

Integrative taxonomy and biogeography of Asian yellow house bats (Vespertilionidae: Scotophilus) in the Indomalayan Region

Vuong Tan Tu, Tamás Görföl, Gabor Csorba, Satoru Arai, Fuka Kikuchi, Dai Fukui, Daisuke Koyabu, Neil Furey, Saw Bawm, Kyaw San Lin, et al.

To cite this version:

Vuong Tan Tu, Tamás Görföl, Gabor Csorba, Satoru Arai, Fuka Kikuchi, et al.. Integrative taxonomy and biogeography of Asian yellow house bats (Vespertilionidae: Scotophilus) in the Indomalayan Region. Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research, In press, $10.1111/jzs.12448$. hal-03113629

HAL Id: hal-03113629 <https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03113629v1>

Submitted on 18 Jan 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- **Abstract**
-

 Yellow house bats (*Scotophilus*) have been known for centuries as a widespread genus of vesper bats in the Indomalayan Region. Despite this, their taxonomic status and phylogeographical patterns remain unclear due to differing criteria employed by early taxonomists and inconsistencies between morphological and molecular assessments. To address these issues, we undertook a comparative phylogeographic analysis of Asian *Scotophilus* spp. using integrated genetic and morphological analyses of samples collected across the region. These demonstrate that yellow house bats in Asia can be classified into just 72 two widespread species, namely the smaller *S. kuhlii* (e.g., $FA \le 53.1$ mm, $GLS \le 20.18$ mm) and the larger *S. heathii* (e.g., FA ≥ 53.4 mm, GLS ≥ 20.85 mm), which occur in sympatry in different parts of the Indomalayan Region. Although these two sympatric species share similar eco-ethological preferences, they differ considerably in their geographic distributions and intraspecific variation in mtDNA sequences and morphological traits. These disparities were likely misinterpreted as indicating potential cryptic diversity in previous studies, whereas we suggest they are related to interspecific differences in sex-biased gene flow and phenotypic plasticity to adapt to varying environments. Our study highlights the importance of using multiple datasets to resolve taxonomic uncertainties and reconstruct demographic and phylogeographic histories of sympatric species. Keywords: integrative taxonomy, comparative phylogeography, multiple datasets, sympatric

species, *Scotophilus*.

- **1. Introduction**
-

 Species constitute one of the fundamental units of study in many fields of biology. However, the multiplicity of species concepts and criteria used to delineate species boundaries have led to considerable taxonomic confusion and numerous controversies (Aldhebiani, 2018; de Queiroz, 2005, 2007). A typical example of such confusion concerns the systematics of the genus *Scotophilus* Leach, 1821 (family Vespertilionidae), which comprises yellow house bats that are widely distributed in the Old World tropics.

- Until the third edition of Mammal Species of the World (Wilson & Reeder, 2005), most mammalogists adopted the Biological Species Concept (Mayr, 1942). As such, they usually regarded morphological and ecological variation and/or geographical isolation as indicative of reproductive isolation among sister species and considered that the systematics of mammals were relatively complete and accurate (Baker & Bradley, 2006). Following 100 taxonomic reviews in the $20th$ century, Simmons (2005) recognised 12 valid species in the genus *Scotophilus*, most of which were polytypic and widely distributed in the Old World tropics. Since this time, exploration of poorly studied regions and development of analytical approaches that integrate morphological, molecular and acoustic data have altered taxonomic opinions regarding the utility of different species concepts and associated criteria for delineating species boundaries, including within *Scotophilus* spp. (Baker & Bradley, 2006; Demos, Webala, Bartonjo, & Patterson, 2018; Francis et al., 2010; Trujillo, Patton, Schlitter, & Bickham, 2009; Vallo & Van Cakenberghe, 2017). For instance, recent integrative studies have revealed that certain traditionally accepted and polytypic species of *Scotophilus* in Africa actually comprise several distinct species which were previously unrecognized or subsumed as subspecies due to their morphological similarities. As a consequence, the number of valid African *Scotophilus* species has increased from eight (Simmons, 2005) to 18 and will likely continue to grow as further cryptic forms of widespread taxa are found (Demos et al., 2018; Trujillo et al., 2009; Vallo, Reeder, Vodzak, & Benda, 2019; Vallo & Van Cakenberghe, 2017).
-

 Prior to 1940, Asian *Scotophilus* included 17 distinct taxa (species and subspecies) (Figure 1; Table S1). Between 1940 and 2000, several studies addressed the taxonomic status of *Scotophilus* spp. in Asia on the basis of their morphology (Table 1). These led to consensus among early bat taxonomists that two sizes of yellow house bats, small and large, co-occur in

- many parts of the Indomalayan Region (Corbet & Hill, 1992; Hill & Thonglongya, 1972;
- Shamel, 1942; Siddiqi, 1960; Tate, 1942). However, these studies frequently employed
- differing criteria to interpret morphological variation in their specimen material and
- consequently applied different taxonomic approaches to the same geographical populations. A
- typical example of this is apparent in the differences in the systematics of the two yellow
- house bats present in the Sunda Islands (e.g. Java, Bali, Belitung and Borneo).
-

 Within the Sunda Islands, Tate (1942) included all specimens of yellow house bats collected on Java and nearby islands in three subspecies of *S. temminckii* Horsfield, 1824 (=*S. kuhlii* Leach, 1821; see Hill & Thonglongya, 1972): *S. t. temminckii*, *S. t. collinus* Sody, 1936 and *S. t. solutatus* Sody, 1936. In contrast, Shamel (1942) classified the small and large yellow house bats on Java into two distinct species, *Pachyotus* (=*Scotophilus*) *temminckii* and *P. solutatus*, respectively. The taxonomic situation became more complex when Siddiqi (1960) also classified Javanese yellow house bats into two species, the smaller *S. t. temminckii* and the larger *S. heathii* Horsfield, 1831. However, specimens of the larger species and females of the smaller taxon recognized by Siddiqui (1960) were morphologically comparable to those of *P. solutatus* (*sensu* Shamel, 1940). Likewise, Corbet & Hill (1992) allocated Asian *Scotophilus* into just two polytypic species, namely (1) *S. kuhlii*, including subspecies/synonyms occurring widely in the Indomalayan Region: *castaneus* Horsfield, 1851, *collinus*, *consobrinus* Allen, 1906, *fulvus* Gray, 1843, *gairdneri* Kloss, 1917, *panayensis* Sody, 1928, *solutatus*, *swinhoei* Blyth, 1860, *temminckii*, and *wroughtoni* Thomas, 1897; and (2) *S. heathii*, including the synonyms *belangeri* Geoffroy I., 1834, *flaveolus* Horsfield, 1851, *insularis* Allen, 1906, *luteus* Blyth, 1851 and *watkinsi* Sanborn 1952 which were restricted to mainland Asia, plus *celebensis* Sody, 1928 endemic to Sulawesi. This view ignored the treatments of Shamel (1942) and Siddiqi (1960) in considering all yellow house bats on Java and nearby islands as representatives of *S. kuhlii* sensu lato (s.l.) and created extensive overlap in the morphology of bats of this taxon (i.e. FA: 45–59 mm) and those of *S. heathii* s.l. (i.e. FA ≥55 mm) (Figure 1; Table 1). Contrary to earlier treatments (Corbet & Hill, 1992; Shamel, 1942; Siddiqi, 1960; Tate, 1942), Kitchener, Packer, & Maryanto (1997) argued that smaller *Scotophilus* with a FA of less than 54 mm on the Greater (Java and Borneo) and Lesser Sunda Islands were readily

- identifiable as two distinct species, namely the smaller *S. collinus* (i.e. mean FA values for
- males and females: 49.1 and 50.9 respectively) and the larger *S. kuhlii* (i.e. mean FA values

for males and females are 51.8 and 52.5, respectively). Both of these species were further

- divided into two morphological forms, but only those of the larger species, *S. kuhlii* s.l. were
- regarded as two subspecies, namely *S. k. temminckii* inhabiting West and East Java and its
- relatively smaller sister *S. k. solutatus*, occurring on East Java and Bali. On East Java, two
- subspecies of *S. kuhlii* were recognised in adjacent mountain ranges separated by the
- Bondoyudo River plains, and the authors even suggested that they might be distinct species.
-

 In light of the above, the taxonomic status of several subspecies or races of two polytypic species, *S. kuhlii* s.l. and *S. heathii* s.l. (*sensu* Corbet & Hill, 1992) is likely inaccurate. Many of these taxa were originally described as distinct species or subspecies that were individually distinguished by certain morphological variation (pelage colour or body size) and/or geographical hiatus (Figure 1; Table S1). Nonetheless, Simmons (2005) and subsequent authors (Hutson, Kingston, Francis, & Suyanto, 2008; Moratelli et al. 2019; Sinaga & Maryanto, 2008; Srinivasulu & Srinivasulu, 2019a, 2019b) recognised only four distinct species of Asian *Scotophilus*: (1) *S. kuhlii,* found widely in the Indomalayan Region; (2) *S. collinus,* occurring sporadically in the Greater and Lesser Sunda Islands; (3) *S. heathii,* found in mainland Asia, except for the southern Indochinese subregion; and (4) *S. celebensis*, endemic to Sulawesi (Figure 1). Among these, the separation of *S. celebensis* from *S. heathii* was regarded as provisional (Simmons, 2005) because it was based solely on the disjunct distributions of the two taxa (Corbet & Hill, 1992; Tate, 1942).

