

# Development and clinical validation of a simple and fast UPLC-ESI-MS/MS method for simultaneous quantification of nine kinase inhibitors and two antiandrogen drugs in human plasma: interest for their therapeutic drug monitoring

Benoit Llopis, Pascal Robidou, Nadine Tissot, Bruno Pinna, Paul Gougis, Fleur Cohen Aubart, Luca Campedel, Baptiste Abbar, Damien Roos-Weil, Madalina Uzunov, et al.

## ▶ To cite this version:

Benoit Llopis, Pascal Robidou, Nadine Tissot, Bruno Pinna, Paul Gougis, et al.. Development and clinical validation of a simple and fast UPLC-ESI-MS/MS method for simultaneous quantification of nine kinase inhibitors and two antiandrogen drugs in human plasma: interest for their therapeutic drug monitoring. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis, 2021, 197, pp.113968. 10.1016/j.jpba.2021.113968. hal-03181430

## HAL Id: hal-03181430 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03181430v1

Submitted on 25 Mar 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1 Development and clinical validation of a simple and fast UPLC-ESI-MS/MS method for 2 simultaneous quantification of nine kinase inhibitors and two antiandrogen drugs in

3 human plasma: interest for their therapeutic drug monitoring.

4

- 5 Benoit Llopis 1, Pascal Robidou 1, Nadine Tissot 1, Bruno Pinna 1, Paul Gougis 1,2, Fleur
- 6 Cohen Aubart 3, Luca Campedel 2, Baptiste Abbar 1, Damien Roos Weil 4, Madalina
- 7 Uzunov 4, Joseph Gligorov 5, Joe-Elie Salem 1, Christian Funck-Brentano 1, Noël Zahr 1
- 8
- 9 1. AP-HP.Sorbonne Université, Department of Pharmacology and Clinical Investigation
- 10 Center (CIC-1901), Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital; INSERM, CIC-1901 and UMR-S 1166,
- 11 Sorbonne Université, Faculty of Medicine Sorbonne Université, Faculty of Medicine, Paris,
- 12 France.
- 13 2. AP-HP Sorbonne Université, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, institut universitaire de
- 14 cancérologie, département d'oncologie médicale, CLIP2 Galilée Paris, France
- 15 3. AP-HP Sorbonne Université, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Service de Médecine Interne 2,
- 16 Centre National de Référence Maladies Systémiques Rares et Histiocytoses, Paris, France
- 4. AP-HP Sorbonne Université, Service d'Hématologie Clinique, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital,
   Paris, France.
- 19 5. Institut Universitaire de Cancérologie. AP-HP Sorbonne Université, INSERM U-938,
- 20 CLIP(2) Galilée, Tenon Hospital, Medical Oncology Department, Paris, France.
- 21
- 22 Corresponding Author
- 23 Dr Noël Zahr,
- 24 Service de Pharmacologie
- 25 Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, APHP, 75013 Paris, France
- 26 Tel: + 33 1 42 16 20 15, Fax: +33 1 42 16 20 46
- 27 Email: <u>noel.zahr@aphp.fr</u>

- 29
- 30
- 31

#### 32 Abstract

Kinase inhibitors (KIs) and antiandrogen drugs (AAs) are oral anticancer drugs with narrow 33 therapeutic index that exhibit high inter- and intra-individual variability. We describe here a 34 UPLC-MS/MS method for the simultaneous quantification of nine KIs: cobimetinib, 35 dasatinib, ibrutinib, imatinib, nilotinib, palbociclib, ruxolitinib, sorafenib and vemurafenib; 36 37 two active metabolites of them: N-desmethyl imatinib, N-oxide sorafenib; and two AAs: abiraterone and enzalutamide; with short pre-treatment and run time in order to be easily used 38 in clinical practice for their therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) and facilitating 39 pharmacokinetics and pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics studies. Plasma samples were 40 prepared by a single-step protein precipitation. Analytes were separated on a Waters Acquity 41 UPLC® T3 HSS C18 column by non-linear gradient elution; with subsequent detection by 42 Xevo<sup>®</sup> TQD triple quadrupole tandem mass spectrometer in a positive ionization mode. 43 Analysis time was 2.8 minutes per run, and all analytes eluted within 1.46-1.97 minutes. The 44 45 analytical performance of the method in terms of specificity, sensitivity, linearity, precision, accuracy, matrix effect, extraction recovery, limit of quantification, dilution integrity and 46 stability of analytes under different conditions met all criteria for a bioanalytical method for 47 the quantification of drugs. The calibration curves were linear over the range of 1-500 ng/mL 48 for abiraterone, dasatinib and ibrutinib; 5-500 ng/mL for cobimetinib and palbociclib; 10-49 5,000 ng/mL for imatinib, N-desmethyl imatinib, nilotinib, sorafenib, N-oxide sorafenib and 50 ruxolitinib; 100-50,000 ng/mL for enzalutamide and 100-100,000 ng/mL for vemurafenib 51 with coefficient of correlation above 0.995 for all analytes. This novel method was 52 successfully applied to TDM in clinical practice. 53

54

<u>Keywords:</u> liquid chromatography, mass spectrometry, therapeutic drug monitoring, kinase
inhibitors, antiandrogens, oral targeted therapies.

#### 57 **1. Introduction**

58 Kinase inhibitors (KIs) such as: cobimetinib, dasatinib, ibrutinib, imatinib, nilotinib, 59 palbociclib, ruxolitinib, sorafenib and vemurafenib; and antiandrogen drugs (AAs) such as: 60 abiraterone acetate and enzalutamide; both belonging to the class of oral targeted therapies 61 characterized by high specificity for single or multiple key biological pathways responsible or 62 implicated in the cancer process.

63 KIs target molecular aberrations of cancer cells by blocking intracellular signals driving proliferation in malignant cells [1]. They have an important activity on many types of kinases 64 (tyrosine or serine/threonine) involved in tumour growth, angiogenesis, and metastatic 65 66 progression of cancer [2]. KIs, analysed in this study, are used for treating various haematological malignancies: dasatinib, ibrutinib, imatinib, nilotinib; and solid tumours 67 including gastrointestinal stromal tumours: imatinib; advanced renal cell carcinoma: 68 69 sorafenib; breast cancer: palpociclib; hepatocellular carcinoma: sorafenib; melanoma and erdheim-chester disease: vemurafenib and cobimetinib [3]. Furthermore, ruxolitinib have been 70 71 approved for use in the treatment of myelofibrosis and graft versus host disease.

Abiraterone acetate and enzalutamide are both oral antiandrogen drugs approved for treatment
of metastatic prostate cancer. Both drugs inhibit tumour growth effects of androgens.
Abiraterone inhibits the production of adrenal androgens, whereas enzalutamide functions as
an androgen receptor signalling inhibitor [4].

Oral administration of these drugs is associated with a better quality of life but patients prescribed oral therapies struggle with adherence [3]. Moreover, these molecules display large pharmacokinetics (PK) variability. Indeed, they are metabolized mostly by cytochromes P450 3A4 [5], whose activity is known to present a large inter-individual variability and to be influenced by environmental factors such as food or drug-induced interactions [6]. Likewise, inherent factors such as age, gender, medical conditions or genetics contribute to this variability [3]. A given dose can therefore yield very different exposure levels, favouring the
selection of resistant cellular clones in case of sub-therapeutic drug exposure or increasing the
risk of adverse reactions at excessive plasma levels. Targets, cancers indication and potential
effect of food and drug-drug interaction in their PK are summarized in Table 1 for each drug
analysed in this study.

