



HAL
open science

Light-Interacting iron-based nanomaterials for localized cancer detection and treatment

Edouard Alphandéry

► **To cite this version:**

Edouard Alphandéry. Light-Interacting iron-based nanomaterials for localized cancer detection and treatment. *Acta Biomaterialia*, 2021, 124, pp.50-71. 10.1016/j.actbio.2021.01.028 . hal-03180679

HAL Id: hal-03180679

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03180679>

Submitted on 25 Mar 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Light-Interacting Iron-Based Nanomaterial For Localized Cancer Detection and Treatment

Edouard Alphandéry^{+,++,+++}

⁺Sorbonne Université, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, UMR CNRS
7590, IRD, Institut de Minéralogie, de Physique des Matériaux et de
Cosmochimie, IMPMC, 75005 Paris, France

⁺⁺Nanobacterie SARL, 36 boulevard Flandrin, 75116, Paris, France.

⁺⁺⁺Institute of Anatomy, UZH University of Zurich, Institute of Anatomy, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-
8057, Zurich, Switzerland.

Email address: edouardalphandery@hotmail.com, phone: 0033632697020

19 **ABSTRACT:** To improve the prognosis of cancer patients, methods of local cancer detection and
20 treatment could be implemented. For that, iron-based nanomaterials (IBN) are particularly well-suited
21 due to their biocompatibility and the various ways in which they can specifically target a tumor, *i.e.*
22 through passive, active or magnetic targeting. Furthermore, when it is needed, IBN can be associated with
23 well-known fluorescent compounds, such as dyes, clinically approved ICG, fluorescent proteins, or
24 quantum dots. They may also be excited and detected using well-established optical methods, relying on
25 scattering or fluorescent mechanisms, depending on whether IBN are associated with a fluorescent
26 compound or not. Systems combining IBN with optical methods are diverse, thus enabling tumor
27 detection in various ways.. In addition, these systems provide a wealth of information, which is
28 inaccessible with more standard diagnostic tools, such as single tumor cell detection, in particular by
29 combining IBN with near-field scanning optical microscopy, dark-field microscopy, confocal microscopy
30 or super-resolution microscopy, or the highlighting of certain dynamic phenomena such as the diffusion
31 of a fluorescent compound in an organism, *e.g.* using fluorescence lifetime imaging, fluorescence
32 resonance energy transfer, fluorescence anisotropy, or fluorescence tomography. Furthermore, they can
33 in some cases be complemented by a therapeutic approach to destroy tumors, *e.g.* when the fluorescent
34 compound is a drug, or when a technique such as photo-thermal or photodynamic therapy is employed.
35 This review brings forward the idea that iron-based nanomaterials may be associated with various optical
36 techniques to form a commercially available toolbox, which can serve to locally detect or treat cancer
37 with a better efficacy than more standard medical approaches.

38 **KEYWORDS:**

39 Iron-based nanomaterials, oncology, cancer, optical methods, fluorescence, nano, fluorescent
40 nanoparticles, nanomedicine.

41 **ABBREVIATIONS:**

42 λ : wavelength used to excite the fluorescent compound associated to the iron-based nanomaterial;

43 AF: Alexa fluor;

44 AFM: Atomic force microscopy;

45 BBB: Blood brain barrier;

46 BP: Body part;

47 CAs: Cerebral aneurysms;

48 CCD: Charge-coupled device;

49 CD: carbon dot;

50 Cy: Cyanine;

51 ctDNA: circulating tumor DNA ;

52 CT: computed tomography;

53 CTDR: Cell Tracker Deep Red;

54 CM: confocal microscopy;

55 CTC: Circulating tumor cells;

- 56 DAPI: 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole;
- 57 DFM: Dark field microscopy;
- 58 DiI: 1,1'-dioctadecyl-3,3,3',3'-tetramethylindocarbocyanine;
- 59 DMSA: Dimercaptosuccinic acid
- 60 DOX: Doxorubicin;
- 61 EpCAM: epithelial cell adhesion molecule;
- 62 EPI: Epirubicin;
- 63 EPR effect: Enhanced permeability and retention effect;
- 64 FA: Fluorescence anisotropy;
- 65 FC: Fluorescent compound;
- 66 FDR: fluorescent decay rate;
- 67 FGS: Fluorescence guided surgery;
- 68 FI: Fluorescence imaging;
- 69 FLIM: Fluorescence lifetime imaging;
- 70 FIBN: Fluorescent iron-based nanomaterials;
- 71 FITC: Fluorescein isothiocyanate;
- 72 FLIM: Fluorescence lifetime imaging;
- 73 FRET: Fluorescence resonance energy transfer;

- 74 GBM: Glioblastoma multiform;
- 75 GFP: Green fluorescent protein;
- 76 HD: Hydrodynamic diameter;
- 77 HS: Hydrodynamic size;
- 78 IBN: Iron based nanomaterials;
- 79 IONP: Iron oxide nanoparticles;
- 80 IR: Infra-red
- 81 ICG: Indocyanine green;
- 82 LB: Liquid biopsy;
- 83 LSPR: Localized surface plasmon resonance;
- 84 MHT: Magnetic hyperthermia;
- 85 MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging;
- 86 NFIBN: Non-fluorescent iron-based nanomaterials;
- 87 NP: Nanoparticles;
- 88 NSOM: Near-field optical microscopy;
- 89 PDT: Photodynamic therapy;
- 90 PEI: Polyethylenimine;
- 91 PEG: Polyethylene glycol;

- 92 PFR: Phenol Formaldehyde Resin;
- 93 PL: Photoluminescence;
- 94 PMA: Poly(methyl acrylate);
- 95 PMT: Photo multiplier;
- 96 PS: Photosensitizer;
- 97 PTT: Photo-thermal therapy;
- 98 PVLA: Polyvinylbenzyl-O-beta-D-galactopyranosyl-D-gluconamide;
- 99 QD: Quantum dot;
- 100 RhB: Rhodamine B;
- 101 RITC: Rhodamine B isothiocyanate;
- 102 SAXS: Small angle X-ray diffraction;
- 103 SERS: Surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy;
- 104 SIM: Structured illumination microscopy;
- 105 SPECT: Single photon emission computed tomography;
- 106 SPM: Single photon microscopy;
- 107 SPION: Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticle;
- 108 TPM: Two photon microscopy;
- 109 US: Ultrasound;

110 WFEM: Wide field epifluorescence microscopy;

111 XRD: X-ray diffraction;

112

113

114 INTRODUCTION

115 To improve the prognostic of cancer patients, two inter-connected aspects deserve to be considered, *i.e.*
116 first, cancer should be detected at the earliest possible stage, and second, tumors should be treated locally
117 when they are sufficiently small, non-invasive, and non-metastatic. This double aim can be achieved by
118 using nanomaterials that specifically target tumor cells and are detected or excited locally by various
119 optical methods, [1]. Among the different types of markers, iron based nanomaterials (IBN) present a
120 large number of advantages characterized by: i) their ability to detect a wide range of different cancer
121 biomarkers, *e.g.* tumor cells, Protein, ctDNA, microRNA, DNA methylation, circulating tumor cells, [2],
122 ii) their contrasting properties in various traditional cancer detection techniques, such as computed
123 tomography (CT), Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), Ultrasound (US), where they yield improved
124 sensitivity, [3], iii) their faculty to act as multimodal imaging tools, [4], notably in magnetic resonance
125 imaging (MRI), (5), single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), [6], X-Ray diffraction
126 (XRD), [7, 8], or Small-Angle X-Ray Scattering (SAXS), [9], iv) their biocompatibility, *i.e.* they were
127 safely administered to humans and used in the clinic either as contrast agents, or for the treatment of iron
128 anemia diseases, [10], v) their capability to locally heat tumors, *e.g.* through magnetic hyperthermia
129 (MHT), [11, 12], or photo-thermal therapy (PTT), [13], vi) their movement in the organism that can be
130 adjusted via the application of an external magnetic field, [14], vii) their potential to target tumor, *e.g.*
131 through passive targeting via enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect or active targeting by
132 attaching to IBN a molecule that specifically recognizes a tumor cell receptor, [15], and viii) their capacity
133 to carry a chemotherapeutic drug or photosensitizer (PS) to tumor site, [16]. Two types of IBN can be
134 distinguished. The first one consists of non-fluorescent IBN, whose properties are reviewed elsewhere
135 both for naturally and chemically synthesized IBN, [17, 18]. They can be used as nanoscale local detector
136 tools operating through a light scattering mechanism. The second one, designated as FIBN, comprises
137 iron-based nanomaterials associated with a fluorescent compound. FIBN can be used in various
138 fluorescence imaging techniques and are most often conceived to avoid fluorescence quenching by iron

139 oxide, *e.g.* by introducing an intercalating material between the fluorescent compound and the iron
140 complex, which is characterized by a wide band gap or a thickness that is sufficiently thick to avoid
141 electron transfer between the fluorescent compound and the iron-based nanomaterial, [19, 20, 21]. Recent
142 studies have also introduced FIBN working through another mechanism of fluorescence de-quenching
143 upon release of the fluorescence substance from FIBN magnetic core, [22, 23]. It enables visualizing the
144 release of the fluorescence substance from the nanoparticle, a mechanism that is especially interesting
145 when the fluorescence substance is a drug whose activity is triggered upon release. Here, the various types
146 of light detection methods operating in combination with IBN/FIBN are described as well as their
147 applications in the oncology field. Most of them operate in the infrared (IR), since fluorescent compounds
148 often absorb/emit light within this range of wavelengths, light tissue penetration is enhanced at IR long
149 wavelengths, and tissue absorption can be partly avoided in the IR, specifically between 650 and 900 nm,
150 where tissue autofluorescence and water/hemoglobin absorptions are minimized, [24]. In addition to
151 being able to optically detect tumors, FIBN can be used in light-induced cancer treatment, essentially
152 through PDT or PTT. This review covers the description of optical methods operating within the
153 tissular/cellular environment in the visible/infrared region for which most scattering/fluorescent
154 mechanisms are reported to occur. Characterizations methods used to estimate IBN sizes, shapes,
155 compositions outside of their biological environment, such as X-RD, [25, 8, 26, 9, 7, 27], SAXS, [28],
156 dynamic light scattering (DLS), [29-31], FT-IR, [32-34], or optical-based techniques operating in the UV
157 or radio-frequency wavelength range, such as SPECT, CT, or MRI, are described in other detailed
158 reviews, [4].

159 **I. OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF IRON OXIDE NANOPARTICLES**

160 Iron oxide nanoparticles (IONP), composed of maghemite ($\gamma\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$) or magnetite (Fe_3O_4), are the most
161 commonly studied IBN. Generally, they significantly absorb light between 200 and 600-800 nm, and
162 display an absorption strength that strongly increases with decreasing wavelength, displaying specific
163 absorption bands at 400 and 420 nm for Fe_3O_4 and 300 nm for $\gamma\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$, [35]. In addition, IONP can yield

164 photoluminescence (PL), although such effect was rarely reported, most probably due to a weak PL signal.
165 In one study, IONP with sizes lying between 10 nm and 5 μm were observed to display PL peaks at 560
166 nm, 695 nm, and 840 nm, under excitation at 350 and 407 nm. These peaks were attributed to various
167 recombination of electrons at IONP tetrahedral and octahedral sites, [36]. Furthermore, IONP has a band
168 structure that directly impacts its fluorescence properties. Indeed, the presence of an unfilled shell of Fe^{3+}
169 and Fe^{2+} at IONP surface, [37], where electrons can transfer from the fluorescent compound (FC) attached
170 to them, leads to a mechanism of fluorescence quenching under laser excitation, [38]. As described in the
171 next section, strategies have been employed to develop various FIBN, in which this quenching mechanism
172 is suppressed. Light interaction with IBN can also lead to a scattering phenomenon, which is enhanced
173 when the incident light couples with so-called surface plasmon waves. This is the reason why, when the
174 detection of a scattering signal is sought for, the surface of IBN is often adjusted to result in an efficient
175 surface plasmon wave effect. To this end, it was suggested to design mixed structures containing iron
176 with a plasmonic material (gold or silver), *i.e.* by incorporating iron in Au NP (nanoparticle), [39], by
177 designing gold–iron oxide Janus magnetic–plasmonic nanoparticles, [40], by coating IBN with gold, [41],
178 or silver, [42]. Although the nature of the material located at IBN surface appears crucial to optimize the
179 plasmonic effect, other parameters should also be taken into consideration for such endeavor, such as the
180 shape, size, and type of assembly/interactions of IBN, [43].

181 **II. DIFFERENT TYPES OF FLUORESCENT IRON-BASED NANOMATERIALS**

182 Fluorescent iron-based nanomaterials (FIBN), whose composition, type of assembly, fluorescent
183 properties, and various operating mechanisms are summarized in table 1 and Figure 1, consist of a first
184 metallic part, which is composed of $\gamma\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ (maghemite) or Fe_3O_4 (magnetite), or of an alloy made of iron
185 mixed with different metals, such as cobalt ferrite, FePt, or Zinc ferrite. Concerning pure iron oxides,
186 although most studies report that they are composed of magnetite, it is possible that they in fact consist of
187 maghemite, since on the one hand magnetite should oxidize into maghemite in the absence of a specific
188 treatment/layer protecting magnetite against oxidation, and on the other hand magnetite and maghemite

189 structures are very close to each other, *i.e.* their electron diffraction patterns are very similar, [44], making
190 these two structures difficult to distinguish one from the other in the absence of a specific characterization
191 method such as Raman scattering, [45]. Maghemite is very stable and should not be prone to a phase
192 transition in the absence of a specific harsh treatment such as heating at 900 °C, which can oxidize
193 maghemite into hematite, [34]. Cobalt ferrite composition presents the advantage of yielding improved
194 magnetic properties, [46]. Although preliminary assessment of the toxicity of cobalt doped IBN led to a
195 reassuring safety profile, [46], it is not certain that regulatory agencies will allow their administration to
196 humans due to the well-known toxicity of cobalt, [47]. FePt has shown good biocompatibility, resistance
197 to oxidation, and high chemical stability [368], while the doping of ferrite with zinc increases IBN
198 magnetization values, [48].

199 Besides their metallic portion, FIBN comprise a fluorescent part that can be classified in four different
200 categories. The first one consists of classic fluorescent compounds, mainly dyes or fluorescent proteins,
201 *e.g.* ICG, [16], RhB, [49-51], RITC, [52-55], Cy, [56-58], ATTO, [59, 60], fluorescein/FITC, [61-68],
202 which usually emit/absorb in the visible, near infrared or far infrared, *i.e.* mainly between 380 and 1000
203 nm. Among all these compounds, ICG presents the advantage of being authorized for human injection for
204 a number of imaging applications, [69], hence suggesting that FIBN comprising ICG may be authorized
205 for clinical application provided their safety is established. The second one is made of quantum/carbon
206 dots, [70-87], whose absorption/emission wavelengths vary between 200 and 800 nm depending on their
207 composition and size. These materials present the advantages of displaying absorption/emission peaks
208 with wavelengths that can be tuned through size adjustment and stock shifts that are often larger than for
209 dyes due to light quantum confinement. These properties yield efficient imaging. However, some of these
210 materials also suffer from the presence of toxic elements in their composition, *e.g.* CdSe, [72, 88, 77, 89],
211 CdTe, [90, 91, 77, 75], CdSe/CdS, [70], CdSe/ZnS, [72, 83], CdTe/ZnS, [73], CdTe/CdSe, [76],
212 CdTe/CdS, [79], ZnS, [81], and from a blinking effect, *i.e.* intermittent light emission, [92]. The third type
213 of fluorescent materials consists of luminescence up-conversion compounds such as $\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$, [93], Yb^3

214 $^{+}/\text{Tm}^{3+}$ co-doped NaYF_4 , [93], $\text{NaYF}_4:\text{Yb}$, Er, [94], which emit light at shorter wavelength than their
215 excitation wavelength. The use of long excitation wavelengths with large tissue penetration paves the way
216 towards deep *in vivo* fluorescence. Fourth, metallic compounds different from iron such as chromium can
217 be incorporated in FIBN, *e.g.* in Cr_2O_3 FIBN, and yield fluorescent properties with emission/absorption
218 at 460 nm/360 nm, [95].

219 Metallic and fluorescent compounds are assembled together to form a complex in the following different
220 manners. In most cases, a fluorescent compound is attached to the metallic part of the complex, either
221 directly, *i.e.* without an intermediate layer [72, 88, 54], or via a coating made of various organic layers
222 such as chitosan, [63], carboxy-methyl-chitosan, [63, 73], dextran, [79], DMSA, [49], PEI, [96],
223 polyelectrolytes, [75], PLMA, [32], PEG, [16, 55], or inorganic compounds such as SiO_2 , [95, 97, 70, 52,
224 93, 98, 99]. The coating can be made of a single layer/matrix, *e.g.* of silica, [100], or of several layers,
225 *e.g.* polyelectrolytes of opposite charges within a so-called layer by layer assembly, [50]. The coating
226 material surrounds the metallic part of the complex and the fluorescence substance is attached at its
227 surface. In some cases, a coating, which is fluorescent by nature, such as a carbon shell, is used to stabilize
228 the metallic part of the IBN complex, [101]. Moreover, a coating composed of mesoporous silica was
229 suggested to enable the insertion of fluorescence substance within its inner pores, [97, 98]. In addition to
230 a fluorescent compound, IBN can comprise a targeting agent and a chemotherapeutic drug such as folic
231 acid and β -cyclodextrin, [102], providing additional functionalities to IBN but making this complex more
232 difficult to fabricate under pharmaceutical standards. The distance between the fluorescent compound and
233 the iron-based metallic part is often maintained sufficiently large to prevent fluorescence quenching and
234 below a certain threshold to avoid the loss of the complex stability. When the fluorescent substance is an
235 inorganic QD, it is possible to grow it directly on top of IBN external surface, and hence to avoid the
236 presence of an organic coating, [72, 88, 54]. Finally, different types of super-structures have been
237 proposed, which are composed of micelles, [103], filled or empty vesicles/spheres, [91, 74, 89, 86],
238 matrix, [71], nanowires, [104], graphene oxide, [90], inside or at the surface which FIBN are inserted or

239 attached. Such super-structures maintain several FIBN complexes on or within the same support, hence
240 providing a means to collectively send several FIBN towards a desired location, *e.g.* a tumor, hence
241 possibly improving the targeting efficacy compared with a strategy relying on the movement of individual
242 FIBN.

