₂ (M = Mn, Ni, Co) electrodes for lithium-ion batteries. J Mater Chem 17:3112-3125
- 6. He P, Yu HJ, Li D, Zhou HS (2012) Layered lithium transition metal oxide cathodes towards high energy lithium-ion batteries. J Mater Chem 22:3680-3695
- 7. Bai Y, Li Y, Zhong YX, Chen S, Wu F, Wu C (2014) Li-rich transition metal oxide $xLi₂MnO₃(1-x)LiMO₂$ (M = Ni, Co or Mn) for lithium ion batteries. Prog Chem 26:259-269
- 8. Abdel-Ghany AE, Hashem AM, Elzahany EA, Abuzeid HA, Indris S, Nikolowski K, Ehrenberg H, Zaghib K, Mauger A, Julien CM (2016) Structural properties and application in lithium cells of $Li(Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.5})₁$, $Fe_vO₂$ ($0 \le y \le 0.25$) prepared by sol-gel route: doping optimization. J Power Sources 320:168-179
- 9. Hashem AM, El-Taweel RS, Abuzeid HM, Abdel-Ghany AE, Eid AE, Groult H, Mauger A, Julien CM (2012) Structural and electrochemical properties of LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O₂ material prepared by a two-step synthesis via oxalate precursor. Ionics 18:1-9
- 10. Abdel-Ghany AE, Mauger A, Groult H, Julien CM (2012) Magnetic properties of LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.47}Al_{0.03}O₂ as positive electrode for Li-ion batteries. Ionics 18:241–247
- 11. Zhao T, Chen S, Li L, Zhang X, Chen R, Belharouak I, Wu F, Amine K (2013) Synthesis, characterization, and electrochemistry of cathode material

 $Li[Li_{0.2}Co_{0.13}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}]O₂ using organic chelating agents for lithium-ion batteries.$ J Power Sources 228:206-213

- 12. Armstrong AR, Holzapfel M, Novak P, Johnson CS, Kang SH, Thackeray MM, Bruce PG (2006) Demonstrating oxygen loss and associated structural reorganization in the lithium battery cathode Li $[Ni_{0.2}Li_{0.2}Mn_{0.6}]O_2$. J Am Chem Soc 128:8694−8698
- 13. Zhang X, Porras-Gutierrez AG, Mauger A, Groult H, Julien CM (2017) Nanotechnology of positive electrodes for Li-ion batteries. Inorganics (Basel) 5:25
- 14. Wu Y, Murugan AV, Manthiram A (2008) Surface modification of high capacity layered $Li[Li_{0.2}Mn_{0.54}Ni_{0.13}Co_{0.13}]O_2$ cathodes by AlPO₄. J Electrochem Soc 155:A635-A641
- 15. Abdel-Ghany A, El-Tawil RS, Hashem AM, Julien CM (2019) Improved electrochemical performance of $LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂$ by Li-enrichment and AlF₃ coating. Materialia 5:100207
- 16. Li CD, Xu J, Xia JS, Liu W, Xiong X, Zheng ZA (2016) Influence of FeF3 coating layer on the electrochemical properties of $Li[Li_{0.2}Mn_{0.54}Ni_{0.13}Co_{0.13}]O_2$ cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries, Solid State Ionics 292 75-82
- 17. He H, Zan L, Zhang Y (2016) Effects of amorphous V_2O_5 coating on the electrochemical properties of $Li[Li_{0.2}Mn_{0.54}Ni_{0.13}Co_{0.13}]O₂$ as cathode material for Liion batteries. J Alloys Compd 680:95-104
- 18. Wu Y, Manthiram A (2006) High capacity, surface-modified layered Li $[Li_{(1-x)3}Mn_{(2-x)3}Ni_{x3}Co_{x3}]O_2$ cathodes with low Irreversible capacity loss. Electrochem Solid State Lett 9:A221-A224
- 19. Kang SH, Johnson CS, Vaughey JT, Amine K, Thackeray MM (2006) The effects of a cid treatment on the electrochemical properties of 0.5Li2MnO3·0.5LiNi0.44Co0.25Mn0.31O2 electrodes in lithium cells. J Electrochem Soc 153:A1186-A1196
