

### Is coronary multivessel disease in acute myocardial infarction patients still associated with worse clinical outcomes at 1-year?

Christophe Saint Etienne, Gilles Montalescot, Pascal Motreff, Grégoire Rangé, Etienne Puymirat, Ariel Nakache, Pierre Marcollet, Olivier Fichaux, Marie-Pascale Decomis, Stephan Chassaing, et al.

### ▶ To cite this version:

Christophe Saint Etienne, Gilles Montalescot, Pascal Motreff, Grégoire Rangé, Etienne Puymirat, et al.. Is coronary multivessel disease in acute myocardial infarction patients still associated with worse clinical outcomes at 1-year?. Clinical Cardiology, 2020, 10.1002/clc.23567. hal-03157418

### HAL Id: hal-03157418 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03157418

Submitted on 3 Mar 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# EXPLORE THE EVIDENCE FOR RDN

The Medtronic Symplicity Spyral<sup>™</sup> Renal Denervation (RDN) system offers a complementary way to lower patients' blood pressure as shown in sham-controlled clinical trials.<sup>1,2</sup>





### **RECOMMEND RDN TODAY**

\*RDN arm reduction from baseline.

<sup>†</sup>ANCOVA adjusted; secondary efficacy analysis.

1. Kandzari DE, Böhm M, Mahfoud F, et al. Effect of renal denervation on blood pressure in the presence of antihypertensive drugs: 6-month efficacy and safety results from the SPYRAL HTN-ON MED proof-of-concept randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2018 Jun 9;391(10137):2346-2355.

2. Böhm M, Kario K, Kandzari DE, et al. Efficacy of catheter-based renal denervation in the absence of antihypertensive medications (SPYRAL HTN-OFF MED Pivotal): a multicentre, randomised, sham-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2020; Published online March 29, 2020. DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30554-7.

UC202107939 ML ©2021. Medtronic. All rights reserved. Medtronic and the Medtronic logo are trademarks of Medtronic. For distribution only in markets where the Symplicity Spyral<sup>™</sup> multi-electrode renal denervation catheter and Symplicity G3<sup>™</sup> renal denervation RF generator have been approved. Not for distribution in the USA, Japan, or France. 03/2021

# Medtronic

### **CLINICAL INVESTIGATIONS**



## Is coronary multivessel disease in acute myocardial infarction patients still associated with worse clinical outcomes at 1-year?

Etienne Puymirat MD, PhD <sup>1,2</sup> <a>D</a> <a>I</a> <a>Ariel Nakache MD<sup>1,2</sup></a>
Christophe Saint Etienne MD, PhD <sup>3</sup>   Pierre Marcollet $MD^4$   Olivier Fichaux $MD^5$
Marie-Pascale Decomis $MD^6$   Stephan Chassaing $MD^7$   Philippe Commeau $MD^8$
Nicolas Danchin MD <sup>1,2</sup>   Guillaume Cayla MD, PhD <sup>9</sup>
Gilles Montalescot MD, PhD <sup>10</sup>   Hakim Benamer MD <sup>11</sup>   Rene Koning MD <sup>12</sup>
Pascal Motreff MD, PhD <sup>13</sup>   Grégoire Rangé MD <sup>14</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiology, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP), Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, Paris, France

<sup>2</sup>Université de Paris, Paris, France

- <sup>4</sup>Cardiology Department, Centre Hospitalier de Bourges, Bourges, France
- <sup>5</sup>Cardiology Department, Centre Hospitalo-régional d'Orléans, Orléans, France
- <sup>6</sup>Cardiology Department, Clinique Oréliance, Saran, France
- <sup>7</sup>Cardiology Department, Nouvelle Clinique Tourengelle, Tours, France
- <sup>8</sup>Cardiology Department, Polyclinique les Fleurs, Ollioules, France
- <sup>9</sup>Cardiology Department, CHU Nîmes, Université Montpellier, Nîmes, France
- <sup>10</sup>Cardiology Department, Groupe hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France
- <sup>11</sup>Cardiology Department, Clinique de la Roseraie; ICPS Massy Ramsay group, Paris 13, France
- <sup>12</sup>Cardiology Department, Clinique Saint-Hilaire, Rouen, France

<sup>13</sup>Cardiology Department, Centre Hospitalo-Universitaire de Clermont-Ferrand, Clermont Ferrand, France

<sup>14</sup>Cardiology Department, Les Hôpitaux de Chartres, Chartres, France

#### Correspondence

Etienne Puymirat, MD, PhD, Department of Cardiology, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP), Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, 20 rue Leblanc, Paris 75015, France.

Email: etienne.puymirat@aphp.fr

### Abstract

**Background:** ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) patients with multivessel disease (MVD) are associated with a worse prognosis. However, few comparisons are available according to coronary status in the era of modern reperfusion and optimized secondary prevention.

**Hypothesis:** We hypothesized that the difference in prognosis according to number of vessel disease in STEMI patients has reduced.

**Methods:** All consecutive STEMI patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) within 24 h of symptoms onset between January 1, 2014 and June 30, 2016 enrolled in the CRAC (Club Régional des Angioplasticiens de la région Centre) France PCI registry were analyzed. Baseline characteristics, management, and

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2021 The Authors. Clinical Cardiology published by Wiley Periodicals LLC.