243 Most often, the FIBN complex is designed to prevent the release of the fluorescent compound and hence
244 to ensure that the fluorescence remains stable as a function of time, *e.g.* by attaching the fluorescent
245 compound (FC) by strong/covalent bonds to the coating of IBN metallic part, [102, 49, 61, 97, 63, 98, 79,
246 57, 65, 55, 68, 83, 32, 84, 105, 106], or by maintaining the FC inside the FIBN complex by encapsulating
247 it inside a vesicle, [91). However, in one recent case, it was suggested to maintain a weak bond between
248 FC and FIBN, [22, 23], to allow the operation of the probe through the release of FC from the FIBN
249 complex, hence yielding an increase of the fluorescence intensity of FC through a de-quenching
250 mechanism, *i.e.* the fluorescence of FC is initially quenched when FC is attached to FIBN and is then de-
251 quenched when FC is released from FIBN, [22, 23]. This method was conceived to yield enhanced
252 sensitivity, due its operating conditions relying on the detection of fluorescence increase instead of
253 constant fluorescence. In addition, it can detect the release of a fluorescent anti-cancerous drug, *e.g.*
254 Doxorubicin, paclitaxel, or bleomycin, [107], hence possibly enabling the monitoring of
255 chemotherapeutic drug activity when the latter is triggered upon release of the drug from FIBN.

256 Several types of FIBN are commercially available, at prices that differ depending on the product between
257 ~7 and ~300 euros per mg of FIBN. FIBN are available in the following different configurations : i) dyes
258 are covalently bound to FIBN, eventually coated with dextran/hydroxyl-starch, *i.e.* Absolute Mag from
259 CD, Magdye from Ocean Nanotech, nanomag®-CLD-redF and synomag®-CLD-far redF from
260 Micromod, MP25/350-FC/RB/Cy3/Cy5/Cy5.5 from NANOCS, ii) dyes are sandwiched between a
261 magnetic core and a polysaccharide matrix, *i.e.* nano-screen MAG (affinity) from Chemicell, iii) dyes are
262 comprised in a polystyrene/silica matrix surrounding FIBN magnetic core, *i.e.* SPHERO™ Carboxyl
263 Fluorescent Magnetic Particles from Spherotech, iv) dyes are weakly bound to magnetosome surface and

264 could be released from this surface under the application of a stimulus to yield an increase in fluorescence
265 intensity (table 1).

266 **III. DETECTION METHODS OF NON-FLUORESCENT IRON-BASED NANOMATERIALS**

267 Dark-field optical microscopy (DFM), is a relatively cheap/simple method that can image IBN down to
268 single IBN resolution, using a microscope shining light, *e.g.* white one, on IBN with the help of a
269 condenser to ensure accurate light focusing, and the scattered light then travels through an objective lens
270 before being observed/recorded. In DFM, the light scattered by IBN is recorded at localized surface
271 plasmon resonance (LSPR) wavelength, *e.g.* using a spectrometer connected to a CCD camera, [108].
272 DFM relies on Rayleigh scattering by IBN, which occurs under conditions where the wavelength of the
273 incident light beam is large compared with IBN sizes resulting in elastic interactions between this beam
274 and IBN and in an absence of energy loss. This method can be used to visualize an assembly of IBN
275 through an image built from the intensity of light scattered by this assembly after removal of un-scattered
276 light. The region, which surrounds IBN and where scattering does not occur in the absence of a scattering
277 object should appear dark in the image, shedding light on the name '*dark-field microscopy*'. To reach a
278 high scattering efficacy, a material with strong surface plasmonic resonance, *i.e.* essentially gold, can be
279 added at the surface of IBN, [101]. Hence, nanoparticle complexes made of Au nano-seeds organized at
280 IBN surface were brought into the presence of human fibroblast cells. It was possible to observe
281 assemblies of these IBN in these cells using a dark-field microscope, apparently with a better resolution
282 than the bright field microscope although this aspect was not discussed in this study, [109].

283 Near Field Scanning Optical Microscopy (NSOM) uses laser light that travels through an aperture with a
284 diameter smaller than the laser wavelength to create an evanescent field, which then excites and images
285 IBN down to individual IBN level. NSOM presents the double advantage of reaching very high resolution
286 down to 10 nm, [110], which is well below the diffraction limit of $\lambda/2$ estimated for an objective with
287 numerical aperture of 1, and of enabling to extract topographical information of the measured sample

288 when NSOM is combined with atomic force microscopy (AMF). NSOM microscopes usually consist of
289 a laser light beam, which travels through an optical fiber, whose ending part, which serves to illuminate
290 IBN and to collect the scattered light, *i.e.* the so-called scanning tip, is coated with a metal, pulled or
291 stretched. The laser light beam further crosses a polarizer and a beam splitter to remove light not
292 interacting with FIBN from the scattered light. The scattered signal is further detected by standard optical
293 detectors, such as avalanche photodiode, photomultiplier tube (PMT) or CCD camera. A so-called feed-
294 back mechanism can be used to achieve high resolution images without artifacts. Thus, it was
295 demonstrated that IBN internalized in MCF7 breast cancer cells could be visualized by NSOM, giving
296 rise to dark spots in transmission NSOM images, resulting from IBN light absorption at 488 nm.
297 Furthermore, AFM images, which displayed the geography of the cell surface through which IBN were
298 engulfed, were also provided as sisters images of NSOM images, [111].

299 Raman spectroscopy (RS) is another method, whose principle relies on inelastic light scattering by a
300 nanomaterial notably following light energy absorption through lattice vibration of this material. It
301 consists in recording a Raman Spectrum, whose peaks positions and intensities depend on the
302 composition/structure of the studied material, further highlighting its presence or absence. Raman
303 phenomena can be triggered by several different laser sources operating within a wide range of different
304 wavelengths, *i.e.* typically between 488 nm (Argon laser) and 1064 nm (Nd:YAG laser), where a tradeoff
305 between long wavelengths resulting in the most efficient tissue/cell penetration and low wavelength
306 yielding the strongest Raman signal, which is proportional to $1/\lambda^4$, should be determined, [112]. A Raman
307 microscope typically contains a laser for IBN excitation, filters to remove laser light not interacting with
308 IBN, a spectrometer or monochromator for scanning the different wavelengths of the scattered light, and
309 standard detectors for measuring the strength of the scattered light. It measures so-called Raman Shifts,
310 resulting from the light scattered by IBN, and operates either in standard or hyperspectral mode, where it
311 detects wavenumber(s) associated with one or several type(s) of IBN, further providing images
312 determining IBN location. Carrying out RS in the presence of IBN covered by a plasmonic material such

313 as gold, leads to surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) effect, *i.e.* an amplification of the Raman
314 signal due to plasmonic resonance at NP surface. Without such mechanism, it may be difficult to record
315 a Raman spectrum with good resolution/sensitivity. By using various SERS based IBN, ultrasensitive
316 detection of various cancer biomarkers could be reached, *e.g.* Fe₃O₄@Ag NP associated with DNA
317 detected miRNA let-7b down to 0.3 fM, [113], SPION-PEI associated with Au NP and Folic Acid enabled
318 the detection of a single HeLa cell per mL of collected blood, [114], while a sandwiched type
319 immunoassay, consisting of Fe₃O₄ IONP coated by a silica shell attached to antibodies capturing tumor
320 exosomes enabled to reach rapid detection, *i.e.* within 2 hours, of tumor exosomes via a SERS signal,
321 [115].

322 **IV. DETECTION METHODS OF FLUORESCENT IRON-BASED NANOMATERIALS**

323 The methods described in the previous section, which don't necessitate the presence of a fluorescent
324 compound associated with IBN, can be of insufficient resolution to observe individual or weakly
325 concentrated IBN. Thus, to improve the signal quality, visible/infra-red light detection methods have been
326 developed in which FIBN are excited by an incident light beam, resulting in light emission used for
327 reconstituting a fluorescence image. The description of the different existing types of fluorescent
328 microscopy (FM) are reviewed elsewhere, [116]. With FIBN, certain drawbacks of FM can be overcome
329 such as fluorophore photobleaching [117], weak dye quantum yield [118], and a narrow absorption band
330 of many fluorescent compounds, (FC), [119]. Indeed, FIBN enable the attachment of several FC to a
331 single FIBN hence increasing their concentration, a strong absorption in particular below 500 nm, the
332 adjustment of fluorescence mechanisms through the design of the nanoparticulate complex, which can
333 result in the presence or absence of electron transfer between the crystalized part of FIBN and the
334 fluorescent compound and in FC being associated to the FIBN complex by weak or strong bonds,
335 depending on whether or not the release of FC is desired.

336 Wide field epifluorescence microscopy (WFEM) is the easiest fluorescent imaging method. Following
337 laser light illumination, it yields a fluorescent image of FIBN, which is a superposition of images obtained
338 at all different focal planes. WFEM contrasts with confocal microscopic images, where fluorescence
339 originates from a specific focal plane. WFEM loses in resolution as the sample gets thicker and is best
340 suited for thin sample with minimal autofluorescence, such as FIBN internalized in cells. It also requires
341 the removal with adequate filters of laser light not originating from FIBN fluorescence. It can be combined
342 with FIBN to yield efficient imaging at sub-cellular level, as has been shown for DMSA coated FIBN
343 covalently attached to dyes, which produced membranous fluorescence when FIBN adsorbed on cell
344 membrane and intracellular vesicular fluorescence when FIBN internalized inside cells, where these two
345 distinct types of fluorescence could be achieved by varying FIBN incubation time and by deciding to
346 apply (or not) a magnetic field, [49].

347 To further improve the detection of FIBN position within cells, confocal microscopy (CM) may be used.
348 In CM, the combination of a microscope objective and a pinhole enables to focus a laser light beam on
349 different focal planes localized at various depths of a sample containing FIBN, a method called optical
350 sectioning. It yields a series of images from which a three-dimensional sample image can be reconstructed.
351 In CM, the fluorescence originating from other planes than the focal one, is removed, hence resulting in
352 a sharper and better resolved image with enhanced resolution compared with WFEM, *i.e.* typically 160-
353 180 nm and 600 nm in lateral and vertical directions. Depending on FIBN sizes, on FIBN fluorescent
354 properties, and on the optical quality of the used confocal microscope, such resolution could in principle
355 allow the detection of a minimum of 1 to 100 FIBN. Using this method, it was clearly shown that
356 fluorescent PVLA-coated FIBN were located inside hepatocyte cells and not at cell surface, [120].
357 Furthermore, CM, which is a widely used microscopy technique, enabled to distinguish the various blocks
358 of a FIBN complex consisting of SPION (block 1), PEG (block 2), and antibody (block 3), where blocks
359 1, 2, and 3 appeared in black, green, and red, respectively, hence clearly showing the different locations
360 of these blocks within the nanoparticulate complex, [52].

361 To reach a microscopic resolution below 200 nm, super-resolution light microscopy, (SRM), can be used,
362 [121]. The term SRM encompasses a large number of different techniques, an example of which being
363 structured illumination microscopy (SIM). SIM can reach a resolution of 100 nm in lateral directions by
364 reconstructing an image, which is a superposition of images obtained for illuminations at different phases
365 and angles. Due to its high resolution, SRM enables to obtain images in 3D of FIBN in interaction with
366 cells, cell compartments or cell membrane, using different colors for labeling various entities at nanometer
367 scale, [121]. To obtain highly contrasted images with this method, fluorescent CTDR and DAPI
368 compounds were directly mixed with Hela cells, leading to beautiful images of the cell nucleus and its
369 surrounding with IBN appearing in the reflectance mode as white dots localized inside (blue) and around
370 (red) cell nucleus, [122].

371 Fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) relies on the detection of the variation of fluorescence of
372 a donor induced by the appearance or disappearance of a transfer of electrons between so-called donors
373 and acceptors in close proximity, *i.e.* typically separated by less than 10 nm. To carry out optimal FRET
374 measurements, specific properties are required both for the microscope used for imaging and for the FRET
375 pair. On the one hand, most inverted microscopes could in principle be used for FRET microscopy,
376 provided that they include a camera, which is sensitive enough to detect the fluorescence signal of FIBN,
377 *e.g.* a high-resolution CCD camera, as well as interference filters allowing to block the light not arising
378 from the fluorescence signal of FIBN. On the other hand, the FRET pair contained in FIBN should be
379 characterized by: i) a well-adjusted ratio between the concentration of donors and acceptors, ii) an absence
380 of photobleaching, iii) a sufficient overlap between donor fluorescence emission and acceptor absorption,
381 iv) a minimal overlap between donor absorption and donor emission, v) no excitation of acceptor at donor
382 excitation wavelength, v) a sufficiently long donor lifetime, and vi) a low donor polarization anisotropy.
383 Most interestingly, these properties can be optimized in FIBN, *e.g.* by accurately selecting the FC, by
384 adjusting the distance between the FC and IBN magnetic core through a tuning of the coating thickness,
385 by controlling the number/position of FC bound to IBN magnetic core. In most FRET studies, a FRET-

386 based IBN complex was activated through the binding of FC to IBN or via the structural change of the
387 FRET IBN complex, which took place without FC release from IBN. FIBN can contain FRET pairs
388 consisting of a donor such as GFP, [123], Carbon dots, [124], FITC, [125], PFR, [126], and an acceptor
389 such as CdTe QD, [123], Au [124, 126], or iron oxide nanoparticle (IONP), [125]. When FC gets linked
390 to IBN to form a FRET IBN complex, it yields a fluorescence decrease of the FC donor and the binding
391 of FC to this complex can then be detected. Hence, FRET can be used to detect the binding of a substance
392 of interest such as sarcosine, [123], histamine, [124], thiol, [126], to IBN with a high sensitivity, *i.e.*
393 typically in the nM range, [123], resulting in a highly sensitive diagnostic test. FRET can also serve to
394 monitor the release of a cancer drug such as platinum (IV), following the action of an enzyme triggering
395 such mechanism in the presence of FITC whose fluorescence changes are measured with FITC
396 detachment from IBN, [125].

397 Although microscopic techniques often allow to obtain well-resolved images, they can hardly monitor
398 very localized phenomena at the nanometer scale and suffer from the dependence of the fluorescence
399 intensity on tissue light absorption/scattering. To overcome this hurdle, fluorescence lifetime imaging
400 (FLIM) was developed. FLIM provides microscopic images, in which each pixel intensity is determined
401 by the fluorescent decay rate (FDR) of the material that it contains, hence allowing to clearly differentiate
402 between materials of different FDR through a contrast in the FLIM image. FLIM could be used to detect
403 FIBN associated with photosensitizers inside tumor cells or FIBN internalized in tumors. It could also
404 provide a method for FRET measurement described above, which does not rely on fluorescence intensity
405 but on fluorescence lifetime, hence making FRET outcome potentially less dependent on tissular
406 environment. FLIM measurements on FIBN consisting of an iron oxide core coated by polymers bound
407 covalently via imine bonds to DOX and incubated (or not) with MCF-7 breast / H1299 lung cancer cells
408 showed that DOX lifetime was lower for incubated FIBN complexes, *i.e.* ~1 ns, than for non-incubated
409 FIBN complexes, *i.e.* ~4.6 ns. Such behavior may be attributed to DOX release from FIBN complexes
410 under acidic intracellular pH, [127].

411 Fluorescence anisotropy (FA) is a light detection method, which measures fluorescence anisotropy, *i.e.*
412 the intensity of fluorescence for different light emission directions. Its mechanism is based on the
413 existence of photon polarization in light emitted by a FC when the exciting photons are polarized in a
414 specific direction. Hence, a microscope used for FA measurements contains polarizers to polarize the light
415 illuminating FIBN and to record the strength of the fluorescence intensity signal as a function of the light
416 polarization direction. FA further relies on the principle that a molecule leads to less FA when it is in
417 movement than when it is immobilized, since a molecule can more easily emit light in all directions when
418 it is free to rotate than when it is fixed. Thus, this method was first used to measure the melting temperature
419 of the membrane of a liposome containing IONP, by making such membrane fluorescent and by
420 measuring FA as a function of increasing temperature under alternating magnetic field application, [128].
421 It could also be employed to determine the heating mechanism of IBN during magnetic hyperthermia. For
422 example, IBN encapsulated in a silica matrix were shown to heat through a dominating Néel contribution
423 [129].

424 Two-photon microscopy (TPM) usually requires the use of a fluorescence microscope containing a laser
425 of sufficiently large power, *e.g.* a femtosecond pulsed laser, with typical emission in the near infrared. It
426 has been developed to image FIBN in regions that are inaccessible/too deep for the microscopy methods
427 described above. Its principle relies on the use of an excitation light source with a wavelength that is twice
428 that of single photon excitation (SPE). Two-photon excitation (TPE) presents the advantage of limiting
429 tissue light scattering due to the use of long wavelengths, thus making it possible to image tissues at a
430 certain penetration depth, *i.e.* typically 1 mm compared with only a few micrometers for single photon
431 microscopy. The power required for TPE is however much larger than for SPE, requiring the use of a
432 pulsed laser, since the number of photons reaching the region to be imaged should be sufficiently large so
433 that the probability for the energies of two photons of energies $E/2$ to be simultaneously absorbed by the
434 FC and result in its excitation, should be sufficiently large for fluorescence excitation to occur. As an
435 example, FeS QD conjugated with HER2 antibodies were injected intravenously in mice bearing MCF7

436 subcutaneous tumors, and their presence in the tumor was revealed by TPE at 800 nm at a depth of up to
437 500 μm by a cyan color due to FeS QD fluorescence, [130]. Some FIBN such as FePt NP associated with
438 NOPS fluorescent dye, which are non-fluorescent under SPE due to fluorescence quenching, can be made
439 fluorescent under TPE and used for *in vivo* imaging, *e.g.* to image the mouse neocortex, [131].
440 Interestingly, it was suggested that TPE efficacy could be optimized, not necessarily by choosing an
441 adapted dye that is incorporated in FIBN, which is always a complex thing to do, specially to reach a good
442 FIBN stability, but by tailoring the surface of FIBN to make this material a strong absorber of NIR-IR
443 light, as demonstrated for Fe_3O_4 NP with a surface modified by trimesic acid (TMA) [132].

444 To follow the fate of FIBN in an organism, fluorescence tomography (FT) can be used. In FT, the body
445 part of a small animal (rat, mouse) in which FIBN are located is trans-illuminated with an IR/NIR laser.
446 The fluorescent light transmitted through the animal is then detected after removal of the excitation signal
447 not due to fluorescence, hence enabling to reconstruct a 2D or 3D fluorescence image (depending on FT
448 apparatus) of a body part (BP) containing FIBN by gathering the fluorescence of different portions of the
449 BP recorded at different angles. In this case, fluorescence is generated by a fluorescence compound
450 associated to FIBN, *e.g.* Cy5.5 or NIR emitting semiconducting polymer with excitation/emission
451 wavelengths of 670/695 nm, [133], or 360/660 nm, [134], respectively. Thus, when FIBN associated with
452 specific targeting agents such as anti-EGFR, [135] or folic acid, [134] were administered intravenously
453 to mice, they specifically targeted MDA-MB-231 breast and A549 lung subcutaneous tumors, [133, 134],
454 reaching maximum FIBN tumor accumulation at 6 and 36 hours following FIBN administration, as
455 revealed by the strongest fluorescent signal observed at these time points, [133, 134].

