HAL
open science

Digit frequencies of beta-expansions

Y.-Q. Li

To cite this version:

Y.-Q. Li. Digit frequencies of beta-expansions. Acta Mathematica Hungarica, 2020, 162 (2), pp.403-418. 10.1007/s10474-020-01032-7 . hal-03113177

HAL Id: hal-03113177

https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03113177

Submitted on 18 Jan 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

DIGIT FREQUENCIES OF BETA-EXPANSIONS

YAO-QIANG LI

ABSTRACT. Let $\beta > 1$ be a non-integer. First we show that Lebesgue almost every number has a β-expansion of a given frequency if and only if Lebesgue almost every number has infinitely many β-expansions of the same given frequency. Then we deduce that Lebesgue almost every number has infinitely many balanced β-expansions, where an infinite sequence on the finite alphabet $\{0, 1, \cdots, m\}$ is called balanced if the frequency of the digit k is equal to the frequency of the digit $m - k$ for all $k \in \{0, 1, \cdots, m\}$. Finally we consider variable frequency and prove that for every pseudo-golden ratio $\beta \in (1, 2)$, there exists a constant $c = c(\beta) > 0$ such that for any $p \in [\frac{1}{2} - c, \frac{1}{2} + c]$, Lebesgue almost every x has infinitely many β-expansions with frequency of zeros equal to p.

1. INTRODUCTION

To represent real numbers, the most common way is to use expansions in integer bases, especially in base 2 or 10. As a natural generalization, expansions in non-integer bases were introduced by Rényi [26] in 1957, and then attracted a lot of attention until now (see for examples [1, 2, 8, 11, 17, 18, 23, 24, 25, 27, 28]). They are known as beta-expansions nowadays.

Let $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \cdots\}$ be the set of positive integers and $\mathbb{R}$ be the set of real numbers. For $\beta > 1$, we define the alphabet by

$$\mathcal{A}_\beta = \{0, 1, \cdots, \lceil \beta \rceil - 1\}.$$

where $\lceil \beta \rceil$ denotes the smallest integer no less than β, and similarly we use $\lfloor \beta \rfloor$ to denote the greatest integer no larger than β throughout this paper. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. A sequence $(\varepsilon_i)_{i \geq 1} \in \mathcal{A}_\beta^{\mathbb{N}}$ is called a *β-expansion* of x if

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_i}{\beta^i}.$$

For $\beta > 1$, let I_β be the interval $[0, \frac{\lceil \beta \rceil - 1}{\beta - 1}]$, and let I_β^o be the interior of I_β (i.e. $I_\beta^o = (0, \frac{\lceil \beta \rceil - 1}{\beta - 1})$). It is straightforward to check that x has a β-expansion if and only if $x \in I_\beta$. An interesting phenomenon is that an x may have many β-expansions. For examples, [14, Theorem 3] shows that if $\beta \in (1, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2})$, every $x \in I_\beta^o$ has a continuum of different β-expansions, and [29, Theorem 1] shows that if $\beta \in (1, 2)$, Lebesgue almost every $x \in I_\beta$ has a continuum of different β-expansions. For more on the cardinality of β-expansions, we refer the reader to [7, 15, 19].

In this paper we focus on the digit frequencies of β-expansions, which is a classical research topic. For examples, Borel's normal number theorem [9] says that for any integer $\beta > 1$, Lebesgue almost every $x \in [0, 1]$ has a β-expansion in which every finite word on $\mathcal{A}_\beta$ with length k occurs with frequency β^{-k}; Eggleston [13] proved that for each $p \in [0, 1]$, the Hausdorff dimension (see [16] for definition) of the set, consisting of those $x \in [0, 1]$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11A63; Secondary 11K55.
Key words and phrases. β-expansion, digit frequency, pseudo-golden ratio.

having a binary expansion with frequency of zeros equal to p, is equal to $(-p\log p-(1-p)\log(1-p))/(\log 2)$. Let $\beta_T\approx 1.80194$ be the unique zero in $(1,2]$ of the polynomial x^3-x^2-2x+1. Recently, on the one hand, Baker and Kong [6] proved that if $\beta\in(1,\beta_T]$, then every $x\in I_\beta^o$ has a *simply normal* β-expansion (i.e., the frequency of each digit is the same), and on the other hand, Jordan, Shmerkin and Solomyak [20] proved that if $\beta\in(\beta_T,2]$, then there exists $x\in I_\beta^o$ which does not have any simply normal β-expansions. In the recent paper [5], Baker studied the set of frequencies of β-expansions for a general $\beta>1$. (See also [10] for the study of the set of frequencies of greedy β-expansions.)

Let $m\in\mathbb{N}$. For any sequence $(\varepsilon_i)_{i\geq 1}\in\{0,1,\cdots,m\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, we define the *upper-frequency*, *lower-frequency* and *frequency* of the digit k by

$$\overline{\mathrm{Freq}}_k(\varepsilon_i):=\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}}\frac{\sharp\{1\leq i\leq n:\varepsilon_i=k\}}{n},$$

$$\underline{\mathrm{Freq}}_k(\varepsilon_i):=\underline{\lim_{n\to\infty}}\frac{\sharp\{1\leq i\leq n:\varepsilon_i=k\}}{n}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Freq}_k(\varepsilon_i):=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\sharp\{1\leq i\leq n:\varepsilon_i=k\}}{n}$$

(assuming the limit exists) respectively, where $\sharp$ denotes the cardinality. If $\overline{p}=(\overline{p}_0,\cdots,\overline{p}_m)$, $\underline{p}=(\underline{p}_0,\cdots,\underline{p}_m)\in[0,1]^{m+1}$ satisfy

$$\overline{\mathrm{Freq}}_k(\varepsilon_i)=\overline{p}_k\quad\text{and}\quad\underline{\mathrm{Freq}}_k(\varepsilon_i)=\underline{p}_k\quad\text{for all } k\in\{0,1,\cdots,m\},$$

we say that $(\varepsilon_i)_{i\geq 1}$ is of frequency $(\overline{p},\underline{p})$.