 Recent genetic studies have improved our understanding of the taxonomy and biogeography of Asian yellow house bats (Francis et al., 2010; Hisheh, How, Suyanto, & Schmitt, 2004; Trujillo et al., 2009; Yu, Chen, Li, & Wu, 2012). In relation to morphological taxonomy, the separation of the two differently sized species, *S. kuhlii* and *S. heathii*, has been highly supported by genetic analyses (Francis et al., 2010; Trujillo et al., 2009). Within *S. kuhlii* s.l., all recent studies have found little genetic differences between geographically distant populations in the Lesser Sunda Islands (Hisheh et al., 2004), from Vietnam, Peninsular Malaysia and the Philippines (Trujillo et al., 2009), from northern and southern Indochina (Laos and Vietnam) (Francis et al., 2010) and from Hainan Island and mainland China (Yu et al., 2012). These data indicate that gene flow across the studied populations of *S. kuhlii* has not been limited by geographical distance or sea-barriers (Hisheh et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2012). While comparable morphological data are still lacking due to inadequate or disparate sampling, it is very likely that *S. kuhlii* represents a monotypic species. For this

reason, research is needed to confirm the taxonomic validity of species or subspecies that

- were subsumed into or distinguished from *S. kuhlii* on the basis of morphology alone.
-

 Compared to *S. kuhlii* s.l., *S. heathii* s.l. has similar eco-ethological preferences. However, the latter species may have a greater dispersal ability due to its higher wing loading and aspect ratio e.g. 15 vs 11.6 and 8.0 vs 6.96, respectively (Francis, 2008; Luo et al., 2019; Norberg & Rayner, 1987; Zhu, Chmura, & Zhang, 2012). If so, this would suggest that *S. heathii* s.l. could also maintain a strong gene flow between its geographically distant populations. This inference is supported by Trujillo et al. (2009) who analysed genetic divergences in mtDNA (*Cytb*) and nuDNA (zinc finger Y – *zfy* gene) sequences between *S. heathii* from Yunnan (China) and northern and southern Vietnam and suggested that these represent the same species. Conversely, Francis et al. (2010) found that *S. heathii* bats from northern Indochina (northern Vietnam and Laos) and southern Indochina (southern Vietnam) constituted two highly divergent clusters of *COI* gene sequences. Because comparable *COI* divergence exist between many closely related species in the Vespertilioninae, Francis et al. (2010) suggested that Indochinese *S. heathii* may represent a complex of different species. However, since mitochondrial data are maternally inherited, they should not be solely used to draw taxonomic conclusions (Dool et al., 2016; Hassanin, An, Ropiquet, Nguyen, & Couloux, 2013; Nesi, Nakouné, Cruaud, & Hassanin, 2011; Tu et al., 2017; Tu, Hassanin, Furey, Son, & Csorba, 2018). For a more integrative approach, the hypothesis of Francis et al. (2010) requires further testing with biparental genetic markers, geographically denser sampling and additional morphological data.

 This paper presents a phylogeographic study of Asian *Scotophilus* based on new specimens obtained from different areas in the Indomalayan Region. To this end, we integrate genetic and morphological analyses to (1) address taxonomic uncertainties (e.g. misidentifications or potential cryptic diversity) regarding Asian yellow house bats; (2) evaluate patterns in the demographic and evolutionary histories of species recognized by this study; and (3) consider the roles of ecological factors in shaping the current distributions, population genetic structures and morphological variation of the species. Our overall aim was to improve understanding of the taxonomy and biogeography of Asian yellow house bats. **2. Materials and Methods**

- *2.1. Taxonomic sampling*
-

 In this study, we examined 106 bats of *S. kuhlii* s.l. (n=76) and *S. heathii* s.l. (n=30) collected from different parts in the Indomalayan Region (Figure 1; Appendix 1). Of which, 226 97 voucher specimens are held in the Institute of Ecology and Biological Resources (IEBR, Hanoi, Vietnam), the Hungarian Natural History Museum (HNHM, Budapest, Hungary), the Centre for Biodiversity Conservation (CBC, Royal University of Phnom Penh, Cambodia), the University of Tokyo Hokkaido Forest (UTHF, Furano, Hokkaido, Japan), and the University of the Philippines Los Banos Museum of Natural History (UPLB-MNH, Laguna, Philippines) (See Appendix 1). Tissue samples were collected from the chest muscles of voucher specimens or from the patagium (biopsy punches; 3 mm diameter) of released individuals and preserved in 95% ethanol and stored at -20°C. We generated 38 *Cytb* (complete cytochrome b; 1,140 bp) and 43 *COI* (fragment of cytochrome c oxidase subunit I; 705-1554 bp) sequences from 49 examined individuals encountered during field surveys undertaken by the authors between 2008 and 2019 (30 specimens were sequenced for both mitochondrial genes) (Appendix 1). These newly generated sequences were compared to the 20 *Cytb* and 41 *COI* sequences available in GenBank for Asian *Scotophilus* spp. collected from other localities in the Indomalayan Region by other authors (Figure 1; Table S2) to explore their phylogeographic and phylogenetic relationships. We further sequenced two nuclear genes including intron 9 of *TUFM* (elongation factor Tu, mitochondrial precursor) and intron 6 of *ZFYVE27* (zinc finger, FYVE domain containing 27) for six selected specimens of *S. kuhlii* (n=2) and *S. heathii* (n=4) to test any incongruence between mitochondrial and nuclear DNA phylogenies. Based on previous studies (Roehrs, Lack, & Van Den Bussche, 2010; Trujillo et al., 2009), *Murina cyclotis* Dobson, 1872 of the subfamily Murininae and *Eptesicus pachyomus* Dobson, 1871 of the subfamily Vespertilioninae were chosen as outgroups in phylogenetic analyses. Genetic sequences available for these outgroup species in GenBank are indicated in Table S2. *2.2. Genetic analyses*

- *DNA extraction, amplification, sequencing*
-

 Total DNA was extracted from tissue samples using the QIAGEN DNAeasy Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Primer sets used for PCR amplification of *Cytb* were Mt-14724F/Cyb-15915R (Irwin, Kocher, & Wilson, 1991), Cyb-14726F/Cyb-15909R (Arai et al., 2016) and for *COI* were UTyrLA/C1L705 (Hassanin et al., 2012) or MammMt-5533F/MammMt-7159R (Arai et al., 2019) and for *TUFM* and *ZFYVE27* were TUFM-EX9U/TUFM-EX10L and ZFYVE27-EX6U/ZFYVE27-EX7L, respectively (Hassanin et al. 2013) (See Table S3 for more details). Amplifications were done in a volume of 20 μl including 3 μl of Buffer 10X with MgCl₂, 2 μl of dNTP (6.6 mM), 0.12 μl of Taq DNA polymerase (2.5 U, Qiagen, Hilden, 265 Germany) and 0.5–1 μl of the two primers at 10 μM. The standard PCR conditions were as follows: 4 min at 95ºC; 5 cycles of denaturation/annealing/extension with 45 s at 95ºC, 1 min at 60ºC and 1 min at 72ºC, followed by 30 cycles of 30 s at 95ºC, 45 s at 55ºC, and 1 min at 72ºC, followed by 10 min at 72ºC. PCR products were resolved by electrophoresis on a 1.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide and visualized under UV light. Both strands of PCR products were sequenced using Sanger sequencing on an ABI 3730 automatic sequencer at the Centre National de Séquençage (Genoscope) in Evry (France) and ABI 3730xl DNA Analyzer at the Infectious Disease Surveillance Center, Japan. The sequences were edited and assembled using CodonCode Aligner Version 3.7.1 (CodonCode Corporation) and Genetyx v11 software (Genetyx Corporation, Japan). Heterozygous positions (double peaks) of nuclear gene sequences were scored using the IUPAC ambiguity codes. Sequences generated for this study were deposited in the EMBL/DDBJ/GenBank database under the accession numbers MT820574-MT820611, MT820613-MT820624, MT820574-MT820611 (Appendix 1). *Phylogeographic analyses using mtDNA sequences* 283 The number of haplotypes, haplotype diversity (h), and nucleotide diversity (π) for the two nominal species, *S. kuhlii* s.l. and *S. heathii* s.l., were calculated from the alignments of 82 *COI* (576 bp) and 58 *Cytb* (1140 bp) sequences (Alignments S1 and S2) using DNASP v5.10 (Librado & Rozas, 2009). Maximum parsimony haplotype networks were reconstructed using the TCS algorithm in PopArt (Leigh & Bryant, 2015). Following this, a hierarchical analysis of molecular variation (AMOVA) (Excoffier, Smouse & Quattro, 1992) was

performed with 1,000 permutations in Genodive v.3.0 (Meirmans & Tienderen, 2004) to

quantify the genetic variation within and among groups in the TCS network.

Phylogenetic reconstruction

 Phylogenetic trees of Asian *Scotophilus* spp. were reconstructed from DNA alignments (Alignments S3-S7) using Bayesian Inference (BI) and Maximum-Likelihood (ML) methods. DNA sequences were aligned with Aliview v. 1.25 (Larsson, 2014). No gaps and stop codons were found in the alignments of the mitochondrial *COI* and *Cytb* protein- coding genes. In contrast, a few gaps were included in the alignments of the nuclear genes, but their positions were not ambiguous. The indels (insertion or deletion) shared by at least two taxa in the alignments of each nuclear gene were coded as additional characters ("1": insertion; "0": deletion) and analysed as a separate partition in the Bayesian analyses. The models of nucleotide evolution were selected under jModelTest V. 2.1.7 (Posada, 2008) using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC): GTR+G for *COI* dataset, GTR+I for *Cytb* dataset, and HKY model for *TUFM* and *ZFYVE27* datasets. The BI analyses were conducted with MrBayes v. 3.2.5 (Ronquist et al., 2012) using five separate datasets: (1) *COI* (48 sequences; 657 bp), (2) *Cytb* (39 sequences; 1140 bp), (3) *TUFM* (642 bp and 10 indels; 8 sequences), *ZFYVE27* (734 bp and 7 indel; 8 sequences) and (5) nuDNA (combining two nuclear genes; 1376 bp and 17 indels, 8 sequences) (Alignments S3-S7). The posterior probabilities (PP) were calculated using four independent Markov chains run for $10⁷$ Metropolis-coupled MCMC generations, with trees sampled every 1000 generations and a burn-in of 25%. The ML analyses of *COI, Cytb*, and nuDNA datasets (Alignments S3, S4, and S7) were conducted with W-IQ-TREE tool available online (http://iqtree.cibiv.univie.ac.at/) (Hoang et al., 2018; Nguyen et al., 2015; Trifinopoulos et al., 2016) with 1,000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates. Pairwise genetic distances between divergent phylogenetic lineages or TCS clusters were calculated with PAUP* v. 4b10 (Swofford, 2003) using the uncorrected p-distance. *Isolation by distance* (IBD)

 Geographic distances (km) between geographic haplogroups of *S. kuhlii* s.l. and *S. heathii* s.l. were generated using Geographic Distance Matrix Generator v.1.2.3 (Ersts, 2020). We tested for correlations between pairwise mtDNA distances (minimum p- distance) and

geographic distances with the Mantel test (Mantel, 1967) using the generalized linear model

357 C¹C¹—greatest width across the upper canines between their buccal borders; M³M³—greatest 358 width across the crowns of the last upper molars; CM^3 —maxillary toothrow length, from the 359 anterior of the upper canine to the posterior of the crown of the $3rd$ upper molar; ML—length of mandible, from the anterior rim of the alveolus of the first lower incisor to the most posterior part of the condyle; and CM3—mandibular toothrow length, from the anterior of the lower canine to the posterior of the crown of the $3rd$ lower molar.

