



HAL
open science

The case for considering polarization in the interpretation of electrical and electromagnetic measurements in the 3 kHz to 3 MHz frequency range

Alain Tabbagh, Fayçal Rejiba, Cécile Finco, Cyril Schamper, B. Souffaché, C. Camerlynck, J. Thiesson, D. Jougnot, A. Mainault

► To cite this version:

Alain Tabbagh, Fayçal Rejiba, Cécile Finco, Cyril Schamper, B. Souffaché, et al.. The case for considering polarization in the interpretation of electrical and electromagnetic measurements in the 3 kHz to 3 MHz frequency range. *Surveys in Geophysics*, 2021, 10.1007/s10712-020-09625-1 . hal-03146809

HAL Id: hal-03146809

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03146809>

Submitted on 19 Feb 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **The case for considering polarization in the interpretation of electrical and**
2 **electromagnetic measurements in the 3 kHz to 3 MHz frequency range.**

3

4 A.Tabbagh¹, F. Rejiba², C. Finco¹, C. Schamper¹, B. Souffaché¹, C. Camerlynck¹, J.
5 Thiesson¹, D. Jougnot¹, A. Mainault¹

6 ¹Sorbonne Université, CNRS, EPHE, UMR7619, Métis, 4 place Jussieu F-75252, Cedex 05 Paris, France

7 ² Université de Rouen Normandie - UMR CNRS 6143 M2C, place Emile Blondel 76821 Mont Saint Aignan
8 cedex, FRANCE

9

10 **Abstract**

11 Usually, *in-situ* electrical polarisation measurements (in geophysical prospection
12 referred to as Induced Polarization (IP), or Spectral Induced Polarization (SIP)) have been
13 carried out at frequencies below 1 kHz. These techniques have been used mainly for mining
14 exploration, followed by a larger panel of environmental applications. However, in this ultra
15 and extremely low frequency domain, the duration of each individual measurement is long:
16 typically several tens of minutes for a single full SIP spectrum down to the mHz range. This
17 restriction makes it unrealistic to implement high-density measurement mapping campaigns
18 over large areas, which would otherwise be possible at higher frequencies. In the intermediate
19 frequency range [3 kHz – 3 MHz], laboratory studies of soil and rock samples have shown
20 that they can be strongly polarized notably in the presence of clays, and this property has been
21 confirmed by several *in-situ* mapping experiments using electromagnetic induction (EMI) in
22 the time and frequency domains (FDEM and TDEM), as well as by the electrostatic method
23 (often named Capacitive Coupled Resistivity or CCR). The present paper recalls these results

24 in an effort to promote polarisation measurements at intermediate frequencies, and to
25 emphasize the importance of measuring this phenomenon.

26

27 **Key words:** electrical polarisation, permittivity, [3 kHz – 3 MHz] frequency range

28

29 **1.-Introduction**

30 For more than a century, electrical resistivity/conductivity has played a crucial role in
31 near-surface geophysics (Jakosky 1940), for both large surface mapping and localised
32 tomographic/sounding studies based on the measurement of this property. Reference books
33 dealing with the electrical and electromagnetic methods themselves (Keller & Frischknecht
34 1966, Nabighian ed. 1988 and 1991) and describing different fields of application have
35 contributed to the development of their physical and theoretical foundations and provided
36 numerous practical examples (Scollar et al. 1990, Rubin & Hubbard 2005, Sharma 2008,
37 Dentith & Mudge 2015). Since the seminal work of Archie (1942), considerable research has
38 been devoted to the analysis of several specific parameters - porosity, clay content, water
39 saturation, water mineralization, etc... - affecting the electrical resistivity (e.g. Glover 2015).
40 Various applications, ranging from mining exploration to precision farming can benefit from
41 airborne and ground surveys of this property, over large surface areas. Extensive review
42 papers can be found in the literature, as for example by Pellerin (2002) or Doolittle & Brevik
43 (2014) in the case of near-surface applications. However, as the use of induction
44 electromagnetic methods is commonly limited to electrical resistivity alone, they do not
45 provide a full description of the electrical response of near surface rocks and soils. The
46 determination of their polarisation properties can also be considered, as one cannot *a priori*
47 exclude unknown but possibly valuable information.

48 Polarisation phenomena were observed very early, in both surface and logging
49 measurements, and Schlumberger (1920) coined the term ‘polarisation provoquée’
50 (“provoked polarisation” in English) which was later (with a possible confusion with the
51 electromagnetic induction) changed in English to ‘induced polarisation’ (IP). During the 50’s
52 the IP method was applied in time domain measurements (Bleil 1953), and encountered
53 considerable success in ground prospecting campaigns applied to mining, as it was able to
54 reveal disseminated mineralization (mainly semiconductor sulphides, e.g. Pelton et al.
55 (1978)). However, even in the absence of minerals, researchers became aware of the role
56 played by clay in IP, including mixtures of clay and coarser particles (Vaquier et al. 1957,
57 Okay et al. 2014). Over the last thirty years, significant developments were achieved on the
58 role of the clay and of the fluid characteristics on the IP signal in the context of groundwater
59 and environmental studies (e.g. Luo and Zhang 1998, Kemna et al. 2012, Binley et al. 2015).
60 Various laboratory studies and field exploration campaigns have been reported, and
61 theoretical models have been proposed. The latter, in particular, established links between
62 electrical polarisation and hydraulic properties (Börner et al. 1996, Revil & Florsch 2010,
63 Fiandaca et al. 2019). Field measurements were performed mostly in the time domain, by
64 measuring the voltage decay after cut-off, over a period ranging from one second to several
65 minutes. However, frequency domain measurements made it possible to gain a better
66 understanding of the frequency dependence of IP (the spectral IP, SIP). Regular workshops
67 allowed the IP community to report on-going research, to publish in special issues, of which
68 the most recent were edited by Camerlynck, Chauris, Mainault and Schmutz (2015 and 2016),
69 Fiandaca, Flores Orozco and Hördt (2017) and by Ntarlagiannis, Wu and Ustra (2019).

70 IP requires significantly long measurement periods: most frequency domain laboratory
71 studies are carried out over the [1 mHz -1 kHz] frequency range, field measurements last for
72 several minutes per point if such low frequencies are included. This requirement limits

73 complete field studies of IP to fixed point measurements, compatible with 1D soundings.
74 Current electrical resistivity tomography (ERT) acquisition devices used for IP limit the
75 practical frequency range above 1 Hz and below 100 Hz, , but as the number of periods
76 necessary for a good staking controls in the field the progression speed of towed instruments,
77 such frequencies do not match with rapid motion. In order to overcome this limitation, shorter
78 measurement times and higher frequency ranges are needed. Adding higher frequencies to
79 classical IP field investigations will also give access to potential new information
80 (identification of relaxation phenomena with shorter time constant for example) and to a
81 better characterisation of known IP phenomena.

