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# A VARIATIONAL SHEATH MODEL FOR GYROKINETIC VLASOV-POISSON EQUATIONS * 

M. Badsi ${ }^{1}$, M. Campos-Pinto ${ }^{2}$, B. Després ${ }^{3}$ and L. Godard-Cadillac ${ }^{4}$


#### Abstract

We construct a gyrokinetic variational model for sheaths close to the metallic wall of a magnetized plasma, following a physical extremalization principle for the natural energy. By considering a reduced set of parameters we show that our model has a unique minimal solution, and that the resulting electric potential has an infinite number of oscillations as it propagates towards the core of the plasma. We prove this result for the non linear problem and also provide a simpler analysis for a linearized problem, based on the construction of exact solutions. Some numerical illustrations show the well-posedness of the model after numerical discretization. They also exhibit the oscillating behavior.
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## 1. Introduction

The mathematical description and numerical simulation of a plasma interacting with a metallic surface is an active topic of research in plasma physics $[7,15,30,38,41,42$. Applications are wide spread and span a very large spectrum : ranging from the design of laboratory plasma devices to the simulation of solar wind. One of the main feature of isolated plasmas interacting with a metallic surface is the development near the surface of a thin positively charged layer of several Debye length in thickness. This layer is called a sheath and results from the relative mobility difference between ions and electrons. In the sheath, a significant electric field aims at repelling the electrons in the core plasma while ions are accelerated towards the surface so that an equal flux of ions and electrons leaving the plasma to the wall is reached. The mathematical description of this transition layer between the core plasma and the wall is fundamental for the understanding of the plasma properties in its globality. Significant efforts have been made in this direction the physical level $13,15,30,42$. At the mathematical level, the kinetic description of plasmas has become standard and the mathematical theory of kinetic plasma models be it in the absence of boundaries or in the case of bounded plasma is by now wellestablished [1, 5, 6, 8, 24 $, 27,36,37,39]$. However efficient, little works on the mathematical side have focused on the precise analysis of sheaths $[3,4,17,31]$. In [4], the authors showed the existence and uniqueness of a solution
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for a two species Vlasov-Poisson system with boundaries under a moment condition on the incoming flow of ions that takes the form of a so called kinetic Bohm criterion $\sqrt{7,38}$. The extension of this work to the case where a constant magnetic field is imposed was intended in 2 . At the physical level, when a magnetic field is considered, numerical simulations [15, 30, 33 seem to show off different physical scenarios according to both the angle of incidence of the magnetic field with respect to the surface and the ratio of force between the electric field and the intensity of the magnetic field. The existence of physical stationary states seems nevertheless subjected to the validity of the so called Bohm-Chodura condition 15,42 whose mathematical justification is up to our knowledge a condition for the existence of a monotonic electrostatic potential obtained via a linearized model.

As far as the mathematical modeling of magnetized plasma is concerned, gyrokinetic theory has emerged to reduce the computational cost of computing the particles distribution functions in perpendicular directions to the magnetic field. In the classical kinetic description, particles are usually described with their six coordinates of positions and velocities. In the gyrokinetic description however, particles are identified, through their gyrocenter positions in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and two other components : their parallel component along the magnetic field and their magnetic moment. The magnetic moment enables an identification with the perpendicular velocity. It yields a representation of the particles distribution function in a five dimensional phase space. The mathematical and physical justifications can be found in $[9,12,20,32,40]$. In practice [10], the transport of particles distribution functions is made through the gyroaveraged fields which are non local in space. This non locality poses the question of what are appropriate boundary conditions when material boundaries are considered.

By far, the construction of solutions in the presence of spatial boundaries for a two species gyrokinetic Vlasov-Poisson system is up to our knowledge an open problem. In this work, we study a magnetized plasma interacting with a metallic wall by considering a simplified two species gyrokinetic Vlasov-Poisson system. Using symmetries, particles distribution functions in our model live in a three dimensional phase space where particles gyrocenter positions are spotted by the variable $x<0$, their velocity component along the magnetic field is denoted $v \in \mathbb{R}$ and their magnetic moments is denoted $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. The angle of the prescribed magnetic field is arbitrary. Incoming flow of particles is considered at the infinity and partial absorption is considered at the wall. In our model, the infinity is in fact the core of a plasma assumed to be neutral and at rest. The electrostatic potential is assumed to vanish at the infinity, while at the wall its gyroaveraged value is computed so as to ensure the neutrality of the current. These natural equations are supplemented by what we call the closure relations. These closure relations are the simplest ones, have a clear physical meaning even if they are arbitrary, and yield a well-posed global problem, as we show in this work.

To construct the model, our methodology follows a standard approach: we integrate the gyrokinetic Vlasov equations with respect to the gyroaveraged electrostatic potential, and we then consider a non linear Poisson equation that we treat as an extremalization problem. The density functions are peaked around an electronic Larmor radius $r_{e}$ and an ionic Larmor radius $r_{i}$. These radii are arbitrary in the final model.

For the mathematical analysis, it is convenient to choose equal Larmor radius $r_{e}=r_{i}$ in order to minimize technical difficulties. This hypothesis, the same unique radius for ions and electrons, is similar of other monokinetic hypotheses in the mathematical literature [11. This simplification has the advantage that well posedness (convexity, existence and uniqueness of a minimum ...) is proved. A new result is a rigorous justification of the oscillating behavior of the electric potential, a phenomenon that has been observed numerically 15 but, to the best of our knowledge, never analyzed mathematically. Specifically, we are able to prove that under certain conditions the exact electric potential admits an infinite number of oscillations of comparable length. This is first shown with a method adapted to the non linearity of the problem, and also with a linear method which calculates exact solutions to the linear problem far from the wall. Some illustrations are eventually provided in the numerical section. These illustrations fully confirm our original theoretical findings.

The organization is as follows. The variational model is constructed in Section 2 by means of exact integration of the kinetic Vlasov equations in the phase space. The value of the wall potential is obtained by solving a pair of non linear equations which express the neutrality at infinity and the ambipolarity principle. The variational principle is then formulated with a stability condition at infinity, corresponding to a kinetic Bohm-Chodura inequality. In Section 3, the model is simplified so as to establish its main properties. The mathematical
solution is based on the minimization of a strictly convex functional. The monotonicity of the solution at the wall is proved in Section 3.1.2 The oscillating behavior of the solution is then proved by two different methods. The first one is described in Section 3.1.3. It is non linear and proceeds by means of inequalities and comparison with convenient test functions. The second one in Section 3.1.4 is linear in nature and is based on the calculation of exact complex exponential solutions. Finally Section 4 is dedicated to simple numerical tests which illustrate the theoretical findings. Several technical proofs and results are gathered in the Appendix.

## 2. Construction of a variational sheath model

### 2.1. Gyroaverage operator

In magnetized plasma physics, charged particles follow helicoidal trajectories. A key notion is the gyroaveraging on the Larmor radius. Let $\mathbf{B} \neq 0$ be a constant non zero given magnetic field

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B}=|\mathbf{B}|\left(\cos \theta \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{x}}+\sin \theta \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{y}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \quad \text { with } \quad \theta \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following 10,12 , the dynamics of charged particles is described by averaging along helicoidal trajectories which oscillate around center-guide trajectories. A typical helicoidal trajectory starting at $\mathrm{X}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ involves the Larmor vector $\rho_{r}(\alpha):=r\left(\cos \alpha \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{1}}+\sin \alpha \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{2}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ with $\alpha \in[0,2 \pi)$, and where $\mathbf{v}_{1}=(-\sin \theta, \cos \theta, 0)$ and $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{2}}=(0,0,1)$ are two vectors orthonormal to $\mathbf{B}$. With these notations, a trajectory with radius $r>0$, parallel direction $\mathbf{b}=\frac{\mathbf{B}}{|\mathbf{B}|}$, parallel velocity $v_{\|} \neq 0$ and cyclotron frequency $\omega_{c} \neq 0$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}(t)=X+\rho_{r}\left(\omega_{c} t\right)+v_{\|} \mathbf{b} t=: \tilde{X}(t)+v_{\|} \mathbf{b} t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given a characteristic length $L$, gyrokinetic models are based on the approximation $u(X) \leftarrow \frac{\omega_{c}}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi / \omega_{c}} u(\tilde{X}(t)) d t$ in the regime $\omega_{c} \gg v_{\|} / L$. Assume now that $u$ is an univariate function of the coordinate $x=x_{1}$. By averaging on the Larmor circle, one gets the approximation $u(x) \leftarrow\langle u\rangle_{r}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} u\left(x+\rho_{r}(\alpha) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{x}}\right) d \alpha$. Using the change of variable $\underline{x}=\rho_{r}(\alpha) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{x}}=-r \sin \theta \cos \alpha$, the local gyroaverage operator takes the form of a convolution operator. Namely for all $u \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle u\rangle_{r}(x):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} w\left(\frac{x-y}{r \sin \theta}\right) u(y) \frac{d y}{r \sin \theta} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the convolution kernel $w$ is defined almost everywhere by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(x)=\frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{1-x^{2}}} \text { for }|x|<1 \quad \text { and } \quad w(x)=0 \text { for }|x|>1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The convolution kernel $w$ belongs to $L^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ for $1 \leq p<2$ and its total mass is normalized, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} w(x) d x=1$. Some properties of the associated gyroaverage operator are stated in the Appendix. In particular it can be extended to a linear continuous operator from $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ to $H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$ for any $s>0$.

### 2.2. Gyrokinetic equations

Following $10,12,19$, a plasma made of one species of ions and electrons subject to a given magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ is modeled with gyrokinetic Vlasov-Poisson equations. Positions are denoted as $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Velocities in the $x$ direction are denoted as $v \in \mathbb{R}$. Magnetic moments are denoted as $\mu= \pm\left|\mathbf{v}_{\perp}\right| \in \mathbb{R}$.

The unknowns are the electrostatic potential $\phi: x \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \phi(x) \in \mathbb{R}$, the particle density for ions $f_{i}:(x, v, \mu) \in$ $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto f_{i}(x, v, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$and the particle density for electrons $f_{e}:(x, v, \mu) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto f_{e}(x, v, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. They satisfy the gyrokinetic Vlasov-Poisson system (5.7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \partial_{x} f_{i}-\left\langle\partial_{x} \phi\right\rangle_{R_{i}(\mu)} \partial_{v} f_{i}=0, \quad(x, v, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
v \partial_{x} f_{e}+\frac{1}{\eta}\left\langle\partial_{x} \phi\right\rangle_{R_{e}(\mu)} \partial_{v} f_{e}=0, \quad(x, v, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}  \tag{6}\\
-\lambda^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \partial_{x x} \phi=n_{i}(x)-n_{e}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the equations, the notations are as follows. The Larmor radius is proportional to the square root of the magnetic moment for both species, that is $R_{i, e}(\mu)=\alpha_{i, e} \sqrt{|\mu|}>0$ where $\alpha_{i, e}>0$. We refer to 10, page 5] for the definition of the Debye length $\lambda>0$ in function of physical quantities in the context of fusion plasmas. In particular small Debye length arise from the physical scaling

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\rho_{s}^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}=\frac{4 \pi n m c^{2}}{|\mathbf{B}|^{2}} \gg 1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

One has $\lambda \approx 6.4 e-5$ in fusion plasmas [19, page 126]. The mass ratio between ions and electrons is a natural small parameter denoted as $\eta=\frac{m_{e}}{m_{i}} \approx 1 / 2000$. The coefficient $\sin ^{2} \theta$ in equation (7) comes from the fact that it is the projection along the first coordinate of the operator $\nabla_{\perp}^{*} \nabla_{\perp}$ where $\nabla_{\perp}$ is the gradient orthogonal to the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$. Since one also has a $\sin \theta$ in the gyroaveraging (3), it is immediate to observe that $\sin \theta$ can be conveniently eliminated after a rescaling of the space (it will be performed in the next section).

