



HAL
open science

Clinicogenomic factors of biotherapy immunogenicity in autoimmune disease: A prospective multicohort study of the ABIRISK consortium

Signe Hässler, Delphine Bachelet, Julianne Duhazé, Natacha Szely, Aude Gleizes, Salima Hacein-Bey Abina, Orhan Aktas, Michael Auer, Jérôme Avouac, Mary Birchler, et al.

► To cite this version:

Signe Hässler, Delphine Bachelet, Julianne Duhazé, Natacha Szely, Aude Gleizes, et al.. Clinicogenomic factors of biotherapy immunogenicity in autoimmune disease: A prospective multicohort study of the ABIRISK consortium. PLoS Medicine, 2020, 17 (10), pp.e1003348. 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003348 . hal-03979090

HAL Id: hal-03979090

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03979090>

Submitted on 6 Jun 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.




Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Clinicogenomic factors of biotherapy immunogenicity in autoimmune disease: A prospective multicohort study of the ABIRISK consortium

Signe Hässler^{1,2,3*}, Delphine Bachelet^{1,4}, Julianne Duhaze^{1,5}, Natacha Szely⁶, Aude Gleizes^{6,7}, Salima Hacein-Bey Abina^{7,8}, Orhan Aktas⁹, Michael Auer¹⁰, Jérôme Avouac^{11,12}, Mary Birchler¹³, Yoram Bouhnik^{14,15}, Olivier Brocq¹⁶, Dorothea Buck-Martin¹⁷, Guillaume Cadiot^{15,18}, Franck Carbonnel^{15,19}, Yehuda Chowers²⁰, Manuel Comabella²¹, Tobias Derfuss²², Niek De Vries²³, Naoimh Donnellan²⁴, Abiba Doukani²⁵, Michael Guger²⁶, Hans-Peter Hartung⁹, Eva Kubala Havrdova²⁷, Bernhard Hemmer^{17,28}, Tom Huizinga²⁹, Kathleen Ingenhoven⁹, Poul Erik Hyldgaard-Jensen³⁰, Elizabeth C. Jury³¹, Michael Khalil³², Bernd Kieseier⁹, Anna Laurén³³, Raija Lindberg²², Amy Loercher¹³, Enrico Maggi^{34,35}, Jessica Manson³⁶, Claudia Mauri³¹, Badreddine Mohand Oumoussa²⁵, Xavier Montalban^{21,37}, Maria Nachury^{15,38}, Petra Nytrova²⁷, Christophe Richez^{39,40}, Malin Ryner⁴¹, Finn Sellebjerg³⁰, Claudia Sievers²², Dan Sikkema^{13,42}, Martin Soubrier⁴³, Sophie Tourdot⁶, Caroline Trang^{15,44}, Alessandra Vultaggio³⁴, Clemens Warnke^{9,45}, Sebastian Spindeldreher^{46,47}, Pierre Dönnès⁴⁸, Timothy P. Hickling⁴⁹, Agnès Hincelin Mery⁵⁰, Matthieu Allez^{15,51}, Florian Deisenhammer¹⁰, Anna Fogdell-Hahn⁴¹, Xavier Mariette⁵², Marc Pallardy⁶, Philippe Broët^{1,5,53*}, ABIRISK consortium¹



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Hässler S, Bachelet D, Duhaze J, Szely N, Gleizes A, Hacein-Bey Abina S, et al. (2020) Clinicogenomic factors of biotherapy immunogenicity in autoimmune disease: A prospective multicohort study of the ABIRISK consortium. *PLoS Med* 17(10): e1003348. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003348>

Academic Editor: Sebastien Viatte, Arthritis Research UK Epidemiology Unit, UNITED KINGDOM

Received: January 24, 2020

Accepted: September 18, 2020

Published: October 30, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Hässler et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The data analyzed in this study were collected in the context of the ABIRISK project by ABIRISK partners. In order to be compliant with the European General Data Protection Regulation law on personal data, the clinical and the genetic data of this study cannot be publicly accessible. Access to the data set underlying the findings can be obtained by interested researchers upon request to the

1 CESP, INSERM UMR 1018, Faculty of Medicine, Paris-Sud University, UVSQ, Paris-Saclay University, Villejuif, France, 2 Sorbonne Université, INSERM UMR 959, Immunology-Immunopathology-Immunotherapy (i3), Paris, France, 3 AP-HP, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Biotherapy (CIC-BTi), Paris, France, 4 Department of Biostatistical Epidemiology and Clinical Research, Hôpital Bichat, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris AP-HP, Nord, INSERM CIC-EC 1425, Paris, France, 5 CHU Ste-Justine Research Center, Montreal, Canada, 6 INSERM UMR 996, Faculty of Pharmacy, Paris-Sud University, Paris-Saclay University, Châtenay-Malabry, France, 7 Clinical Immunology Laboratory, AP-HP, Le Kremlin-Bicêtre Hospital, Paris-Sud University Hospitals, Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France, 8 UTCBS, CNRS UMR 8258, INSERM U1022, Faculty of Pharmacy, Paris-Descartes-Sorbonne-Cite University, Paris, France, 9 University of Düsseldorf, Medical Faculty, Department of Neurology, Düsseldorf, Germany, 10 Innsbruck Medical University, Department of Neurology, Innsbruck, Austria, 11 Paris University, Paris Descartes University, INSERM U1016, Paris, France, 12 Rheumatology department, Cochin Hospital, AP-HP.CUP, Paris, France, 13 GlaxoSmithKline, Clinical Immunology–Biopharm, Collegeville, Pennsylvania, United States of America, 14 AP-HP, Hôpital Beaujon, Paris, France, 15 GETAID, Paris, France, 16 Princess Grace Hospital, Rheumatology, Monaco, 17 Department of Neurology, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany, 18 Service d'hépatogastroentérologie, University Hospital of Reims, Reims, France, 19 Department of Gastroenterology, AP-HP, Hôpital Kremlin-Bicêtre, France, 20 Department of Gastroenterology, Rambam Health Care Campus, Haifa, Israel; Bruce Rappaport School of Medicine, Technion Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel; Clinical Research Institute, Rambam Health Care Campus, Haifa, Israel, 21 Servei de Neurologia-Neuroimmunologia, Centre d'Esclerosi Múltiple de Catalunya (Cemcat). Institut de Recerca Vall d'Hebron (VHIR). Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, 22 Departments of Biomedicine and Neurology, University Hospital Basel and University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland, 23 Rheumatology & Clinical Immunology, Amsterdam UMC | AMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, 24 Ipsen Biopharm Ltd, Berkshire, United Kingdom, 25 Sorbonne Université, Inserm, UMS Production et Analyse des données en Sciences de la vie et en Santé, UMS 37 PASS, Plateforme Post-génomique de la Pitié-Salpêtrière, P3S, Paris, France, 26 Clinic for Neurology 2, Med Campus III, Kepler University Hospital GmbH, Linz, Austria, 27 Department of Neurology and Center of Clinical Neuroscience, First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University and General University Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic, 28 Munich Cluster for Systems Neurology (SyNergy), Munich, Germany, 29 Department of Rheumatology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands, 30 Danish Multiple Sclerosis Center, Department of Neurology, Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark,

ABIRISK Sustainability Scientific Committee at isabelle.turbica@universite-paris-saclay.fr.

Funding: The research leading to these results has received support from the Innovative Medicines Initiative Joint Undertaking under grant agreement no. [115303], resources of which are composed of financial contribution from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) and EFPIA companies' in kind contribution. BH received support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy within the framework of the Munich Cluster for Systems Neurology (EXC 2145 SyNergy – ID 390857198). The biobank of the Department of Neurology as part of the Joint Biobank Munich in the framework of the German Biobank Node supported the study. OA received grants from the German Research Foundation (DFG) and the German Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF). TD's institution received grants from the Swiss National Research Foundation, University of Basel, and Swiss MS Society. EKH and PN were supported by the Czech Ministry of Education research project PROGRES Q27/LF1 and MSMT-35199/2012-32. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: I have read the journal's policy and the authors of this manuscript have the following competing interests: SH, DB, JD, NS, AG, MB, DBM, NDV, ND, AD, SHBH, HPH, KI, PEHJ, ECJ, BK, AL, RL, AL, EM, CM, BMO, MR, FS, CS, DS, PD, AHM, MP, and PB declare no conflict of interest. OA reports grants and personal fees from Bayer HealthCare, Biogen, Genzyme, Novartis, Teva and Viela Bio, and personal fees from Almiral, MedImmune, Merck Serono, and Roche. MA received speaker honoraria and/or travel grants from Biogen, Novartis, Merck Serono, and Sanofi. JA has served on scientific advisory boards for Roche, BMS, Abbvie, Nordic, Boehringer, and Sanofi-Genzyme; he has received speaking honoraria from Pfizer, MSD, BMS, and Novartis; and he has received research grants from BMS and Pfizer. YB received speaker honoraria from Abbvie, Biogaran, Biogen, Boehringer Ingelheim, Ferring, Fresenius Kabi, Gilead, Hospira, Janssen, Lilly, Mayoli Spindler, Merck, MSD, Norgine, Pfizer, Roche, Sandoz, Sanofi, Shire, Takeda, and UCB. OB has received institutional fees for consultancy or lectures from Wyeth/Pfizer, Abbvie, Roche, Chugai, Shering Plough, UCB, Swedish Orphan, Genevri, Smith & Nephew, BMS, MSD, Celgene, novartis, Janssen, Sanofi, and Lilly. GC participated in advisory boards with AAA, Kéocyt, Ipsen,

31 Centre for Rheumatology Research, University College London, London, United Kingdom, 32 Department of Neurology, Medical University of Graz, Austria, 33 Svar Life Science, Malmö, Sweden, 34 Dipartimento di Medicina Sperimentale e Clínica, Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy, 35 Immunology Area of Bambino Gesù Pediatric Hospital, IRCCS, Rome, Italy, 36 Department of Rheumatology, University College London Hospital, London, United Kingdom, 37 Center for Multiple Sclerosis, St. Michael's Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, 38 University hospital of Lille, Maladies de l'appareil digestif, Lille, France, 39 Rheumatology Department, CHU de Bordeaux-GH Pellegrin, Bordeaux, France, 40 UMR CNRS 5164, Bordeaux University, Bordeaux, France, 41 Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden, 42 Current address: Quanterix Corporation, Billerica, Massachusetts, United States of America, 43 Rheumatology, University Hospital of Clermont Ferrand, Clermont Ferrand, France, 44 Institut des maladies de l'Appareil Digestif, University Hospital of Nantes, Nantes, France, 45 Department of Neurology, University Hospital Köln, Köln, Germany, 46 Drug Metabolism Pharmacokinetics-Biologics, Novartis Institutes for Biomedical Research, Basel, Switzerland, 47 Integrated Biologix GmbH, Basel, Switzerland, 48 SciCross AB, Skövde, Sweden, 49 BioMedicine Design, Pfizer, Inc., Andover, Massachusetts, United States of America, 50 Sanofi, Chilly-Mazarin, France, 51 Department of Gastroenterology, Hôpital Saint-Louis, AP-HP, Université Paris-Diderot, Paris, France, 52 Centre for Immunology of Viral Infections and Autoimmune Diseases, INSERM UMR 1184, Université Paris-Saclay, AP-HP, Université Paris-Saclay, Le Kremlin-Bicêtre, France, 53 AP-HP, Paris-Sud University Hospitals, Paul Brousse Hospital, Villejuif, France

¶ Membership of the ABIRISK consortium is provided in the Acknowledgments.
* signe.hassler@sorbonne-universite.fr (SH); philippe.broet@inserm.fr (PB)

Abstract

Background

Biopharmaceutical products (BPs) are widely used to treat autoimmune diseases, but immunogenicity limits their efficacy for an important proportion of patients. Our knowledge of patient-related factors influencing the occurrence of antidrug antibodies (ADAs) is still limited.