82 There also exists specific *in-situ* difficulties associated with galvanic IP
83 measurements: ground dependent EM coupling between cables or electrode polarisation
84 (Bhattacharyya and Morrison 1963, Pelton et al. 1978, Kelter et al. 2018). In the [3 kHz – 3
85 Hz] frequency range these specific difficulties can be overcome by using other measurement
86 principles: magnetic sensors (coils) (Simon et al. 2019) and capacitive poles (Grard and
87 Tabbagh 1991). If one uses metallic electrodes (or liquid electrodes) inserted in the ground
88 one has both a capacitance and a resistance thus a phase shift and significant risk of phase
89 rotation if one of the two characteristics changes, while if one only uses capacitances there is
90 no phase shift and the only difficulty is linked with the relative magnitude of the capacitance
91 at the poles and at the feeding wire (but the leaks are proportional to the capacitances and a
92 model can be performed), poles are only required to present significantly higher capacities
93 than feeding wires

94 The range of permittivity and conductivity values relevant to Ground Penetrating
95 Radars (GPR) and Time Domain Reflectometry (TDR) applications, have been well
96 documented at frequencies above 30 MHz, and electrical polarisation is usually interpreted in
97 terms of the orientation of polar water molecules. However, little is known between SIP and

98 GPR frequency ranges. At frequencies in the [3 kHz – 3 MHz] interval, which includes VLF
99 (Very Low Frequency), LF (Low Frequency) and MF (Medium Frequency) measurements, a
100 small number of field observations and tests have been carried out, using three different
101 techniques: Time-Domain Electromagnetics (TDEM), Frequency-Domain Electromagnetic
102 induction (FDEM), and the electrostatic method (Capacitive Coupled Resistivity, CCR).
103 However, with all these techniques the measured responses are usually dominated by the
104 electrical conductivity and the polarisation part is most often neglected. Nevertheless a larger
105 number of both laboratory and field experiments are needed to evaluate the validity of this
106 choice. An accurate evaluation the influence of electrical polarisation would permit a better
107 understanding of its magnitude in various field contexts.

108 At the macroscopic scale, electrical polarisation corresponds to a situation in which the
109 barycentre of the positive charges does not coincide with the barycentre of the negative
110 charges. At the microscopic pore/grain scales, different processes with different relaxation
111 geometric scales and time constants limit the free displacement of electric charges. Although
112 their detailed classification (Kemna et al. 2012) could be questioned, one can cite: electrode
113 polarisation, membrane polarisation (Marshall and Madden 1959), electrochemical
114 polarisation, interfacial polarisation/diffuse layer polarisation (Revil 2013), and Maxwell-
115 Wagner polarisation (Chen and Or 2006). Depending on the frequency and temperature
116 ranges involved, all of these processes can contribute to the magnitude of the polarisation and
117 can add together so that it could be difficult to single one out and a regular decrease with
118 frequency can be often observed. It must also be underlined that anisotropy in the shape of the
119 polarized volume plays a major part in the magnitude of their moment (Tabbagh et al. 2009).

120 In a first step towards the assessment of polarisation in the [3 kHz – 3 MHz] frequency
121 range and in view of a better interpretation of EMI or CCR surveys, the present paper

122 provides an overview of published experimental results, at both field and laboratory scales,
123 and in both time and frequency domains.

124 Part of these experimental results were collected during the course of laboratory SIP
125 experiments, at frequencies of 10 kHz or higher. The considered published results serve as a
126 basis to illustrate the global magnitude of polarisation and conductivity values without being
127 able to identify the different polarisation processes. In an effort to focus this study on
128 common rocks and soils, we do not consider measurements carried out on media containing
129 electronic conductor/semiconductor minerals (oxides, sulphides, metallic particles or
130 graphite).

131

132 **2.-How should the electrical polarisation be expressed?**

133 In the Maxwell-Ampère equation, the electrical polarisation is represented by the
134 displacement currents. In the frequency domain this can be written:

$$135 \quad \text{curl } H = (\sigma_0 + i\omega\varepsilon)E \quad (1),$$

136 where E is the electric field, H is the magnetic field, σ_0 is the direct current electrical
137 conductivity, ω is the angular frequency ($\omega = 2\pi f$), $i = \sqrt{-1}$, and ε is the dielectric
138 permittivity. Although this last property describes the dielectric behaviour, in order to take the
139 dielectric losses into account it must be considered complex quantity: $\varepsilon = \varepsilon' - i\varepsilon''$. Here, the
140 imaginary term has the same phase as the motion of free carriers (direct current conductivity,
141 σ_0), from which it cannot be experimentally distinguished. The measured conductivity thus
142 corresponds to: $\sigma' = \sigma_0 + \omega\varepsilon''$, which leads to the Maxwell-Ampère equation being written as:

$$143 \quad \text{curl } H = (\sigma_0 + \omega\varepsilon'' + i\omega\varepsilon')E = (\sigma' + i\omega\varepsilon')E \quad (2)$$

144 These properties can be also expressed in the form of a complex conductivity ($\sigma' +$
 145 $i\sigma''$) or a complex resistivity ($\rho' - i\rho''$), leading to the following correspondences: $\sigma' = \sigma_0 +$
 146 $\omega\varepsilon''$ and $\sigma'' = \omega\varepsilon'$.

147 In several publications authors usually refer to a formula derived from the Cole-Cole
 148 (1941) model to describe polarisation phenomena (e.g. Pelton et al. 1978):

$$149 \quad \rho(\omega) = \rho_0 \left[1 - m \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + (i\omega\tau)^c} \right) \right] \quad (3)$$

150 where ρ_0 is the direct current resistivity, m is the chargeability and c expresses the
 151 enlargement of the relaxation constant (τ) distribution. Note that Eq. (3) is an empirical
 152 representation of the polarization mechanisms occurring in geological media, but other
 153 empirical models exist, among which multiple or generalized Cole-Cole (see Ghorbani et al.
 154 2009), or constant phase angle model (see for instance Dias (2000) for an extended model
 155 collection).

156 In the following text, in an effort to homogenise and clarify the representation of
 157 polarisation and in agreement with other researcher approaches (Knight and Endres (2005),
 158 Revil (2013), Loewer et al. (2017)), we regroup all the different polarization mechanisms that
 159 can contribute and we express the electrical polarisation in terms of a real effective parameter,
 160 the relative permittivity ε_r , defined by $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r$ (ε_0 being the permittivity in vacuum, $\varepsilon_0 = 8.854 \times$
 161 10^{-12} Fm^{-1}). Consequently, when considering the published results expressed by the imaginary
 162 part of the conductivity we introduce $\varepsilon_r = \frac{\sigma''(\omega)}{\omega\varepsilon_0}$, and when they are expressed by equation

163 (3) we identify $\rho(\omega)$ with $\frac{1}{\sigma' + i\omega\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_r}$. In the following text this relative effective

164 permittivity is for sake of simplicity denominated permittivity, we also use the term imaginary
 165 part of the permittivity for $\varepsilon''/\varepsilon_0$.

