

The oldest representative of the modern clade Aeshnodea from the Lower Cretaceous Crato Formation, Araripe Basin, NE Brazil (Odonata: Anisoptera)

Jean-Marc Pouillon, André Nel

► To cite this version:

Jean-Marc Pouillon, André Nel. The oldest representative of the modern clade Aeshnodea from the Lower Cretaceous Crato Formation, Araripe Basin, NE Brazil (Odonata: Anisoptera). Cretaceous Research, 2020, 10.1016/j.cretres.2020.104580. hal-03019313

HAL Id: hal-03019313 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03019313

Submitted on 23 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	
2	The oldest representative of the modern clade Aeshnodea from the Lower Cretaceous Crato
3	Formation, Araripe Basin, NE Brazil (Odonata: Anisoptera)
4	
5	Jean-Marc Pouillon ^a , André Nel ^{b,*}
6	
7	^a Nivolas Vermelle, France; e-mail: jmpdb@wanadoo.fr
8	^b Institut de Systématique, Evolution, Biodiversité (ISYEB), Muséum national d'Histoire
9	naturelle, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, EPHE, Université des Antilles, CP 50, 57 rue Cuvier,
10	75005 Paris, France. E-mail: anel@mnhn.fr
11	
12	* Corresponding author
13	
14	ABSTRACT
15	The hawker dragonfly Primumaeshna britta gen. et sp. nov., type genus and species of the new
16	family Primumaeshnidae, is described from the Lower Cretaceous Crato Formation in Brazil,
17	corresponding to the oldest record of the clade Aeshnodea. The previously described
18	representatives of the Aeshnoptera from this formation belonged to extinct families or to the
19	Gomphaeschnidae. Otherwise the oldest Aeshnodea were previously only known from the
20	Cenomanian. This new discovery confirms that the diversification of the modern lineages of
21	hawker dragonflies occurred during the Early Cretaceous.
22	
23	Keywords: Insecta; Odonata; Aeshnoptera; gen. et sp. nov., Early Cretaceous.
24	

1. Introduction

The Lower Cretaceous Crato Formation is a well-known Konservat Lagerstätte with a very rich 26 27 entomofauna. The Odonata are especially very diverse and were extensively studied (Bechly, 1998, 2000, 2007, 2010; Nel et al., 1998; Bechly et al., 2001; Bechly & Ueda, 2002) with 28 representatives of all the extant anisopteran main subgroups. Among these, the clade 29 Aeshnoptera or stem group of the modern Aeshnidae (hawker dragonflies) are especially 30 diverse. Nevertheless, they all belong to clades with phylogenetic positions more inclusive than 31 the Aeshnodea Bechly, 1996, with representatives of the extant family Gomphaeschnidae. Up 32 to now, the Aeshnodea that comprise all the other extant hawker dragonflies, have a rather 33 reduced Mesozoic record, with only the two 'mid'-Cretaceous families Burmaeshnidae and 34 35 Enigmaeshnidae. The Aeshnodea are much more diversified during the Cenozoic, especially in the Oligocene and Miocene. 36

Here we describe the oldest representative of the stem group of the Aeshnodea, in a newfamily, genus and species from the Crato Formation.

39

40 2. Material and methods

The specimen is on a piece of rock 14x11x1 cm. It is stored in the collection of the Musée d'Histoire Naturelle et d'Ethnographie de Colmar, France. It was examined with a Nikon SMZ 1500 and a Nikon SMZ25. Photographs were taken with a Nikon D800 digital camera mounted on the stereomicroscopes; photographs were processed using the image editing software Adobe Photoshop CS. Helicon focus software was used for stacking the different photographs.

46 For data on the Crato Formation and its fauna and flora and location map of the fossil locality

in the vicinity of Nova Olinda, in the Araripe Basin, Ceara, northeastern Brazil, see Martill et
al. (2007: figs 2.4-2.6).

The higher classification of fossil and extant Odonatoptera, as well as familial and generic
characters follows the phylogenetic system proposed by Bechly (1996, 2016) and Bechly et al.

