Technical note on the harvest of periosteal forearm composite free flaps in the treatment of early mandibular osteoradionecrotic injury

Jérémie Bettoni, Matthieu Olivetto, J. Bouaoud, Bernard Devauchelle

► To cite this version:

Jérémie Bettoni, Matthieu Olivetto, J. Bouaoud, Bernard Devauchelle. Technical note on the harvest of periosteal forearm composite free flaps in the treatment of early mandibular osteoradionecrotic injury. *Journal of Stomatology, Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, 2019, 120 (6), pp.570-572. 10.1016/j.jormas.2019.04.011 . hal-03048180

HAL Id: hal-03048180

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03048180>

Submitted on 9 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Title

Technical note on the harvest of periosteal forearm composite free flaps in the treatment of early mandibular osteoradionecrotic injury

Bettoni Jérémie^{1,2}, Olivetto Matthieu^{1,2}, Bouaoud Jébrane³, Devauchelle Bernard^{1,2}

¹ Department of Maxillofacial Surgery, University Hospital of Amiens
Avenue Laennec, 80000 Amiens, France

² EA CHIMERE, Picardie Jules Verne University
Avenue Laennec, 80000 Amiens, France

³ Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Sorbonne University, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital
Boulevard de l'Hôpital, 75013, Paris, France

Corresponding author:

Jérémie Bettoni, M.D.

Department of Maxillofacial Surgery, University Hospital of Amiens
Avenue Laennec, 80000 Amiens, France
+33 3 22 08 90 50 (Fax: +33 3 22 66 79 94)
jeremiebettoni@gmail.com

25 **Abstract (150 words)**

1
26

3

4
27

6

7
28

9

10
29

11

12
30

14

15
31

17

18
32

20

21
33

22

23
34

24

25
35

27

28
36

29

30
37

31

32
38

33

34
39

35

36
40

37

38
41

39

40
42

41

42
43

43

44
44

45

46
45

47

48
46

49

50
47

Periosteal radial forearm free flaps allow functional and curative treatment of osteoradionecrotic jaw lesions. The flap responds physiologically to hypoxia, hypocellularity, and hypovascularity, which are characteristic results of osteoradionecrotic injury. Here, we propose a reproducible, simple, and safe method for harvesting a forearm free flap, allowing us to obtain a periosteal composite free flap. Our technique offers potential early management of osteoradionecrotic lesions resistant to medical treatment. Furthermore, the forearm periosteal composite free flap offers the advantage of a long vascular pedicle which is ideally suited for necks which have been submitted to irradiation or multiple operations. Thusly, vascular anastomosis can be performed at a distance from the irradiated areas. Compared to other periosteal free flaps, such as those harvested from the iliac crest or the internal femoral condyle, the presence of a skin paddle facilitates clinical flap monitoring, optimizes the restoration of bone sealing, and facilitates the treatment of scarring.

Keywords:

Osteoradionecrosis, periosteal free flap, management, revascularization surgery, forearm periosteal free flap

1
2 **1. Introduction**

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

Mandibular osteoradionecrosis (ORN) is a severe complication of radiotherapy characterized by hypoxic, hypovascular, and hypocellular bone¹ which has lost its capacity to renew itself. Clinically, ORN presents as spontaneous mandibular bone exposure or occurs following an endobuccal surgical procedure.² Different complications can occur, in particular cutaneous fistulas and/or pathological fractures. Therapeutic revascularization surgery (resection of the pathological bone with immediate reconstruction by microanastomosis of a flap) is the gold standard, with an efficacy rate of between 86% and 100%.^{3,4} By contrast, for treatment requiring a consensus, such as long-term antibiotic therapy or the Pentoclo protocol, efficacy assessment requires evaluation by randomized double-blind placebo-controlled studies.⁵ However, due to the difficulties of surgery in irradiated areas, the scarcity of vessels, and the high risk of failure, most teams consider it preferable to reserve radical management with free flap reconstruction for cases of ORN with fractures.⁶ Furthermore, malnutrition secondary to reactional trismus is a criterion of severity, complicating any surgical management. In 2009, D'Hauthuille et al.⁷ proposed the early management of ORN relating to the underlying risk of neoplasia and the tendency of the lesions to progress. This management is based on marginal bone resection with reconstruction by a free flap “cover” which preserves the food masticating function while revascularizing the underlying bone. Thus, periosteal free

flaps (internal condyle, femoral, or others) are recommended for their osteoinductive and osteogenic properties. Indeed, the supply of vascularized living tissues within an irradiated environment constitutes a physiological response to the treatment of osteoradionecrosis. The efficacy rate of 100% confirms that it remains essential to design mandibular ORN curative surgery with a unique and functional approach.

From this perspective, and taking into account the scarring fibrosis of the post-radial endobuccal mucosa, the forearm free flap with a radial periosteal component offers several advantages responding to the constraints of early osteoradionecrotic lesion revascularization surgery: i) it provides a periosteal membrane, and ii) its fasciocutaneous flap facilitates clinical flap monitoring and optimizes buccal tightness with tension-free sutures. Here, we describe our harvesting technique, ensuring fast and safe dissection.