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Cardiology Department, Centre Hospitalo-Universitaire de Tours, Tours, France

outcomes at 1-year were analyzed according to coronary status (one-, two-, and three-VD).

**Results:** A total of 1886 patients (mean age  $62.2 \pm 14.0$  year; 74% of male) were included. Patients with MVD (two or three-VD) represented 53.7%. They were older with higher cardiovascular risk factor profile. At 1 year, the rate of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE, defined as all-cause death, stroke or re-MI) was 10%, 12%, and 12% in one-, two, and three-VD respectively (p = .28). In multivariable adjusted Cox proportional hazard regression model, two- and three-VD were not associated with higher rate of MACE compared to patients with single VD (HR, 1.09; 95%CI 0.76–1.56 for two-VD; HR, 0.74; 95%CI 0.48–1.14 for three-VD).

**Conclusions:** MVD still represents an important proportion of STEMI patients but their prognoses were not associated with worse clinical outcomes at 1-year compared with one-VD patients in a modern reperfusion area and secondary medication prevention.

#### KEYWORDS

acute myocardial infarction, multivessel disease, primary percutaneous coronary intervention, ST-elevation myocardial infarction

### 1 | INTRODUCTION

ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) with multivessel disease (MVD) represents between 40% and 65% of cases.<sup>1-4</sup> The primary objective of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in these patients is to restore epicardial flow in the culprit vessel and normalize myocardial perfusion.<sup>1</sup> Revascularization of non-culprit lesion is still debated. However, the pathophysiological process of coronary artery disease (CAD) in myocardial infarction (MI) is not limited to the culprit vessel and MVD in STEMI patients is usually associated with worse clinical outcome including higher mortality compared with patients with single-VD.<sup>1-4</sup>

Several sources, including registries specific to acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and large administrative or billing databases, have shown a decrease in mortality in patients with STEMI over the past 30 years.<sup>5-14</sup> This decline is attributed to several factors (i.e., increased use and improved delivery of reperfusion therapy, in particular primary PCI, temporal changes in patient population characteristics over the period, increased use and improved delivery of recommended secondary prevention ...).<sup>5-14</sup> To our knowledge, the impact of MVD on clinical outcomes in STEMI patients has not been assessed specifically after these changes.

The aim of our study is to assess the impact of MVD on major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) at 1-year in a modern reperfusion area and secondary medication prevention using the CRAC (Club Régional des Angioplasticiens de la région Centre) France PCI registry.

### 2 | METHODS

### 2.1 | Study population

The CRAC registry, created in 2014, brings together the six interventional cardiology centers of the Centre Val de Loire region and integrated the Clermont Ferrand University Hospital since 2016 to become the CRAC-France PCI registry. It is an observational prospective multicenter registry, which includes all patients undergoing coronary angiography or coronary angioplasty in each participant center. The methods used for this registry have been detailed previously.<sup>15,16</sup>

For the present analysis, we enrolled all consecutive STEMI patients undergoing PCI within 24 h of symptoms onset between January 1, 2014 and June 30, 2016 in the six ICCs which had been part of the CRAC registry (n = 1886). One ICC was excluded because of incomplete data. Non-culprit lesion was defined as ≥50% diameter stenosis by visual estimate in at least one non-infarct related vessel. Patient characteristics, management, and outcomes were analyzed according to coronary status (i.e. one-, two-, vs. three-VD). To define CAD extent, all three coronary arteries were assigned one point each and two points for left main coronary artery (LMCA) whatever the status of left anterior descending and left circumflex, resulting in a maximum score of 3 (i.e., 3-VD) in patients without a history of coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG). Patients with previous CABG were considered as three-VD (n = 25). Multivessel CAD was defined as 2- or 3-VD. Complete myocardial revascularization was considered in our analyses if the additional procedure was performed before

discharge or during the first 3 months after index event. Complete myocardial revascularization was defined by successful PCI of all nonculprit lesion(s) (i.e., restoration of blood supply to the myocardium). The primary endpoint of the study was a composite of MACE at 1-year defined as all-cause death, re-MI, or stroke.

### 2.2 | Data collection

The anonymous database includes up to 150 variables per procedure with hospital follow-up data and at 1 year for any coronary angioplasty and pre-hospital data for STEMI <24 h.<sup>15,16</sup> It includes demographic characteristics (age, sex, body mass index), risk factors (hypertension, diabetes mellitus, smoking, high cholesterol, family history of coronary heart disease, obesity), medical history and clinical presentation. Data on pre-admission pathways are collected by emergency physicians: calls to emergency medical services (EMS; numbers 15, 18, or 112), physical location of the patient at the time of pain onset, timing of pain onset, CME (defined as first gualified ECG) and revascularization, type and number of medical contacts and first hospital admission. Procedural data are progressively completed by the cath lab staff (nurse, radiology manipulator, and interventional cardiologists) throughout the examination, from the patient's admission to discharge. The data are anonymized before being automatically transferred to the central database of CRAC-France PCI.