- 20. Liu B, Zhang Z, Wu M, Xu S (2018) Effects of citric acid treatment on the electrochemical properties of $Li_{1.2}Mn_{0.54}Ni_{0.13}Co_{0.13}O₂$ cathode material. Int J Electrochem Sci 13:7578-7589
- 21. Zheng J, Deng S, Shi Z, Xu H, Xu H, Deng Y, Zhang Z, Chen G (2013) The effects of persulfate treatment on the electrochemical properties of Li $[Li_{0.2}Mn_{0.54}Ni_{0.13}Co_{0.13}]O_2$ cathode material. J Power Sources 221:108-113
- 22. Yu DYW, Yanagida K, Nakamura H (2010) Surface modification of Li-excess Mnbased cathode materials. J Electrochem Soc 157:A1177-A1182
- 23. Zheng Y, Chen L, Su Y, Tan J, Bao L, Lu Y, Wang J, Chen R, Chen S, Wu F (2017) An interfacial framework for breaking through the Li-ion transport barrier of Li-rich layered cathode materials. J Mater Chem A 5:24292-24298
- 24. Wang MJ, Shao AF, Yu FD, Sun G, Gu DM, Wang ZB (2019) Simple water treatment strategy to optimize the $Li₂MnO₃$ activation of lithium-rich cathode materials. ACS Sustainable Chem Eng 7:12825-12837
- 25. Guo H, Wei Z, Jia K, Qiu B, Yin C, Meng F, Zhang Q, Gu L, Han S, Liu Y, Zhao H, Jiang W, Cui H, Xia Y, Liu Z (2019) Abundant nanoscale defects to eliminate voltage decay in Li-rich cathode materials. Energy Storage Mater 16:220-227
- 26. Robertson AD, Bruce PG (2002) The Origin of Electrochemical Activity in Li2MnO3. Chem Commun 23:2790-2791
- 27. Armstrong AR, Robertson AD, Bruce PG (2005) Overcharging manganese oxides: extracting lithium beyond Mn⁴⁺. J Power Sources 146:275-280
- 28. Amalraj F, Kovacheva D, Talianker M, Zeiri L, Grinblat J, Leifer N, Goobes G, Markovsky B, Aurbach D (2010) Synthesis of integrated cathode materials *x*Li₂MnO₃⋅(1-*x*)LiMn_{1/3}Ni_{1/3}Co_{1/3}O₂ (*x*=0.3, 0.5, 0.7) and studies of their electrochemical behaviour. J Electrochem Soc 157:A1121-A1130
- 29. Zheng JM, Wu XB, Yang Y (2011) A comparison of preparation methods on the electrochemical performance of cathode material $Li[Li_{0.2}Mn_{0.54}Ni_{0.13}Co_{0.13}]O_2$ for lithium ion battery. Electrochim Acta 56:3071-3078
- 30. Zhang LJ, Wu B, Li N, Wu F (2014) Hierarchically porous micro-rod lithium-rich cathode material $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O_2$ for high performance lithium-ion batteries. Electrochim Acta 118:67-74
- 31. Li L, Xu M, Chen Z, Zhou X, Zhang Q, Zhu H, Wu C, Zhang K (2015) Highperformance lithium-rich layered oxide materials: Effects of chelating agents on microstructure and electrochemical properties. Electrochim. Acta 174:446–455
- 32. Wu Y, Manthiram A (2006) High capacity, surface-modified layered Li[Li_(1-x)³Mn_(2-x)³Ni_{x^{3}Co_x₃]O₂ cathodes with low Irreversible capacity loss.</sub>} Electrochem Solid State Lett 9:A221-A224
- 33. Johnson CS, Li N, Lefief C, Thackeray MM (2007) Anomalous capacity and cycling stability of *x*Li₂MnO₃⋅(1−*x*)LiMO₂ electrodes (M=Mn, Ni, Co) in lithium batteries at 50 °C. Electrochem Commun 9:787-795
- 34. Zhang JW, Guo X, Yao SM, Zhu WT, Gao XP (2013) Tailored synthesis of $Ni_{0.25}Mn_{0.75}CO₃ spherical precursors for high capacity Li-rich cathode materials via a$ urea-based precipitation method. J Power Sources 238:245-250