80 2. Technical Note

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

After verifying the ulnar vascularization of the palmar arch using Allen's test, the dimensions of a fasciocutaneous paddle is defined, centered on the radial vascular pedicle. It is important to overestimate the volume of the cutaneous paddle needed relative to the bone exposure area to allow tension-free suturing during endobuccal insertion.

Using a pneumatic tourniquet, a curvilinear incision starting from the fold of the elbow to the upper point of the skin paddle is performed. Then, the ulnar and radial sides of the skin paddle should be carefully incised to include the cephalic vein. It is important to begin the dissection of the fasciocutaneous paddle by the radial side of the flap to protect the cutaneous branches of the radial nerve. Once dissected and reclined by placing a Gillies hook or a Faraboeuf spreader on the brachioradial muscle tendon (Figure 1), the radial periosteum is incised with the length corresponding to the slice of mandibular bone section to be “resurfaced”. The periosteum is then gently roughened to avoid it dissociating from the radial vascular pedicle.

The ulnar surface of the flap is secondarily dissected up to the tendon of the long flexor muscle of the carpus, which is then retracted with a Gillies hook, allowing exposure of the lower end of the radius. This facilitates the access to the radial periosteum, which should be incised and scraped until it reaches the contralateral detachment zone. Once the entire periosteum is released, the distal end of the radial

vascular pedicle is dissected, then ligated to counter-incise the radial periosteum and release it over its entire length. The limit of the proximal portion of the radial periosteum is defined according to the required length.

The remaining steps of harvesting are the same as the conventional procedures described by Yang, in 1981.⁸ The careful dissection of the radial vascular pedicle is carried out with ligatures of all collaterals until a sufficient vascular pedicle length is obtained to allow for anastomosis (Figure 2). Finally, after releasing the pneumatic tourniquet, the radial accompanying veins (*vena comitans*) are ligated to check for the presence of cephalic venous return. If there is no cephalic venous drainage, the cephalic vein is sacrificed and a accompanying vein is used. Once weaned, the flap is washed with a heparinized saline solution to prevent the risk of distal microthromboses.

When setting the flap in its position, the radial periosteum is sutured prior to the mandibular periosteum to isolate the section of bone. Then, the skin paddle is sutured without tension to the adjacent mucosa by separate stitches of absorbable braided sutures. In principle, microsurgical anastomoses should be performed using separate stitches of non-absorbable non-braided sutures (9/0 or 10/0) always starting with the vessels of the flap to avoid any disassociation of the intima from the media of the irradiated vessels during the passage of the needle, which would increase the thrombogenic risk.⁹

122 3. Discussion

123
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

By reporting our harvesting technique for periosteal forearm free flaps, we provide a safe and accessible alternative management option for early ORN or ORN refractory to medical treatment. Initially proposed by Kelley et al.¹⁰ for the optimization of vascularization of maxillary bone grafts and by Roselli et al.¹¹ as a cancer surgery option for marginal mandibulectomy, the periosteal forearm free flap has many advantages for surgery performed in the irradiated area.

First, known for its reliability,¹² the forearm free flap offers the advantage of having a long vascular pedicle to respond to the difficulties of avascular necks encountered subsequent to radiotherapy or multiple surgeries. Indeed, this characteristic makes it possible to perform microsurgical anastomosis on vessels located outside the irradiation area, such as the temporal or transverse vessels.

In addition, the skin paddle of the periosteal forearm composite free flap facilitates the good inset of the flap as well as treatment of scar ridges responsible for ankyloglossia or a reduced buccal opening. This is not possible with other periosteal free flaps⁷ such as those from the iliac crest or internal femoral condyle that tend to retract.

Finally, the presence of a skin paddle makes it easier to monitor the vitality of the free flap due to the recoloring time which can help to signal the the need for vascular anastomosis revision. Nevertheless, unlike the external brachial free flap with humeral periosteum, the adipose volume of the fasciocutaneous component of the

144 forearm composite free flap can require a second surgical procedure to reduce or
145 eliminate excess tissue.

146 Regarding the technical procedures of revascularization surgery using periosteal
147 forearm free flaps, the recent controversy on the effectiveness of the main
148 conservative treatment^{5,13-15} requires deep reflection on the part of the entire scientific
149 community. The limits proposed by Delanian et al.¹⁵ in 2002 are worth remembering:
150 “cellulite, fracture and bone exposure greater than 1 cm”.

151 **Conclusion**

152 The reliability of the forearm free flap and its vascular pedicle length makes it a flap
153 of choice in irradiated neck surgery. The periosteal vascularized transfer provides a
154 physiological response to the physiopathogenesis of ORN while restoring the oral
155 seal essential to oral nutrition. However, this revascularization surgery using the
156 periosteal flap is only possible if an early diagnosis is made before the strength of the
157 mandibular arch is weakened.