Patient follow-up was conducted by local research technicians on site at the participating centers. Major complications such as death, intrastent thrombosis, re-MI, unplanned coronary revisions, major hemorrhage (BARC 3), and stroke were collected at discharge and after 1 year (telephone contact), in the form of antithrombotic therapy and cardiac rehabilitation. The 1-year telephone call was made with direct access to medical and administrative information, which simplified and accelerated the process. In addition, the data collected was limited, focusing on MACE complications (which were expected to be low). Follow-up at 1-year was obtained in 95%. The data are anonymized before being automatically transferred to the central database of CRAC-France PCI.

### 2.3 | Ethical consideration

The study was conducted according to contemporary clinical practice guidelines and French regulations (Advisory Committee on Information Processing in Material Research in the Field of Health no. 13.245). The French Persons Protection Committee (IRB00003888) approved the study protocol (no. 15–231). Data file collection and storage were approved by the French National Commission for Data Protection and Liberties (no. 2014–073). All patients were informed of the aims of the survey. All included patients gave their informed consent to participate before data collection.

### 2.4 | Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are reported as means (SDs) or medians and interquartile ranges (IQR), when appropriate. Discrete variables are described as counts and percentages. Groups were compared by analysis of variance for continuous variables and  $\chi^2$  (or Fisher exact tests) for discrete variables. Hazard ratios (HR) are presented with their 95% confidence intervals (CI). Survival curves were estimated using the Kaplan Meier estimators and compared using log rank tests. The rates of MACE at 1-year were analyzed according to number of VD, and the impact of MVD (i.e., two- or three-VD) was compared using a multivariate backward stepwise Cox analysis with a threshold of 0.10 for variable elimination, among the different risk groups. Variables included in the final models were selected ad hoc, based on their physiological relevance and potential to be associated with outcomes: they comprised age, gender, risk factors, comorbidities, year, and management. Two sensibility analyzes were performed in survival population after index hospitalization: and, in MVD patients according to complete myocardial revascularization. In addition, we repeated the multivariate analysis using a composite endpoint focused on cardiac clinical outcomes (i.e., cardiac death, MI, in stent thrombosis and urgent myocardial revascularization) to better evaluate the role of MVD on specific cardiac clinical outcomes. Analyses were repeated using forward stepwise analysis to check the consistency of the results. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS 26.0 (IBM SPSS Inc). For all analyses, 2-sided p values <.05 were considered significant.

### 3 | RESULTS

### 3.1 | Patient characteristics

Figure S1 shows a flow chart for patient recruitment. Briefly, out of 11 883 patients undergoing PCI included in the CRAC-France PCI registry over the period, 1886 STEMI  $\leq$ 24 h patients treated by PCI with available medical information were selected for the present analysis. The mean age of the population was 62.6 ± 14.0 years (74% of male). MVD represents 53.7% of patients. Patient characteristics are presented in Table 1 according to coronary status (i.e. one-, two-, or three-VD). Overall, cardiovascular risk-profile progressively increased from patients with one-VD to three-VD. Patients with MVD were older with more risk-factors (except for smoking status) and co-morbidities. They had more previous MI and myocardial revascularization.

# 3.2 | In-hospital management and duration of dual antiplatelet therapy

All patients had an invasive strategy and were referred to a cardiac catheterization laboratory. Coronary angiogram showed that the site of the culprit lesion differed according to coronary status (mainly in the left anterior descending artery for patients with one-VD; and,

### **TABLE 1** Baselines characteristics

	All patients (n = 1886)	1-VD (n = 873)	2-VD (n = 623)	3-VD (n = 390)	p value
Age (years)	62.62 ± 14.0	60.0 ± 14.3	63.0 ± 13.3	67.3 ± 13.6	<.001
Male	1115 (74)	524 (73)	371 (77.5)	220 (73)	.16
BMI (Kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	26.7 ± 4.4	26.5 ± 4.4	27.1 ± 4.4	26.5 ± 4.3	.06
Risk factors					
Hypertension	568 (38)	222 (31)	205 (43)	141 (47)	<.001
Diabetes	183 (12)	62 (9)	79 (16.5)	42 (14)	<.001
Hypercholesterolemia	574 (38)	241 (33)	199 (41.5)	134 (44.5)	.001
Current smoking	586 (39)	327 (45)	172 (36)	87 (29)	<.001
Family history	310 (21)	139 (19)	103 (21.5)	68 (23)	.42
Medical history					
Prior MI	96 (6)	38 (5)	32 (7)	26 (9)	.02
Prior PCI	158 (10.5)	59 (8)	61 (13)	38 (13)	.02
Prior CABG	25 (1.3)	-	-	25 (6)	-
History of stroke	37 (2.5)	13 (2)	17 (3.5)	7 (2)	.37
Peripheral artery disease	50 (3)	22 (3)	14 (3)	14 (5)	.42
Chronic renal failure	23 (1.5)	6 (0.8)	8 (2)	9 (3)	.06

Note: Values are expressed as mean (±SD) or number (percentage).