166

167 **3. Looking first at simple experimental cases**

168 As introductory illustrations of both the magnitude and the frequency dependence of
169 the permittivity we present in Figure 1a and b the experimental results obtained in laboratory
170 for one soil sample and one rock sample in the [100 Hz – 10 MHz] range using a capacitive
171 cell and a vector multi-meter (PSM 1735, NumetricQ, Ltd). The cell dimensions are, Figure
172 1c, 19 x 160 x 160 mm³.

173 The soil is a clay loam coming from the A horizon of the ‘Jardin du Roy’ in Paris.
174 This material is indeed complex, where beside mineral grains exist a lot of biological active
175 and residual elements but the presence of a soil layer is usual in geophysical surveys. It has a
176 high porosity, $n=0.481$ (bulk density 1.34 g.cm⁻³). When dried, at 105°C for 24 hours, the real
177 part of the permittivity equals 3 over the whole frequency range in agreement with the Topp
178 et al. (1980) equation. In that soil sample the drying has eliminated all the polarisation
179 mechanisms except the atomic polarisation of solid grains. The presented measurements have
180 been achieved at a high volumetric water content: $\theta=0.446$ (quasi saturation). One can
181 observe (Figure 1a) that the imaginary part is linearly decreasing with frequency, the slope
182 corresponding to a DC conductivity of 21.6 mS.m⁻¹ thus to 46 Ω .m (thin line). The real part
183 has very high values in low frequency as it starts from 9×10^5 at 100 Hz, and reaches 4 000 at
184 3 kHz. Its linear decrease fits with a Jonscher’s exponent of -1.45 (Jonscher 1977). Such a
185 regularity in the decrease corresponds to a large distribution of relaxation times. Higher
186 frequency values agrees relatively well with the Topp et al. formula: for $\theta=0.446$, $\epsilon_r=29$. The
187 curves corresponding to the modelling expression,

$$188 \quad \epsilon_r = (9 \cdot 10^5 (\omega/\omega_0)^{-1.45} + 29 - i 0.0216/(\omega\epsilon_0)) \quad (4),$$

189 are drawn by a thin continuous lines in the Figure 1a, ω_0 being the angular frequency
190 corresponding to 100 Hz.

191 The rock sample is a Lutetian limestone extracted from the Saint Pierre Aigle quarry
192 (Aisne, France). It contains calcite, 85% in weight, sand 10% and a few percent of
193 phyllosilicates (clay). The open porosity n equals 0.18. The results presented in Figure 1b are
194 measured when the sample is dry, $\theta=0.017$, and the conductivity is too low to be measurable.
195 In addition to the high frequency permittivity behaviour, one can observe a unique relaxation
196 process which fits the following expression (thin lines in Figure 1b),

$$197 \quad \varepsilon(\omega) = \frac{\Delta\varepsilon}{1+(i\omega\tau)^c} + \varepsilon_h' - i\varepsilon_h'' \quad (5),$$

198 where $\Delta\varepsilon = 28$, the real part of the high frequency permittivity $\varepsilon_h' = 4.5$, its imaginary part
199 equals $\varepsilon_h'' = 0.5$, $c = 0.65$ and $\tau = 1.75 \mu\text{s}$.

200 In this second sample, the behaviour of the permittivity is very different in amplitude
201 and in frequency dependence from that of the moist soil, but even this dry rock sample has a
202 real part of the permittivity staying above 30 between 100 Hz and 10 kHz, significantly
203 higher than 4.5 at high frequencies.

204

205 **4.-Published effective permittivity values in laboratory**

206 **4.1 Experiments covering the [3 kHz – 3 MHz] frequency range**

207 In order to understand in-field observations made at ground surface or by means of
208 well logging, and to assess the different hypotheses that can be proposed to interpret the data,
209 various laboratory studies have been carried out on both natural and synthetic samples.
210 Unfortunately, there are more published results derived from laboratory studies than from
211 field campaigns. Several studies have covered a wide frequency range, and the results of some

212 are given in Table 1. It can be observed that clayey formations have permittivity values above
213 hundred or thousand between 10 and 100 kHz.

214 **4.2 Values determined at 10 kHz by SIP experiments**

215 SIP gave rise to a large number of laboratory studies, designed to assess the roles
216 played by minerals, their grain size distribution, as well as their fluid nature and content.
217 Several different models have also been tested in the context of these studies, some of which
218 were carried out at frequencies of several tenths of a kilohertz, allowing the permittivity to be
219 determined at 10 kHz (Table 2). Again values of permittivity above 1000 are reported for
220 clayey formations.

221

222 **5.-Published *in-Situ* data**

223 **5.1 Electrostatic measurements (CCR)**

224 This method can be seen as a generalization of the DC resistivity, where the injection
225 electrodes are replaced by an open capacitor and the voltage electrodes by another open
226 capacitor (Grard and Tabbagh 1991, Tabbagh et al. 1993, Kuras et al. 2006). To reduce the
227 pole impedances, the transmitted frequency(ies) must in practice be larger than or equal to 10
228 kHz. By adopting 100 kHz as the upper frequency limit imposed by the induction effect
229 (Benderitter et al. 1994, Tabbagh and Panissod 2000), an adequate depth of investigation is
230 achieved for most engineering and environmental applications.

231 Table 3 summarises the ranges of resistivity and permittivity obtained following the
232 acquisition of profile or map data series.

233 **5.2 Electromagnetic frequency domain measurements**

234 Is it possible to measure IP using an inductive system, which would pave the way to
235 direct and large ground and airborne applications? This old question (Hohmann et al. 1970)
236 led to a series of studies that concluded negatively, although these were carried out using
237 simulations and experiments limited to 1.5 kHz for transmitter coil - receiver coil instrument
238 configurations. More recently, some *in situ* experiments have been performed at higher
239 frequencies, using both helicopter-borne and ground-based devices (Table 4) and the coil-coil
240 configuration gave reliable results even at medium frequencies (Kessouri et al. 2016). For
241 FDEM measurements using uniform primary fields, radio-magnetotellurics (RMT) or wave-
242 tilt measurements, the influence of the electrical polarisation has been considered in theory
243 (Grossley 1981) with permittivity values as high as 200 (Sinha et al 1977) but unfortunately
244 the published experiments are limited to very high resistive areas (permafrost and/or
245 crystalline basement) where the permittivity remains below 10 (Kalscheuer et al. 2008).

246 **5.3 TDEM measurements**

247 In principle, TDEM measurements (Nabighian and Macnae 1988) correspond to an
248 extended frequency range, and, as the cut-off time can be as short as 4 μ s (Thiesson et al.
249 2007, Auken et al. 2019), the frequency range considered in the present review corresponds to
250 the early time-domain samples but IP effects can also be observed at late times. The presence
251 of electrical polarisation is observed as an unexpected cancellation of monotonicity in the
252 decrease of the step and impulse responses by reference to what would be expected with the
253 same transmitter/receiver configuration when only conductivity and magnetic viscosity are
254 considered. This phenomenon has been observed since the 70's (Lee 1975), and can only be
255 explained by electrical polarisation (Weidelt 1982). In some cases, the authors were able to
256 check these observations by direct current IP measurements and/or by Cole-Cole modelling.