The following theorem is the first main result in this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *For all $\beta\in(1,+\infty)\setminus\mathbb{N}$ and $\overline{p},\underline{p}\in[0,1]^{\lceil\beta\rceil}$, Lebesgue almost every $x\in I_\beta$ has a β-expansion of frequency $(\overline{p},\underline{p})$ if and only if Lebesgue almost every $x\in I_\beta$ has infinitely many β-expansions of frequency $(\overline{p},\underline{p})$.*

As the second main result, the next theorem focuses on a special kind of frequency. Let $m\in\mathbb{N}$. A sequence $(\varepsilon_i)_{i\geq 1}\in\{0,1,\cdots,m\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is called *balanced* if $\mathrm{Freq}_k(\varepsilon_i)=\mathrm{Freq}_{m-k}(\varepsilon_i)$ for all $k\in\{0,1,\cdots,m\}$.

Theorem 1.2. *For all $\beta\in(1,+\infty)\setminus\mathbb{N}$, Lebesgue almost every $x\in I_\beta$ has infinitely many balanced β-expansions.*

In the following, we consider variable frequency. Recently, Baker proved in [4] that for any $\beta\in(1,\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2})$, there exists $c=c(\beta)>0$ such that for any $p\in[\frac{1}{2}-c,\frac{1}{2}+c]$ and $x\in I_\beta^o$, there exists a β-expansion of x with frequency of zeros equal to p. This result is sharp, since for any $\beta\in[\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2},2)$, there exists an $x\in I_\beta^o$ such that for any β-expansion of x its frequency of zeros exists and is equal to either 0 or $\frac{1}{2}$ (see the statements between Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 in [6]). It is natural to ask for which $\beta\in[\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2},2)$, the result can be true for almost every $x\in I_\beta^o$. We give a class of such β in Theorem 1.3 as the third main result in this paper. They are the *pseudo-golden ratios*, i.e., the $\beta\in(1,2)$ such that $\beta^m-\beta^{m-1}-\cdots-\beta-1=0$ for some integer $m\geq 2$. Note that the smallest pseudo-golden ratio is the golden ratio $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\beta\in(1,2)$ such that $\beta^m-\beta^{m-1}-\cdots-\beta-1=0$ for some integer $m\geq 2$ and let $c=\frac{(m-1)(2-\beta)}{2(m\beta+\beta-2m)}$ (>0). Then for any $p\in[\frac{1}{2}-c,\frac{1}{2}+c]$, Lebesgue almost every $x\in I_\beta$ has infinitely many β-expansions with frequency of zeros equal to p.*

We give some notation and preliminaries in the next section, prove the main results in Section 3 and end this paper with further questions in the last section.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let $\beta > 1$. We define the maps $T_k(x) := \beta x - k$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Given $x \in I_\beta$, let

$$\Sigma_\beta(x) := \Big\{(\varepsilon_i)_{i\geq 1} \in \mathcal{A}_\beta^{\mathbb{N}} : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_i}{\beta^i} = x\Big\}$$

and

$$\Omega_\beta(x) := \Big\{(a_i)_{i\geq 1} \in \{T_k, k \in \mathcal{A}_\beta\}^{\mathbb{N}} : (a_n \circ \cdots \circ a_1)(x) \in I_\beta \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\Big\}.$$

The following lemma given by Baker is a dynamical interpretation of β-expansions.

Lemma 2.1 ([3, 4])**.** *For any $x \in I_\beta$, we have $\sharp\Sigma_\beta(x) = \sharp\Omega_\beta(x)$. Moreover, the map which sends $(\varepsilon_i)_{i\geq 1}$ to $(T_{\varepsilon_i})_{i\geq 1}$ is a bijection between $\Sigma_\beta(x)$ and $\Omega_\beta(x)$.*

We need the following concepts and the well known Birkhoff's Ergodic Theorem in the proof of our main results.

Definition 2.2 (Absolute continuity and equivalence)**.** Let μ and ν be measures on a measurable space $(X, \mathcal{F})$. We say that μ is *absolutely continuous* with respect to ν and denote it by $\mu \ll \nu$ if, for any $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $\nu(A) = 0$ implies $\mu(A) = 0$. Moreover, if $\mu \ll \nu$ and $\nu \ll \mu$ we say that μ and ν are *equivalent* and denote this property by $\mu \sim \nu$.

Theorem 2.3 ([30] Birkhoff's Ergodic Theorem)**.** *Let $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu, T)$ be a measure-preserving dynamical system where the probability measure μ is ergodic with respect to T. Then for any real-valued integrable function $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^k x) = \int f d\mu$$

for μ-a.e. (almost every) $x \in X$.

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The "if" part is obvious. We only need to prove the "only if" part. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the Lebesgue measure. Suppose that $\mathcal{L}$-a.e. $x \in I_\beta$ has a β-expansion of frequency $(\overline{p}, \underline{p})$. Let

$$\mathcal{U}_\beta := \Big\{x \in I_\beta : x \text{ has a unique } \beta\text{-expansion}\Big\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_\beta^{\overline{p},\underline{p}} := \Big\{x \in I_\beta : x \text{ has no } \beta\text{-expansions of frequency } (\overline{p}, \underline{p})\Big\}.$$

On the one hand, it is well known that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}_\beta) = 0$ (see for examples [12, 21]). On the other hand, by condition we know $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{N}_\beta^{\overline{p},\underline{p}}) = 0$. Let

$$\Psi := \Big(\mathcal{U}_\beta \cup \mathcal{N}_\beta^{\overline{p},\underline{p}}\Big) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\varepsilon_1,\cdots,\varepsilon_n \in \mathcal{A}_\beta} T_{\varepsilon_n}^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\varepsilon_1}^{-1}\Big(\mathcal{U}_\beta \cup \mathcal{N}_\beta^{\overline{p},\underline{p}}\Big).$$

Then $\mathcal{L}(\Psi) = 0$. Let $x \in I_\beta \setminus \Psi$. It suffices to prove that x has infinitely many different β-expansions of frequency $(\overline{p}, \underline{p})$.