Methods and findings

The European consortium ABIRISK (Anti-Biopharmaceutical Immunization: prediction and analysis of clinical relevance to minimize the RISK) conducted a clinical and genomic multi-cohort prospective study of 560 patients with multiple sclerosis (MS, $n = 147$), rheumatoid arthritis (RA, $n = 229$), Crohn's disease ($n = 148$), or ulcerative colitis ($n = 36$) treated with 8 different biopharmaceuticals (etanercept, $n = 84$; infliximab, $n = 101$; adalimumab, $n = 153$; interferon [IFN]-beta-1a intramuscularly [IM], $n = 38$; IFN-beta-1a subcutaneously [SC], $n = 68$; IFN-beta-1b SC, $n = 41$; rituximab, $n = 31$; tocilizumab, $n = 44$) and followed during the first 12 months of therapy for time to ADA development. From the bioclinical data collected, we explored the relationships between patient-related factors and the occurrence of ADAs. Both baseline and time-dependent factors such as concomitant medications were analyzed using Cox proportional hazard regression models. Mean age and disease duration were 35.1 and 0.85 years, respectively, for MS; 54.2 and 3.17 years for RA; and 36.9 and 3.69 years for inflammatory bowel diseases (IBDs). In a multivariate Cox regression model including each of the clinical and genetic factors mentioned hereafter, among the clinical factors, immunosuppressants (adjusted hazard ratio [aHR] = 0.408 [95% confidence interval (CI) 0.253–0.657], $p < 0.001$) and antibiotics (aHR = 0.121 [0.0437–0.333], $p < 0.0001$) were independently negatively associated with time to ADA development, whereas infections during the study (aHR = 2.757 [1.616–4.704], $p < 0.001$) and tobacco smoking (aHR =

Novartis, Pfizer; he received honoraria for lectures from Ferring, Abbvie, Janssen, Biogen, Amgen, and Sandoz. YC has received institutional fees for consultancy or lectures from Abbvie, Celtrion, Getting, Pfizer, and Takeda. He received research grants from Takeda and Abbvie. MC has received compensation for consulting services and speaking honoraria from Bayer Schering Pharma, Merck Serono, Biogen-Idec, Teva Pharmaceuticals, Sanofi-Aventis, Genzyme, and Novartis. TD's institution received compensation for his talks and his consultation services on Advisory Boards or Steering Committees of Novartis Pharma, Merck, Biogen, GeNeuro, Mitsubishi Pharma, MedDay, Roche, Actelion, Celgene, and Genzyme; his institution received research support from Biogen, Novartis, and Roche. MG received support and honoraria for research, consultation, lectures and education from Almirall, Bayer, Biogen, Celgene, Genzyme, MedDay, Merck, Novartis, Octapharma, Roche, Sanofi Aventis, Shire and TEVA ratiopharm. EKH: honoraria/research support from Biogen, Merck Serono, Novartis, Roche, and Teva; advisory boards for Actelion, Biogen, Celgene, Merck Serono, Novartis, and Sanofi Genzyme. BH has served on scientific advisory boards for Novartis; he has served as DMSC member for AllergyCare and TG therapeutics; he or his institution have received speaker honoraria from Desitin; he holds part of two patents, one for the detection of antibodies against KIR4.1 in a subpopulation of MS patients and one for genetic determinants of neutralizing antibodies to interferon. All conflicts are not relevant to the topic of the study. TH is a member of the Editorial Board of PLOS Medicine. MK has received funding for travel and speaker honoraria from Bayer, Novartis, Merck, Biogen, Roche, and Teva ratiopharm; serves on scientific advisory boards for Biogen, Merck, and Roche; and received a research grant from Teva ratiopharm. JM has received an unrestricted research grant from Pfizer. XM has received speaking honoraria and travel expenses for scientific meetings and has been a steering committee member of clinical trials or participated in advisory boards of clinical trials in the past years with Bayer Schering Pharma, Biogen Idec, EMD Merck Serono, Genentech, Genzyme, Novartis, Sanofi-Aventis, Teva Pharmaceuticals, Almirall, and Roche. MN has received speaking honoraria from Abbvie, AdacYTE, Amgen, Biogen, Ferring, Janssen, MSD, and Takeda. PN has received speaking honoraria from Biogen, Novartis, and Roche. CR has received speaking honoraria from Abbvie, Amgen, Astra Zeneca, Janssen, Glenmark, GSK, Lilly, Nordic Pharma, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB. MS received speaker honoraria and/or travel grants from Roche, Chugai, Abbvie,

2.150 [1.319–3.503], $p < 0.01$) were positively associated. 351,824 Single-Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) and 38 imputed Human Leukocyte Antigen (HLA) alleles were analyzed through a genome-wide association study. We found that the HLA-DQA1*05 allele significantly increased the rate of immunogenicity (aHR = 3.9 [1.923–5.976], $p < 0.0001$ for the homozygotes). Among the 6 genetic variants selected at a 20% false discovery rate (FDR) threshold, the minor allele of rs10508884, which is situated in an intron of the *CXCL12* gene, increased the rate of immunogenicity (aHR = 3.804 [2.139–6.764], $p < 1 \times 10^{-5}$ for patients homozygous for the minor allele) and was chosen for validation through a CXCL12 protein enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) on patient serum at baseline before therapy start. CXCL12 protein levels were higher for patients homozygous for the minor allele carrying higher ADA risk (mean: 2,693 pg/ml) than for the other genotypes (mean: 2,317 pg/ml; $p = 0.014$), and patients with CXCL12 levels above the median in serum were more prone to develop ADAs (aHR = 2.329 [1.106–4.90], $p = 0.026$). A limitation of the study is the lack of replication; therefore, other studies are required to confirm our findings.

Conclusion

In our study, we found that immunosuppressants and antibiotics were associated with decreased risk of ADA development, whereas tobacco smoking and infections during the study were associated with increased risk. We found that the HLA-DQA1*05 allele was associated with an increased rate of immunogenicity. Moreover, our results suggest a relationship between CXCL12 production and ADA development independent of the disease, which is consistent with its known function in affinity maturation of antibodies and plasma cell survival. Our findings may help physicians in the management of patients receiving biotherapies.

Author summary

Why was this study done?

- Biopharmaceutical products such as monoclonal antibodies are widely used to treat autoimmune diseases.
- Biopharmaceutical products may induce the development of antidrug antibodies, which often lead to therapy failure.
- Patient-related factors that influence the development of antidrug antibodies need to be characterized.

What did the researchers do and find?

- We set up a European multicohort prospective study on 4 autoimmune diseases (multiple sclerosis, rheumatoid arthritis, Crohn's disease, and ulcerative colitis) treated with 8 different biopharmaceutical products.

BMS, UCB, Pfizer, Novartis, Janssen, Amgen, Biogen, laboratoires Fresenius, Lilly France, Medac, MSD, Sandoz, and Janssen. CT has received speaking honoraria from Abbvie, Ferring, Janssen, MSD, and Takeda. AV has received fee for lectures and advisory board from GSK, Novartis, and AstraZeneca in the last two years. CW has received institutional fees for consultancy, speaking, or research from Novartis, Biogen, Sanofi-Genzyme and Roche. SS is a former employee and has stocks and/or stock options in Novartis. TPH is an employee and shareholder of Pfizer Inc. MA has served on scientific advisory boards for Pfizer, Janssen, Celgene, Genentech/Roche, Takeda, Abbvie, MSD, Ferring, Falk, Mayoli, Tillots, Biogen, and Amgen. FD has participated in meetings sponsored by or received honoraria for acting as an advisor/speaker for Alexion, Almirall, Biogen, Celgene, Genzyme-Sanofi, Merck, Novartis Pharma, and Roche. His institution has received research grants from Biogen and Genzyme Sanofi. He is section editor of the *MSARD Journal* (Multiple Sclerosis and Related Disorders). AFH has received speaker's fees from Pfizer, Biogen, Merck-Serono, and Sanofi-Genzyme. She has served as principal investigator for projects, or received unrestricted research support from, Biogen Idec and Pfizer. XM has served on scientific advisory boards for BMS, GSK, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer, and UCB.

Abbreviations: ABIRISK, Anti-Biopharmaceutical Immunization: prediction and analysis of clinical relevance to minimize the RISK; ADA, antidrug antibody; aHR, adjusted hazard ratio; AMP, Adenosine MonoPhosphate; ASB7, Ankyrin repeat and SOCS box protein 7; ATC2, Anatomic Therapeutic Chemical level 2; BAAb, binding antibody; BAFF, B-cell-activating factor; BMI, body mass index; BP, biopharmaceutical product; CD20, Cluster of Differentiation 20; CI, confidence interval; DNA1, Dynein intermediate chain 1, axonemal (gene); eCRF, electronic Case Report Form; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; eQTL, expression Quantitative Trait Loci; FDR, false discovery rate; G-CSF, Granulocyte Colony-Stimulating Factor; HLA, Human Leukocyte Antigen; HLGT, High-Level Group Term; HR, hazard ratio; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; ICI, Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor; IFN, interferon; IL, interleukin; IM, intramuscular(ly); LLT, Lowest-Level Term; mAb, monoclonal Antibody; MAF, Minor Allele Frequency; MPA, Medical Product Agency; MS, multiple sclerosis; MSD, MesoScale Discovery; MTX, methotrexate; NAb, Neutralizing Antibody; PANTS, Personalizing anti-TNF Therapy in Crohn's disease; PC, positive control; PRDM2, PR domain zinc finger protein 2; PT, Preferred

- We collected demographic and clinical data and tested antidrug antibodies in longitudinal serum samples from 560 patients. For 457 patients who gave consent, we also collected genetic data.
- We identified antibiotics and immunosuppressants as negatively associated risk factors and heavy smoking, infections during the study, the *HLA-DQA1*05* allele and a minor variant in the *CXCL12* chemokine gene associated with increased protein expression as risk factors of antidrug antibody development.

What do these findings mean?

- Our findings suggest that the combination of immunosuppressant and biopharmaceutical therapy could be associated with decreased risk of antidrug antibody occurrence, which has implications for rheumatoid arthritis and inflammatory bowel diseases, for which immunosuppressants are often, but not always, given together with biopharmaceuticals.
- Patients heterozygotes or homozygotes for the *HLA-DQA1*05* allele may have an increased risk of antidrug antibody occurrence associated with biopharmaceutical therapy.
- The small study size warrants a validation through independent studies, in particular for the genetic findings.

Introduction

Biopharmaceutical products (BPs) represent a fast-growing class of therapeutics that includes, but is not limited to, replacement factors for abnormal or deficient proteins, cytokines and growth factors modulating biological functions, monoclonal antibodies targeting components of disease pathways, fusion proteins, and protein–drug conjugates. The current use of these BPs in the clinic represents a major improvement in the treatment of many severe autoimmune diseases and in cancer.

A drawback is that patients can be immunized to these BPs, leading to the formation of antibodies against the drug. The consequences range from appearance of low-titer antidrug antibodies (ADAs) without any clinical significance to severe loss of efficacy by either blocking the drug or enhancing drug clearance. The mechanisms leading to immunogenicity against the biotherapy can either be patient-related (genetic background, immunological status, prior exposure, prior disease, coadministered drugs) or treatment-related (drug characteristics and formulations, route, dose, frequency of administration), but their relative contributions to the development of ADAs are not fully understood and remain to be deciphered. Major efforts for minimizing product-related factors involved in immunogenicity have been made; however, there is still an urgent need to identify patient-related factors that may provide a basis for stratified/personalized therapeutic approaches. Among patient-related risk factors for immunogenicity, the genetic diversity in immune regulatory genes is likely to play a major role in the development of ADAs [1–3].

Term; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; ROR γ , RAR-related orphan receptor gamma; SC, subcutaneous(y); SD, standard deviation; SNP, Single-Nucleotide Polymorphism; SOC, System Organ Class; STROBE, Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology; TLR5, Toll-Like Receptor 5; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.

In this context, the Innovative Medicine Initiative-funded ABIRISK consortium (Anti-Biopharmaceutical Immunization: prediction and analysis of clinical relevance to minimize the RISK) [4,5] was created to provide an integrated approach to BP immunization, bringing together a large network of researchers from basic to clinical immunology. The main goals of this consortium were to shed new light on BP immunogenicity, identify new ways to produce safer biopharmaceuticals, and generate tools to predict how individual patients are likely to respond to BPs. For this latter objective, a real-world observational prospective multicenter cohort of patients suffering from various autoimmune diseases (multiple sclerosis [MS], rheumatoid arthritis [RA], and inflammatory bowel diseases [IBDs]) was established. All participating patients were naive for the biotherapies they were given during the study, which included tumor necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitors, interferon (IFN)-beta, and anti-CD20 (Cluster of Differentiation 20) and anti-interleukin (IL)6 receptor monoclonal antibodies. The main objective was to identify baseline bioclinical factors and genetic markers associated with the BP immunization that were able to predict the occurrence of ADAs within the first year of treatment across the different autoimmune diseases and BPs using ADA assays that were developed and validated using current recommendations used by the pharmaceutical industry [6].

The findings of this work rely upon a multicohort analysis that combines information from multiple autoimmune diseases and drugs. The rationale for considering such a multidisease analysis is that even though these autoimmune diseases encompass a broad range of phenotypic manifestations, the similar immunogenic response to various BPs suggests that the pathways involved probably share some clinical factors and genetic variants. This integrative strategy, which borrows information across several therapies and autoimmune diseases, is likely to provide significant gain in power in finding general patient-related risk factors, not bound to specific therapies or autoimmune diseases as compared to separate autoimmune disease analyses. This approach could also provide new insights into common immunogenicity mechanisms, together with new opportunities for patient stratification before exposure to a new drug based on his/her genetic makeup.