159 **Acknowledgements**

161 **Conflict of Interest Statement: None**

162 **References**

163

2

3

164

4

5

165

6

166

7

8

167

9

10

168

11

169

12

170

13

171

14

172

15

173

16

174

17

175

18

176

19

177

20

178

21

179

22

180

23

181

24

182

25

183

26

184

27

185

28

186

29

187

30

188

31

189

32

190

33

191

34

192

35

- [1] - Marx RE. A new concept in the treatment of osteoradionecrosis. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 1983; 41:351-7 (PMID: 6574217).
- [2] - Wong JK, Wood RE, McLean M. Conservative management of osteoradionecrosis. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 1997; 84:16-21 (PMID: 9247943).
- [3] - Lee M, Chin RY, Eslick GD, Sritharan N. Outcomes of microvascular free flap reconstruction for mandibular osteoradionecrosis : A systematic review. Journal of Cranio-Maxillo-facial Surgery. 2015; 43:2026-2033 (DOI : 10.1016/j.jcms.2015.03.006).
- [4] – Bettoni J, Olivetto M, Duisit J, Caula A, Bitar G, Lengele B et al. Role of « revascularization surgery » in the management of mandibular osteoradionecrosis lesions : a report of 21 years of experience. Clin Otolaryngol 2018 dec 14. (DOI : 10.1111/coa.13272)
- [5] – Martos-Fernandez M, Saez-Barba M, Lopez-Lopez J, Estrugo-Devesa A, Balibrea-Del-Castillo JM, Bescos-Atin C. Pentoxifulline, Tocopherol, and Clodronate for the treatment of mandibular osteoradionecrosis: a systematic review. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol 2018; 125:431-439 (DOI: 10.1016/j.oooo.2018.02.004)
- [6] - Rice N, Polyzois I, Ekanayake K, Omer O, Stassen LFA. The management of osteoradionecrosis of jaws – A review. The Surgeon. 2015; 101-109 (DOI: 10.1016/j.surge.2014.07.003).

- [7] - D'Hauthuille C, Testelin S, Taha F, Bitar G, Devauchelle B. Part III : free periosteal flaps as a treatment for mandibular osteoradionecrosis. *Rev Stomatol Chir Maxillofac* 2009; 110: 3-7 (DOI: 10.1016/j.stomax.2008.07.007)
- [8] – Yang GF, Chen PJ, Gao YZ, Liu XY, Li J, Jiang SX et al. Forearm free flap transplantation: a report of 56 cases. 1981. *Br J Plast Surg* 1997 ; 50: 162-5 (PMID: 9176001).
- [9] - Guelinckx PJ, Boeckx WD, Fossion E, Gruwez JA. Scanning electron microscopy of irradiated recipient blood vessels in head and neck free flaps. *Plast Reconstruct Surg* 1984 ;74 :217-226 (PMID: 6463146)
- [10] – Kelley P, Klebuc M, Hollier L. Complex midface reconstruction : maximizing contour and bone graft survival utilizing periosteal free flaps. *J Craniofac Surg* 2003 ;14 :779-82 (PMID: 14501347)
- [11] – Roselli R, Muscatello L, Valdatta L, Pavan G, Spriano G. Mandibular reconstruction with frozen autologous mandibular bone and radial periosteal fasciocutaneous free flap : preliminary report. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol* 2004 ;113 :956-60 (DOI : 10.1177/000348940411301204)
- [12] – Zhang C, Sun J, Zhu H, Xu L, Ji T, He Y et al. Microsurgical free flap reconstructions of the head and neck region : Shanghai experience of 34 years and 4640 flaps. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2015 ;44 :675-684 (DOI : 10.1016/j.ijom.2015.02.017)
- [13] - Annane D, Depondt J, Aubert P et al. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy for radionecrosis of the jaw : a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial from the ORN96 study group. *J Clin Oncol* 2004; 22(24) :4893-900 (DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2004.09.006).

214 [14] - Madrid C, Abarca M, Bouferrache K. Osteoradionecrosis : An update. Oral Oncology 2010;
2 46 :471-474 (DOI : 10.1016/j.oraloncology.2010.03.017).
215 3
4
5
216 6
7
217 7
8
9
10
218 [15] - Delanian S, Chatel C, Porcher R et al. Complete restoration of refractory mandibular
11
12
219 osteoradionecrosis by prolonged treatment with a pentoxifylline-tocopherol-clodronate combination
13
14
220 (PENTOCLO): a phase II trial. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2011;80(3):832-9 (DOI:
15
16
221 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2010.03.029)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

222 **Captions to illustrations**

223
2 224 Figure 1: Intraoperative photograph of the harvesting of the radial periosteal paddle (black arrow)
3
4
5 225 achieved by dissecting the sensitive branches of the radial nerve (white arrow) which are retracted
6
7 226 and protected by a Gillies hook on the tendon of the brachio-radial muscle. It is important to detach
8
9 227 the subperiosteum carefully to avoid devascularization of the periosteal membrane secondary to
10
11
12 228 decoupling from the radial vascular pedicle.

13
14
15 229
16
17
18 230 Figure 2: Intraoperative photographs before weaning of the periosteal forearm free flap and after
19
20 231 releasing the touniquet to confirm (a) the viability of the skin paddle, and (b) the integrity and
21
22
23 232 vascularization of the periosteal membrane.

Figure 1
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure 2
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)