 In this study, we initially assigned our study specimens to different groups based on molecular data. Specimens lacking genetic information were classified into molecular groups according to their geographic origin (Figure 1). As specimens examined within these groups lacked sexual dimorphism in all external and craniodental measurements (T-test, p> 0.05), the phenetic affinity of the identified taxa with both sexes combined was inferred through univariate and multivariate analyses of their morphometrics. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of study specimens was undertaken in PAST 3.07 (Hammer et al, 2001) using 10 log- transformed craniodental measurements. Equalities of mean values of morphometrics and PC scores among different taxa were tested using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by a post-hoc Tukey HSD multiple comparison test for unequal sample sizes (Tukey-Kramer) (Zar, 1999).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Genetic analyses

3.1.1. Phylogeographic patterns of Asian Scotophilus *inferred from mtDNA sequences*

 In the *COI* alignment (576 bp), 22 haplotypes were identified among 43 individuals of *S. kuhlii* s.l., and 18 haplotypes among 39 individuals of *S. heathii* s.l.. In the *Cytb* alignment (1,140 bp), 27 haplotypes were identified among 46 bats of *S. kuhlii* s.l. and seven among 12 bats of *S. heathii* s.l. (Figure 1; Appendix 1; Table S2). Haplotype (Hd) and nucleotide diversities (π) calculated from *COI* and *Cytb* alignments for the entire populations of each taxon were high i.e. >0.86 and >0.01, respectively (Table S4). In both species, most individuals examined, even those from the same locations, carried unique *COI* or *Cytb* haplotypes.

3.1.3. Phylogeny of Indochinese Scotophilus *based on nuDNA sequence analyses*

 Bayesian trees reconstructed from three nuDNA datasets i.e. *TUFM*, *ZFYVE27* and concatenation of the two nuDNA introns from selected individuals of *S. kuhlii* and *S. heathii* from the northern and southern Indochinese geographical units, as revealed by analyses of mtDNA sequences, are presented in Figures 2c, S2 and S3, respectively. Consistent with mtDNA trees, the nuDNA trees supported the monophyly of *Scotophilus* and the two nominal species, *S. kuhlii* and *S. heathii*, with maximum robustness (PP/BP=1). More specifically, in the *TUFM* and *ZFYVE27* sequence alignments, all *Scotophilus* bats examined shared a total of 8 and 3 indels respectively, whereas *S. kuhlii* and *S. heathii* were diagnosed by several indels (Figure 2c). Likewise, and inconsistent with mtDNA trees, the substructure of geographical populations in *S. heathii* were not recovered in our analyses of separated or combined nuDNA sequences (Figures 2c and S2). The genetic distances calculated from concatenation of the two nuclear introns (i.e. the p-distances) between *S. kuhlii* and *S. heathii* ranged between 1.4 and 1.6%, whereas the nuDNA sequences of selected bats of both species from different geographical units were identical (p-distances <0.1%) (Table 2).

3.2. Historical demography

 Our Bayesian skyline plot (BSP) analyses indicated that populations of both *S. kuhlii* and *S. heathii* have maintained their long-term stability since the Mid-Late Pleistocene (ca. 1.5-0.5 million years ago (Mya) and experienced rapid expansion since ca. 0.3 Mya (Late Pleistocene). However, since 0.1 Mya of the late Pleistocene, the total effective population size of *S. kuhlii* was always larger than *S. heathii* (Figure S4). *3.3. Morphological analyses* Asian *Scotophilus* are relatively large vespertilionids which share similar morphological characteristics: i.e. moderately-sized ears; tragus very long and narrow, tapering slightly towards tip and curving forwards; skulls thick and heavily-built, with just one pair of large, well-developed upper incisors; dental formula I1/3, C1/1, P1/2, M3/3 (Figures 3 and 4) (Corbet & Hill, 1992; Dobson, 1875; Tate, 1942). Both univariate and multivariate analyses of morphological characters revealed a large individual originally identified as *S. kuhlii* from Java (Indonesia) (HNHM 2869.22) as an extreme outlier, distantly related to other specimens of *S. kuhlii* sensu stricto (s.s.) but resembled our specimens of *S. heathii* s.s. (Figure 5; Table 3). As genetic data were not available and its external and craniodental characters matched those of *S. ? solutatus* s.s. (*sensu* Tate, 1942; Shamel, 1942), this Javanese specimen was treated as a separate taxon in subsequent analyses. Accordingly, *S. kuhlii* s.s. differs from *S. heathii* s.s. in its smaller body

and skull size: i.e. FA: 45.7–53.1 *vs* 54.3–66.0 mm and GSL: 18.30–20.18 *vs* 20.85–25.20

mm (Table 3; Figure 5; Table S6). Aside from the presence of a well-developed occipital

helmet in *S. heathii* s.s., the skull morphology of both species is similar (Figure 4; Table 3).

As shown in Figure 3, species identification of Asian yellow house bats based solely on

pelage colour should be cautiously interpreted due to geographical variation. Although pelage

 colour is useful for distinguishing taxa living in sympatry, the reliability of this trait seems doubtful for comparing specimens from different countries, and it is entirely inaccurate for

 Within *S. kuhlii* s.s., specimens from mainland Asia (India, Myanmar, Indochina,

museum specimens due to fading of colour during preservation.

 Peninsular Malaysia) and the Philippines overlapped significantly in body and skull size (Figure 5; Table 3). Likewise, within *S. heathii* s.s., separation between specimens initially

- allocated to different mtDNA lineages was lacking, although local differentiations were recovered in phenotypes among bats of pairwise geographic populations, even at fine scales (Figure 5; Table 3). For instance, bats of *S. heathii* s.s. in southern Indochina appeared in three different morphological subgroups according to body and skull size i.e. with a FA of 497 54.3 to 66.0 mm or a GSL of 20.85 to 25.20 mm, respectively (ANOVA, $p \le 0.05$; Table S7): (1) smaller bats in south-central Vietnam (location No. 21 and adjacent area, Figure 1); (2) intermediate bats in north-eastern Cambodia (location No. 18, Figure 1); and (3) larger bats in the central highlands of Vietnam (location No. 19, Figure 1) (Figure 5). Similarly, in the PCA of craniodental characters (Figure 5), *S. heathii* s.s. bats in the Indian Subcontinent and southern Indochina were separated by PC2 which is significantly correlated with the greatest 503 width across the upper canines $(C¹C¹)$ (Table S6).
-

4. Discussion

4.1. Cryptic diversity or inadequate taxonomy?

 Early taxonomists differed considerably in how they delineated species boundaries between taxa allocated to *S. kuhlii* s.l. and *S. heathii* s.l. (*sensu* Corbet & Hill, 1992) in the Indomalayan Region, especially those found on the Sunda Islands (Table 1). According to our integrated analyses, *S. kuhlii* s.s. and *S. heathii* s.s. are genetically and morphologically distinct species. The former species is monotypic whereas the latter one is likely polytypic. In particular, FA —a standard measurement with low variation among bat researchers— appears to be reliable for differentiating the two species, as indicated by our PCAs on craniodental traits (Figures 5 and S5). Pairwise comparisons of FA data in different studies (Figure 6) show that apart from some larger individuals in Java and nearby islands (Belitung and Borneo), all other bats assigned to *S. kuhlii* s.l. in the Indomalayan Region are morphologically comparable to our *S. kuhlii* s.s. (i.e. FA ≤53.1 mm). This includes *S. collinus* (*sensu* Kitchener et al., 1997) which we regarded as a member of *S. kuhlii* in agreement with previous authors (e.g. Corbet & Hill, 1992; Shamel, 1942; Tate, 1942). Regarding the larger specimens of *S. kuhlii* s.l. (i.e. FA ≥53.4 mm) from Java, Belitung and Borneo, some were identified as *S. k. temminckii* in past studies (Kitchener et al., 1997; Siddiqi, 1960; Sody, 1928, 1936), whereas others were identified as *S. k. collinus* and *S. k. solutatus* (Sody, 1936; Tate, 1942). These controversial specimens and those of *S. heathii* s.l. in mainland Asia and Java (Shamel, 1942; Siddiqi, 1960; Tate, 1942), *S. ? celebensis* in Sulawesi (Sody, 1928;

- Tate, 1942), and *P. solutatus* (=*S. solutatus*) in Java (Indonesia) (Shamel, 1942) are
- comparable to our specimens of *S. ? solutatus* s.s. and *S. heathii* s.s. (Figure 6; Tables 1 and
- 3). The new evidence from our study suggests that the current taxonomy of Asian *Scotophilus*
- spp. (i.e. Moratelli et al. 2019; Simmons, 2005) is inaccurate.
-