257 The corresponding permittivity values, listed in Table 5 below, are estimated from the
258 following equation: $\varepsilon_r = \frac{1}{\omega\varepsilon_0} \text{Im}\left(\frac{1}{\rho(\omega)}\right)$.

259

260 **6- General appraisal: amplitude of the polarisation response and relation with** 261 **conductivity**

262 An overview of the permittivity and resistivity variations is synthesized in Figure 2.
263 Although these results do not give an exhaustive list of the experimental studies reported in
264 the literature, they are sufficient to establish what the electrical polarisation looks like in the
265 [3 kHz – 3 MHz] frequency range.

266 (1) The magnitude of the electrical polarisation expressed in ε_r can exceed
267 10 000. It is certainly higher than that observed in the range of frequencies
268 used for GPR/TDR, as summarized by the Topp et al. (1980) cubic
269 formula;

270 (2) It can be seen that there is consistency between laboratory (Tables 1 and 2)
271 and field measurements (Tables 3, 4 and 5).

272 (3) Below approximately 300 $\Omega\cdot\text{m}$ (above 3.3 $\text{S}\cdot\text{m}^{-1}$), the permittivity looks
273 inversely correlated with resistivity. It exhibits a high variability at least as
274 strong as that of the resistivity. Figure 2 illustrates this anti-correlation.
275 However points are not grouped along a straight or curved line, but
276 scattered. Consequently a significant part of information existing in
277 permittivity is independent on that existing in resistivity.

278 (4) As expected, the existence of several relaxation mechanisms with gradually
279 increasing time constants corresponds to a decrease of the polarisation with
280 frequency.

281 (5) In all tabulated results, clay content and ionic strength contribute
282 dominantly to polarisation.

283 Figure 3 plots the product $\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r \omega$ as a function of σ , derived from laboratory samples. It
284 can be seen that the samples can be divided into two distinct groups, depending on the
285 presence (higher conductivity) or absence (lower conductivity) of clay. In the first group,
286 most of the data points lay between the lines indicating a contribution of 0.1 and 0.01 of the
287 permittivity relatively to the conductivity. This shows that although conductivity dominates
288 the response, the permittivity responses should also be taken into account. In the second
289 group, the permittivity responses clearly dominate the results. It is thus always necessary to
290 consider both responses.

291 Tables 1 to 5 reveal that, when the measured resistivity is high, its decrease as a
292 function of frequency due to the presence of dielectric losses, $\omega \varepsilon''$, is more easily observable.
293 In cases where the dielectric losses contribution is significant, it would be useful to compare
294 these frequency-dependent measurements with the direct current resistivity, in the field as
295 well as in the laboratory.

296 **7 Implications for field measurements**

297 It is clear that any processing of field data should consider the polarisation processes
298 independently from the usual conduction processes in the [3 kHz – 3 MHz] frequency range
299 where short measurement durations open the way to high density surveys. In this range the
300 different electrical methods can be split in in three groups: the coil – coil EMI methods, the
301 EMI methods using distant sources, and the electrostatic method (CCR). In the first group, for
302 lower frequencies, measurement interpretation may be even more complicated by the
303 influence of the magnetic properties. Thus, two situations can be considered: in the first, the
304 method is not sensitive to magnetic properties, which may intervene in the second situation.

305

306

7.1 In absence of magnetic property influences

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

In the first situation, given that the Maxwell-Ampère equation states that the conduction the displacement currents are 90 degree's out-of-phase from the conduction ones, the measurement of both in-phase and out-of-phase components (by reference to the primary source signal) is necessary and sufficient to determine the apparent resistivity and the apparent permittivity. Recent electronics devices can easily perform the separation between in-phase and out-of-phase components. The calculation of apparent properties can be done by inversion (Kessouri et al. 2016), by lookup tables (Huang and Fraser 2002) or master curves. Using an inversion procedure is of common use with the electrostatic method (Souffaché et al. 2010, Przyklenk et al. 2016) and with coil –coil FDEM instruments where the frequency is sufficiently high and/or the coil separation sufficiently large (Simon et al. 2019). However, in Magnetotellurics (or Radio MT in the considered range) the influence of the permittivity modifies both the amplitude of the wave impedance and the phase lag between the electric and magnetic fields. Consequently both amplitude and phase sounding curves are distorted and a precise interpretation would be impossible in absence of a phase reference locked on the source of the primary field.

322

7.2 In presence of magnetic property influences

323

324

325

326

327

For small FDEM or TDEM instruments setup, the magnetic properties may have a strong influence. For FDEM, to the best of our knowledge for ground measurements if the magnetic susceptibility do not exceed 10^{-3} SI, the rule of thumb criterion $(f L) > 10^6 \text{ Hz.m}$ is relevant (L being the separation between coils) for neglecting the magnetic susceptibility response. If not, several possibilities exist:

328 (1) in FDEM determine the magnetic susceptibility by moving the frequency at the
329 lower possible values (for example for a metric inter-coil spacing instrument use a frequency
330 around 10 kHz), where the rule of thumb criterion $(fL) < 3 \cdot 10^4 \text{ Hz} \cdot \text{m}$ is relevant to neglect the
331 permittivity response, and in TDEM determine the magnetic viscosity by the late time
332 response.

333 (2) in FDEM set the height of the instrument in HCP (horizontal coplanar) coil
334 configuration in such way that the magnetic response is negligible as it is known that the
335 magnetic susceptibility response crosses 0 if the instrument altitude equals $0.38L$ (for example
336 if $L=3.66$ m the instrument can be held at a height of 1.4 m)

337 (3) in FDEM use both HCP and VCP (vertical coplanar) configurations because in the
338 HCP the permittivity in-phase response opposes the magnetic susceptibility while in the VCP
339 it adds (Benech et al. 2016). In all cases, instruments must be carefully calibrated (Thiesson et
340 al. 2014).

341 The same ideas of combining coil separation and clearance can be applied in TDEM
342 (Finco 2019).

343

344 **8- Conclusion**

345 We present the results of varied laboratory and field experiments conducted over
346 different material for different objectives. A very brief review of our scope and objectives is
347 in order here.

348 First, since the magnitude of the permittivity response can be significant (and in some
349 case dominant), exploration geophysicists should not neglect $\epsilon\omega$ in favour of σ , when
350 implementing EM inductive (both frequency and time domain) and electrostatic exploration
351 methods. In other words, (i) even if present practices are limited to conductivity, taking into

352 account the permittivity will allow a better determination of the conductivity itself which is
353 imperative when simultaneously interpreting different type of conductivity measurement
354 techniques and (ii) there is no reason to *a priori* exclude information.