Methods

Study design and patient characteristics

The patients included in the present study were recruited within 3 multicentric prospective studies (ABI-MS-P01, ABI-RA-P01, ABI-IBD-P01) in 12 European and associated countries (Israel) and 50 sites adhering/participating to the ABIRISK EU consortium. All the included patients gave a written informed consent for the clinical study and a second distinct informed consent for the genetic study (in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki principles). This study is reported as per the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guideline ([S1 Checklist](#)).

The ethics approvals for the ABI-MS-P01 study (EudraCT Number 2012-005450-30) were obtained from the Medical Ethics Committee of the General University Hospital in Prague (reference 125/12, Evropský grant 1.LF UK-CAGEKID) for Czech Republic; from the institutional committee of Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany (protocol reference 4451) for Düsseldorf; from the Ethikkommission der Fakultät für Medizin der Technischen Universität München, München, Germany (reference 335/13) for München; from the Ethikkommission Nordwest- und Zentralschweiz, Basel (reference 305/13) for Switzerland; from the Ethikkommission der Medizinischen Universität Innsbruck, Innsbruck (reference AN2013-0040 331/2.1) for Austria; from the Comité Ético de Investigación Clínica de l'Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebrón, Barcelona (reference EPA[AG]66/2013[3866]) for Spain; and from the Stockholm Regional Ethics Committee, Stockholm (reference Dnr. 2013/1034-31/3

and Dnr. 2015/749-32) and Medical Product Agency (MPA) Dnr 5.1-2013-108370 for Sweden.

The ethics approvals for the ABI-RA-P01 study (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT02116504) were obtained from the Comité de Protection des Personnes Ile de France VII (reference 13–048) for France; from the Medical Ethical Committee of the Academisch Medisch Centrum, Amsterdam (reference 2013–304#B20131074) for the Netherlands; from the Local Ethics Committee of AOU Careggi (reference Protocol Number 2012/0035982) for Italy; and from the NRES Committee London, City & East (reference 14/LO/0506) for the United Kingdom.

The ethics approvals for the ABI-IBD-P01 study were obtained from the Comité de Protection des Personnes Ile de France IV (reference 2013/24) for France; from the Comité d'éthique hospitalo-facultaire universitaire de Liège (reference 2015/55) for Belgium; and from the Helsinki committee of the Rambam Health Care Campus (reference 0075–09) for Israel.

Patients aged 18 years or more who had been prescribed the identified BP for the first time by a physician independently of the study were followed for 12 months from the start of the therapy, during which 7 to 12 visits were performed (specific to each disease study protocol), clinical data were recorded into an electronic Case Report Form (eCRF), and DNA samples and serum samples were collected for genetic analyses and ADA testing, respectively.

ABI-MS-P01 study. Patients with MS or Clinically Isolated Syndrome (CIS) diagnosis according to McDonald criteria and who were prescribed IFN-beta-1a intramuscularly (IM), IFN-beta-1a subcutaneously (SC), or IFN-beta-1b SC (original product or biosimilar) were recruited from January 30, 2014 to January 22, 2016. Patients who were not naive to IFN-beta were excluded.

ABI-RA-P01 study. Patients with RA diagnosis according to 2010 ACR/EULAR criteria and who were prescribed for the first time a BP among the TNF inhibitors adalimumab, infliximab (original product or biosimilar), etanercept, the anti-CD20 antibody rituximab, or the anti-IL6R antibody tocilizumab (administered SC or intravenously) as first-line or second-line therapy were recruited from March 3, 2014 to June 21, 2016. Patients who were not naive for the biotherapy they were given in the study were excluded.

ABI-IBD-P01 study. Patients with Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis diagnosis according to ECCO guidelines and who were prescribed adalimumab or infliximab (original product or biosimilar) were recruited from September 11, 2013 to February 15, 2016. Patients who were not naive to TNF inhibitor therapy or who were treated with corticoids more than 30 mg/day over the last 15 days were excluded. All the data from the different sites have been merged with the ADA test results and gathered in a unique database (TransSMART) hosted by the eTRIKS consortium, following standardized data-loading procedures according to CDISC terminology.

Data recorded at the baseline visit included demographic characteristics, vital signs and disease-specific clinical scores, smoking history, familial history of disease, medical and surgical history, vaccines in the year before the study, previous medications, and concomitant medications. Data recorded at each study visit included new vaccines, adverse events, and concomitant medications during the study. At the end of the study, familial history of disease, medical and surgical history, and adverse events were encoded to standardized terms with the MedDRA dictionary, and previous and concomitant medications and vaccines were encoded with the WHODrug dictionary. From the MedDRA classification, System Organ Class (SOC) was used for the analyses and the High-Level Group Term (HLGT) or the Preferred Term (PT) for the most frequent SOC terms. For familial history of the same autoimmune disease, the Lowest-Level Terms (LLTs) were used. From the WHODrug classification, the Anatomic Therapeutic Chemical level 2 (ATC2 subclasses) were retained for the analyses. Exposures with a

frequency of at least 5% in each of the 3 disease cohorts were retained for analyses, and during the study, exposures before first ADA detection were treated as time-dependent variables.

ADA assays

Serum samples for ADA testing were collected at baseline before start of BP therapy and subsequently at each study visit after start of therapy. ADAs were detected by specific validated assays for each BP and analyzed in central ABIRISK laboratories. For IFN-beta, binding antibodies (BAbs) were tested with a previously described bridging enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) at the University of Düsseldorf, Department of Neurology, Düsseldorf, Germany, and Neutralizing antibodies (NABs) were detected with a cell-based luciferase reporter gene assay in Region Hovedstaden Neuroimmunology laboratory, Department of Neurology, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark. Because of the higher sensitivity of the NAb assay, a serum sample was defined as IFN-beta ADA positive if it was either BAb positive or NAb positive (with an NAb titer equal or higher than 320 U/ml) or positive for both criteria [7–9]. For adalimumab and infliximab and its biosimilars, BAbs were measured with a chemoluminescence drug-tolerant capture ELISA assay using an MSD (MesoScale Discovery) platform at the INSERM UMR 996, Inflammation, Chemokines and Immunopathology laboratory, Châtenay-Malabry, France. Etanercept BAbs were tested with specific bridge-ELISAs (LISA-TRACKER, Theradiag, Croissy-Beaubourg, France) at the Clinical Immunology laboratory of the Kremlin-Bicêtre hospital, France. Rituximab BAbs were tested with a chemoluminescence drug-tolerant capture ELISA assay using an MSD platform at the clinical immunology laboratory of GlaxoSmithKline Research and Development, Upper Merion, PA, USA. Tocilizumab BAbs were tested with a chemoluminescence drug-tolerant capture ELISA assay using an MSD platform at the Svar Life Science laboratory in Malmö, Sweden. The ADA methods had been validated at each laboratory using recommendations from industry approved validation processes using drug-specific positive control (PC) antibodies to validate each assay performance, including sensitivity and drug tolerance [6].

CXCL12 laboratory test

The CXCL12 baseline serum levels of 108 patients suffering from RA were assessed with a quantitative sandwich ELISA kit (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA; sensitivity 47 pg/ml). Analysts were blinded with regard to ADA status of the patients.

DNA extraction and genotyping

Patients who consented for genetic testing with available high-quality blood DNA samples and valid clinical information were selected for genotyping (Fig 1). Blood for DNA extraction was collected into EDTA tubes and stored at -80°C until purification. DNA was purified using the QIAamp DNA Blood Maxi Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to manufacturer's instructions. Genotyping was performed at the P3S core facility (Sorbonne University, Paris, France). The genomic DNA concentration was measured by Quant-iT dsDNA Broad range Assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) in a Tecan infinite 200 microplate Reader (TECAN, Männedorf, Switzerland). The DNA integrity was assessed using 1% agarose gel. The DNA polymorphism analysis is based on Illumina BeadArray technology and performed with Infinium OmniExpress-24 v1.2 BeadChip. DNA samples were genotyped according to Infinium HTS Automated Protocol (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) starting from 200 ng of double-stranded DNA.

A first quality control check of the genotyping data and genotype calling were performed by Genome Studio software 2011.1 with Genotyping module v1.9 (Illumina). Genotypes are called by comparing the generated data with those in the supplied cluster file. Samples with a




Fig 1. Flow chart of recruited patients. ADA, antidrug antibody; eCRF, electronic Case Report Form; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; MS, multiple sclerosis; RA, rheumatoid arthritis.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003348.g001>

call rate (percentage of SNPs genotyped by samples) lower than 95% in the Illumina Cluster were excluded. From this data set, a second quality control check was performed, first on the level of the individual and then on the level of the genetic marker, using the R package “gaston” [10]. Individuals were excluded for ambiguous sex (genotypic sex different from phenotypic sex from the eCRF), abnormal heterozygosity (deviated more than 3 standard deviations [SDs] from the mean heterozygosity of the sample), genotyping completeness less than 99%, and non-European ethnicity admixture detected as outliers from a principal component analyses of a linkage-disequilibrium-pruned data set (with a deviation of at least 6 SDs from the mean of at least one of the first 10 principal components). The quality control left 457 genotyped individuals for the analyses. The initial number of genotyped SNPs was 713,599. Markers were excluded from the analyses if they had a Minor Allele Frequency (MAF) below 5%, if they had a call rate below 99%, or if they deviated significantly from the estimated allele frequencies at Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (based on a threshold of $p < 1 \times 10^{-5}$).

In order to prevent departure from the asymptotic null distribution of the log-rank test related to treatment group imbalance, we performed the genome-wide association analysis only on the variants with an MAF higher than 20%. The final number of SNPs included in the analysis was 351,824 SNPs.

Concerning HLA allele imputation, a statistical imputation of classical *HLA* alleles was performed using SNP data with the HIBAG method, with attribute bagging trained from individuals of European ancestries and based on Infinium OmniExpress 24-chip [11]. Imputed *HLA* loci were *HLA-A, B, C; DRB1; DQA1; DQB1; and DPB1* at 2-digit resolution, and 98 *HLA* markers were imputed. Only 38 *HLA* alleles with an MAF higher than 5% and that did not deviate significantly from the estimated allele frequencies at Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (based on a threshold of $p < 1 \times 10^{-5}$) were retained for the analysis.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis plan underlying this study is available in [S1 Text](#).

Cohort characteristics analysis. Study participants were described by baseline characteristics per disease. Common baseline characteristics and biological samples were compared between patients and diseases using the chi-square test, Fisher’s exact test, and Welch’s *t* test when appropriate. A *p*-value below 0.05 was considered significant.

Time-to-event outcomes. To take into account that ADA occurrence is a dynamic event that appears mainly within the 12-month window after the start of therapy, we performed time-to-event analyses. The time to event (ADA positivity) was defined as the period of time from the date of first treatment to the time of first ADA positivity. Patients without ADA occurrence were censored at the date of their last follow-up (drop-out, drug switch) or administrative censoring (12 months).

Survival analysis. The search for clinical variables associated with the immunogenicity response was first performed with a Cox proportional hazards regression model. Non-time-dependent variables such as age, disease, sex, tobacco smoking, body mass index (BMI), recent vaccination, familial history of same autoimmune diseases, associated pathology (hypertension, metabolic, neoplastic, nervous, respiratory), past infections, past corticosteroids, and past immunosuppressants were tested. Time-dependent variables such as infections during the study and concomitant medications (immunosuppressants, corticosteroids, antibiotics, analgesics, vitamins, drugs for acid-related disorders, vaccines) were also tested using an indicator

variable that changes from zero to one at the reported date of the event or exposure. Out of the quantitative variables, age was kept as quantitative; BMI was categorized according to WHO categories for underweight, normal weight, overweight, and obese (15.2–18.5, 18.5–25, 25–30, and 30–48.8 kg/m², respectively); and smoking was divided into 3 categories (nonsmokers, intermediate smokers with 1–10 cigarettes smoked per day, and heavy smokers with 11–40 cigarettes per day) using the median of the number of cigarettes per day of the smokers to divide them into balanced groups. For taking into account multiple comparisons, we performed a false discovery rate (FDR)-based association analysis with a 5% FDR threshold for the bioclinical variables and the imputed HLA genotypes. Association of log-transformed CXCL12 protein levels with time to ADA was tested both with a linear model and with a 2-group qualitative variable dichotomized at median (672–2,488 pg/ml and 2,488–16,022 pg/ml), and the model with the best fit (lowest AIC) was retained. Association of log-transformed serum CXCL12 levels with the rs10508884 genotype was assessed through Welch's heteroscedastic F test with a global test (3 genotype groups) and 2 comparisons (recessive and dominant model). We also performed a linear regression on allele counts. The p-values for the 3 models (recessive, dominant, and additive) were Bonferroni-corrected.