In parallel with this PK variability, some of these drugs display an exposure-response relationship [7]. For example; sorafenib, palbociclib and imatinib show an exposure-toxicity relationship [8–10]. Similarly; abiraterone, enzalutamide, vemurafenib and dasatinib show an exposure-efficacy relationship [11–13]. Furthermore, cardiovascular toxicities associated with most of these latter drugs have an exposure-toxicity relationship yet to be explored [14–17].

The poor adherence, the PK variability and the pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics (PK/PD) relationship of these molecules suggest the potential interest of their therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) [3]. It has been established that the therapeutic use of targeted anticancer drugs could be optimized by an individualization of their dosage, based on plasma concentrations measurement. Target concentrations as well as efficacy and/or toxicity thresholds have been proposed for some molecules although there is currently no consensus [7].

99 We describe here a rapid, selective, sensitive and simple UPLC-MS/MS method for the 100 simultaneous analysis, in small volume of plasma, of nine KIs: cobimetinib, dasatinib, 101 ibrutinib, imatinib, nilotinib, palbociclib, ruxolitinib, sorafenib and vemurafenib; two of their 102 active metabolites: N-desmethyl imatinib, N-oxide sorafenib; and two AAs: abiraterone and 103 enzalutamide to enable their TDM and support PK studies and research protocols for 104 molecule for which PK/PD relationship needs to be characterized.

105

107 **2.** Materials and methods

108

#### 109 2.1. Chemical and reagents

110 Abiraterone (ABIRA), enzalutamide (ENZA), ibrutinib (IBRU), imatinib (IMA), nilotinib (NILO), N-oxide sorafenib (NO-SORA), palbociclib (PALBO), ruxolitinib (RUXO), 111 sorafenib (SORA), [<sup>2</sup>H<sub>4</sub>]-abiraterone (d4-ABIRA), [<sup>13</sup>C<sub>6</sub>]-cobimetinib (<sup>13</sup>C<sub>6</sub>-COBI), [<sup>2</sup>H<sub>5</sub>]-112 ibrutinib (d5-IBRU), [<sup>2</sup>H<sub>8</sub>]-imatinib (d8-IMA), [<sup>13</sup>C, <sup>2</sup>H<sub>3</sub>]-nilotinib (d3-NILO), [<sup>2</sup>H<sub>3</sub>]-N-oxide 113 sorafenib (d3-NO-SORA), [<sup>2</sup>H<sub>8</sub>]-palbociclib (d8-PALBO), [<sup>2</sup>H<sub>9</sub>]-ruxolitinib (d9-RUXO) and 114 [<sup>13</sup>C,<sup>2</sup>H<sub>3</sub>]-sorafenib (d3-SORA) were purchased from Alsachim<sup>®</sup> (Illkrich, France) while 115 cobimetinib (COBI), dasatinib (DASA), N-desmethyl imatinib (DM-IMA), vemurafenib 116 (VEMU),  $[^{2}H_{8}]$ -dasatinib (d8-DASA) and  $[^{2}H_{6}]$ -vemurafenib (d6-VEMU) were purchased 117 from LGC<sup>®</sup> (Augsburg, Germany). The chemical structures of analytes (except metabolites) 118 are shown in Figure 1. Methanol and dimethylsulfoxyde (DMSO) were obtained from Merck® 119 (Darmstadt, Germany). Formic acid and ammonium acetate were obtained from Sigma-120 Aldrich<sup>®</sup> (Munich, Germany). Zinc sulphate heptahydrate (ZnSO<sub>4</sub>\*7H<sub>2</sub>O) was obtained from 121 VWR® (Fontenay-sous-Bois, France). All reagents used were of the highest available 122 123 analytical grades. Liquid chromatography-MS/MS grade water was purchased from a water distribution hypergrade system Purelab Flex<sup>®</sup> (ELGA<sup>®</sup>), and drug-free plasma (blank plasma) 124 from healthy donors was supplied by the French Blood Establishment (Paris, France). 125

126 2.2. Preparation of stock solutions, standards and quality control samples

127 Individual stock solutions of each analyte were prepared at 1 mg/mL. Stock solutions of 128 ABIRA, COBI, DASA, ENZA, IBRU, IMA, DM-IMA, NILO, NO-SORA, RUXO, SORA 129 and VEMU were prepared in DMSO, while stock solution of PALBO was prepared in 130 hydrochloric acid 0.1M. Working solutions, obtained by diluting the stock solutions with 131 methanol, were prepared for each analyte. Calibration standard and quality control (QC)

samples were prepared in blank human plasma by spiking with an appropriate volume of each 132 working solutions. The ranges of the different analytes covered in the current method are: 1-133 500 ng/mL (1-5-10-50-100-250-500) for ABIRA, DASA and IBRU; 5-500 ng/mL (5-10-50-134 100-250-500) for COBI and PALBO; 10-5,000 ng/mL (10-50-100-500-1,000-2,500-5,000) 135 for IMA, DM-IMA, NILO, NO-SORA, SORA and RUXO; 100-50,000 ng/mL (100-500-136 2,000-10,000-20,000-50,000) for ENZA and 100-100,000 ng/mL (100-500-2,000-10,000-137 20,000-50,000-100,000) for VEMU. The QC samples were tested at four different 138 concentrations: high QC (HQC: 80% of upper limit of quantification), medium QC (MQC: 139 50% of selected range), low QC (LQC: 2-10 times the LLOQ) and QC at LLOQ. Individual 140 141 stock solutions of each isotopic internal standard (IS) were prepared in adequate solvent at 1 mg/mL. A solution of mix of each IS (ISmix) at 15 ng/mL for d4-ABIRA, d5-IBRU, d6-142 PALBO, d8-DASA and <sup>13</sup>C<sub>6</sub>-COBI; 150 ng/mL for d5-SORA, d3-NILO, d3-NO-SORA, d6-143 144 RUXO and d8-IMA; and 1,500 ng/mL for d6-ENZA and d6-VEMU was prepared in methanol. As DM-IMA and IMA display very close chemical structures and a similar 145 146 chromatographic behaviour, d8-IMA was used as IS for both compounds. All stock solutions, working solutions, calibration standards, ISmix and QC samples were stored at -20°C. 147

148

#### 149 2.3. Instruments and analytical conditions

150 Chromatography was performed on an Acquity UPLC<sup>®</sup> system (WATERS<sup>®</sup>, Milford, 151 Massachusetts, United States) with an autosampler temperature at 10°C. Acquity UPLC<sup>®</sup> T3 152 HSS C18 analytical column (2.1 x 100 mm, 1.8  $\mu$ m particle size) was used for 153 chromatographic separation and column temperature was maintained at 45°C. The mobile 154 phase had a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min with a non-linear gradient elution and the run time 155 analysis was set at 2.8 min. The UPLC system was coupled to a triple quadrupole mass 156 spectrometer: Xevo<sup>®</sup> TQD (WATERS<sup>®</sup>, Milford, Massachusetts, United States). Quantifications were achieved in Multiple Reactions Monitoring (MRM) mode and electrospray ionization was operated in positive mode (ESI+) for each analyte. The source temperature and the desolvation temperature were set at 150°C and 380°C, respectively, with a desolvation gas flow of 800 L/h and a cone gas flow of 30 L/h. The capillary voltage was set at 3.0 kV. Argon was used as collision gas with a flow set at 0.22 mL/min. Chromatographic data acquisition; peak integration and quantification were performed using MassLynx<sup>®</sup> 4.2 software.