355 Second, the measurement and in-field mapping of permittivity may open opportunities
356 for the exploration of a broad range of new types of geophysical information. In the
357 considered frequency range, both conductivity and permittivity depend on the total amount of
358 mobile ions, conductivity corresponding to free motion and permittivity to constrained
359 motions limited by what may oppose to the ion displacements: pore size and throat
360 configuration, disposition, shapes and types of clay platelets, etc...

361

362 **References**

363 Archie G. E., 1942. The electrical resistivity log as an aid in determining some reservoir
364 characteristics. SPE-AIME Transactions, 146, 54-62.

365 Auken E., Foged N., Larsen J. J., Lassen K. V. T., Maurya P. K., Dath S. M., Eiskjaer T. T.,
366 2019. tTEM – A towed transient electromagnetic system for detailed 3D imaging of the top
367 70m of the surface. Geophysics, 84-1, E13-E22.

368 Benderitter, Y., Jolivet, A., Mounir, A., & Tabbagh, A, 1994. Application of the electrostatic
369 quadripole to sounding in the hectometric depth range. Journal of Applied Geophysics, 31(1-
370 4), 1-6.

371 Benech C., Lombard P., Réjiba F., Tabbagh A., 2016. Demonstrating the contribution of
372 dielectric permittivity to the in-phase EMI response of soils: example of an archaeological site
373 in Bahrain. Near Surface Geophysics, 14-4, 337-344.

374 Bhattacharyya, B. K., Morrison, H. F., (1963). Some theoretical aspects of electrode
375 polarization in rocks. Geophysical Prospecting, 11(2), 176-191.

376 Binley A., Hubbard S. S., Huisman J. A., Revil A., Robinson D. A., Singha K., Slater L. D.,
377 2015. The emergence of Hydrogeophysics improved understanding of subsurface processes
378 over multiple scales. Water Resources Research, 51(6), 3837-3866.

379 Bleil D. F., 1953. Induced Polarisation: a method of geophysical prospecting. Geophysics, 18,
380 636-661.

381 Börner F. D., Schopper J. R., Weller A., 1996. Evaluation of transport and storage properties
382 in the soil and groundwater zone from induced polarization measurements. Geophysical
383 Prospecting, 44, 583-601.

384 Camerlynck C., Chauris H., Maineult A., Schmutz M. (editors), 2015. Special issue on new
385 trends in induced polarization- Part 1. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 123, 283-344.

386 Camerlynck C., Chauris H., Maineult A., Schmutz M., (editors), 2016. Special issue on new
387 trends in induced polarization- Part 2. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 135, 375-502.

388 Chen Y., Or D., 2006. Effects of Maxwell-Wagner polarization on soil complex dielectric
389 permittivity under variable temperature and electrical conductivity. *Water Resources*
390 *Research*, 42, W06424.

391 Cole K. S., Cole R. H., 1941. Dispersion and absorption in dielectrics, I. alternating current
392 characteristics. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 9, 341-351.

393 Dentith M., Mudge S. T., 2015. *Geophysics for the Mineral Exploration Geoscientist*.
394 Cambridge University Press.

395 Dias, C. A., 2000. Developments in a model to describe low-frequency electrical polarization
396 of rocks. *Geophysics*, 65, 437-451.

397 Doolittle J. A., Brevik E. C., 2014. The use of electromagnetic induction techniques in soils
398 studies. *Geoderma*, 223-225, 33-45.

399 Fiandaca G., Flores Orozco A., Hördt A. (editors), 2017. Special issue on induced
400 polarization – Narrowing the gap between theory and observations. *Near Surface Geophysics*,
401 15(6).

402 Fiandaca G., Maurya P. K., Balbarini N., Hordt A., Christiansen A. V., Foged N., Bjerg P. L.,
403 Auken E., 2019. Permeability estimation directly from logging-while-drilling Induced
404 Polarization data. *Water Resources Research*, 54, 2851-2870.

405

406 Finco, C., 2019. Étude de l'impact simultané des propriétés électriques, diélectriques et
407 magnétiques du sous-sol sur la mesure géophysique par méthode électromagnétique inductive
408 dans le domaine temporel (TDEM). PhD Thesis, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France.

409 Ghorbani A., Cosenza P., Revil A., Zamora M., Schmutz M., Florsch N., Jougnot D., 2009.
410 Non-invasive monitoring of water content and textural changes in clay-rocks using spectral
411 induced polarization: A laboratory investigation. *Applied Clay Science*, 43(3-4), 493-502.

412 Glover P. W. J., 2015. 11.04- Geophysical Properties of the Near Surface Earth: Electrical
413 Properties, in *Treatise on Geophysics* (second edition), Elsevier, 11, 89-137.

414 Grard R., Tabbagh A., 1991. A mobile four electrode array and its application to the electrical
415 survey of planetary grounds at shallow depths. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 96-B3,
416 4117-4123.

417 Crossley D. J., 1981. The theory of EM surface wave impedance measurements. In
418 *Geophysical Applications of Surface Wave Impedance Measurements*, Paper no. 81-15, pp.
419 1-17, editors Collet, L.S. and Jensen, O.G., Geological Survey of Canada.

420 Hohmann G. W., Kintzinger P. R., van Voorhis G. D., Ward S. H., 1970. Evaluation of the
421 measurement of induced electrical polarization with an inductive system. *Geophysics*, 35(5),
422 901-915.

423 Huang H., Fraser D. C., 2002. Dielectric permittivity and resistivity mapping using high-
424 frequency, helicopter-borne EM data. *Geophysics*, 67(3), 727-738. Jakosky J. J., 1940.
425 *Exploration geophysics*. Trija Publishing Company, Newport Beach

426 Jonscher A. K., 1977. The universal dielectric response. *Nature*, 267, 673-679.

427 Kalscheuer T., Pedersen L. B., Siripunvaraporn W., 2008. Radiomagnetotelluric two-
428 dimensional forward and inverse modelling accounting for displacement currents.
429 *Geophysical Journal International*, 175, 486-514.

430 Keller G. V., Frischknecht F. C., 1966. Electrical methods in geophysical prospecting,
431 Pergamon press, Oxford.

432 Kemna A., Binley A., Cassiani G., Niederleithinger E., Revil A., Slater L., Williams K. H.,
433 Flores Orozco A., Haegel F-H., Hördt A., Kruschwitz S., Leroux V., Titov K., Zimmermann
434 E., 2012. An overview of the spectral induced polarization method for near-surface
435 applications. *Near Surface Geophysics*, 10, 453–468.

436 Kessouri P., Flageul S., Vitale Q., Buvat S., Rejiba F., Tabbagh A., 2016. Medium-frequency
437 electromagnetic device to measure electric conductivity and dielectric permittivity of soils.
438 *Geophysics*, 81-1, E1-E16.

439 Kelter M., Huisman J. A., Zimmermann E., Vereecken, H., 2018. Field evaluation of
440 broadband spectral electrical imaging for soil and aquifer characterization. *Journal of Applied*
441 *Geophysics*, 159, 484-496.

442 Knight, R. J. and Endres, A. L., 2005. An introduction to rock physics principles for near-
443 surface geophysics, in *Near-surface geophysics*. Society of Exploration Geophysicists, pp.
444 31–70.