456 **IV. FLUORESCENT IRON OXIDE NANOPARTICLES FOR DETECTING TUMOR** 457 **MICRO-ENVIRONMENT**

458 The tumor micro-environment consists of a wide range of different molecules, cells, or entities contained
459 in tumor, such as angiogenic blood vessels, immune cells or fibroblasts, various signaling molecules, or
460 the extracellular matrix. Its detection is important to yield accurate tumor diagnosis. In an interesting

461 study, a probe was fabricated to detect metalloprotease-9 (MMP-9), which are known to be activated in
462 TME. Such probe consisted of Fe₃O₄ NP linked to a Cy5.5 through a bond that was cleaved in the presence
463 of MMP-9, thus suppressing the FRET mechanism between the NP and Cy5.5. In other words, this probe
464 indirectly enabled to detect the TME by highlighting the loss of FRET, a method that was then elegantly
465 applied for the detection of colon cancer, [136].

466 **V. RECENT INNOVATIONS OF LIGHT INTERACTING IRON-BASED** 467 **NANOMATERIALS IN ONCOLOGY**

468 In addition to the fluorescent probes already described, which operate through a fluorescence de-
469 quenching mechanism, [22, 23], and studies which are in line with or complement older works, [137-
470 147], some recent papers in the field of FIBN have brought to light some remarkable innovations. First,
471 radio-luminescent FIBN produce a luminescence intensity proportional to the x-ray dose at which they
472 are exposed. They could therefore potentially be used to monitor the doses of X-rays administered to a
473 cancer patient, [148]. Secondly, an original detection system for cathepsin L was described. It operates
474 by detecting the fluorescence quenching caused by the aggregation of FIBN associated with polymer dots
475 in the presence of cathepsin, [149]. Thirdly, IBN associated with thermo-responsive fluorescent polymer
476 (TFP) which can release DOX in response to temperature changes, were shown to be taken up by
477 prostate/skin tumor, resulting in tumor fluorescence that could serve for their detection. Furthermore,
478 DOX release led to efficient tumor cell destruction at 41 °C. Hence, such nano-systems displayed a
479 controlled drug release mechanism, which could be monitored by fluorescence, [150]. The presence of a
480 fluorescence probe in ION was also shown to enable the detection of the specific tumor targeting of these
481 NP or their internalization in tumor cells, using a variety of different types of FIBN, [151].

482 **VI. TOWARDS CLINICAL APPLICATIONS OF VISIBLE AND INFRA-RED LIGHT** 483 **DETECTION METHODS COMBINED WITH IRON-BASED NANOMATERIALS:**

484 Early cancer detection, which is needed to yield efficient cancer prognosis, relies on certain detection
485 methods, which could be made more sensitive by using FIBN. First, liquid biopsy (LB), which consists

486 in an analysis of certain blood components such as circulating tumor cells (CTC) and extracellular
487 vesicles, has a strong cancer predictive power, since it can in principle highlight at the same time cancer
488 occurrence, cancer heterogeneity, and cancer evolution, as demonstrated for lung, colorectal, prostate,
489 melanoma, breast and pancreatic cancers, [152, 153]. In addition, it is less invasive than tissue biopsy. LB
490 can be carried out using an apparatus that detects and identifies by fluorescence the presence of
491 extracellular vesicles. It has been shown that FIBN consisting of fluorescent magnetic mesoporous silica
492 nanoparticles conjugated to the antibody of epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM) could enable to
493 isolate and then detect CTC, hence demonstrating its utility in LB, [154]. Second, a system of detection
494 of superficial tumors such as those of the skin, typically consisting in a fiber optic-based fluorimeter,
495 [155], could yield improved efficacy in the presence of FIBN, as demonstrated when SPION covalently
496 conjugated to anti-cancer drug Epirubicin (EPI) with red fluorescence properties were shown to cross the
497 derma under magnetic field application, enter and destroy skin WM266-4 metastatic human melanoma
498 cells, as well as specifically release EPI through a pH dependent release mechanism, which takes
499 advantage of the acidic tumor microenvironment, [34]. Third, fluorescent endoscopy could be carried on
500 various cancers, *e.g.* gastrointestinal, [156], pancreatic, [157], gastric/stomach, [158], esophageal, [159],
501 or kidney, [160] cancers. Combining standard endoscopic fluorescent apparatus with FIBN associated to
502 a targeting moiety (amino-terminal fragment) could improve the quality of the fluorescence signal due to
503 the specific tumor targeting and imaging of FIBN, [157]. In the same spirit, it was shown that the position
504 of FIBN encapsulated in a hydrogel with upconverting materials could be adjusted via magnetic targeting
505 to enable the fluorescent compound of FIBN to reach the desired target, hence resulting in an improved
506 endoscopic image, [161]. Fourth, colonoscopy, which is a similar method than endoscopy but specifically
507 targets colon tumors and requires the patients to follow a specific preparatory treatment before imaging
508 to clear out the colon, *i.e.* by drinking cleansing solutions/laxatives/enemas and by observing a diet.
509 Among the innovations in this field, it is worth mentioning a colonoscopy imaging endoscopic system
510 that eliminates tissue autofluorescence and can distinguish between FIBN with different
511 absorption/fluorescent properties. It combines a cysto-urethroscope, a multi-spectral imaging system, a

512 CCD camera, a Xenon lamp, as well as lenses/optical fibers to carry the exciting/emitted light between
513 the apparatus and colon tumor, [162]. Furthermore, using FIBN during colonoscopy can yield a reduction
514 in dye photobleaching as well as the specific imaging of colon tumors when FIBN are bound to a tumor-
515 targeting ligand such as peanut agglutinin and anti-carcinoembryonic antigen antibodies (α CEA), [163].

516 One of the main interests of using FIBN in combination with optical methods resides in the faculty of
517 these nanomaterials to specifically target tumors, hence enabling to improve tumor detection/treatment.
518 Passive targeting, *i.e.* via the well-known enhanced permeability and retention effect (EPR), [164], is the
519 most common employed strategy. Its efficacy seems to depend on the nature of the body part. On the one
520 hand, it was shown that FIBN associated with pH-activatable NIR dyes could accumulate in 4T1 tumors
521 three hours after their injection and trigger a fluorescence signal in these tumors due to their acid
522 environment, which persisted for 24 hours, [165]. On the other hand, when tumors are poorly
523 vascularized, the EPR effect is not pronounced. This is the case for SKOV3 tumors, where other methods
524 than passive targeting, *e.g.* magnetic targeting, need to be used to reach FIBN tumor accumulation, [167].

525 Targeting could also be achieved by using FIBN associated with a molecule that targets the region of
526 interest, as was shown first for fluorescent iron oxide-carbon hybrid nanomaterials conjugated with CD44
527 monoclonal antibodies that specifically reach 4T1 breast cancer cells, yielding fluorescence of these cells,
528 [167], second for FIBN associated with a protein targeting riboflavin, which is overexpressed/activated
529 in cancer/endothelial cells, and a fluorescent moiety (flavin mononucleotide), demonstrating efficient
530 fluorescence of PC-3, DU-145, LnCap cancer cells and activated HUVEC endothelial cells, [15], third for
531 FIBN associated with fluorescent dye and folic acid that target KB cancer cells as demonstrated in vitro
532 through fluorescence experiments, [168]. The targeting molecules incorporated in IBN and their
533 associated cellular targets form pairs, whose list is provided elsewhere, [169, 170]. The presence of iron
534 in FIBN makes these materials sensitive to the application of an external magnetic field. Thus, it was
535 shown that FIBN administered intravenously to GBM bearing mice could be magnetically retained in
536 tumor neovasculature and surrounding tumor tissues, using a magnetic field applied on the tumor via a

537 micromesh, (56). Interestingly, it was shown that FIBN could be captured by certain cells carrying FIBN
538 to a location that needs to be imaged. For example, when FIBN were associated with ICG and injected
539 intravenously to rats suffering from cerebral aneurysms (CAs), they were captured by macrophages that
540 transported the nanoparticulate complex to CAs, hence providing a means to image CAs, [171]. It can be
541 taken advantage of the imaging capacity of FIBN to efficiently deliver a molecule of therapeutic interest,
542 *e.g.* FIBN surrounded by a mesoporous silica shell containing siRNA were efficiently carried to Hela cells
543 under magnetic guidance and visualized by FI [172].

544 Most interestingly, FIBN can also be used in the context of cancer treatments. First, the well-established
545 and valuable method of fluorescence guided surgery (FGS), which is most commonly used on brain
546 tumors to improve tumor resection, avoid tumor re-growth, and prevent removal of healthy tissues, could
547 benefit from the presence of FIBN. Practically, FGS operates under infra-red (IR) light illumination with
548 relatively deep tissue penetration of 1-2 cm, [173]. Hence, it can serve to excite/detect FIBN that can be
549 made fully operational in the IR range of wavelengths. As an example, FIBN associated with DiI were
550 used to label certain microglial BV2 cells, resulting in FIBN efficiently crossing the BBB and then
551 imaging by fluorescence tumor border demarcation for a prolonged period of 4 to 24 h following FIBN
552 administration through the carotid artery in an orthotopic glioblastoma mouse model. In this case, FIBN
553 also led to an inhibition of M2 markers (arginase-1 and CD206), possibly reducing immunosuppressive
554 effects induced by M2-like phenotype of microglial cells, [174]. Compared to other types of NIR
555 nanoparticulate fluorescent materials foreseen for this application, such as carbon dots, CuInSe QD,
556 corneal dots, Up-converting NP, aggregation-induced emission NP, FIBN present the advantage of being
557 bio-compatible and orientable through the application of an external magnetic field, [175]. Second, FIBN
558 could be heated via a method called photothermal therapy (PTT), and trigger heat-induced anti-tumor
559 activity, [176]. Thus, FIBN conjugated with certain dyes (MHI-148) were injected in subcutaneous SCC7
560 mouse tumors and heated during 10 minutes at a maximum temperature of 50 °C under the application of
561 a 808 nm laser of 1 W/cm², resulting in full tumor disappearance after 8 days, [177]. Furthermore, it is

562 possible to combine PTT with fluorescence imaging (FI) to determine FIBN localization. For example,
563 FIBN made of an assembly of iron oxide and carbon NP associated with fluorescent ICG enabled reaching
564 ICG photostability and efficient long-term FI and PTT capability, *i.e.* intravenous injection of these FIBN
565 on mice bearing 4T1 subcutaneous tumors followed by tumor illumination at 808 nm and 2 W/cm² for 5
566 minutes led to tumor temperature elevation up to a maximum temperature of 47 °C and to full tumor
567 disappearance. Interestingly, the fate of FIBN after its injection in mouse organism could be followed by
568 FI excited at 704 nm using an *ex vivo* imaging system. FI nicely helped determining the lapse of time
569 following FIBN injection that yielded maximal FIBN tumor accumulation, *i.e.* 8-10 hours, [178]. The
570 practical application of PTT combined with IF can be made even more efficient by incorporating in FIBN
571 a fluorescent compound with adjustable emission/excitation wavelengths and a releasable
572 chemotherapeutic drug, as demonstrated for FIBN associated with carbon dots and DOX that yielded
573 efficient imaging and destruction of mouse melanoma B16F10 cells through a synergy between
574 chemotherapeutic and heating anti-tumor activity, [96]. The use of IBN with PTT further enables NP
575 concentration at tumor cell location via the application of a magnetic field, as highlighted for IBN
576 incorporated in PLA microcapsules functionalized with graphene oxide that were heated by a 808 nm
577 laser of 2 W/cm² during 10 minutes in the presence of Hella cancer cells, resulting in more efficient cancer
578 cell destruction in the presence than in the absence of magnetic field application for optimal IBN
579 concentration of 0.5 and 1 mg/mL, [179]. Third, Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is another modality of
580 cancer treatment that can be implemented using iron-based nanomaterials associated with a
581 photosensitizer (IBN-PS), which trigger anti-tumor activity via singlet oxygen generation under infra-red
582 light excitation, and is currently in use in the clinic to treat several cancers, [180]. IBN-PS was reported
583 to yield better PS solubility and more efficient tumor targeting compared with free PS, hence improving
584 PDT efficacy. The latter has been demonstrated, first for mice bearing 4T1 tumors injected intravenously
585 with Ce6-FIBN followed by tumor light exposure at 650 nm and 75 mW/cm² during 30 minutes, [181],
586 second for mice with A539 xenograft tumors receiving intravenously IBN conjugated with thiolated
587 heparin–pheophorbide, which is a PS designated to be specifically activated at tumor site, followed by

588 several 30 minutes laser applications at 670 nm and 4 mW/cm², [179], third for mice having subcutaneous
589 gastric cancer tumors administered intravenously with FIBN associated with Ce-6, for which the
590 combination of FI and PDT was reported under similar laser excitation conditions at 630 and 633 nm,
591 enabling to monitor FIBN tumor localization before, during, and after tumor treatment, [181]. These three
592 treatments led to tumor growth retardation, but not to full tumor disappearance. Figure 3 summarizes the
593 various applications of light-interacting iron-based nanomaterials.

594 **CONCLUSION**

595 The detection and treatment of cancer are major public health issues. These two concepts are closely
596 linked to each other, *i.e.* in general the earlier or the more precisely a cancer is detected in the body, the
597 greater the chances of treating it effectively. Based on this observation, it appears interesting to develop
598 methods that can improve detection and treatment of tumors through local approaches. When combined
599 with various optical methods, iron-based nanomaterials achieve this double objective. Indeed, they are
600 biocompatible and can target tumors by various mechanisms, *i.e.* passive, active, and magnetic targeting,
601 [183-186]. When they are covered with a plasmonic material, such as gold or silver, the light diffusion
602 that they generate under the effect of a radiation makes it possible to detect tumor cells, which contain
603 them, in particular with the help of dark field, Raman or near-field scanning optical microscopy. A more
604 important number of applications can be foreseen when IBN are combined with a fluorescent compound.
605 For example, tumor cells, which are made fluorescent by the presence of FIBN, can be detected with
606 wide-field epi-fluorescence microscopy. The sensitivity of the detection can further be improved by using
607 confocal or super-resolution microscopy. Moreover, dynamic phenomena such as FIBN diffusion can be
608 monitored by various microscopic methods, which measure the variation as a function of time and FIBN
609 location of certain fluorescence parameters such as fluorescence lifetime, intensity, or anisotropy, using
610 FRET, FLIM or FA microscopy. A method has also recently been developed to detect the release of a
611 fluorescent drug from iron-based nanoparticles by monitoring a dequenching mechanism, *i.e.* the
612 fluorescence of FIBN is initially quenched in the absence of excitation/perturbation and then de-quenched

613 after FC release. TF imaging is another microscopy tool for imaging FIBN at a certain tissular depth,
614 hence providing a tool to detect non-superficial tumors. Finally, although this method is currently reserved
615 to small animals (rats, mice), fluorescence tomography can be used to visualize FIBN within the whole
616 organism, thus making it possible to follow FIBN trajectory inside/outside a tumor. In combination with
617 these various optical methods, FIBN can be used in liquid biopsy to improve the detection in blood of
618 certain cancer biomarkers, they can serve to detect superficial tumors, *e.g.* those of the skin, or to improve
619 the resolution of fluorescence imaging in endoscopic/colonoscopic tumor tissue examination. FIBN can
620 also be employed in the context of cancer treatment, where they can guide the surgeon by illuminating
621 tumor edges, and thus potentially allow him to increase the size of the tumor portion that he can remove
622 at tumor margin. FIBN can directly destroy the tumor, either through heat, *i.e.* when FIBN are exposed to
623 a laser beam of suitable wavelength and sufficient power to produce a temperature increase via PTT, or
624 by generating singlet oxygen, *i.e.* when FIBN are associated with a photosensitizer and exposed to a laser
625 beam to yield PDT. As a whole, iron-based nanomaterials appear to be very interesting and promising
626 materials to fight cancers when they are combined with various optical methods to yield early cancer
627 detection and localized tumor treatment.

628

629 **FIGURES AND TABLE:**

630 **Figure 1:** A schematic diagram showing examples of fluorescent iron-based nanomaterials (FIBN). In a
631 first category, the fluorescent compound (FC) is either attached to the NP magnetic core, embedded in a
632 matrix (mesoporous or not) embedding the NP magnetic core, or sandwiched between an external layer
633 and the NP magnetic core. In a second category, FC is weakly bound to the NP magnetic core. In the first
634 case, FC is sufficiently strongly associated to the NP magnetic core to prevent its dissociation and the
635 fluorescence intensity of FC remains stable over time. In the second case, the weak interaction between
636 FC and the NP magnetic core enables FC dissociation under various physico-chemical disturbance, such
637 as pH, temperature variation or the application of a radiation, yielding a de-quenching mechanism and an
638 increase of the fluorescence intensity.

639 **Figure 2:** The different methods of light interaction with iron-based nanomaterials as a function of
640 wavelengths. Only optical methods operating in the infrared and visible regions through a
641 scattering/fluorescent mechanism are covered in this review.

642 **Figure 3:** Various applications of light-interacting iron-based nanomaterials for cancer treatment and
643 detection.

644 **Table 1:** For various FIBN described in the literature, magnetic composition, type of fluorescence
645 substance that it contains, size, magnetization, coercivity, stability, type of complex that it is made of,
646 fluorescent properties, and various applications.