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; MI, myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.

mainly in the right coronary artery for patients with MVD) (Table 2). Patients with MVD had more diffuse CAD including longer lesions with smaller diameters. The Syntax score gradually increased between patients with one-VD to three-VD (one-VD: 8.9 ± 5.9; two-VD: 13.0  $\pm$  7.9; three-VD: 19.0  $\pm$  10.7, p < .001). The rates of TIMI score 0/1 of the culprit lesion before primary PCI was similar in all groups. Procedural characteristics are detailed in Table 2. No difference was observed related to vascular approach and the size of the sheath according to all groups. Primary PCI was performed in 98% of the population. Thrombus aspiration was mainly used in patient with one-VD. Drug-eluting stents were used similarly in all groups, but the number of stents implanted was higher in patients with MVD. Proportion of PCI success was similar in all groups (93% in overall population) as was the rate of TIMI score 2/3 post-PCI (95% in overall population). Complete myocardial revascularization was performed preferentially before discharge in 26% and 30% of patients with two- and three-VD respectively. Finally, the quantity of contrast and radiation exposure was higher in MVD patients.

Antithrombotic treatment used before admission and medications prescription at discharge are given in Table S1. The choice of antithrombotic treatment (i.e., antiplatelet and anticoagulant) did not differ according to coronary status. Ticagrelor was the P2Y12 inhibitor mostly prescribed whatever the coronary status (70%). At discharge, proportions of recommended secondary prevention medications (i.e., angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors [ACE-I] or angiotensin receptor blocker [ARB], statins and betablockers) progressively increased from one-VD to three-VD.

Finally, duration of dual antiplatelet therapy after AMI was mainly  $\geq$ 12 months whatever the coronary status (Figure S2).

# 3.3 | In-hospital complications and clinical outcomes at 1 year

In-hospital complications (i.e., re-MI, stroke, in-stent thrombosis, and major bleeding) did not differ according to coronary status as the case for the rate of in-hospital death (6% in the overall population) (Table 3).

The proportion of MACE at 1-year was 11% in the overall population and was not significantly different in patients with one-VD (10%), two-VD (12%), and three-VD (12%) (p = .28) (Figure 1). The proportion of cardiac death, MI, in stent thrombosis and urgent myocardial revascularization was however higher in patients with MVD compared with patients with single-VD (three-VD: 16.8%, two-VD: 15.3%, one-VD: 10.6%; p = .004). The rate of death was higher in patients with MVD compared with one-VD patients (10% vs. 7%), while the rate of stroke and re-MI were similar in all groups. Major bleeding was observed similarly in all groups (2%). Finally, the use of urgent myocardial revascularization was higher in MVD patients (one-VD: 3%; two-VD: 5%; three-VD: 7.5%, p < .001).

In patients with MVD, the rate of MACE at 1 year was 5.2% in patients with complete myocardial revascularization and 14.4% in those without complete myocardial revascularization (p < .001).

The multivariable adjusted Cox proportional hazard regression model showed that two- and three-VD were not associated with worse prognosis at 1-year compared to patients with single VD (HR, 1.09; 95%CI 0.76–1.56 for two-VD; HR, 0.74; 95%CI 0.48–1.14 for three-VD) (Table 4). In this model, age, current smoking, previous CABG, chronic kidney disease, diameter of culprit lesion >3.0 mm and anterior MI were associated with higher rates of MACE, while the use

### **TABLE 2** Baselines angiographic, echocardiographic, and procedural characteristics

	All patients (n = 1886)	1-VD (n = 873)	2-VD (n = 623)	3-VD (n = 390)	p value
Angiographic characteristics					
Approach					.69
Femoral	175 (9)	78 (9)	56 (9)	41 (10.5)	
Radial	1707 (90.5)	794 (91)	565 (91)	348 (89)	
Humeral	2 (0.2)	1 (0.1)	2 (0.4)	0 (0)	
Sheath					.41
5 French	19 (1)	12 (1)	3 (0.5)	4 (1)	
6 French	1833 (97)	843 (97)	608 (98)	382 (98)	
7 French	18 (1)	9 (1)	7 (1)	2 (0.5)	
Culprit lesion	20 (2)	, (1)	. (1)	_ (0.0)	< 001
	14 (0 7)	0 (0)	9 (1)	5 (1)	
	758 (40)	0 (0) 407 (47)	230 (37)	121 (31)	
	277 (15)	113 (13)	200 (07)	59 (15)	
	277 (13)	225 (29)	105(17)	104 (40)	
RCA	790 (42)	335 (38)	2/1 (42.5)	196 (49)	
CABG	5 (0.3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	5 (1)	0(
Stenosis (culprit lesion)		54 ( (50)	0 4 0 (F ()	000 (50)	.26
100%	1068 (57)	516 (59)	349 (56)	203 (52)	
90%-99%	419 (22)	187 (21)	135 (22)	97 (25)	
70%-90%	305 (16)	128 (15)	109 (17.5)	68 (17)	
50%-70%	60 (3)	25 (3)	23 (4)	12 (3)	
Length (culprit lesion)					.02
<10 mm	228 (12)	109 (12.5)	82 (13)	38 (10)	
10-20 mm	1120 (59)	542 (62)	353 (57)	225 (58)	
>20 mm	493 (26)	197 (23)	180 (29)	116 (30)	
Diameter (culprit lesion)					.009
<2.5 mm	62 (3)	24 (3)	14 (2)	24 (6)	
2.5-3.0 mm	1061 (56)	476 (54)	359 (58)	226 (58)	
>3.0 mm	730 (39)	357 (41)	243 (39)	130 (33)	
Restenosis	93 (5)	32 (4)	41 (6.5)	20 (5)	.25
TIMI pre-PCI					.28
0/1	1072 (57)	516 (59)	354 (57)	202 (52)	
2/3	781 (42)	341 (39)	262 (42)	178 (46)	
SYNTAX score	12.3 ± 8.7	8.9 ± 5.9	13.0 ± 7.9	19.0 ± 10.7	<.001
	N = 1884	N = 872	N = 622	N = 390	
Echocardiographic data					
LVEF	53.9 ± 12.8	54.7 ± 12.2	54.6 ± 12.6	48.3 ± 16.2	.34
Type of revascularization					
PCI alone	1853 (98)	858 (98)	619 (99)	376 (96)	<.001
CABG alone	3 (0.1)	1 (0.1)	O (O)	2 (0.5)	
PCI and CABG	10 (0.5)	0 (0)	1 (0.2)	9 (2)	
Medical therapy alone	14 (0.7)	9 (1)	2 (0.3)	3 (0.8)	
Procedural characteristics (culprit lesion)					
Thromboaspiration	835 (45)	435 (51)	270 (44)	130 (34)	<.001
BMS	307 (17)	147 (17)	109 (18)	51 (13.5)	.18
DES	1266 (68)	574 (67)	421 (68.5)	271 (71.5)	.30
Balloon alone	154 (8)	69 (8)	45 (7)	40 (11)	.19
Number of stents implanted	1.22 ± 0.80	1.11 ± 0.70	1.29 ± 0.83	1.35 ± 0.94	.02