The macroscopic gyroaveraged densities and parallel current densities are

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{i}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left\langle f_{i}\right\rangle_{R_{i}(\mu)}(x, v, \mu) d v d \mu,  \tag{9}\\
& n_{e}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left\langle f_{e}\right\rangle_{R_{e}(\mu)}(x, v, \mu) d v d \mu, \\
& J_{i}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left\langle f_{i}\right\rangle_{R_{i}(\mu)}(x, v, \mu) v d v d \mu, \quad J_{e}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left\langle f_{e}\right\rangle_{R_{e}(\mu)}(x, v, \mu) v d v d \mu .
\end{align*}
$$

By integration of the Vlasov equations (5] 6), we find that the parallel current densities $J_{i}$ and $J_{e}$ are constant.
Using the order of magnitude of physical quantities in fusion plasma [19, page 146], the mean Larmor radius for ions is $r_{i} \approx 5 e-3 \mathrm{~m}$ and the mean Larmor radius for electrons is $r_{e} \approx 8.3 e-5 \mathrm{~m}$. The ratio comes from $r_{e} / r_{i}=\sqrt{\eta}=\sqrt{m_{e} / m_{i}}$. Therefore, for the ease of mathematical simplifications, we will consider particles density which are peaked at their corresponding (positive) magnetic moment, $\mu_{i}$ such that $R_{i}\left(\mu_{i}\right)=r_{i}$ and $\mu_{e}$ such that $R_{e}\left(\mu_{e}\right)=r_{e}$. That is we will consider

$$
f_{i}(x, v, \mu) \longleftarrow f_{i}(x, v) \otimes \delta\left(\mu-\mu_{i}\right) \text { and } f_{e}(x, v, \mu) \longleftarrow f_{e}(x, v) \otimes \delta\left(\mu-\mu_{i}\right)
$$

### 2.3. Dimensionless equations

There are three characteristic lengths in the model which are $\lambda, r_{i}$ and $r_{r}$. It is convenient to perform a rescaling of the space variable

$$
\hat{x}=\frac{x}{\lambda \sin \theta}, \quad \hat{r}_{i}=\frac{r_{i}}{\lambda}, \quad \hat{r}_{e}=\frac{r_{e}}{\lambda} .
$$

The convolution kernels given by 4) are rescaled as well, that is $\hat{w}_{i}(\hat{x})=\frac{1}{\hat{r}_{i}} w\left(\frac{\hat{x}}{\hat{r}_{i}}\right)$ and $\hat{w}_{e}(\hat{x})=\frac{1}{\hat{r}_{e}} w\left(\frac{\hat{x}}{\hat{r}_{e}}\right)$. The rescaled density functions are $\hat{f}_{i}(\hat{x}, v)=f_{i}(x, v)$ and $\hat{f}_{e}(\hat{x}, v)=f_{e}(x, v)$. The rescaled electric potential is $\hat{\phi}(\hat{x})=\phi(x)$. One gets a dimensionless Vlasov equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \partial_{\hat{x}} \hat{f}_{i}(\hat{x}, v)-\left(\hat{w}_{i} \star \hat{\phi}\right)^{\prime}(\hat{x}) \partial_{v} \hat{f}_{i}(\hat{x}, v)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad v \partial_{\hat{x}} \hat{f}_{e}(\hat{x}, v)+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\hat{w}_{e} \star \hat{\phi}\right)^{\prime}(\hat{x}) \partial_{v} \hat{f}_{e}(\hat{x}, v)=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a dimensionless Poisson equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\partial_{\hat{x} \hat{x}} \hat{\phi}(\hat{x})=\hat{n}_{i}(\hat{x})-\hat{n}_{e}(\hat{x}) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the dimensionless densities correspond to the gyroaveraged ones $\hat{n}_{i}(x)=n_{i}(x)$ and $\hat{n}_{e}(x)=n_{e}(x)$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{n}_{i}(x)=\int\left(\hat{w}_{i} \star \hat{f}_{i}\right)(\hat{x}, v) d v=\hat{w}_{i} \star \hat{n}_{i}^{\text {phys }} \quad \text { and } \quad \hat{n}_{e}(x)=\int\left(\hat{w}_{e} \star \hat{f}_{e}\right)(\hat{x}, v) d v=\hat{w}_{e} \star \hat{n}_{e}^{\text {phys }} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have denoted by $\hat{n}_{i}^{\text {phys }}:=\int \hat{f}_{i}(\cdot, v) d v$ and $\hat{n}_{e}^{\text {phys }}:=\int \hat{f}_{e}(\cdot, v) d v$ the "physical" densities in dimensionless variables. In the rest of this work, the non dimensional notation $\hat{\imath}$ will be discarded.

### 2.4. Modeling sheath and boundary conditions

A sheath is the boundary layer at a metallic wall observed in real devices. We write 10.11 in the domain

$$
(x, v) \in \mathcal{D}=(-\infty, 0) \times \mathbb{R}
$$

and we must complement the equations with boundary conditions. However there is still a major difficulty near the wall, which is that some fundamental assumptions behind the gyroaveraging procedure are not satisfied near the wall at $x=0$ : indeed the validity of helicoidal trajectories $\sqrt{21}$ in the vicinity of the wall is quite questionable. This problem is well known in physical literature $7,15,30,38,41,42$.

In our approach, we will firstly use natural boundary conditions and we will secondly close the model with the energy extremalization principle. The natural boundary conditions are generalization of a previous work [4]. The energy extremalization principle can be seen as a way to recover some compatibility with the Hamiltonian description of Vlasov-Maxwell equations [34, 43].

The zero reflection law for particles at the wall writes

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}(0, v<0)=0 \text { and } f_{e}(0, v<0)=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will extend the functions inside the wall with

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{x} \phi=0 \quad \text { in }(0,+\infty)  \tag{14}\\
f_{i}=f_{e}=0 \text { in }(0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

These closure relations express that the spatial domain $(0,+\infty)$ corresponds to the inside of a perfectly conducting wall. Consequently there is neither an electric field inside, nor plasma particles that travel inside. These closure relations are arbitrary in a sense, however they are simple and have a clear physical meaning.

### 2.5. Electronic phase diagram

Starting from a given smooth potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=w_{e} \star \phi, \quad \psi \in C^{1}(-\infty, 0] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which may have oscillations as described in Figure 1, the electronic phase diagram constructs a physically and mathematically admissible solution of the gyroaveraged kinetic equation $v \partial_{x} f_{e}+\frac{1}{\eta} \psi^{\prime}(x) \partial_{v} f_{e}=0$ in $\mathcal{D}$. The oscillations of the gyroaveraged potential $\psi$ are caused by similar oscillations of the physical potential $\phi$. The level curves are defined by $\frac{1}{2} v^{2}-\frac{1}{\eta} \psi(x)=$ constant. Where the potential is regular enough $\left(\psi \in C_{\text {loc }}^{1}\right.$ for example), then the level curves correspond locally to the characteristics curves solutions of the characteristics equations $x^{\prime}=v$ and $v^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\eta} \psi^{\prime}(x)$. Two points $(x, v) \in \mathcal{D}$ and $(y, w) \in \mathcal{D}$ are connected if and only if

$$
\frac{1}{2} v^{2}-\frac{1}{\eta} \psi(x)=\frac{1}{2} w^{2}-\frac{1}{\eta} \psi(y)
$$



Figure 1. Graph of potentials $\phi, \psi=w_{e} \star \phi$ and $\varphi=w_{i} \star \phi$ with oscillations. A priori $\phi \neq \psi \neq \varphi$, but the general shape is similar.

For $y=0$, one gets the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} v^{2}-\frac{1}{\eta} \psi(x) \geq-\frac{1}{\eta} \psi(0) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making the assumption that the minimum of $\psi$ is reached at the wall, that is

$$
\psi(0)=\min _{\mathbb{R}^{-}} \psi
$$

then the inequality delimits 3 open regions in $\mathcal{D}$, as described in Figure 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}_{1}=\left\{(x, v) \in \mathcal{D}, \quad v>\sqrt{\frac{2}{\eta}(\psi(x)-\psi(0))}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{D}_{2}=\left\{(x, v) \in \mathcal{D}, \quad-\sqrt{\frac{2}{\eta}(\psi(x)-\psi(0))}<v<\sqrt{\frac{2}{\eta}(\psi(x)-\psi(0))}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{D}_{3}=\left\{(x, v) \in \mathcal{D}, \quad v<-\sqrt{\frac{2}{\eta}(\psi(x)-\psi(0))}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 2. Three different regions of the electron phase space. The dotted lines are general characteristics. The bold line is the characteristic $\frac{1}{2} v^{2}-\frac{1}{\eta} \psi(x)=-\frac{1}{\eta} \psi(0)$.

The superior region $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ is connected to $x=-\infty$, so particles coming from the infinity with a positive velocity travel through $\mathcal{D}_{1}$ and are absorbed at the wall. The internal region $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ contains closed loops because the
function $\psi$ may have some oscillations (we will prove this fact). Particles in this region do not reach the wall. The inferior region $\mathcal{D}_{3}$ is connected to the wall at $x=0$. However no electron with negative velocity is emitted at the wall $\sqrt[13]{ }$, so this region is empty of ion. We consider

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{e}(x, v)=n_{\text {ref }} \exp \left(-\frac{\eta}{2} v^{2}+\psi(x)\right) & \text { for }(x, v) \in \mathcal{D}_{1} \cup \mathcal{D}_{2}  \tag{17}\\
f_{e}(x, v)=0 & \text { for }(x, v) \in \mathcal{D}_{3}
\end{array}
$$

where $n_{\text {ref }}$ is a reference density that will be determined later in Section 2.7. This representation is compatible with standard assumptions in plasma physics 14 .

Lemma 2.1. The function $f_{e}$ defined in 17 ) is a weak solution in $\mathcal{D}$ to the equation $v \partial_{x} f_{e}+\frac{1}{\eta} \psi^{\prime}(x) \partial_{v} f_{e}=0$.
The "physical" electronic density $n_{e}^{\text {phys }}=\int f_{e} d v$, see 12 , can be written in different ways

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{e}^{\text {phys }}(x) & =n_{\text {ref }} \int_{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{\eta}(\psi(x)-\psi(0))}} \exp \left(-\frac{\eta}{2} v^{2}+\psi(x)\right) d v \\
& =n_{\text {ref }} \exp \psi(x) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\eta}} \int_{-\sqrt{\psi(x)-\psi(0)}} e^{-t^{2}} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Another possibility that will be used later is

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{e}^{\text {phys }}(x)=n_{\text {ref }} \exp \psi(x) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2 \eta}}(1+\operatorname{erf}(\sqrt{\psi(x)-\psi(0)})) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Gauss error function is $\operatorname{erf}(x)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{x} \exp \left(-t^{2}\right) d t$. The density at infinity $n_{e}^{\infty}=\lim _{-\infty} \int f_{e}(x, v) d v$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{e}^{\infty}=n_{\mathrm{ref}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2 \eta}}(1+\operatorname{erf}(\sqrt{-\psi(0)})) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant electronic current is equal to its limit $J_{e}^{\infty}=\lim _{-\infty} \int f_{e}(x, v) v d v$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{e}^{\infty}=\frac{n_{\mathrm{ref}}}{\eta} \exp \psi(0) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.6. Ionic phase diagram

Next we construct the phase diagram for ions for the potential

$$
\varphi=w_{i} \star \phi, \quad \varphi \in C^{1}(-\infty, 0]
$$

If $r_{i}=r_{e}$, this potential is equal to the previous one (15). Note that the condition $r_{i}=r_{e}$ is unrealistic on physical grounds, however we will use for mathematical purposes in Section 3 . The function $\varphi$ may also have oscillations as in Figure 1. With the method of characteristics $x^{\prime}=v$ and $v^{\prime}=-\psi^{\prime}(x)$, two points $(x, v) \in \mathcal{D}$ and $(y, w) \in \mathcal{D}$ can be connected with a characteristic curve provided $\frac{1}{2} v^{2}+\varphi(x)=\frac{1}{2} w^{2}+\varphi(y)$. The maximal value

$$
\varphi^{+}=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{-}} \varphi(x)=\varphi\left(x_{+}\right)
$$

is a barrier of the potential. For simplicity as illustrated in Figure 1. we assume that the maximum value $\varphi^{+}>0$ is positive (consistently with the condition at infinity $\lim _{-\infty} \varphi=0$ ) and that $x_{+}$is unique. Indeed a point $(x, v)$ such that $\frac{1}{2} v^{2}+\varphi(x)<\varphi^{+}$cannot be connected to $\left(x_{+}, w\right)$. This is the reason of the decomposition of the


Figure 3. Four different regions of the ion phase space. The dotted lines are general characteristics. The bold line is the characteristic $\frac{1}{2} v^{2}+\psi(x)=\psi^{+}$.
domain in four open regions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}_{4}=\left\{(x, v) \in \mathcal{D}, \quad v>\sqrt{2\left(\varphi^{+}-\varphi(x)\right)}\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{D}_{5}=\left\{(x, v) \in \mathcal{D}, \quad x_{+}<x<0,-\sqrt{2\left(\varphi^{+}-\varphi(x)\right)}<v<\sqrt{2\left(\varphi^{+}-\varphi(x)\right)}\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{D}_{6}=\left\{(x, v) \in \mathcal{D}, \quad v<-\sqrt{2\left(\varphi^{+}-\varphi(x)\right)}\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{D}_{7}=\left\{(x, v) \in \mathcal{D}, \quad x<x_{+},-\sqrt{2\left(\varphi^{+}-\varphi(x)\right)}<v<\sqrt{2\left(\varphi^{+}-\varphi(x)\right)}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To construct a weak solution of $v \partial_{x} f_{i}-\varphi^{\prime}(x) \partial_{v} f_{i}=0$ in $\mathcal{D}$ based on the diagram of Figure 3, we consider a function at infinity for incoming ions $f_{i}^{\infty}(v)$. Using the approach [4], we assume that $f_{i}^{\infty}$ is continuous with compact support in $\left\{v>\sqrt{2 \varphi^{+}}+\varepsilon\right\}$. More precisely

$$
f_{i}^{\infty} \in C^{0}(\mathbb{R}), \quad f_{i}^{\infty}(v)=0 \text { for } v \in\left(-\infty, \sqrt{2 \varphi^{+}}+\varepsilon\right] \cup[A,+\infty)
$$

where $0<\varepsilon$ is a small number and $A$ is taken large enough so that $\sqrt{2 \varphi^{+}}+\varepsilon<A$.
We consider the continuous function $f_{i}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{i}(x, v)=f_{i}^{\infty}\left(\sqrt{v^{2}+2 \varphi(x)}\right) & \text { for }(x, v) \in \mathcal{D}_{4}  \tag{21}\\
f_{i}(x, v)=0 & \text { for }(x, v) \in \mathcal{D}_{5} \cup \mathcal{D}_{6} \cup \mathcal{D}_{7}
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 2.2. The function $f_{i}$ defined in (21) is a weak solution in $\mathcal{D}$ to the equation $v \partial_{x} f_{i}-\varphi^{\prime}(x) \partial_{v} f_{i}=0$.
The "physical" ionic density $n_{i}^{\text {phys }}=\int f_{i} d v$, see 12 , is

$$
\begin{align*}
n_{i}^{\text {phys }}(x) & =\int_{\sqrt{2\left(\varphi^{+}-\varphi(x)\right)}}^{+\infty} f_{i}^{\infty}\left(\sqrt{v^{2}+2 \varphi(x)}\right) d v \\
& =\int_{\sqrt{2 \varphi^{+}}} f_{i}^{\infty}(w) \frac{w}{\sqrt{w^{2}-2 \varphi(x)}} d w  \tag{22}\\
& =\int_{0}^{+\infty} f_{i}^{\infty}(w) \frac{w}{\sqrt{w^{2}-2 \varphi(x)}} d w
\end{align*}
$$