647

The different types of magneto-fluorescent iron oxide nanoparticles



Fluorescence quenched



Fluorescence activated




Figure 1

Methods of light interaction with iron-based nanomaterials at different wavelengths




Figure 2

649

650




Figure 3

Magnetic composition	Fluorescent substance	Size (nm)	Magnetization (MS) Coercivity (HC)	Stable (max conc.)	Type of complex containing magnetic/fluorescent substances	Fluorescent property and application	Ref	Commercialization
Magnetite	FITC	125 (HD)	NA	Yes (NA)	IONP coated by SiO ₂ shell attached to FITC + folic acid + β -cyclodextrin (covalent)	Abs max: 490 nm ; em max: 515 nm → Cell imaging	102	NA
Maghemite	RhB fluorescein diacetate maleimide	30 (HD)	Ms = 8 emu/g Hc = 0 Oe	Yes (NA)	IONP coated by DMSA attached to RhB or fluorescein derivative (covalent)	Abs max: 490 nm ; em max: 516 nm (RhB) Abs max: 555 nm; em max: 578 nm (Fluo) (λ shift between free/conjugated dyes < 5 nm) → Cell membrane imaging (wo B) → Chains of magnetic endosomes (wb)	49	NA
CoFe ₂ O ₄ -C ₇₀ O ₂ NP	None	30 (D)	MS = 5 emu/g Hc = 482 Oe	Yes (NA)	NP coated with SiO ₂	Abs max : 260 and 360 nm ; em max: 460 nm → Cell imaging	95	NA
Maghemite	FITC	9 (HD)	NA	Yes (NA)	IONP coated with SiO ₂ or carboxy-methyl-chitosan attached to FITC (covalent)	Abs: 495 nm; em max: 516 nm (no λ shift between free/conjugated FITC) → Cell labelling (fluorescence + MRI)	61	NA
Magnetite	polymethacrylic acid (PMA)	280 (D)	MS = 30-60 emu/g	NA	IONP coated with mesoporous SiO ₂ + binding of PMA on SiO ₂ (covalent)	→Dox within silica pores can be released inside cells → FA for tumor cell targeting	97	NA
Magnetite	QD (CdSe/CdS)	9 (QD) 6 (IONP)	Ms = 15 emu/g	Yes (NA)	Assembly of IONP surrounded by silica layer containing QD + PEG (outer surface)	Visualization of NP at location of mouse brain metastases after NP iv injection → \downarrow quantum yield compared to free QD (abs by IONP) → \downarrow M _s compared with free IONP	70	NA
Magnetite	silane modified fluorescent organic dye (RITC)	60 (D)	NA	NA	Fe ₃ O ₄ covered by SiO ₂ shell conjugated with cetuximab; RITC contained in SiO ₂	Em max = 555 nm NP accumulate in mouse colon cancer (visualisation by MRI and fluorescence); \uparrow NP accumulation when magnetic field applied on tumor site	52	NA
Magnetite	QD [Qdot 655 ITC amino (PEG), Invitrogen Corp]	150 (D)	NA	NA	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP embedded in polystyrene matrix coated with QD embedded in PLGA + PTX	Abs/exc: 550-720 nm ; em: 630-790 nm → Fluorescence of ex vivo tumor tissues harvested after NP administration to mice (NP accumulate in tumors ; NP do not loose their fluorescence after circulation in mouse organism)	71	NA
Magnetite	CdSe QR; CdSe QD; CdSe@ZnS QD	35-45 (HD)	NA	NA	QD/OR grown directly on Fe ₃ O ₄ NP; ZnS shell prevents release of toxic CdSe	Max Abs : 575 nm; Max em: 604 nm Quantum yield of IONP/CdSe@ZnS QD half quantum yield of CdSe@ZnS QD	72	NA
Magnetite	CdTe@ZnS QD	185 (D)	Ms = 37 emu/g Hc = Oe	Yes (NA)	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP surface modified with carboxymethyl chitosan attached to QD + DOX with glutaraldehyde for covalent binding between different components	Abs = 365 nm; em = 560-580 nm Release of DOX from NP complex more important at pH 5 than pH 7 → \uparrow lung A549 tumor cell toxicity	73	NA

D: Diameter (TEM measurement); HD: hydrodynamic diameter (light scattering measurement); NP: Nanoparticle; IONP: Iron oxide nanoparticle; FA: folic acid; wo B: without application of magnetic field; W B: with application of magnetic field; abs: absorption; em: emission; QD: quantum dots

Table 1.1 Properties of light interacting iron-based nanomaterials

Magnetic composition	Fluorescent substance	Size (nm)	Magnetization (MS) Coercivity (Hc)	Stable (time/ max conc.)	Type of complex containing magnetic/fluorescent substances	Fluorescent property and application	Ref	Commercialization
Magnetite NP	FITC	11 (D)	NA	Yes (NA)	amino acids (L-lysine and L-arginine) have been used as a linker and spacer between a fluorescent molecule (FITC) and a magnetic nanoparticle (Fe ₃ O ₄)	Exc: 450 nm ; em = 500–570 nm	62	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ /Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	Cy5.5	97 (HD)	NA		NP core coated with siliceous shell attached to Cy5.5 + RGD (covalent) ; complex incorporated in micromesh	Red fluorescence → Magnetic micromesh apply magnetic fields that maintain NP in neovasculature	56	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	Yb ³⁺ /Er ³⁺ or Yb ³⁺ /Tm ³⁺ co-doped NaYF ₄	80 (D)	M _s = 38 emu/g (M _s = 80 emu/g for Fe ₃ O ₄ NP)	NA	NP coated by layers of nSiO ₂ + mSiO ₂ ; NaYF ₄ · Yb ³⁺ , Er ³⁺ /Tm ³⁺ + drug (IBU) inserted within the pores of silica + drug	Green emission (Yb ³⁺ /Er ³⁺) ; Blue emission (Yb ³⁺ /Tm ³⁺) ; excitation at 980 nm (short λ, emission after long λ, excitation) → Release of drug / no release of fluorescent substance when NP in simulated body fluid	93	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	RhB	NA	NA	Yes (two days)	NP coated by polyelectrolyte layer (LBL assembly) + RhB in contact with polyelectrolytes (interaction between positive RhB and negatively charged polyelectrolyte)	Em = 580 nm ; exc = 555 nm Quenching prevented by polyelectrolyte separating layer → Cellular imaging after internalization of NP (no cytotoxicity)	50	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	CdSe QD	NA	Hc = 0 Oe	NA	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP seeds to grow CdSe QD	Abs peak at 600 nm ; em peak at 610 nm → Cellular imaging after internalization of NP (NP scattered in cells w/o B ; NP localized in one spot of cells w B)	88	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	CdTe	70-80 (D)	M _s = 6 emu/g Hc = 0 Oe	NA	CdTe-Fe ₃ O ₄ / SiO ₂ microspheres attached covalently to graphene oxide + DOX bound to graphene (hydrophobic interaction)	Exc = 400 nm ; Em = 550 nm DOX released from complex (enhanced at acidic pH) → Cellular imaging after internalization of NP + NP cytotoxic towards HepG2 tumors following DOX internalization.	90	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	CdTe NP	50-1000 (D vesicle) 2-6 (D CdTe) 8 (D Fe ₃ O ₄)	NA	NA	CdTe NP + Fe ₃ O ₄ NP contained inside a hollow vesicle composed of polyelectrolyte	Exc 476 nm ; em in red → Alignment of vesicle in direction of applied magnetic field	91	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	FITC	14 (D)	M _s = 55 emu/g	Yes (mont hs)	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP coated with chitosan bound to FITC (covalent)	Exc = 488 nm ; Em = 520 nm → Cell labelling (efficacy increases with inc time) → Coating reduces cytotoxicity → Suitable as MRI contrast agent	63	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	CdTe NP	NP complex (D vesicle) 120 (D CdTe) 10 (D Fe ₃ O ₄)	M _s = 1 emu/g (decrease in complex) Hc = 0 Oe	Yes	NP complex (filled vesicle) ; Fe ₃ O ₄ /CdTe NP embedded in mesoporous silica surrounded by shell of P(N-isopropylacrylamide)-graft-Chitosan microgels (PNIPAM-g-Cs) + loading of Adriamycin (ADM) in vesicles	Abs peak at 480 nm ; em peak at 530 nm → ADM release in vitro (↑ at a higher temperature and at lower pH). → ADM cytotoxic towards HepG2 cells. → NP complex visualized inside cells by fluorescence	74	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	CdTe NP	34 (D)	M _s = 60 emu/g Hc = 0 Oe	Yes	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP coated by several layers of polyelectrolytes attached to CdTe NP	Abs = 300-800 nm ; em = 590-600 nm → Increase of the emission/absorption intensity with the number of coating layers → NP can be moved with magnetic field	75	NA

Table 1.2

Magnetic comp.	Fluorescent substance	Size (nm)	Magnetization (Ms) Coercivity (Hc)	Stable (max conc.)	Type of complex containing magnetic/fluorescent substances	Fluorescent property and application	Ref	Commercialization
Magnetite	Atto 390; fluorescein; Rh6G	100-400 (D)	Hc = 0e	Yes	hydrophobic fluorescent polymers + IONP incorporated in phospholipid micelles	Exc: at 400-500 nm ; em at 450-600 nm → Manipulation by external magnetic field without losing fluorescence properties → Enhanced contrast in MRI	60	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	Ce6	20-30 (HD)	Ms = 50 emu/g	Yes	Fe ₃ O ₄ coated with mesoporous silica shell bound to Ce6 (covalent)	Abs: at 500-510 nm ; em at 530 nm → Gastric tumor cell toxicity / Reduction in mouse gastric tumor volume under illumination (PDT); generation of singlet oxygen under laser irradiation at 633 nm; → Combine fluorescence imaging / MRI / PDT	98	NA
Cobalt-ferrite NP	rhodamine B isothiocyanate (RITC)	60 (HD)	NA	Yes	NP attached to MIR124a beacon (covalent) ; RITC binds to NP in the presence of MIR124a	excitation/emission = 555/578 nm → Fluorescence when MIR124a is highly expressed / no fluorescence otherwise ; method to monitor intracellular MIR124a during neuronal differentiation	53	NA
Maghemite NP	CdSe/CdTe QD	500 (microsphere D) 7 (IONP D)	NA at RT	NA	500 Maghemite NP + 5000 CdSe/CdZnS QD embedded in a silica shell surrounding a silica microsphere	→ Manipulation of microsphere by magnetic field	76	NA
FePt NP	CdS QD	10	Ms = 5 emu/g (RT) Hc = 0 Oe (RT)	Yes	glutathione capping CdS QD on FePt NP (glutathione strengthens thermal stability)	Abs between 200-800 nm ; em at 420 nm for exc at 325 nm ; lifetime = 5,3 nsec (compared with 3,4 ns for free CdS QD) → FePt-CdS imaged inside RAW264.7 macrophage cells	77	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	FITC	600-700 (D)	Ms = 17 emu/g Hc = 0 Oe	Yes	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP mixed with polymers inside a inside a chitosan vesicle ; FITC covalently attached to chitosan	Em at 510-515 nm for exc at 475 nm Chitosan layer to avoid fluorescence quenching by iron oxide	64	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	PyMMA FDMA	164 (D)	Hc = 0e	Yes	Fe ₃ O ₄ + polymer and dye (Janus particle)	Fluorescence in blue and green.	187	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	ZnS QD	100 (D)	Ms = 30 emu/g Hc = 0 Oe	NA	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP + ZnS QD associated together in a nano sized SiO ₂ spheres	Abs (240-350 nm) ; em at 325 nm	78	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	CdTe/CdS QD	8 (D)	Hc = 0 Oe	Yes	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP coated with dextran shell bound to QD (covalent)	Em at 600 nm for exc at 400 nm	79	NA
Zn _{0.4} Fe _{2.6} O ₄ NP	DOX	160 (D)	Hc = 0 Oe	NA	Zn _{0.4} Fe _{2.6} O ₄ grafted with Ad mixed with Ad-PAMAM + CD-PEI + Ad-PEG + DOX	Tumor uptake in mice Release of DOX under AMF application Increase in fluorescence intensity following DOX release	188	NA

Table 1.3

Magnetic composition	Fluorescent substance	Size (nm)	Magnetization (Ms) Coercivity (Hc)	Stable (max conc.)	Type of complex containing magnetic/fluorescent substances	Fluorescent property and application	Ref	Commercialization
FePt NP	ATTO 590	5 (HD)	NA	NA	FePt NP surrounded by PMA shell containing ATTO 590	NP complex internalize preferentially inside immune cells (macrophages, dendritic cells) → increased cytokine production	59	NA
Iron oxide NP	Cy7	21 (D)	NA		IONP coated with Human Serum Albumin (HSA) + Fibroblast Growth Factor 2 + Cy7 (covalent)	Exc at 769 nm; em at 780 nm (red-shift in the absorbance spectrum between NP complex and free Cy7 dye due to dye binding to gelatin); photobleaching stabilization due to NP; → Image of cells (increase differentiation due to EGF2)	57	NA
Iron oxide NP	PFVBT	180 (D)	Ms = 3 emu/g Hc = 0 Oe	NA	Iron oxide NP inserted with fluorescent polymer PFVBT inside a vesicle made of PLGA-PEG-FOL	em at 670 nm for exc at 518 nm	80	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	fluorescent SiO ₂	127 (HD)	Ms = 13 emu/g	NA	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP surrounded by double shell (fluorescent SiO ₂ + PAA); DOX incorporated in NP complex In vitro release of DOX increased at lower pH	Abs at 480 nm; emission in green (FITC) and red (DOX) → DOX released from NP complex enters cell nucleus to perform antitumor activity	189	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	ZnS:Mn QD	7 (D)	Ms = 10 emu/g Hc = 0 ^o	NA	ZnS:Mn QD sandwiched between two chitosan layers surrounding Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	Em at 595 nm for excitation at 310 nm Chitosan prevents quenching by iron oxide (no interaction between ZnS:Mn QD and Fe ₃ O ₄ NP)	190	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	(TOPO)-capped CdSe@ZnS QD	60	Ms = 55 emu/g Hc = 0 Oe	NA	Fe ₃ O ₄ coated with PEI; QD assembled on PEI (covalent); TAT peptide attached	Abs peak at 578 nm; emission at 592 nm; Cell internalization of NP complex in perinuclear region	81	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	FITC	36 (HD)	Ms = 23 emu/g Hc = 0 Oe	NA	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP grafted with P(PEGMA) bound to FITC (covalent)	NP inside breast cancer cell imaged by fluorescence (85 pg Fe of NP per cell)	65	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	PDI-PAA	60 (D)	Ms = 7 emu/g Hc = 0 Oe	Yes	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP coated by SiO ₂ -PDI-PAA/Ca ²⁺	Em at 626 nm for exc at 540 nm Silica shell prevents fluorescence quenching → For NP incubated with HeLa cells, fluorescence intensity increases with incubation time / NP concentration	191	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	squarylium indocyanine	51 (D)	Ms = 8 emu/g Hc = 0 Oe	NA	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP coated by SiO ₂ -CMCS-dye	Abs at 638 nm; em at 647 nm NP internalize in cells with nucleic acids → intracellular nucleic acid accumulation	192	NA
Maghemite NP	rhodamine derivative (RITC)	20-40	Ms = 60 emu/g Hc = 0 Oe	Yes	Maghemite NP stabilized by OH- groups bound to positively charged RITC (electrostatic interactions)	Immobilization of glucose oxidase on NP complex (covalent) → biosensor to remove oxygen in the presence of excess of glucose	54	NA

Table 1.4

Magnetic composition	Fluorescent substance	Size (nm)	Magnetization (Ms) Coercivity (Hc)	Stable (max conc.)	Type of complex containing magnetic/fluorescent substances	Fluorescent property and application	Ref	Commercialization
Magnetite NP	coumarin-153	11 (NP D) 5-10 μ m (NW length)	Ms = 6 emu/g Hc = 0 Oe	Yes	Magnetite NP + Coumarin in PS NW.	Em at 524 nm for exc. at 457 nm → NW can be functionalized with antibodies. → NW bind to epithelial lung cancer cell (A549) receptor / endocytotic internalisation for short NW → Alignment of NW in direction of applied magnetic field	104	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	NaYF ₄ : Yb, Er	100-150 (D)	Ms = 3 emu/g Hc = 0 Oe	Yes	Covalent binding between carboxyl-functionalized Fe ₃ O ₄ NP and amino-functionalized silica-coated fluorescent NaYF ₄ : Yb,Er NP + conjugated with transferrin	em at 500-575 nm under exc. at 980 nm → Binding of NP complex at cell surface due to transferrin → Labeling and fluorescent imaging of HeLa cells + cellular magnetic separation	94	NA
CoFe ₂ O ₄	DOX	120 (D)	Ms = 51 emu/g Hc = 0 Oe	Yes	CoFe ₂ O ₄ surrounded by mesoporous carbon nanosphere containing DOX	Em at 450 nm under exc. at 360 nm → CoFe ₂ O ₄ @mC@DOX exhibit more cytotoxic than free DOX towards HeLa cells → Percentage of DOX released from NP complex larger at pH 4 (70%) than pH 7 (15%)	193	NA
Magnetite NP	Cy5.5	98 (HD)	Hc = Oe	Yes	Magnetite NP surrounded by silica bound to Cy5.5 + PEG + peptide gh625 (covalent)	→ NP complex prevents fluorophore from quenching and release from NP → Enhanced cellular internalization with peptide	58	NA
Maghemite NP	PyMA	60 (D)	Hc = 0 Oe NA	NA	Glycopolymers (MAGal) + (PyMA) attached to silica shell surrounding maghemite NP (thiol-ene chemistry)	Em at 475 nm under excitation at 317 nm → Galactose facilitates internalization in mammalian cells such as A549 cells (targeting of cell nucleus)	99	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	FITC	88 (D)	Ms = 74 emu/g Hc ≠ 0 Oe	No	Fe ₃ O ₄ covered with SiO ₂ shell bound to FITC covalently bound to PEI	→ Used for cell (neuroblast) imaging	66	NA
Iron oxide NP	DOX + ICG	20-30 (D)	Hc = 0 Oe	Yes	Iron oxide NP stabilized with DSPE-PEG 2000 ; hydrophobic DOX and amphiphilic ICG incorporated in phospholipid layer outside iron oxide NP core by hydrophobic interaction	→ NP complex administered C6 glioma-bearing rats cross BBB, localize in tumor as revealed by fluorescence, release DOX.	16	NA
Maghemite NP	Rhodamine (Rh) congo red (CR)	15 (D)	Hc = 0 Oe	NA	Electrostatic interaction of CR with IONP surface; Covalent attachment between RHB and IONP	→ NP complex selectively marks Ab40 fibrils; → early detection of plaques by fluorescence/MRI; → Diagnosis of Alzheimer disease	51	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	CdSe QD	600 (D)	Hc = 0 Oe	Yes	CdSe QD + Fe ₃ O ₄ NP in hollow silica sphere	Em at 617 nm for exc. at 232 nm Cell labeling by fluorescence; enhancement of fluorescence for NP complex conjugated with folic acid	89	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	FITC DOX	160 (D)	Hc = 0 Oe	NA	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP surrounded by silica / FITC / P(HEMA) / P(NIPAAm-co-AA) ; DOX loaded in thermo/pH sensitive NP	→ NP used for DOX release increased at low pH; → Cytotoxicity towards HeLa cells	67	NA