 Kitchener et al. (1997) assigned yellow house bats with forearm lengths of ≤ 54 mm from the Sunda Islands to either *S. collinus* s.s. or *S. kuhlii* s.s. depending on body size i.e. the mean FA values for males / females of each taxon were 49.1 / 50.9 and 51.8 / 52.5, respectively. Their *a priori* species identifications were then checked by multiple regressions and discriminant function analyses (DFAs) of morphological characters. However, certain issues render the classification of Kitchener et al. (1997) unconvincing. For instance, our pairwise comparison of FA values among the taxa recognised by different authors (Figure 6) reveals that their assumption of the upper limit in forearm length for *S. kuhlii* s.l. was unjustified and that their study materials may have contained misidentified individuals of a larger form (or *S. heathii* s.s / *S. ? solutatus*). Our study and previous taxonomic works (i.e. Hill & Thonglongya, 1972; Shamel, 1942; Tate, 1942) have also confirmed the existence of individual variation in size and pelage among bats of the same *Scotophilus* species found in either sympatry or allopatry. As a consequence, the initial species identification of specimens by Kitchener et al. (1997) was uncertain particularly when considering the extensive overlap in all morphometrics given for their recognised taxa with pooled geographic populations i.e. 547 the range of FA values for males / females of each taxon were $44.6-51.9$ / $45.2-52.8$ and 50.5–54.0 / 50.3–53.7, respectively. In addition to potential misidentification of specimens assigned *a priori*, the sample sizes of these taxa were heavily skewed in the two DFAs of Kitchener et al. (1997) which set apart two putative taxa (i.e. the datasets for males and females of *S. collinus* / *S. kuhlii* were 82 / 10 and 124 / 8, respectively). As such imbalanced datasets tend to produce unsatisfactory classifiers, the classification of *a priori* taxa in these DFAs should be considered doubtful, even if statistically significant (López, Fernández, García, Palade, & Herrera, 2013). Consistent with this, separation between the two *a priori* taxa was not recovered in their DFA of skull characters of both sexes combined (as there is no sexually dimorphic effects on size) using another simulated dataset for *S. collinus / S. kuhlii* (i.e. 178 / 19 respectively). In particular, Hisheh et al. (2004) considered that *S. kuhlii* s.l. bats throughout the Lesser Sunda Islands can be treated as a panmictic unit. According to Kitchener et al. (1997), the study area of Hisheh et al. (2004) encompasses two sister species which overlap in size, *S. k. solutatus* and *S. collinus*, i.e. FA values (in mm) of their males /

 females are 50.3–52.4 / 50.5–52.9 and 44.6–51.9 / 46.4–52.8, respectively. This evidence suggests that the classification of different cryptic species within *S. kuhlii* s.l. in the Sunda Islands by Kitchener et al. (1997) reflected only artificial groups of a single species which resemble our *S. kuhlii* s.s.. The latter taxonomic inference is supported by the high overlap in FA values between different putative species determined by Kitchener et al. (1997) and our *S. kuhlii* s.s. (Figure 6). Moreover, from a biogeographical viewpoint, geographical distance and/or marine straits between the Lesser Sunda Islands (=study areas of Hisheh et al. (2004)) and other parts in the Indomalayan Region (our study) (Figure 1) cannot be considered as a reliable barrier to long-range dispersal and associated gene flow within this species (Hisheh et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2012; this study).

 Based on *COI* distances of >2%, Francis et al. (2010) suggested that two cryptic species may be recognized in *S. heathii*, one from northern Indochina (haplotype C-h7 in Figure 2a) and the other from southern Indochina (haplotypes A-h11, h14, h15, and h17 in Figure 2a). Our phylogeographic analyses of *COI* sequences from a wider taxonomic sampling (including specimens from Cambodia and Vietnam) do not support this view. For instance, our haplotypes from Indochina fall into three lineages (i.e. A, B and C) (Figures 2a and S2). However, the pairwise p-distances calculated from *COI* sequences between these lineages ranged between 1.1–4.0% and overlapped with their intraspecific variation (i.e. lineages A: 0–1.6%) (Table 2). In particular, the interspecific divergences between our Indochinese lineages (A, B and C) were not supported by either nuDNA or morphological analyses (Figures 2c, S2 and 5; Table 2). This is consistent with the results of Trujillo et al. (2009), in which *S. heathii* bats from Yunnan (southern China), northern Vietnam and southern Vietnam have identical zfy gene sequences, whereas they belong to three divergent *Cytb* haplogroups (p-distances: 3.7-4.2%) (Figures 2b and S2; Table 2). Indeed, the absence of structured signals in nuclear datasets may be a consequence of low mutation rates or incomplete lineage sorting of these loci (i.e. Hassanin et al. 2013). However, the mito-nuclear discordance of *S. heathii* may be best explained by female philopatry and male biased dispersers (Arnold & Wilkinson, 2015; Rivers, Butlin, & Altringham, 2005; Tu et al., 2017). As such, the hypothesis of potential cryptic diversity within Indochinese *S. heathii* s.l. can be ruled out. Similarly, the relatively higher genetic divergence of *COI* sequences among two morphologically overlapping populations of *S. heathii* s.l. in spatially distant subregions (Indochina lineages A, B, and C and the Indian Subcontinent lineage D (5.1–7.6% p-distances) (Figures 1-3 and S2; Table 2)) might also be attributable to their potential sexbiased gene flow followed by IBD effects (Figure S1). Thus, while further analyses of

samples from the intervening zones (Nabhan & Sarkar, 2012; Zwickl & Hillis, 2002) and/or

nuclear markers are needed, the possibility that allopatric speciation events have occurred

without morphological changes in the above populations of *S. heathii* s.s. (Bickford et al.,

2007; Tu et al., 2017, 2018) would seem very unlikely.

 All larger forms of yellow house bats on Java and nearby islands (i.e. FA values of ≥53.4 mm and GSL ≥20.5 mm) were previously referred to as *S. kuhlii* (Corbet & Hill, 1992; Simmons, 2005). However, their taxonomic identity was an issue of debate for many years (Figure 6; Table 1). Having examined one of these controversial specimens from Java (HNHM 2869.22), our results suggest that it resembles *S. heathii* s.s. more than *S. kuhlii* s.s. (Figures 4–6; Table 3). This specimen was collected by the Novara Expedition (1857–1859) and its identity was determined by the British zoologist, Oldfield Thomas (1858–1929). It should be noted that before Hill & Thonglongya (1972) confirmed that *S. kuhlii* should replace *S. temminckii* as the correct name for smaller Asian yellow house bats, *S. kuhlii* was used for larger bats by several taxonomists (Allen, 1906; Osgood, 1932; Shamel, 1942; Thomas, 1897) instead of *S. heathii* which other authors used (Siddiqi, 1960; Tate, 1942) (Table 1). This suggests that the previous allocation of our examined specimen (and *S. ? solutatus* s.s.) and the larger *Scotophilus* from Java and nearby islands (i.e. Borneo) as *S. kuhlii* s.l. (*sensu* Corbet & Hill, 1992; Simmons, 2005; Kitchener et al., 1997; Tate, 1942) was misguided. In addition, while research on Asian bats has intensified in recent years, most survey effort has focused on habitats such as tropical forests which support high bat diversity (Kingston, 2010), whereas bats that live commensally with humans are comparatively neglected (Jung & Threlfall, 2016). *Scotophilus* spp. are one of the most common bats in urban-rural habitats but roost in high shelters (e.g. roofs of houses) and forage in open spaces above the effective range of ground-based live-traps (i.e. mist-nets and harp traps) (Bates & Harrison, 1997; Francis, 2008; Hisheh et al., 2004). As such, they are likely under-surveyed in many regions of Southeast Asia (Figure 1). Consequently, the disjunct distribution of large yellow house bats between mainland Asia (or *S. heathii* s.s.) and Sulawesi (*S. celebensis* s.s.) (Figure 1) determined by previous authors (e.g. Corbet & Hill, 1992; Simmons, 2005; Tate, 1942) may be due to erroneous naming of voucher specimens and gaps in survey coverage. Alternatively, the separation of *S. celebensis* from *S. heathii* by Simmons (2005) could be regarded as unsubstantiated. Thus, until additional analyses further elucidate their 628 phylogenetic relationships, all large yellow house bats (i.e. $FA \geq 53.4$ mm and GSL ≥ 20.5 mm

 (Figure 6; Tables 1 and 3) occurring in mainland Asia (*S. heathii* s.s.), Java and nearby islands (*S. ? solutatus*), and Sulawesi (*S. celebensis* s.s.) should be regarded as representatives of a single species: *S. heathii*.

4.2. Comparative phylogeography of Asian Scotophilus spp.

 The absence of star-like TCS haplotype networks (Figure 1) and results of BSP analyses (Figure S4) in our study indicate that both *S. kuhlii* and *S. heathii* have maintained a constant or expanding effective population size through time since the Mid-Pleistocene. The distribution and lack of bottlenecks in the evolutionary history of Asian yellow house bats in the Indomalayan Region thus differs strikingly from other co-distributed bat species i.e. bamboo bats (*Tylonycteris* spp.) (Tu et al., 2017), woolly bats (*Kerivoula* spp.) (Khan et al., 2010; Tu et al., 2018), horseshoe bats (*Rhinolophus* spp.) (Mao et al., 2010). This may be attributable to eco-ethological differences (Avise, 2000; Hassanin et al., 2016; Moussy et al., 2013). For example, Asian yellow house bats are strong dispersers and aerial-hawking, open space foragers (Bates & Harrison, 1997; Francis, 2008; Norberg & Rayner, 1987), whereas *Tylonycteris*, *Kerivoula* and *Rhinolophus* spp. have a much weaker dispersal ability because they are mostly forest-dwelling taxa (Khan et al., 2010; Mao et al., 2010; Tu et al., 2017; 2018). Thus, unlike forest-dependent species, the dispersal and associated gene flow among geographic populations of Asian *Scotophilus* might be less restricted by physical and ecological barriers (Hisheh et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2012). In addition, while the population structure and dynamics of species with low dispersal capacities were strongly influenced by the past compression and expansion of forests during glacial and interglacial periods in the Pleistocene (Khan et al., 2010; Mao et al., 2010; Tu et al., 2017; 2018) and by current patterns of deforestation (Kingston, 2010), Asian *Scotophilus* spp. may have been less affected by such changes.

 Our comparative phylogeographic analyses show that geographically distant populations of *S. kuhlii* in the Indomalayan Region possess very low genetic and morphological variation, whereas those of *S. heathii* in mainland Asia display divergent mtDNA sequences and phenotypes (see section 4.1 for more detail). As discussed above, the incongruences in phylogeographic patterns of mtDNA genetic diversity between the two sympatric species may be attributable to sex-biased gene flows (Avise, 2000; Slatkin, 1987). More specifically, the phylogenetic signals in our mtDNA and nuDNA datasets for *S. heathii* may be consistent with female philopatry and male-biased dispersal whereas those for *S. kuhlii* do not exhibit sex-biased dispersal (Arnold & Wilkinson, 2015; Rivers et al., 2005; Tu et al., 2017). As both species may have maintained gene flows among their populations, the geographic variation observed in external and craniodental traits might reflect their phenotypic plasticity to adapt to selective forces imposed by environmental variability, as well as interspecific competition for common resources in areas of sympatry (Chevin, Lande, & Mace, 2010; Ghalambor, McKay, Carroll, & Reznick, 2007; Lande, 2014; Spaeth, 2009; Tienderen, 1997; Zamudio, Bell, & Mason, 2016).