The ionic density at infinity is

$$
n_{i}^{\infty}=\int_{0}^{+\infty} f_{i}^{\infty}(w) d w
$$

The electronic current is constant in space, so it is equal to its value at infinity

$$
J_{i}^{\infty}=\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{i}^{\infty}(w) w d w
$$

### 2.7. Determination of the sheath potential $\psi_{\text {wall }}$ and the reference density $n_{\text {ref }}$

In the physics of sheaths, the value of the potential at the wall is of critical importance. Here since the current densities are constant we may use the method from [4] which is to consider the equations obtained from $n_{e}^{\infty}=n_{i}^{\infty}$ and $J_{e}^{\infty}=J_{i}^{\infty}$. Considering equations 19-20, we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\mathrm{wall}}=\psi(0) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that the condition of neutral charge at $-\infty$ and and the condition neutral flux take the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
n_{\text {ref }} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2 \eta}}\left(1+\operatorname{erf}\left(\sqrt{-\psi_{\text {wall }}}\right)\right)=n_{i}^{\infty}  \tag{24}\\
\frac{n_{\text {ref }}}{\eta} \exp \left(\psi_{\text {wall }}\right)=J_{i}^{\infty}
\end{array}\right.
$$

In this system, the right hand side is given because it depends of the function $f_{i}^{\infty}$ which is independent of $\psi$ and $\phi$. The unknown is the pair ( $\psi_{\text {wall }}, n_{\text {ref }}$ ) with the sign conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\text {wall }}<0 \quad \text { and } \quad n_{\text {ref }}>0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.3. There exists a unique solution ( $\psi_{\text {wall }}, n_{\mathrm{ref}}$ ) to 24 25) if and only if $\sqrt{\pi \eta} J_{i}^{\infty}<\sqrt{2} n_{i}^{\infty}$.
Proof. From (24) one gets by division the reduced equation

$$
H(u)=\frac{\sqrt{2} n_{i}^{\infty}}{\sqrt{\pi \eta} J_{i}^{\infty}}, \quad H(u)=(1+\operatorname{erf}(\sqrt{u})) \exp (u), \quad u=-\psi_{\text {wall }}>0
$$

The function $H$ is strictly monotone, with $H(0)=1$ and $H(+\infty)=+\infty$. Therefore there exists a positive solution, necessarily unique, if and only if $1<\frac{\sqrt{2} n_{i}^{\infty}}{\sqrt{\pi \eta} J_{i}^{\infty}}$. Once $\psi_{\text {wall }}$ is determined, the reference density is given.

### 2.8. A principle of energy extremalization

Energy minimization, or more generally energy extremalization, is a general principle in mathematical physics. It allows to identify those solutions which realize a minimum, or more generally a critical point, of some potential referred to as an energy. In the sequel, we show how to use this principle in order to complete the construction of our model.

Firstly we express the densities in function of the electric potential $\phi$, secondly we give the energy and thirdly we write the Euler-Lagrange equations for critical points. A stability condition is expressed in the form of a Bohm-Chodura condition.

### 2.8.1. Potential representation of the densities

From the general definition (11) and (17), one gets

$$
n_{e}(\phi)(x)=w_{e} \star\left(n_{\mathrm{ref}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2 \eta}} \exp \left(w_{e} \star \phi(\cdot)\right)\left(1+\operatorname{erf}\left(\sqrt{w_{e} \star \phi(\cdot)-\psi_{\mathrm{wall}}}\right)\right)\right)(x)
$$

Let us define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{e}(\psi)=n_{\text {ref }} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2 \eta}} \int_{0}^{\psi} \exp (z)\left(1+\operatorname{erf}\left(\sqrt{z-\psi_{\text {wall }}}\right)\right) d z, \quad \psi \geq \psi_{\text {wall }} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition one has that $n_{e}(\phi(x))=w_{e} \star\left(N_{e}^{\prime}\left(w_{e} \star \phi\right)\right)(x)$. In 26), the function $N_{e}$ is defined as a double integral because the Gauss error function erf is itself an integral. Another definition is possible with just one integral.
Lemma 2.4. One has another formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{e}(\psi)=2 n_{\text {ref }} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2 \eta}}\left(\exp \psi-1-\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\sqrt{-\psi_{\text {wall }}}}^{\infty} \exp \left(-w^{2}\right) w\left(\sqrt{w^{2}+\psi}-w\right) d w\right), \quad \psi \geq \psi_{\text {wall }} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Differentiate the claim $N_{e}^{\prime}(\psi)=n_{\text {ref }} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2 \eta}}\left(2 \exp \psi-\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\sqrt{-\psi_{\text {wall }}}}^{\infty} \exp \left(-w^{2}\right) \frac{w}{\sqrt{w^{2}+\psi}} d w\right)$. Make a change of variable $z^{2}=w^{2}+\psi$ with $\sqrt{\left(\psi-\psi_{\text {wall }}\right)} \leq z<\infty$. One obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{e}^{\prime}(\psi) & =n_{\text {ref }} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2 \eta}}\left(2 \exp \psi-\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\sqrt{\psi-\psi_{\text {wall }}}}^{\infty} \exp \left(-z^{2}+\psi\right) d z\right) \\
& =n_{\text {ref }} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2 \eta}} \exp \psi\left(2-\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\sqrt{\psi-\psi_{\text {wall }}}}^{\infty} \exp \left(-z^{2}\right) d z\right) \\
& =n_{\text {ref }} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2 \eta}} \exp \psi\left(1+\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{\psi-\psi_{\text {wall }}}} \exp \left(-z^{2}\right) d z\right) \\
& =n_{\text {ref }} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2 \eta}} \exp \psi\left(1+\operatorname{erf}\left(\sqrt{\psi-\psi_{\text {wall }}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By definition the function of the claim vanishes at the origin $N_{e}(0)=0$. So it is equal to 26).
We use a similar approach for the ions. Let us consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{i}(\phi)(x)=w_{i} \star\left(\int_{\sqrt{2\left(\varphi^{+}-w_{i} \star \phi(\cdot)\right)}} f_{i}^{\infty}\left(\sqrt{v^{2}+2 w_{i} \star \phi(\cdot)}\right) d v\right)(x) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i}(\varphi)=-\sqrt{2} \int_{\sqrt{2 \varphi^{+}}}^{\infty} f_{i}^{\infty}(z) z\left(\sqrt{z^{2}-2 \varphi}-z\right) d z, \quad \varphi \leq \varphi^{+} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition $N_{i}(0)=0$.
Lemma 2.5. One has the identity $n_{i}(\phi)(x)=w_{i} \star\left(N_{i}^{\prime}\left(w_{i} \star \phi\right)\right)(x)$.
Proof. One has $N_{i}^{\prime}(\varphi)=\int_{\sqrt{2 \varphi^{+}}}^{\infty} f_{i}^{\infty}(z) \frac{z}{\sqrt{z^{2}-2 \varphi}} d z$. Make the change of variable $z=\sqrt{v^{2}+2 \varphi}$ or equivalently $v=\sqrt{z^{2}-2 \varphi}$ which satisfies $z d z=v d v$. So $N_{i}^{\prime}(\varphi)=\int_{\sqrt{2\left(\varphi^{+}-\varphi\right)}}^{\infty} f_{i}^{\infty}\left(\sqrt{v^{2}+2\left(\varphi-\varphi^{+}\right)}\right) d v$. Plugging this identity in 28 yields the claim.

### 2.8.2. The energy

For mathematical correctness of the material presented below, it is necessary to have continuous extension of the function $N_{e}(\psi)$ for $\psi<\psi_{\text {wall }}$ and continuous extension of the function $N_{i}(\varphi)$ for $\varphi>\varphi^{+}$. It can be made on many ways, even if we expect that physical solutions might not depend on these continuous extensions, as it will be visible in the numerical simulations at the end of this work. So, from now on, we will assume that

$$
N_{e}, N_{i} \in C^{0}(\mathbb{R}), \quad N_{e}, N_{i} \text { are piecewise } C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \text { and } N_{e}, N_{i} \in C^{2}(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \text { for some } \varepsilon>0
$$

Definition 2.6. The energy is defined formally by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(\phi)=\int_{-\infty}^{0}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}+N_{e}\left(w_{e} \star \phi(x)\right)-N_{i}\left(w_{i} \star \phi(x)\right)\right) d x \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

An affine functional space naturally adapted to this energy is

$$
V=\left\{\phi \in \dot{H}^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{-}\right) \mid \phi^{\prime} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}), \phi(x)=\phi(0) \text { for } x>0, w_{e} \star \phi(0)=\psi_{\text {wall }}\right\},
$$

where we remind that $\dot{H}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of measurable functions $\phi$ such that $\phi^{\prime} \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. By definition, $V$ encounters for the first condition of (14) inside the wall and for the boundary condition (23) at the wall. Functions $\phi \in V$ are such that $\lim _{+\infty} \phi(x)=0$. We observe that $V$ is a complete for space for the norm $\|\phi\|=\sqrt{\|\phi\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{-}\right)}+\left\|\phi^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{-}\right)}}$. Since $\dot{H}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ is continuously embedded in $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{-}\right)$and functions in $V$ are constant on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$, then one has then

$$
V \subset \mathcal{C}^{0}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})
$$

Lemma 2.7. The function $J$ is continuously defined from $\tilde{V}:=V \cap L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{-}\right)$into $\mathbb{R}$.
Remark 2.8. The need for $L^{1}$ integrability is due to a mismatch between the $N_{i}$ and $N_{e}$ functionals at $-\infty$, it will be relaxed in the mathematical analysis of Section 3.

Proof. We need to prove that the integral (30) is convergent for functions in $\tilde{V}$ and focus on the only problem which is at $-\infty$. Since any $\phi \in V$ tends to zero at $-\infty$, we have $|\psi(x)|,|\varphi(x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow-\infty$ for $\psi=w_{e} \star \phi$ and $\varphi=w_{i} \star \phi$. Now, by construction $N_{e}(0)-N_{i}(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{e}^{\prime}(0)-N_{i}^{\prime}(0)=n_{e}^{\infty}-n_{i}^{\infty}=0, \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that one has a structural bound $\left|N_{e}(\psi)-N_{i}(\psi)\right| \leq C \psi^{2}$ for $-x$ large enough. In particular, one has

$$
\left|N_{e}(\psi)-N_{i}(\varphi)\right| \leq\left|N_{e}(\psi)-N_{i}(\psi)\right|+\left|N_{i}(\psi)-N_{i}(\varphi)\right| \leq C \psi^{2}+D|\psi-\varphi|
$$

for $-x$ large enough. As $\|\psi\|_{L^{p}},\|\varphi\|_{L^{p}} \leq\|\phi\|_{L^{p}}$ for $p \geq 1$ (see Appendix), this a priori estimate shows the convergence of the integral for $\phi \in \tilde{V}$. Refining this arguments shows that $J$ is continuous from $\tilde{V}$ into $\mathbb{R}$.

### 2.8.3. A stability condition at infinity

Here we study a condition such that $J$ is convex with respect to small perturbation at $x \approx-\infty$ of the null function. The condition is written as a convex condition around $\phi=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{e}^{\prime \prime}(0)-N_{i}^{\prime \prime}(0)>0 . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.9. Assume $\int_{0}^{+\infty} f_{i}^{\infty}(w) \frac{1}{w^{2}} d w \leq \int_{0}^{+\infty} f_{i}^{\infty}(w) d w$. Then the condition (32) of convexity at infinity holds.