51	(2001) for the Mesozoic Aeshnoptera. Wing venation terminology follows Riek & Kukalová-
52	Peck (1984), as amended by Kukalová-Peck (1991), Nel et al. (1993) and Bechly (1996).
53	Abbreviation of venation: Ax1 and Ax2 primary antenodal crossveins; C costa; CuA cubitus
54	anterior; CuP cubitus posterior; d discoidal triangle; IRxx supplementary longitudinal veins
55	between branches of RP; MAa anterior branch of media anterior; MAb posterior branch of
56	media anterior; MP media posterior; Mspl supplementary vein in postdiscoidal area; RA radius
57	anterior; RP radius posterior, Rspl supplementary vein in area between IR2 and RP3/4; PsA
58	anterior branch of AA; ScP subcosta posterior.
59	urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:E3B64B7C-A817-482E-8AB9-5305CE908AE2
60	
61	3. Systematic palaeontology
62	Superorder Odonatoptera Martynov, 1932
63	Order Odonata Fabricius, 1793
64	Suborder Anisoptera Selys, 1854, in Selys & Hagen, 1854
65	Clade Aeshnoptera Bechly, 1996
66	Subclade Aeshnodea Bechly, 1996
67	Family Primumaeshnidae fam. nov.
68	urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:4D566517-7497-45E5-8349-FDCBB140420A
69	Type genus. Primumaeshna gen. nov.
70	Diagnosis. As for the genus.
71	
72	Genus Primumaeshna gen. nov.
73	urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:0AE63E56-3D89-4488-A6FB-A0CACA69F7E7
74	Type species. Primumaeshna britta sp. nov.
75	Etymology. Named after 'Primus', Latin for first, and Aeshna. Gender feminine.

Diagnosis. Forewing characters only. RP1 and RP2 basally parallel up to pterostigma, with one 76 row of cells between them; Rspl well-defined, not zigzagged; discoidal triangle strongly 77 longitudinally elongated; subdiscoidal triangle unicellular; PsA strong and curved; no 78 supplementary crossveins in submedian area, discoidal triange and hypertriangle; distal side 79 MAb of discoidal triangle somewhat bent; a strongly curved Mspl; a trigonal planate not aligned 80 with Mspl; MP and CuA closely parallel with only one row of cells between them up to wing 81 margin; distal accessory oblique vein between RP2 and IR2 absent; distal primary antenodal 82 bracket Ax2 shifted distinctly basal of level of distal angle of discoidal triangle; most distal part 83 of antesubnodal area between RA and RP with five crossveins; one row of cells in area between 84 RP3/4 and MAa near posterior margin of wing; undulation of RP3/4 absent; MAa strongly 85 curved; a strongly pronounced double undulation of RP2; RP2 and IR2 distinctly non-parallel; 86 a short longitudinal vein parallel to IR2 corresponding to a rudimentary 'anterior branch' of 87 88 IR2, but no well-defined fork of IR2.

Remark. *Primumaeshna* gen. nov. is characterized by having a mixt of synapomorphies of the Aeshnodea and plesiomorphic characters that forbid to consider it as belonging to the crow group of this clade. It has not the apomorphies of the other Mesozoic families of the stem group of the Aeshnodea, but we could not find any autapomorphy that could characterize it. Possibly, its unknown hind wing could show some in the future, but we prefer to erect a new family for this oldest known Aeshnodea.

95

96 *Primumaeshna britta* sp. nov.

97 Fig. 1

98 urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:DCE5B395-801D-4A06-9F67-27FA00380595

Material. Holotype MHNE.2020.11.1 (thorax and head in ventral view, with legs and the two
forewings attached), stored at the Musée d'Histoire Naturelle et d'Ethnographie de Colmar,
France.

Etymology. Named after Britta Sennlaub, owner of the holotype, who allowed us to study it,and donated it.

Locality and horizon. Vicinity of Nova Olinda (precise outcrop is unknown), Araripe Basin,
Ceara, NE Brazil. Lower Cretaceous Crato Formation, Aptian (ca. 115 Ma) (Martill &
Heimhofer, 2007).

107 *Diagnosis*. As for the genus.