445 Kuras O., Beamish D., Meldrum P. I., Ogilvy R. D., 2006. Fundamentals of capacitive
446 resistivity technique. *Geophysics*, 71-3, G135-G152.

447 Lee T., 1975. Sign reversals in the transient method of electrical prospecting (one loop
448 version). *Geophysical Prospecting*, 23(4), 651-662.

449 Loewer, M., Günther, T., Igel, J., Kruschwitz, S., Martin, T. and Wagner, N., 2017. Ultra-
450 broad-band electrical spectroscopy of soils and sediments-a combined permittivity and
451 conductivity model, *Geophysical Journal International*, 210(3), 1360–1373.

452 Luo Y., Zhang G., 1998. Theory and application of spectral induced polarization. Society of
453 Exploration Geophysicists, Tulsa, OK, USA.

454 Marshall D. J., Madden T. R., 1959. Induced polarization, a study of its causes. *Geophysics*,
455 24(4), 790-816.

456 Nabighian M. N. editor, 1988. *Electromagnetic Methods in Applied Geophysics. Volume 1*
457 *Theory*, Tulsa, OK, Nabighian M. N. editor, 1991. *Electromagnetic Methods in Applied*
458 *Geophysics. Volume 2 Applications*, Tulsa, OK

459 Nabighian M. N., Macnae J. C., 1988. Time domain electromagnetic prospection method. In
460 *Electromagnetic methods in applied geophysics (Nabighian editor)*, SEG, Vol 2, part A, 427-
461 514.

462 Ntarlagiannis D., Wu Y., Ustra A. T. (editors), 2019. Special issue: Recent Developments in
463 Induced polarization. *Near Surface Geophysics*, 17(6).

464 Okay G., Leroy P., Ghorbani A., Cosenza P., Camerlynck C., Cabrera J., Florsch N., Revil A.
465 2014. Spectral induced polarization of clay-sand mixtures: Experiments and modeling.
466 *Geophysics*, 79(6), E353-E375.

467 Pellerin L., 2002. Applications of electrical and electromagnetic methods for environmental
468 and geotechnical investigations. *Surveys in Geophysics* 23(2), 101-132.

469 Pelton W. H., Ward S. H., Hallof P. G., Sill W. R., Nelson P. H., 1978. Mineral
470 discrimination and removal of inductive coupling with multifrequency IP. *Geophysics*, 43(3),
471 588-609.

472 Przyklenk, A., Hordt A., Radi T., 2016. Capacitively Coupled Resistivity measurements to
473 determine frequency-dependent electrical parameters in periglacial environment—theoretical
474 considerations and first field tests. *Geophysical Journal International*, 206, 1352-1365.

475 Revil A., 2013. Effective conductivity and permittivity of unsaturated porous materials in the
476 frequency range 1 mHz – 1GHz. *Water Resources Research*, 49, 306-327.

477 Revil A., Florsch N., 2010. Determination of permeability from spectral induced polarisation
478 data in granular media. *Geophysical Journal International*, 181, 1480-1498.

479 Rubin Y., Hubbard S. S., 2005. *Hydrogeophysics*. Springer

480 Schlumberger C., 1920. *Etude sur la prospection électrique du sous-sol*: Paris, Gauthier-
481 Villars

482 Scollar I., Tabbagh A., Hesse A., Herzog I., 1990. *Archaeological Prospecting and Remote*
483 *Sensing*. Cambridge University Press

484 Sharma P. V., 2008. *Environmental and engineering geophysics*. Cambridge University Press.

485 Sinha A.K., 1977. Influence of altitude and displacement currents on plane wave EM fields.
486 *Geophysics*, 42(1), 77–91.

487 Simon F.-X., Tabbagh A., Donati J. C., Sarris A., 2019. Permittivity mapping in the VLF-LF
488 range using a multi-frequency EMI device: first tests in archaeological prospection. *Near*
489 *Surface Geophysics*, 17, 27-41.

490 Souffaché B., Cosenza P., Flageul S., Pencolé J-P., Seladji S., Tabbagh A., 2010. Electrostatic
491 multipole for electrical resistivity measurements at the decimetric scale. *Journal of Applied*
492 *Geophysics*, 71, 6-12.

493 Tabbagh A., Cosenza P., Ghorbani A., Guérin R., Florsch N., 2009. Modelling Maxwell-
494 Wagner induced polarization amplitude for clayed material. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*,
495 67, 109–113.

496 Tabbagh A., Hesse A., Grard R., 1993. Determination of electrical properties of the ground at
497 shallow depth with an electrostatic quadrupole: field trials on archaeological sites.
498 *Geophysical Prospecting*, 41, 579-597.

499 Tabbagh A., Panissod C., 2000. 1D complete calculation for electrostatic soundings

500 Interpretation. *Geophysical Prospecting*, 48, 511-520.

501 Thiesson J., Tabbagh A., Flageul S., 2007. TDEM magnetic viscosity prospecting using a
502 Slingram coil configuration. *Near Surface Geophysics*, 5, 363-374.

503 Thiesson J., Kessouri P., Schamper C., Tabbagh A. 2014. Calibration of frequency-domain
504 electromagnetic devices used in near-surface surveying. *Near Surface Geophysics*, 12-4, 481-
505 491.

506 Topp G. C., Davis J. L., Annan P., 1980. Electromagnetic determination of soil water content:
507 measurements in coaxial transmission lines. *Water Resources Research*, 16-3, 574-582.

508 Vaquier V., Holmes C. R., Kintzinger P. R., Lavergne M., 1957. Prospecting for groundwater
509 by induced polarization. *Geophysics*, 22(3), 660-687.

510 Weidelt P., 1982. Response characteristics of coincident loop transient electromagnetic
511 systems. *Geophysics*, 47(9), 1325-1330.

512

513 **Figure captions**

514 Figure 1: Example of frequency variation of the permittivity of (a) a clay loam soil (A
515 horizon) sample, (b) the Saint Pierre Aigle limestone: (bold continuous line for the real part,
516 bold dashed line for the imaginary part). The corresponding models (respectively expression
517 (4) and (5)) are in thin continuous lines, (c) capacitive cell and PSM vector multi-meter.

518 Fig 2: Relationship between the relative permittivity and the conductivity (in Sm^{-1})/resistivity
519 (in $\Omega\cdot\text{m}$). For the different tables (left) and for the different frequencies (right).

520 Fig 3: Laboratory results: comparison between $\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_r\omega$ (in S m^{-1}) and σ (in Sm^{-1}) values

521

522 **Table captions**

523 Table 1: Permittivity values in laboratory experiments covering the [3 kHz – 3 MHz] range.
524 (Notations: a is the side of a square cell, e is the thickness of disk, cylindrical or square cells
525 and d is the diameter of cylindrical or disk cell).


526 Table 2: Permittivity values delivered at 10 kHz by laboratory SIP experiments. (Notations: e
527 is the thickness of disk or cylindrical cells and d is the diameter of cylindrical or disk cell).