164 2.4. Samples pre-treatment

Sample preparation was performed by single-step protein precipitation: 100 µL of aqueous 165 ZnSO<sub>4</sub>\*7H<sub>2</sub>O (10%, w/v; pH 5.40) and 200 µL of ISmix were added to 50 µL of human 166 plasma, calibrator or QC samples. The mixture was vortexed for 1 min using a MixMate® 167 Vortex Mixer (Eppendorf<sup>®</sup>, Sydney, Australia) and centrifuged for 10 min at 18,900 g using a 168 Heraeus Biofuge Primo<sup>®</sup> centrifuge (Thermo Fisher Scientific<sup>®</sup>, Massachusetts, United 169 States). Finally, the supernatant was transferred to a Waters Acquity<sup>®</sup> autosampler vial and 10 170 µL were injected into the LC-MS/MS system using a temperature-controlled autosampler 171 device at 10°C. 172

173 2.5. Method validation

The validation was performed according to European Medicines Agency (EMA) guidelines and US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) guidelines for the validation of bioanalytical methods. Parameters included were selectivity, carry-over, linearity, accuracy and precision, lower limit of quantification, matrix effect, extraction recovery, stability in human plasma and dilution integrity.

179 2.5.1. Selectivity

180 Six different sources of plasma samples were tested. A selective method should not have

interference of more than 20% of the lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) of the analyte.

182

183	2.5.2.	Carry-over

As our method is designed to measure very low and very high concentrations simultaneously, a carry-over test was performed. Carry-over was assessed by injecting blank samples after a high concentration calibrator. Carry-over in the blank sample following the high concentration calibrator should not be greater than 20% of the LLOQ of the analyte.

188 2.5.3. Linearity

Calibration curves were acquired by plotting the peak area ratio of the concentration of each analyte standard to the area of their respective isotopic IS (except for DM-IMA analyzed with d8-IMA) over the range from 1-500 ng/mL for ABIRA, DASA and IBRU; 5-500 ng/mL for COBI and PALBO; 10-5,000 ng/mL for IMA, DM-IMA, NILO, NO-SORA, RUXO and SORA; 100-50,000 ng/mL for ENZA and 100-100,000 ng/mL for VEMU. Each curve was assayed by least square weighted (1/x). Linearity was defined by a coefficient of correlation r  $\geq 0.995$ .

196 2.5.4. Precision and accuracy

197 The intra-day precision and accuracy were evaluated using 6 different replicates, extracted in

198 the same day, of QC samples at the four concentrations (LLOQ, LQC, MQC and HQC).

The inter-day precision and accuracy were determined by extracting each QC sample (LLOQ, LQC, MQC and HQC) 6 times a day over 3 different days (n = 18 replicates). The concentration of each QC levels was determined using calibration standards prepared on the same day. The precision was calculated as the coefficient of variation (CV, %) within a single run (intra-day assay) and between different runs (inter-day assay), and the accuracy as the percentage ratio of the measured and nominal concentration (mean of measured/nominal x

- 205 100). The acceptance limits were CV<15% for precision and within ± 15% of the nominal</li>
  206 concentration for accuracy (range from 85-115%).
- 207
- 208
- 2.5.5. Lower limit of quantification

The lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) for analytes in human plasma samples was defined as the lowest concentration detectable with a signal-to-noise ratio of at least 10, CV<20% and accuracy of 80-120%. For each analyte, the LLOQ was selected as the lower concentration covered by the selected range.

- 213
- 214 2.5.6. Matrix effect and extraction recovery

Matrix effect (ME) and extraction recovery (ER) were assessed at three QC levels (LQC, 215 MQC and HQC) in quintuplicate (with five different sources of plasma) for each analytes. 216 217 The approach involves determination of ratio of peak areas of analyte in three different sets, one consisting of analyte standards in methanol (set A), one prepared in blank matrix extracts 218 219 and spiked after extraction (set B), and one prepared in blank matrix from the same sources 220 but spiked before extraction (set C). ME and ER were calculated by the following equations: ME (%) = B/A\*100 and ER (%) = C/B\*100. A value above or below 100% for the ME 221 indicates an ionization enhancement or suppression, respectively. ME was considered 222 negligible for a ratio ranging from 85-115% and CV<15%; ER ranging from 85-115% and 223 CV<15% showing good efficiency of the method. 224

225 2.5.7. Stability

The stability of the analytes in plasma was tested by comparing accuracy and precision of three QC levels (LQC, MQC and HQC) kept under different storage conditions using freshly prepared calibrators. The stability of analytes was tested immediately after samples preparation (baseline) and after four conditions: short-term storage at 25°C (72h), short-term

storage at 4°C (1 week), long-term storage at -20°C (8 weeks) and after three cycles of freeze 230 and thaw. In this later condition, samples stored for a minimum of 12h at -20°C, were kept at 231 room temperature for at least 30min followed by freezing in -20°C for a minimum of 12h. 232 233 The concentrations obtained after these different storage conditions were compared with the baseline concentration of each QC levels. All stability tests were done in quintuplicate per QC 234 level. For each analytes, it was considered to be stable in plasma when measured 235 236 concentration within  $\pm$  15% of the baseline concentration. Stability of extracts kept onboard the autosampler at 10°C during 48h was also tested. 237

238 2.5.8. Dilution integrity

The dilution integrity was examined to ascertain that an unknown sample with concentration 239 exceeding the upper limit of compounds calibration range, could be diluted with blank matrix 240 241 without influencing the accuracy and precision of the measurement. To achieve this, a sample was prepared at higher concentration (1,000 ng/mL for ABIRA, COBI, DASA, PALBO and 242 IBRU; and 10,000 ng/mL for IMA, DM-IMA, NILO, NO-SORA, SORA and RUXO) 243 followed by dilution (1:3) in blank plasma before extraction. Diluted sample was done in 244 quintuplicate. The accuracy and precision of the diluted sample was not to deviate by more 245 246 than 15%. We did not perform the test for ENZA and VEMU because it is unlikely that concentrations greater than 50,000 and 100,000 ng/mL, respectively, would be found in 247 clinical practice. 248

249

250 2.6. Clinical application

This UPLC-MS/MS quantification method was applied to measurement of oral targeted therapies in plasma of patients. Peripheral venous blood samples were taken as part of the routine clinical care from adult cancer patients treated with oral targeted therapies to perform

TDM. Blood samples were collected into lithium heparin tubes before taking the drugs 254 (Ctrough) at steady state. Based on their reported Tmax, blood samples were also collected at 1h 255 or 3 h after taking the drug (C<sub>max</sub>) for RUXO and DASA, respectively, because the peak 256 concentration are associated with clinical efficacy for both drugs. For IBRU, three successive 257 samples were collected: at  $C_{trough}$  and 2h ( $C_{max}$ ) + 4h after taking the drug (concentration in 258 the elimination phase) to determine area under the curve (AUC). AUC was derived from 259 plasma concentration-time data by noncompartmental method using Phoenix WinNonLin<sup>®</sup> 260 4.1 software (Certara, St. Louis, Missouri). AUC<sub>0-24h</sub> was estimated considering that, at steady 261 state, the concentration found 24h after taking the drug was equal to the C<sub>trough</sub>. Plasma 262 samples were prepared by centrifuging collected blood samples for 5 min at 4,500 g. All 263 plasma samples were frozen at -20°C until analysis, and were processed and analysed as 264 described above. French regulations on non-interventional observational studies do not 265 266 require patient's consent when analyzing data obtained from routine care. Approval for data collection was obtained from the Commission Nationale de l'Informatique et des Libertés 267 (n°1491960v0). 268

269

270 3. **Results** 

271

272 3.1. Optimization of LC-MS/MS conditions

Electrospray positive mode yielded a better spectrometer response than the negative mode. To achieve symmetrical peak shapes, good resolution and a short chromatographic run time, a mobile phase consisting of (A) water-formic acid (100:0.1, v/v)-ammonium acetate 2 mM (pH\* 2.82 ) and (B) methanol-formic acid (100:0.1, v/v)-ammonium acetate 2 mM (pH\* 4.30) was used in the experiments using non-linear gradient elution. Mass spectrometry parameters for the LC-MS/MS determination of each analyte and their respective IS are shown in Table 2.