 The low morphological variation of *S. kuhlii* specimens throughout the Indomalayan Region suggests that the species has maintained a generalist phenotype suited to a variety of environments. By contrast, the morphological variability of *S. heathii* indicates that its geographic populations, even those at small scales, may have evolved plastic phenotypes that suit the particular habitats they inhabit (Chevin et al., 2010; Lande, 2014; Spaeth, 2009; Tienderen, 1997; Zamudio et al., 2016). As such, the generalist populations of *S.kuhlii* may have experienced fewer adverse effects from climate and associated habitat changes than *S. heathii*. They may also have had more advantages than *S. heathii* when colonizing and adapting to new habitats (Bonte et al., 2012; Ghalambor et al., 2007; Hollander, Verzijden, Svensson, & Brönmark, 2014; Kelly, Panhuis, & Stoehr, 2012*;* Lande, 2014; Moussy et al., 2013). These inferences suggest that *S. heathii* bats may be more philopatric to their native areas compared to *S. kuhlii*. If so, this would explain how *S. kuhlii* can occupy a wider geographic range in the Indomalayan Region relative to *S. heathii* (Figure 1), even though *S. heathii* would be expected to have greater dispersal ability based on its wing morphology.

 It should be noted that bats of *S. kuhlii* and *S. heathii* found either in sympatry or allopatry do not overlap in most morphological traits (i.e. FA; Figures 3-6). As discussed above, it is very likely that *S. kuhlii* and *S. heathii* have co-existed for a long time. Both species are also known to share similar eco-ethological preferences and feeding guilds (Bates & Harrison, 1997; Francis, 2008; Norberg & Rayner, 1987). Because prey density usually decreases from cluttered to open habitats, strong interspecific competition likely occurred in areas of sympatry during their evolutionary history (Grether et al., 2013; Müller et al., 2012; Roeleke, Johannsen, & Voigt, 2018). To reduce niche overlap, co-existing related species typically evolve mechanisms for resource partitioning (Chevin et al., 2010; Lande, 2014; Tienderen, 1997) that result in detectable differences in morphology or echolocation call

 parameters (Kingston et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2007). This would be consistent with the morphological differences between the two species.

Acknowledgements

 We would like to thank numerous agencies and individuals for their research permits and assistance during our study: In Vietnam, the Vietnam Administration of Forestry (MONRE), Nguyen Van Sinh, Le Xuan Canh and Nguyen Quang Truong of the IEBR (Hanoi); in Cambodia, the Centre for Biodiversity Conservation at the Royal University of Phnom Penh and Fauna & Flora International; in Myanmar, the Ministry of Livestock, Fisheries and Rural Development; in Philippines, Juan Carlos T. Gonzalez and Edison A. Cosico of UPLB MNH. We are also grateful to Victor van Cakenberghe (UA, Belgium), Lincoln H. Schmitt (UWA, Australia), and Ibnu Maryanto (LIPI, Indonesia) for their kind help. We also thank the three anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments on the manuscript. This research was supported by the "ATM Barcode" funded by the MNHN, the network "Bibliothèque du Vivant" funded by the CNRS, the MNHN, the INRA, the CEA (Genoscope) to A.H and V.T.T; the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund – OTKA K112440, the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund of Hungary – NKFIH KH130360, and the SYNTHESYS Project, financed by the European Community Research Infrastructure Action under the FP7 "Capacities" Program to TG and GC; the NTP-NFTÖ-17 project funded by the Hungarian Ministry of Human Capacities to TG; a grant-in-aid on Research Program on Emerging and Re-emerging Infectious Diseases, Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED) (JP15fk0108005, JP16fk0108117, JP17fk0108217, JP18fk0108017, JP19fk0108097, and JP20fk0108097), a grant-in-aid from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science 24405045 to S.A, K.K., and D.F.; the KAKENHI (18H04816, 18H02492, 18K19359, 18KK0207) and JRPs-LEAD with DFG to D.K.; the project TN18/T07 funded by the Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology (VAST) to T.A.T and 724 N.T.S.; and the Rufford Foundation (UK). **Data Availability Statement** The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and/or its supplementary materials.

References

- Aldhebiani, A. Y. (2018). Species concept and speciation. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, *25*(3), 437–440. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2017.04.013
- Allen, J. A. (1906). Mammals from the Island of Hainan, China. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History*, *22*, 463–490.
- Arai, S., Aoki, K., Sơn, N. T., Tú, V. T., Kikuchi, F., Kinoshita, G., … Oishi, K. (2019). Đakrông virus, a novel mobatvirus (Hantaviridae) harbored by the Stoliczka's Asian trident bat (*Aselliscus stoliczkanus*) in Vietnam. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1), 10239. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-46697-5
- Arai, S., Kang, H. J., Gu, S. H., Ohdachi, S. D., Cook, J. A., Yashina, L. N., … Yanagihara, R. (2016). Genetic diversity of Artybash virus in the Laxmann's shrew (*Sorex*
- *caecutiens*). *Vector Borne and Zoonotic Diseases (Larchmont, N.Y.)*, *16*(7), 468–475.
- https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2015.1903
- Arnold, B. D., & Wilkinson, G. S. (2015). Female natal philopatry and gene flow between divergent clades of Pallid bats (*Antrozous pallidus*). *Journal of Mammalogy*, *96*(3), 531–540. https://doi.org/10.1093/jmammal/gyv058
- Avise, J. C. (2000). *Phylogeography: The history and formation of species*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Baker, R. J., & Bradley, R. D. (2006). Speciation in mammals and the genetic species concept. *Journal of Mammalogy*, *87*(4), 643–662. https://doi.org/10.1644/06-MAMM-F-038R2.1
- Bates, P. J. J., & Harrison, D. L. (1997). *Bats of the Indian Subcontinent*. Sevenoaks, Kent, UK: Harrison Zoological Museum.
- Bickford, D., Lohman, D. J., Sodhi, N. S., Ng, P. K. L., Meier, R., Winker, K., … Das, I.
- (2007). Cryptic species as a window on diversity and conservation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *22*(3), 148–155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2006.11.004
- Bonte, D., Van Dyck, H., Bullock, J. M., Coulon, A., Delgado, M., Gibbs, M., Lehouck, V.,
- Matthysen, E., Mustin, K., Saastamoinen, M., Schtickzelle, N., Stevens, V. M.,
- Vandewoestijne, S., Baguette, M., Barton, K., Benton, T. G., Chaput-Bardy, A.,
- Clobert, J., Dytham, C., … Travis, J. M. J. (2012). Costs of dispersal. *Biological*
- *Reviews*, *87*(2), 290–312. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2011.00201.x
- Bouckaert, R. R., Vaughan, T. G., Barido-Sottani, J., Duchêne, S., Fourment, M.,
- Gavryushkina, A., … Drummond, A. J. (2019). BEAST 2.5: An advanced software
- platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis. *PLoS Computational Biology*, *15*(4),
- e1006650. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006650
- Chevin, L.-M., Lande, R., & Mace, G. M. (2010). Adaptation, plasticity, and extinction in a changing environment: Towards a predictive theory. *PLoS Biology*, 8(4), e1000357. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000357
- Corbet, G. B., & Hill, J. E. (1992). *The mammals of the Indomalayan Region: A systematic review*. Oxford: Natural History Museum and Oxford University Press.
- de Queiroz, K. (2005). Ernst Mayr and the modern concept of species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *102*(suppl 1), 6600–6607.
- https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0502030102
- de Queiroz, K. (2007). Species concepts and species delimitation. *Systematic Biology*, *56*(6), 879–886. https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150701701083
- Demos, T. C., Webala, P. W., Bartonjo, M., & Patterson, B. D. (2018). Hidden diversity of African yellow house bats (Vespertilionidae, *Scotophilus*): Insights from multilocus phylogenetics and lineage delimitation. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, *6*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2018.00086
- Dobson, G. E. (1875). On the genus *Scotophilus*, with description of a new genus and species allied thereto. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London*, 368–373.
- Dool, S. E., Puechmaille, S. J., Foley, N. M., Allegrini, B., Bastian, A., Mutumi, G. L., …
- Jacobs, D. S. (2016). Nuclear introns outperform mitochondrial DNA in inter-specific phylogenetic reconstruction: Lessons from horseshoe bats (Rhinolophidae:
- Chiroptera). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, *97*, 196–212.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2016.01.003
- Ersts, P.J. (2020). *Geographic Distance Matrix Generator* (Version 1.2.3). American Museum of Natural History, Center for Biodiversity and Conservation. Available from http://biodiversityinformatics.amnh.org/open_source/gdmg.
- Excoffier, L., Smouse, P. E., & Quattro, J. M. (1992). Analysis of molecular variance inferred from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: Application to human mitochondrial DNA restriction data. *Genetics*, *131*(2), 479–491.
- Francis, C. M. (2008). *A field guide to the mammals of South-East Asia*. London: New Holland Publishers.
- Francis, C. M., Borisenko, A. V., Ivanova, N. V., Eger, J. L., Lim, B. K., Guillén-Servent, A., … Hebert, P. D. N. (2010). The role of DNA barcodes in understanding and
- conservation of mammal diversity in Southeast Asia. *PLoS ONE*, *5*(9), e12575.
- https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0012575
- 800 Ghalambor, C. K., McKay, J. K., Carroll, S. P., & Reznick, D. N. (2007). Adaptive versus non‐adaptive phenotypic plasticity and the potential for contemporary adaptation in new environments. *Functional Ecology*, *21*(3), 394–407.
- https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01283.x
- Grether, G. F., Anderson, C. N., Drury, J. P., Kirschel, A. N. G., Losin, N., Okamoto, K., & Peiman, K. S. (2013). The evolutionary consequences of interspecific aggression: Aggression between species. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, *1289*(1),

48–68. https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.12082

 Hammer, Ø., Harper, D. A. T., & Ryan, P. D. (2001). PAST: Paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontologia Electronica*, *4*(1),

810 1–9. http://palaeo-electronica.org/2001 1/past/issue1 01.htm.