- 35. Zhao CH, Wang XX, Liu R, Xu FF, Shen Q (2014) β-MnO2 sacrificial template synthesis of $Li_{1,2}Ni_{0,13}Co_{0,13}Mn_{0,54}O_2$ for lithium ion battery cathodes. RSC Adv 4:7154-7159
- 36. Cheng FQ, Xin YL, Chen JT, Lu L, Zhang XX, Zhou HH (2013) Mono-disperse $Li_{1.2}Mn_{0.6}Ni_{0.2}O_2$ spheres with enhanced lithium storage capability. J Mater Chem A 1:5301-5308
- 37. Zhao C, Shen Q (2014) Organic acid assisted solid-state synthesis of $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.16}Co_{0.08}Mn_{0.56}O₂ nanoparticles as lithium ion battery cathodes. Current Appl$ Phys 14:1849-1853
- 38. Shi SJ, Tu JP, Tang YY, Liu XY, Zhao XY, Wang XL, Gu CD (2013) Morphology and electrochemical performance of $Li[Li_{1.2}Mn_{0.54}Ni_{0.13}Co_{0.13}]O_2$ cathode materials treated in molten salt. J Power Source 241:186-195
- 39. Zhong ZH, Ye NQ, Wang H, Ma Z (2011) Low temperature combustion synthesis and performance of spherical 0.5Li₂MnO₃-LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂ cathode material for Liion batteries. Chem Eng J 175:579-584
- 40. Liao D, Xia C, Xi X, Zhou C, Ciao K, Chen X, Qin S (2017) Sol–gel preparation of Li-rich layered cathode material for lithium ion battery with polymer polyacrylic acid + citric acid chelators. J Sol Gel Sci Technol 78:403-410
- 41. O'Brien LC, Root HB, Wei CH, Jensen D, Shabestary N, De Meo C, Eder DJ (2015) M2+•EDTA binding Affinities: A modern experiment in thermodynamics for the physical chemistry laboratory. J Chem Educ 92:1547-1551
- 42. Yuan Z, Yue H, Zhang X, Deng X (2008) Preparation and characterization of $LiV₃O₈$ cathode material for lithium secondary batteries through an EDTA sol-gel method. Solid State Ionics 179 1763-1767
- 43. Dong P, Xia SB, Zhang YJ, Zhang YN, Qiu ZP, Yao Y (2017) Influence of complexing agent on the structure and electrochemical properties of $LiNi_{0.80}Co_{0.15}Al_{0.05}O₂$ cathode synthesized by sol-gel method: a comparative study. Int J Electrochem Sci 12:561-575
- 44. Li Y, Hong L, Sun J, Wu F, Chen S (2012) Electrochemical performance of $Li₃V₂(PO₄)₃/C$ prepared with a novel carbon source, EDTA. Electrochim Acta 85:110-115
- 45. Zhang Y, Zhang C, Lin Y, Xiong DB, Wang D, Wu X, He D (2014) Influence of Sc3+ doping in B-site on electrochemical performance of $Li₄Ti₅O₁₂$ anode materials for lithium-ion battery. J Power Sources 250: 50-57
- 46. Wang CC, Lin YC, Chou PH (2015) Mitigation of layer to spinel conversion of a lithium rich layered oxide cathode by substitution of Al in a lithium ion battery. RSC Adv 5:68919-68928
- 47. Hashem AM, Abdel-Ghany AE, Abuzeid HM, El-Tawil RS, Indris S, Ehrenberg H, Mauger A, Julien, CM (2018) EDTA as chelating agent for sol-gel synthesis of spinel LiMn₂O₄ cathode material for lithium batteries. J Alloys Compd 737:758-766
- 48. Konishi H, Hirano T, Takamatsu D, Gunji A, Feng X, Furutsuki S, Takahashi S, Terada S (2017) Potential hysteresis between charge and discharge reactions in $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O₂$ for lithium ion batteries. Solid State Ionics 300:120-127
- 49. Laisa CP, Nanda-Kumar AK, Chandrasekaran SS, Murugan P, Lakshminarasimhan N, Govindaraj R, Ramesha K (2016) A comparative study on electrochemical cycling stability of lithium rich layered cathode materials $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}M_{0.13}M_{0.54}O_2$ where M = Fe or Co. J Power Sources 324:462-474