#### 280 3.2. Sample pre-treatment

Tandem mass spectrometry is sufficiently selective and sensitive to allow a simple and fast pre-treatment procedure as described. The efficiency of the pre-treatment was evident from high extraction recovery values and minimized matrix effects.

- 284 3.3. Method validation
- 285 286
- 3.3.1. Selectivity and carry-over

287 Six different sources of plasma samples without analytes but containing the following drugs: voriconazole, posaconazole, topiramate, diazepam, levetiracetam, lacosamide, clonazepam, 288 lansoprazole, paracetamol, tramadol, furosemide, ceftriaxone, levofloxacin, rifampicin and 289 290 amoxicillin were tested. These drugs were tested due to their relatively common use and for 291 their possible concomitant administration in our cohort of patients. No interference with 292 endogenous compounds or tested drugs was observed above 20% of the LLOQ of the analytes 293 and with the same transitions and retention times of the studied analytes or their respective isotopic IS. All analytes and ISs were eluted within 1.45-1.97 min. The retention time of each 294 analyte are shown in Table 2. The carry-over observed with the different analytes was less 295 296 than 20% of the LLOQ [ABIRA (1.2%), COBI (0.8%), DASA (2%), ENZA (1.8%), IBRU (0.3%), IMA (0.5%), DM-IMA (0.2%), NILO (0.7%), NO-SORA (1.3%), PALBO (3.2%), 297 298 RUXO (2.1%), SORA (0.7%) and VEMU (1.3%)]. Furthermore, no carry over was observed for any of the IS used. 299

300 3.3.2. Linearity

Calibration curves were linear with coefficient of correlation greater than r = 0.9972 for all analytes (ranged from 0.9972 to 0.9999). All calibrators, analyzed on seven different days, were measured with an accuracy ranged from 88-112% and coefficient of variation less than 11.6%. The linear regression equations of each analyte are shown in Table 2.

#### 305 3.3.3. Accuracy and precision

Intra- and inter-day precision and accuracy outcomes of QC samples are shown in Table 3 and
Table 4, respectively. The intra- and inter-day coefficients of variation ranged from 0.8% to
9.4% and from 1.4% to 12.3% respectively, for all analytes at all tested concentrations (LQC,
MQC and HQC). Likewise, the inter-day accuracy ranged from 89-110%, for all the analytes
at all tested concentrations (LQC, MQC and HQC).

311 3.3.4. Lower limit of quantification

The LLOQ was established at 1 ng/mL for ABIRA, DASA and IBRU; 5 ng/mL for COBI and PALBO; 10 ng/mL for IMA, DM-IMA, NILO, NO-SORA, SORA and RUXO; and at 100 ng/mL for ENZA and VEMU (Table 3 and 4). The chromatogram of the different analytes at their LLOQ is shown in Figure 2.

316 3.3.5. Matrix effect and extraction recovery

Matrix effect and extraction recovery for all the analytes ranged from 87-122% and 76-113%, 317 respectively, and were stable over the concentration range for each of them, as shown in Table 318 319 5. The result of matrix effect indicated that there was no significant ionization suppression or maximization resulting from sample matrices. Moreover, the method resulted in high recovery 320 321 value at all QCs showing good efficiency except for abiraterone (Table 5). Abiraterone showed relative low recovery in this experiment. However, this relative low recovery did not 322 interfere significantly with the quantitative determination of abiraterone concentration in 323 324 plasma, as judged by linearity, accuracy and precision.

325 3.3.6. Stability

Table 5 shows the stability of each analyte in plasma. All analytes were stable in plasma at 25°C up to 72h, except for IBRU for which degradation was observed after 24h. For IBRU,

we dosed each QC level, stored at 25°C, every hour for 8 consecutive hours to precisely 328 establish the length of stability at room temperature. No degradation was observed during 8h. 329 Therefore, IBRU degrades between 8-24h at room temperature. All analytes, except for 330 ibrutinib, were stable in plasma at 4°C up to 1 week. Plasma stability of ibrutinib was 331 demonstrated at 4°C up to 48h. Likewise, all analytes were stable in plasma at -20°C up to 8 332 weeks. Regarding freeze and thaw stability, all analytes were stable after three freeze and 333 334 thaw cycles. Furthermore, after extraction, the extracts were stable for at least 48h when kept onboard the autosampler at 10°C. 335

336 3.3.7. Dilution integrity

The accuracy (% true) and precision (% CV) of the diluted samples were: ABIRA (98; 2.9),
COBI (96; 3.1), DASA (100; 4.3), IBRU (95; 5.2), IMA (98; 1.6), DM-IMA (103; 3.6), NILO

(100; 1.3), NO-SORA (105; 1.9), PALBO (98; 2.3), RUXO (101; 1.2) and SORA (110; 2.1).

340

339

### 341 3.4. Clinical application

This validated UPLC-MS/MS method was successfully applied to the TDM of eighty adult 342 343 patients with various haematological malignancies, prostate cancer, graft versus host disease, renal carcinoma or Erdheim-Chester disease and treated with oral targeted therapies, 344 especially ibrutinib, dasatinib, imatinib, nilotinib, sorafenib, abiraterone, enzalutamide, 345 ruxolitinib, vemurafenib and cobimetinib which are the most frequently required as part of 346 TDM in our hospital. The analytes were easily detected and measured in patients' plasma. 347 The results are summarized in Table 6. Moreover, as shown in Figure 3, no interferences were 348 observed between the studied targeted therapies and endogenous compounds or others drugs 349 350 given to participating cancer patients.

351

## 352 **4. Discussion**

We describe here a method for the simultaneous quantification of nine KIs (cobimetinib, 353 dasatinib, ibrutinib, imatinib, nilotinib, palbociclib, ruxolitinib, sorafenib and vemurafenib), 354 and two AAs (abiraterone and enzalutamide). We specifically chose these molecules to meet 355 the request of clinicians and because most of them have an exposure-response relationship 356 well studied [8–13] for which an established or accepted target concentration exists [7]. 357 Furthermore, cardiovascular toxicities associated with ibrutinib, abiraterone and enzalutamide 358 have been demonstrated by our team [14–17] and this method will allow us to explore the 359 possible exposure-cardiovascular toxicities relationship with these drugs. 360 Each KI generates an important number of metabolites that are often inactive. However, 361 sorafenib and imatinib have active metabolites: N-oxide sorafenib and N-desmethyl imatinib, 362 respectively, which seems relevant to quantify. 363 Based on the high recovery, relative low intra- and inter-day CVs, and good linearity, the 364 present method is suitable for detection and quantification of each analyte in human plasma. 365 Several LC-MS/MS analytical methods have been published to quantify one or more KI but 366 few methods quantify up to 9 KIs. Van Dyk et al. [18] described a simultaneous quantification 367

of 18 KIs in human plasma including dasatinib, ibrutinib, imatinib, nilotinib, ruxolitinib,
sorafenib and vemurafenib. Likewise, Andriamanana et al. [19] described the simultaneous

analysis of 9 KIs including dasatinib, imatinib, nilotinib and sorafenib; whereas Huynh et al.