The genome-wide association analysis for common genetic markers linked to the immunogenicity response was performed on the 457 patients whose DNA samples were available and who passed genotyping quality control checks.

We made no assumption about the underlying genetic model and considered an overall k-sample log-rank statistic (with k = 3 corresponding to the 3 genotypes: aa, aA, AA) for univariate survival analysis. This statistic is asymptotically distributed as a chi-square with 2 degrees of freedom [12]. In order to evaluate whether confounding due to population stratification exists, we computed the genomic control, which is defined as the median of the test statistics divided by its theoretical median under the null distribution. A ratio close to 1 indicates no stratification [13]. Because this study is an exploratory analysis, we performed an FDR-based genome-wide analysis at a less stringent 20% FDR threshold.

For the multivariate survival analyses, we used the Cox proportional hazards model [12]. For variables with less than 1% of missing data, we considered a simple mean imputation procedure in which missing values are replaced by the mean (continuous variables) or the mode (categorical variables).

Mediation analysis. Based on the classical counterfactual framework and relying upon the pathway presented in Fig 2, we evaluated the direct and indirect effects of the immunosuppressants [14]. Because our main outcome is the time to ADA positivity, we considered for this analysis the Aalen additive hazard model [15], in which the rate of ADA occurrence was an additive function of the exposure to the immunosuppressants and the 2 mediators (infection and antibiotics). For this analysis, we used an indicator variable that changes from zero to one at the reported date of the event or exposure, and we adjusted for the variables that were previously found associated with ADAs. The relationships between immunosuppressants and infection and between infection and antibiotics were evaluated using a logistic regression model. Confidence intervals (CIs) for the direct effects (referred to as e, d, c in Fig 2) were obtained from the Aalen additive model, whereas CIs for the indirect effects (referred to as: a + d, a + b + c in Fig 2) were obtained by resampling.

Results

Demographic and clinical characteristics

In total, 609 patients were screened on entry into the study, out of whom 16 did not fulfill study criteria. After exclusion of second re-entry for another drug and baseline ADA test




Fig 2. Diagram of the hypotheses tested through mediation analysis: Immunosuppressant drugs may induce infectious adverse events, which in turn may induce treatment with antibiotics for bacterial infections. Immunosuppressants have a direct effect on ADA occurrence (e) as well as indirect effects mediated through infection (a + d) and through antibiotics (a + b + c). ADA, antidrug antibody.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003348.g002>

positive at entry, 560 patients were retained for the analysis. In total, 29 patients were recruited in Austria, 6 in Belgium, 50 in Czech Republic, 286 in France, 27 in Germany, 28 in Israel, 9 in Italy, 65 in the Netherlands, 22 in Spain, 10 in Sweden, 9 in Switzerland, and 19 in the United Kingdom. Of these, 560 patients were eligible for the survival analysis on bioclinical factors, from whom 457 were considered for the genome-wide association analysis (Fig 1). The flow-chart gives the details of the patients recruited and eligible for the survival analysis and for the genetic analysis in each cohort. Table 1 and S1 Table display the demographic and clinical characteristics, respectively, at baseline in BP-treated patients stratified by disease cohort.

Among the 560 patients, we had 229 patients with RA, 184 patients with IBD, and 147 patients with MS. For RA and IBD, 153 patients were treated with adalimumab, 101 with infliximab, 84 with etanercept, 31 with rituximab, and 44 with tocilizumab. For MS, 38 patients were treated with IFN-beta-1a IM, 68 with IFN-beta-1a SC, and 41 with IFN-beta-1b SC. The most common route of drug administration was SC (66%), followed by intravenous (27%) and IM (7%). It is worth noting that this latter route is only used by a single treatment (IFN-beta-1a IM). The mean age of patients was significantly lower ($p < 0.001$) in MS (35.1 years) and IBD (36.9 years) as compared with RA (54.2 years). The proportion of female was significantly higher ($p < 0.001$) for RA (76.9%) and MS (70.1%) than for IBD (48.4%). Overall, 72% of the patients were not smoking, 15% were light smokers (1 to 10 cigarettes per day), and 13% were heavy smokers (11 to 40 cigarettes per day), with no statistical differences across the diseases.

BMI was significantly lower ($p < 0.001$) for IBD (23.1 kg/m²) than for RA (26.0 kg/m²) and MS (25.2 kg/m²). The mean disease duration before entry into the study was significantly shorter for MS (mean = 0.85 years) as compared to IBD (mean = 3.69 years) and RA (mean = 3.17 years, $p < 0.001$). The proportion of patients vaccinated with seasonal influenza or other vaccines in the year before study entry were higher ($p < 0.001$) for RA patients (37%) than for IBD (15%) and MS (5%). A family history of the same autoimmune diseases obtained from the questionnaires was significantly more often reported ($p < 0.001$) for IBD (18%) and RA (18%) than for MS (5%).

Table 2 displays the distribution of BPs and occurrence of ADA according to diseases and BPs. BPs are nested within the disease because IFN-beta is only given to MS patients, anti-CD20 and anti-IL6R are given only to RA patients, and TNF inhibitors are not given to MS patients. Thus, not all combinations of categories are represented.

Table 1. Demographics of the ABIRISK cohorts. Abbreviations: ABIRISK, Anti-Biopharmaceutical Immunization: prediction and analysis of clinical relevance to minimize the RISK; BMI, body mass index; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; IQR, interquartile range; MS, multiple sclerosis; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; SD, standard deviation.

		IBD, N = 184	MS, N = 147	RA, N = 229
Age, mean (SD)		36.9 (13.7)	35.1 (9.7)	54.2 (13.7)
Sex, N (%)	Female	89 (48.4)	103 (70.1)	176 (76.9)
	Male	95 (51.6)	44 (29.9)	53 (23.1)
Smoke, N (%) (cigarettes per day)	0	125 (68.3)	106 (72.6)	169 (74.8)
	1–10	34 (18.6)	18 (12.3)	33 (14.6)
	11–40	24 (13.1)	22 (15.1)	24 (10.6)
BMI, N (%)	Underweight	17 (9.3)	5 (3.4)	6 (2.7)
	Normal	114 (62.3)	84 (57.9)	110 (49.3)
	Overweight	40 (21.9)	29 (20)	56 (25.1)
	Obese	12 (6.6)	27 (18.6)	51 (22.9)
Country, N (%)	Austria	0 (0)	29 (19.7)	0 (0)
	Belgium	6 (3.3)	0 (0)	0 (0)
	Czech Republic	0 (0)	50 (34)	0 (0)
	France	150 (81.5)	0 (0)	136 (59.4)
	Germany	0 (0)	27 (18.4)	0 (0)
	Israel	28 (15.2)	0 (0)	0 (0)
	Italy	0 (0)	0 (0)	9 (3.9)
	Netherlands	0 (0)	0 (0)	65 (28.4)
	Spain	0 (0)	22 (15)	0 (0)
	Sweden	0 (0)	10 (6.8)	0 (0)
	Switzerland	0 (0)	9 (6.1)	0 (0)
	United Kingdom	0 (0)	0 (0)	19 (8.3)
	Follow-up, days; median (IQR)		338.0 (63.5)	358.0 (175.0)

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003348.t001>

Association between bioclinical variables and ADA occurrence

According to the univariate analyses, there was a statistically significant difference for immunogenicity across the drugs ($p < 2 \times 10^{-16}$). From Fig 3, we can broadly identify 3 groups. The first group, with very low ADA occurrence (less than 5%), is composed of etanercept and IFN-beta-1a IM. For this latter drug, we observed no ADAs among the 38 treated patients. The second group, with less than 20% of patients being positive for ADAs, is composed of tocilizumab, infliximab, and IFN-beta-1a SC. The third group, with more than 40% of patients being

Table 2. ADA occurrence during 12 months, stratified by disease and by BP therapy. ADA, antidrug antibody; BP, biopharmaceutical product; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; IFN, interferon; IL, interleukin; IM, intramuscular; MS, multiple sclerosis; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; SC, subcutaneous; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.

Total N (ADA positive N)		IBD	MS	RA
TNF inhibitors	etanercept			84 (3)
	infliximab	86 (13)		15 (3)
	adalimumab	98 (38)		55 (26)
IFN-beta	IFNb-1a IM		38 (0)	
	IFNb-1a SC		68 (11)	
	IFNb-1b SC		41 (26)	
Anti-CD20	rituximab			31 (16)
Anti-IL6-R	tocilizumab			44 (4)

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003348.t002>




Fig 3. ADA occurrence by BP treatment. ADA, antidrug antibody; BP, biopharmaceutical product; IFN β , interferon beta; IM, intramuscular; SC, subcutaneous.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003348.g003>

positive for ADAs, is composed of rituximab, adalimumab, and IFN-beta-1b SC, this latter drug exhibiting the highest occurrence of ADAs. When looking at the 4 groups of BPs based upon the mechanisms of action—that is to say, TNF inhibitors, IFN-beta, anti-CD20, and anti-IL6-R—there was a statistically significant difference, the latter group initiating the lowest rate of ADA production ($p < 0.01$). When looking at the results, it is worth noting that the variability of ADA rates between BPs from the same group was larger than the one across BPs from different mechanisms of action. Taking into account multiple comparisons, univariate analyses with stratification for disease showed that the clinical and demographic factors age, sex, BMI, recent vaccination, and familial history of autoimmune diseases were not significantly related to the time to ADA detection (Table 3). In contrast, tobacco smoking (nonsmokers or light smokers versus heavy smokers) was significant, with a higher risk of ADA for heavy smokers (hazard ratio [HR] = 1.756 [1.151–2.678], $p < 0.01$).

When analyzing the potential effect of previous or concomitant medications taking into account multiple comparisons, univariate analyses showed that the time-dependent variables antibiotics (HR = 0.228 [0.111–0.467], $p < 0.0001$) (Fig 4A, S1 Fig) and immunosuppressive drugs (HR = 0.446 [0.296–0.671], $p < 0.0001$) (Fig 4B, S1 Fig) taken during the study were significantly associated with the time to ADA production. Patients receiving antibiotics and/or immunosuppressive drugs during the study have a lower risk of ADAs. In contrast, infections during the study were associated with an increased risk of ADAs (HR = 2.223 [1.343–3.681], $p = 0.001$). When including these variables in a multivariate survival model stratified on the disease, they were still significantly associated with the occurrence of ADAs (antibiotic adjusted hazard ratio [aHR] = 0.202 [0.098–0.418], $p < 0.0001$; immunosuppressive drugs

Table 3. Association of demographic and clinical variables to time to ADA through univariate Cox model stratified on disease. Selected factors for a 5% FDR threshold are indicated with an asterisk. **Abbreviations:** ADA, antidrug antibody; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; FDR, false discovery rate; HR, hazard ratio.

Variable	Statistic (Score)	HR (95% CI)	Unadjusted p-Value
Age	0.027	1.001 [0.989–1.014]	0.870
Sex	0.410	1.126 [0.7825–1.622]	0.522
Smoke (nonsmoking or light smokers/heavy smokers)	7.015	1.756 [1.151–2.678]	0.008*
BMI (underweight or normal/overweight/obese)	4.390	1.436 [1.022–2.016]	0.036
Family history of same disease	3.456	1.508 [0.975–2.333]	0.063
Past infections	2.817	1.558 [0.924–2.626]	0.093
Hypertension	0.0001	0.997 [0.462–2.148]	0.993
Metabolic disease	0.629	0.748 [0.364–1.536]	0.427
Neoplastic disease	0.374	1.270 [0.590–2.733]	0.540
Nervous system disease	0.356	1.244 [0.606–2.553]	0.550
Respiratory disease	0.032	0.933 [0.435–1.998]	0.858
Past immunosuppressants	0.026	1.040 [0.649–1.666]	0.870
Past corticosteroids	0.420	0.871 [0.573–1.323]	0.517
Vaccines last year	0.544	1.175 [0.765–1.805]	0.461
Infections (time-dependent)	10.111	2.223 [1.343–3.681]	0.001*
Analgesics (time-dependent)	0.0002	0.996 [0.630–1.575]	0.987
Antibiotics (time-dependent)	19.445	0.228 [0.111–0.467]	$1.03 \times 10^{-5*}$
Corticosteroids (time-dependent)	2.896	0.732 [0.511–1.050]	0.089
Immunosuppressants (time-dependent)	15.474	0.446 [0.296–0.671]	$8.36 \times 10^{-5*}$
Vitamins (time-dependent)	0.479	1.175 [0.744–1.857]	0.489
Drugs for acid-related disorders (time-dependent)	2.955	0.539 [0.264–1.103]	0.086
Vaccines (time-dependent)	2.641	0.528 [0.242–1.154]	0.104

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003348.t003>

aHR = 0.441 [0.294–0.663], $p < 0.0001$; infections aHR = 2.96 [1.8–4.897], $p < 0.0001$; heavy smokers versus low and nonsmokers aHR = 1.909 [1.246–2.923], $p < 0.01$).