528 Table 3: Permittivity values obtained by *in-situ* electrostatic measurements.

529 Table 4: Permittivity values obtained by *in situ* frequency domain measurements.


530 Table 5: Permittivity values obtained by *in situ* TDEM measurements.

531



532

533 Figure 1a



534

535 Figure 1b

536





Figure 1c

537

538


539

540



541

542 Figure. 2



543

544 Figure. 3

545

Reference	Sample size and cell	Sample characteristics	Frequency	Electrical resistivity	Relative permittivity
Scott et al. 1967, J.G.R., 72, 5101-5115.	Cylindrical capacitance cell d=2.54 cm e=2.54 cm 2 electrodes	Mancos shale (3.8% volume water content)	10 kHz	200 Ω .m	300.
			100 kHz	200 Ω .m	80.
			1 MHz	200 Ω .m	26.
Kenyon 1984 Journal of applied Physics, 55 ,3153-3159	Coaxial cell	Whitestone calcite saturated with 1.07 Ω .m NaCl solution	1 MHz	18 Ω .m	800
Knight & Nur 1987, Geophysics 52(2), 644-654.	Disk-shaped capacitance cell d=5.1 cm e=0.5 cm 2 electrodes	CH66-79 Berea sandstone, Sw=0.36 deionized water	100 kHz	11,000 Ω .m	35.
			1 MHz	10,000 Ω .m	18.
		St Peter's sandstone Sw=0.36 deionized water	100 kHz	50,000 Ω .m	10.
			1 MHz	50,000 Ω .m	9.
Garrouch & Sarma 1994, Geophysics 59(6) 909-917.	Disk-shaped capacitance cell e=3 to 10 mm 2 and 4 electrodes	102 shaly sand brine saturated (8%)	10 kHz	27 Ω .m	5,000.
			100 kHz	24 Ω .m	450.
			2 MHz	23 Ω .m	45.
		144 sandy clay brine, saturated (8%)	10 kHz	Not given	15,000.
			100 kHz		500.
			2 MHz		100.
Tabbagh 1994, Archaeometry, 36, 159-170.	Square capacitance cell a=190 mm e=19 mm 2 electrodes	Sandy clay loam, saturated 43 %	10 kHz	70 Ω .m	900.
			100 kHz	70 Ω .m	800.
			1 MHz	70 Ω .m	400.
		Volcanic sand, saturated 43.6 %	10 kHz	Not given	50.
			100 kHz		25.
			1 MHz		15.
		Porous limestone, saturated 16.5%	10 kHz	Not given	40.
			100 kHz		35.
			1 MHz		25.
Lesme & Frye 2001, J.G.R., 106-B3, 4079-4090	Disk-shaped capacitance cell 2 electrodes	Berea sandstone (68% quartz), saturated (0.01M NaCl)	10 kHz	80 Ω .m	200.
			100 kHz	80 Ω .m	60.
			1 MHz	80 Ω .m	30.
Sternberg & Levitskaya 2001. Radio Science 36(4), 709-719.	Coaxial cell	Avra valley sandy silt with clay, water content 10.6%	10 kHz	22 Ω .m	1,200.
			100 kHz	20 Ω .m	260.
			1 MHz	19 Ω .m	45.
		Brookhaven sandy soil, water content 9.75 %	10 kHz	180 Ω .m	25.
			100 kHz	180 Ω .m	10.
			1 MHz	180 Ω .m	9.
Oh & al. 2007, Environ. Geol. 51, 821-833	Disk-shaped capacitance cell d= 70 mm e=2 mm 2 electrodes	Sandy soil, water content 23%	100 kHz	45 Ω .m	150.
			1 MHz	45 Ω .m	20.
		Sandy soil with 10% bentonite, water content 23%	100 kHz	6 Ω .m	480.
1 MHz	6 Ω .m	60.			
Wagner et al. 2011 IEEE Geoscience & Remote Sensing 49-7,	Coaxial cell d=7 and 16 mm length 100mm	Silty clay loam (Unstrut river, Germany) Porosity 0.43, Water content 0.38	10 kHz	9 Ω .m	5,000
			100 kHz	8.5 Ω .m	410
			1 MHz	8 Ω .m	295
Abou El-Anwar & Gomaa, 2013, Geophys. Prospect., 61, 630-644.	Cylindrical, 2 electrodes	sandy lime-mudstone/dolostone	10 kHz	40,000 Ω .m	60.
			100 kHz	17,000 Ω .m	30.
			1 MHz	6,000 Ω .m	18.
		sandy dolomitic bioclastic wackestone-mudstone	10 kHz	80,000 Ω .m	50.
			100 kHz	30,000 Ω .m	23.
1 MHz	8,000 Ω .m	13.			

546 Table 1

Reference	Sample size and cell	Sample characteristics	Electrical resistivity	Relative permittivity 10 kHz
Börner et al. 1993, Geophys. Prosp. 41, 83-98.	Cylindrical d=20mm e=30mm 4 electrodes	KT2 Clay	1 Ω .m	1,260.
		E14 shaly sandstone ($\sigma_w=0.01$ S/m)	250 Ω .m	180.
		E6 shaly sandstone ($\sigma_w=0.002$ S/m)	125 Ω .m	210.
		BEN bentonite with brine ($\sigma_w=1$ S/m)	1.7 Ω .m	22,000.
		KAO kaolinite with brine ($\sigma_w=1$ S/m)	10 Ω .m	18,000.
Breede et al. 2012, Near Surface Geophysics, 10, 479-489	Cylindrical d=8 cm e=10 cm 4 electrodes	Sand/Clay (5%) mixture saturated	100 Ω .m	900.
		Sand/Clay (20 %) mixture saturated	50 Ω .m	1,800.
Okay et al. 2014, Geophysics, 79(6), E353-E375.	Cylindrical d=20 cm e=10 cm 4 electrodes in square array	Saturated, kaolinite with 20% sand $\sigma_w=0.02$ S/m	25 Ω .m	540.
		Saturated smectite with 20% sand $\sigma_w=0.02$ S/m	3.3 Ω .m	1,800.
Kremer et al. 2016, Geophys. J. Inter. 207, 1303 – 1312.	Cylindrical e=40 cm d=30 cm Rectangular 4 electrode array over a section	Saturated silica sand $\sigma_w=15$ mS/m	Not given	234
		Saturated silica sand $\sigma_w=100$ mS/m	Not given	1,440.
		Saturated carbonated sand $\sigma_w=15$ mS/m	Not given	180.
		Saturated carbonated sand $\sigma_w=100$ mS/m	Not given	1,260.
Loewer et al; Geophysical Journal International 210, 1360-1373	Cylindrical cell length 70 mm d=20mm 4 electrodes array	Soil A: moist silty clay water content 0.358	12,6 Ω .m	1,000
		Soil B laterite water content 0.303	100 Ω .m	630
		Soil C humus water content 0.368	63 Ω .m	200
		Obersulzbacher sandstone Formation factor 34 Archie exponent 2.19	100 Ω .m	400
Revil et al. 2017, Water Resources Research, 53, WR020655	Disk shaped d=7. cm e=2.5 cm 4 electrodes in Wenner array	Peat (AC_0580585123), $\sigma_w=0.031$ S/m	4.26 Ω .m	21,600.
		Clay (CW_0580586130), $\sigma_w=0.031$ S/m	7.1 Ω .m	14,400.
		Sandy Clay (AR_1126238AA) $\sigma_w=0.031$ S/m	5.85 Ω .m	10,800.
Revil et al. 2018 Geophysics, 83(2), E55-E74	Cylindrical 4 electrodes	Low porosity sandstone (Eocene deltaic formation) $\sigma_w=0.11$ S/m	42 Ω .m	25.