Table 1.5

Magnetic composition	Fluorescent substance	Size (nm)	Magnetization (MS) Coercivity (HC)	Stable (max conc.)	Type of complex containing magnetic/fluorescent substances	Fluorescent property and application	Ref	Commercialization
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	ZnSe NP	6.8 (Fe ₃ O ₄ NP D) 3.5 (ZnSe NP D)	Hc = 0 Oe (RT)	NA	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP seed for growth of ZnSe NP Fe ₃ O ₄ NP core surrounded by shell of ZnSe NP	Exc: at 325 nm; em at 390 nm for Fe ₃ O ₄ /ZnSe NP (compared with exc at 377 nm and em at 433 nm for free ZnSe NP); QY ↓ from 10% for free ZnSe NP to 1% for Fe ₃ O ₄ /ZnSe NP; → Effects due to: i) change in shape between spherical ZnSe NP and ZnSe emitters in core/shell NP, ii) carrier leakage at interface between Fe ₃ O ₄ and ZnSe NP in core/shell structure	82	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	RITC + FITC	NA	Hc = 0 Oe	NA	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP stabilized with PEG/textran/FITC/RITC bound to antibodies (covalent).	Antibodies associated with Fe ₃ O ₄ NP bind to SIRPA or KDR membrane receptors on cardiac progenitor cells → labeling of cardiac progenitor cells.	55	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	FITC	86 (D)	Hc = 0 Oe Ms = 73 emu/g	NA	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP and FITC incorporated in silica bound to chlorotoxin (covalent)	→ NP complex photostable → specifically internalizes in U251-MG glioma cells (endocytosis)	68	NA
Fe ₃ O ₃ Beads	CdSe/ZnS QD	30 (D)	Hc = 0 Oe	NA	Fe ₃ O ₃ NP Core surrounded by a shell of CdSe/ZnS QD; anticycline E antibodies attached to surface of NP complex (covalent)	→ NP complex fluoresce in green and red. → NP complex ↓ in QY + blue shift to lower wavelength of emission peak compared with free CdSe/ZnS QD. → Anticycline E antibodies bind specifically to cycline protein expressed on breast cancer cell surface. → Separation of breast cells observed by fluorescence imaging microscopy.	83	NA
Magnetite NP	FITC	100 (HD)	Hc = 0 Oe Ms = 20 emu/g (Ms = 60 emu/g for Fe ₃ O ₄ NP)	NA	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP coated by PLMA bound to FITC (covalent).	→ NP complex not cytotoxic towards 3T3 fibroblasts and human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs); → Detection of hMSCs labeled with NP complex by MRI (T2) and Fluorescence (green).	32	NA
Magnetite NP	YPO ₄ :Re (Re = Tb, Eu)	500-600 (D)	Hc = 0 Oe (RT)	NA	YPO ₄ :Re spheres containing Fe ₃ O ₄ NP + DOX bound to spheres.	Exc: at 224 nm; em: 530-560 nm (Tb) and 580-650 nm (Eu); → Release of DOX in vitro from DOX-Fe ₃ O ₄ @YPO ₄ → Internalization of DOX-Fe ₃ O ₄ @YPO ₄ : Tb in HeLa cells observed by fluorescence	194	NA
CoFe ₂ O ₄	PFV	35 (D)	Hc = 0 Oe	Yes	CoFe ₂ O ₄ covered by silica shell; PDDA/PSS layers assembled on silica; PFV adsorbed on PSS; heparin bound to PFV to increase cellular uptake / biocompatibility of NP complex	→ NP complex used to image cells by fluorescence	195	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	CdTe	50 (D)	NA	Yes	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP + CdTe in Silica bound to BRCAA1 monoclonal antibody (covalent)	→ Gastric cancer cells overexpress BRCAA1 proteins; → NP complex display very low toxicity; → NP complex endocytosed by gastric cancer MGC803 cells; → NP complex targets in vivo mouse gastric cancer tumor tissues (imaged by fluorescent and MRI).	84	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	FITC	50 (D)	Hc = 0 Oe Ms = 2 emu/g	Yes (no photobleaching)	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP surrounded by a first shell of silica containing FITC and a second shell of mesoporous silica; Al ₂ O ₃ in mesoporous silica; FA attached at the surface of mesoporous silica. FITC; Al ₂ O ₃ ; FA covalently attached	Exc at 488 nm → em at 520 nm (FITC). Exc: at 620 nm → em at 695 nm (Al ₂ O ₃) → NP complex suitable for fluorescence imaging / PDT → NP complex kill 50% of hepatoma cancer cells by PDT (660 nm laser at 75 mW cm ² , 800 μg/mL of NP)	196	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	DNA ^{GFP} DNA ^{DsRed}	220 (HD)	Hc = 0 Oe	Yes	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP surrounded by a shell of PEI attached to DNA ^{GFP} / DNA ^{DsRed} (few 100 nm of DNA strands bound to Fe ₃ O ₄ NP)	→ Expression of GFP / DsRed in porcine kidney PK-15 cells by magnetofection.	197	NA

Table 1.6

Magnetic composition	Fluorescent substance	Size (nm)	Magnetization (MS) Coercivity (Hc)	Stable (max conc.)	Type of magnetic/fluorescent nanocomplex	Fluorescent property and application	Ref	Commercialization
Magnetite	FITC	115 nm (HD)	Hc = 0 Oe Ms = 27 emu/g	Yes	PEG-FITC polymer matrix encapsulates magnetite NP + DOX ;	Cellular toxicity of NP towards HeLa cells; possibility to release DOX; Tumor growth delay in HeLa tumor-bearing mice after intravenous injection of NP complex	198	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	fluorescent carbon (FC)	9 (Fe ₃ O ₄ D) 3 (FC D)	Hc = 0 Oe Ms = 13 emu/g	Yes	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP core covered by a fluorescent carbon shell	→ NP can be imaged by fluorescence → NP exposed to 825 nm ; 1.5 W cm ⁻² for 5 min destroy HEK293T cells through heat generation (PTT); → Tumor growth retardation in mice bearing C6 glioblastoma treated by PTT	101	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	Cy5	NA	Hc = 0 Oe	Yes	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP, PAMAM-G4-NH ₂ dendrimer, Cy5, attached on graphene oxide (covalent)	→ NP complex internalize in MCF-7 breast cancer cells (fluorescence of cells) → NP complex non-toxic to MDA-MB-231 cell growth, (in contrast to free G4 dendrimer and GO-G4 conjugate)	105	NA
F ₃ O ₄ NP	CdSe/ZnS QD	395 (Microbead HD)	Ms = 25 emu/g	Yes	Fe ₃ O ₄ + QD inside silica microbead	→ Isolation of target tumor cells; → cancer diagnosis (medical imaging); → cancer therapy (targeted drug delivery);	85	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	Ruthenium (Ru) complex	43 (HD)	Hc = 0 Oe Ms = 40 emu/g	Yes	Fe ₃ O ₄ coated with PPG-PEGPPG-diamine bound to Ru; Fe ₃ O ₄ coated with DHPPA bound to Ru;	Em at 740 nm for exc at 490 nm → NP complex does not release a detectable amount of Ru; → NP complex incubated with SK-BR-3 cells (cell imaging by fluorescence)	199	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	Eu complex	8 (Fe ₃ O ₄ NP D)	Hc = 0 Oe Ms = 43 emu/g	Yes	Fe ₃ O ₄ -DPA-PEG-BMAP-Eu NP conjugate: Fe ₃ O ₄ NP modified with DPA and PEG diacid, conjugated with Eu(III) complex of BMAP.	Exc at 395 nm; em at 615 nm; → No cytotoxicity towards SK-BR-3 cells up to 100 µg/mL NP; → Imaging of SK-BR-3 cells by fluorescence imaging;	200	NA
Iron oxide NP (IONP)	Up Conversion NP (UPCNP)	150-200 (D)	Hc = 0 Oe Ms = 50 emu/g	Yes	NP complex containing: Dye/drug, UPCNP, IONP, polymer mixed together	→ Combination of upconversion luminescence (UCL) with down-conversion fluorescence (FL) (dye is Squaraine)	201	NA
F ₃ O ₄ NP	carbazole	146 (HD)	Hc = 0 Oe Ms = 9 emu/g (Ms = 18 emu/g for Fe ₃ O ₄ NP)	Yes	PEGylated micelles containing Fe ₃ O ₄ ; carbazole in polymer shell of micelle	→ Em at 350-360 nm for exc at 294 nm → No cytotoxicity towards HeLa cells (up to 1 mg/mL of NP complex); → Blue fluorescence of liver/spleen cells incubated with NP complex	103	NA
CoFe ₂ O ₄ NP	PDI-4NH ₂	106 (HD)	NA	NA	CoFe ₂ O ₄ NP coated by dopamine + HSA + PDI-4NH ₂ (non-covalent)	Exc at 500 nm, em at 548 nm; NP complex biocompatibility; NP complex internalizes in cells (free PDI-4NH ₂ does not internalize);	202	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	Mn:ZnS QD	93 (D)	Hc = 0 Oe		PLGA vesicles containing Fe ₃ O ₄ NP + Mn:ZnS QD + busulfan (anti-cancer drug)	→ NP complex internalizes in Murine macrophage (J774A) cells (imaged by MRI + fluorescence); → Drug/busulfan delivery ability of NP complex demonstrated in vitro.	86	NA

Table 1.7

Magnetic composition	Fluorescent substance	Size (nm)	Magnetization (MS) Coercivity (HC)	Stable (max conc.)	Type of magnetic/fluorescent nanocomplex	Fluorescent property and application	Ref	Commercialization
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	IR-820 dye	6	NA	Yes	Amphiphilic polymer (poly-isobutylene-alt-maleic anhydride) functionalized with IR-820 dye surrounding Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	→ NP complex has wide emission range (800 to 1000 nm); → NP complex displays minimal cytotoxicity; → NP complex used for labeling of cancerous HeLa cells (NIR fluorescence microscopy); → NP complex displays good negative contrast enhancement in T2-weighted MR imaging in murine model.	203	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	CdTe QD	70-90 (NP complex D) 14 (Fe ₃ O ₄ NP)	Hc = 0 Oe Ms = 5 emu/g	Yes	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP covered by mesoporous silica shell; layer-by-layer assembly of 3-aminopropyltrimethoxysilane and fluorescent CdTe QD on SiO ₂ surface.	→ PL Emission at 660 nm → Mesoporous silica has large loading capacity (application for drug delivery/targeting).	87	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	FITC ; RITC	28 (NP complex D) 9 nm (Fe ₃ O ₄ NP)	Hc = 0 Oe Ms (2 emu/g for NP complex) Ms = 30 emu/g for Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	Yes	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP covered by amorphous silica shell containing dye (FITC/RITC) inside	→ Em at 522 nm for exc at 470 nm (NP complex with FITC) → Em at 572 nm for exc at 520 nm (NP complex with RITC) → NP complex used for HeLa cell imaging;	118	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	RITC	63 (NP complex D)	Hc = 0 Oe Ms = 19 emu/g	Yes	Fe ₃ O ₄ NP coated by PEI surrounded by fluorescent mesoporous silica ; siRNA in electrostatic interaction with PEI	→ Em at 550 nm; → Delivery of siRNA (magnetically guided and followed by fluorescence);	172	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	Cy5.5	138 (NP complex HD)	Hc = 0 Oe	Yes	NP complex made of Fe ₃ O ₄ NP coated by PEI first layer attached to Cy5.5 (covalent); second layer of PEG bound to PEI first layer (covalent); HCBP-1 peptide bound to PEG (covalent);	→ Ex = 675 nm, Em = 695 nm; → NP complex good hemo-compatibility and low cytotoxicity; → NP complex can isolate HCBP-1 positive cancer cells in vitro; → NP complex can be used for cancer stem cell detection;	106	NA
Fe ₃ O ₄ NP	Eu ³⁺	76 (NP complex) 13 (Fe ₃ O ₄ NP)	Hc = 0 Oe		Fe ₃ O ₄ NP covered by poly(St-NIPAM) + Eu(AA) ₃ Phen; PNIPAM: thermosensitive polymer.	→ emission peaks of Eu ³⁺ at 594 and 619 nm; → NP complex shrinks in size with increasing temperature;	204	NA
Iron oxide NP	Fluorescent red; Cy5; Cy3; FITC; AF568; Cy5.5; Cy7.5; RhB; Indocyanine Green Dye; Fluorescent Far Red; DY-730 dye	5-300	Hc = 0 Oe	Yes	Iron oxide NP coated by cross linked dextran / hydroxyethyl starch attached to dyes	→ Cy-5 (exc 649 nm, em: 670 nm); RhB (exc 552 nm; em at 580 nm); IGD (exc at 785 nm; em at 810 nm); DY-730 dye (exc at 732 nm; em at 758 nm); Fluorescent far red (exc at 732 nm; em at 758 nm); Fluorescent red (exc at 552 nm; em at 580 nm); FITC (exc at 494 nm, em at 525 nm); Cy3 (exc at 555 nm; em at 565 nm); AF568 (exc at 578 nm; em at 605 nm); Cy5.5 (exc at 673 nm, em at 705 nm); Cy7.5 (exc at 750 nm; em at 765 nm) → Applications: Purification of targeted protein / magneto-immuno assays	Absolute Mag	CD
Cluster of several iron oxide NP	Lipophilic fluorescent dyes (perylene derivatives)	100-200	Hc = 0 Oe	Yes	Dyes are sandwiched between a magnetic core and a polysaccharide matrix	→ Blue: exc at 378 nm / em at 413 nm; → Green: exc at 476 nm / em at 490 nm; → Orange: exc at 524 nm / em at 539 nm; → Pink: exc at 547 nm / em at 581 nm; → Applications: Magnetic separation, labeling and fluorescence detection	nano-screen MAG (affinity)	chemicell
Iron oxide NP + organic coating	AF568, Cy3, Cy5.5, Cy5, Cy7, FITC,	5-30	Hc = 0 Oe	Yes	Dyes are chemically bound to the NP	→ Applications: measure individual virus and/or exosomes by flow cytometry; Furthermore, magnetic separation/concentration of cells/proteins .	Magdye	Oceananotech
Iron oxide NP + dextran	NA	50-100	Hc = 0 Oe	Yes	Dyes are covalently attached to NP	→ Red: exc at 552 nm / em at 580 nm; → Far-red: exc at 732 nm / em at 758 nm; → Application in hyperthermia	nanomag [®] -CLD-redF + synomag [®] -CLD-far redF	Micromod
Iron oxide NP surrounded by polymer	Cy3, Cy5, Cy5.5, RhB, fluorescein,	20-400	Hc = 0 Oe	Yes	Covalent binding between dyes and NP	→ Applications: fluorescent imaging, cellular and biomolecular labeling and magnetic sensing	MP25/350-FC/RB/Cy3/Cy5/Cy5.5	NANOCS
Iron oxide core surrounded by polystyrene / silica coating comprising a dye.	NA	100-900	Hc = 0 Oe	Yes	→ Polystyrene particle core stained with solution of fluorophore; → Polymerization of fluorophore in styrene in the presence of polystyrene core particles;	→ Yellow: exc at (475 nm / em at 480 nm → Pink: exc at 560 nm / em at 580 → Nile red: exc at 510 nm / em at 555 nm → Applications: cell separation, affinity purification, DNA probe assays, magnetic particle EIA	SPHERO™ Carboxyl Fluorescent Magnetic Particles	SPHEROT ECH
Magneto-somes	Dil, RhB	30-50	Hc = 250 Oe (RT)	Yes	Weak binding between iron oxide NP and dyes	→ Em at 585 nm for exc at 405 nm (RhB) → Em at 576 nm for exc at 555 nm (Dil) → Application: detection of the release of a substance of interest (drug) by fluorescence enhancement through de-quenching mechanism.	Fluorescent magnetosomes	NANOBACTERIE

Table 1.8

660 **DECLARATION OF INTEREST:** Edouard Alphandéry has been working in the company
661 Nanobacterie.

662 **ACKNOWLEDGMENT:** We would like to thank the BPI (*'banque publique d'investissement,*
663 *France'*), the region of Paris (*'Paris Région Entreprise, France'*), the French Research Tax Credit
664 program (*'crédit d'impôt recherche'*), the incubator Paris Biotech Santé, the ANRT (CIFRE 2014/0359,
665 CIFRE 2016/0747, CIFRE 2013/0364, CIFRE 2015/976), the Eurostars programs (Nanoneck-2 E9309
666 and Nanoglioma E11778), the AIR program (*'aide à l'innovation responsable'*) from the region of Paris
667 (A1401025Q), the ANR (*'Agence Nationale de la Recherche'*) Méfisto, as well as the Universities Paris
668 6 and Paris 11. We also would like to thank the Nomis Foundation and Markus Reinhard for their support.

669

670

671 **REFERENCES:**

- 672 1. Yang Y, Wang L, Wan B, Gu Y, Li X, Optically Active Nanomaterials for Bioimaging and
673 Targeted Therapy, *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.*, 2019; 7: 320.
- 674 2. Zhang Y, Li M, Gao X, Chen Y, and Liu T, Nanotechnology in cancer diagnosis: progress,
675 challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Hematology & Oncology* 2019; 12: 137.
- 676 3. Padmanabhan P, Kumar A, Kumar S, Chaudhary RK, Gulyás B, Nanoparticles in practice for
677 molecular-imaging applications: An overview. *Acta Biomaterialia* 2016; 41: 1–16
- 678 4. Alphandéry E, Iron oxide nanoparticles as multimodal imaging tools. *RSC Adv.*, 2019; 9: 40577-
679 40587.
- 680 5. Shen Z, Wu A, Chen X, Iron Oxide Nanoparticle Based Contrast Agents for Magnetic Resonance
681 Imaging, *Mol. Pharmaceutics* 2017; 14: 1352–1364.
- 682 6. Bouziotis P, Psimadas D, Tsoதாகos T, Stamopoulos D, Tsoukalas C, Radiolabeled Iron Oxide
683 Nanoparticles As Dual-Modality SPECT/MRI and PET/MRI Agents, *Current Topics in Medicinal*
684 *Chemistry* 2012; 12: 2694-2702
- 685 7. Song K, Lee S, Suh CY, Kim W, Ko KS, Shin D, Synthesis and Characterization of Iron Oxide
686 Nanoparticles Prepared by Electrical Explosion of Fe Wire in ArO₂ Gas Mixtures, *Materials Transactions*
687 2012; 53: 2056-2059.
- 688 8. Kim W, Suh CY, Cho SW, Roh KM, Kwon H, Song K, Shon IJ, A new method for the
689 identification and quantification of magnetite–maghemite mixture using conventional X-ray diffraction
690 technique, *Talanta* 2012; 94: 348– 352.