- 50. Yan W, Jiang J, Liua W, Sun D, Zhao E, Jin Y, Kanamura K (2017) Effect of precipitators on the morphologies and electrochemical properties of $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.13}Mn_{0.54}Co_{0.13}O_2$ via rapid nucleation and post-solvothermal method. Electrochim Acta 224:161-170
- 51. Liu H, Wu YP, Rahm E, Holze R, Wu HQ (2004) Cathode materials of lithium ion batteries prepared by sol-gel methods. J Solid State Electrochem 8:450-466
- 52. Lim SH, Cho J (2008) PVP-assisted ZrO2 coating in LiMn2O4 spinel cathode nanoparticles prepared by MnO₂ nanowire templates. Electrochem Commun 10:1478-1481
- 53. Abdel-Ghany A, Zaghib K, Gendron F, Mauger A, Julien CM (2006) Structural, magnetic and electrochemical properties of $LiNi_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O₂$ as positive electrode for Li-ion batteries. Electrochim Acta 52:4092-4100
- 54. Zhang L, Wu B, Li N, Mu D, Zhang C, Wu F (2013) Rod-like hierarchical nano/ micro $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.2}M_{0.6}O_2$ as high performance cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries, J. Power Sources 240:644–652
- 55. Yuan X, Xu QJ, Wang C, Liu X, Liu H, Xia Y (2015) A facile and novel organic coprecipitation strategy to prepare layered cathode material $Li[Li_{0.2}Mn_{0.54}Ni_{0.13}Co_{0.13}]O_2$ with high capacity and excellent stability. J Power Sources 279:157-164
- 56. Bréger J, Jiang M, Dupré N, Meng YS, Shao-Horn Y, Ceder G, Grey CP (2005) High-resolution X-ray diffraction, DIFFaX, NMR and first principles study of disorder in the Li₂MnO₃–Li[Ni_{1/2}Mn_{1/2}]O₂ solid solution. J Solid State Chem 178:2575−2585
- 57. Boulineau A, Croguennec L, Delmas C, Weill F (2009) Reinvestigation of Li2MnO3 structure: electron diffraction and high resolution TEM. Chem Mater 21:4216−4222
- 58. Jarvis K, Deng Z, Allard LF, Manthiram A, Ferreira P (2011) Atomic structure of a lithium-rich layered oxide material for lithium-ion batteries: evidence of a solid solution. Chem Mater 23:3614−3621
- 59. Jarvis KA, Deng Z, Allard LF, Manthiram A, Ferreira PJ (2012) Understanding structural defects in lithium-rich layered oxide cathodes. J Mater Chem 22:11550-11555
- 60. Ohzuku T, Ueda A, Nagayama M (1993) Electrochemistry and structural chemistry of LiNiO2 (R-3m) for 4 volt secondary lithium cells. J Electrochem Soc 140:1862-1870
- 61. Morales J, Peres-Vicente C, Tirado JL (1990) Cation distribution and chemical deintercalation of $Li_{1x}Ni_{1+x}O_2$. Mater Res Bull 25:623-630
- 62. Dahn JR, von Sacken U, Michal CA (1990) Structure and electrochemistry of $Li_{1\pm y}NiO_2$ and a new Li_2NiO_2 phase with the Ni(OH)₂ structure. Solid State Ionics 44:87-97
- 63. Reimers JN, Rossen E, Jones CD, Dahn JR (1993) Structure and electrochemistry of $Li_xFe_yNi_{1-v}O₂$. Solid State Ionics 61:335-344
- 64. Bareno J, Balasubramanian M, Kang SH, Wen JG, Lei CH, Pol SV, Petrov I, Abraham DP (2011) Long-range and local structure in the layered oxide Li1.2Co0.4Mn0.4O2. Chem Mater 23:2039–2050
- 65. Thackeray MM, Johnson CS, Vaughey JT, Li N, Hackney SA (2005) Advances in manganese-oxide composite electrodes for lithium-ion batteries. J Mater Chem 15:2257-2267