Let $(\varepsilon_i)_{i\geq 1}$ be a β-expansions of x. Since $x \notin \Psi$ implies $x \notin \mathcal{U}_\beta$, x has another β-expansion $(w_i^{(1)})_{i\geq 1}$. There exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $w_1^{(1)} \cdots w_{n_1-1}^{(1)} = \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_{n_1-1}$ and $w_{n_1}^{(1)} \neq \varepsilon_{n_1}$. By

$$T_{w_{n_1}^{(1)}} \circ T_{\varepsilon_{n_1}-1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\varepsilon_1} x = T_{w_{n_1}^{(1)}} \circ \cdots \circ T_{w_1^{(1)}} x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{w_{n_1+i}^{(1)}}{\beta^i},$$

we know that $(w_{n_1+i}^{(1)})_{i\geq 1}$ is a β-expansion of $T_{w_{n_1}^{(1)}} \circ T_{\varepsilon_{n_1}-1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\varepsilon_1} x$. Since $x \notin \Psi$ implies $T_{w_{n_1}^{(1)}} \circ T_{\varepsilon_{n_1}-1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\varepsilon_1} x \notin \mathcal{N}_\beta^{\overline{p},\underline{p}}$, $T_{w_{n_1}^{(1)}} \circ T_{\varepsilon_{n_1}-1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\varepsilon_1} x$ has a β-expansion $(\varepsilon_{n_1+i}^{(1)})_{i\geq 1}$ of frequency $(\overline{p},\underline{p})$. Let $\varepsilon_1^{(1)} \cdots \varepsilon_{n_1-1}^{(1)} \varepsilon_{n_1}^{(1)} := \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_{n_1-1} w_{n_1}^{(1)}$. Then $(\varepsilon_i^{(1)})_{i\geq 1}$ is a β-expansion of x of frequency $(\overline{p},\underline{p})$ with $\varepsilon_{n_1}^{(1)} \neq \varepsilon_{n_1}$, which implies that $(\varepsilon_i)_{i\geq 1}$ and $(\varepsilon_i^{(1)})_{i\geq 1}$ are different.

Note that $(\varepsilon_{n_1+i})_{i\geq 1}$ is a β-expansion of $T_{\varepsilon_{n_1}} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\varepsilon_1} x$. Since $x \notin \Psi$ implies $T_{\varepsilon_{n_1}} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\varepsilon_1} x \notin \mathcal{U}_\beta$, $T_{\varepsilon_{n_1}} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\varepsilon_1} x$ has another β-expansion $(w_{n_1+i}^{(2)})_{i\geq 1}$. There exists $n_2 > n_1$ such that $w_{n_1+1}^{(2)} \cdots w_{n_2-1}^{(2)} = \varepsilon_{n_1+1} \cdots \varepsilon_{n_2-1}$ and $w_{n_2}^{(2)} \neq \varepsilon_{n_2}$. By

$$T_{w_{n_2}^{(2)}} \circ T_{\varepsilon_{n_2}-1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\varepsilon_1} x = T_{w_{n_2}^{(2)}} \circ \cdots \circ T_{w_{n_1+1}^{(2)}} \circ (T_{\varepsilon_{n_1}} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\varepsilon_1} x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{w_{n_2+i}^{(2)}}{\beta^i},$$

we know that $(w_{n_2+i}^{(2)})_{i\geq 1}$ is a β-expansion of $T_{w_{n_2}^{(2)}} \circ T_{\varepsilon_{n_2}-1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\varepsilon_1} x$. Since $x \notin \Psi$ implies $T_{w_{n_2}^{(2)}} \circ T_{\varepsilon_{n_2}-1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\varepsilon_1} x \notin \mathcal{N}_\beta^{\overline{p},\underline{p}}$, $T_{w_{n_2}^{(2)}} \circ T_{\varepsilon_{n_2}-1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\varepsilon_1} x$ has a β-expansion $(\varepsilon_{n_2+i}^{(2)})_{i\geq 1}$ of frequency $(\overline{p},\underline{p})$. Let $\varepsilon_1^{(2)} \cdots \varepsilon_{n_2-1}^{(2)} \varepsilon_{n_2}^{(2)} := \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_{n_2-1} w_{n_2}^{(2)}$. Then $(\varepsilon_i^{(2)})_{i\geq 1}$ is a β-expansion of x of frequency $(\overline{p},\underline{p})$ with $\varepsilon_{n_1}^{(2)} = \varepsilon_{n_1}$ and $\varepsilon_{n_2}^{(2)} \neq \varepsilon_{n_2}$, which implies that $(\varepsilon_i)_{i\geq 1}$, $(\varepsilon_i^{(1)})_{i\geq 1}$ and $(\varepsilon_i^{(2)})_{i\geq 1}$ are all different.

$\cdots$

Generally, suppose that for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have already constructed $(\varepsilon_i^{(1)})_{i\geq 1}$, $(\varepsilon_i^{(2)})_{i\geq 1}$, $\cdots$, $(\varepsilon_i^{(j)})_{i\geq 1}$, which are all β-expansions of x of frequency $(\overline{p},\underline{p})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon_{n_1}^{(1)} \neq \varepsilon_{n_1}, \\ \varepsilon_{n_1}^{(2)} = \varepsilon_{n_1}, \varepsilon_{n_2}^{(2)} \neq \varepsilon_{n_2}, \\ \varepsilon_{n_1}^{(3)} = \varepsilon_{n_1}, \varepsilon_{n_2}^{(3)} = \varepsilon_{n_2}, \varepsilon_{n_3}^{(3)} \neq \varepsilon_{n_3}, \\ \cdots \\ \varepsilon_{n_1}^{(j)} = \varepsilon_{n_1}, \varepsilon_{n_2}^{(j)} = \varepsilon_{n_2}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{n_{j-1}}^{(j)} \neq \varepsilon_{n_{j-1}}, \varepsilon_{n_j}^{(j)} \neq \varepsilon_{n_j}. \end{cases}$$

Note that $(\varepsilon_{n_j+i})_{i\geq 1}$ is a β-expansion of $T_{\varepsilon_{n_j}} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\varepsilon_1} x$. Since $x \notin \Psi$ implies $T_{\varepsilon_{n_j}} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\varepsilon_1} x \notin \mathcal{U}_\beta$, $T_{\varepsilon_{n_j}} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\varepsilon_1} x$ has another β-expansion $(w_{n_j+i}^{(j+1)})_{i\geq 1}$. There exists $n_{j+1} > n_j$ such that $w_{n_j+1}^{(j+1)} \cdots w_{n_{j+1}-1}^{(j+1)} = \varepsilon_{n_j+1} \cdots \varepsilon_{n_{j+1}-1}$ and $w_{n_{j+1}}^{(j+1)} \neq \varepsilon_{n_{j+1}}$. By

$$T_{w_{n_{j+1}}^{(j+1)}} \circ T_{\varepsilon_{n_{j+1}}-1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\varepsilon_1} x = T_{w_{n_{j+1}}^{(j+1)}} \circ \cdots \circ T_{w_{n_j+1}^{(j+1)}} \circ (T_{\varepsilon_{n_j}} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\varepsilon_1} x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{w_{n_{j+1}+i}^{(j+1)}}{\beta^i},$$