Remark 2.10. The condition of this Lemma takes the form of a kinetic Bohm-Chodura condition $15,30,41,42$.
Proof. Using the physical densities, one gets easily

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{e}^{\prime \prime}(0)-N_{i}^{\prime \prime}(0) & =\frac{d}{d \psi}\left(n_{\text {ref }} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\eta}} e^{\psi} \int_{-\sqrt{\psi-\psi_{\text {wall }}}} e^{-t^{2}} d t\right)(0)-\frac{d}{d \varphi}\left(\int_{\sqrt{2 \varphi^{+}}}^{+\infty} f_{i}^{\infty}(w) \frac{w}{\sqrt{w^{2}-2 \varphi}} d w\right)(0) \\
& =n_{\text {ref }} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\eta}} \int_{-\sqrt{-\psi_{\text {wall }}}} e^{-t^{2}} d t+n_{\text {ref }} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2 \eta}} e^{\phi_{\text {wall }}}-\int_{\sqrt{2 \varphi^{+}}}^{+\infty} f_{i}^{\infty}(w) \frac{1}{w^{2}} d w .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $\varphi^{+} \geq 0$, one gets $N_{e}^{\prime \prime}(0)-N_{i}^{\prime \prime}(0)>n_{i}^{\infty}-\int_{0}^{+\infty} f_{i}^{\infty}(w) \frac{1}{w^{2}} d w \geq 0$ which ends the proof.

### 2.8.4. Extremalization

Even if the functional is convex at infinity under the condition of Lemma 2.9, a general guarantee of convexity is not known so far for arbitrary values of the physical coefficients $\left(r_{i}, r_{e}, f_{i}^{\infty}, \ldots\right)$. Note however that a general convexity result will be established in Section 3 but for $r_{i}=r_{e}$ which is usually not true in real plasmas. This is the reason we focus on the weaker notion of extremal solutions in this Section.

Let $\tilde{V}_{0}=V_{0} \cap L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{-}\right)$be the function space tangent to $V$, with

$$
V_{0}=\left\{h \in \dot{H}^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{-}\right) \mid h(x)=h(0) \text { for } x>0, w_{e} \star h(0)=0\right\}
$$

For $\phi \in \tilde{V}$, the differential $d J(\phi) \in \tilde{V}_{0}^{\prime}$ is defined weakly by

$$
\langle d J(\phi), h\rangle=\int_{-\infty}^{0}\left[\phi^{\prime}(x) h^{\prime}(x)+N_{e}^{\prime}\left(w_{e} \star \phi(x)\right) w_{e} \star h(x)-N_{i}^{\prime}\left(w_{i} \star \phi(x)\right) w_{i} \star h(x)\right] d x, \quad \forall h \in \tilde{V}_{0}
$$

Definition 2.11. We call $\phi \in \tilde{V}$ an extremal solution of the gyrokinetic Poisson equation if $d J(\phi)=0$.
Let us define now the non linear operators

$$
\tilde{n}_{e}(\phi)=w_{e} \star\left(I_{-} \times N_{e}^{\prime}\left(w_{e} \star \phi\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{n}_{i}(\phi)=w_{i} \star\left(I_{-} \times N_{i}^{\prime}\left(w_{i} \star \phi\right)\right)
$$

where $I_{-}$is a truncation function, $I_{-}(x)=1$ for $x<0$ and $I_{-}(x)=0$ for $x>0$. Using the physical densities (18) and $\sqrt{22}$, we observe that

$$
\tilde{n}_{e}(\phi)(x)=w_{e} \star\left(I_{-} \times n_{e}^{\text {phys }}\right)(x) \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{n}_{i}(\phi)(x)=w_{i} \star\left(I_{-} \times n_{i}^{\text {phys }}\right)(x)
$$

so that $\tilde{n}_{e}(\phi)$ and $\tilde{n}_{i}(\phi)$ coincide with the gyroaveraged densities $n_{e}=w_{e} \star n_{e}^{\text {phys }}$ and $n_{i}=w_{i} \star n_{i}^{\text {phys }}$ for $x<-1$, see (9). Close to the wall they can be seen as a perturbation of the latter.

Proposition 2.12. An extremal solution satisfies (in the weak sense) the Euler-Lagrange relations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\phi^{\prime \prime}(x)+\tilde{n}_{e}(\phi)(x)-\tilde{n}_{i}(\phi)(x)-\sigma w_{e}(x)=0, \quad x<0  \tag{33}\\
\sigma=2\left[\phi^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{1}\left(\tilde{n}_{e}(\phi)-\tilde{n}_{i}(\phi)\right)(x) d x\right] \\
w_{e} \star \phi(0)=\psi_{\text {wall }}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\psi_{\text {wall }}<0$ is the (electronic) gyroaveraged wall potential defined by Proposition 2.3, and $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ is a Lagrange multiplier associated to the boundary constraint.

Remark 2.13. For $x<-1$ away from the wall, the first equation in (33) coincides with the initial gyrokinetic Poisson equation (11).

Proof. Such formulations for extremal solutions with linear constraints are very classical. The shortest path to the result is achieved with the Lagrangian $L(\phi, \sigma)=J(\phi)-\sigma\left(w_{e} \star \phi(0)-\psi_{\text {wall }}\right)$ for which a convenient functional space is $\tilde{W}_{0}=W_{0} \cap L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{-}\right)$with

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}=\left\{h \in \dot{H}^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{-}\right) \mid h(x)=h(0) \text { for } x>0\right\} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\tilde{V} \subset \tilde{W}_{0}$ and $\tilde{V} \neq \tilde{W}_{0}$. Observing that the energy functional $J$ is continuously defined from $\tilde{W}_{0}$ into $\mathbb{R}$ (and not only from $\tilde{V}$ as in Lemma 2.7), we see that the Lagrangian $L$ is also continuously defined from $\tilde{W}_{0}$
into $\mathbb{R}$. Let us calculate the variation with respect to test functions $h \in \tilde{W}_{0}$

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{0}\left[\phi^{\prime}(x) h^{\prime}(x)+N_{e}^{\prime}\left(w_{e} \star \phi(x)\right) w_{e} \star h(x)-N_{i}^{\prime}\left(w_{i} \star \phi(x)\right) w_{i} \star h(x)\right] d x-\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_{e}(x) h(x) d x=0
$$

One has

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{-}} \phi^{\prime}(x) h^{\prime}(x) d x=-\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi^{\prime \prime}(x) h(x) d x+\phi^{\prime}(0) h(0)
$$

then for $s \in\{e, i\}$, using the symmetry of the kernels $w_{s}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{-}} N_{s}^{\prime}\left(w_{s} \star \phi(x)\right) w_{s} \star h(x) d x & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{-}} I_{-}(x) N_{s}^{\prime}\left(w_{s} \star \phi(x)\right) w_{s} \star h(x) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{n}_{s}(\phi)(x) h(x) d x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{-}} \tilde{n}_{s}(\phi)(x) h(x) d x+\left(\int_{0}^{1} \tilde{n}_{s}(\phi)(x) d x\right) h(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have also used the fact that the perturbed densities $\tilde{n}_{e}, \tilde{n}_{i}$ vanish for $x>1$, and finally

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} w_{e}(x) h(x) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{-}} w_{e}(x) h(x) d x+\frac{1}{2} h(0)
$$

This yields

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{-}}\left[-\phi^{\prime \prime}+\tilde{n}_{e}(\phi)-\tilde{n}_{i}(\phi)-\sigma w_{e}\right](x) h(x) d x+\left[\phi^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{1}\left(\tilde{n}_{e}(\phi)-\tilde{n}_{i}(\phi)\right)(x) d x-\frac{1}{2} \sigma\right] h(0)=0
$$

Taking an arbitrary $h \in \tilde{W}_{0}$ with $h(0)=0$ gives the first equation of 33 . The second equation is the remaining coefficient in front of $h(0)$. Finally the boundary condition in (33) follows from the variations in $\sigma$.

## 3. Mathematical Study

To have clearer insight into the existence, uniqueness and qualitative properties of the solution of the physical extremalization problem 2.11 , we simplify the problem so as to concentrate on the main features. A simplification is that the physical characteristic lengths discussed in Section 2.2 are taken all three of them equal to one

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=r_{e}=r_{i}=1 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also modify the problem for mathematical simplicity: instead of working in the physical domain consisting of a negative half-axis and a physical electric potential which is negative at the wall, we will now work in the positive half axis $x>0$ with a positive wall potential $\psi_{\text {wall }}>0$. This modification is applied also to the functional spaces which are (re)defined accordingly.

Thus, in this Section we consider the following simplified mathematical minimization problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{\phi \in V} \mathcal{J}(\phi) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

with an energy functional now defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}(\phi):=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left|\frac{d}{d x} \phi(x)\right|^{2}+F(w \star \phi(x))\right] d x \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functional space $V$ is redefined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V:=\left\{\phi \in \dot{H}^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right): w \star \phi(0)=\psi_{\text {wall }}>0 \quad \text { and } \quad \phi(x)=\phi(0) \text { for } x<0\right\} . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4. Condition (43) states that the function $F^{\prime \prime}$ may show integrable singularities, as it is the case for the physical model in the vicinity of the wall at the value $\phi_{\text {wall }}$.

Here the parameters are the function $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose properties will be discussed below, the gyroaveraging kernel $w$ defined in (4) and the wall potential $\psi_{\text {wall }}$, which is now assumed positive for mathematical simplicity, as specified above. We also remind that the space $\dot{H}^{1}$ is defined as the set of measurable functions $\phi$ which derivative is in $L^{2}$, and that functions $\phi$ in the affine space $V$ are such that $\lim _{+\infty} \phi=0$. The tangent space is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}:=\left\{\phi \in \dot{H}^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right): w \star \phi(0)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \phi(x)=\phi(0) \text { for } x<0\right\} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that if the function $w$ is replaced by a Dirac mass, we recover a standard nonlinear Poisson problem with a Dirichlet constraint at $x=0$.

With our simplification (35), the function $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ corresponds to the difference $N_{e}-N_{i}$ from the modeling Section 2.8.1. Thus it should gather some main properties of the density potentials $N_{e}$ and $N_{i}$. For the mathematical analysis we assume that it satisfies the following hypotheses:

- $\quad F(0)=0$;
- $\quad F^{\prime}$ is increasing ;
- $F^{\prime \prime}$ is in $L_{l o c}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$;
- $\exists 0<\alpha<\beta, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \min \{\alpha s ; \beta s\} \leq F^{\prime}(s) \leq \max \{\alpha s ; \beta s\}$.

The condition 40 expresses the physical condition $N_{i}(0)=N_{e}(0)=0$. The condition $F^{\prime}(0)=0$ expresses the charge neutrality at infinity (31). The monotonicity 41) is a generalization of the physical stability condition at infinity (32). Condition (43) means that the derivative $F^{\prime}$ lays between the curves $y=\alpha x$ and $y=\beta x$ as illustrated in Figure 4. We also draw in figure 4 the fact that the function $F^{\prime \prime}$ may have a mild singularity at $\psi_{\text {wall }}$ since it is the case for the physical model, see equations 2627 . Since the singularity there scales like the inverse of a square root, it is locally integrable which gives a physical justification for the requirement (42). The condition (43) is justified in the vicinity of the null electric potential $s=\phi=0$ by the local smoothness of the functions $N_{i}$ and $N_{e}$ and the local convexity of $N_{e}-N_{i}$. This insures the coercivity of the function $\mathcal{J}$. Since the physical problem satisfies the four conditions 4043 , they are not a restriction. Finally all conditions (40,43) imply the lower boundedness and upper boundedness inequalities for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha s^{2} \leq s F^{\prime}(s) \leq \beta s^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\alpha}{2} s^{2} \leq F(s) \leq \frac{\beta}{2} s^{2} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.1. Main results

In this section we state our main results concerning the minimization problem defined by (36), (37), and (38). For the clarity of the presentation, the proofs of the latter are postponed to Section B, Essentially we will show that the minimization problem has a unique solution, and also prove that for certain parameters the solution admits an infinite number of oscillations as it propagates towards the core of the plasma. This oscillating behavior has already been observed in numerical simulations [15], it is related with the gyroaverage operator.

### 3.1.1. Existence, uniqueness, first properties

For the sake of readability, the proofs of the two next propositions are postponed in the appendix. They are rather standard for such a problem.

Proposition 3.1 (Existence and uniqueness of the minimizer $\phi$ ). The minimization problem given by (36), (37) and (38) admits a unique solution. Moreover, $\phi \in V$ is solution to this problem if and only if it satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\forall x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}, & -\phi^{\prime \prime}(x)+w \star\left(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi)\right)(x)=\sigma w(x),  \tag{45}\\
\text { where } & \frac{\sigma}{2}=\phi^{\prime}\left(0^{+}\right)+\int_{-\infty}^{0} w \star\left(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi)\right)(x) d x  \tag{46}\\
\text { and } & w \star \phi(0)=\psi_{\text {wall }} . \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

The real number $\sigma$ is the Lagrange multiplier associated to the constraint at the wall (47).
Concerning the function $\phi$, minimizer of $\mathcal{J}$ on $V$, we are able to extract the following elementary properties.
Proposition 3.2 (Basic properties of the minimizer $\phi$ ). Let $\phi \in V$ be the solution to the minimizing problem (36, 37) and (38). Then the solution $\phi$ satisfy the following properties.
(i) One has an estimate of the $H^{1}$ semi-norm of $\phi$ :

$$
\alpha \int_{-1}^{\infty}|w \star \phi(x)|^{2} d x \leq \int_{-1}^{\infty}\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} d x-\sigma \int_{-1}^{1} w(x) \phi(x) d x \leq \beta \int_{-1}^{\infty}|w \star \phi(x)|^{2} d x
$$

(ii) With $\beta$ defined at 43), the energy satisfies $\mathcal{J}(\phi) \leq 2 \psi_{\text {wall }}^{2} \sqrt{\beta}(1+\beta)$.
(iii) The following identity holds $\int_{-\infty}^{0} w \star\left(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi)\right)(x) d x=\int_{0}^{\infty} w \star\left(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi)\right)(x) d x$.
(iv) The Lagrange multiplier is positive $\sigma>0$. For $x \rightarrow 1^{-}$, one has the equivalent $\frac{-\phi^{\prime \prime}(x)}{\sigma w(x)} \longrightarrow 1$.
(v) The function $\phi$ is not identically equal to 0 on the interval $[1 ;+\infty)$.