108 Description. Head 7.0 mm wide, with large eyes; thorax 9.1 mm long, 6.7 mm wide; fore femur 3.7 mm long, tibia 3.7 mm long, tarsus 1.8 mm long; mid femur 5.3 mm long, tibia 4.6 mm 109 long, tarsus 3.2 mm long; one hind leg poor preserved; forewing apparently hyaline (Fig. 1); 110 pterostigma sclerotized, brown; wing 43.7 mm long, width at nodus 10.2 mm; distance from 111 base to arculus 4.7 mm; distance from arculus to nodus 17.0 mm; from nodus to pterostigma 112 14.2 mm; pterostigma rather short (length 3.7 mm; width 0.9 mm), covering two cells, and 113 braced by a strongly oblique crossvein aligned with its basal side; pterostigma not in a basal 114 position; nine postnodal crossveins, not aligned with eight corresponding postsubnodal 115 crossveins; 'Bqs-area ('bridge space') between RP and IR2 basal of the subnodus not narrowed, 116 with two crossveins; 11 secondary antenodal crossveins of first row between costal margin and 117 ScP, distal of Ax2; primary antenodal crossveins Ax1 and Ax2 stronger than secondary 118 antenodals, 4.2 mm apart, with two secondaries in-between; Ax1 basal to arculus; Ax2 slightly 119 distal to basal side of discoidal triangle; ca. nine antesubnodal crossveins between arculus and 120 subnodus basal to base of RP3/4 and five distal, without any gap basal of subnodus; RP and 121 MA distinctly separated at angled arculus; base of RP2 aligned with subnodus; nodus of normal 122 anisopteran-type; only one oblique vein 'O' one cell distal of subnodus; IR2 originating 3.7 mm 123

and RP3/4 (midfork) 5.2 mm basal of subnodus; a curved, not-zigzagged Rspl, with one row of 124 125 cells between it and IR2; no convex secondary longitudinal vein looking like a posterior branch of IR2 four cells basal of base of Rspl; RP2 and IR2 parallel with only a single row of cells in-126 between in their basal parts, strongly diverging distally, with four rows of cells in broader part, 127 and with three rows of cells near posterior margin of wing; RP2 strongly undulated on a level 128 two cells basal to pterostigma; pseudo-IR1 very weak; RP1 and RP2 closely parallel up to 129 pterostigma with only a single row of cells in-between, but below pterostigma they become 130 strongly divergent with three or more rows of cells in-between; RP3/4 and MA parallel up to 131 level of nodus, MAa undulating in its distal part, while RP3/4 remaining straight and abruptly 132 133 curved near posterior marging of wing, with a single row of cells in-between up to curvature of MAa and again one row near hind margin of wing; strong curved Mspl with two row of cells 134 between it and MAa; postdiscoidal area distinctly widened distally (width near discoidal 135 136 triangle 2.7 mm; width at posterior margin of wing 8.8 mm) with two rows of cells immediately distal of discoidal triangle and up to level of base of RP3/4; hypertriangle with one crossvein 137 (length 6.3 mm; max. width 0.75 mm); discoidal triangle very long and rather narrow, divided 138 into two cells; length of anterior side 5.2 mm; of basal side 2.0 mm; of distal side MAb 5.2 mm; 139 MAb slightly curved, with a distinct convex secondary vein (trigonal planate) originating on it, 140 distally vanishing in postdiscoidal area; median space free of crossveins; submedian space 141 traversed by CuP-crossing; AA divided into a strong and oblique secondary anterior branch 142 PsA and a posterior main branch AAa, delimiting a well-defined unicellular subdiscoidal 143 triangle, max. 1.8 mm long and basally 1.8 mm wide; PsA curved; a single row of cells in area 144 between MP and CuA; MP reaching posterior margin of wing on a level with nodus; CuA 145 reaching posterior margin of wing somewhat basal of level of nodus; five-six weak posterior 146 branches of CuA; maximum width of cubito-anal area 2.7 mm with maximum four rows of 147

148 cells between CuA and posterior margin of wing; anal area max. 2.2 mm wide (below PsA)149 with two rows of large cells between AA and posterior margin of wing.

150

151 **4. Discussion**

Primumaeshna gen. nov. falls in the Aeshnoptera: Aeshnomorpha because of the following putative synapomorphies (Bechly et al., 2001): RP1 and RP2 basally parallel up to the pterostigma, with area between these two veins basally distinctly narrowed with only one row of cells between them; Rspl well-defined; MA undulating; forewing discoidal triangle longitudinal elongate; hypertriangle divided by one crossvein; RP2 strongly undulating.