- Hassanin, A., An, J., Ropiquet, A., Nguyen, T. T., & Couloux, A. (2013). Combining multiple autosomal introns for studying shallow phylogeny and taxonomy of Laurasiatherian mammals: Application to the tribe Bovini (Cetartiodactyla, Bovidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, *66*(3), 766–775.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2012.11.003
- Hassanin, A., Delsuc, F., Ropiquet, A., Hammer, C., Jansen van Vuuren, B., Matthee, C., …
- Couloux, A. (2012). Pattern and timing of diversification of Cetartiodactyla
- (Mammalia, Laurasiatheria), as revealed by a comprehensive analysis of
- mitochondrial genomes. *Comptes Rendus Biologies*, *335*(1), 32–50.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crvi.2011.11.002
- Hassanin, A., Nesi, N., Marin, J., Kadjo, B., Pourrut, X., Leroy, É., Gembu, G.-C., Musaba Akawa, P., Ngoagouni, C., Nakouné, E., Ruedi, M., Tshikung, D., Pongombo Shongo,
- C., & Bonillo, C. (2016). Comparative phylogeography of African fruit bats
- (Chiroptera, Pteropodidae) provide new insights into the outbreak of Ebola virus
- disease in West Africa, 2014–2016. *Comptes Rendus Biologies*, *339*(11), 517–528.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crvi.2016.09.005
- Hill, J. E., & Thonglongya, K. (1972). Bats from Thailand and Cambodia. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History).*, *22*, 171–196.
- 829 Hisheh, S., How, R. A., Suyanto, A., & Schmitt, L. H. (2004). Implications of contrasting patterns of genetic variability in two vespertilionid bats from the Indonesian
- archipelago. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, *83*(3), 421–431.
- https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.2004.00401.x
- Hoang, D. T., Chernomor, O., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B. Q., & Vinh, L. S. (2018). UFBoot2: Improving the Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *35*(2), 518–522. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx281
- Hollander, J., Verzijden, M., Svensson, E., & Brönmark, C. (2014). Dispersal and phenotypic plasticity. In L.-A. Hansson & S. Åkesson (Eds.), *Animal Movement Across Scales*
- (pp. 110–125). https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199677184.003.0007
- Hutson, A. M., Kingston, T., Francis, C., & Suyanto, A. (2008). *Scotophilus celebensis*. Retrieved November 30, 2018, from The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2008: E.T20065A9141459 website:
- http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2008.RLTS.T20065A9141459.en.
- Irwin, D. M., Kocher, T. D., & Wilson, A. C. (1991). Evolution of the cytochrome b gene of mammals. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, *32*(2), 128–144.
- Jung, K., & Threlfall, C. G. (2016). Urbanisation and its effects on bats—A global meta- analysis. In C. C. Voigt & T. Kingston (Eds.), *Bats in the Anthropocene: Conservation of Bats in a Changing World* (pp. 13–33). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-25220- 848 9 2
- Kelly, S. A., Panhuis, T. M., & Stoehr, A. M. (2012). Phenotypic plasticity: Molecular mechanisms and adaptive significance. *Comprehensive Physiology*, *2*(2), 1417–1439. https://doi.org/10.1002/cphy.c110008
- Khan, F. A. A., Solari, S., Swier, V. J., Larsen, P. A., Abdullah, M. T., & Baker, R. J. (2010). Systematics of Malaysian woolly bats (Vespertilionidae: *Kerivoula*) inferred from
- mitochondrial, nuclear, karyotypic, and morphological data. *Journal of Mammalogy*,
- *91*(5), 1058–1072. https://doi.org/10.1644/09-MAMM-A-361.1
- Kingston, T. (2010). Research priorities for bat conservation in Southeast Asia: A consensus approach. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, *19*(2), 471–484.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-008-9458-5
- Kingston, T., Lara, M. C., Jones, G., Akbar, Z., Kunz, T. H., & Schneider, C. J. (2001).
- Acoustic divergence in two cryptic *Hipposideros* species: A role for social selection?
- *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 268*(1474), 1381–1386.
- https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2001.1630
- Kitchener, D. J., Packer, W. C., & Maryanto, I. (1997). Morphological variation among populations of *Scophilus kuhlii* (sensu lato) Leach, 1821 (Chiroptera:
- Vespertilionidae) from the Greater and Lesser Sunda Islands, Indonesia. *Tropical Biodiversity*, *4*(1), 53–81.
- Lande, R. (2014). Evolution of phenotypic plasticity and environmental tolerance of a labile quantitative character in a fluctuating environment. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 27*(5), 866–875. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.12360
- Larsson, A. (2014). AliView: A fast and lightweight alignment viewer and editor for large datasets. *Bioinformatics*, *30*(22), 3276–3278.
- https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu531
- Leigh, J. W., & Bryant, D. (2015). POPART: Full-feature software for haplotype network construction. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *6*(9), 1110–1116. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12410
- Librado, P., & Rozas, J. (2009). DnaSP v5: A software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. *Bioinformatics*, *25*(11), 1451–1452.
- https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp187
- López, V., Fernández, A., García, S., Palade, V., & Herrera, F. (2013). An insight into classification with imbalanced data: Empirical results and current trends on using data intrinsic characteristics. *Information Sciences*, *250*, 113–141.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2013.07.007
- Luo, B., Santana, S. E., Pang, Y., Wang, M., Xiao, Y., & Feng, J. (2019). Wing morphology predicts geographic range size in vespertilionid bats. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1), 4526. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41125-0
- Mantel, N. (1967). The Detection of Disease Clustering and a Generalized Regression Approach. *Cancer Research*, *27*(2 Part 1), 209–220.
- Mao, X., Zhu, G., Zhang, S., & Rossiter, S. J. (2010). Pleistocene climatic cycling drives intra-specific diversification in the intermediate horseshoe bat (Rhinolophus affinis) in
- Southern China. *Molecular Ecology*, *19*(13), 2754–2769.
- https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04704.x
- Mayr, E. (1942). *Systematics and the origin of species from the viewpoint of a zoologist*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Meirmans, P. G., & Tienderen, P. H. V. (2004). GENOTYPE and GENODIVE: Two
- programs for the analysis of genetic diversity of asexual organisms. *Molecular*
- *Ecology Notes*, *4*(4), 792–794. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2004.00770.x
- Moratelli, R., Burgin, C., Cláudio, V., Novaes, R., López-Baucells, A., & Haslauer, R.
- (2019). Family Vespertilionidae (Vesper Bats). In D. E. Wilson & R. A. Mittermeier
- (Eds.), *Handbook of the Mammals of the World* (Vol. 9, pp. 716–982). Barcelona: Lynx Edicions.
- Moussy, C., Hosken, D. J., Mathews, F., Smith, G. C., Aegerter, J. N., & Bearhop, S. (2013). Migration and dispersal patterns of bats and their influence on genetic structure: Bat
- movements and genetic structure. *Mammal Review*, *43*(3), 183–195.
- https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2907.2012.00218.x
- Müller, J., Mehr, M., Bässler, C., Fenton, M. B., Hothorn, T., Pretzsch, H., … Brandl, R. (2012). Aggregative response in bats: Prey abundance versus habitat. *Oecologia*, *169*(3), 673–684. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-011-2247-y
- Nabhan, A. R., & Sarkar, I. N. (2012). The impact of taxon sampling on phylogenetic
- inference: A review of two decades of controversy. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, *13*(1),
- 122–134. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbr014
- Nesi, N., Nakouné, E., Cruaud, C., & Hassanin, A. (2011). DNA barcoding of African fruit
- bats (Mammalia, Pteropodidae). The mitochondrial genome does not provide a
- reliable discrimination between Epomophorus gambianus and Micropteropus pusillus.
- *Comptes Rendus Biologies*, *334*(7), 544–554.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crvi.2011.05.003
- Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A., & Minh, B. Q. (2015). IQ-TREE: A fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies.
- *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *32*(1), 268–274.
- https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300
- Norberg, U. M., & Rayner, J. M. V. (1987). Ecological morphology and flight in bats
- (Mammalia; Chiroptera): Wing adaptations, flight performance, foraging strategy and echolocation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B,*
- *Biological Sciences*, *316*(1179), 335–427. https://doi.org/10.2307/2396486
- Osgood, W. H. (1932). Mammals of the Kelley-Roosevelts and Delacour Asiatic expedition. *Field Museum of Natural History - Zoological Series*, *18*, 193–339.
- Posada, D. (2008). jModelTest: Phylogenetic model averaging. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *25*(7), 1253–1256. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msn083
- Rambaut, A. (2009). *FigTree v.1.4.0 2006-2012; http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/*.
- Retrieved from http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/
- Rivers, N. M., Butlin, R. K., & Altringham, J. D. (2005). Genetic population structure of
- Natterer's bats explained by mating at swarming sites and philopatry. *Molecular*
- *Ecology*, *14*(14), 4299–4312. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02748.x
- Roehrs, Z. P., Lack, J. B., & Van Den Bussche, R. A. (2010). Tribal phylogenetic
- relationships within Vespertilioninae (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae) based on mitochondrial and nuclear sequence data. *Journal of Mammalogy*, *91*(5), 1073–1092. https://doi.org/10.1644/09-MAMM-A-325.1
- 937 Roeleke, M., Johannsen, L., & Voigt, C. C. (2018). How bats escape the competitive exclusion principle—Seasonal shift from intraspecific to interspecific competition drives space use in a bat ensemble. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, *6*, 101.
- https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2018.00101
- Ronquist, F., Teslenko, M., van der Mark, P., Ayres, D. L., Darling, A., Hohna, S., … Huelsenbeck, J. P. (2012). MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Systematic Biology*, *61*(3), 539–542. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029
- Sanborn, C. C. (1952). The mammals of the Rush Watkins Zoological Expedition to Siam. *Natural History Bulletin of the Siam Society*, *15*(1), 1–20.
- Shamel, H. H. (1942). A collection of bats from Thailand (Siam). *Journal of Mammalogy*, *23*(3), 317–328. https://doi.org/10.2307/1375002
- Siddiqi, M. S. U. (1960). Notes on the status of bats of the genus *Scotophilus* from Southern Asia with key to the recognized forms. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History*,

3(32), 449–454. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222936008651043

- Simmons, N. B. (2005). Order Chiroptera. In D. E. Wilson & D. M. Reeder (Eds.), *Mammal species of the World: A Taxonomic and Geographic Reference* (Third Edition, pp.
- 312–529). London: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Sinaga, U., & Maryanto, I. (2008). *Scotophilus collinus*. Retrieved November 30, 2018, from The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2008: E.T136612A4318302 website:

http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2008.RLTS.T136612A4318302.en