### 3.1.2. Local monotonicity of $w \star \phi$ near the wall

The property of local monotonicity near the wall guarantees that, at least in the vicinity of the wall, the function $w \star \phi$ takes values below $\psi_{\text {wall }}$. This property is important because the physical modeling of Section 2.5 give a priori zero information for values above $\psi_{\text {wall }}$. In other words, the local monotonicity property states that the solution takes only physical values (in the vicinity of the wall). At infinity, the solution converges to zero with oscillation, as explained in the next two sections, but the oscillations are asymptotically in bounds with the admissible domain (for the physical model in Section 2.6, the fact that $\psi^{+}>0$ also helps).
Proposition 3.3. At $x=0^{+}$the gyroaverage of $\phi$ satisfy $\frac{d}{d x}(w \star \phi)\left(0^{+}\right)<0$.
Proof. One considers the following test function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi:=\gamma \phi+\phi^{\prime}(x) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficient $\gamma$ is chosen such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma w \star \phi(0)+w \star\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)(0)=0 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

One must take care that $\chi \notin \dot{H}^{1}$ because the right hand side in 45 is not square integrable (indeed $\sigma>0$ and $\sigma \in L^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ for $\left.1 \leq p<2\right)$. Nevertheless one performs the calculation using a preliminary regularization argument (not detailed). Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi^{\prime}(x) \chi^{\prime}(x) d x+\int_{0}^{\infty} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi(x)) w \star \chi(x) d x \\
= & \gamma \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} d x+\int_{0}^{\infty} \phi^{\prime}(x) \phi^{\prime \prime}(x) d x  \tag{50}\\
& +\gamma \int_{0}^{\infty} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi(x)) w \star \phi(x) d x+\int_{0}^{\infty} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi(x)) w \star \phi^{\prime}(x) d x
\end{align*}
$$

where for the second equality one uses 48). Using now the definition of $\gamma$ given at 49, Equation (50) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=- & \frac{w \star \phi^{\prime}(0)}{\psi_{\text {wall }}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} d x+\int_{0}^{\infty} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi(x)) w \star \phi(x) d x\right) \\
& +\int_{0}^{\infty} \phi^{\prime}(x) \phi^{\prime \prime}(x) d x+\int_{0}^{\infty} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi(x)) w \star \phi^{\prime}(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Then one is led to

$$
0=-\frac{w \star \phi^{\prime}(0)}{\psi_{\text {wall }}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} d x+\int_{0}^{\infty} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi(x)) w \star \phi(x) d x\right)+\frac{\left|\phi^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}}{2}+F\left(\psi_{\text {wall }}\right)
$$

Observing now that assumption (43) implies $F^{\prime}(s) s \geq 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and also $F(s) \geq 0$ with 40 , one concludes with the above equality that $w \star \phi^{\prime}(0)>0$.

### 3.1.3. Oscillatory nature of the solution for large $\alpha$

The analysis of the solution near the wall is rather complicated but we expect it to be concave decreasing at least on a neighborhood of the wall. The difficulty comes from the presence of the Lagrange multiplier $\sigma$ in Equation (45), which is active only for $x<1$ since the support of $w$ is $[-1,1]$. Concerning the behavior of the solution when the distance to the wall is larger than 1 , we are able to extract the following property when $\alpha$ is large. We remind that, by Proposition $3.2 f(v)$, there exists $x_{0} \in[1 ;+\infty)$ such that $\phi\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$.

Proposition 3.4 (Oscillatory nature of the solution). Assume that $\alpha$ defined at 44) satisfies $\alpha \geq 5 \pi^{2}$. Take $x_{0} \in[1 ;+\infty)$ such that $\phi\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Then there exists $x_{1} \in\left[x_{0} ; x_{0}+6\right]$ such that $\phi\left(x_{0}\right) \phi\left(x_{1}\right)<0$.
Remark 3.5. From this proposition, we conclude that the solution $\phi$ oscillates infinitely many times around the value $\phi=0$. Indeed, by using this proposition recursively we get an increasing sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for all index $n$ we have $\phi\left(x_{n}\right) \phi\left(x_{n+1}\right)<0$. Proposition $3.2(v)$ is important to initialize this recursive argument. We also emphasize on the fact that this Proposition gives an upper bound on the wave length of the oscillations. Indeed, it is required that $x_{1}$ is lower than $x_{0}+6$.

This phenomenon generates new open questions. What is the limit value of $\alpha$ ? Is it possible to characterize the amplitude and wave length of the oscillations? Some answers are possible with the method of exact solutions of next Section 3.1.4.

Proof. - Step 1: Let $x_{0} \in[1 ;+\infty)$ such that $\phi\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we make the assumption that $\phi\left(x_{0}\right)>0$ because the following reasoning works the same in the case $\phi\left(x_{0}\right)<0$, by simply changing all
the signs. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x \in\left[x_{0} ; x_{0}+6\right], \quad \phi\left(x_{0}\right) \geq 0 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now construct a particular admissible direction $h \in V_{0}$ (with $V_{0}$ defined at (39). We ask the function $h \in V_{0} \cap C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ to be non-negative, bounded, with support supp $h=\left[x_{0}+2 ; x_{0}+4\right]$ and such that $h^{\prime \prime} \in L^{\infty}$. There exists an infinite choice of such functions. One has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp} w \star h=\left[x_{0}+1 ; x_{0}+5\right], \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{supp} w \star w \star h=\left[x_{0} ; x_{0}+6\right] . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

One now studies the variations of the functional $\mathcal{J}$ in the direction $h$. One gets by a direct computation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{J}(\phi+s h)-\mathcal{J}(\phi)}{s}=\int_{x_{0}+2}^{x_{0}+4} \phi^{\prime}(x) h^{\prime}(x) d x+\int_{x_{0}+1}^{x_{0}+5} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi)(x)(w \star h)(x) d x \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the function $\phi$ is supposed to be non-negative on $\left[x_{0} ; x_{0}+6\right]$, then $w \star \phi$ is non-negative on $\left[x_{0}+1 ; x_{0}+5\right]$. One also has $h$ is non-negative. The property (43) combined with yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{x_{0}+1}^{x_{0}+5} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi)(x)(w \star h)(x) d x & \geq \alpha \int_{x_{0}+1}^{x_{0}+5}(w \star \phi)(x)(w \star h)(x) d x \\
& =\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}}(w \star \phi)(x)(w \star h)(x) d x \\
& =\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x)(w \star w \star h)(x) d x  \tag{54}\\
& =\alpha \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{0}+6} \phi(x)(w \star w \star h)(x) d x .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, an integration by part gives

$$
\int_{x_{0}+2}^{x_{0}+4} \phi^{\prime}(x) h^{\prime}(x) d x=-\int_{x_{0}+2}^{x_{0}+4} \phi(x) h^{\prime \prime}(x) d x
$$

Therefore (53) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{J}(\phi+s h)-\mathcal{J}(\phi)}{s} \geq \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{0}+6} \phi(x)\left[\alpha(w \star w \star h)(x)-h^{\prime \prime}(x)\right] d x . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Step 2: Given the function $h$, one defines the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu:=\sup _{x \in] x_{0} ; x_{0}+6[ } \frac{h^{\prime \prime}(x)}{w \star w \star h(x)}=\sup _{x \in\left[x_{0}+2 ; x_{0}+4\right]} \frac{h^{\prime \prime}(x)}{w \star w \star h(x)} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $w \star w \star h$ is positive on $] x_{0} ; x_{0}+6$ [ as stated in (52), whereas $h^{\prime \prime}$ has its support contained in the support of $h$ which is $\left[x_{0}+2 ; x_{0}+4\right]$. These two facts imply that the quantity $\mu$ is finite. On the other hand, one has $0=h^{\prime}\left(x_{0}+4\right)-h^{\prime}\left(x_{0}+2\right)=\int_{x_{0}+2}^{x_{0}+4} h^{\prime \prime}(x) d x$. Since $h^{\prime \prime}$ is not identically 0 on the support of $h$, then the equation above gives that

$$
\text { meas }\left\{x \in\left[x_{0}+2 ; x_{0}+4\right]: h^{\prime \prime}(x)>0\right\} \quad \text { is positive. }
$$

One concludes that $\mu>0$. One now uses the definition of $\mu$ to study the right-hand side of Equation 55). One has $h^{\prime \prime}(x) \leq \mu(w \star w \star h)(x)$ for all $x \in\left[x_{0} ; x_{0}+6\right]$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x_{0}-6}^{x_{0}} \phi(x)\left[\alpha(w \star w \star h)(x)-h^{\prime \prime}(x)\right] d x \geq(\alpha-\mu) \int_{x_{0}-6}^{x_{0}} \phi(x)(w \star w \star h)(x) d x \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where again $\phi \geq 0$ on $\left[x_{0} ; x_{0}+6\right]$. One now considers $\alpha$ very large so that $\alpha>\mu$ and obtains $(\alpha-\mu)(w \star$ $(w \star h))(x)>0$ for all $x \in\left[x_{0} ; x_{0}+6\right]$. Moreover, as a consequence of 51) the function $\phi$ is non-negative on $\left[x_{0} ; x_{0}+6\right]$ but it is not identically 0 since $\phi\left(x_{0}\right)>0$. Thus, the right-hand side of 57) is positive. Plugging this back into 55 gives

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{J}(\phi+s h)-\mathcal{J}(\phi)}{s}>0
$$

which eventually contradicts the minimality of the function $\phi$. It already proves the claim for $\alpha$ large enough. It remains to show the lower bound on $\alpha$.

- Step 3: Here, we prove that $\alpha>5 \pi^{2}$. The idea here is to consider a particular function $h$ for the formula (55) and to provide an estimate on its associated coefficient $\mu$.
- Firstly one defines a function $\psi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$(this notation has nothing to do with the previous function $\psi$ in the modeling section) as follows

$$
\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \psi(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x \leq 0  \tag{58}\\ x^{2} / 2 & \text { if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 / 2 \\ -(x-1)^{2} / 2+1 / 4 & \text { if } 1 / 2 \leq x \leq 3 / 2 \\ (x-2)^{2} / 2 & \text { if } 3 / 2 \leq x \leq 2 \\ 0 & \text { if } 2 \leq x\end{cases}
$$

By definition, one has that $\psi \in W^{2, \infty}(\mathbb{R})$ is supported on $[0 ; 2]$, is non-negative and is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)=\mathbb{1}_{\left(0 ; \frac{1}{2}\right)}(x)-\mathbb{1}_{\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{3}{2}\right)}(x)+\mathbb{1}_{\left(\frac{3}{2} ; 2\right)}(x) \text { almost everywhere. } \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $w$ is lower bounded by $\frac{1}{\pi}$ on the interval $[-1,1]$, one has

$$
w \star w \star \psi(x)=\int_{x-1}^{x+1} \int_{y-1}^{y+1} w(x-y) w(y-z) \psi(z) d y d z \geq \frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \int_{x-1}^{x+1} \int_{y-1}^{y+1} \psi(z) d y d z
$$

because $|x-y| \leq 1$ and $|y-z| \leq 1$. One is now going to compute explicitly this last integral. One writes it as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \iint_{D_{x}} \psi(z) d z d y \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{x}:=\left\{(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: x-1 \leq y \leq x+1\right.$ and $\left.y-1 \leq z \leq y+1\right\}$. Subdivide the set $D_{x}$ into the 6 following subsets

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
D_{x, 1}:=D_{x} \cap\left\{(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: z \leq 0\right\}, & D_{x, 2}:=D_{x} \cap\left\{(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 0 \leq z \leq x\right\} \\
D_{x, 3}:=D_{x} \cap\left\{(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: x \leq z \leq 1 / 2\right\}, & D_{x, 4}:=D_{x} \cap\left\{(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 1 / 2 \leq z \leq 3 / 2\right\},  \tag{61}\\
D_{x, 5}:=D_{x} \cap\left\{(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 3 / 2 \leq z \leq 2\right\}, & D_{x, 6}:=D_{x} \cap\left\{(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 2 \leq z\right\}
\end{array}
$$