5

\_WILEY\_

CLINICAL

### TABLE 2 (Continued)

	All patients (n = 1886)	1-VD (n = 873)	2-VD (n = 623)	3-VD (n = 390)	p value
Length of stent(s)	20.3 ± 9.0	20.0 ± 8.5	20.6 ± 9.5	20.7 ± 9.1	.32
TIMI pre-PCI					.83
0/1	56 (3)	23 (3)	19 (3)	14 (4)	
2/3	1787 (95)	830 (95)	592 (95)	365 (94)	
PCI success	1755 (93)	817 (94)	581 (93)	357 (91.5)	.28
Complete myocardial revascularization					<.001
Before discharge	1039 (55)	830 (95)	118 (19)	91 (23)	
After discharge	93 (5)	26 (3.0)	43 (7)	24 (6)	
Circulatory support	59 (3)	25 (3)	20 (3)	14 (4)	.70
Contrast (ml)	147 ± 61	139 ± 56	149 ± 60	157 ± 72	<.001
Scopie (min)	8.8 ± 6.8	8.1 ± 6.4	9.1 ± 7.1	10.0 ± 7.3	<.001
PDS (cGyxm <sup>2</sup> )	6777 ± 91 065	4323 ± 7355	4927 ± 4589	15 217 ± 199 796	.12

*Note:* Values are expressed as mean (±*SD*) or number (percentage).

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; BMS, bare metal stent; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; DES, drug eluting stent; LAD, left anterior descending artery; LCX, left circumflex coronary artery; MI, myocardial infarction; PDS, produit dose x surface; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; RCA, right coronary artery.

TABLE 3 In-hospital complications, clinical outcomes, and antithrombotic used at 1-year

	All patients (n = 1886)	1-VD (n = 873)	2-VD (n = 623)	3-VD (n = 390)	p value
In-hospital complications					
Death	108 (6)	44 (5)	42 (7)	22 (6)	.06
Myocardial infarction	20 (1)	6 (0.7)	9 (1)	5 (1)	.30
Stroke	6 (0.4)	2 (0.2)	1 (0.2)	3 (0.8)	.12
In-stent thrombosis	22 (1)	8 (0.9)	9 (1)	5 (1)	.75
Major bleeding (BARC $\geq$ 3)	40 (2)	12 (1)	17 (3)	11 (3)	.19
Clinical outcomes at 1-year					
MACE	196 (11)	79 (10)	70 (12)	47 (12)	.28
Death	169 (9)	64 (7)	64 (10)	41 (10.5)	.006
Myocardial infarction	27 (1.5)	13 (1.5)	10 (2)	4 (1)	.13
Stroke	8 (0.5)	4 (0.5)	0 (0)	4 (1)	.01
In-stent thrombosis	14 (0.8)	9 (1)	1 (0.2)	4 (1)	.01
Major bleeding (BARC $\geq$ 3)	28 (2)	15 (2)	7 (2)	6 (2)	.10
Urgent myocardial revascularisation <sup>a</sup>	83 (4)	25 (3)	24 (5)	29 (7.5)	<.001
Antithrombotic at 1-year					
Aspirin	1816 (96)	841 (96)	598 (96)	377 (97)	.04
Clopidogrel	234 (12)	95 (11)	82 (13)	57 (15)	.02
Prasugrel	70 (4)	32 (4)	22 (3.5)	16 (4)	.08
Ticagrelor	583 (31)	259 (30)	203 (33)	121 (31)	.08
Oral anticoagulant	267 (14)	112 (13)	92 (15)	63 (16)	.13

Note: Values are expressed as number (percentage).