- 691 9. Rosenfeldt S, Riese CN, Mickoleit F, Schüler D, Schenk AS, Probing the Nanostructure and
692 Arrangement of Bacterial Magnetosomes by Small-Angle X-Ray Scattering, *Appl Environ Microbiol*
693 2019; 85: e01513-19
- 694 10. Alphandéry E, Biodistribution and targeting properties of iron oxide nanoparticles for treatments
695 of cancer and iron anemia disease, *Nanotoxicology* 2019; 13: 573–596.
- 696 11. Maier-Hauff K, Ulrich F, Nestler D, Niehoff H, Wust P, Thiesen B, Orawa H, Budach V, Jordan
697 A, Efficacy and safety of intratumoral thermotherapy using magnetic iron-oxide nanoparticles combined
698 with external beam radiotherapy on patients with recurrent glioblastoma multiforme, *J Neurooncol* 2011;
699 103: 317–324.
- 700 12. Maier-Hauff K, Rothe R, Scholz R, Gneveckow U, Wust P, Thiesen B, Feussner A, von Deimling
701 A, Waldoefner N, Felix R, Jordan A, Intracranial thermotherapy using magnetic nanoparticles combined
702 with external beam radiotherapy: Results of a feasibility study on patients with glioblastoma multiforme,
703 *J Neurooncol* 2007; 81: 53–60.
- 704 13. Estelrich J, Busquets MA, Iron Oxide Nanoparticles in Photothermal Therapy, *Molecules* 2018;
705 23: 1567.
- 706 14. Israel LL, Galstyan A, Holler E, Ljubimova JY, Magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles for imaging,
707 targeting and treatment of primary and metastatic tumors of the brain, *Journal of Controlled Release* 2020;
708 320: 45–62.
- 709 15. Jayapaul J, Hodenius M, Arns S, Lederle W, Lammers T, Comba P, Kiessling F, Gaetjens J, FMN-
710 coated fluorescent iron oxide nanoparticles for RCP-mediated targeting and labeling of metabolically
711 active cancer and endothelial cells, *Biomaterials* 2011; 32: 5863-5871.
- 712 16. Shen C, Wang X, Zheng Z, Gao C, Chen X, Zhao S, Dai Z, Doxorubicin and indocyanine green
713 loaded superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles with PEGylated phospholipid coating for magnetic

- 714 resonance with fluorescence imaging and chemotherapy of glioma, *International Journal of Nanomedicine*
715 2019; 14: 101–117.
- 716 17. Alphandéry E, Natural Metallic Nanoparticles for Application in Nano-Oncology, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*
717 2020; 21: 4412.
- 718 18. Zhao S, Yu X, Qian Y, Chen W, Shen J, Multifunctional magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles: an
719 advanced platform for cancer theranostics, *Theranostics* 2020; 10: 6278-6309.
- 720 19. Lacroix LM, Delpech F, Nayral C, Lachaize S, Chaudret C, New generation of magnetic and
721 luminescent nanoparticles for in vivo real-time imaging, *Interface Focus* 2013; 3: 20120103.
- 722 20. Lartigue L, Coupeau M, Lesault M, Luminophore and Magnetic Multicore Nanoassemblies for
723 Dual-Mode MRI and Fluorescence Imaging, *Nanomaterials* 2020; 10: 28.
- 724 21. García RS, Stafford S, Gun'ko Y, Recent Progress in Synthesis and Functionalization of
725 Multimodal Fluorescent-Magnetic Nanoparticles for Biological Applications. *Appl. Sci.* 2018; 8: 172.
- 726 22. Alphandéry E, Haidar DA, Seksek O, Thoreau M, Trautmann, A, Bercovici N, Gazeau F, Guyot
727 F, Chebbi I, Nanoprobe Synthesized by Magnetotactic Bacteria, Detecting Fluorescence Variations under
728 Dissociation of Rhodamine B from Magnetosomes following Temperature, pH Changes, or the
729 Application of Radiation, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2017; 9: 36561-36572.
- 730 23. Alphandéry E, Haidar DA, Seksek O, Guyot F, Chebbi I, Fluorescent magnetosomes for controlled
731 and repetitive drug release under the application of an alternating magnetic field under conditions of
732 limited temperature increase (<2.5 °C), *Nanoscale*, 2018; 10: 10918-10933.
- 733 24. Shcherbakova, DM, Baloban, M, Verkhusha, VV, Near-infrared fluorescent proteins
734 engineered from bacterial phytochromes, *Curr Opin Chem Biol.*, 2015; 27: 52–63.

- 735 25. Fischer, A, Schmitz, M, Aichmayer, B, Fratzl, P, Faivre, D, Structural purity of magnetite
736 nanoparticles in magnetotactic bacteria, *J. R. Soc. Interface*, 2011; 8: 1011–1018.
- 737 26. Mahadevan, S, Behera, SP, Gnanaprakash, G, Jayakumar, T, Philip J, Rao, BPC, Size distribution
738 of magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles using Warren–Averbach XRD analysis, *Journal of Physics and*
739 *Chemistry of Solids*, 2012; 73: 867–872
- 740 27. Sun YK, Ma M, Zhang Y, Gu N, Synthesis of nanometer-size maghemite particles from magnetite,
741 *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects* 2004; 245: 15–19
- 742 28. Szczerba W, Costo R, Veintemillas-Verdaguer S, Del Puerto Morales M, Thunemann A F, SAXS
743 analysis of single- and multi-core iron oxide magnetic nanoparticles, *J. Appl. Cryst.* 2017; 50: 481–488.
- 744 29. Lim J, Yeap SP, Che HX, Low SC, Characterization of magnetic nanoparticle by dynamic light
745 scattering, *Nanoscale Research Letters* 2013; 8: 381.
- 746 30. Yang SC, Paik SYR, Ryu J, Choi KO, Kang TS, Lee JK, Song CW, Ko S, Dynamic light
747 scattering-based method to determine primary particle size of iron oxide nanoparticles in simulated
748 gastrointestinal fluid, *Food Chemistry* 2014; 161: 185–191
- 749 31. Carvalho PM, Felício MR, Santos NC, Gonçalves S, Domingues MM, Application of Light
750 Scattering Techniques to Nanoparticle Characterization and Development, *Frontiers in Chemistry* 2018;
751 6: 237.
- 752 32. Wang L, Neoh KG, Kang ET, Shuter B, Wang SC, Biodegradable magnetic fluorescent
753 magnetite/poly(DL-lactic acid-co-a,b-malic acid) composite nanoparticles for stem cell labeling,
754 *Biomaterials* 2010; 31: 3502–3511.

- 755 33. Rao YF, Chen W, Liang XG, Huang YZ, Miao J, Liu L, Lou Y, Zhang XG, Wang B, Tang RK,
756 Chen Z, Lu XY, Epirubicin-Loaded Superparamagnetic Iron-Oxide Nanoparticles for Transdermal
757 Delivery: Cancer Therapy by Circumventing the Skin Barrier, *Small* 2015; 11: 239–247.
- 758 34. Azadmanjiri J, Simon GP, Suzuki K, Selomulya C, Cashion JD, Phase reduction of coated
759 maghemite (g-Fe₂O₃) nanoparticles under microwave-induced plasma heating for rapid heat treatment,
760 *J. Mater. Chem.* 2012; 22: 617-625.
- 761 35. Lesiak B, Rangan N, Jiricek P, Gordeev I, Tóth J, Kövér L, Mohai M, Borowicz P, Surface Study
762 of Fe₃O₄ Nanoparticles Functionalized With Biocompatible Adsorbed Molecules, *Frontiers in*
763 *Chemistry*, 2019; 7: 642.
- 764 36. Shi D, Sadat ME, Dunn AW, Mast DB, Photo-fluorescent and magnetic properties of iron oxide
765 nanoparticles for biomedical applications, *Nanoscale*, 2015; 7: 8209-8232.
- 766 37. Loudet A., Burgess K, BODIPY dyes and their derivatives: syntheses and spectroscopic
767 properties, *Chem. Rev.* 2007; 107: 4891–4932.
- 768 38. Yu CJ, Wu SM, Tseng WL, Magnetite Nanoparticle-Induced Fluorescence Quenching of
769 Adenosine Triphosphate–BODIPY Conjugates: Application to Adenosine Triphosphate and
770 Pyrophosphate Sensing, *Anal. Chem.* 2013; 85: 8559–8565.
- 771 39. Amendola V, Saija R, Maragò OM, Iati MA, Superior plasmon absorption in iron-doped gold
772 nanoparticles, *Nanoscale*, 2015; 7: 8782-8792.
- 773 40. Reguera J, de Aberasturi DJ, Henriksen-Lacey M, Langer J, Espinosa A, Szczupak B, Wilhelm
774 C, Liz-Marzán LM. Janus plasmonic–magnetic gold–iron oxide nanoparticles as contrast agents for
775 multimodal imaging, *Nanoscale*, 2017, 9, 9467-9480

- 776 41. Levin CS, Hofmann C, Ali TA, Kelly AT, Morosan E, Nordlander P, Whitmire KH, Halas NJ,
777 Magnetic-Plasmonic Core-Shell Nanoparticles, *ACS Nano*, 2009; 3: 1379–1388.
- 778 42. Ramade J, Cottancin E, Lebeault MA, Langlois C, Piccolo L, Broyer M, Environmental Plasmonic
779 Spectroscopy of Silver–Iron Nanoparticles: Chemical Ordering under Oxidizing and Reducing
780 Conditions, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2019; 123: 15693–15706.
- 781 43. Noguez C, Surface Plasmons on Metal Nanoparticles: The Influence of Shape and Physical
782 Environment, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2007; 111: 3806-3819.
- 783 44. Alphandéry E, Ngo AT, Lefèvre C, Lisiecki, I, Wu LF, Pileni MP, Difference between the
784 Magnetic Properties of the Magnetotactic Bacteria and Those of the Extracted Magnetosomes: Influence
785 of the Distance between the Chains of Magnetosomes, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2008; 112: 12304–12309.
- 786 45. Testa-Anta M, Ramos-Docampo MA, Comesana-Hermo M, Rivas-Murias B, Salgueirino V,
787 Raman spectroscopy to unravel the magnetic properties of iron oxide nanocrystals for biorelated
788 applications, *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2019, 1, 2086-2103.
- 789 46. Dutz S, Buske N, Landers J, Gräfe C, Wende H, Clement JH, Biocompatible Magnetic Fluids of
790 Co-Doped Iron Oxide Nanoparticles with Tunable Magnetic Properties, *Nanomaterials*, 2020; 10: 1019.
- 791 47. Leysens L, Vinck B, Van Der Straeten C, Wuytse F, Maes L, Cobalt toxicity in humans—A
792 review of the potential sources and systemic health effects, *Toxicology* 2017; 387: 43–56.
- 793 48. Byrne JM, Coker VS, Cespedes E, Wincott PL, Vaughan DJ, Patrick RAD, Biosynthesis of Zinc
794 Substituted Magnetite Nanoparticles with Enhanced Magnetic Properties, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2014; 24:
795 2518–2529.

- 796 49. Bertorelle F, Wilhelm C, Roger J, Gazeau F, Ménager C, Cabuil V, Fluorescence-Modified
797 Superparamagnetic Nanoparticles: Intracellular Uptake and Use in Cellular Imaging, *Langmuir* 2006; 22:
798 5385-5391.
- 799 50. Gallagher JJ, Tekoriute R, O'Reilly J-A, Kerskens C, Gun'ko YK, Lynch M, Bimodal magnetic-
800 fluorescent nanostructures for biomedical applications, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2009; 19: 4081–4084.
- 801 51. Skaat H, Margel S, Synthesis of fluorescent-maghemite nanoparticles as multimodal imaging
802 agents for amyloid-b fibrils detection and removal by a magnetic field, *Biochemical and Biophysical*
803 *Research Communications*, 2009; 386: 645–649.
- 804 52. Cho YS, Yoon TJ, Jang ES, Hong KS, Lee SY, Kim OR, Park C, Kim YJ, Yi GC, Chang K,
805 Cetuximab-conjugated magneto-fluorescent silica nanoparticles for in vivo colon cancer targeting and
806 imaging, *Cancer Letters*, 2010; 299: 63–71.
- 807 53. Hwang DW, Song IC, Lee DS, Kim S, Smart Magnetic Fluorescent Nanoparticle Imaging Probes
808 to Monitor MicroRNAs, *Small*, 2010; 6: 81–88.
- 809 54. Magro, M, Sinigaglia G, Nodari, L, Tucek, J, Polakova, K, Marusak Z, Charge binding of
810 rhodamine derivative to OH stabilized nanomaghemite: Universal nanocarrier for construction of
811 magnetofluorescent biosensors, *Acta Biomaterialia*, 2012; 8: 2068–2076.
- 812 55. Verma VK, Kamaraju SR, Kancherla R, Kona LK, Beevi SS, Debnath T, Usha SP, Vadapalli R,
813 Arbab AS, Chelluri LK, Fluorescent magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles for cardiac precursor cell selection
814 from stromal vascular fraction and optimization for magnetic resonance imaging, *International Journal of*
815 *Nanomedicine*, 2015; 10: 711–726.
- 816 56. Fu A, Wilson RJ, Smith BR, Mullenix J, Earhart C, Akin D, Guccione S, Wang SX, Gambhir SS,
817 Fluorescent Magnetic Nanoparticles for Magnetically Enhanced Cancer Imaging and Targeting in Living
818 Subjects, *ACSnano*, 2012; 6: 6862–6869

- 819 57. Levy I, Sher I, Corem-Salkmon E, Ziv-Polat¹ O, Meir A, Treves AJ, Nagler A, Kalter-Leibovici
820 O, Margel S, Rotenstreich Y, Bioactive magnetic near Infra-Red fluorescent core-shell iron oxide/human
821 serum albumin nanoparticles for controlled release of growth factors for augmentation of human
822 mesenchymal stem cell growth and differentiation. *Journal of Nanobiotechnology*, 2015; 13: 34.
- 823 58. Perillo E, Hervé-Aubert K, Allard-Vannier E, Falanga A, Galdiero S, Chourpa I, Synthesis and in
824 vitro evaluation of fluorescent and magnetic nanoparticles functionalized with a cell penetrating peptide
825 for cancer theranosis,. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 2017; 499: 209–217.
- 826 59. Lehmann AD, Parak WJ, Zhang F, Ali Z, Rocker C, Nienhaus GU, Fluorescent–Magnetic Hybrid
827 Nanoparticles Induce a Dose-Dependent Increase in Proinflammatory Response in Lung Cells in vitro
828 Correlated with Intracellular Localization, *Small*, 2010; 6: 753–762.
- 829 60. Howes P, Green M, Bowers A, Parker, D, Varma, G, Kallumadil M, Magnetic Conjugated
830 Polymer Nanoparticles as Bimodal Imaging Agents, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, 132: 9833–9842.
- 831 61. Chekina N, Horak D, Jendelova P, Trchova M, Benes M J, Hruby M, Herynek V, Turnovcov K,
832 Sykova E, Fluorescent magnetic nanoparticles for biomedical applications, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011; 21:
833 7630-7639.
- 834 62. Ebrahiminezhad A, Ghasemi Y, Rasoul-Amini S, Barar J, Davarana S, Preparation of novel
835 magnetic fluorescent nanoparticles using amino acids, *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 2013; 102:
836 534– 539.
- 837 63. Ge Y, Zhang Y, He S, Nie F, Teng G, Gu N, Fluorescence Modified Chitosan-Coated Magnetic
838 Nanoparticles for High-Efficient Cellular Imaging, *Nanoscale Res Lett*, 2009; 4: 287–295.
- 839 64. Kaewsaneha C, Opaprakasit P, Polpanich D, Smanmoo S, Tangboriboonrat P, Immobilization of
840 fluorescein isothiocyanate on magnetic polymeric nanoparticle using chitosan as spacer *Journal of Colloid
841 and Interface Science*, 2012; 377: 145–152.

- 842 65. Lu X, Jiang R, Fan O, Zhang L, Zhang H, Yang M, Fluorescent-magnetic
843 poly(poly(ethyleneglycol)monomethacrylate)-grafted Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles from post-atom-transfer-
844 radical-polymerization modification: synthesis, characterization, cellular uptake and imaging, *J. Mater.*
845 *Chem.*, 2012; 22: 6965-6973.
- 846 66. Pinheiro PC, Daniel-da-Silva AL, Tavares DS, Calatayud MP, Goya GF, Trindade T, Fluorescent
847 Magnetic Bioprobes by Surface Modification of Magnetite Nanoparticles, *Materials* 2013; 6: 3213-3225.
- 848 67. Torkpur-Biglarizadeh M, Salami-Kalajahi M, Multilayer fluorescent magnetic nanoparticles
849 with dual thermoresponsive and pH-sensitive polymeric nanolayers as anti-cancer drug carriers, *RSC*
850 *Adv.*, 2015, 5, 29653-29662.
- 851 68. Wan J, Meng X, Liu E, Chen K, Incorporation of magnetite nanoparticle clusters in fluorescent
852 silica nanoparticles for high-performance brain tumor delineation, *Nanotechnology*, 2010; 21: 235104.
- 853 69. Alander JT, Kaartinen I, Laakso A, Patila T, Spillmann T, Tuchin VV, A Review of Indocyanine
854 Green Fluorescent Imaging in Surgery, *International Journal of Biomedical Imaging*, 2012; Article ID
855 940585.
- 856 70. Chen O, Riedemann L, Etoc F, Herrmann H, Coppey M, Barch M, Farrar CT, Zhao J, Bruns OT,
857 Wei H, Guo P, Cui J, Jensen R, Chen Y, Harris DK, Cordero JM, Wang Z, Jasanoff A, Fukumura D,
858 Reimer R, Dahan M, Jain RK, Bawendi MG, Magneto-Fluorescent Core-Shell Supernanoparticles, *Nat*
859 *Commun*, 2014; 5: 5093.
- 860 71. Cho HS, Dong Z, Pauletti GM, Zhang J, Xu H, Gu H, Wang L, Ewing RC, Huth C, Wang F,† Shi
861 D, Fluorescent, Superparamagnetic Nanospheres for Drug Storage, Targeting, and
862 Imaging: A Multifunctional Nanocarrier System for Cancer Diagnosis and Treatment, *ACS Nano*, 2010; 4,
863 5398-5404.

- 864 72. Cho M, Contreras EQ, Lee, SS, Jones, CJ, Jang, W, Colvin VL, Characterization and Optimization
865 of the Fluorescence of Nanoscale Iron Oxide/Quantum Dot Complexes, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2014; 118,
866 14606–14616.
- 867 73. Ding Y, Yin H, Shen S, Sun K, Liu F, Chitosan-based magnetic/fluorescent nanocomposites for
868 cell labelling and controlled drug release, *NewJ.Chem.*, 2017; 41: 1736-1743.
- 869 74. Gui R, Wang Y, Sun J, Encapsulating magnetic and fluorescent mesoporous silica into
870 thermosensitive chitosan microspheres for cell imaging and controlled drug release in vitro, *Colloids and*
871 *Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 2014; 113: 1– 9.
- 872 75. Hong X, Li J, Wang M, Xu J, Guo W, Li J, Bai Y, Li T, Fabrication of Magnetic Luminescent
873 Nanocomposites by a Layer-by-Layer Self-assembly Approach, *Chem. Mater.* 2004; 16: 4022-4027.
- 874 76. Insin N, Tracy, JB, Lee H, Zimmer JP, Westervelt RM, Bawendi MG, Incorporation of Iron Oxide
875 Nanoparticles and Quantum Dots into Silica Microspheres. *ACSNano*, 2008; 2: 197-202.
- 876 77. Jha DK, Saikia K, Chakrabarti S, Bhattacharya K, Varadarajan KS, Patel AB, Goyary D, Deba C,
877 Direct one-pot synthesis of glutathione capped hydrophilic FePt-CdS nanoprobe for efficient bimodal
878 imaging application. *Materials Science and Engineering C*, 2017; 72: 415–424
- 879 78. Koc K, Karakus B, Rajar K, Alveroglu E, Synthesis and characterization of ZnS@Fe₃O₄
880 fluorescentmagnetic bifunctional nanospheres, *Superlattices and Microstructures*, 2017; 110: 198-204.
- 881 79. Koktysh D, Bright V, Pham W, Fluorescent magnetic hybrid nanoprobe for multimodal
882 bioimaging, *Nanotechnology*, 2011; 22: 275606.
- 883 80. Li K, Ding D, Huo D , Pu KY, Thao NNP, Hu Y, Li Z, Liu B, Conjugated Polymer Based
884 Nanoparticles as Dual-Modal Probes for Targeted In Vivo Fluorescence and Magnetic Resonance
885 Imaging, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012; 22: 3107–3115.