371 [20] described a method for quantification of 14 KIs including cobimetinib, dasatinib,

ibrutinib, imatinib, nilotinib, sorafenib and vemurafenib. In these three methods, the authors

373 did not quantify the active metabolites of imatinib and sorafenib, while some studies suggest

374 their importance for TDM. The AUC (N-oxide SORA) and the ratio [AUC (N-oxide

375 SORA)/AUC (SORA)] seems to be reliable predictors of adverse effects [21]. Likewise, a

376 correlation between imatinib + N-desmethyl imatinib exposure and hematologic toxicity were

377 showed [10]. Janssen et al. [22] have also developed a method for the quantification of 9 KIs in human plasma including only cobimetinib and palbociclib. The authors thus made a choice 378 379 different from ours on the selection of the molecules to be measured. Finally, Merienne et al. [23] and Bouchet et al. [24] reported a technique quantifying 17 and 9 KIs, respectively, 380 381 including dasatinib, imatinib and its metabolite, nilotinib, ruxolitinib and sorafenib but using a 382 solid-phase extraction procedure more complex than the protein precipitation extraction used in our method. The method we developed was designed to perform therapeutic monitoring of 383 these drugs in a routine setting. This requires that our method should be simple, fast and 384 385 practical. The stringent workup for preparation of calibration and QCs plasma samples containing thirteen different analytes is counterbalanced by a simplified extraction step. 386

Likewise, several LC-MS/MS analytical methods have been published to quantify one or 387 388 more AAs. Van Nuland et al. [25] described a method for simultaneous quantification of abiraterone, enzalutamide and their major metabolites in human plasma and Kim et al. [26] 389 reported a method for simultaneous quantification of abiraterone, enzalutamide, N-desmethyl 390 enzalutamide and bicalutamide. Both methods allow quantification of active metabolites of 391 abiraterone and enzalutamide unlike our method. However both methods focus only on 392 antiandrogen drugs. Furthermore, some studies suggest that these metabolites (N-desmethyl 393 enzalutamide and  $\Delta(4)$ -abiraterone) are unlikely to have meaningful contribution to the 394 pharmacodynamics activity of abiraterone and enzalutamide. No exposure-response 395 relationship was found in the PK/PD studies, which does not support the need for the 396 monitoring of their plasma concentration in clinical practice [27,28]. 397

Finally, there are many methods in the literature for the measurement of KIs or AAs but to our knowledge, neither combined both. Our method is the first that allows simultaneous quantification of 9 KIs, 2 metabolites of them and 2 AAs in human plasma. The measurement

of all these drugs in a single run is advantageous in light of the possible combined use of 401 multiple KIs in future clinical practice. Indeed, several trials using combinations of KIs are 402 listed on the United States clinical trials registration site: for example nilotinib/imatinib in 403 gastrointestinal stromal tumours, chronic myeloid leukemia and Ph+/Bcr-Abl+ acute 404 lymphoblastic leukemia (NCT01089595, NCT01819389), ruxolitinib/dasatinib or nilotinib in 405 chronic myeloid leukemia (NCT03654768), cobimetinib/vemurafenib in melanoma 406 (NCT02537600, NCT03224208). Similar to melanoma, combination approaches using 407 cobimetinib/vemurefenib have been used successfully in Erdheim-Chester disease [29]. In 408 addition, there is some potential for the KI/AA combination in future clinical practice: Hongxi 409 Wu et al. [30] demonstrated in their study that sorafenib therapy improved the efficacy of 410 enzalutamide in the castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) model, indicating a promising 411 therapeutic strategy for clinical CRPC patients, and a phase I/II study of enzalutamide with 412 413 and without sorafenib in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma patients is in progress (NCT02642913). The abiraterone/dasatinib combination in men with mCRPC are also been 414 415 tested [31]. Moreover, our method is one of the few to quantify palbociclib in human plasma using mass spectrometry detection. Only five recent papers describe methods for the 416 quantification of palbociclib in human plasma using LC-MS/MS [22,32-35] while the 417 418 existence of a exposure-toxicity relationship is possible [9].

Concerning the selected ranges of concentrations tested, the LLOQ of analytes was lower in some methods compared with those we used. This can be explained by the fact that their plasma volume, and their injection volume into the LC-MS/MS system were higher as compared to those we used (50  $\mu$ L and 10  $\mu$ L respectively). Furthermore, this parameter depends on the sensitivity of the mass spectrometer used. We estimated that it was not necessary to improve this parameter since our LLOQs are already under the measured concentration in most patients. Likewise, none of the patients' samples were measured above the ULOQs. In addition, in case of concentrations exceeding our ULOQs, the dilution integrity test shows that the sample can be diluted in blank plasma without affecting analyte response and assay precision or accuracy. This new method has large concentration range which makes it suitable for the measurement of the maximum and minimum concentrations reported for all studied drugs and is therefore applicable to TDM.

431 In most published methods, the volume of plasma samples and run time analysis varies from 50-300 µL, and 5-15 min, respectively [18-20,22-26,32]. Our method is rapid with fast 432 sample preparation and run times (2.8 min) and requires only a small volume of plasma (50 433 µL), which could reduce the time required for quantification of large number of samples and 434 435 the blood volume collected from the patients. In the method of Jolibois et al. [33] the run time was 2.5 min but the volume of plasma samples was 150 µL. In contrast, the volume of plasma 436 samples was 10 µL but the run time was 6.5 min in the method of Posocco et al. [34]. 437 438 Furthermore, with respect to other methods, our method is the one of the few in which each analyte (except for N-desmethyl Imatinib) are analyzed with respect to their respective 439 isotopic internal standard. 440

441 Concerning stability, we found that ibrutinib should be dispatched to the laboratory without 442 delay due to instability at room temperature. Huynh et al. [20] showed in their study that 443 ibrutinib was stable in plasma for at least 4h at room temperature. We showed that this was 444 the case for at least 8h. This may be important in order to manage shipping of samples coming 445 from other hospitals. Likewise, all analytes were stable for at least 2 months at -20°C in 446 plasma. This is particularly important for clinical research protocols, where samples may need 447 to be stored for a long time before they can be assayed.

Finally, the first clinical experience with the method confirms its suitability for clinical
application (Table 6). We are currently working to incorporate 18 additional KIs (afatinib,
alectinib, axitinib, bosutinib, brigatinib, cabozantinib, capmatinib, crizotinib, dabrafenib,

erlotinib, gefitinib, lenvatinib, osimertinib, pazopanib, ponatinib, ribociclib, trametinib,
vandetanib) in order to be able to quantify most kinase inhibitors used in clinical practice to
provide a TDM platform for oral targeted therapies.

### **5.** Conclusion

We have developed and validated a rapid, sensitive, selective, accurate, precise and reliable UPLC-MS/MS method for the simultaneous quantification of nine kinase inhibitors, two of their active metabolites and two antiandrogen drugs in human plasma. This method is currently used in clinical practice for TDM of oral targeted therapies for which an established or accepted target concentration exists. In the future, this method could be adapted to incorporate additional KIs and AAs for which PK/PD relationship needs to be studied.