we know that $(w_{n_{j+1}+i}^{(j+1)})_{i\geq 1}$ is a β-expansion of $T_{w_{n_{j+1}}^{(j+1)}} \circ T_{\varepsilon_{n_{j+1}}-1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\varepsilon_1} x$. Since $x \notin \Psi$ implies $T_{w_{n_{j+1}}^{(j+1)}} \circ T_{\varepsilon_{n_{j+1}}-1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\varepsilon_1} x \notin \mathcal{N}_\beta^{\overline{p},\underline{p}}$, $T_{w_{n_{j+1}}^{(j+1)}} \circ T_{\varepsilon_{n_{j+1}}-1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{\varepsilon_1} x$ has a β-expansion $(\varepsilon_{n_{j+1}+i}^{(j+1)})_{i\geq 1}$ of frequency $(\overline{p},\underline{p})$. Let $\varepsilon_1^{(j+1)} \cdots \varepsilon_{n_{j+1}-1}^{(j+1)} \varepsilon_{n_{j+1}}^{(j+1)} := \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_{n_{j+1}-1} w_{n_{j+1}}^{(j+1)}$. Then

$(\varepsilon_i^{(j+1)})_{i\geq 1}$ is a β-expansion of x of frequency $(\overline{p}, \underline{p})$ with $\varepsilon_{n_1}^{(j+1)} = \varepsilon_{n_1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{n_j}^{(j+1)} = \varepsilon_{n_j}$ and $\varepsilon_{n_{j+1}}^{(j+1)} \neq \varepsilon_{n_{j+1}}$, which implies that $(\varepsilon_i)_{i\geq 1}$, $(\varepsilon_i^{(1)})_{i\geq 1}$, $\cdots$, $(\varepsilon_i^{(j+1)})_{i\geq 1}$ are all different.

$\cdots$

It follows from repeating the above process that x has infinitely many different β-expansions of frequency $(\overline{p}, \underline{p})$. □

Theorem 1.2 follows immediately from Theorem 1.1 and the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *For all $\beta > 1$, Lebesgue almost every $x \in I_\beta$ has a balanced β-expansion.*

Proof. The conclusion follows from the well known Borel's Normal Number Theorem [9] if $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ and follows from [6, Theorem 4.1] if $\beta \in (1, 2)$. Thus we only need to consider $\beta > 2$ with $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$ in the following. Let

$$z_1 := \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{\lfloor\beta\rfloor}{\beta - 1} - \frac{\lfloor\beta\rfloor - 1}{\beta}\Big) \quad \text{and} \quad z_{k+1} := z_k + \frac{1}{\beta} \quad \text{for all } k \in \{1, 2, \cdots, \lfloor\beta\rfloor - 1\}.$$

Define $T : I_\beta \to I_\beta$ by

$$T(x) := \begin{cases} T_0(x) = \beta x & \text{for } x \in [0, z_1), \\ T_k(x) = \beta x - k & \text{for } x \in [z_k, z_{k+1}) \text{ and } k \in \{1, 2, \cdots, \lfloor\beta\rfloor - 1\}, \\ T_{\lfloor\beta\rfloor}(x) = \beta x - \lfloor\beta\rfloor & \text{for } x \in [z_{\lfloor\beta\rfloor}, \frac{\lfloor\beta\rfloor}{\beta-1}]. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$z_0 := \frac{\lfloor\beta\rfloor}{2(\beta - 1)} - \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad z_{\lceil\beta\rceil} := z_0 + 1 = \frac{\lfloor\beta\rfloor}{2(\beta - 1)} + \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then $T_1(z_1) = T_2(z_2) = \cdots = T_{\lfloor\beta\rfloor}(z_{\lfloor\beta\rfloor}) = z_0$ and $T_0(z_1) = T_1(z_2) = \cdots = T_{\lfloor\beta\rfloor-1}(z_{\lfloor\beta\rfloor}) = z_{\lceil\beta\rceil}$.



FIGURE 1. The graph of T for some $\beta \in (4, 5)$.

We consider the restriction $T|_{[z_0, z_{\lceil\beta\rceil})} : [z_0, z_{\lceil\beta\rceil}) \to [z_0, z_{\lceil\beta\rceil})$. By Theorem 5.2 in [31], there exists a $T|_{[z_0, z_{\lceil\beta\rceil})}$-invariant ergodic Borel probability measure μ on $[z_0, z_{\lceil\beta\rceil})$ equivalent to the Lebesgue measure $\mathcal{L}$. For any $x \in [z_0, z_{\lceil\beta\rceil})$ which is not a preimage of a discontinuity point of $T|_{[z_0, z_{\lceil\beta\rceil})}$, by symmetry, we know that for any $k \in \{0, 1, \cdots, \lfloor\beta\rfloor\}$ and $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$,

$$T^i(x) \in (z_k, z_{k+1}) \Leftrightarrow T^i\Big(\frac{\lfloor\beta\rfloor}{\beta-1} - x\Big) \in (z_{\lfloor\beta\rfloor-k}, z_{\lceil\beta\rceil-k}).$$

For all $k \in \{0, 1, \cdots, \lfloor\beta\rfloor\}$, it follows from Birkhoff's Ergodic Theorem that for $\mathcal{L}$-a.e. $x \in [z_0, z_{\lceil\beta\rceil})$,

$$\mu((z_k, z_{k+1})) = \int_{z_0}^{z_{\lceil\beta\rceil}} \mathbb{1}_{(z_k, z_{k+1})} d\mu = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{(z_k, z_{k+1})}\Big(T^i(x)\Big) \tag{3.1}$$