- 886 81. Lou L, Yu K, Zhang Z, Li B, Zhu J, Wang Y, Huang R, Zhu Z, Functionalized magnetic-
887 fluorescent hybrid nanoparticles for cell labelling, *Nanoscale*, 2011; 3: 2315-2323.
- 888 82. Vargas JM, McBride AA, Plumley JB, Fichou Y, Memon TA, Shah V, Cook NC, Akins BA,
889 Rivera AC, Smolyakov GA, O'Brien JR, Adolphi NL, Smyth HDC, Osin' sk M, Synthesis and
890 characterization of core/shell Fe₃O₄/ZnSe fluorescent magnetic nanoparticles, *Journal of applied physics*,
891 2011; 109: 07B536.
- 892 83. Wang D, He J, Rosenzweig N, Rosenzweig Z, Superparamagnetic Fe₂O₃ Beads-CdSe/ZnS
893 Quantum Dots Core-Shell Nanocomposite Particles for Cell Separation, *Nano Lett.*, 2004; 4: 409-413
- 894 84. Wang K, Ruan J, Qian Q, Song H, Bao C, Zhang X, BRCAA1 monoclonal antibody conjugated
895 fluorescent magnetic nanoparticles for in vivo targeted magnetofluorescent imaging of gastric cancer,
896 *Journal of Nanobiotechnology*, 2011; 9: 23.
- 897 85. Wen CY, Xie HY, Zhang ZL, Wu LL, Hu J, Tang M, Fluorescent/magnetic micro/nano-spheres
898 based on quantum dots and/or magnetic nanoparticles: preparation, properties, and their applications in
899 cancer studies, *Nanoscale*, 2016; 8: 12406-12429.
- 900 86. Ye F, Barrefelt A, Asem H, Abedi-Valugerdi M, El-Serafi I, Saghafian M, Biodegradable
901 polymeric vesicles containing magnetic nanoparticles, quantum dots and anticancer drugs for drug
902 delivery and imaging, *Biomaterials*, 2014; 35: 3885-3894.
- 903 87. Yin N, Wu P, Liang G, Cheng W, A multifunctional mesoporous Fe₃O₄/SiO₂/CdTe magnetic-
904 fluorescent composite nanoprobe, *Appl. Phys. A*, 2016; 122: 243.
- 905 88. Gao J, Zhang W, Huang P, Zhang B, Zhang X, Xu B, Intracellular Spatial Control of Fluorescent
906 Magnetic Nanoparticles, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008; 130: 3710-3711.

- 907 89. Sun L, Zang Y, Sun M, Wang H, Zhu X, Xu S, Yang Q, Li Y, Shan Y, Synthesis of magnetic and
908 fluorescent multifunctional hollow silica nanocomposites for live cell imaging, *Journal of Colloid and*
909 *Interface Science*, 2010; 350: 90–98.
- 910 90. Gao Y, Zou X, Zhao JX, Li Y, Su X, Graphene oxide-based magnetic fluorescent hybrids for
911 drug delivery and cellular imaging, *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 2013; 112: 128–133.
- 912 91. Gaponik N, Radtchenko IL, Sukhorukov GB, Rogach AL, Luminescent Polymer Microcapsules
913 Addressable by a Magnetic Field, *Langmuir*, 2004; 20: 1449-1452.
- 914 92. Efros AL, Nesbitt DJ, Origin and control of blinking in quantum dots, *Nature Nanotechnology*,
915 2016; 11: 661-671.
- 916 93. Gai S, Yang P, Li C, Wang W, Dai Y, Niu N, Lin J, Synthesis of Magnetic, Up-Conversion
917 Luminescent, and Mesoporous Core–Shell-Structured Nanocomposites as Drug Carriers, *Adv. Funct.*
918 *Mater.*, 2010; 20: 1166–1172.
- 919 94. Mi C, Zhang J, Gao H, Wu X, Wang M, Wu Y, Di Y, Xu Z, Mao C, Xu S, Multifunctional
920 nanocomposites of superparamagnetic (Fe₃O₄) and NIR-responsive rare earth-doped up-conversion
921 fluorescent (NaYF₄ : Yb,Er) nanoparticles and their applications in biolabeling and fluorescent imaging
922 of cancer cells, *Nanoscale*, 2010; 2: 1141–1148.
- 923 95. Borgohain C, Senapati KK, Mishra D, Sarmac KC, Phukan P, A new CoFe₂O₄–Cr₂O₃–SiO₂
924 fluorescent magnetic nanocomposite, *Nanoscale*, 2010; 2: 2250–2256.
- 925 96. Wang Y, Cui H, Li K, Sun C, Du W, Cui J, Zhao X, Chen W, A Magnetic Nanoparticle-Based
926 Multiple-Gene Delivery System for Transfection of Porcine Kidney Cells, *Plos One*, 2014; 9: e102886.

- 927 97. Chen D, Jiang M, Li N, Gu H, Xu Q, Ge J, Xia X, Lu J, Modification of magnetic silica/iron oxide
928 nanocomposites with fluorescent polymethacrylic acid for cancer targeting and drug delivery, *J. Mater.*
929 *Chem.*, 2010; 20: 6422–6429.
- 930 98. Huang P, Li Z, Lin J, Yang D, Gao G, Xu C, Photosensitizer-conjugated magnetic nanoparticles
931 for in vivo simultaneous magnetofluorescent imaging and targeting therapy, *Biomaterials*, 2011; 32:
932 3447-3458.
- 933 99. Pfaff A, Schallon A, Ruhland TM, Majewski AP, Schmalz H, Freitag R, Muller AHE, Magnetic
934 and Fluorescent Glycopolymer Hybrid Nanoparticles for Intranuclear Optical Imaging,
935 *Biomacromolecules*, 2011; 12: 3805–3811.
- 936 100. Janczewski D, Zhang Y, Das GK, Yi DK, Padmanabhan P, Bhakoo KK, Tan TTY, Selvan ST,
937 Bimodal Magnetic–Fluorescent Probes for Bioimaging, *Microscopy Research and Technique*, 2011; 74:
938 563–576.
- 939 101. Wang H, Mu Q, Revia R., Wang K, Tian B, Lin G, Iron oxide-carbon core-shell nanoparticles for
940 dual-modal imaging-guided photothermal therapy, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 2018; 289: 70–78.
- 941 102. Badruddoza AZ, Rahman T, Ghosh S, Hossain Z, Shi J, Hidajat K, Uddin MS, β -Cyclodextrin
942 conjugated magnetic, fluorescent silica core–shell nanoparticles for biomedical applications,
943 *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 2013; 95: 449–457.
- 944 103. Yan K, Li H, Li P, Zhu H, Shen J, Yi C, Wu S, Yeung KWK, Xu Z, Xu H, Chu PK, Self-assembled
945 magnetic fluorescent polymeric micelles for magnetic resonance and optical imaging, *Biomaterials*, 2014;
946 35: 344-355.
- 947 104. McCarthy JE, Prina-Mello A, Rakovich T, Volkov Y, Gun'ko YK, Fabrication and
948 characterization of multimodal magnetic–fluorescent polystyrene nanowires as selective cell imaging
949 probes, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011; 21: 14219-14225.

- 950 105. Wate PS, Banerjee SS, Jalota-Badhwar A, Mascarenhas RR, Zope KR, Khandare J, Cellular
951 imaging using biocompatible dendrimer-functionalized graphene oxide-based fluorescent probe anchored
952 with magnetic nanoparticles, *Nanotechnology*, 2012; 23: 415101.
- 953 106. Zhou X, Chen L, Wang A, Ma Y, Zhang H, Zhu Y, Multifunctional fluorescent magnetic
954 nanoparticles for lung cancerstem cells research, *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 2015; 134: 431–
955 439.
- 956 107. Motlagh NSH, Parvin P, Ghasemi F, Atyabi F, Fluorescence properties of several chemotherapy
957 drugs: doxorubicin, paclitaxel and bleomycin, *Biomedical optics express*, 2016; 7: 262744
- 958 108. Li T, Wu X, Liu F, Li N, Analytical methods based on the light-scattering of plasmonic
959 nanoparticles at the single particle level with dark-field microscopy imaging, *Analyst*, 2017; 142: 248-
960 256.
- 961 109. Yoon GJ, Lee SY, Lee SB, Park GY, Choi JH, Synthesis of Iron Oxide/Gold Composite
962 Nanoparticles Using Polyethyleneimine as a Polymeric Active Stabilizer for Development of a Dual
963 Imaging Probe, *Nanomaterials*, 2018; 8: 300.
- 964 110. De Wilde Y, Lemoine PA, Review of NSOM Microscopy for Materials, *AIP Conference*
965 *Proceedings*, 2007; 931: 43-52.
- 966 111. Zhang Y, Yazdanpanah V, Yang M, Ozkan M, Ozkan CS, Normal and Cancer Breast Epithelial
967 Cells Endocytosis Study of Nanoparticles by Combined AFM and NSOM Microscopy, *Proceedings of*
968 *the 7th IEEE International Conference on Nanotechnology*, 2007; August 2 - 5, Hong Kong.
- 969 112. Zhang x, Gaber S, Gaber D, Raman Spectroscopy, Review, *International Journal of Engineering*
970 *and Technical Research (IJETR)*, 2016; 6: 2321-0869 (O) 2454-4698 (P).

- 971 113. Pang Y, Wang C, Wang J, Sun Z, Xiao R, Wang S, Fe₃O₄@Ag magnetic nanoparticles for
972 microRNA capture and duplex-specific nuclease signal amplification based SERS detection in cancer
973 cells, *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 2016; 79: 574–580.
- 974 114. Xue T, Wang S, Ou G, Li Y, Ruan H, Li Z, Ma Y, Zou R, Qiu J, Shen Z, Wu A, Detection of
975 circulating tumor cells based on improved SERS-active magnetic nanoparticles, *Anal. Methods*, 2019; 11:
976 2918-2928.
- 977 115. Zong S, Wang L, Chen C, Lu J, Zhu D, Zhang Y, Wang Z, Cui Y, Facile detection of tumor-
978 derived exosomes using magnetic nanobeads and SERS nanoprobe, *Anal. Methods*, 2016; 8: 5001-5008.
- 979 116. Combs CA, *Fluorescence Microscopy: A Concise Guide to Current Imaging Methods*, *Curr Protoc*
980 *Neurosci*, 2010; 79:2.1.1-2.1.25
- 981 117. Kim MY, Ahn JP, Han SY, Lee NS, Jeong YG, Kim DK, Highly Luminescent and Anti-
982 Photobleaching Core-Shell Structure of Mesoporous Silica and Phosphatidylcholine Modified
983 Superparamagnetic Iron Oxide Nanoparticles, *Nanomaterials* 2020; 10: 1312.
- 984 118. Zhang XF, Clime L, Ly HQ, Trudeau M, Veres T, Multifunctional Fe₃O₄-Au/Porous
985 Silica@Fluorescein Core/Shell Nanoparticles with Enhanced Fluorescence Quantum Yield, *J. Phys.*
986 *Chem. C* 2010; 114: 18313–18317.
- 987 119. Zhang P, Hu C, Ran W, Meng J, Yin Q, Li Y, Recent Progress in Light-Triggered
988 Nanotheranostics for Cancer Treatment, *Theranostics*, 2016; 6: 948-968.
- 989 120. Yoo MK, Kim IY, Kim EM, Jeong HJ, Lee, CM, Jeong, YY, Superparamagnetic Iron Oxide
990 Nanoparticles Coated with Galactose-Carrying Polymer for Hepatocyte Targeting, *Journal of*
991 *Biomedicine and Biotechnology*, 2007; Article ID 94740.

- 992 121. Huang B, Bates M, Zhuang X, Super resolution fluorescence microscopy, *Annu Rev Biochem.*
993 2009; 78: 993–1016.
- 994 122. Guggenheim EJ, Khan A, Pike J, Chang L, Lynch I, Rappoport JZ, Comparison of Confocal and
995 Super-Resolution Reflectance Imaging of Metal Oxide Nanoparticles, *PLoS ONE*; 11: e0159980.
- 996 123. Heger Z, Cernei N, Krizkova S, Masarik M, Kopel P, Hodek P, Zitka O, Adam V, Kizek R,
997 Paramagnetic Nanoparticles as a Platform for FRET-Based Sarcosine Picomolar Detection, *Scientific*
998 *Reports*, 2015, 5 : 8868.
- 999 124. Mao Y, Zhang Y, Hu W, Ye W, Carbon Dots-Modified Nanoporous Membrane and Fe₃O₄@Au
1000 Magnet Nanocomposites-Based FRET Assay for Ultrasensitive Histamine Detection, *Molecules*, 2019;
1001 24, 3039.
- 1002 125. Cheng Z, Dai Y, Kang X, Li C, Huang S, Lian H, Hou Z, Ma P, Lin J, Gelatin-encapsulated iron
1003 oxide nanoparticles for platinum (IV) prodrug delivery, enzyme-stimulated release and MRI,
1004 *Biomaterials*, 2014; 35: 6359-6368.
- 1005 126. Yang P, Xu QZ, Jin SY, Zhao Y, Lu Y, Xu XW, Yu SH, Synthesis of Fe₃O₄@Phenol
1006 Formaldehyde Resin Core–Shell Nanospheres Loaded with Au Nanoparticles as Magnetic FRET
1007 Nanoprobes for Detection of Thiols in Living Cells, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2012; 18: 1154–1160.
- 1008 127. Basuki JS, Duong HTT, Macmillan A, Erlich RB, Esser L, Akerfeldt, MC, Using Fluorescence
1009 Lifetime Imaging Microscopy to Monitor Theranostic Nanoparticle Uptake and Intracellular Doxorubicin
1010 Release, *ACS Nano*, 2013; 7: 10175–10189.
- 1011 128. Bothun GD, Preiss MR, Bilayer heating in magnetite nanoparticle–liposome dispersions via
1012 fluorescence anisotropy, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 2011; 357: 70–74.

- 1013 129. Lin FC, Zink JJ, Probing the Local Nanoscale Heating Mechanism of a Magnetic Core in
1014 Mesoporous Silica Drug-Delivery Nanoparticles Using Fluorescence Depolarization, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*
1015 2020; 142: 5212–5220.
- 1016 130. Kwon J, Jun SW, Choi SI, Mao X, Kim J, Koh EK, FeSe quantum dots for in vivo multiphoton
1017 biomedical imaging, *Sci. Adv.*, 2019; 5: eaay0044.
- 1018 131. Seemann KM, Kuhn B, Multi-photon excited luminescence of magnetic FePt core-shell
1019 nanoparticles, *Biomedical optics express*, 2014; 5: 210319.
- 1020 132. Liao MY, Wu CH, Lai PS, Yu J, Lin HP, Liu TM, Surface State Mediated NIR Two-Photon
1021 Fluorescence of Iron Oxides for Nonlinear Optical Microscopy, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2013; 23: 2044–2051.
- 1022 133. Zhang Y, Zhang B, Liu F, Luo J, Bai J, In vivo tomographic imaging with fluorescence and MRI
1023 using tumor-targeted dual-labeled nanoparticles, *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, 2014; 9: 33–41.
- 1024 134. Wang G, Zhang X, Liu Y, Hu Z, Mei X, Uvdal K, Magneto-fluorescent nanoparticles with
1025 highintensity NIR emission, T1- and T2-weighted MR for multimodal specific tumor imaging, *J. Mater.*
1026 *Chem. B*, 2015; 3: 3072-3080.
- 1027 135. Zhang L, Zhang L, Mason RP, Sarkaria JN, Zhao D, Convertible MRI contrast: Sensing the
1028 delivery and release of antiglioma nano-drugs, *Scientific Reports*, 2015; 5: 09874.
- 1029 136. Ma T, Hou Y, Zeng J, Liu C, Zhang P, Jing L, Shangguan D, Gao M, Dual-Ratiometric Target-
1030 Triggered Fluorescent Probe for Simultaneous Quantitative Visualization of Tumor Microenvironment
1031 Protease Activity and pH in Vivo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018; 140: 211–218.
- 1032 137. Domagalski JT, Xifre-Perez E, Tabrizi MA, Ferre-Borrull J, Marsal LF, Magnetic nanoparticle
1033 decorated anodic alumina nanotubes for fluorescent detection of cathepsin B, *Journal of Colloid and*
1034 *Interface Science*, 2021; 584: 236–245.

- 1035 138. Du J, Zhang Y, Jin Z, Wu H, Cang J, Shen Y, Miao F, Zhang A., Zhang A, Zhang J, Teng G,
1036 Targeted NIRF/MR dual-mode imaging of breast cancer brain metastasis using BRBP1-functionalized
1037 ultra-small iron oxide nanoparticles, *Materials Science & Engineering C*, 2020; 116: 111188.
- 1038 139. Esfandyari J, Shojaedin-Givi B, Hashemzadeh H, Mozafari-Nia M, Vaezi Z, Naderi-Manesh H,
1039 Capture and detection of rare cancer cells in blood by intrinsic fluorescence of a novel functionalized
1040 diatom, *Photodiagnosis and Photodynamic Therapy*, 2020; 30: 101753.
- 1041 140. Frtús A, Smolková B, Uzhytchak M, Lunova M, Jirsa M, Kubinová S, Dejneka A, Lunov O,
1042 Analyzing the mechanisms of iron oxide nanoparticles interactions with cells: A road from failure to
1043 success in clinical applications, *Journal of Controlled Release*, 2020; 328: 59–77.
- 1044 141. He S, Li J, Lyu Y, Huang J, Pu K, Near-Infrared Fluorescent Macromolecular Reporters for Real-
1045 Time Imaging and Urinalysis of Cancer Immunotherapy, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2020; 142: 7075–7082.
- 1046 142. Norouzi M, Yathindranath V, Thliveris JA, Kopec BM, Siahaan TJ, Miller DW,
1047 Doxorubicin-loaded iron oxide nanoparticles for glioblastoma therapy: a combinational approach for
1048 enhanced delivery of nanoparticles, *Scientific Reports*, 2020; 10: 11292.
- 1049 143. Reichel D, Sagong B, The J, Zhang Y, Wagner S, Wang H, Chung LWK, Butte P, Black KL, Yu
1050 JS, Perez JM, Near Infrared Fluorescent Nanoplatform for Targeted Intraoperative Resection and
1051 Chemotherapeutic Treatment of Glioblastoma, *ACS Nano* 2020; 14: 8392–8408.
- 1052 144. Shi Y, Wang J, Liu J, Lin G, Xie F, Pang X, Pei Y, Cheng Y, Zhang Y, Lin Z, Yin Z, Wang X,
1053 Niu G, Chen X, Liu G, Oxidative stress-driven DR5 upregulation restores TRAIL/Apo2L sensitivity
1054 induced by iron oxide nanoparticles in colorectal cancer, *Biomaterials* 2020; 233: 119753.
- 1055 145. Tam J, Pillozzi A, Mahmood U, Huang X, Simultaneous Monitoring of Multi-Enzyme Activity
1056 and Concentration in Tumor Using a Triply Labeled Fluorescent In Vivo Imaging Probe, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*
1057 2020; 21: 3068.