- 66. D. Mohanty, S. Kalnaus, R. A. Meisner, K. J. Rhodes, J. Li, E. A. Payzant, D. L. Wood III, C. Daniel, (2013) Structural transformation of a lithium-rich $Li_{1.2}Co_{0.1}Mn_{0.55}Ni_{0.15}O₂$ cathode during high voltage cycling resolved by in situ Xray diffraction, J. Power Sources 229: 239-248
- 67. Gabrisch H, Yi T, Yazami R (2008) Transmission electron microscope studies of LiNi_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}Co_{1/3}O₂ before and after long-term aging at 70 °C. Electrochem Solid State Lett 11:A119-A124
- 68. Mohanty D, Gabrisch H (2012) Microstructural investigation of $Li_xNi_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}Co_{1/3}O_2$ (x≤1) and its aged products via magnetic and diffraction study. J Power Sources 220:405-412
- 69. Julien C, Camacho-Lopez MA, Mohan T, Chitra S, Kalyani P, Gopukumar S (2000) Combustion synthesis and characterization of substituted lithium cobalt oxides in lithium batteries. Solid State Ionics135:241-248
- 70. Julien C, Massot M (2002) Raman scattering of LiNi1-yAlyO2. Solid State Ionics 148:53-59
- 71. Park KS, Song CH, Stephan AM, Jeong SK, Nahm KS, Oh SM, Kim YG (2006) Influence of solvents on the synthesis and electrochemical properties of $Li[Li_{1/5}Ni_{1/10}Co_{1/5}Mn_{1/2}]O_2$ for the applications in lithium-ion batteries. J Mater Sci 41:7628–7635
- 72. Liu J, Hou M, Yi J, Guo S, Wang C, Xia Y (2014) Improving the electrochemical performance of layered lithium-rich transition-metal oxides by controlling the structural defects. Energy Environ Sci 7:705–714
- 73. Li Z, Du F, Bie X, Zhang D, Cai Y, Cui X, Wang C, Chen G, Wei Y (2010) Electrochemical kinetics of the Li $[Li_{0.23}Co_{0.3}Mn_{0.47}]O_2$ cathode material studied by GITT and EIS. J Phys Chem C 114:22751–22757
- 74. Hashem AMA, Abdel-Ghany AE, Eid AE, Trottier J, Zaghib K, Mauger A, Julien CM (2011) Study of the surface modification of $LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O₂$ cathode material for lithium ion battery. J Power Sources 196:8632-8637
- 75. Yu DYW, Yanagida K (2011) Structural analysis of $Li₂MnO₃$ and related Li-Mn-O materials. J Electrochem Soc 158:A1015-A1022
- 76. Venkateswara-Rao C, Soler J, Katiyar R, Shojan J, West WC, Katiyar RS (2014) Investigations on electrochemical behavior and structural stability of $Li_{1,2}Mn_{0.54}Ni_{0.13}Co_{0.13}O_2$ lithium-iIon cathodes via in-situ and ex-situ Raman spectroscopy. J Phys Chem C 118:14133-14141
- 77. Zheng Y, Chen L, Su Y, Tan J, Bao L, Lu Y, Wang J, Chen R, Chen S, Wu F (2017) An interfacial framework for breaking through the Li-ion transport barrier of Li-rich layered cathode materials. J Mater Chem A 5: 24292-24298
- 78. Johnson CS, Kim JS, Lefief C, Li N, Vaughey JT, Thackeray MM (2004) The significance of the Li₂MnO₃ component in composite $xLi₂MnO₃•(1x)LiMn_{0.5}Ni_{0.5}O₂$ electrodes. Electrochem Commun 6:108. 5–1091
- 79. Du K, Huang X, Hu GR, Peng ZD (2012) Synthesis and electrochemical properties of Li[Li0.2Ni0.2Mn0.6]O2 as high capacity cathode material. Trans Nonferrous Met Soc China 22:1201−1208
- 80. Lu Z, Dahn JR (2002) Understanding the anomalous capacity of Li/Li[Ni_xLi_{(1/3-2x/} 3)Mn(2/3-x/3)]O₂ cells using in situ X-ray diffraction and electrochemical studies. J Electrochem Soc 149:A815–A822