$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{(z_{\lfloor\beta\rfloor-k}, z_{\lceil\beta\rceil-k})}\Big(T^i\big(\frac{\lfloor\beta\rfloor}{\beta-1} - x\big)\Big) \tag{3.2}$$

and for $\mathcal{L}$-a.e. $y \in [z_0, z_{\lceil\beta\rceil})$,

$$\mu((z_{\lfloor\beta\rfloor-k}, z_{\lceil\beta\rceil-k})) = \int_{z_0}^{z_{\lceil\beta\rceil}} \mathbb{1}_{(z_{\lfloor\beta\rfloor-k}, z_{\lceil\beta\rceil-k})} d\mu = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{(z_{\lfloor\beta\rfloor-k}, z_{\lceil\beta\rceil-k})}\Big(T^i(y)\Big),$$

which implies that for $\mathcal{L}$-a.e. $(\frac{\lfloor\beta\rfloor}{\beta-1}-x)\in(z_0,z_{\lceil\beta\rceil})$,

$$\mu((z_{\lfloor\beta\rfloor-k},z_{\lceil\beta\rceil-k}))=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\mathbb{1}_{(z_{\lfloor\beta\rfloor-k},z_{\lceil\beta\rceil-k})}\Big(T^i\big(\frac{\lfloor\beta\rfloor}{\beta-1}-x\big)\Big).$$

So this is also true for $\mathcal{L}$-a.e $x\in(z_0,z_{\lceil\beta\rceil})$. Recall (3.2), we get

$$\mu((z_k,z_{k+1}))=\mu((z_{\lfloor\beta\rfloor-k},z_{\lceil\beta\rceil-k}))\quad\text{for } k\in\{0,1,\cdots,\lfloor\beta\rfloor\}. \tag{3.3}$$

For every $x\in I_\beta$, define a sequence $(\varepsilon_i(x))_{i\geq1}\in\{0,1,\cdots,\lfloor\beta\rfloor\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ by

$$\varepsilon_i(x):=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if } T^{i-1}x\in[0,z_1),\\ k & \text{if } T^{i-1}x\in[z_k,z_{k+1}) \text{ for some } k\in\{1,2,\cdots,\lfloor\beta\rfloor-1\},\\ \lfloor\beta\rfloor & \text{if } T^{i-1}x\in[z_{\lfloor\beta\rfloor},\frac{\lfloor\beta\rfloor}{\beta-1}].\end{cases}$$

Then for all $k\in\{0,1,\cdots,\lfloor\beta\rfloor\}$, $i\in\{0,1,2,\cdots\}$ and $x\in[z_0,z_{\lceil\beta\rceil})$,

$$\mathbb{1}_{[z_k,z_{k+1})}(T^ix)=1\Leftrightarrow T^ix\in[z_k,z_{k+1})\Leftrightarrow\varepsilon_{i+1}(x)=k.$$

By (3.1), we know that for all $k\in\{0,1,\cdots,\lfloor\beta\rfloor\}$ and $\mathcal{L}$-a.e. $x\in[z_0,z_{\lceil\beta\rceil})$,

$$\mathrm{Freq}_k(\varepsilon_i(x))=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\sharp\{1\leq i\leq n:\varepsilon_i(x)=k\}}{n}=\mu((z_k,z_{k+1})).$$

It follows from (3.3) that for all $k\in\{0,1,\cdots,\lfloor\beta\rfloor\}$ and $\mathcal{L}$-a.e. $x\in[z_0,z_{\lceil\beta\rceil})$,

$$\mathrm{Freq}_k(\varepsilon_i(x))=\mathrm{Freq}_{\lfloor\beta\rfloor-k}(\varepsilon_i(x)). \tag{3.4}$$

(1) For any $x\in I_\beta$, we prove that $(\varepsilon_i(x))_{i\geq1}$ is a β-expansion of x, i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{\varepsilon_i(x)}{\beta^i}=x$. In fact, by Lemma 2.1, it suffices to show $T_{\varepsilon_n(x)}\circ\cdots\circ T_{\varepsilon_1(x)}(x)\in I_\beta$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. We only need to prove $T_{\varepsilon_n(x)}\circ\cdots\circ T_{\varepsilon_1(x)}(x)=T^n(x)$ by induction as follows. Let $n=1$.
   ① If $x\in[0,z_1)$, then $\varepsilon_1(x)=0$ and $T_{\varepsilon_1(x)}(x)=T_0(x)=T(x)$.
   ② If $x\in[z_k,z_{k+1})$ for some $k\in\{1,2,\cdots,\lfloor\beta\rfloor-1\}$, then $\varepsilon_1(x)=k$ and $T_{\varepsilon_1(x)}(x)=T_k(x)=T(x)$.
   ③ If $x\in[z_{\lfloor\beta\rfloor},\frac{\lfloor\beta\rfloor}{\beta-1}]$, then $\varepsilon_1(x)=\lfloor\beta\rfloor$ and $T_{\varepsilon_1(x)}(x)=T_{\lfloor\beta\rfloor}(x)=T(x)$.
   Assumes that for some $n\in\mathbb{N}$ we have $T_{\varepsilon_n(x)}\circ\cdots\circ T_{\varepsilon_1(x)}(x)=T^n(x)$.
   ① If $T^n(x)\in[0,z_1)$, then $\varepsilon_{n+1}(x)=0$ and
   $$T_{\varepsilon_{n+1}(x)}\circ T_{\varepsilon_n(x)}\circ\cdots\circ T_{\varepsilon_1(x)}(x)=T_0\circ T^n(x)=T^{n+1}(x).$$
   ② If $T^n(x)\in[z_k,z_{k+1})$ for some $k\in\{1,2,\cdots,\lfloor\beta\rfloor-1\}$, then $\varepsilon_{n+1}(x)=k$ and
   $$T_{\varepsilon_{n+1}(x)}\circ T_{\varepsilon_n(x)}\circ\cdots\circ T_{\varepsilon_1(x)}(x)=T_k\circ T^n(x)=T^{n+1}(x).$$
   ③ If $T^n(x)\in[z_{\lfloor\beta\rfloor},\frac{\lfloor\beta\rfloor}{\beta-1}]$, then $\varepsilon_{n+1}(x)=\lfloor\beta\rfloor$ and
   $$T_{\varepsilon_{n+1}(x)}\circ T_{\varepsilon_n(x)}\circ\cdots\circ T_{\varepsilon_1(x)}(x)=T_{\lfloor\beta\rfloor}\circ T^n(x)=T^{n+1}(x).$$

Combining (1) and (3.4), we know that $\mathcal{L}$-a.e. $x\in[z_0,z_{\lceil\beta\rceil}]$ has a balanced β-expansion. Let

$$N:=\big\{x\in I_\beta:x\text{ has no balanced }\beta\text{-expansions}\big\}.$$