One computes $\iint_{D_{x}} \psi(z) d z d y$ by splitting the computation on these 6 sub-domains and using directly 58 . First, one has

$$
\iint_{D_{x, 1}} \psi(z) d z d y=\iint_{D_{x, 6}} \psi(z) d z d y=0
$$

One gets the 4 remaining terms by a direct computation using the Fubini theorem, that is in order

$$
\iint_{D_{x, 2}} \psi(z) d z d y=\int_{0}^{x} \int_{x-1}^{z+1} \psi(z) d y d z=\int_{0}^{x} \int_{x-1}^{z+1} \frac{z^{2}}{2} d y d z=\frac{x^{3}}{3}-\frac{x^{4}}{24}
$$



Figure 5. An illustration of the set $D_{x} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2}$, subdivided into $D_{x, 1} \cdots D_{x, 6}$ represented by the different shades of grey. This subdivision intervenes in the computation of the integral (60).

$$
\begin{gathered}
\iint_{D_{x, 3}} \psi(z) d z d y=\int_{x}^{1 / 2} \int_{z-1}^{x+1} \psi(z) d y d z=\int_{x}^{1 / 2} \int_{z-1}^{x+1} \frac{z^{2}}{2} d y d z=-\frac{x^{4}}{24}-\frac{x^{3}}{3}+\frac{x}{48}+\left(\frac{1}{24}-\frac{1}{128}\right), \\
\iint_{D_{x, 4}} \psi(z) d z d y=\int_{1 / 2}^{3 / 2} \int_{z-1}^{x+1} \psi(z) d y d z=\int_{1 / 2}^{3 / 2} \int_{z-1}^{x+1}\left[\frac{1}{4}-\frac{(z-1)^{2}}{2}\right] d y d z=\frac{5}{24}(x+1)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\iint_{D_{x, 5}} \psi(z) d z d y=\int_{3 / 2}^{2} \int_{z-1}^{x+1} \psi(z) d y d z=\int_{3 / 2}^{2} \int_{z-1}^{x+1} \frac{(z-2)^{2}}{2} d y d z=\frac{x}{48}-\frac{1}{128}
$$

Thus one concludes that $\iint_{D_{x}} \psi(z) d z d y=-\frac{x^{4}}{12}+\frac{x}{4}+\frac{15}{64}$. An analysis of the function $x \in[0,1 / 2] \mapsto-x^{4} / 12+x / 4$ shows that it is non-negative and thus $\iint_{D_{x}} \psi(z) d z d y \geq \frac{15}{64}>\frac{1}{5}$. Plugging this back into gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x \in\left[0 ; \frac{1}{2}\right], \quad(w \star w \star \psi)(x)>\frac{1}{5 \pi^{2}} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Invoking now the fact that $\psi$ is symmetrical with respect to $x=1$, one can do the very same reasoning with $x \in[3 / 2 ; 2]$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x \in\left[\frac{3}{2} ; 2\right], \quad(w \star w \star \psi)(x)>\frac{1}{5 \pi^{2}} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Gathering now the estimates $\sqrt[62]{63}$, and concludes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad(w \star w \star \psi)(x)>\frac{1}{5 \pi^{2}} \psi^{\prime \prime}(x) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi^{\prime \prime}$ was computed at (59).

- Finally one defines $h(x):=\psi\left(x-x_{0}-2\right)$. It is straight-forward to check that $h$ is in $V_{0}$, non-negative, supported on $\left[x_{0}+2 ; x_{0}+4\right]$ and satisfy $h^{\prime \prime} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. As a consequence of (64), the coefficient $\mu$ defined at 56) associated to this function $h_{0}$ is smaller than $5 \pi^{2}$. It shows that $\alpha \geq 5 \pi^{2}$.


### 3.1.4. Linearization of the equation far from the wall

Far from the wall, the equation (45) linearized at infinity around the asymptotic value $\phi=0$ yields the linear problem. Actually the linear problem is just a particular case of the general situation, since it is sufficient to take $\alpha=\beta$ in (44). It appears that the linear problem helps to explain the oscillating behavior of the solution by means of the construction of exact solutions.

The homogeneous linear equation that we consider is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-u^{\prime \prime}(y)+\gamma w \star w \star u(y)=0, \quad y>0 \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

By compatibility with (44), the coefficient $\gamma$ is positive with $\beta \geq \gamma \geq \alpha>0$. If the convolution operator would be atomic (a Dirac mass), then the linearized equation would be the classical Laplace equation $-u^{\prime \prime}+\gamma u=0$ with classical particular real solutions $u_{r}(y)=\exp ( \pm \sqrt{\gamma} y)$. But the situation is now very different due to the convolution operator.

Let us look for a general complex exponential solution $u_{r}(y)=\exp (-r y)$ with $r \in \mathbb{C}$. One has by a direct calculation that $w \star u_{r}(y)=C(r) u_{r}(y)$ with $C(r)=w \star u_{r}(0)$. Plugging in 65), one obtains the compatibility relation $r^{2}=\gamma C(r)^{2}$, that is $r= \pm \sqrt{\gamma} C(r)$.
Lemma 3.6. One has $C(r)=I_{0}(r)$ where $I_{0}$ is the first modified Bessel function.
Proof. One starts from $C(r)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \exp (r x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}} d x$. By derivation and integration by parts, one gets $C^{\prime}(r)=$ $\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \exp (r x) \frac{x}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}} d x=\frac{r}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \exp (r x) \sqrt{1-x^{2}} d x$. Another derivation yields $C^{\prime \prime}(r)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \exp (r x) \frac{x^{2}}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}} d x$. One can also write $C(r)-C^{\prime \prime}(r)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \exp (r x) \frac{1-x^{2}}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}} d x=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \exp (r x) \sqrt{1-x^{2}} d x$ so one obtains the differential equation

$$
C(r)-C^{\prime \prime}(r)=\frac{1}{r} C^{\prime}(r) \Longleftrightarrow r^{2} C^{\prime \prime}(r)+r C^{\prime}(r)-r^{2} C(r)=0
$$

This is the modified Bessel equation, see 33 , formula 10.25 .1 page 248]. Therefore $C=a I_{0}+b K_{0}$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $C(0)=1$ and $C^{\prime}(0)=0$, one gets $a=1$ and $b=0$ and the proof is ended.

The first modified Bessel function $I_{0}$ is even, so it is sufficient to study the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=t I_{0}(z), \quad t=\sqrt{\gamma}>0 \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $u_{r}(y)=\exp (-r y)$ solution to (65) that we study correspond to $r= \pm z$.
For real $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the graph of the function $x \mapsto I_{0}(x)$ is strictly convex and lower bounded by a quadratic, that is $I_{0}(x) \geq 1+c x^{2}$ with $c=\frac{1}{2} I_{0}^{\prime \prime}(0)>0$. Therefore there exists $t_{*}>0$ such that the graph of $x \mapsto t_{*} I_{0}(x)$ is tangent to the graph $x \mapsto x$. It is characterized by the transcendental equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{*}=t_{*} I_{0}\left(x_{*}\right) \\
1=t_{*} I_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{*}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lemma 3.7. For $0<t<t_{*}$, there exists two real solutions $0<x_{-}(t)<x_{*}<x_{+}(t)$ of the equation $x=t I_{0}(x)$.


Figure 6. Intersection of $x \mapsto x$ with $x \mapsto t I_{0}(x)$ for three different values of $t$. The correspondence is: $f$ is for $t>t_{*}, g$ is for $t=t_{*}$ and $h$ is for $t<t_{*}$.

Proof. The proof is by intersection of graphs, as in the Figure 6 .
Now we study the equation for $t>t_{*}$, and for possible complex solutions $z \in \mathbb{C}$.
Lemma 3.8. One can rewrite the equation (66) under the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
z-x_{*}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{t_{*}-t}{t_{*}}} H\left(z-x_{*}\right) \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ is an analytic series (with a certain radius of convergence) with real coefficients.
Proof. One rewrites the equation 66

$$
z-x_{*}=t_{*}\left(I_{0}(z)-I_{0}\left(x_{*}\right)\right)+\left(t-t_{*}\right) I_{0}(z)
$$

then $\left(t_{*} \frac{I_{0}(z)-I_{0}\left(x_{*}\right)}{z-x_{*}}-1\right)\left(z-x_{*}\right)=\left(t_{*}-t\right) I_{0}(z)$, then $\left(t_{*} \frac{I_{0}(z)-I_{0}\left(x_{*}\right)}{z-x_{*}}-t_{*} I_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{*}\right)\right)\left(z-x_{*}\right)=\left(t_{*}-t\right) I_{0}(z)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z-x_{*}\right)^{2}=\frac{t_{*}-t}{t_{*}} \times \frac{I_{0}(z)}{\frac{I_{0}(z)-I_{0}\left(x_{*}\right)-I_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{*}\right)\left(z-x_{*}\right)}{\left(z-x_{*}\right)^{2}}} . \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

By continuity, the function $G(z)=\frac{I_{0}(z)}{\frac{I_{0}(z)-I_{0}\left(x_{*}\right)-I_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{*}\right)\left(z-x_{*}\right)}{\left(z-x_{*}\right)^{2}}}$ admit a finite value at $z=x_{*}$, namely $G\left(x_{*}\right)=$ $2 \frac{I_{0}\left(x_{*}\right)}{I_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{*}\right)}>0$. The function $I_{0}$ is an analytic series in the whole complex plane with real coefficients so $G(z)$ is also an analytic series in the whole complex plane with real coefficients. Therefore one can take the square root of $G$

$$
H(z)=\sqrt{G(z)}=h_{0} \sqrt{1+\frac{G(z)-G\left(x_{*}\right)}{h_{0}^{2}}} \text { where } h_{0}=\sqrt{G\left(x_{*}\right)}>0
$$

This is an analytic series $H(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} h_{n}\left(z-x_{*}\right)^{n}$ in function of $z-x_{*}$ with real coefficients and with a certain radius of convergence (because of the square root). Therefore taking the square root of 68) yields the claim.

It is now evident to study the solution of the equation (66), at least for $\left|t-t_{*}\right|$ small enough.
First case $0<t<t_{*}$ : The square root $\sqrt{t_{*}-t} \in \mathbb{R}$ takes real values. Then the equation can be solved with a fixed point method for $z \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case one recovers the two real solutions of Lemma 3.7.
Second case $t_{*}<t$ : The square root $\sqrt{t_{*}-t} \in R$ takes imaginary values. Then it is better to write the equation as

$$
z-x_{*}= \pm \mathbf{i} \sqrt{\frac{\left|t_{*}-t\right|}{t_{*}}} H\left(z-x_{*}\right)
$$

A fixed point method yields two solutions in the vicinity of $x_{*}$, but in the complex plane, that is $z=a+\mathbf{i} b$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b \neq 0$.
In summary of this discussion is that the solutions of (66) are either real or complex.

- If $t>t_{*}$, one can rewrite complex solutions of the linearized equation as real solutions under the form

$$
u(y)=\exp (-a y) \cos (b y), \quad \text { where } z=a+\mathbf{i} b \text { solves } 67 \text { with } a>0, b \neq 0, \text { and } y>0
$$

This solution is clearly oscillating.

- If $t<t_{*}$, it is also possible to construct solutions that change sign under the form

$$
u(y)=a \exp \left(-x_{-}(t) y\right)+b \exp \left(-x_{+}(t) y\right), \quad b>-a>0, \quad y>0
$$

- If $t=t_{*}$, it is also possible to construct solutions that change sign under the form

$$
u(y)=a t \exp \left(-x_{*} y\right)+b \exp \left(-x_{*} y\right), \quad b,-a>0, \quad y>0
$$

- Since $w \star u=C(r) u$ and $w \star w \star u=C(r)^{2} u$, then the changes of sign hold also for the convolved functions $w \star u$ and $w \star w \star u$. So the convolution operator has no smoothing effect for these functions.

The construction of this Section and the result of Proposition 3.4 exemplify the fact that the behavior of the mathematical solutions is deeply affected by the convolution operator. To our understanding, it seems that this phenomenon has not been studied in the mathematical literature $18,21,23,28$.

### 3.1.5. A physical interpretation of the oscillatory solutions

The two methods explained in Sections 3.1.3 and 3.1.4 exhibit oscillatory solutions for $\alpha>0$ large enough, which means for a function $F$ with a magnitude large enough. Going back to the physical equations (5.7) and to the physical scaling (8) of the Debye length $\lambda$, a natural physical interpretation is that the physical Debye length is small enough in relative units. We arrive at the conclusion that the gyroaveraging procedure has the ability to generate oscillatory mathematical solutions for the range of parameters considered in physical papers such as [10]. We also note that oscillatory potentials have already been observed in numerical simulations [15], where they are associated to the so-called magnetic pre-sheath.

## 4. Numerical study

The objective hereafter is, on the one hand to explain that it is possible to discretize the minimization/extremalization problem with standard numerical methods, and the other hand to show that the oscillatory/non oscillatory behavior of the solutions predicted in the theoretical section is observed. In this section we use the sign convention of the physical modeling Section 2 , we work in the negative half line $x<0$, with negative wall potentials $\psi_{\text {wall }}<0$.

### 4.1. Description of the numerical method

The numerical method is made of two steps.