- 81. Shen CH, Wang Q, Fu F, Huang L, Lin Z, Shen SY, Su H, Zhang XM, Xu BB, Li GT, Sun SG (2014) Facile synthesis of the Li-rich layered oxide $Li_{1.23}Ni_{0.09}Co_{0.12}Mn_{0.56}O_2$ with superior lithium storage performance and new insights into structural transformation of the layered oxide material during chargedischarge cycle: in situ XRD characterization. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 23:5516-5524
- 82. Armstrong AR, Holzapfel M, Novak P, Johnson CS, Kang SH, Thackeray MM, Bruce PG (2006) Demonstrating oxygen loss and associated structural reorganization in the lithium battery cathode Li[Ni0.2Li0.2Mn0.6]O2. J Am Chem Soc 128:8694−8698
- 83. Croy JR, Kim D, Balasubramanian M, Gallagher K, Kang SH, Thackeray MM (2012) Countering the voltage decay in high capacity $xLi₂MnO₃(1-x)LiMO₂$ electrodes (M=Mn, Ni, Co) for Li+-ion batteries. J Electrochem Soc 159:A781-A790
- 84. Johnson CS, Li N, Lefief C, Vaughey JT, Thackeray MM (2008) Synthesis, characterization and electrochemistry of lithium battery electrodes: $xLi₂MnO₃$ $(1$ x)LiMn_{0.333}Ni_{0.333}Co_{0.333}O₂ ($0 \le x \le 0.7$). Chem Mater 20:6095-6106
- 85. Croy JR, Balasubramanian M, Gallagher KG, Burrell AK (2015) Review of the U.S. Department of Energy's "Deep Dive" effort to understand voltage fade in Li- and Mn-rich cathodes. Acc Chem Res 48:2813-2821
- 86. Mohanty D, Li J, Abraham DP, Huq A, Payzant AE, Wood III DL, Daniel C (2014) Unraveling the voltage-fade mechanism in high-energy-density lithium-ion batteries: Origin of the tetrahedral cations for spinel conversion. Chem Mater 26:6272-6280
- 87. Croy JR, Gallagher KG, Balasubramanian M, Long BR, Thackeray MM (2014) Quantifying hysteresis and voltage fade in $xLi_2MnO_3(1-x)LiMn_{0.5}Ni_{0.5}O₂ electrodes$ as a function of Li2MnO3 content. J Electrochem Soc 161:A318-A325
- 88. Hong J, Seo DH, Kim SW, Gwon H, Oh ST, Kang K (2010) Structural evolution of layered $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.2}Mn_{0.6}O_2$ upon electrochemical cycling in a Li rechargeable battery. J Mater Chem 20:10179-10186
- 89. Gu M, Belharouak I, Zheng J, Wu H, Xiao J, Genc A, Amine K, Thevuthasan S, Baer DR, Zhang, JG (2013) Formation of the spinel phase in the layered composite cathode used in Li-ion batteries. ACS Nano 7:760-767
- 90. Yan P, Nie A, Zheng J, Zhou Y, Lu D, Zhang X, Xu R, Belharouak I, Zu X, Xiao J, Amine K, Liu J, Gao F, Shahbazian-Yassar R, Zhang, JG, Wang, CM (2015) Evolution of lattice structure and chemical composition of the surface reconstruction layer in $Li_{1.2}Ni_{0.2}Mn_{0.6}O₂$ cathode material for lithium ion batteries. Nano Lett 15:514-522
- 91. Abdullah NA, Hasan S, Osman N (2013) Role of CA-EDTA on the synthesizing process of cerate-zirconate ceramics electrolyte. J Chem 908340
- 92. Tao Y, Shao J, Wang J, Wang WG (2008) Synthesis and properties of $La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}CoO_{3.6}$ nanopowder. J Power Sources 185:609-614
- 93. Zhang X, Jiang WJ, Mauger A, Qilu, Gendron F, Julien CM (2010) Minimization of the cation mixing in $Li_{1+x}(NMC)_{1-x}O_2$ as cathode material. J Power Sources 195:1292–1301