Primumaeshna gen. nov. has none of the synapomorphies of the Austropetaliida Bechly, 2001, viz. no series of five to eight reddish costal spots; its pterostigmata are not shortened; its pterostigmal brace vein is aligned with its basal side; its IR1 is short; its insertions of CuPcrossing and PsA on anal vein AA are very far to each other; and its basal true lestine oblique vein is present.

On the other side, *Primumaeshna* gen. nov. has not two of the putative synapomorphies of the Panaeshnida Bechly, 2001, sister group of the Austropetaliida, viz. hypertriangles divided by several parallel crossveins; submedian space divided by one or more accessory cubito-anal crossveins between CuP-crossing and PsA; and discoidal triangles divided into more than two cells. But these characters are reversed in some Gomphaeschnidae Tillyard & Fraser, 1940. Its Rspl is not zigzagged, supporting its attribution to the Panaeshnida.

The well-developed Mspl with three rows of cells between it and MAa excludes affinities with the Progobiaeshnidae Bechly et al., 2001 and Cymatophlebioidea Handlirsch, 170 1906. This last group is also excluded because of the absence of a convex oblique and undulating secondary vein anastomosing between IR2 and RP3/4 directly basal of origin of Rspl, and absence of crossvein in submedian area except CuP and PsA. *Primumaeshna* gen. nov. falls in the Paneuaeshnida Bechly et al., 2001 because of: RP2
and IR2 not parallel; RP2 distinctly undulating; RP3/4 and MA closely parallel up to wing
margin in both pairs of wings.

Primumaeshna gen. nov. shares with the Paracymatophlebiidae Bechly et al., 2001 the 176 absence of the second oblique crossvein between IR2 and RP2, but it strongly differs from this 177 family in the better-defined and longer Mspl and presence of only one row of cells between 178 179 RP1 and RP2 up to pterostigma. On the contrary, it shares with the Euaeshnida Bechly, 1996 the synapomorphies: RP2 and IR2 distinctly non-parallel; forewing discoidal triangle quite 180 elongate; forewing subdiscoidal triangle unicellular; distal side MAb of discoidal triangles 181 182 somewhat bent. Affinities with the Eumorbaeschnidae Bechly et al., 2001 are excluded because the postdiscoidal area is quite broadened distally; Ax2 is distal to basal side of discoidal 183 triangle; while it shares with the Neoaeshnida Bechly, 1996 the following synapomorphies: 184 Mspl not zigzagged; a trigonal planate; MP and CuA are closely parallel with only one row of 185 cells between them up to wing margin; distal accessory oblique vein between RP2 and IR2 186 absent; distal primary antenodal bracket Ax2 shifted distinctly basal of level of distal angle of 187 discoidal triangle in forewing. 188

Affinities with the Gomphaeschnidae are excluded because the most distal part of 189 antesubnodal area between RA and RP contains five crossveins, instead of being free or with 190 few crossveins. But this gomphaeschnid 'cordulegastrid gap' is also not present in the Cenozoic 191 *†Alloaeschna quadrata* Wighton & Wilson, 1986, which differs from *Primumaeshna* gen. nov. 192 in the shape of Rspl and Mspl, among other characters. Paramorbaeschna araripensis Bechly 193 et al., 2001 has two such crossveins in the most distal part of antesubnodal area between RA 194 and RP. It also differs from Primumaeshna gen. nov. in the less undulate vein RP2, discernable 195 by the presence of two rows of cells between RP1 and RP2 and of three rows of cells between 196 RP2 and IR2 at the level of this curvature, instead of respectively three rows and two rows in 197

Primumaeshna gen. nov. Also the area between RP3/4 and MAa contains two rows of cells up
to posterior margin of wing in *Paramorbaeschna*, instead of only one in *Primumaeshna* gen.
nov.