- Slatkin, M. (1987). Gene flow and the geographic structure of natural populations. *Science*, *236*(4803), 787–792. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.3576198
- Sodhi, N. S., & Brook, B. W. (2006). *Southeast Asian Biodiversity in Crisis*. Cambridge University Press.
- Sodhi, N. S., Posa, M. R. C., Lee, T. M., Bickford, D., Koh, L. P., & Brook, B. W. (2010).
- The state and conservation of Southeast Asian biodiversity. *Biodiversity and*
- *Conservation*, *19*(2), 317–328. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-009-9607-5
- Sody, H. J. V. (1928). Twee nieuwe subspecies van *Pachyotis temmincki* Horsf. *Natuurkundig Tijdschrift Voor Nederlandsch Indië*, *88*, 86–91.
- Sody, H. J. V. (1936). Seventeen new generic specific, and subspecific names for Dutch East Indian mammals. *Natuurkundig Tijdschrift Voor Nederlandsch-Indie*, *96*, 42–55.
- Spaeth, P. A. (2009). Morphological convergence and coexistence in three sympatric North
- American species of *Microtus* (Rodentia: Arvicolinae). *Journal of Biogeography, 36*(2), 350–361. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2008.02015.x.
- Srinivasulu, B. & Srinivasulu, C. (2019a). *Scotophilus heathii*. Retrieved August 15, 2020,
- from The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2019: E.T20067A22031528 website: https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2019-3.RLTS.T20067A22031528.en.
- Srinivasulu, B. & Srinivasulu, C. (2019b*). Scotophilus kuhlii*. Retrieved August 15, 2020, from The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2019: e.T20068A22031278 website: https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2019-3.RLTS.T20068A22031278.en
- Swofford, D. L. (2003). *PAUP*. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and Other Methods). Version 4. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.*
- Tate, G. H. H. (1942). Review of the vespertilionine bats, with special attention to genera and species of the Archbold collections. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History*, *80*(7), 221–297.
- Thomas, O. (1897). On some Bats obtained in the Surat and Thana Districts by Mr R. C. Wroughton. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society*, *11*, 274–276.
- Tienderen, P. H. van. (1997). Generalists, specialists, and the evolution of phenotypic plasticity in sympatric populations of distinct Species. *Evolution, 51*(5), 1372–1380. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1997.tb01460.x
- Trifinopoulos, J., Nguyen, L.-T., von Haeseler, A., & Minh, B. Q. (2016). W-IQ-TREE: A fast online phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. *Nucleic Acids*
- *Research*, *44*(W1), W232-235. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw256
- Trujillo, R. G., Patton, J. C., Schlitter, D. A., & Bickham, J. W. (2009). Molecular
- phylogenetics of the bat genus *Scotophilus* (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae):
- Perspectives from paternally and maternally inherited genomes. *Journal of Mammalogy*, *90*(3), 548–560. https://doi.org/10.1644/08-MAMM-A-239R2.1
- Tu, V. T., Csorba, G., Ruedi, M., Furey, N. M., Son, N. T., Thong, V. D., … Hassanin, A.
- (2017). Comparative phylogeography of bamboo bats of the genus *Tylonycteris*
- (Chiroptera, Vespertilionidae) in Southeast Asia. *European Journal of Taxonomy*,
- *274*, 1–38. https://doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2017.274
- Tu, V. T., Hassanin, A., Furey, N. M., Son, N. T., & Csorba, G. (2018). Four species in one: Multigene analyses reveal phylogenetic patterns within Hardwicke's woolly bat,
- *Kerivoula hardwickii*-complex (Chiroptera, Vespertilionidae) in Asia. *Hystrix, the*
- *Italian Journal of Mammalogy*, *29*(1), 111–121. https://doi.org/10.4404/hystrix-00017-2017
- Vallo, P., Nkrumah, E. E., Tehoda, P., Benda, P., Badu, E. K., & Decher, J. (2016). Nutlet is a little nut: Disclosure of the phylogenetic position of Robbins' house bat *Scotophilus nucella* (Vespertilionidae). *Folia Zoologica*, *65*(4), 302–309.
- https://doi.org/10.25225/fozo.v65.i4.a2.2016
- Vallo, P., Reeder, D. M., Vodzak, M. E., & Benda, P. (2019). Resurrection of an East African house bat species *Scotophilus altilis* Allen, 1914 (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae). *Zootaxa*, *4577*(1), 148. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4577.1.9
- Vallo, P., & Van Cakenberghe, V. (2017). Advances in taxonomy of African House Bats (*Scotophilus*, Vespertilionidae). *African Bat Conservation News*, *46*, 4–9.
- Wilson, D. E., & Reeder, D. M. (2005). *Mammal Species of the World: A Taxonomic and Geographic Reference* (3rd ed.). Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Yu, W., Chen, Z., Li, Y., & Wu, Y. (2012). Phylogeographic relationships of *Scotophilus kuhlii* between Hainan island and mainland China. *Mammal Study*, *37*(2), 139–146. https://doi.org/10.3106/041.037.0204
- Zamudio, K. R., Bell, R. C., & Mason, N. A. (2016). Phenotypes in phylogeography: Species' traits, environmental variation, and vertebrate diversification. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113*(29), 8041–8048.
- https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1602237113
- Zar, J. H. (1999). *Biostatistical Analysis* (4th ed.). New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Zhang, L., Liang, B., Parsons, S., Wei, L., & Zhang, S. (2007). Morphology, echolocation and foraging behaviour in two sympatric sibling species of bat (*Tylonycteris pachypus* and
- *Tylonycteris robustula*) (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae). *Journal of Zoology, 271*(3),

```
1026 344–351. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.2006.00210.x
```
- Zhu, G., Chmura, A., & Zhang, L. (2012). Morphology, echolocation calls and diet of Scotophilus kuhlii (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae) on Hainan island, South China. *Acta Chiropterologica*, *14*(1), 175–181. https://doi.org/10.3161/150811012X654394
- Zwickl, D. J., & Hillis, D. M. (2002). Increased taxon sampling greatly reduces phylogenetic
- error. *Systematic Biology*, *51*(4), 588–598.
- https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150290102339

Figures

Figure 1. Phylogeographic patterns of Asian *Scotophilus* **spp. based on the**

mitochondrial (*COI* **and** *Cytb***) markers.**

 a: IUCN distribution range of four recently recognized Asian *Scotophilus* spp. Black dots refer to type localities of formerly described species or subspecies of *Scotophilus* in Asia (See Table S1). Note that the type locality of *S. kuhlii* in India is uncertain. b–c: Locations for which specimens of *S. kuhlii, S. heathii* or both species were collected are shown as triangles, circles and squares, respectively. Localities for specimens included in genetic analyses are filled and numbered whereas those of individuals in morphological analyses only are empty.

- MtDNA haplotypes of examined specimens in the TCS networks and their corresponding
- locations are indicated by the same colour and by numbers before and after colons,
- respectively (See Appendix 1 and Table S2 for more details).

Figure 2. Phylogenetic trees of Asian *Scotophilus* **spp. and outgroups.**

Values on nodes indicate Bayesian posterior probabilities (PP)/Maximum-Likelihood

bootstrap percentage (BP) (PP<0.7 and BP <70% are not shown). The asterisks (*) indicate

- that the node was supported by PP≥0.9/BP≥90. The colours of mtDNA haplotypes match
- those in Figure 1. The position and nature of all diagnostic indels (i: insertion; d: deletion)
- shared by at least two taxa in the alignments of nuclear genes are indicated in boxes.

-
- **Figure 3. Live and wet specimens (not to scale) of** *Scotophilus heathii* **and** *S. kuhlii*
- **collected in sympatry in two different regions of Vietnam.**
- Northern Vietnam (Location 10): a *S. heathii* / c *S. kuhlii*. South-Central Vietnam
- (Location 21): b *S. heathii* / d *S. kuhlii*

- **Figure 4. Skull profiles of selected Asian** *Scotophilus* **spp.**
- *S. heathii* s.s.: a Highland Central Vietnam (loc. 19; IEBR-M-4550), b Northern Vietnam
- (loc. 10; IEBR. T5028) and c South Central Vietnam (loc. 21; IEBR. VN17-533); *S. ?*
- *solutatus* s.s.: d Java, Indonesia (HNHM 2869.22); and *S. kuhlii* s.s.: e South Central
- Vietnam (loc. 21; IEBR. VN17-539) and g Northern Vietnam (loc. 10; IEBR. T5000). Scale
- $1070 = 10$ mm.
-
-

Figure 5. Scatter plots from morphological analyses of Asian *Scotophilus* **spp.**

 a and b: Variation in external (FA vs. Tib) and skull traits (GSL vs. ZB) among specimens of *Scotophilus* spp., respectively. Boxplots (with an interquartile range) to find outliers in the datasets were embedded; c: Plot of PC 1 against PC 2 from PCA on log-transformed craniodental measurements. The legends of symbols follow Figure 1. Bats of *S. heathii* found in three spatially isolated locations (18, 19, and 21) in Southern Indochina (Figure 1) appear as three relatively separated subpopulations.

Figure 6. Pairwise comparison of FA ranges (min–**max) for Asian** *Scotophilus* **spp.**

recognized in present and previous studies.

a – Sody, 1928; b – Tate, 1942; c – Shamel, 1942; d – Siddiqi, 1960; e – Corbet & Hill, 1992;

f – Kitchener et al. (1997); g – this study; and h – desired ranges for S*. kuhiii* and *S. heathii*.

1088 **Tables**

1089 Table 1: Synopsis of taxonomic studies on Asian *Scotophilus* between 1940–2000^(†).

1090 1091

(†) Prior to the mid-20th 1092 century, Asian *Scotophilus* included 17 taxa (species and subspecies)

1093 described as new to science (detailed in Figure 1; Table S1). Authors: 1 – Tate, 1942; 2 –

1094 Shamel, 1942; 3 – Sanborn, 1952; 4 – Siddiqui, 1960; 5 – Hill & Thonglongya, 1972; 6 –

1095 Corbet & Hill, 1992; 7 – Kitchener et al., 1997

1097 **Table 2: Range (min–max) of uncorrected p-distances (%) between Asian** *Scotophilus*

- 1098 **spp. and selected outgroups, based on** *COI* **(***Cytb***) (below the diagonal) and nuDNA**
-

1099 **(above the diagonal) datasets.**

1100

1101 Taxon: A – D and E are corresponding lineages A – D and Yunnan, China of *S. heathii* in

1102 Figure 2. Values in diagonal in bold show the maximum intraspecific distances within each

1103 taxon calculated from the respective datasets; NA – not available.

1105 **Table 3: External and craniodental measurements (in mm) of Asian** *Scotophilus* **spp.** Values are given as mean ± SD, n; min–max.