Abbreviation: BARC, Bleeding Academic Research Consortium.

<sup>a</sup>Percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass graft.

of new P2Y12 inhibitors, statins, TIMI score 2/3 post PCI, and LVEF >40% at discharge were protectors. Similar results were found regarding cardiac death, MI, in stent thrombosis and urgent myocardial revascularization at 1-year (HR, 1.03; 95%CI 0.58–1.83 for two-VD; HR, 0.76; 95%Cl 0.42–1.39 for three-VD). Complete myocardial revascularization was not associated with better clinical outcome (HR, 1.00; 95%Cl 0.54–1.86). Similar results were found after excluding in hospital death (data not shown). Finally, in MVD patients, complete



**FIGURE 1** One-year events-free survival according to coronary status

myocardial revascularization was not associated with lower MACE (HR, 1.09; 95%CI 0.58-2.08).

### 4 | DISCUSSION

The main findings of this study are that STEMI with MVD currently represents approximately 50% of patients admitted to a cardiac catheterization laboratory. Patients with MVD received more aggressive secondary medication prevention. Finally, the presence of two or three-VD associated with the culprit lesion was not associated with higher rate of MACE (or a composite endpoint combining cardiac death, MI, in stent thrombosis and urgent myocardial revascularization) at 1 year compared with those with culprit lesion alone (i.e., one-VD).

# 4.1 | Improvement of survival among STEMI patients

During the last 30 years, several registries specific to AMI and large administrative or billing databases have shown a decrease in mortality in patients with STEMI.<sup>5-14</sup> Most benefits in short- and long-term outcomes in patients with STEMI were related to the uptake and increased use of new and, by time, established interventional and medical treatments.<sup>1</sup> The improvement in hospital survival was mainly related to the increased use of reperfusion treatment including primary PCI. Concerning the 1-year outcomes, the results indicated that not only reperfusion and revascularization but also the broad uptake and prescription of aspirin, P2Y12-inhibition, beta-blockade, ACE/A2 inhibition, and statins contributed to the lower rates of events.<sup>1</sup> Using the FAST-MI registries, Danchin et al have demonstrated that the reduction of mortality parallels improvements in care and was also associated with a substantial change in the patient risk profile.<sup>14</sup>

Improved survival among STEMI patients was observed in all categories of patients over the last 30 years.<sup>5-14</sup> To our knowledge, there  
 TABLE 4
 Major adverse cardiovascular events at 1-year in multivariate analysis

CLINICAL

Variables	Hazard ratio 95%, confidence interval	p-value
Age, per year	1.03 (1.02–1.05)	<.001
Previous CABG	3.08 (1.12-8.48)	.03
Chronic kidney disease	2.15 (1.07-4.32)	.03
Current smoking	1.72 (1.05–2.82)	.03
Anterior MI	1.41 (1.02–1.96)	.04
Diabetes	0.98 (0.63-1.54)	.94
Dyslipidemia	0.78 (0.55-1.09)	.15
Hypertension	0.92 (0.63–1.33)	.66
Previous stroke	1.09 (0.52-2.30)	.82
Body mass index >30	1.15 (0.74–1.79)	.54
Current smoking	1.72 (1.05–2.82)	.03
Previous MI	1.08 (0.56-2.06)	.83
Sex (male)	1.05 (0.71–1.56)	.81
Peripheral artery disease	0.65 (0.32–1.33)	.24
Antiplatelet therapy (reference: Prasugr	el or Ticagrelor)	
Clopidogrel	2.57 (1.55–4.27)	<.001
Statins	0.40 (0.16-0.97)	.04
Betablockers	0.63 (0.27-1.44)	.27
ACE-I or ARB	1.04 (0.48–2.27)	.92
GPIIBIIIA inhibitors	0.92 (0.64–1.33)	.66
Anticoagulant (reference: LMWH)		
UFH	0.87 (0.60–1.26)	.47
Bivalirudin	5.08 (1.52-16.97)	.008
Fondaparinux	3.69 (0.50–27.0)	.20
TIMI post PCI (3/2 vs. 1/0)	0.56 (0.33–0.95)	.03
Diameter of culprit lesion $\geq$ 3.0 mm	1.54 (1.01–2.35)	.04
Length of culprit lesion (reference: <20	mm)	
≥20 and <30 mm	1.27 (0.71–2.28)	.43
≥30 mm	1.35 (0.73–2.48)	.34
Thromboaspiration	0.84 (0.60-1.18)	.32
Angiography results (reference: 1-VD)		
2-VD	1.09 (0.76–1.56)	.66
3-VD	0.74 (0.48–1.14)	.17
Complete myocardial revascularization	1.00 (0.54–1.86)	.99
LVEF >40% at discharge	0.33 (0.15-0.70)	.004

Abbreviations: CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; LMWH, low molecule weight heparin; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MI, myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; UFH, unfractioned heparin; VD, vessel disease.

is no recent comparison focused on patients with MVD compared with those with single-VD. Using clinical trials data from STEMI patients with myocardial revascularization and MVD from 2008, it is possible to estimate the rate of MACE in this population and the trends over the last 10-year period.<sup>17-21</sup> In the Preventive Angioplasty in Acute Myocardial Infarction (PRAMI) trial the rate of MACE (death