When analyzing the relationship between these treatments and the disease, a higher proportion of patients with RA and IBDs were treated with immunosuppressive agents during the




Fig 4. ADA occurrence according to the intake of (A) antibiotics or (B) immunosuppressants during the study. ADA, antidrug antibody.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003348.g004>

study (RA, 86% [81.0%–91.2%]; IBD, 53% [44.4%–61.5%]) as compared with MS (3% [0.1%–5.9%], $p < 0.001$), as expected from the usual therapeutic protocols. Moreover, a higher proportion of patients had received antibiotics during the study ($p < 0.001$) for RA (25% [19.3%–30.7%]) and IBDs (28% [21.2%–34.3%]) as compared with MS (7% [3.5%–11.1%]). There was a significantly positive relationship between the use of immunosuppressive drugs and antibiotics, with a higher percentage of patients with the 2 agents than expected by chance ($p < 0.0005$).

Knowing that immunosuppressant drugs may induce infectious adverse events and that antibiotics are usually given to the patients to treat bacterial infections, we considered that complex causal relationships between these 3 exposures and time to ADAs should exist that are not modeled by Cox regression. Therefore, we decided to perform a multiple mediation analysis according to the hypothesis described in Fig 2, adjusted for tobacco smoking. Results from the mediation analysis showed that the direct effects of immunosuppressants and antibiotics were associated with lower incidence of ADA such that they would decrease the number of cases by -4.97×10^{-4} [–8.53; –1.43] and -10.63×10^{-4} [–13.80; –7.41] persons per day per 10,000 individuals at risk, respectively. In contrast, the direct effect of infection was associated with higher incidence of ADA such that it would increase the number of cases by 11.17×10^{-4} [4.58; 17.80] persons per day per 10,000 individuals at risk. The indirect effect of immunosuppressants mediated by the infection led to a higher incidence of ADAs (1.34×10^{-4} [0.72; 1.96]). The indirect effect of immunosuppressants mediated by the infection and the antibiotics led to a lower incidence of ADA (-1.54×10^{-4} [–2.00; –1.08]). These results support the plausibility of the causal mechanisms hypothesized in Fig 2.

Genetic variants associated with ADAs

To identify genetic loci associated with ADAs, we performed an FDR-based genome-wide analysis (S2 Fig). Controlling the FDR at nominal level of 20%, we selected 6 associated signals: rs4879801 (*DNAI1*, Dynein intermediate chain 1, axonemal), rs1203638 (*PRDM2*, PR domain zinc finger protein 2), rs1626645 and rs894324 (*ASB7* region for the last 2, Ankyrin repeat and SOCS box protein 7), rs4879795 (*DNAI1*), and rs10508884 (*CXCL12*) (Table 4, Fig 5A). The value of the genomic control was close to one. There was no significant relationship between these SNPs and the disease (Bonferroni-corrected p -value = 1) or the population structure (using the first 10 principal components, Bonferroni-corrected p -value = 1). There was no relationship between these SNPs and the use of antibiotic (Bonferroni-corrected p -value = 0.18) and immunosuppressive drugs (Bonferroni-corrected p -value = 1) during the monitoring period. Two pairs of SNPs in the same gene located near each other were in complete (rs894324 and rs1626645) or near-complete (rs4879795 and rs487981) linkage disequilibrium.

When analyzing imputed *HLA* genotypes and taking multiple testing into account, we found 4 *HLA* alleles associated to time to ADAs: *DQB1*02*, *DRB1*11*, *DRB1*03*, and *DQA1*05* (S3 Table), out of which 3 pairs of alleles are in incomplete linkage disequilibrium: *DQA1*05* and *DRB1*03*, *DQA1*05* and *DRB1*11*, and *DQB1*02* and *DRB1*03* (S3 Fig). The *DQA1*05* allele was associated with a higher risk of developing ADAs (1.81 [1.39–2.36], $p < 1 \times 10^{-5}$; Fig 5B).

Among the 6 selected SNPs, rs10508884 was situated in an intron of the *CXCL12* gene, coding for a chemokine known to have functions in affinity maturation of antibodies and in plasma cell survival and therefore a relevant candidate for inducing ADA development.

For the 457 individuals with available genetic information, we performed a multivariate Cox model stratified on the disease including rs10508884 (*CXCL12*), *DQA1*05*,

Table 4. SNPs associated with time to ADA occurrence at 20% FDR using a Cox regression model stratified on the disease, without genetic model hypothesis.
Abbreviations: ADA, antidrug antibody; ASB7, Ankyrin repeat and SOCS box protein 7; Chr, chromosome; CI, confidence interval; DNAI1, Dynein intermediate chain 1, axonemal; FDR, false discovery rate; HR, hazard ratio; MAF, Minor Allele Frequency; PRDM2, PR domain zinc finger protein 2; SNP, Single-Nucleotide Polymorphism.

SNP	MAF	Chr	Genomic Region	Test Statistic (Score)	Unadjusted p-Value	Genotype	N	HR	95% CI
rs4879801	0.34	9	DNAI1	28.99	5.06×10^{-7}	[AA]	192	1	reference
						[Aa]	215	1.14	[0.74–1.74]
						[aa]	50	3.34	[2.02–5.5]
rs1203638	0.21	1	PRDM2	28.54	6.36×10^{-7}	[AA]	283	1	reference
						[Aa]	156	0.77	[0.50–1.18]
						[aa]	18	4.03	[2.17–7.49]
rs1626645	0.20	15	ASB7	28.61	6.14×10^{-7}	[AA]	290	1	reference
						[Aa]	151	1.15	[0.77–1.73]
						[aa]	16	4.92	[2.58–9.37]
rs894324	0.20	15	ASB7	28.61	6.14×10^{-7}	[AA]	290	1	reference
						[Aa]	151	1.15	[0.77–1.73]
						[aa]	16	4.92	[2.58–9.37]
rs4879795	0.35	9	DNAI1	26.08	2.17×10^{-6}	[AA]	194	1	reference
						[Aa]	209	1.17	[0.76–1.79]
						[aa]	54	3.16	[1.92–5.18]
rs10508884	0.29	10	CXCL12	25.11	3.52×10^{-6}	[AA]	230	1	reference
						[Aa]	193	1.74	[1.16–2.63]
						[aa]	34	3.92	[2.22–6.89]

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003348.t004>

immunosuppressants, infections, antibiotics during the study, and tobacco smoking as covariates. The associations with time to ADAs were still significant and consistent with what previously observed in univariate and mediation analyses (Table 5). After adjustment for these latter variables, none of the other 3 HLA alleles (*DQB1*02*, *DRB1*11*, and *DRB1*03*) were significantly associated with ADAs.




Fig 5. ADA occurrence according to (A) rs10508884 (CXCL12) or (B) HLA-DQA1*05. ADA, antidrug antibody; HLA, Human Leukocyte Antigen.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003348.g005>

Table 5. Results of multivariate Cox regression of time to ADAs on infections, immunosuppressants, antibiotics, tobacco smoking, rs10508884 SNP, and HLA (DQA1*05) with stratification on the disease status. ADA, antidrug antibody; CI-, lower 95% confidence interval; CI+, upper 95% confidence interval; HLA, Human Leukocyte Antigen; HR, hazard ratio; SNP, Single-Nucleotide Polymorphism.

N = 457		HR	CI- (0.95)	CI+ (0.95)	p-Value
Tobacco (heavy smokers)	yes	2.150	1.319	3.503	0.002
Infections	yes	2.757	1.616	4.704	0.0002
Immunosuppressants	yes	0.408	0.253	0.657	0.0002
Antibiotics	yes	0.121	0.0437	0.333	4.5×10^{-5}
rs10508884	[Aa]	1.901	1.254	2.883	0.0025
	[aa]	3.804	2.139	6.764	5.4×10^{-6}
HLA (DQA1:05)	[Aa]	1.474	0.983	2.211	0.0605
	[aa]	3.900	1.923	5.976	2.4×10^{-5}

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003348.t005>

CXCL12 serum levels analysis

An analysis of CXCL12 serum levels was performed on 108 adalimumab, infliximab, rituximab, or tocilizumab-treated patients suffering from RA. We tested for association between CXCL12 baseline serum levels (log-transformed values) and the genotype for the *CXCL12* variant rs10508884. We found that the concentrations of CXCL12 were significantly different between the 3 genotype groups (homozygotes of the minor allele, mean 2,693.374 [2,432.247–2,982.532] pg/ml; heterozygotes, mean 2,401.726 [2,187.21–2,637.279] pg/ml; homozygotes of the major allele, mean 2,218.492 [1,995.945–2,465.85]; p-value = 0.02). We found that the concentrations of CXCL12 were significantly higher (p-value = 0.014, Bonferroni-corrected p-value = 0.042) for the patients homozygous (mean: 2,693 pg/ml) for the minor allele than for the patients heterozygous or homozygous for the reference allele (mean: 2,317 pg/ml) at this locus (Fig 6). Neither the dominant model (unadjusted p-value = 0.14) nor the additive model




Fig 6. Boxplot of the CXCL12 serum levels according to genetic variant rs10508884 (*CXCL12*). The horizontal bars represent the median; whiskers span from the maximum to the minimum value.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003348.g006>

(unadjusted p -value = 0.076) was significant. On the 108 analyzed patients when dichotomizing the CXCL12 serum levels at the median (2,488 pg/ml), we found that high concentrations of CXCL12 were associated with increased risk of ADA occurrence (HR = 2.59 [1.27–5.28], $p < 0.01$). When including CXCL12 serum levels in a multivariate Cox regression model, the relationship between CXCL12 and ADA risk was still significant (aHR = 2.329 [1.106–4.90]), as well as the relationship between ADA occurrence and antibiotics (aHR = 0.243 [0.072–0.819]) and infections (aHR = 2.867 [1.281–6.415]), whereas relationships with tobacco (aHR = 1.583 [0.532–4.710], $p = 0.41$) and immunosuppressants (aHR = 0.889 [0.387–2.040], $p = 0.78$) did not reach statistical significance.

Discussion

The similar dynamic of ADA production across different BPs, the high immunogenicity of BPs when given to patients with autoimmune disease, and the immune hyperresponsiveness characteristic of these diseases suggest that ADA development may share common immunogenetic pathways. In the search for such common pathways, we conducted bioclinical survival analyses for immunogenicity development across MS, RA, Crohn's disease, and ulcerative colitis treated with various BPs. In this study, we were able to identify new clinical and genetic factors associated with ADA development (antibiotics, infections, a *CXCL12* genetic variant and CXCL12 serum protein levels) and to confirm ADA-associated factors identified in previous studies (tobacco smoking, immunosuppressants, and the *HLA DQA1*05* allele).

In addition to increasing power gains, focusing on common patient-related factors associated with immunogenicity sheds new light on some common clinical and genetic factors that may be of interest for the coming clinical trials conducted with biotherapies.

Our study was conducted in the first 12 months of BP therapy, during which the occurrence of ADA in patients is the most frequent [16–18]. The use of highly sensitive ADA assays validated and performed within ABIRISK central laboratories [7–9] improved the power of the analysis by increasing the number of events detected and by allowing an earlier detection of ADA occurrence.

Informative censoring was not a concern because during the study, there were only 5 censored patients who switched to another drug, and 4 of them had negative ADA tests for at least 3 months after switch.

In our study, the analysis of clinical data identified concomitant immunosuppressant and antibiotic intake during BP therapy as factors associated with lower risk of ADA development. If the effect of immunosuppressants was well known from previous studies on immunogenicity of adalimumab and infliximab in RA and IBDs [19,20], the relationship between antibiotics and immunogenicity is a new finding of the ABIRISK study, to the best of our knowledge. Although immunosuppressant and antibiotic therapies were correlated, their effects were additive.