Primumaeshna gen. nov. shares with the Aeshnodea, sister group of the 201 Gomphaeschnidae, the undulation of RP3/4 completely absent (still slightly present in the 202 Gomphaeschnidae), the forewing discoidal triangle strongly longitudinal elongated, and the 203 204 absence of the second second (distal) lestine oblique vein (Bechly & Rasmussen, 2019). But Primumaeshna gen. nov. has not the undulation of RP2 modified to a simple curvature beneath 205 pterostigma, nor the PsA reduced to an oblique cubito-anal crossvein similar to the others 206 207 crossveins in the submedian space, other synapomorphies of the Aeshnodea (= Allopetaliidae + Euaeshnodea after Bechly, 2016) (= Aeshnidae sensu Dijkstra et al., 2013 or Bechly & 208 Rasmussen, 2019). Thus Primumaeshna gen. nov. cannot be attributed to the crown group of 209 210 Aeshnodea, but to its stem group. The exact structure of the submedian space and vein PsA is unknown in the Mesozoic genus Baissaeshna Pritykina, 1977, currently attributed to the 211 212 Allopetaliidae. Thus the reduction of the PsA to a simple oblique crossvein remains unknown in this genus. Nevertheless Primumaeshna gen. nov. differs from Baissaeshna in the very 213 strongly double curve of RP2, instead of having only one pronounced curve and being straight 214 in its distal part below the pterostigma, in having a strong curve of MAa, and in the trigonal 215 planate not prolonged by Mspl in forewing (Bechly et al., 2001: text-figs 129-130). 216

This stem group is currently known in the Mesozoic by the 'mid'-Cretaceous families Burmaeshnidae Huang et al., 2017 (*Burmaeshna* Huang et al., 2017, *Cretaeshna* Zheng et al., 2016, *Angustaeshna* Huang et al., 2017, and *Proaeschna* Wei et al., 2019, all from Burmese amber) and Enigmaeshnidae Nel et al., 2008 (*Enigmaeshna* Nel et al., 2008). *Primumaeshna* gen. nov. differs from the Burmaeshnidae in the absence of a well-defined fork of IR2, and the strongly curved Mspl. *Primumaeshna* gen. nov. has only a short longitudinal vein parallel to IR2 that corresponds to a rudimentary 'anterior branch' of IR2. *Primumaeshna* gen. nov. differs
from the Enigmaeshnidae in the absence of supplementary crossveins in submedian area,
discoidal triange and hypertriangle.

226

Primumaeshna gen. nov. shares with the

227

228 **5.** Conclusion

Primumaeshna gen. nov. is the oldest record of the clade Aeshnodea, the most diverse group of 229 extant hawker dragonflies. It confirms that this group diversified during the early Cretaceous, 230 a conclusion supported by the presence of two other aeshnodean families in the Cenomanian 231 and by the molecular dating (Letsch et al., 2016). These last authors considered that the 232 representatives of the Aeshnodea probably lived in lotic waters. Nevertheless, the abundance 233 and diversity of the hawker dragonflies in the Crato paleolake could suggest that these taxa 234 were living in the lake or close to it, and were possibly adapted to lentic environments. This 235 paleolake is considered as brackish, but the abundance and diversity of the ephemeropteran and 236 anisopteran nymphs strongly suggest that they could develop in the lake or at its margin (Martill 237 et al., 2007). But the qualification of lentic vs. lotic for these ancient periods possibly did not 238 correspond to the modern ones, because the quality of water in the Jurassic and early Cretaceous 239 lakes was probably different from that of the late Cretaceous and younger ones, after the 'mid'-240 Cretaceous floristic 'revolution' and the diversification of the aquatic macrophytes (Du & 241 Wang, 2016). 242

243

244 CRediT authorship contribution statement

Jean-Marc Pouillon: Writing, illustrating. André Nel: Writing – original draft, Formal
 analysis, Conceptualization.

247

248 Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank two anonymous referees and the editor for their useful remarks on the first version of the paper. We also thank Mr. Markus Sennlaub who allowed us to study the holotype specimen.