We have already proved $\mathcal{L}(N\cap[z_0,z_{\lceil\beta\rceil}])=0$. To end the proof of this lemma, we need to show $\mathcal{L}(N)=0$. In fact, it suffices to prove $\mathcal{L}(N\cap(0,z_0))=\mathcal{L}(N\cap(z_{\lceil\beta\rceil},\frac{\lfloor\beta\rfloor}{\beta-1}))=0$.

i) Prove $\mathcal{L}(N \cap (0, z_0)) = 0$.
By $\mathcal{L}(N \cap [z_0, z_{\lceil\beta\rceil}]) = 0$, we know that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{L}(T_0^{-n}(N \cap [z_0, z_{\lceil\beta\rceil}])) = 0$. It suffices to prove $N \cap (0, z_0) \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_0^{-n}(N \cap [z_0, z_{\lceil\beta\rceil}])$.
(By contradiction) Let $x \in N \cap (0, z_0)$ and assume $x \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_0^{-n}(N \cap [z_0, z_{\lceil\beta\rceil}])$. By $x \in (0, z_0)$, one can verify that there exists $k \geq 1$ such that $T_0^k x \in [z_0, z_{\lceil\beta\rceil}]$. Since $x \notin T_0^{-k}(N \cap [z_0, z_{\lceil\beta\rceil}])$, we must have $T_0^k x \notin N$. This means that there exists a balanced sequence $(w_i)_{i\geq 1} \in \mathcal{A}_\beta^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $T_0^k x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{w_i}{\beta^i}$, and then

$$x = \frac{0}{\beta} + \frac{0}{\beta^2} + \cdots + \frac{0}{\beta^k} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{w_i}{\beta^{k+i}} =: \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_i}{\beta^i}$$

where $\varepsilon_1 = \cdots = \varepsilon_k := 0$ and $\varepsilon_{k+i} := w_i$ for $i \geq 1$. It follows that $(\varepsilon_i)_{i\geq 1}$ is a balanced β-expansion of x, which contradicts $x \in N$.

ii) The fact $\mathcal{L}(N \cap (z_{\lceil\beta\rceil}, \frac{\lfloor\beta\rfloor}{\beta-1})) = 0$ follows in a similar way as i) by applying $T_{\lfloor\beta\rfloor}$ instead of T_0.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $\beta \in (1, 2)$ such that $\beta^m - \beta^{m-1} - \cdots - \beta - 1 = 0$ for some integer $m \geq 2$ and let $c = \frac{(m-1)(2-\beta)}{2(m\beta+\beta-2m)}$. We have $c > 0$ since $m-1 > 0$, $2-\beta > 0$ and $m\beta+\beta-2m > 0$, which is a consequence of

$$m + 1 < 2m < 2(\beta^{m-1} + \cdots + \beta + 1) = 2\beta^m = \frac{2}{2-\beta},$$

where the equalities follows from

$$\beta^m = \beta^{m-1} + \cdots + \beta + 1 = \frac{\beta^m - 1}{\beta - 1}.$$

For any $x \in [0, \frac{1}{\beta-1} - 1]$, define

$$f(x) := \frac{(\beta-1)(1-(m-1)x)}{m\beta+\beta-2m}.$$

Then

$$f(0) = \frac{\beta-1}{m\beta+\beta-2m} = \frac{1}{2} + c \quad \text{and} \quad f(\frac{1}{\beta-1} - 1) = \frac{m\beta+1-2m}{m\beta+\beta-2m} = \frac{1}{2} - c,$$

i.e., $[f(\frac{1}{\beta-1} - 1), f(0)] = [\frac{1}{2} - c, \frac{1}{2} + c]$. Since f is continuous, for any $p \in [\frac{1}{2} - c, \frac{1}{2} + c]$, there exists $b \in [0, \frac{1}{\beta-1} - 1]$ such that $f(b) = p$. We only consider $b \in [0, \frac{1}{\beta-1} - 1)$ in the following, since the proof for the case $b \in (0, \frac{1}{\beta-1} - 1]$ is similar. Define $T : I_\beta \to I_\beta$ by

$$T(x) := \begin{cases} T_0(x) = \beta x & \text{for } x \in [0, \frac{b+1}{\beta}), \\ T_1(x) = \beta x - 1 & \text{for } x \in [\frac{b+1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\beta-1}]. \end{cases}$$



FIGURE 2. The graph of T.

Noting that $T_0(\frac{b+1}{\beta}) = b+1$ and $T_1(\frac{b+1}{\beta}) = b$, by Section 3 in [22], there exists a T-invariant ergodic measure $\mu \ll \mathcal{L}$ (Lebesgue measure) on I_β such that for $\mathcal{L}$-a.e. $x \in I_\beta$,

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{L}}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{1}_{[0,T^n(b+1)]}(x)}{\beta^n} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{1}_{[0,T^n(b)]}(x)}{\beta^n} \tag{3.5}$$

and $\nu := \frac{1}{\mu(I_\beta)} \cdot \mu$ is a T-invariant ergodic probability measure on I_β.

(1) For $1 \le n \le m-1$, prove $T^n(b) = \beta^n b < \frac{b+1}{\beta} \le \beta^n b + \beta^n - \beta^{n-1} - \cdots - \beta - 1 = T^n(b+1)$. Note that $\beta^m = \beta^{m-1} + \cdots + \beta + 1 = \frac{\beta^m - 1}{\beta - 1}$.
   ① By $b < \frac{1}{\beta-1} - 1 = \frac{1}{\beta^m - 1} \le \frac{1}{\beta^{n+1}-1}$, we get $\beta^n b < \frac{b+1}{\beta}$.
   ② By $\frac{1}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\beta^{n+1}} \le \frac{1}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\beta^m} = 1$, we get $\beta^n + \cdots + \beta + 1 \le \beta^{n+1}$ and then $\beta^n + \cdots + \beta + 1 + b \le \beta^{n+1} + \beta^{n+1} b$ which implies $\frac{b+1}{\beta} \le \beta^n b + \beta^n - \beta^{n-1} - \cdots - \beta - 1$.

(2) For $n \ge m$, prove $T^n(b) = T^n(b+1)$.
   It suffices to prove $T^m(b) = T^m(b+1)$. In fact, this follows from (1) and $\beta^m b = \beta^m b + \beta^m - \beta^{m-1} - \cdots - \beta - 1$.