- The first step consists in assembling the density potentials $N_{i}$ and $N_{e}$ and computing the reference density $n_{\text {ref }}>0$ and the Dirichlet boundary condition on the wall potential $\psi_{\text {wall }}<0$ by solving $24 \| 25$. We will consider a function $f_{i}^{\infty}$ that is piecewise continuous, so that standard quadrature formulas for velocity integrals can be used to assemble the potential $N_{i}$. The other potential poses no additional difficulty. Next, $n_{\text {ref }}>0$ and $\psi_{\text {wall }}<0$ are computed by means of a Newton-Raphson method applied to the function $\mathcal{W}: 0<u \mapsto H(u)-\frac{\sqrt{2} n_{i}^{\infty}}{\sqrt{\pi \eta} J_{i}^{\infty}}$. Provided $\sqrt{\pi \eta} J_{i}^{\infty}<\sqrt{2} n_{i}^{\infty}$, we know that this fonction has a unique zero, $\mathcal{W}\left(-\psi_{\text {wall }}\right)=0$.
- The second step consists in solving the minimization problem (36), 37) and (38) by means of a gradient method based on a finite element approximation. Since the solution $\phi$ to the minimization problem (36), (37) and (38) belongs to $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{-}\right)$, it has a zero limit at $-\infty$, that is $\lim _{-\infty} \phi=0$. As a consequence, for the numerical method we take $L>0$ large enough such that for $x<-L$, then $|\phi(x)|<\varepsilon_{\text {machine }}$ where $\varepsilon_{\text {machine }}$ corresponds to the relative machine error. Given $N_{x} \in \mathbb{N}$, we subdivide the computational domain $[-L, 0]$ in $N_{x}+1$ intervals $I_{k}=\left[x_{k}, x_{k+1}\right]$ of uniform size $h=\frac{L}{N_{x}+1}$, We then consider the finite element space $W_{0}^{h} \subset W_{0}$ made of globally continuous and piecewise affine functions which are constant outside the domain $[-L, 0]$. That is, we set

$$
W_{0}^{h}=\left\{v_{h} \in C^{0}(\mathbb{R}):\left.v_{h}\right|_{I_{k}} \in \mathbb{P}_{1}\left(I_{k}\right) \forall k=0, . ., N_{x} \text { and } v_{h}(x)=0 \text { for } x \leq-L, v_{h}(x)=v_{h}(0) \text { for } x>0\right\}
$$

As for the Dirichlet boundary condition at the wall, we use a standard penalty method $[22$. We consider $\varepsilon>0$ small enough and the following penalized minimization problem on $W_{0}^{h}$ : find $\phi_{h} \in W_{0}^{h}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{h}^{\varepsilon}=\underset{v_{h} \in W_{0}^{h}}{\arg } \min L_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{h}\right) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Lagrangian $L_{\varepsilon}$ is defined for all $v_{h} \in W_{0}^{h}$ by

$$
L_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{h}\right)=\mathcal{J}\left(v_{h}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left(w_{e} \star v_{h}(0)-\psi_{\text {wall }}\right)^{2}
$$

For any $\varepsilon>0$ the minimization problem (69) has a unique solution $\phi_{h}^{\varepsilon}$ since $W_{0}^{h}$ is a finite dimensional subspace of $W_{0}$ and $L_{\varepsilon}$ is coercive and strictly convex. It is standard that $\phi_{h}^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \phi_{h}$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{-}\right)$as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$(see Theorem 7.1 of 22 ) where $\phi_{h}$ is the unique minimizer of $\mathcal{J}$ on $W_{0}^{h}$ such that $w_{e} \star \phi_{h}(0)=\psi_{\text {wall. }}$. To compute $\phi_{h}^{\varepsilon}$, we use a standard gradient method which consists in computing recursively the sequence $\left(\phi_{h}^{\varepsilon, n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W_{0, h}$ given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{h}^{\varepsilon, 0} \in W_{0}^{h} \\
\phi_{h}^{\varepsilon, n+1}=\phi_{h}^{\varepsilon, n}-\rho \nabla L_{\varepsilon}\left(\phi_{h}^{\varepsilon, n}\right) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\rho>0$ is a given parameter. By the Riesz representation theorem, the gradient $\nabla L_{\varepsilon}\left(\phi_{h}^{\varepsilon, n}\right) \in W_{0}^{h}$ is the unique solution of the variational problem

$$
\left(\nabla L_{\varepsilon}\left(\phi_{h}^{\varepsilon, n}\right), v_{h}\right)_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{-}\right)}=d L_{\varepsilon}\left(\phi_{h}^{\varepsilon, n}\right)\left(v_{h}\right) \quad \forall v_{h} \in W_{0}^{h}
$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{-}\right)}$is the usual inner product on $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{-}\right)$and $d L_{\varepsilon}\left(\phi_{h}^{\varepsilon, n}\right)$ is the Fréchet derivative of $L_{\varepsilon}$ at $\phi_{h}^{\varepsilon, n}$,

$$
d L_{\varepsilon}\left(\phi_{h}^{\varepsilon, n}\right): v_{h} \mapsto d \mathcal{J}\left(\phi_{h}^{\varepsilon, n}\right)\left(v_{h}\right)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(w_{e} \star \phi_{h}^{\varepsilon, n}(0)-\psi_{\text {wall }}\right)\left(w_{e} \star v_{h}(0)\right) .
$$

To apply gyroaverage operator (3) we use a Gauss-Chebyshev quadrature which is well suited for the numerical treatment of the convolution with the singular kernel $w$ given by Equation (4).

### 4.2. Oscillatory solutions

We desire to exhibit the oscillatory nature of the solution $\phi$ to the minimization problem (36), (37) and (38). The values of the parameters are : $r_{i}=r_{e}=1, \lambda=1, \theta=\frac{\pi}{4}, \eta=1 / 3000, L=20$. We consider the ion boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{\infty}(v)=\frac{n_{i}^{\mathrm{ref}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi} v_{\mathrm{th}}}\left(v-v_{c}\right)^{2} e^{-\frac{\left(v-v_{d}\right)^{2}}{2 v_{\mathrm{th}}^{2}}} \mathbf{1}_{v>v_{c}}(v) \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $v_{\text {th }}=0.5, v_{c}=1.0, v_{d}=3 / 2$. Provided the condition $\alpha>5 \pi^{2}$ is satisfied, our theory predicts that at least oscillatory solutions exist. In our case, a rough estimation $\alpha \approx \int_{0}^{+\infty} f_{i}^{\infty}(v) d v-\int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{f_{i}^{\infty}(v)}{v^{2}} d v$ shows that $\alpha$ is proportional to $n_{i}^{\text {ref }}$. Therefore choosing $n_{i}^{\text {ref }}$ large enough is a simple way to enforce $\alpha>5 \pi^{2}$. In the numerical illustrations reported in Figures 7, 8 and 9, we set $n_{i}^{\text {ref }}=100$. For the finite element approximation we choose $N_{x}=1000$. For the gradient algorithm we choose $\varepsilon=5.10^{-2}, \rho=10^{-4}$. The gradient algorithm is stopped when the $L^{\infty}$ norm of the gradient of $L_{\varepsilon}$ is smaller than $\delta=10^{-15}$. We plot in figures 78 and 9 the computed electrostatic potential, its gyroaverage, and the ionic and electronic densities.


Figure 7. Plot of the electrostatic potential and gyroaveraged electrostatic potential

### 4.3. Transition from oscillatory solutions to non oscillatory solutions

Here we recompute the problem of the previous section, except that $n_{i}^{\text {ref }}$ in 70 is set to 3 different values

$$
n_{i}^{\mathrm{ref}}=100,40 \text { and } 10
$$

We plot the 3 profiles of the electric potential $\phi$ (in linear scale and $\log$ scale) in Figure 10 . The oscillations for $n_{i}^{\text {ref }}=100$ are mitigated with a larger wavelength for $n_{i}^{\text {ref }}=40$, and eventually vanish for $n_{i}^{\text {ref }}=10$. We believe that this behavior is in accordance with the results of the theoretical section.

## Appendix A. Properties of the gyroaverage operator

We state the main mathematical properties of the gyroaverage operator, which is the convolution with the kernel $w$ defined by (4).


Figure 8. Plot in logscale of the electrostatic potential and gyroaveraged electrostatic potential. The drop at $x \approx-15$ is due to machine precision, because the boundary condition was numerically set to $\phi=0$ on the left boundary to emulate the condition at infinity.


Figure 9. Plot of the ionic density and electronic density

Lemma A. 1 (properties of the gyroaverage operator). One has:
(i) The function $w$ belong to $\in L^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $p \in[1,2[$.
(ii) For all $q, r \in[1,+\infty]$ and $p \in\left[1,2\left[\right.\right.$ such that $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1+\frac{1}{r}$, one has $\|w \star f\|_{L^{r}} \leq\|w\|_{L^{p}}\|f\|_{L^{q}}$. In particular, by taking $p=1$, one gets $\|w \star f\|_{L^{q}} \leq\|w\|_{L^{1}}\|f\|_{L^{q}}=\|f\|_{L^{q}}$.
(iii) Define $q$ and $r$ as above. If $f \in L_{l o c}^{q}(\mathbb{R})$, then $w \star f \in L_{l o c}^{r}(\mathbb{R})$.


Figure 10. Plot of the electric potential, linear-scale on top and log-scale on bottom, for 3 different values of the reference ionic density at infinity. One observes that the oscillations for high value of $n_{i}^{\text {ref }}$ vanish for low value of $n_{i}^{\text {ref }}$.
(iv) Let $p \in\left[1,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$ and let $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $f \in \dot{W}^{s, p}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $w \star f \in \dot{W}^{s+\frac{1}{2}, p}$.

Proof. Proof of point $(i)$ : The function $w$ is even. Then, it is enough to study its integrability near $x=1$. Let $x \geq 0$, one has $0 \leq \pi w(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x}}=|1-x|^{-1 / 2}$. This last function belongs to $L^{p}([0,1[)$ for all $p \in[1,2[$.
Proof of point (ii): This is the Young inequality for the convolution [29.
Proof of point (iii): Let $K:=[a, b]$ be a measurable compact interval of $\mathbb{R}$ and let $f \in L_{l o c}^{q}(\mathbb{R})$. The support
of the function $w \star\left(f . \mathbb{1}_{K}\right)$ is contained in $[a-1, a+1]$ and coincide with $w \star f$ on the set $[a+1, b-1]$ as a consequence of $\operatorname{supp}(w)=[-1,1]$. By applying the result given by the previous point to the two functions $w$ and $f . \mathbb{1}_{K}$, one gets that $w \star f \in L^{r}([a+1, b-1])$. This implies that $w \star f \in L_{l o c}^{r}(\mathbb{R})$.
Proof of point $(i v)$ : As a consequence of the standard theory on fractional Sobolev spaces [16], it is enough to prove that the Fourier transform of $w$ satisfies $|\widehat{w}(\xi)| \leq \frac{C}{1+|\xi|^{1 / 2}}$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Using the parity of the function $w$ and a change of variable, one gets

$$
|\widehat{w}(\xi)|=\left|\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{e^{i \xi x}}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}} d x\right|=2\left|\int_{0}^{1} \frac{e^{i \xi x}}{\sqrt{(1-x)(1+x)}} d x\right| \leq 2\left|\int_{0}^{1} \frac{e^{i \xi x}}{\sqrt{x}} d x\right|=\frac{2}{|\xi|^{1 / 2}}\left|\int_{0}^{\xi} \frac{e^{i y}}{\sqrt{y}} d y\right|
$$

Since $\left|\int_{0}^{\xi} \frac{e^{i y}}{\sqrt{y}} d y\right| \leq \min \left(c,|\xi|^{1 / 2}\right)$ holds for some constant $c>0$, one gets $|\widehat{w}(\xi)| \leq \frac{C}{1+|\xi|^{1 / 2}}$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. The rate of decrease of $W$ at infinity yields the gain of regularity of the claim.

## Appendix B. Basic properties of The minimizer

## B.1. Proof of Proposition 3.1

We prove here the existence and uniqueness of the minimization problem $36,(37)$ and $(38)$ and derive the equation satisfied by the solution.

## B.1.1. Existence and uniqueness

First, one checks that the functional $\mathcal{J}$ is well-defined on the vector space

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}:=\left\{\psi \in \dot{H}^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right): \psi(x)=\psi(0) \text { for } x<0\right\} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{H}^{1}$ is the set of functions that admit a weak derivative in $L^{2}$. Up to the redefinition of the physical space for the $x$ variable, this space is identical to the one in (34). One has that

$$
\forall \psi \in W_{0}, \quad \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\psi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} d x<+\infty
$$

On the other hand, as a consequence of (44,

$$
\forall \psi \in W_{0}, \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} F(w \star \psi)(x) d x \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}|w \star \psi(x)|^{2} d x \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{-1}^{\infty}|\psi(x)|^{2} d x<+\infty
$$

where for the second inequality one uses Proposition $3.2+(i i)$ in appendix A. It is straightforward that the functional $\mathcal{J}$ defined at $(37)$ is strictly convex on $W_{0}$ as a consequence of the strict convexity of the function $F$ given by Hypothesis 41. Recall that The affine space $V(38$ inside which the minimization problem is defined is an affine subspace of $W_{0}$.