548 Table 2

549

550

Reference	Array configuration	Soil or rock context	Frequency	Electrical resistivity range	Relative permittivity range
Grard & Tabbagh 1991, J.G.R., 96-B3, 4117-4123.	1m x 1.17m rectangular array	Quaternary alluvial sand	128 kHz	500 – 2500 Ω .m	22. – 60.
Tabbagh et al. 1993, Geophysical Prospecting, 41, 579-597.	1m x 1.17m rectangular array	Sandy soil above rhyolite	128 kHz	1500 – 7000 Ω .m	10. – 200.
Przyklenk et al. 2016 Geoph. J. Int., 206 1352-1365.	Wenner arrays a=1m, 1.5m, 2m	Ice over Triassic limestone in a tunnel	10 kHz	17000 Ω .m	150
			30 kHz	9000 Ω .m	80
Souffaché et al. 2016, Archaeometry, 58-5, 705-721.	0.15m x 0.27m rectangular array	Lutetian calcareous stone in monuments	31.25 kHz	100 – 30000 Ω .m	5 - 10000

551 Table 3

552

Reference	Instrument & coil configuration	Soil or geological context	Frequency	Electrical resistivity range	Relative permittivity range
Huang & Fraser 2001, Geophysics, 66(1) 148-157	Helicopter borne Dighem HCP L=6.3 m	Crystalline basement in northern Canada	56 kHz	300 - 120,000 Ω .m	10 - 80.
Huang & Fraser 2002, Geophysics, 67(3) 727-738	Helicopter borne Dighem HCP L=6.3 m	At the center of a shallow lake in Northern Canada	56 kHz	2,800 Ω .m	65
Hodge, 2004, SEG 74th (abstracts), 660-663.	Helicopter born Dighem HCP L=6.3 m	Slave geological province, northern Canada	56 kHz	2,200 – 24,000 Ω .m	3.9 - 17.2
Kalscheuer et al. 2008 Geophysical J. int.,175, 486-514	Tensor RMT	Ävrö Island (Sweden) Granite	14 – 226 kHz	Granite median value 50,000 Ω .m	6
Benech et al. 2016 Near Surface Geophysics 14(4) 337-344.	CMD HCP and VCP L=1.28m & 0.71m	Salted clayey soil over a marl weathered substratum	30 kHz	2 - 15 Ω .m	1,500 – 30,000
Simon et al. 2019 Near Surface Geophysics 17, 27-41	GEM-2 HCP L=1.66m	Archaeological site bordering the shore line, Loam	21.03 kHz	12 - 80 Ω .m	2,000 – 4,300
			43.35 kHz	12 - 80 Ω .m	1,500 – 4,000
			89.43 kHz	12 - 80 Ω .m	1,200 – 2,200
Simon et al. 2019 Near Surface Geophysics 17, 27-41	GEM-2 HCP L=1.66m	Archaeological site Loam	21.03 kHz	44 - 700 Ω .m	1,400 – 2,600
			43.35 kHz	44 - 700 Ω .m	700 - 1300
			89.43 kHz	44 - 700 Ω .m	500 - 900
Kessouri et al. 2016 Geophysics 81(1) E1-E16	CE 120 prototype PERP L=1.2m	Clay loam	1.56 MHz	20 - 60 Ω .m	35 - 110
Kessouri et al. 2016 Geophysics 81(1) E1-E16	CE 120 prototype PERP L=1.2m	Sandy alluvial	1.56 MHz	650 – 10,000 Ω .m	8 - 18

553 Table 4

554

Reference	TDEM instrument	Local Geology	Cole-Cole interpreted parameters	ϵ_r 10 kHz	ϵ_r 100 kHz
Walker & Kojikawasaki, 1988, <i>Geoexploration</i> , 25, 245-254	EM37 400m x 400m central-loop	Permafrost, clay and/or gas hydrates	1000 m first layer $\rho_0=1000 \Omega.m$, $m=0.5$, $\tau=0.00069s$, $c=1$.	83.	1.
Flis et al. 1989, <i>Geophysics</i> , 54(4), 514- 523	Early time SIROTEM 100m x 100m coincident loop	pelite/sandstone	layer 1 (15m thick): $\rho_0=22$ $\Omega.m$, $m=0.13$, $\tau=0.01s$, $c=0.25$ layer 2: $\rho_0=600\Omega.m$, $m=0.05$, $\tau=0.01s$, $c=0.25$	747. 9.	48. 1.
Descloitres et al. 2000, <i>J. App. Geo.</i> , 45 1-18 ;	PROTEM 47 100m x 100m Receivers at several offsets	Caldera of Fogo Volcano	60 m first layer $\rho_0=10000$ $\Omega.m$, $m=0.85$, $\tau=0.00002s$, $c=0.8$	153.	37.
Hallbauer-Zadorozhnaya & Bessonov, 2002, <i>EJEEG</i> , 7, 239-264	KARIER 5m x 5m coincident loop	Hydrocarbon contaminated quaternary sand above Albian clay	20 m first layer $\rho_0=15$ $\Omega.m$, $m=0.25$, $\tau=0.00018s$, $c=1$.	4,626.	47.
Antonov & Shein 2008, <i>Russian geology and geophysics</i> , 49, 790-802	100m x 100m Coincident loop	Clay quarry overlain by quaternary alluvium	Second layer between 4 and 48m, $\rho_0=16 \Omega.m$, $m=0.073$, $\tau=0.00076s$, $c=0.53$	755.	25.
Hallbauer-Zadorozhnaya et al. 2016, <i>J. App. Geo.</i> , 133, 16-24.	Zerotem 50m x 50m central loop	Marine clay	Fourth layer below 59 m $\rho_0=0.5 \Omega.m$, $m=0.25$, $\tau=0.0035s$, $c=1$.	7,275.	73.
Finco et al. 2018, <i>Hydrological Processes</i> , 32, 3954-3965	TEM-FAST 25m x 25m coincident loop	Sebkha Kelbia salted wetland	2 m first layer $\rho_0=1.5 \Omega.m$, $m=0.84$, $\tau=0.01s$, $c=0.955$	83,300.	926..

555 Table 5

556

557

558