7

WILEY.

from cardiac causes, non-fatal MI, or refractory angina; mean followup of 23 months) was 9.0% in preventive PCI and 22.9% in no preventive PCI.<sup>17</sup> Comparisons of clinical outcomes according to trials are difficult because of different primary outcomes and follow-up duration. However, the proportion of MACE seems to decrease from 2008 on. In the most recent study (Complete vs. Culprit-Only Revascularization Strategies to Treat Multivessel Disease after Early PCI for STEMI [COMPLETE] trial), the rate of MACE (Cardiovascular death, MI, or ischemia-driven revascularization; median follow-up of 3 years) was 3.1% in complete revascularization strategy to 6.2% in culprit-lesiononly PCI.<sup>21</sup> These data suggest that the prognosis of MVD in STEMI patients has changed over the period and, now it is probably close to that of patients with single-VD. In our main analysis, the rate of MACE at 1-year did not differ according to coronary status after adjustment.

### 4.2 | Management of STEMI with MVD

Primary PCI is the preferred reperfusion strategy in patients with STEMI within 12 h of symptom onset.<sup>22</sup> MVD is commonly reported (in approximately 50%) in this population as observed in our study (53.7%). A series of successful clinical trials have proven the improved survival and lower morbidity with complete myocardial revascularization compared to culprit-lesion-only PCI in STEMI patients with MVD.<sup>17-21</sup> This has led to very consistent global treatment recommendations. Therefore policies of complete myocardial revascularization have increased over the last 10 years even the timing is conflicting.<sup>14,22</sup>

In addition, our data show that patients with MVD received more aggressive secondary medication prevention at discharge and the proportion of DAPT at 1 year was numerically higher in this population.<sup>1,22</sup> This represents certainly an important point to explain our results. Finally, the use of new generation drug-eluting stents associated with new P2Y12 inhibitors can reduce complications of PCI and improve the prognosis of these patients.<sup>22</sup>

### 4.3 | Limitations

As in any observational study, there are limitations to our analysis. Only STEMI  $\leq$ 24H patients admitted to a cardiac catheterization laboratory were included, which represents a selection bias. Several data are missing in the database to better define the study groups such as atrial fibrillation (AF). Recent data have shown that patients with AF have generally less severe CAD compared to non-AF ones.<sup>23</sup> In addition, the use of secondary medication prevention are only available during the first 24 h. Finally, the clinical follow-up duration is limited, and we cannot exclude that the prognosis will be similar in all groups after 1 year.

### 5 | CONCLUSIONS

MVD in STEMI patients still represents half of the patients despite a substantial change in the patient risk profile. However, the prognosis

of patients with two or three VD is not associated with higher rate of MACE (or cardiac clinical outcomes) at 1-year compared to patients with single-VD.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are deeply indebted to the patients who accepted to participate and to all physicians who took care of them. The authors thank all research assistants especially Christophe Laure, Carole Bellanger, Lucile Fatien, Amelie Guillet, Jeanne Hohweyer and our data manager, Isabelle Goussard.

### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None of the companies had a role in the design and conduct of the study, data collection, and management. Etienne Puymirat has received research grants/consultant fees/lectures fees: Amgen, Astra-Zeneca, Abbott, Bayer, Biotronik, BMS, Boehringer Ingelheim, Daiichi-Sankyo, Lilly, MSD, Novartis, Sanofi; Nicolas Danchin has received grants, personal fees, and non-financial support from Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and Sanofi and personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Intercept, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, and UCB Pharmaceuticals. Guillaume Cayla has received research grants/consultant fees/lectures fees from Amgen. AstraZeneca, Abbott, Bayer, Biotronik, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Pfizer, Sanofi-Aventis; Gilles Montalescot reports consulting or speaker fees from Abbott, AIM group, Amgen, Actelion, American College of Cardiology Foundation, Astrazeneca, Axis-Santé, Bayer, Boston-Scientific, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical, Brigham Women's Hospital, Fréquence Médicale, ICOM, Idorsia, Elsevier, Fédération Française de Cardiologie, Fréquence Médicale, ICAN, Lead-Up, Menarini, Medtronic, MSD, Novo-Nordisk, Pfizer, Quantum Genomics, Sanofi-Aventis, SCOR global life, Servier, WebMD; Ariel Nakache, Christophe Saint Etienne, Pierre Marcollet, Olivier Fichaux, Marie-Pascale Decomis, Stephan Chassaing, Philippe Commeau, Hakim Benamer, Rene Koning, Pascal Motreff, and Grégoire Rangé have no conflict of interest.

### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data available on request from the authors. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

#### ORCID

Etienne Puymirat D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0533-9682

### REFERENCES

- Authors/Task Force members, Windecker S, Kolh P, Alfonso F, et al. 2014 ESC/EACTS guidelines on myocardial revascularization: the task force on myocardial revascularization of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS) developed with the special contribution of the European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions (EAPCI). *Eur Heart J.* 2014;35:2541-2619.
- Meliga E, Fiorina C, Valgimigli M, et al. Early angio-guided complete revascularization versus culprit vessel PCI followed by ischemia-

guided staged PCI in STEMI patients with multivessel disease. *J Interv Cardiol.* 2011;24:535-541.