To obtain existence and uniqueness of this constrained minimization problem, it remains to prove that the functional $\mathcal{J}$ is coercive on $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. Note that one has separately

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}(\phi) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\frac{d}{d x} \phi(x)\right|^{2} d x \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{J}(\phi) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}|w \star \phi(x)|^{2} d x \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

so one needs to obtain a good lower bound on the second term. One has $w \star \phi(x)=\phi(x)+R(x)$ where

$$
R(x)=\int_{-1}^{1} w(y)(\phi(x-y)-\phi(x)) d y=\int_{y=-1}^{1} \int_{z=x}^{x-y} w(y) \phi^{\prime}(z) d z d y
$$

One has directly (Fubini Theorem then Cauchy-Schwarz inequality)

$$
|R(x)| \leq \int_{y=-1}^{1} \int_{z=x-1}^{x+1} w(y)\left|\phi^{\prime}(z)\right| d z d y=\int_{z=x-1}^{x+1}\left|\phi^{\prime}(z)\right| d z \leq \sqrt{2}\left(\int_{z=x-1}^{x+1}\left|\phi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d z\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

One has the basic inequality $|w \star \phi(x)|^{2}=|\phi(x)+R(x)|^{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}|\phi(x)|^{2}-|R(x)|^{2}$. Therefore one can write

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}|w \star \phi(x)|^{2} d x \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}|\phi(x)|^{2} d x-2 \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \int_{z=x-1}^{x+1}\left|\phi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d z d x
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty}|w \star \phi(x)|^{2} d x \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}|\phi(x)|^{2} d x-4 \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\frac{d}{d x} \phi(x)\right|^{2} d x \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging $\sqrt{73}$ in $\sqrt[72]{ }$, one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
(6 \alpha+1) \mathcal{J}(\phi) & \geq 3 \alpha \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\frac{d}{d x} \phi(x)\right|^{2} d x+\frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{0}^{\infty}|\phi(x)|^{2} d x-2 \alpha \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\frac{d}{d x} \phi(x)\right|^{2} d x \\
& \geq \frac{\alpha}{4} \int_{0}^{\infty}|\phi(x)|^{2} d x+\alpha \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\frac{d}{d x} \phi(x)\right|^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the coercivity estimate, so the proof of the existence is ended. The functional being strictly convex, the minimum is unique.

## B.1.2. Obtaining the equations in strong form

Such a formulation for extremal solutions with linear constraints is very classical. As already used in the modeling section, the shortest path to the result is achieved with the Lagrangian

$$
L(\phi, \sigma):=\mathcal{J}(\phi)-\sigma\left(w \star \phi(0)-\psi_{\text {wall }}\right)
$$

and by calculating variations with respect to all $h \in W_{0}$, where $W_{0}$ is defined at 71). For all admissible test functions $h \in W_{0}$, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \phi^{\prime}(x) h^{\prime}(x) d x+\int_{0}^{\infty} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi(x))(w \star h(x)) d x-\sigma w \star h(0)=0 \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\phi \in V$ implies $\phi^{\prime \prime}=0$ on $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$, one can write $\int_{0}^{\infty} \phi^{\prime}(x) h^{\prime}(x) d x=\phi^{\prime}\left(0^{-}\right) h(0)-\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi^{\prime \prime}(x) h(x) d x$. Moreover, using the Fubini theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\infty} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi(x))(w \star h(x)) d x & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}(x) F^{\prime}(w \star \phi(x))(w \star h(x)) d x \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} w \star\left(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi)\right)(x) h(x) d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} w \star\left(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi)\right)(x) h(x) d x+h(0) \int_{-\infty}^{0} w \star\left(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi)\right)(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where for the last equality one uses the fact that $h$ is constant on $\mathbb{R}_{-}$. Finally, using the properties of $w$, $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(x) h(x) d x=\int_{0}^{\infty} w(x) h(x) d x+\frac{1}{2} h(0)$. Therefore, computing the variations of the Lagrangian $L$ along
$h$ at the value $(\phi, \sigma)$ solution eventually gives the following equation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty}\left[-\phi^{\prime \prime}(x)+w \star\left(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi)\right)(x)-\sigma w(x)\right] h(x) d x \\
& +\left[\phi^{\prime}\left(0^{+}\right)+\int_{-\infty}^{0} w \star\left(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi)\right)(x) d x-\frac{\sigma}{2}\right] h(0)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking arbitrary $h \in W_{0}$ with $h(0)=0$ yields to Equation 45). Equation 46) is the remaining coefficient in front of $h(0)$.

## B.2. Proofs for Proposition 3.2

## B.2.1. Proof of Proposition 3.2-(i)

Using the variational principle 74 with the test function $h=\phi$, one ends up with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} d x+\int_{0}^{\infty} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi(x))(w \star \phi(x)) d x=\sigma \int_{-1}^{1} w(x) \phi(x) d x \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

One now uses assumption to write for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$,

$$
\min \{\alpha w \star \phi(x) ; \beta w \star \phi(x)\} \leq F^{\prime}(w \star \phi(x)) \leq \max \{\alpha w \star \phi(x) ; \beta w \star \phi(x)\}
$$

The combination of these two facts with $\alpha \leq \beta$ gives

$$
\alpha \int_{0}^{\infty}|w \star \phi(x)|^{2} d x \leq \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} d x-\sigma \int_{-1}^{1} w(x) \phi(x) d x \leq \beta \int_{0}^{\infty}|w \star \phi(x)|^{2} d x
$$

## B.2.2. Proof of Proposition 3.2-(ii)

Take $\nu>0$. One defines

$$
\phi_{\nu}(x):= \begin{cases}\nu e^{-\sqrt{\beta}(x+1)} & \text { if } x>0 \\ \nu e^{-\sqrt{\beta}} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

A direct computation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\phi_{\nu}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} d x=\frac{\nu^{2} \sqrt{\beta}}{4} e^{-2 \sqrt{\beta}} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, using 44) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} F\left(w \star \phi_{\nu}(x)\right) d x \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|w \star \phi_{\nu}(x)\right|^{2} d x \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the function $x \mapsto \phi_{\nu}(x)$ is non-increasing and since $\int w=1$, one has that $0 \leq w \star \phi_{\nu}(x) \leq \phi_{\nu}(x-1)$. Therefore 77) implies $\int_{0}^{\infty} F\left(w \star \phi_{\nu}(x)\right) d x \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\phi_{\nu}(x-1)\right|^{2} d x$. One infers by a direct computation that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} F\left(w \star \phi_{\nu}(x)\right) d x \leq \frac{\nu^{2} \sqrt{\beta}}{4} e^{-2 \sqrt{\beta}}(1+2 \beta) \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Gathering $\sqrt[76]{ }$ and $\sqrt[78]{ }$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}\left(\phi_{\nu}\right) \leq \frac{\nu^{2} \sqrt{\beta}}{2} e^{2 \sqrt{\beta}}(1+\beta) \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

One sets the value of $\nu$ such that $w \star \phi_{\nu}(0)=\psi_{\text {wall }}$ so that $\phi_{\nu} \in V$. This means

$$
\psi_{\text {wall }}=\nu \int_{-1}^{0} w(x) e^{-\sqrt{\beta}} d x+\nu \int_{0}^{1} w(x) e^{-\sqrt{\beta}(x+1)} d x
$$

Thus,

$$
\nu=\frac{\psi_{\text {wall }}}{\int_{-1}^{0} w(x) e^{-\sqrt{\beta}} d x+\int_{0}^{1} w(x) e^{-\sqrt{\beta}(x+1)} d x} \leq \frac{2}{e^{-\sqrt{\beta}}+e^{-2 \sqrt{\beta}}}
$$

where for the last inequality one used $e^{-\sqrt{\beta}(x+1)} \geq e^{-2 \sqrt{\beta}}$ when $x \in[0 ; 1]$. Therefore 79 gives $\mathcal{J}\left(\phi_{\nu}\right) \leq$ $2^{2} \sqrt{\beta}(1+\beta) \frac{e^{-2 \sqrt{\beta}}}{\left(e^{-\sqrt{\beta}}+e^{-2 \sqrt{\beta}}\right)^{2}}$. Since one has $e^{-2 \sqrt{\beta}} \leq\left(e^{-\sqrt{\beta}}+e^{-2 \sqrt{\beta}}\right)^{2}$, one concludes $\mathcal{J}(\phi) \leq \mathcal{J}\left(\phi_{\nu}\right) \leq$ $2 \psi_{\text {wall }}^{2} \sqrt{\beta}(1+\beta)$.

## B.2.3. Proof of Proposition 3.2-(iii)

One starts by integrating equation 45 and get

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}-\phi^{\prime \prime}(x) d x+\int_{0}^{\infty} w \star\left(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi)\right)(x) d x=\sigma \int_{0}^{\infty} w(x) d x
$$

Therefore, $\phi^{\prime}\left(0^{+}\right)+\int_{0}^{\infty} w \star\left(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi)\right)(x) d x=\frac{\sigma}{2}$. One plugs this equation in 46) and get

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} w \star\left(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi)\right)(x) d x=\int_{-\infty}^{0} w \star\left(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi)\right)(x) d x
$$

## B.2.4. Proof of Proposition 3.2-(iv)

From (75), one has

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} d x+\int_{0}^{\infty} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi(x))(w \star \phi(x)) d x=\sigma \psi_{\text {wall }}
$$

The left hand side is positive and $\psi_{\text {wall }}$ is also positive. So $\sigma$ is positive.
As a consequence of Equation 45 and since $w \rightarrow+\infty$ at +1 , it is enough to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \longmapsto w \star\left(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi)\right)(x) \quad \in L^{\infty}([0,1]) . \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x \in[0,1]$, one has by triangular inequality $\left|w \star\left(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi)\right)(x)\right| \leq \int_{-1}^{2} w(x-y)\left|F^{\prime}(w \star \phi)(y)\right| d y$. One uses Hypothesis 43) and gets

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|w \star\left(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi)\right)(x)\right| & \leq \beta \int_{-1}^{2} w(x-y)|w \star \phi(y)| d y \\
& \leq \beta \int_{-1}^{2} \int_{-2}^{3} w(x-y) w(y-z)|\phi(z)| d z d y  \tag{81}\\
& \leq \beta \int_{-2}^{3}|\phi(z)|(w \star w)(x+z) d z
\end{align*}
$$

where one uses the Fubini Theorem for the last inequality. Using now the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and then using Property (ii) of Lemma A.1 in appendix with parameters $p=q=4 / 3$ and $r=2$, one gets

$$
\left|w \star\left(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi)\right)(x)\right| \leq\left\|\phi \mathbb{1}_{[-2,3]}\right\|_{L^{2}}\|w \star w\|_{L^{2}} \leq\left\|\phi \mathbb{1}_{[-2,3]}\right\|_{L^{2}}\|w\|_{L^{4 / 3}}^{2}
$$

Then one has proved 80 and this complete the proof.

## B.2.5. Proof of Proposition 3.2-(v)

As a consequence of Proposition $3.2 f(v)$, the function $\phi$ cannot change sign infinitely many times as $x \rightarrow 1$ with $x<1$. In other words, there exists $\varepsilon$ with $0<\varepsilon<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x \in] 1-\varepsilon, 1[, \quad \phi(x) \neq 0 \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose now by the absurd that $\phi(x)=0$ for all $x>1$. One is going to prove that in this case $\phi^{\prime \prime}(3-\varepsilon) \neq 0$, which yields a contradiction. For that purpose, one computes

$$
w \star\left(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi)\right)(3-\varepsilon)=\int_{2-\varepsilon}^{4-\varepsilon} w(3-\varepsilon-y) F^{\prime}\left(\int_{y-1}^{y+1} w(y-z) \phi(z) d z\right) d y
$$

Using the fact that $\phi(x)=0$ for all $x>1$ with $F^{\prime}(0)=0$, consequence of 43 , the equality above becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
w \star\left(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} F^{\prime}(w \star \phi)\right)(3-\varepsilon)=\int_{2-\varepsilon}^{2} w(3-\varepsilon-y) F^{\prime}\left(\int_{y-1}^{1} w(y-z) \phi(z) d z\right) d y \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Nevertheless, as stated by (82), the function $\phi$ does not change sign on $]-1 ;-1+\varepsilon[$. Suppose for instance and without loss of generality that $\phi(x)>0$ for all $x \in] 1-\varepsilon ; 1\left[\right.$. Then $\int_{y-1}^{1} w(y-z) \phi(z) d z>0$ for all $y \in] 2-\varepsilon ; 2$. Since $F^{\prime}>0$ on $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$ as stated by 43) and since $w$ is positive on its support, one gets $\int_{2-\varepsilon}^{2} w(3-$ $\varepsilon-y) F^{\prime}\left(\int_{y-1}^{1} w(y-z) \phi(z) d z\right) d y>0$. Plugging this back into 83 and using Equation 45 leads to $\phi^{\prime \prime}(3-\varepsilon)>0$. Since $\varepsilon<1$, this is in contradiction with $\phi(x)=0$ for all $x>1$.
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