Moreover, the antibiotics were associated with an apparently lower risk of occurrence of ADAs than the immunosuppressants. Our findings suggest that part of the relationship between immunosuppressants and ADA occurrence could be mediated by an indirect effect through antibiotic intake due to increased risk of infectious adverse events, shedding light on composite mechanisms of immunosuppression which had not been appreciated before. The main immunosuppressants prescribed in the cohort were methotrexate (MTX), azathioprine, and leflunomide. A mechanism of MTX-induced tolerization was recently proposed by ABIRISK collaborators, who demonstrated in BAFF (B-cell activated factor) transgenic mice that high levels of BAFF were necessary for MTX-induced tolerization and confirmed it in the anti-TNF-treated patients of the ABIRISK prospective study [21]. BAFF induced an increase in the

CD73 enzyme on the B cell surface, which catalyzes conversion of extracellular AMP (Adenosine MonoPhosphate) into the immunosuppressant adenosine. By inducing release of AMP from B cells, in the presence of CD73 MTX causes an increase in adenosine production and differentiation of regulatory B cells, which protect from ADA development.

A negative association of antibiotics with ADA development is a novel, to our knowledge, finding elucidated by this study; however, it is not the first report of an immunosuppressive effect of antibiotics. The efficacy of unadjuvanted viral vaccines such as inactivated influenza vaccine and polio vaccine and of whole-cell pertussis vaccine was decreased in antibiotic-treated mice and humans [22–24]; moreover, the efficacy of Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor (ICI) therapy against epithelial tumors in patients and in mice tumor models is altered by antibiotics use [25]. In 2 of these reports, the immunosuppressant effect of antibiotics was directly linked to changes in the gut microbiome; for the viral vaccines, it was mediated by absence of flagellin-carrying bacteria, which stimulate plasma cell differentiation and antibody secretion by binding to TLR5 (Toll-Like Receptor 5) on plasma cells and on lymph node macrophages. For ICIs, it was mediated by absence of the species *Akkermansia muciniphila*, inducing infiltration of IL12-secreting CD4+ T cells in ICI-treated tumors. The effect of antibiotics on ADA occurrence could also be mediated by an altered gut microbiome. What is more difficult to explain, yet is an emerging theme of gut microbiome studies, is how bacteria in the gut may influence an immune response elsewhere in the body. Few studies have directly addressed the question: one systematic investigation of host–microbiome interaction through monocolonization of germ-free mice with 53 different bacterial strains found that 88% of the gut colonizing species could be recovered in the mesenteric lymph nodes, and 47% could also be found in SC lymph nodes and spleen [26]. Furthermore, numbers of F4/80+ mononuclear phagocytes and of RAR-related orphan receptor gamma (ROR γ)+Helios– regulatory T cells in the gut correlated with their frequencies in SC lymph nodes and spleen, suggesting a migration of immune cell populations from the gut to other lymphoid organs. A direct proof of this migration pattern is still lacking. Recently, blood-borne microbial metabolites such as short-chain fatty acids were shown to promote CD8+ memory T cell development [27]. Although it is an interesting finding, antibiotic treatment would certainly not be a good strategy to prevent ADA occurrence because of other risks carried by these therapies, such as development of resistant bacterial strains, whereas concomitant immunosuppressant treatment seems a more appropriate solution because it is already used in clinical practice for TNF inhibitor treatments and improves their efficacy [28,29]. There may, however, be alternative, safer, clinically useful ways to modulate the microbiome in lieu of antibiotic use.

The finding that infections during the study increase the risk of ADA occurrence supports the hypothesis that in addition to gut microbiome, pathogenic microbes could also exert an adjuvant effect. This suggests that non-antibiotic–treated infections, caused by bacteria or not, during BP therapy should be carefully monitored because of the increased risk of ADA occurrence.

In the present study, we found an increased risk of ADA development in heavy smokers, previously identified in natalizumab and IFN-beta–treated MS patients [30,31] and in infliximab-treated RA patients [32]. However, another study on 37 MS patients treated with IFN-beta did not find an association of cotinine, a smoke nicotine metabolite, with ADAs [33]. We previously found sex to be associated with immunogenicity in MS patients [34], but the sex at increased risk was females for natalizumab and males for IFN-beta, suggesting that BP-specific mechanisms of immunogenicity were involved. Heterogeneity might in part account for the absence of this association in the present study, together with the lower number of patients of the cohort and thereby lower power to identify factors with a small effect size.

The genome-wide analysis allowed us to identify 6 SNPs, among which one was in an intron of the *CXCL12* gene (rs10508884). We found that this genetic variant was associated with time to ADA occurrence, shedding light on central immunological mechanisms of antibody responses. Thus, we performed an additional study in which *CXCL12* serum levels from RA patients were assessed and showed a significant relationship between *CXCL12* levels and *CXCL12* genotypes. *CXCL12* is a homeostatic chemokine involved in development, hematopoiesis, and angiogenesis [35]. *CXCL12* exists in 6 distinct isoforms with a different ability to bind to glycosaminoglycans on the extracellular matrix [35] and thereby build chemokine gradients to attract cells expressing *CXCR4*, the *CXCL12* receptor. *CXCL12* γ is the isoform with the highest affinity to heparan sulfate and has been shown to play a pivotal role in the germinal center reaction in secondary lymphoid organs: both *CXCR4*-deficient mice and *CXCL12*gagtm mice who lack the γ and the other heparan sulfate binding isoforms lose the light zone–dark zone polarity in the germinal centers, with impaired affinity maturation and inability to produce high-affinity antibodies as a consequence [36,37]. *CXCL12* γ is expressed by reticular cells in the dark zone, where it attracts proliferating centroblasts during the process of somatic hypermutation [36,37]. The spatial separation of the somatic hypermutation in the dark zone from the selection of high-affinity antibodies carried out in the light zone is essential for an efficient affinity maturation [36,37]. Therefore, it may explain that polymorphisms within the *CXCL12* gene are associated with time to ADA occurrence. Furthermore, *CXCL12* is also involved in the migration of plasma cells to bone marrow, where it is produced by stromal cells. This migration is necessary for long-term survival of plasma cells, which need to occupy a bone marrow niche and are responsible for the constitutive secretion of antibodies in the circulation [38]. A *CXCL12* SNP (rs266087) was previously found to be associated with antibody responses to cholera vaccine [39], and the expression of plasma cell survival factors, including *CXCL12*, was increased in high-titer antibody responders to measles vaccine [40], highlighting the importance of this chemokine in antibody responses. A small *CXCR4* antagonist, AMD3100, is currently used in combination with G-CSF (Granulocyte Colony-Stimulating Factor) as stem-cell mobilizing agent to treat non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and multiple myeloma [35]. AMD3100 in combination with G-CSF, anti-CD20 and IL2/anti-IL2 mAb (monoclonal Antibody) complexes has been tested as a treatment to induce tolerance in a mouse model of hemophilia A with ADAs against Factor VIII, and it achieved a successful stable reduction of long-lived ADA-producing plasma cells and of ADA titers [41]. Thus, *CXCL12* could be an interesting drug target for the treatment and prevention of ADAs.

Our analysis of imputed *HLA* loci from SNPs information allowed us to identify an association between *HLA DQA1*05* and the occurrence of ADA, with a higher risk of ADA occurrence in individuals carrying the rare allele. Our finding is in accordance with the recent genome-wide association study across 1,240 Crohn's disease patients enrolled in the PANTS (Personalizing anti-TNF Therapy in Crohn's disease) cohort showing that *HLA-DQA1*05* is significantly associated with an increased rate of ADAs in patients treated with infliximab and adalimumab [42].

Previous studies in IFN-beta-treated MS patients and in anti-TNF-treated IBD and RA patients have shown association of ADAs with *HLA-DRB1* alleles, with specific alleles for each disease and BP potentially suggesting different capacity to present BP-specific peptides to T cells [43,44]. It is unlikely that *HLA-DQA1*05* has a particular ability to present peptides from BPs, which have different protein sequences and are unlikely to display common features; the effect of this allele is more probably due to strong linkage disequilibrium with an eQTL (expression Quantitative Trait Locus) controlling the expression of *HLA* class II or other immune-related genes in the *HLA* locus. The presence of such eQTLs has been recently demonstrated for the MS-associated *HLA-DRB1*15:01* allele: this allele is in linkage disequilibrium

with a differentially methylated region and induces a lower methylation of the *HLA-DR* gene and consequently an increased expression of HLA-DR on monocytes [45]. This polymorphism and methylation have been shown to be causal in MS. Furthermore, a high density of eQTLs has been found in the regions proximal to *HLA-C* and *HLA-DR*, with effects on the expression of multiple genes of the *HLA* locus in 3 studied haplotypes [46], and the *HLA* alleles associated with different autoimmune diseases all correlate with HLA class II expression [47]. The influence of the *HLA-DQA1*05* allele on expression of HLA class II warrants further investigation.

Despite these promising results, some limitations of our study should be mentioned. The genetic study that we conducted was an exploratory analysis and must be confirmed by replication in an independent cohort. The joint analysis of different diseases and BPs allowed us to identify common factors of immunogenicity, but it does not allow us to detect BP–covariate or disease–covariate qualitative interactions due to BP-specific or disease-specific immunogenicity mechanisms; a separate analysis for each disease and BP will be needed to address this question.

From a clinical perspective, the relevance and the clinical threshold titer level of the ADAs detected with each assay still remain to be established. Moreover, unlike quantitative methods that use reference standards, ADA methods are highly dependent on the drug-specific PC antibodies. The performance of the ADA method is not based on a single human reference ADA but on a surrogate PC such as polyclonal or monoclonal antibody raised in a nonhuman species [48]. The PCs are used to develop each ADA assay and for system suitability control of each individual method over time. The sensitivity and drug tolerance of each BP method is therefore expected to vary between different assays. This limits the possibility of quantitatively comparing the immunogenicity of different BPs [49,50]. Furthermore, except for the TNF inhibitors adalimumab and infliximab, which are prescribed for both RA and IBDs, all the other BPs analyzed were specific for one pathology, which makes it difficult to distinguish the contribution of the disease versus the BP to ADA development. Nevertheless, 3 groups of drugs could be broadly defined: very low immunogenic BPs (IFN-beta-1a IM and etanercept), intermediate/medium immunogenic BPs (tocilizumab, IFN-beta-1a SC, infliximab), and high immunogenic BPs (adalimumab, IFN-beta-1b SC, rituximab). Notably, with the newly developed MSD assay, adalimumab gave a higher incidence of ADAs than infliximab in both RA and IBDs, in contrast to what has been described in previous reports from other research teams [51,52] and from an ABIRISK retrospective study [32]. Most of the previous studies have used ELISA Bab assays or radio-immuno assays and might have lacked sensitivity and/or tolerance to detect low titers of ADAs in the presence of circulating BPs because of the formation of BP–ADA immune complexes, an issue that has been solved by using a more drug-tolerant MSD assay in the present prospective study [53]. A pharmacokinetic measure of drug level for the TNF inhibitors and tocilizumab has been performed, but it will be the object of a separate analysis because for IFN-beta, the half-life is too short to allow a pharmacokinetic measurement, and a comparison of all the drugs included in this joint study was not possible. Tocilizumab and rituximab also resulted in a higher ADA incidence in the ABIRISK prospective study than in previous reports, likely because of the sensitivity and drug tolerance of the MSD assay, which has not been used before for detecting ADAs against these BPs in RA [54–57]. Concerning the other biologicals analyzed in this study, the broad immunogenicity level was comparable to what has been previously reported [58,59].

In conclusion, through the joint analysis of BP immunogenicity in 4 autoimmune diseases treated with different BPs, we have identified clinical (heavy smoking, concomitant immunosuppressants, antibiotics, and infections) and genetic (a *CXCL12* variant, *HLA-DQA1*05* allele) risk factors associated with time to ADA occurrence pointing to more general

mechanisms of immunogenicity. These results may help physicians in the management of patients receiving biotherapies.