Combining (3.5) and (2), we know that for $\mathcal{L}$-a.e. $x \in I_\beta$,

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{L}}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \frac{\mathbb{1}_{[0,T^n(b+1)]}(x) - \mathbb{1}_{[0,T^n(b)]}(x)}{\beta^n}. \tag{3.6}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu[0,\frac{b+1}{\beta}) &= \int_0^{\frac{b+1}{\beta}} \frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{L}}(x)dx \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \frac{\min\{T^n(b+1),\frac{b+1}{\beta}\}-\min\{T^n(b),\frac{b+1}{\beta}\}}{\beta^n} \\
&\overset{\text{by (1)}}{=\!=} \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \frac{\frac{b+1}{\beta}-\beta^n b}{\beta^n} \\
&= 1-(m-1)b
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from $\frac{1}{\beta}+\cdots+\frac{1}{\beta^m}=1$. By

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(I_\beta) &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}} \frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{L}}(x)dx \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \frac{T^n(b+1)-T^n(b)}{\beta^n} \\
&\overset{\text{by (1)}}{=\!=} 1+\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{\beta^n-\beta^{n-1}-\cdots-\beta-1}{\beta^n} \\
&= 1+\sum_{n=1}^{m-1}(1-\frac{1}{\beta}-\cdots-\frac{1}{\beta^n}) \\
&= m-\frac{m-1}{\beta}-\frac{m-2}{\beta^2}-\cdots-\frac{1}{\beta^{m-1}},
\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\frac{1}{\beta}\cdot\mu(I_\beta)=\frac{m}{\beta}-\frac{m-1}{\beta^2}-\frac{m-2}{\beta^3}-\cdots-\frac{1}{\beta^m}.$$

It follows from the subtraction of the above two equalities that $\mu(I_\beta)=\frac{m\beta+\beta-2m}{\beta-1}$. Therefore $\nu=\frac{\beta-1}{m\beta+\beta-2m}\cdot\mu$ and

$$\nu[0,\frac{b+1}{\beta})=\frac{(\beta-1)(1-(m-1)b)}{m\beta+\beta-2m}=f(b)=p.$$

Since $T:I_\beta\to I_\beta$ is ergodic with respect to ν, it follows from Birkhoff's Ergodic Theorem that for ν-a.e. $x\in I_\beta$ we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\mathbb{1}_{[0,\frac{b+1}{\beta})}T^k(x)=\int_0^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}}\mathbb{1}_{[0,\frac{b+1}{\beta})}d\nu=\nu[0,\frac{b+1}{\beta})=p,$$

which implies that for ν-a.e. $x\in[b,b+1]$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\mathbb{1}_{[0,\frac{b+1}{\beta})}T^k(x)=p.$$

By (3.6) and (1), we know that for $\mathcal{L}$-a.e. $x \in [b, b+1]$, $\frac{d\mu}{d\mathcal{L}}(x) \geq 1$. This implies $\mathcal{L} \ll \mu (\sim \nu)$ on $[b, b+1]$, and then for $\mathcal{L}$-a.e. $x \in [b, b+1]$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{[0, \frac{b+1}{\beta})} T^k(x) = p.$$

For every $x \in I_\beta$, define a sequence $(\varepsilon_i(x))_{i\geq 1} \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ by

$$\varepsilon_i(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } T^{i-1}x \in [0, \frac{b+1}{\beta}) \\ 1 & \text{if } T^{i-1}x \in [\frac{b+1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\beta-1}] \end{cases} \qquad \text{for all } i \geq 1.$$

Then by

$$\mathbb{1}_{[0, \frac{b+1}{\beta})}(T^k x) = 1 \Leftrightarrow T^k x \in [0, \frac{b+1}{\beta}) \Leftrightarrow \varepsilon_{k+1}(x) = 0,$$

we know that for $\mathcal{L}$-a.e. $x \in [b, b+1]$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sharp\{1 \leq i \leq n : \varepsilon_i(x) = 0\}}{n} = p, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \text{Freq}_0(\varepsilon_i(x)) = p. \tag{3.7}$$

By the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we know that for every $x \in I_\beta$, the $(\varepsilon_i(x))_{i\geq 1}$ defined above is a β-expansion of x, and Lebesgue almost every $x \in I_\beta$ has a β-expansion with frequency of zeros equal to p. Then we finish the proof by applying Theorem 1.1. □

4. FURTHER QUESTIONS

First we wonder whether Theorem 1.1 can be generalized.

Question 4.1. Let $\beta \in (1, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and $\overline{p}, \underline{p} \in [0,1]^{\lceil \beta \rceil}$. Is it true that Lebesgue almost every $x \in I_\beta$ has a β-expansion of frequency $(\overline{p}, \underline{p})$ if and only if Lebesgue almost every $x \in I_\beta$ has a continuum of β-expansions of frequency $(\overline{p}, \underline{p})$?

If a positive answer is given to this question, by Theorem 1.1 and 1.2, there is also a positive answer to the following question.

Question 4.2. Let $\beta \in (2, +\infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$. Is it true that Lebesgue almost every $x \in I_\beta$ has a continuum of balanced β-expansions?

Even if a negative answer is given to Question 4.1, there may be a positive answer to Question 4.2. An intuitive reason is that, when $\beta > 2$, we have $\sharp \mathcal{A}_\beta \geq 3$ and balanced β-expansions are much more flexible than simply normal β-expansions.

The last question we want to ask is on the variability of the frequency related to Theorem 1.3. Let $\beta > 1$. If there exists $c = c(\beta) > 0$ such that for any $p_0, p_1, \cdots, p_{\lceil\beta\rceil-1} \in [\frac{1}{\lceil\beta\rceil} - c, \frac{1}{\lceil\beta\rceil} + c]$ with $p_0 + p_1 + \cdots + p_{\lceil\beta\rceil-1} = 1$, every $x \in I_\beta^o$ has a β-expansion $(\varepsilon_i)_{i\geq 1}$ with

$$\text{Freq}_0(\varepsilon_i) = p_0, \ \text{Freq}_1(\varepsilon_i) = p_1, \cdots, \ \text{Freq}_{\lceil\beta\rceil-1}(\varepsilon_i) = p_{\lceil\beta\rceil-1},$$

we say that β is a *variational frequency* base. Similarly, if there exists $c = c(\beta) > 0$ such that for any $p_0, p_1, \cdots, p_{\lceil\beta\rceil-1} \in [\frac{1}{\lceil\beta\rceil} - c, \frac{1}{\lceil\beta\rceil} + c]$ with $p_0 + p_1 + \cdots + p_{\lceil\beta\rceil-1} = 1$, Lebesgue almost every $x \in I_\beta$ has a β-expansion $(\varepsilon_i)_{i\geq 1}$ with

$$\text{Freq}_0(\varepsilon_i) = p_0, \ \text{Freq}_1(\varepsilon_i) = p_1, \cdots, \ \text{Freq}_{\lceil\beta\rceil-1}(\varepsilon_i) = p_{\lceil\beta\rceil-1},$$

we say that β is an *almost variational frequency* base.