## 472 Credit authorship contribution statement

- 473 Benoit Llopis: Conceptualization, Validation, Visualization, Writing original draft, Writing
- 474 review & editing
- 475 **Pascal Robidou:** Technical realization, review & editing
- 476 Nadine Tissot: Investigation, review & editing
- 477 **Bruno Pinna**: Investigation, review & editing
- 478 **Paul Gougis:** Investigation, review & editing
- 479 **Luca Campedel**: Investigation, review & editing
- 480 Fleur Cohen Aubart: Investigation, review & editing
- 481 **Baptiste Abbar:** Investigation, review & editing
- 482 **Damien Roos Weil**: Investigation, review & editing
- 483 Madalina Uzunov: Investigation, review & editing
- 484 Joseph Gligorov: Investigation, review & editing
- 485 **Joe-Elie Salem:** Investigation, review & editing
- 486 Christian Funck-Brentano: Investigation, Supervision, Writing review & editing
- 487 Noël Zahr: Conceptualization, Validation, Supervision, Writing review & editing

488

## 489 Declaration of Competing Interest

490 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal

491 relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## 493 **References**

- 494 [1] N.A. Cohen, T.S. Kim, R.P. DeMatteo, Principles of Kinase Inhibitor Therapy for Solid Tumors,
  495 Ann. Surg. 265 (2017) 311–319. https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.000000000001740.
- 496 [2] M. Jeltsch, V.-M. Leppänen, P. Saharinen, K. Alitalo, Receptor Tyrosine Kinase-Mediated
  497 Angiogenesis, Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 5 (2013).
  498 https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a009183.
- P. Gougis, L.-J. Palmieri, C. Funck-Brentano, A. Paci, R. Flippot, O. Mir, R. Coriat, Major pitfalls of
  protein kinase inhibitors prescription: A review of their clinical pharmacology for daily use, Crit.
  Rev. Oncol. Hematol. 141 (2019) 112–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.critrevonc.2019.06.006.
- M. Barber, L.S. Nguyen, J. Wassermann, J.-P. Spano, C. Funck-Brentano, J.-E. Salem, Cardiac
   arrhythmia considerations of hormone cancer therapies, Cardiovasc. Res. 115 (2019) 878–894.
   https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvz020.
- K.T. Kivistö, H.K. Kroemer, M. Eichelbaum, The role of human cytochrome P450 enzymes in the
   metabolism of anticancer agents: implications for drug interactions., Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 40
   (1995) 523–530.
- 508 [6] Y.L. Teo, H.K. Ho, A. Chan, Metabolism-related pharmacokinetic drug-drug interactions with
   509 tyrosine kinase inhibitors: current understanding, challenges and recommendations, Br. J. Clin.
   510 Pharmacol. 79 (2015) 241–253. https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp.12496.
- A. Mueller-Schoell, S.L. Groenland, O. Scherf-Clavel, M. van Dyk, W. Huisinga, R. Michelet, U.
  Jaehde, N. Steeghs, A.D.R. Huitema, C. Kloft, Therapeutic drug monitoring of oral targeted
  antineoplastic drugs, Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol. (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00228-02003014-8.
- P. Boudou-Rouquette, C. Narjoz, J.L. Golmard, A. Thomas-Schoemann, O. Mir, F. Taieb, J.-P.
  Durand, R. Coriat, A. Dauphin, M. Vidal, M. Tod, M.-A. Loriot, F. Goldwasser, B. Blanchet, Early
  sorafenib-induced toxicity is associated with drug exposure and UGTIA9 genetic polymorphism
  in patients with solid tumors: a preliminary study, PloS One. 7 (2012) e42875.
  https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0042875.
- W. Sun, P.J. O'Dwyer, R.S. Finn, A. Ruiz-Garcia, G.I. Shapiro, G.K. Schwartz, A. DeMichele, D.
   Wang, Characterization of Neutropenia in Advanced Cancer Patients Following Palbociclib
   Treatment Using a Population Pharmacokinetic-Pharmacodynamic Modeling and Simulation
   Approach, J. Clin. Pharmacol. 57 (2017) 1159–1173. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcph.902.
- [10] C. Delbaldo, E. Chatelut, M. Ré, A. Deroussent, S. Séronie-Vivien, A. Jambu, P. Berthaud, A. Le
  Cesne, J.-Y. Blay, G. Vassal, Pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic relationships of imatinib and its
  main metabolite in patients with advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumors, Clin. Cancer Res.
  Off. J. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res. 12 (2006) 6073–6078. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR05-2596.
- [11] X. Wang, A. Roy, A. Hochhaus, H.M. Kantarjian, T.-T. Chen, N.P. Shah, Differential effects of
  dosing regimen on the safety and efficacy of dasatinib: retrospective exposure-response
  analysis of a Phase III study, Clin. Pharmacol. Adv. Appl. 5 (2013) 85–97.
  https://doi.org/10.2147/CPAA.S42796.
- 533 [12] G.E. Benoist, R.J. Hendriks, P.F.A. Mulders, W.R. Gerritsen, D.M. Somford, J.A. Schalken, I.M.
  534 van Oort, D.M. Burger, N.P. van Erp, Pharmacokinetic Aspects of the Two Novel Oral Drugs
  535 Used for Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer: Abiraterone Acetate and
  536 Enzalutamide, Clin. Pharmacokinet. 55 (2016) 1369–1380. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40262-016537 0403-6.
- E. Funck-Brentano, J.C. Alvarez, C. Longvert, E. Abe, A. Beauchet, C. Funck-Brentano, P. Saiag,
  Plasma vemurafenib concentrations in advanced BRAFV600mut melanoma patients: impact on
  tumour response and tolerance, Ann. Oncol. Off. J. Eur. Soc. Med. Oncol. 26 (2015) 1470–1475.
  https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdv189.
- [14] J.-E. Salem, A. Manouchehri, M. Bretagne, B. Lebrun-Vignes, J.D. Groarke, D.B. Johnson, T. Yang,
   N.M. Reddy, C. Funck-Brentano, J.R. Brown, D.M. Roden, J.J. Moslehi, Cardiovascular Toxicities