252

253 **References**

- Bechly, G., 1996. Morphologische Untersuchungen am Flügelgeäder der rezenten Libellen und
 deren Stammgruppenvertreter (Insecta; Pterygota; Odonata), unter besonderer
 Berücksichtigung der Phylogenetischen Systematik und des Grundplanes der *Odonata.
 Petalura Special Volume 2, 402 pp.
- Bechly, G., 1998. New fossil dragonflies from the Lower Cretaceous Crato Formation of NorthEast Brazil (Insecta: Odonata). Stuttgarter Beiträge zur Naturkunde (B) 264, 1–66.
- Bechly, G., 2000. Two new dragonfly species (Insecta: Odonata: Anisoptera:
 Araripegomphidae and Lindeniidae) from the Crato limestone (Lower Cretaceous, Brazil).
 Stuttgarter Beiträge zur Naturkunde (B) 296, 1–16.
- Bechly, G., 2007. Chapter 11.5 Odonata: damselflies and dragonflies. pp. 184–222. In: Martill,
- D., Bechly, G., Loveridge, R. (eds). The Crato fossil beds of Brazil: Window into an ancient
 world. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 624 pp.
- 266 Bechly, G., 2010. Additions to the fossil dragonfly fauna from the Lower Cretaceous Crato
- Formation of Brazil (Insecta: Odonata). Palaeodiversity 3 (Supplement), 11–77.
- Bechly, G., 2016. Phylogenetic systematics of Odonata. homepage on Internet site:
 https://bechly.lima-city.de/phylosys.htm
- 270 Bechly, G., Nel, A., Martínez-Delclòs, X., Jarzembowski, E.A., Coram, R., Martill, D., Fleck,
- 271 G., Escuillié, F., Wisshak, M.M., Maisch, M., 2001. A revision and phylogenetic study of

- Mesozoic Aeshnoptera, with description of several new families, genera and species (Insecta:
 Odonata: Anisoptera). Neue Paläontologische Abhandlungen 4, 1–219.
- Bechly, G., Rasmussen, J.A., 2019. A new genus of hawker dragonfly (Odonata: Anisoptera:
 Aeshnidae) from the Early Eocene Fur Formation of Denmark. Zootaxa 4550, 123–128.
- 276 Bechly, G., Ueda, K., 2002. The first fossil record and first New World record for the dragonfly
- 277 clade Chlorogomphida (Insecta: Odonata: Anisoptera: Araripechlorogomphidae n. fam.) from
- the Crato Limestone (Lower Cretaceous, Brazil). Stuttgarter Beiträge zur Naturkunde (B) 328,
 1–11.
- 280 Dijkstra, K.-D.B., Bechly, G., Bybee, S.M., Dow, R.A., Dumont, H.J., Fleck, G., Garrison,
- 281 R.W., Hämäläinen, M., Kalkman, V.J., Karube, H., May, M.L., Orr, A.G., Paulson, D.R., Rehn,
- A.C., Theischinger, G., Trueman, J.W.H., Van Tol, J., Von Ellenrieder, N., Ware, J., 2013. The
- classification and diversity of dragonflies and damselflies (Odonata). Zootaxa 3703, 36–45.
- Du, Z.-Y., Wang, Q.-F., 2016. Phylogenetic tree of vascular plants reveals the origins of aquatic
 angiosperms. Journal of Systematics and Evolution 54, 342–348.
- Fabricius, J.C., 1793. Entomologia systematica emendata et aucta, secundum classes, ordines,
- 287 genera, species, adjectis synonymis, locis, observationibus, descriptionibus. C.G. Proft, Hafniae
- 288 [= Copenhagen], 3, 1–487 and 1–349.
- Handlirsch, A., 1906-1908. Die fossilen Insekten und die Phylogenie der rezenten Formen. Ein
- Handbuch für Paläontologen und Zoologen. Engelman, V.W. publ., Leipzig, 1430 pp.
- Huang, D.-y., Cai, C.-y., Nel, A., Bechly, G., 2017a. A new dragonfly family from the mid
 Cretaceous Burmese amber (Odonata: Aeshnoptera: Burmaeshnidae). Cretaceous Research 78,
 8–12.
- Huang, D.-y., Nel, A., Cai, C.-y 2017b. A new Burmese amber hawker dragonfly helps to
 redefine the position of the aeshnopteran family Burmaeshnidae (Odonata: Anisoptera:
 Aeshnoidea). Cretaceous Research 79, 153–158.