Obviously, all variational frequency bases are almost variational frequency bases. Baker's results (see the statements between Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3) say that all numbers in

$(1, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2})$ are variational frequency bases and all numbers in $[\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, 2)$ are not variational frequency bases. Fortunately, Theorem 1.3 says that pseudo-golden ratios (which are all in $[\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, 2)$) are almost variational frequency bases. We wonder whether all numbers in $[\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, 2)$ are almost variational frequency bases.

For all integers $\beta > 1$, we know that Lebesgue almost every $x \in [0, 1]$ has a unique β-expansion $(\varepsilon_i)_{i\geq 1}$, and this expansion satisfies

$$\mathrm{Freq}_0(\varepsilon_i) = \mathrm{Freq}_1(\varepsilon_i) = \cdots = \mathrm{Freq}_{\beta-1}(\varepsilon_i) = \frac{1}{\beta}$$

by Borel's normal number theorem. Therefore all integers are not almost variational frequency bases. It is natural to ask the following question.

Question 4.3. Is it true that all non-integers greater than 1 are almost variational frequency bases?

A positive answer is expected.

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to Professor Jean-Paul Allouche for his advices on a former version of this paper, and also grateful to the Oversea Study Program of Guangzhou Elite Project.

REFERENCES

[1] J.-P. Allouche, M. Clarke and N. Sidorov, Periodic unique beta-expansions: the Sharkovskiĭ ordering, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **29** (2009), no. 4, 1055–1074.
[2] J.-P. Allouche and M. Cosnard, Non-integer bases, iteration of continuous real maps, and an arithmetic self-similar set, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **91** (2001), no. 4, 325–332.
[3] S. Baker, Generalised golden ratios over integer alphabets, *Integers*, **14** (2014), Paper No. A15, 28 pp.
[4] S. Baker, Digit frequencies and self-affine sets with non-empty interior, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, (First published online 2018), 1–33.
[5] S. Baker, Exceptional digit frequencies and expansions in non-integer bases, *Monatsh. Math.*, **190** (2019), no. 1, 1–31.
[6] S. Baker, D.Kong, Numbers with simply normal β-expansions, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **167** (2019), no. 1, 171–192.
[7] S. Baker, N. Sidorov, Expansions in non-integer bases: lower order revisited, *Integers*, **14** (2014), Paper No. A57, 15 pp.
[8] F. Blanchard, β-expansions and symbolic dynamics, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, **65** (1989), no. 2, 131–141.
[9] E. Borel, Les probabilités dénombrables et leurs applications arithmétiques, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)*, **27** (1909) 247–271.
[10] P. Boyland, A. de Carvalho and T. Hall, On digit frequencies in β-expansions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **368** (2016), no. 12, 8633–8674.
[11] Y. Bugeaud and L. Liao, Uniform Diophantine approximation related to b-ary and β-expansions, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **36** (2016), no. 1, 1–22.
[12] M. de Vries, V. Komornik, Unique expansions of real numbers, *Adv. Math.*, **221** (2009), no. 2, 390–427.
[13] H. Eggleston, The fractional dimension of a set defined by decimal properties, *Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser.*, **20** (1949), 31–36.
[14] P. Erdös, I. Joó and V. Komornik, Characterization of the unique expansions $1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q^{-n_i}$ and related problems, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **118** (1990), no. 3, 377–390.
[15] P. Erdös, I. Joó and V. Komornik, On the number of q-expansions, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math.*, **37** (1994), 109–118.
[16] K. J. Falconer, *Fractal geometry: Mathematical foundations and applications*. Third edition. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 2014. xxx+368 pp.
[17] A.-H. Fan and B.-W. Wang, On the lengths of basic intervals in beta expansions, *Nonlinearity*, **25** (2012), no. 5, 1329–1343.

[18] C. Frougny, B. Solomyak, Finite beta-expansions, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **12** (1992), no. 4, 713–723.
[19] P. Glendinning, N. Sidorov, Unique representations of real numbers in non-integer bases, *Math. Res. Lett.*, **8** (2001), no. 4, 535–543.
[20] T. Jordan, P. Shmerkin, B. Solomyak, Multifractal structure of Bernoulli convolutions, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **151** (2011), no. 3, 521–539.
[21] D. Kong, W. Li, Hausdorff dimension of unique beta expansions, *Nonlinearity*, **28** (2015), no. 1, 187–209.
[22] C. Kopf, Invariant measures for piecewise linear transformations of the interval, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **39** (1990), no. 2, part II, 123–144.
[23] B. Li and J. Wu, Beta-expansion and continued fraction expansion, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **339** (2008), no. 2, 1322–1331.
[24] Y.-Q. Li and B. Li, Distributions of full and non-full words in beta-expansions, *J. Number Theory*, **190** (2018), 311–332.
[25] W. Parry, On the β-expansions of real numbers, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, **11** (1960), 401–416.
[26] A. Rényi, Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, **8** (1957), 477–493.
[27] J. Schmeling, Symbolic dynamics for β-shifts and self-normal numbers, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **17** (1997), no. 3, 675–694.
[28] K. Schmidt, On periodic expansions of Pisot numbers and Salem numbers, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **12** (1980), no. 4, 269–278.
[29] N. Sidorov, Almost every number has a continuum of β-expansions, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **110** (2003), no. 9, 838–842.
[30] P. Walters, *An Introduction to Ergodic Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 79. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982. ix+250 pp.
[31] K. M. Wilkinson, Ergodic properties of a class of piecewise linear transformations, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete*, **31** (1974/75), 303–328.

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU - PARIS RIVE GAUCHE, SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ - CAMPUS PIERRE ET MARIE CURIE, PARIS, 75005, FRANCE
E-mail address: yaoqiang.li@imj-prg.fr yaoqiang.li@etu.upmc.fr

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTH CHINA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, GUANGZHOU, 510641, P.R. CHINA
E-mail address: scutyaoqiangli@gmail.com scutyaoqiangli@qq.com