- 3. Widimsky P, Holmes DR. How to treat patients with ST-elevation acute myocardial infarction and multi-vessel disease? *Eur Heart J*. 2011;32:396-403.
- Jaski BE, Cohen JD, Trausch J. Outcome of urgent percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty in acute myocardial infarction: comparison of single-vessel versus multivessel coronary artery disease. *Am Heart J.* 1992;124:1427-1433.
- Rosamond WD, Chambless LE, Heiss G, et al. Twenty-two-year trends in incidence of myocardial infarction, coronary heart disease mortality, and case fatality in 4 US communities, 1987-2008. *Circulation*. 2012;125:1848-1857.
- Kostis WJ, Deng Y, Pantazopoulos JS, Moreyra AE, Kostis JB, for the Myocardial Infarction Data Acquisition System (MIDAS14) Study Group. Myocardial infarction data acquisition system (MIDAS 14) study group. Trends in mortality of acute myocardial infarction after discharge from the hospital. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2010;3: 581-589.
- Movahed MR, John J, Hashemzadeh M, Jamal MM, Hashemzadeh M. Trends in the age adjusted mortality from acute ST segment elevation myocardial infarction in the United States (1988-2004) based on race, gender, infarct location and comorbidities. *Am J Cardiol.* 2009;104:1030-1034.
- Rogers WJ, Frederick PD, Stoehr E, et al. Trends in presenting characteristics and hospital mortality among patients with ST elevation and non-ST elevation myocardial infarction in the National Registry of myocardial infarction from 1990 to 2006. *Am Heart J.* 2008;156:1026-1034.
- Stolt Steiger V, Goy JJ, Stauffer JC, et al. Significant decrease in inhospital mortality and major adverse cardiac events in Swiss STEMI patients between 2000 and December 2007. *Swiss Med Wkly*. 2009; 139:453-457.
- Yeh RW, Sidney S, Chandra M, Sorel M, Selby JV, Go AS. Population trends in the incidence and outcomes of acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;362:2155-2165.
- Fox KA, Steg PG, Eagle KA, GRACE investigators, et al. Decline in rates of death and heart failure in acute coronary syndromes, 1999-2006. JAMA. 2007;297:1892-1900.
- Szummer K, Wallentin L, Lindhagen L, et al. Improved outcomes in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction during the last 20 years are related to implementation of evidence-based treatments: experiences from the SWEDEHEART registry 1995-2014. Eur Heart J. 2017;38:3056-3065.
- Puymirat E, Simon T, Cayla G, USIK, USIC 2000, and FAST-MI investigators, et al. Acute myocardial infarction: changes in patient characteristics, management, and 6-month outcomes over a period of 20 years in the FAST-MI program (French registry of acute STelevation or non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction) 1995 to 2015. *Circulation*. 2017;136:1908-1919.
- Puymirat E, Simon T, Steg PG, et al. Association of changes in clinical characteristics and management with improvement in survival among patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction. JAMA. 2012;308: 998-1006.

 Rangé G, Chassaing S, Marcollet P, et al. The CRAC cohort model: a computerized low cost registry of interventional cardiology with daily update and long-term follow-up. *Rev Epidemiol Sante Publique*. 2018; 66:209-216.

CLINICAL

- Rangé G, Saint Etienne C, Marcollet P, et al. Factors associated with delay in transfer of patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction from first medical contact to catheterization laboratory: lessons from CRAC, a French prospective multicentre registry. Arch Cardiovasc Dis. 2019;112:3-11.
- Wald DS, Morris JK, Wald NJ, et al. Randomized trial of preventive angioplasty in myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med. 2013;369:1115-1123.
- Gershlick AH, Khan JN, Kelly DJ, et al. Randomized trial of complete versus lesion-only revascularization in patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention for STEMI and multivessel disease: the CvLPRIT trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2015;65:963-972.
- Engstrøm T, Kelbæk H, Helqvist S, et al. Complete revascularisation versus treatment of the culprit lesion only in patients with STsegment elevation myocardial infarction and multivessel disease (DANAMI-3-PRIMULTI): an open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2015;386:665-671.
- Smits PC, Abdel-Wahab M, Neumann FJ, et al. Fractional flow reserve-guided multivessel angioplasty in myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med. 2017;376:1234-1244.
- 21. Mehta SR, Wood DA, Storey RF, et al. Complete revascularization with multivessel PCI for myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med.* 2019; 381:1411-1421.
- 22. Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, et al. ESC scientific document group. 2017 ESC guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation: the task force for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J. 2018;39:119-177.
- Pastori D, Biccirè FG, Lip GYH, et al. Relation of atrial fibrillation to angiographic characteristics and coronary artery disease severity in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. *Am J Cardiol.* 2020;9149:31230-31233.

#### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Puymirat E, Nakache A, Saint Etienne C, et al. Is coronary multivessel disease in acute myocardial infarction patients still associated with worse clinical outcomes at 1-year? *Clin Cardiol*. 2021;1–9. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1002/clc.23567

-WILEY-