- 297 Kukalová-Peck, J., 1991. Chapter 6: Fossil history and the evolution of hexapod structures.
- 298 141–179. In: Naumann, I.D. (ed). The insects of Australia, a textbook for students and research
- workers (2nd edition). Melbourne University Press, Melbourne, 1, 542 pp.
- Letsch, H.O., Gottsberger, B., Ware, J.L., 2016. Not going with the flow: a comprehensive
- 301 time-calibrated phylogeny of dragonflies (Anisoptera: Odonata: Insecta) provides evidence for
- the role of lentic habitats on diversification. Molecular Ecology 25, 1340–1353.
- Martill, D., Bechly, G., Loveridge, R., 2007. The Crato fossil beds of Brazil: Window into an
 ancient world. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 624 pp.
- Martill, D.M., Heimhofer, U., 2007. Stratigraphy of the Crato Formation. pp. 25–43. In: Martill,
- 306 D.M., Bechly, G., Loveridge R.F. (eds). The Crato fossil beds of Brazil. Window into an ancient
- 307 world. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- 308 Martynov, A.V., 1932. New Permian Paleoptera with the discussion of some problems of their
- evolution. Trudy Paleozoologicheskogo Instituta Akademii nauk SSSR 1, 1–44. [in English,
 with summary in Russian.]
- 311 Nel, A., Bechly, G., Jarzembowski, E.A., Martínez-Delclòs, X., 1998. A revision of the fossil
- petalurid dragonflies (Insecta: Odonata: Anisoptera: Petalurida). Paleontologia Lombarda
 (N.S.) 10, 1–68.
- Nel, A., Martínez-Delclòs, X., Paicheler, J.-C., Henrotay, M., 1993. Les 'Anisozygoptera'
 fossiles. Phylogénie et classification (Odonata). Martinia Hors Série 3, 1–311.
- Nel, A., Néraudeau, D., Perrichot, V., Girard, V., Gomez, B. 2008. A new dragonfly family
- from the Upper Cretaceous of France (Insecta: Odonata: Aeshnoptera). Acta Palaeontologica
 Polonica 53, 165–168.
- Riek, E.F., Kukalová-Peck, J., 1984. A new interpretation of dragonfly wing venation based
- 320 upon Early Carboniferous fossils from Argentina (Insecta: Odonatoidea) and basic characters
- states in pterygote wings. Canadian Journal of Zoology 62, 1150–1166.

- 322 Selys-Longchamps, E. de, Hagen, H., 1854. Synopsis des Gomphines. Bulletin de l'Académie
- Royale des sciences et belles-lettres de Bruxelles 21, 23–114.
- Tillyard, R.J., Fraser, F.C., 1940. A reclassification of the order Odonata based on some new
 interpretations of the venation of the dragonfly wing. Part 3. The Australian Zoologist 9, 359–
 396.
- Wei, G.-j., Shih, C.-k., Ren, D., Wang, T.-j. 2019. A new burmaeshnid dragonfly from the midCretaceous Burmese amber elucidating wing base structure of true Odonata. Cretaceous
 Research 101, 23–29.
- 330 Wighton, D.C., Wilson, M.V.H., 1986. The Gomphaeschninae (Odonata: Aeshnidae): new
- fossil genus, reconstructed phylogeny, and geographical history. Systematic Entomology 11,
 505–522.
- 333Zheng, D.-r., Chang, S.-C., Jarzembowski, E.A., Wang, B., 2017. The first aeshnoid dragonfly
- 334 (Odonata: Anisoptera: Telephlebiidae) from mid-Cretaceous Burmese amber. Cretaceous
 335 Research 72, 105–109.
- Fig. 1. *Primumaeshna britta* gen. et sp. nov., holotype MHNE.2020. MHNE.2020.11.1.
 Photograph of habitus; CuAa cubitus anterior, CuP cubitus posterior, d discoidal triangle, h
 hypertriangle, IR2 intercalary radial vein 2, MAa median anterior, MP median posterior, Mspl
- supplementary median vein, PsA pseudo-anal vein, Pt pterostigma, RP2 & RP3/4 branches of
- 340 Radius posterior, Rspl supplementary radial vein. Scale bar represents 3 mm.
- 341