Supporting information

S1 Checklist. STROBE checklist. STROBE, Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology.
(PDF)

S1 Text. Statistical analysis plan of the ABIRISK prospective study. ABIRISK, Anti-Biopharmaceutical Immunization: prediction and analysis of clinical relevance to minimize the RISK.
(PDF)

S1 Table. Clinical characteristics at baseline in BP-treated patients stratified by disease cohort. ACPA, anti-citrullinated peptide antibodies; BP, biopharmaceutical product; CIS, Clinically Isolated Syndrome; DAS28, disease activity score 28; EDSS, expanded disability status scale; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; IQR, interquartile range; MS, multiple sclerosis; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; RF, rheumatoid factor; RRMS, relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis.
(PDF)

S2 Table. Missing data and MedDRA and WHODrug codes for the demographics and clinical variables. MedDRA, medical dictionary for regulatory activities; MS, multiple sclerosis; NA, nonapplicable; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; UC, ulcerative colitis; WHODrug, World Health Organization drug dictionary.
(DOCX)

S3 Table. Results of univariate analyses on HLA alleles. df, degrees of freedom; FDR, false discovery rate; HLA, Human Leukocyte Antigen.
(XLSX)

S1 Fig. ADA occurrence per disease (IBD, MS, RA) according to the intake of antibiotics or immunosuppressants during the study. ADA, antidrug antibody; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; MS, multiple sclerosis; RA, rheumatoid arthritis.
(TIF)

S2 Fig. GWAS results (Manhattan plot). Genomic coordinates on 23 chromosomes are displayed on the x-axis, and the negative logarithm of the association p-value with ADA occurrence for each SNP is displayed on the y-axis. The blue horizontal dotted line represents the threshold of significance with a 20% FDR, and the red dots are the SNPs above the threshold. ADA, antidrug antibody; FDR, false discovery rate; GWAS, genome-wide association study; SNP, Single-Nucleotide Polymorphism.
(PDF)

S3 Fig. Linkage disequilibrium plot of analyzed HLA alleles. Each square represents the LD measured by r^2 between a pair of HLA alleles, listed on top of the plot according to their topographical order of appearance on chromosome 6. The color scale goes from white, corresponding to $r^2 = 0$, to red, corresponding to $r^2 = 1$. HLA, Human Leukocyte Antigen; LD, linkage disequilibrium.
(TIF)

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the ABIRISK clinicians who contributed to the recruitment of patients in the ABIRISK prospective study: Andrea Matucci, Università di Firenze; Philippe Seksik, Hôpital Saint Antoine, Paris; Antony Buisson, CHU de Clermont Ferrand; Chanteloup Elis, Hôpital Saint-Joseph; Stephane Nancey, CHU de Lyon Sud; Edouard Louis, CHU Sart Tilman, Liège; Xavier Hebuterne, CHU de Nice; Marion Simon, Institut Montsouris; Maxime Dougados, AP-HP Hôpital Cochin, Paris; Bruno Fautrel, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris; Philippe Dieudé, Hôpital Bichat, Paris; Francis Berenbaum, Hôpital Saint Antoine, Paris; Aleth Pedrigger, Hôpital Sud, Rennes; Hubert Marotte, CHU de Saint-Etienne, Hôpital Nord, Saint-Etienne; Alain Cantagrel and Arnaud Constantin, CHU de Toulouse, Toulouse; Olivier Vittecoq and Thierry Lequere, CHU de Rouen, Hôpital Bois Guillaume, Rouen; Alain Saraux, CHU de la Cavale Blanche, Brest; René-Marc Flipo, Hôpital Roger Salengro, Lille; Jean Sibia, CHU de Strasbourg, Hôpital de Hautepierre, Strasbourg; Jacques Morel, CHU Lapeyronie, Montpellier; Prof. Wendling, CHRU de Besançon, Besançon; Thierry Schaevebeke, Hôpital Pellegrin, Bordeaux; Pascale Vergne-Salle, Hôpital Dupuytren, Limoges.

We are thankful to Veronique Berthou (Sanofi) for her work in implementing the eCRFs of the ABIRISK prospective studies.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Signe Hässler, Delphine Bachelet, Dan Sikkema, Sophie Tourdot, Sebastian Spindeldreher, Pierre Dönnès, Timothy P. Hickling, Agnès Hincelin Mery, Matthieu Allez, Florian Deisenhammer, Anna Fogdell-Hahn, Xavier Mariette, Marc Pallardy, Philippe Broët.

Data curation: Signe Hässler, Delphine Bachelet, Julianne Duhaze, Naoimh Donnellan, Pierre Dönnès.

Formal analysis: Signe Hässler, Julianne Duhaze, Philippe Broët.

Investigation: Natacha Szely, Aude Gleizes, Salima Hacein-Bey Abina, Orhan Aktas, Michael Auer, Jérôme Avouac, Mary Birchler, Yoram Bouhnik, Olivier Brocq, Dorothea Buck-Martin, Guillaume Cadiot, Franck Carbonnel, Yehuda Chowers, Manuel Comabella, Tobias Derfuss, Niek De Vries, Abiba Doukani, Michael Guger, Hans-Peter Hartung, Eva Kubala Havrdova, Bernhard Hemmer, Tom Huizinga, Kathleen Ingenhoven, Poul Erik Hyldgaard-Jensen, Elizabeth C. Jury, Michael Khalil, Bernd Kieseier, Anna Laurén, Raija Lindberg, Amy Loercher, Enrico Maggi, Jessica Manson, Claudia Mauri, Badreddine Mohand Oumoussa, Xavier Montalban, Maria Nachury, Petra Nytrova, Christophe Richez, Malin Ryner, Finn Sellebjerg, Claudia Sievers, Martin Soubrier, Caroline Trang, Alessandra Vultaggio, Clemens Warnke, Marc Pallardy.

Methodology: Signe Hässler, Delphine Bachelet, Julianne Duhaze, Philippe Broët.

Project administration: Dan Sikkema, Sophie Tourdot, Sebastian Spindeldreher, Pierre Dönnès, Timothy P. Hickling, Agnès Hincelin Mery, Matthieu Allez, Florian Deisenhammer, Anna Fogdell-Hahn, Xavier Mariette, Marc Pallardy, Philippe Broët.

Resources: Michael Auer, Jérôme Avouac, Yoram Bouhnik, Olivier Brocq, Dorothea Buck-Martin, Guillaume Cadiot, Franck Carbonnel, Yehuda Chowers, Manuel Comabella, Tobias Derfuss, Niek De Vries, Michael Guger, Eva Kubala Havrdova, Bernhard Hemmer, Tom Huizinga, Michael Khalil, Raija Lindberg, Enrico Maggi, Jessica Manson, Claudia Mauri, Xavier Montalban, Maria Nachury, Petra Nytrova, Christophe Richez, Claudia

Sievers, Martin Soubrier, Caroline Trang, Alessandra Vultaggio, Matthieu Allez, Florian Deisenhammer, Anna Fogdell-Hahn, Xavier Mariette.

Supervision: Philippe Broët.

Visualization: Signe Hässler, Delphine Bachelet, Julianne Duhaze, Philippe Broët.

Writing – original draft: Signe Hässler, Julianne Duhaze, Philippe Broët.

Writing – review & editing: Delphine Bachelet, Natacha Szely, Aude Gleizes, Salima Hacein-Bey Abina, Orhan Aktas, Michael Auer, Jérôme Avouac, Mary Birchler, Yoram Bouhnik, Olivier Brocq, Dorothea Buck-Martin, Guillaume Cadiot, Franck Carbonnel, Yehuda Chowers, Manuel Comabella, Tobias Derfuss, Niek De Vries, Naoimh Donnellan, Abiba Doukani, Michael Guger, Hans-Peter Hartung, Eva Kubala Havrdova, Bernhard Hemmer, Tom Huizinga, Kathleen Ingenhoven, Poul Erik Hyldgaard-Jensen, Elizabeth C. Jury, Michael Khalil, Bernd Kieseier, Anna Laurén, Raija Lindberg, Amy Loercher, Enrico Maggi, Jessica Manson, Claudia Mauri, Badreddine Mohand Oumoussa, Xavier Montalban, Maria Nachury, Petra Nytrova, Christophe Richez, Malin Ryner, Finn Sellebjerg, Claudia Sievers, Dan Sikkema, Martin Soubrier, Sophie Tourdot, Caroline Trang, Alessandra Vultaggio, Clemens Warnke, Sebastian Spindeldreher, Pierre Dönnès, Timothy P. Hickling, Agnès Hincelin Mery, Matthieu Allez, Florian Deisenhammer, Anna Fogdell-Hahn, Xavier Mariette, Marc Pallardy.

References

1. Weert M van de, Møller EH, eds. Immunogenicity of Biopharmaceuticals. New York, NY: Springer; 2008.
2. Shankar G, Arkin S, Cocea L, Devanarayan V, Kirshner S, Kromminga A, et al. Assessment and Reporting of the Clinical Immunogenicity of Therapeutic Proteins and Peptides—Harmonized Terminology and Tactical Recommendations. *AAPS J*. 2014; 16(4):658–673. <https://doi.org/10.1208/s12248-014-9599-2> PMID: 24764037
3. Pineda C, Castañeda Hernández G, Jacobs IA, Alvarez DF, Carini C. Assessing the Immunogenicity of Biopharmaceuticals. *BioDrugs*. 2016; 30(3):195–206. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40259-016-0174-5> PMID: 27097915
4. Rup B, Pallardy M, Sikkema D, Albert T, Allez M, Broet P, et al. Standardizing terms, definitions and concepts for describing and interpreting unwanted immunogenicity of biopharmaceuticals: recommendations of the Innovative Medicines Initiative ABIRISK consortium: Immunogenicity of biopharmaceuticals: ABIRISK recommendations. *Clin Exp Immunol*. 2015; 181(3):385–400. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cei.12652> PMID: 25959571
5. ABIRISK Consortium. ABIRISK: Anti-Biopharmaceutical Immunization: Prediction and Analysis of Clinical Relevance to Minimize the Risk [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2020 Jan 1]. Available from: <https://www.imi.europa.eu/projects-results/project-factsheets/abiriskref:end>
6. Shankar G, Devanarayan V, Amaravadi L, Barrett YC, Bowsher R, Finco-Kent D, et al. Recommendations for the Validation of Immunoassays Used for Detection of Host Antibodies against Biotechnology Products. *Journal of Pharm Biomed Anal*. 2008; 48(5): 1267–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2008.09.020> PMID: 18993008
7. Ingenhoven K, Kramer D, Jensen PE, Hermanrud C, Ryner M, Deisenhammer F, et al. Development and Validation of an Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay for the Detection of Binding Anti-Drug Antibodies against Interferon Beta. *Front Neurol*. 2017; 8:305. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2017.00305> PMID: 28729851
8. Hermanrud C, Ryner M, Luft T, Jensen PE, Ingenhoven K, Rat D, et al. Development and validation of cell-based luciferase reporter gene assays for measuring neutralizing anti-drug antibodies against interferon beta. *J Immunol Methods*. 2016; 430:1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jim.2016.01.004> PMID: 26779831
9. Jensen PEH, Warnke C, Ingenhoven K, Piccoli L, Gasis M, Hermanrud C, et al. Detection and kinetics of persistent neutralizing anti-interferon-beta antibodies in patients with multiple sclerosis. Results from the ABIRISK prospective cohort study. *J Neuroimmunol*. 2019; 326:19–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneuroim.2018.11.002> PMID: 30447419.