1106 Acronyms and definitions for measurements are given in the Materials and Methods section.

 $\frac{1107}{1108}$

1108 * – This specimen resembles *S. ?. solutatus* (Shamel, 1942; Tate, 1942)

1109 **Appendix 1. Asian** *Scotophilus* **specimens were collected and/or examined directly by the authors in this study.**

1110 See Material and Methods for acronyms of museums and genetic markers. (f) – tissue samples only. (f) –Localities for specimens included in

1111 molecular analyses are indicated by numbers (after colon) as shown in Figure 1. Country codes include: IN – India, KH – Cambodia; LA – Laos;

and VN – Vietnam. **(§)** 1112 **–** Numbers (in parentheses) after Genbank accession numbers for *Cytb* and *COI* sequences of *Scotophilus* specimens are

1113 respective haplotypes shown in Figure 1 and 2.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Integrative taxonomy and biogeography of Asian yellow house bats (Vespertilionidae: *Scotophilus***) in the Indomalayan Region**

Vuong Tan Tu, Tamás Görföl, Gábor Csorba, Satoru Arai, Fuka Kikuchi, Dai Fukui, Daisuke Koyabu, Neil M. Furey, Saw Bawm, Kyaw San Lin, Phillip Alviola, Chu Thi Hang, Nguyen Truong Son, Tran Anh Tuan, and Alexandre Hassanin

List of Alignments

Alignment S1. Alignment of *COI* sequences included in the TCS analysis. Alignment S2. Alignment of *Cytb* sequences included in the TCS analysis. Alignment S3. Alignment of *COI* sequences included in the phylogenetic analyses. Alignment S4. Alignment of *Cytb* sequences included in the phylogenetic analyses. Alignment S5. Alignment of *TuFM* sequences included in the phylogenetic analyses. Alignment S6. Alignment of *ZFYVE27* sequences included in the phylogenetic analyses. Alignment S7. Alignment of nuDNA sequences (combining *TuFM* and *ZFYVE27* genes) included in the phylogenetic analyses.

List of Tables

Table S1. List of species and subspecies of the Asian *Scotophilus* described as new to science prior to the mid-20th century.

Table S2. Genetic sequences of Asian *Scotophilus* spp. and outgroups in GenBank included in molecular analyses in the present study.

Table S3. Primers used to amplify and sequence DNA in the present study.

Table S4. mtDNA divergence within Asian *Scotophilus* spp.

Table S5. COI genetic variation in three clusters of geographic populations of *S. heathii* s.l..

Table S6. Factor loading for two first PCs obtained from PCA of cranial characters.

Table S7. Pairwise comparisons (ANOVA-tests) of morphometrics among geographical populations of *S. heathii* s.l. in Indochina.

List of Figures

Figure S1: Mantel tests for isolation by distance effects on pairwise genetic divergences among geographic populations of studied *Scotophilus* spp

Figure S2. ML trees of Asian *Scotophilus* spp. and outgroups reconstructed from *COI, Cytb* and *nuDNA* sequences.

Figure S3. Bayesian trees of Asian *Scotophilus* spp. and outgroups reconstructed from *TUFM* and *ZFYVE27* sequences.

Figure S4. Bayesian skyline plot representing historical demographic trends in *S. kuhlii* (above) and *S. heathii* (below).

Figure S5. Correlation between mean PC1's scores from PCA of skull characters and FA values of Asian *Scotophilus* spp.

*** References cited in the Supporting Information**

Table S1. List of species and subspecies of Asian *Scotophilus* **described as new to science** prior to the mid-20th century.

Table S2. Genetic sequences of Asian *Scotophilus* **spp. and outgroups in GenBank included in molecular analyses in the present study.**

(†) –Locations of specimens included in molecular analyses are indicated by numbers (after colon) as representing in Figure 1. Country codes include: IN – India, KH – Cambodia; LA – Laos; and VN – Vietnam. (‡) **–** Numbers (in parentheses) after Genbank accession numbers for *Cytb* and *COI* sequences of studied *Scotophilus* specimens are respective haplotypes representing in Figures 1 and 2. Reference (§) : 1 – Francis et al., 2010; 2 – Ikram et al., unpublished; 3 – Kruskop et al., unpublished; 4 –Rahman & Choudhury, unpublished; 5 – Srinivasulu et al., unpublished; 6 – Tan et al., 2020; 7 – Trujillo et al., 2009; 8 – Tu et al., 2014; 9 – Tu et al., 2017; and 10 – Tu et al., 2018.

Gene	Primer sets (5^3-3^3)	Amplicon	Sources
		length (bp)	
Cvtb	Mt-L14724F: CGAGATCTGAAAAACCATCGTTG	\sim 1190	Irwin, Kocher,
	Cytb-H15915R: AACTGCAGTCATCTCCGGTTTACAAGA		& Wilson, 1991.
	Cy-14726F: GACYARTRRCATGAAAAAYCAYCGT TGT	\sim 1180	Arai et al., 2016
	Cy-15909R: CYYCWTYIYTGGTTTACAAGACYAG		
COI	MammMt-5533F: CYCTGTSYTTRRATTTACAGTYYAA	~ 1620	Arai et al., 2019
	MammMt-7159R: GRGGTTCRAWWCCTYCCTYTCTT		
	UTyr: ACCYCTGTCYTTAGATTTACAGTC	\sim 750	Hassanin et al.,
	C1L705: ACTTCDGGGTGNCCRAARAATCA		2013
TUFM	TUFM-EX9U: CTGACTTGGGACATGGCCTGTCG	\sim 700	Hassanin et al.,
	TUFM-EX10L: ACGCTGGCCTTTYTCTAAGATCAT		2013
	ZFYVE27 ZFYVE27-EX6U: GAATGTGGAGTTCTTCCGAG	\sim 750-800	Hassanin et al.,
	ZFYVE27-EX7L: GGGTTCATCCGCCGCTGCAGA		2013

Table S3. Primers used to amplify and sequence DNA in the present study

Table S4. mtDNA divergence within Asian *Scotophilus* **spp.**

Table S5. *COI* **genetic variation in three clusters of geographic populations of** *S. heathii* **s.l..**

S5A - mtDNA divergence within three populations of *S. heathii.*

S5B - Molecular variance (AMOVA) of *COI* **variation in three populations of** *S. heathii* **s.l..**

S5C - Pairwise estimates of F_{ST} (below diagonal) and closest geographic distances (Km) **(above diagonal) between three geographic populations of** *S. heathii* **s.l..**

*** – p ≤ 0.001 ; ** – 0.001 $\leq p \leq 0.05$

Table S6. Factor loading for two first PCs from PCA of cranial characters.

Table S7. Pairwise comparisons (ANOVA-tests) of morphometrics among geographical populations of *S. heathii* **s.l. in Indochina.** Acronyms and definitions for measurements are given in the Materials and Methods.

Level of statistical significance: * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

A: Correlation between pairwise genetic divergences (minimum p-distance) and geographic distance (km) among geographic populations of Asian Scotophilus spp.

B: Correlation between pairwise genetic divergences and geographic distance among three groups of matrilines of S. heathii inferred from the COI TCS network analyses

Figure S1: Mantel tests for isolation by distance effects on pairwise genetic divergences among geographic populations of studied Scotophilus spp.

from *COI***,** *Cytb* **and** *nuDNA* **sequences.**

 $\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1\end{smallmatrix}$

- 9 **Figure S4. Bayesian skyline plot representing historical demographic trends in** *S. kuhlii*
- 10 **(above) and** *S. heathii* **(below).** The *X*-axis presents time as years before present. The Y-axis
- 11 represents the effective female population size (*N*e). Mean estimated Ne represents as the
- 12 solid line, whereas solid intervals refer the 95% highest probability density.

Figure S5. Correlation between mean values of PC1's scores obtained from PCA of skull

characters and FA values of Asian *Scotophilus* **spp.**

*** References cited in the Supporting Information**

-
- Allen, J. A. (1906). Mammals from the Island of Hainan, China. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History *22*, 463–490.
- Arai, S., Aoki, K., Sơn, N. T., Tú, V. T., Kikuchi, F., Kinoshita, G., … Oishi, K. (2019). Đakrông virus, a novel mobatvirus (Hantaviridae) harbored by the Stoliczka's Asian trident bat (*Aselliscus stoliczkanus*) in Vietnam. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1), 10239.
- https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-46697-5
- Arai, S., Kang, H. J., Gu, S. H., Ohdachi, S. D., Cook, J. A., Yashina, L. N., … Yanagihara, R. (2016). Genetic diversity of Artybash virus in the Laxmann's shrew (*Sorex*
- *caecutiens*). *Vector Borne and Zoonotic Diseases (Larchmont, N.Y.)*, *16*(7), 468–475.
- https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2015.1903
- Blyth, E. (1851). Report on the Mammalia and more remarkable species of birds inhabiting Ceylon. Journal of Asiatic Society of Bengal *20*, 153–185.
- Blyth, E. (1860). Report of Curator. Journal of Asiatic Society of Bengal *29*, 88.
- Geoffroy I., I. (1834). Mammifères. In Voyage aux Indes-Orientales par le Nord de l'Europe, C. Bélanger, ed. (Paris: Arthur Bertrand), pp. 3–160.
- Gray, J. E. (1843). List of the specimens of Mammalia in the collection of the British Museum (London: Trustees of the British Museum).
- Hassanin, A., An, J., Ropiquet, A., Nguyen, T. T., & Couloux, A. (2013). Combining multiple autosomal introns for studying shallow phylogeny and taxonomy of Laurasiatherian mammals: Application to the tribe Bovini (Cetartiodactyla, Bovidae). *Molecular*
- *Phylogenetics and Evolution*, *66*(3), 766–775.
- Horsfield, T. (1824). Zoological researches in Java, and the neighbouring islands (London: Printed for Kingsbury, Parbury, & Allen).
- Horsfield, T. (1831). Observations on two species of bats, from Madras, one of them new, presented by Mr. Heath. Proc. Comm. Zool. Soc. London *Part I*, 113–125.
- Horsfield, T. (1851). A catalogue of the Mammalia in the Museum of the Hon. East-India Company (London: J. & H. Cox).
- Ikram,A., Javed,A., Mansoor,S., Nazir, S., & Hayat, M. Q. (Unpublished). Barcoding of bats of Pakistan.
- Irwin, D. M., Kocher, T. D., & Wilson, A. C. (1991). Evolution of the cytochrome b gene of mammals. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, *32*(2), 128–144. Arai, S., Aoki, K., Sơn,