- 1058 146. Xu Y, Wu H, Huang J, Qian W, Martinson DE, Ji B, Li Y, Wang YA, Yang L, Mao H, Probing
1059 and Enhancing Ligand-Mediated Active Targeting of Tumors Using Sub-5 nm Ultrafine Iron Oxide
1060 Nanoparticles, *Theranostics* 2020; 10: 2479-2494.
- 1061 147. Yildirim T, Pervez M, Li B, O'Reilly RK, Size-controlled clustering of iron oxide nanoparticles
1062 within fluorescent nanogels using LCST-driven self-assembly, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2020; 8: 5330-5335.
- 1063 148. Arsalani S, Oliveira J, Guidelli EJ, Araujo JFDF, Wiekhorst F, Baffaa O, Synthesis of
1064 radioluminescent iron oxide nanoparticles functionalized by anthracene for biomedical applications,
1065 *Colloids and Surfaces A*, 2010; 602: 125105.
- 1066 149. Kima D, Lee YD, Jo S, Kim S, Lee TS, Detection and imaging of cathepsin L in cancer cells using
1067 the aggregation of conjugated polymer dots and magnetic nanoparticles, *Sensors & Actuators: B.*
1068 *Chemical*, 2020; 307: 127641.
- 1069 150. Pandey N, Menon JU, Takahashi M, Hsieh JT, Yang J, Nguyen KT, Wadajkar AS, Thermo-
1070 responsive Fluorescent Nanoparticles for Multimodal Imaging and Treatment of Cancers,
1071 *Nanotheranostics*, 2020; 4: 1-13.
- 1072 151. Zhi D, Yang T, Yang J, Fu S, Zhang S, Targeting strategies for superparamagnetic iron oxide
1073 nanoparticles in cancer therapy, *Acta Biomaterialia*, 2020; 102: 13–34.
- 1074 152. Fernández-Lázaro D, Hernández JLG, García AC, Del Castillo AC, Hueso MV, Cruz-Hernández
1075 JJ, Clinical Perspective and Translational Oncology of Liquid Biopsy, *Diagnostics*, 2020; 10: 443.
- 1076 153. Zhou B, Xu K, Zheng X, Chen T, Wang J, Song Y, Shao Y, Zheng S, Application of exosomes as
1077 liquid biopsy in clinical diagnosis, *Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy*, 2020; 5: 144.

- 1078 154. Chang ZM, Wang Z, Shao D, Yue J, Xing H, Li L, Ge M, Li M, Yan H, Hu H, Xu Q, Dong WF,
1079 Shape Engineering Boosts Magnetic Mesoporous Silica Nanoparticle-Based Isolation and Detection of
1080 Circulating Tumor Cells, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018; 10: 10656–10663.
- 1081 155. Brancalion L, Durkin AJ, Tu JH, Menaker G, Fallon JD, Kollias N, *In vivo Fluorescence*
1082 *Spectroscopy of Nonmelanoma Skin Cancer*, *Photochemistry and Photobiology*, 2001; 73: 178–183.
- 1083 156. Ahmed S, Galle PR, Neumann H, *Molecular endoscopic imaging: the future is bright*, *The Adv*
1084 *Gastrointest Endosc*, 2019; 12: 1–15.
- 1085 157. Dai X, Qian W, Yang H, Yang L, Jiang H, *Targeted Molecular Imaging of Pancreatic Cancer with*
1086 *a Miniature Endoscope*, *Appl. Sci.*, 2017; 7: 1241.
- 1087 158. Yao K, *The endoscopic diagnosis of early gastric cancer*, *Annals of Gastroenterology*, 2013; 26:
1088 11-22.
- 1089 159. Evans JA, Early DS, Chandraskhara V, Chathadi KV, Fanelli RD, Fisher DA, Foley KQ, Hwang
1090 JH, Jue TL, Pasha SF, Sharaf R, Shergill AK, Dominitz JA, Cash BD, *The role of endoscopy in the*
1091 *assessment and treatment of esophageal cancer*, *Gastrointestinal endoscopy*, 2013; 77: 328-334.
- 1092 160. Aslim EJ, Lee FJ, Gan VHL, *The Utility of Intraoperative Near Infrared Fluorescence (NIR)*
1093 *Imaging with Indocyanine Green (ICG) for the Assessment of Kidney Allograft Perfusion*, *Journal of*
1094 *Transplantation*, 2018; Article ID 6703056.
- 1095 161. Augurio A, Cortelletti P, Tognato R, Rios A, Levato R, Malda J, Alini M, Eglin D, Giancane G,
1096 Speghini,A, Serra T, *A Multifunctional Nanocomposite Hydrogel for Endoscopic Tracking and*
1097 *Manipulation*, *Adv. Intell. Syst.*, 2020; 2: 1900105.
- 1098 162. Bae SM, Bae DJ, Do EJ, Oh G, Yoo SW, Lee GJ, *Multi-Spectral Fluorescence Imaging of Colon*
1099 *Dysplasia In Vivo Using a Multi-Spectral Endoscopy System*, *Translation Oncology*, 2019; 12: 226-235.

- 1100 163. Corem-Salkmon E, Perlstein B, Margel S, Design of near-infrared fluorescent bioactive
1101 conjugated functional iron oxide nanoparticles for optical detection of colon cancer, *International Journal*
1102 *of Nanomedicine*, 2012; 7: 5517–5527.
- 1103 164. Kalyane D, Raval N, Maheshwari R, Tambe V, Kalia K, Tekade RK, Employment of enhanced
1104 permeability and retention effect (EPR): Nanoparticle-based precision tools for targeting of therapeutic
1105 and diagnostic agent in cancer, *Materials Science & Engineering C*, 2019; 98: 1252–1276.
- 1106 165. Liu X, Chen Q, Yang G, Zhang L, Liu Z, Cheng Z, Zhu X, Magnetic nanomaterials with near-
1107 infrared pH activatable fluorescence via iron-catalyzed AGET ATRP for tumor acidic microenvironment
1108 imaging, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2015; 3: 2786-2800.
- 1109 166. Park J, Kadasala NR, Abouelmagd SA, Castanares MA, Collins DS, Wei A, Polymer–iron oxide
1110 composite nanoparticles for EPR-independent drug delivery, *Biomaterials*, 2016; 101: 285–295.
- 1111 167. Han C, Zhang A, Kong Y, Yu N, Xie T, Dou B, Li K, Wang Y, Li J, Xu K, Multifunctional iron
1112 oxide-carbon hybrid nanoparticles for targeted fluorescent/MR dual-modal imaging and detection of
1113 breast cancer cells, *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 2019; 1067: 115-128.
- 1114 168. Landmark KJ, DiMaggio S, Ward J, Kelly C, Vogt S, Hong S, Kotlyar A, Myc A, Thomas TP,
1115 Penner-Hahn JE, Baker JR, Holl MMB, Orr BG, Synthesis, Characterization, and in Vitro Testing of
1116 Superparamagnetic Iron Oxide Nanoparticles Targeted Using Folic Acid-Conjugated Dendrimers, *ACS*
1117 *Nano*, 2008; 2: 773–783.
- 1118 169. Peng XH, Qian X, Mao H, Wang AY, Chen Z, Nie S, Shin DM, Targeted magnetic iron oxide
1119 nanoparticles for tumor imaging and therapy, *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, 2008; 3: 311–321.
- 1120 170. Xia H, Tong R, Song Y, Xiong F, Li J, Wang S, Fu H, Wen J, Li D, Zeng Y, Zhao Z, Wu J,
1121 Synthesis and bio applications of targeted magnetic-fluorescent composite nanoparticles, *J Nanopart Res*,
1122 2017; 19:149.

- 1123 171. Ikeda H, Ishii A, Sano K, Chihara H, Arai D, Abekura Y, Activatable Fluorescence Imaging of
1124 Macrophages in Cerebral Aneurysms Using Iron Oxide Nanoparticles Conjugated With Indocyanine
1125 Green, *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 2020; 14, Article 370.
- 1126 172. Zhang L, Wang T, Li L, Wang C, Su Z, Li J, Multifunctional fluorescent-magnetic
1127 polyethyleneimine functionalized Fe₃O₄-mesoporous silica yolk-shell nanocapsules for siRNA delivery.
1128 *Chem. Commun.* 2012; 48: 8706-8708.
- 1129 173. Vahrmeijer AL, Hutteman M, Van der Vorst JR, Van de Velde CJH, Frangioni JV, Image-guided
1130 cancer surgery using near-infrared fluorescence, *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.*, 2013; 10: 507-518.
- 1131 174. Guo L, Zhang X, Wei R, Li G, Sun B, Zhang H, Liu D, Wang C, Feng M, Engineering microglia
1132 as intraoperative optical imaging agent vehicles potentially for fluorescence-guided surgery in gliomas,
1133 *Biomater. Sci.*, 2020; 8: 1117-1126.
- 1134 175. Mangeolle T, Yakavets Y, Marchal S, Debayle M, Pons T, Bezdetnaya L, Marchal F, Fluorescent
1135 Nanoparticles for the Guided Surgery of Ovarian Peritoneal Carcinomatosis, *Nanomaterials*, 2018; 8: 572.
- 1136 176. Bhattarai P, Hameed S, Dai Z, Recent advances in anti-angiogenic nanomedicines for cancer
1137 therapy, *Nanoscale*, 2018; 10: 5393.
- 1138 177. Lee S, Thomas RG, Moon MJ, Park HJ, Park IK, Lee BI, Near-Infrared Heptamethine Cyanine
1139 Based Iron Oxide Nanoparticles for Tumor Targeted Multimodal Imaging and Photothermal Therapy,
1140 *Scientific Reports*, 2018; 7.
- 1141 178. Song S, Shen H, Yang T, Wang L, Fu H, Chen H, Indocyanine Green Loaded Magnetic Carbon
1142 Nanoparticles for Near Infrared Fluorescence/Magnetic Resonance Dual-Modal Imaging and
1143 Photothermal Therapy of Tumor, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2017; 9: 9484-9495.

- 1144 179. Li L, Nurunnabi M, Nafiujjaman M, Jeong YY, Lee YK, Huh KM, A photosensitizer-conjugated
1145 magnetic iron oxide/gold hybrid nanoparticle as an activatable platform for photodynamic cancer therapy,
1146 *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2014; 2: 2929-2937.
- 1147 180. Van Straten D, Mashayekhi V, De Bruijn HS, Oliveira S, Robinson DJ, Oncologic Photodynamic
1148 Therapy: Basic Principles, Current Clinical Status and Future Directions, *Cancers*, 2017; 9: 19.
- 1149 181. Amirshaghghi A, Yan L, Miller J, Daniel Y, Stein JM, Busch TM, Cheng Z, Tsourkas A, Chlorin
1150 e6-Coated Superparamagnetic Iron Oxide Nanoparticle (SPION) Nanoclusters as a Theranostic Agent for
1151 Dual-Mode Imaging and Photodynamic Therapy, *Scientific Reports*, 2019; 9: 2613.
- 1152 182. Yin T, Zhang Q, Wu H, Gao G, Shapter JG, Shen Y, He Q, Huang P, Qi W, Cui D, In vivo high-
1153 efficiency targeted photodynamic therapy of ultra-small Fe₃O₄@polymer-NPO/PEG-Glc@Ce6
1154 nanoprobe based on small size effect, *NPG Asia Materials*, 2017; 9: e383.
- 1155 183. Mandawala C, Chebbi I, Durand-Dubief M, Le Fèvre R, Hamdous Y, Guyot F, Alphanbéry E,
1156 Biocompatible and stable magnetosome minerals coated with poly-L-lysine, citric acid, oleic acid, and
1157 carboxy-methyl-dextran for application in the magnetic hyperthermia treatment of tumors, *J. Mater.*
1158 *Chem. B*, 2017; 5: 7644-7660.
- 1159 184. Hamdous Y, Chebbi I, Mandawala C, Le Fèvre R, Guyot F, Seksek O, Alphanbéry E,
1160 Biocompatible coated magnetosome minerals with various organization and cellular interaction properties
1161 induce cytotoxicity towards RG-2 and GL-261 glioma cells in the presence of an alternating magnetic
1162 field, *J Nanobiotechnol*, 2017; 15: 74.
- 1163 185. Alphanbéry E, A discussion on existing nanomedicine regulation: Progress and pitfalls, *Applied*
1164 *Materials Today*, 2019; 17: 193–205.
- 1165 186. Alphanbéry E, Applications of magnetotactic bacteria and magnetosome for cancer treatment: A
1166 review emphasizing on practical and mechanistic aspects, *Drug Discovery Today*, 2020; 25: 1444-1452.

- 1167 187. Kaewsaneha C, Bitar A, Tangboriboonrat P, Polpanich D, Elaissari A, Fluorescent-magnetic Janus
1168 particles prepared via seed emulsion polymerization, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 424; 98–
1169 103 (2014).
- 1170 188. Lee JY, Chen KJ, Noh SH, Garcia MA, Wang H, Lin WY, Jeong H, Kong BJ, Stout DB, Cheon
1171 J, Tseng HR, On-Demand Drug Release System for In Vivo Cancer Treatment through Self-Assembled
1172 Magnetic Nanoparticles, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013; 52: 4384–4388.
- 1173 189. Li L, Liu C, Zhang L, Wang T, Yu H, Wang C, Multifunctional magnetic–fluorescent eccentric-
1174 (concentric-Fe₃O₄@SiO₂)@polyacrylic acid core–shell nanocomposites for cell imaging and pH-
1175 responsive drug delivery, *Nanoscale*, 2013; 5: 2249-2253.
- 1176 190. Liu L, Xiao L, Zhu HY, Preparation and characterization of CS Fe₃O₄@ZnS:Mn magnetic-
1177 fluorescent nanoparticles in aqueous media, *Chemical Physics Letters*, 2012; 539–540: 112–117.
- 1178 191. Lu Y, Zheng Y, You S, Wang F, Gao Z, Shen J, Li J, Yang W, Yin M, Bifunctional Magnetic-
1179 Fluorescent Nanoparticles: Synthesis, Characterization, and Cell Imaging, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*,
1180 2015; 7: 5226–5232.
- 1181 192. Lu Y, He B, Shen J, Li J, Yang W, Yin M, Multifunctional magnetic and fluorescent core–shell
1182 nanoparticles for bioimaging, *Nanoscale*, 2015; 7: 1606-1609.
- 1183 193. Mohapatra S, Rout SR, Das RK, Nayak S, Ghosh SK, Highly Hydrophilic Luminescent Magnetic
1184 Mesoporous Carbon Nanospheres for Controlled Release of Anticancer Drug and Multimodal Imaging,
1185 *Langmuir*, 2016; 32: 1611–1620.
- 1186 194. Wang W, Zou M, Chen K, Novel Fe₃O₄@YPO₄ :Re (Re = Tb, Eu) multifunctional magnetic–
1187 fluorescent hybrid spheres for biomedical applications, *Chem. Commun*, 2010; 46: 5100–5102.

- 1188 195. Wang X, He F, Tang F, Ma N, Li L, Preparation of hybrid fluorescent–magnetic nanoparticles for
1189 application to cellular imaging by self-assembly, *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects*,
1190 2011; 392: 103– 109.
- 1191 196. Wang F, Chen X, Zhao Z, Tang S, Huang X, Lin C, Synthesis of magnetic, fluorescent and
1192 mesoporous core-shell-structured nanoparticles for imaging, targeting and photodynamic therapy, *J.*
1193 *Mater. Chem.*, 2011, 21, 11244-11252.
- 1194 197. Wang H, Shen J, Li Y, Wei Z, Cao G, Gai Z, Magnetic iron oxide–fluorescent carbon dots
1195 integrated nanoparticles for dual-modal imaging, near-infrared light-responsive drug carrier and
1196 photothermal therapy, *Biomater. Sci.*, 2014; 2: 915-923.
- 1197 198. Wang S, Yang W, Du H, Guo F, Wang H, Chang J, Multifunctional reduction-responsive
1198 SPIO&DOX-loaded PEGylated polymeric lipid vesicles for magnetic resonance imaging-guided drug
1199 delivery, *Nanotechnology*, 2016; 27: 165101.
- 1200 199. Xi P, Cheng K, Sun X, Zeng Z, Sun S, Fluorescent magnetic nanoparticles based on a ruthenium
1201 complex and Fe₃O₄, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011; 21: 11464–11467.
- 1202 200. Xi P, Cheng K, Sun X, Zeng Z, Sun S, Magnetic Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles coupled with a fluorescent
1203 Eu complex for dual imaging applications, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012; 48: 2952–2954.
- 1204 201. Xu H, Cheng L, Wang C, Ma X, Li Y, Liu Z, Polymer encapsulated up conversion
1205 nanoparticle/iron oxide nanocomposites for multimodal imaging and magnetic targeted drug delivery,
1206 *Biomaterials*, 2011; 32: 9364-9373.
- 1207 202. Yao Q, Zheng Y, Cheng W, Chen M, Shen J, Yin M, Difunctional fluorescent HSA modified
1208 CoFe₂O₄ magnetic nanoparticles for cell imaging, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2016, 4, 6344-6349.

1209 203. Yen SK, Janczewski D, Lakshmi JL, Dolmanan SB, Tripathy S, Ho VHB, Design and Synthesis
1210 of Polymer-Functionalized NIR Fluorescent Dyes Magnetic Nanoparticles for Bioimaging, ACS Nano
1211 2013; 7: 6796–6805.

1212 204. Zhu H, Tao J, Wang W, Zhou Y, Li P, Li Z, Magnetic, fluorescent, and thermo-responsive
1213 Fe₃O₄/rare earth incorporated poly(St-NIPAM) core-shell colloidal nanoparticles in multimodal
1214 optical/magnetic resonance imaging probes, Biomaterials, 2013; 34: 2296-2306.

1215

1216

1217