10. Perdry H, Dandine-Roulland C. “gaston” R package. April 2019. Available from: <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=gaston>
11. Zheng X, Shen J, Cox C, Wakefield JC, Ehm MG, Nelson MR, et al. HIBAG—HLA genotype imputation with attribute bagging. *Pharmacogenomics J*. 2014; 14: 192–200. 10.1038/tpj.2013.18 <https://doi.org/10.1038/tpj.2013.18> PMID: 23712092
12. Kalbfleisch JD, Prentice RL. *The Statistical Analysis of Failure Time Data*: Kalbfleisch/The Statistical. Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2002. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118032985>
13. Price AL, Zaitlen NA, Reich D, Patterson N. New Approaches to Population Stratification in Genome-Wide Association Studies. *Nature Reviews. Genetics* 2010; 11(7): 459–63. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2813> PMID: 20548291
14. Lange T, Hansen JV. Direct and Indirect Effects in a Survival Context. *Epidemiology*. 2011; 22(4):575–581. <https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0b013e31821c680c> PMID: 21552129
15. Aalen O. A model for non-parametric regression analysis of counting processes. In: Klonecki W, Kozek A, Rosinski J, eds. *Lecture Notes in Statistics, Mathematical Statistics and Probability Theory*. New York: Springer-Verlag; 1980. pp. 1–25.
16. Bartelds GM, Kriekaert CLM, Nurmohamed MT, van Schouwenburg PA, Lems WF, Twisk JWR, et al. Development of Antidrug Antibodies Against Adalimumab and Association With Disease Activity and Treatment Failure During Long-term Follow-up. *JAMA*. 2011; 305(14):1460. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2011.406> PMID: 21486979
17. Ungar B, Chowers Y, Yavzori M, Picard O, Fudim E, Har-Noy O, et al. The temporal evolution of anti-drug antibodies in patients with inflammatory bowel disease treated with infliximab. *Gut*. 2014; 63(8):1258–1264. <https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2013-305259> PMID: 24041539
18. Francis GS, Rice GPA, Alsop JC, for the PRISMS (Prevention of Relapses and Disability by Interferon ss-1a Subcutaneously in Multiple Sclerosis) Study Group. Interferon -1a in MS: Results following development of neutralizing antibodies in PRISMS. *Neurology*. 2005; 65(1):48–55. <https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000171748.48188.5b> PMID: 16009884
19. Garcês S, Demengeot J, Benito-Garcia E. The immunogenicity of anti-TNF therapy in immune-mediated inflammatory diseases: a systematic review of the literature with a meta-analysis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2013; 72(12):1947–1955. <https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2012-202220> PMID: 23223420
20. Jani M, Barton A, Warren RB, Griffiths CEM, Chinoy H. The role of DMARDs in reducing the immunogenicity of TNF inhibitors in chronic inflammatory diseases. *Rheumatology*. 2014; 53(2):213–222. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/ket260> PMID: 23946436
21. Bitoun S, Nocturne G, Ly B, Krzysiek R, Roques P, Pruvost A, et al. Methotrexate and BAFF interaction prevents immunization against TNF inhibitors. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2018; 77(10):1463–1470 <https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2018-213403> PMID: 29936438
22. Borkner L, Misiak A, Wilk MM, Mills KHG. Azithromycin Clears Bordetella pertussis Infection in Mice but Also Modulates Innate and Adaptive Immune Responses and T Cell Memory. *Front Immunol*. 2018; 9:1764. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.01764> PMID: 30105030
23. Oh JZ, Ravindran R, Chassaing B, Carvalho FA, Maddur MS, Bower M, et al. TLR5-Mediated Sensing of Gut Microbiota Is Necessary for Antibody Responses to Seasonal Influenza Vaccination. *Immunity*. 2014; 41(3):478–492. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2014.08.009> PMID: 25220212
24. Hagan T, Cortese M, Roupheal N, Boudreau C, Linde C, Maddur MS, et al. Antibiotics-Driven Gut Microbiome Perturbation Alters Immunity to Vaccines in Humans. *Cell* 2019; 178(6): 1313–1328.e13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.08.010> PMID: 31491384
25. Routy B, Le Chatelier E, Derosa L, Duong CPM, Alou MT, Daillère R, et al. Gut microbiome influences efficacy of PD-1-based immunotherapy against epithelial tumors. *Science*. 2018; 359(6371):91–97. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan3706> PMID: 29097494
26. Geva-Zatorsky N, Sefik E, Kua L, Pasman L, Tan TZ, Ortiz-Lopez A, et al. Mining the Human Gut Microbiota for Immunomodulatory Organisms. *Cell*. 2017; 168(5):928–943.e11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.01.022> PMID: 28215708
27. Bachem A, Makhlof C, Binger KJ, de Souza DP, Tull D, Hochheiser K, et al. Microbiota-Derived Short-Chain Fatty Acids Promote the Memory Potential of Antigen-Activated CD8+ T Cells. *Immunity* 2019; 51(2): 285–297.e5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2019.06.002> PMID: 31272808
28. Emery P, Sebba A, Huizinga TWJ. Biologic and oral disease-modifying antirheumatic drug monotherapy in rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2013; 72(12):1897–1904. <https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-203485> PMID: 23918035
29. Hyrich KL, Symmons DPM, Watson KD, Silman AJ, British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register. Comparison of the response to infliximab or etanercept monotherapy with the response to cotherapy with methotrexate or another disease-modifying antirheumatic drug in patients with rheumatoid

- arthritis: Results from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2006; 54(6):1786–1794. <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.21830> PMID: 16736520
30. Hedström AK, Ryner M, Fink K, Fogdell-Hahn A, Alfredsson L, Olsson T, et al. Smoking and risk of treatment-induced neutralizing antibodies to interferon β -1a. *Mult Scler J.* 2014; 20(4):445–450. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1352458513498635> PMID: 23924603
 31. Hedström A, Alfredsson L, Lundkvist Ryner M, Fogdell-Hahn A, Hillert J, et al. Smokers run increased risk of developing anti-natalizumab antibodies. *Mult Scler J.* 2014; 20(8):1081–1085. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1352458513515086> PMID: 24311118
 32. Quistrebert J, Hässler S, Bachelet D, Mbogning C, Musters A, Tak PP, et al. Incidence and risk factors for adalimumab and infliximab anti-drug antibodies in rheumatoid arthritis: A European retrospective multicohort analysis. *Semin Arthritis Rheum.* 2019; 48(6):967–975. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semarthrit.2018.10.006> PMID: 30420245
 33. Auer M, Hegen H, Luft T, Bsteh G, Fogdell-Hahn A, Loercher A, et al.; on behalf of the ABIRISK consortium. Serum cotinine does not predict neutralizing antibodies against Interferon-beta in an Austrian MS-cohort. *J Interferon Cytokine Res.* 2016; 36(12):667–670. <https://doi.org/10.1089/jir.2016.0054> PMID: 27918711
 34. Bachelet D, Hässler S, Mbogning C, Link J, Ryner M, Ramanujam R, et al. Occurrence of Anti-Drug Antibodies against Interferon-Beta and Natalizumab in Multiple Sclerosis: A Collaborative Cohort Analysis. Ramagopalan SV, ed. *PLoS ONE.* 2016; 11(11):e0162752. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0162752> PMID: 27806057
 35. Janssens R, Struyf S, Proost P. Pathological roles of the homeostatic chemokine CXCL12. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 2018; 44:51–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cytogfr.2018.10.004> PMID: 30396776
 36. Bannard O, Horton RM, Allen CDC, An J, Nagasawa T, Cyster JG. Germinal Center Centroblasts Transition to a Centrococyte Phenotype According to a Timed Program and Depend on the Dark Zone for Effective Selection. *Immunity.* 2013; 39(5):912–924. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2013.08.038> PMID: 24184055
 37. Barinov A, Luo L, Gasse P, Meas-Yedid V, Donnadieu E, Arenzana-Seisdedos F, et al. Essential role of immobilized chemokine CXCL12 in the regulation of the humoral immune response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 2017; 114(9):2319–2324. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1611958114> PMID: 28193885
 38. Ma Q, Jones D, Springer TA. The chemokine receptor CXCR4 is required for the retention of B lineage and granulocytic precursors within the bone marrow microenvironment. *Immunity.* 1999; 10: 463–471. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1074-7613\(00\)80046-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1074-7613(00)80046-1) PMID: 10229189
 39. Majumder PP, Sarkar-Roy N, Staats H, Ramamurthy T, Maiti S, Chowdhury G, et al. Genomic correlates of variability in immune response to an oral cholera vaccine. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2013; 21: 1000–1006. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ejhg.2012.278> PMID: 23249958
 40. Haralambieva IH, Zimmermann MT, Ovsyannikova IG, Grill DE, Oberg AL, Kennedy RB, et al. Whole Transcriptome Profiling Identifies CD93 and Other Plasma Cell Survival Factor Genes Associated with Measles-Specific Antibody Response after Vaccination. *PLoS ONE.* 2016; 11: e0160970. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0160970> PMID: 27529750
 41. Liu CL, Lyle MJ, Shin SC, Miao CH. Strategies to target long-lived plasma cells for treating hemophilia A inhibitors. *Cell Immunol.* 2016; 301: 65–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellimm.2016.01.005> PMID: 26877251
 42. Sazonovs A, Kennedy NA, Moutsianas L, Heap GA, Rice DL, Reppell M, et al. HLA-DQA1*05 Carriage Associated With Development of Anti-Drug Antibodies to Infliximab and Adalimumab in Patients With Crohn's Disease. *Gastroenterology* 2020 Jan; 158(1):189–199. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2019.09.041> PMID: 31600487
 43. Buck D, Andlauer TF, Igl W, Wicklein EM, Mühlau M, Weber F, et al. Effect of HLA-DRB1 alleles and genetic variants on the development of neutralizing antibodies to interferon beta in the BEYOND and BENEFIT trials. *Mult Scler J.* 2019; 25(4):565–573. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1352458518763089> PMID: 29521573
 44. Liu M, Degner J, Davis JW, Idler KB, Nader A, Mostafa NM, et al. Identification of HLA-DRB1 association to adalimumab immunogenicity. De Re V, ed. *PLoS ONE.* 2018; 13(4):e0195325. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195325> PMID: 29614084
 45. Kular L, Liu Y, Ruhmann S, Zheleznyakova G, Marabita F, Gomez-Cabrero D, et al. DNA methylation as a mediator of HLA-DRB1*15:01 and a protective variant in multiple sclerosis. *Nat Commun.* 2018; 9(1):2397. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04732-5> PMID: 29921915
 46. Lam TH, Shen M, Tay MZ, Ren EC. Unique Allelic eQTL Clusters in Human MHC Haplotypes. *G3amp58 GenesGenomesGenetics* 2017; 7(8):2595–2604. <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.117.043828> PMID: 28600441

47. Alcina A, Abad-Grau M del M, Fedetz M, Izquierdo G, Lucas M, Fernández O, et al. Multiple Sclerosis Risk Variant HLA-DRB1*1501 Associates with High Expression of DRB1 Gene in Different Human Populations. Palau F, ed. PLoS ONE. 2012; 7(1):e29819. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0029819> PMID: 22253788
48. van Schouwenburg PA, Kruithof S, Wolbink G, Wouters D, Rispens T. Using monoclonal antibodies as an international standard for the measurement of anti-adalimumab antibodies. J Pharm Biomed Anal. 2016; 120: 198–201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2015.12.040> PMID: 26748377
49. EMA. Guideline on Immunogenicity assessment of therapeutic proteins, Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP). EMEA/CHMP/BMWP/14327/2006 Rev 1 [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2017 Jan 17]. Available from: [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/immunogenicity-assessment-biotechnology-derived-therapeutic-proteins#document-history—revision-1-\(current-version\)-section](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/immunogenicity-assessment-biotechnology-derived-therapeutic-proteins#document-history—revision-1-(current-version)-section)
50. FDA. Immunogenicity Testing of Therapeutic Protein Products—Developing and Validating Assays for Anti-Drug Antibody Detection. Guidance for Industry [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2019 Jan 1]. Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information>
51. Eng GP, Bouchelouche P, Bartels EM, Bliddal H, Bendtzen K, Stoltenberg M. Anti-Drug Antibodies, Drug Levels, Interleukin-6 and Soluble TNF Receptors in Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients during the First 6 Months of Treatment with Adalimumab or Infliximab: A Descriptive Cohort Study. Kuwana M, ed. PLoS ONE. 2016; 11(9):e0162316. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0162316> eCollection 2016 PMID: 27606615
52. Kennedy NA, Heap GA, Green HD, Hamilton B, Bewshea C, Walker GJ, et al. Predictors of Anti-TNF Treatment Failure in Anti-TNF-Naive Patients with Active Luminal Crohn's Disease: A Prospective, Multicentre, Cohort Study. The Lancet. Gastroenterology & Hepatology 2019; 4(5): 341–53. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253\(19\)30012-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253(19)30012-3) PMID: 30824404
53. van Schouwenburg PA, Rispens T, Wolbink GJ. Immunogenicity of anti-TNF biologic therapies for rheumatoid arthritis. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2013; 9(3):164–172. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrrheum.2013.4> PMID: 23399692
54. Burmester GR, Choy E, Kivitz A, Ogata A, Bao M, Nomura A, et al. Low immunogenicity of tocilizumab in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2017; 76(6):1078–1085. <https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2016-210297> PMID: 28007755
55. Benucci M, Meacci F, Grossi V, Infantino M, Manfredi M, Bellio E, et al. Correlations between immunogenicity, drug levels, and disease activity in an Italian cohort of rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with tocilizumab. Biol Targets Ther. 2016; 10:53–8. <https://doi.org/10.2147/BTT.S97234> PMID: 2704199256.
56. Sigaux J, Hamze M, Daien C, Morel J, Krzysiek R, Pallardy M, et al. Immunogenicity of tocilizumab in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Joint Bone Spine. 2017; 84(1):39–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbspin.2016.04.013> PMID: 27369643
57. Einarsson JT, Evert M, Geborek P, Saxne T, Lundgren M, Kapetanovic MC. Rituximab in clinical practice: dosage, drug adherence, Ig levels, infections, and drug antibodies. Clin Rheumatol. 2017; 36(12):2743–2750. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-017-3848-6> PMID: 28980088
58. Strand V, Balsa A, Al-Saleh J, Barile-Fabris L, Horiuchi T, Takeuchi T, et al. Immunogenicity of Biologics in Chronic Inflammatory Diseases: A Systematic Review. BioDrugs. 2017; 31(4):299–316. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40259-017-0231-8> PMID: 28612180
59. Link J, Ramanujam R, Auer M, Ryner M, Hässler S, Bachelet D, et al. Clinical practice of analysis of anti-drug antibodies against interferon beta and natalizumab in multiple sclerosis patients in Europe: A descriptive study of test results. van Hall T, ed. PLoS ONE. 2017; 12(2):e0170395. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0170395> eCollection 2017 PMID: 28170401