- 544 Associated With Ibrutinib, J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 74 (2019) 1667–1678.
- 545 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2019.07.056.
- J.E. Salem, T. Yang, J.J. Moslehi, X. Waintraub, E. Gandjbakhch, A. Bachelot, F. Hidden-Lucet, J.S.
  Hulot, B.C. Knollmann, B. Lebrun-Vignes, C. Funck-Brentano, A.M. Glazer, D.M. Roden,
  Androgenic effects on ventricular repolarization: A translational study from the international
  pharmacovigilance database to iPSC-cardiomyocytes, Ann. Endocrinol. (2020).
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ando.2020.02.008.
- [16] J. Alexandre, J.J. Moslehi, K.R. Bersell, C. Funck-Brentano, D.M. Roden, J.-E. Salem, Anticancer
   drug-induced cardiac rhythm disorders: Current knowledge and basic underlying mechanisms,
   Pharmacol. Ther. 189 (2018) 89–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2018.04.009.
- [17] M. Bretagne, B. Lebrun-Vignes, A. Pariente, C.M. Shaffer, G.G. Malouf, P. Dureau, C. Potey, C.
  Funck-Brentano, D.M. Roden, J.J. Moslehi, J.-E. Salem, Heart failure and atrial tachyarrhythmia
  on abiraterone: A pharmacovigilance study, Arch. Cardiovasc. Dis. 113 (2020) 9–21.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acvd.2019.09.006.
- [18] M. van Dyk, J.O. Miners, G. Kichenadasse, R.A. McKinnon, A. Rowland, A novel approach for the
  simultaneous quantification of 18 small molecule kinase inhibitors in human plasma: A platform
  for optimised KI dosing, J. Chromatogr. B Analyt. Technol. Biomed. Life. Sci. 1033–1034 (2016)
  17–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2016.07.046.
- I. Andriamanana, I. Gana, B. Duretz, A. Hulin, Simultaneous analysis of anticancer agents
  bortezomib, imatinib, nilotinib, dasatinib, erlotinib, lapatinib, sorafenib, sunitinib and
  vandetanib in human plasma using LC/MS/MS, J. Chromatogr. B Analyt. Technol. Biomed. Life.
  Sci. 926 (2013) 83–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2013.01.037.
- [20] H.H. Huynh, C. Pressiat, H. Sauvageon, I. Madelaine, P. Maslanka, C. Lebbé, C. Thieblemont, L.
  Goldwirt, S. Mourah, Development and Validation of a Simultaneous Quantification Method of
  14 Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors in Human Plasma Using LC-MS/MS, Ther. Drug Monit. 39 (2017)
  43–54. https://doi.org/10.1097/FTD.00000000000357.
- 570 [21] M. Shimada, H. Okawa, Y. Kondo, T. Maejima, Y. Kataoka, K. Hisamichi, M. Maekawa, M.
  571 Matsuura, Y. Jin, M. Mori, H. Suzuki, T. Shimosegawa, N. Mano, Monitoring Serum Levels of
  572 Sorafenib and Its N-Oxide Is Essential for Long-Term Sorafenib Treatment of Patients with
  573 Hepatocellular Carcinoma, Tohoku J. Exp. Med. 237 (2015) 173–182.
- 574 https://doi.org/10.1620/tjem.237.173.
- J.M. Janssen, N. de Vries, N. Venekamp, H. Rosing, A.D.R. Huitema, J.H. Beijnen, Development
  and validation of a liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry assay for nine oral
  anticancer drugs in human plasma, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 174 (2019) 561–566.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2019.06.034.
- 579 [23] C. Merienne, M. Rousset, D. Ducint, N. Castaing, K. Titier, M. Molimard, S. Bouchet, High
  580 throughput routine determination of 17 tyrosine kinase inhibitors by LC–MS/MS, J. Pharm.
  581 Biomed. Anal. 150 (2018) 112–120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2017.11.060.
- [24] S. Bouchet, E. Chauzit, D. Ducint, N. Castaing, M. Canal-Raffin, N. Moore, K. Titier, M. Molimard,
  Simultaneous determination of nine tyrosine kinase inhibitors by 96-well solid-phase extraction
  and ultra performance LC/MS-MS, Clin. Chim. Acta Int. J. Clin. Chem. 412 (2011) 1060–1067.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2011.02.023.
- [25] M. van Nuland, M.J.X. Hillebrand, H. Rosing, J.H.M. Schellens, J.H. Beijnen, Development and
   Validation of an LC-MS/MS Method for the Simultaneous Quantification of Abiraterone,
   Enzalutamide, and Their Major Metabolites in Human Plasma, Ther. Drug Monit. 39 (2017)
   243–251. https://doi.org/10.1097/FTD.00000000000387.
- [26] K. Kim, R.A. Parise, J.L. Holleran, L.D. Lewis, L. Appleman, N. van Erp, M.J. Morris, J.H. Beumer,
  Simultaneous quantitation of abiraterone, enzalutamide, N-desmethyl enzalutamide, and
  bicalutamide in human plasma by LC-MS/MS, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 138 (2017) 197–205.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2017.02.018.
- 594 [27] M.-L. Joulia, E. Carton, A. Jouinot, M. Allard, O. Huillard, N. Khoudour, M. Peyromaure, M.
   595 Zerbib, A.T. Schoemann, M. Vidal, F. Goldwasser, J. Alexandre, B. Blanchet,

- Pharmacokinetic/Pharmacodynamic Relationship of Enzalutamide and Its Active Metabolite N Desmethyl Enzalutamide in Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer Patients, Clin.
   Genitourin. Cancer. (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clgc.2019.05.020.
- [28] M. van Nuland, S.L. Groenland, A.M. Bergman, N. Steeghs, H. Rosing, N. Venekamp, A.D.R.
  Huitema, J.H. Beijnen, Exposure–response analyses of abiraterone and its metabolites in realworld patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer, Prostate Cancer Prostatic
  Dis. 23 (2020) 244–251. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41391-019-0179-5.
- 603 [29] G. Goyal, M.L. Heaney, M. Collin, F. Cohen-Aubart, A. Vaglio, B.H. Durham, O. Hershkovitz604 Rokah, M. Girschikofsky, E.D. Jacobsen, K. Toyama, A.M. Goodman, P. Hendrie, X. Cao, J.I.
  605 Estrada-Veras, O. Shpilberg, A. Abdo, M. Kurokawa, L. Dagna, K.L. McClain, R.D. Mazor, J.
  606 Picarsic, F. Janku, R.S. Go, J. Haroche, E.L. Diamond, Erdheim-Chester disease: consensus
  607 recommendations for evaluation, diagnosis, and treatment in the molecular era, Blood. 135
  608 (2020) 1929–1945. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2019003507.
- [30] H. Wu, L. Zhang, X. Gao, X. Zhang, J. Duan, L. You, Y. Cheng, J. Bian, Q. Zhu, Y. Yang,
  Combination of sorafenib and enzalutamide as a potential new approach for the treatment of
  castration-resistant prostate cancer, Cancer Lett. 385 (2017) 108–116.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2016.10.036.
- [31] N. Spetsieris, M. Boukovala, J.A. Weldon, A. Tsikkinis, A. Hoang, A. Aparicio, S.-M. Tu, J.C.
  Araujo, A.J. Zurita, P.G. Corn, L. Pagliaro, J. Kim, J. Wang, S.K. Subudhi, N.M. Tannir, C.J.
  Logothetis, P. Troncoso, X. Wang, S. Wen, E. Efstathiou, A Phase 2 Trial of Abiraterone Followed
  by Randomization to Addition of Dasatinib or Sunitinib in Men With Metastatic CastrationResistant Prostate Cancer, Clin. Genitourin. Cancer. (2020).
- 618 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clgc.2020.05.013.
- [32] A. Martínez-Chávez, H. Rosing, M. Hillebrand, M. Tibben, A.H. Schinkel, J.H. Beijnen,
  Development and validation of a bioanalytical method for the quantification of the CDK4/6
  inhibitors abemaciclib, palbociclib, and ribociclib in human and mouse matrices using liquid
  chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry, Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 411 (2019) 5331–5345.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-019-01932-w.
- [33] J. Jolibois, A. Schmitt, B. Royer, A simple and fast LC-MS/MS method for the routine
  measurement of cabozantinib, olaparib, palbociclib, pazopanib, sorafenib, sunitinib and its
  main active metabolite in human plasma, J. Chromatogr. B Analyt. Technol. Biomed. Life. Sci.
  1132 (2019) 121844. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2019.121844.
- [34] B. Posocco, M. Buzzo, A.S. Poetto, M. Orleni, S. Gagno, M. Zanchetta, V. Iacuzzi, M.
  Guardascione, F. Puglisi, D. Basile, G. Pelizzari, E. Marangon, G. Toffoli, Simultaneous
  quantification of palbociclib, ribociclib and letrozole in human plasma by a new LC-MS/MS
  method for clinical application, PloS One. 15 (2020) e0228822.
- 632 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0228822.
- F. Leenhardt, M. Gracia, C. Perrin, C. Muracciole-Bich, B. Marion, C. Roques, M. Alexandre, N.
  Firmin, S. Pouderoux, L. Mbatchi, C. Gongora, W. Jacot, A. Evrard, Liquid chromatographytandem mass spectrometric assay for the quantification of CDK4/6 inhibitors in human plasma
  in a clinical context of drug-drug interaction, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. (2020) 113438.
- 636 in a clinical context of drug-drug interaction, J. Pha
  637 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2020.113438.
- 638







Figure 2. Chromatograms of each analyte at their LLOQ: 1 ng/mL for abiraterone, dasatinib and ibrutinib; 5 ng/mL for cobimetinib and palbociclib; 10 ng/mL for imatinib, N-desmethyl imatinib, nilotinib, ruxolitinib, sorafenib and N-oxide sorafenib; and 100 ng/mL for enzalutamide and vemurafenib.





Sorafenib (400 mg BID) C<sub>trough</sub> = 4,699 ng/mL

Drug (INN)	Targets	Cancer indication	Metabolic pathway	Food effect AUC	Inhibitors effect CYP 3A4	Inductors effect CYP 3A4
Abiraterone acetate	CYP 17A1	Prostate	CYP 3A4	x 10	/	-55% AUC
Cobimetinib	MEK	Melanoma	CYP 3A4/5 UGT 2B7	/	Increase AUC	Decrease AUC
Dasatinib	Bcr-Abl, Src, c-Kit,PDGFR, EphR	CML, ALL Phi+	CYP 3A4	+14%	4 x Cmax 5 x AUC	-81% Cmax -82% AUC
Enzalutamide	Androgen receptors	Prostate	CYP 2C8 CYP 3A4/5	/	+41 to +326% AUC	-37% AUC
Ibrutinib	ВТК	Mantel cell lymphoma, CLL	CYP 3A4	+160%	29 x Cmax 24 x AUC	-90% Cmax and AUC
Imatinib	Bcr-Abl, PDGFR, c-Kit	CML, ALL Phi+, GIST	CYP 3A4	-11%	+26% Cmax +40% AUC	-54% Cmax -74% AUC
Nilotinib	Bcr-Abl, PDGFR, c-Kit	CML	CYP 3A4	+29 to 82%	1,8 x Cmax 3 x AUC	-64% Cmax -80% AUC
Palbociclib	CDK4/6	Breast (HR+, HER2-) with concomittant hormone therapy	CYP 3A4 SULT 2A1	+12 to +21%	+34% Cmax +87% AUC	-70% Cmax -85% AUC
Ruxolitinib	JAK1/JAK2	myelofibrosis, GVH	CYP 3A4 CYP 2C9	/	+33 to +47% Cmax +91 to +232% AUC	-70% AUC
Sorafenib	VEGFR, PDGFR, B-Raf, C-Raf, c-Kit, Fit-3, MEK	Hepatocellular carcinoma, tyroid, renal	CYP 3A4 UGT	-29 to +14%	/	-37% AUC
Vemurafenib	B-Raf (V600E)	Melanoma, Erdheim-chester disease	CYP 3A4	+200%	Increase Cmax and AUC	Decrease Cmax and AUC

## Table 1. Pharmacological characteristics of oral targeted therapies analysed in this study (data from summary of products characteristics)

ALL: acute lymphoblastic leukemia, AUC: area under the curve, Bcr-Abl: breakpoint cluster region-Abelson complex, B-Raf: serine/threonine-protein kinase B-Raf, BTK: Burton tyrosine kinase, CDK4/6: cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6, c-Kit: tyrosine-protein kinase Kit, CLL: chronic lymphocytic leukemia, CML: chronic myeloid leukemia, C-Raf: serine/threonine-protein kinase C-Raf, CYP 17A1: 17α-hydroxylase/C17,20-lyase, CYP 3A4: cytochome P450 3A4, EphR: erythropoietin-producing human hepatocellular receptor, GIST: Gastrointestinal stromal tumours, GVH: graft versus host disease, HER2: human epidermal growth factor receptor, HR: hormonal receptor, JAK1/JAK2: janus kinase 1 and 2, MEK: mitogen-activated protein kinase, PDGFR: platelet-derived growth factor receptor, Src: tyrosine-protein kinase Src, SULT: Sulfotransferase, UGT: UDP-glycosyltransferase, VEGFR: vascular endothelial growth factor receptor.

Table 2. Calibration range, linear regression equation, correlation coefficient, retention time, MRM transition, collision energie, cone potential and dwell time for each tested analyte.

Analyte	Calibration	Calibration curve	Coefficient of	Retention	MRM-	Collision	Cone	Dwell
	Range	Linear regression	Correlation	Time	Transition	Energie	Potential	Time
	(ng/mL)	Equation	(r)	(min)	(m/z)	(V)	(V)	(s)
Abiraterone	1-500	y = 0.0138 x - 0.0072	0.9995	1.97	350.3 > 156.5	50	60	0.005
Cobimetinib	5-500	y = 0.0216 x - 0.0012	0.9999	1.56	532.2 > 249.0	35	50	0.005
Dasatinib	1-500	y = 0.0305 x + 0.0130	0.9990	1.47	488.3 > 401.0	30	60	0.005
Enzalutamide	100-50,000	y = 0.0002 x + 0.0079	0.9988	1.57	465.2 > 209.1	25	50	0.005
Ibrutinib	1-500	y = 0.0145 x + 0.0234	0.9988	1.71	441.3 > 138.1	25	60	0.005
Imatinib	10-5,000	y = 0.0018 x - 0.0028	0.9999	1.46	494.4 > 394.2	25	60	0.005
N-desmethyl Imatinib	10-5,000	y = 0.0005 x + 0.0040	0.9972	1.46	480.4 > 394.2	30	40	0.005
Nilotinib	10-5,000	y = 0.0016 x - 0.0012	0.9999	1.64	530.2 > 289.2	30	60	0.005
N-oxide Sorafenib	10-5,000	y = 0.0020 x - 0.0056	0.9997	1.68	481.1 > 286.0	25	50	0.005
Palbociclib	5-500	y = 0.0204 x - 0.0240	0.9997	1.48	448.4 > 380.2	28	50	0.005
Ruxolitinib	10-5,000	y = 0.0035 x + 0.0046	0.9993	1.57	307.3 > 186.1	25	50	0.005
Sorafenib	10-5,000	y = 0.0039 x - 0.0068	0.9999	1.73	465.2 > 252.1	30	50	0.005
Vemurafenib	100-100,000	y = 0.0007 x - 0.0123	0.9998	1.74	490.2 > 383.1	26	45	0.005
[ <sup>2</sup> H <sub>4</sub> ]-Abiraterone	/	/	/	1.96	354.3 > 160.5	50	60	0.005
[ <sup>13</sup> C <sub>6</sub> ]-Cobimetinib	/	/	/	1.55	538.3 > 255.1	35	45	0.005
[ <sup>2</sup> H <sub>8</sub> ]-Dasatinib	/	/	/	1.46	496.3 > 406.1	30	60	0.005
[ <sup>2</sup> H <sub>6</sub> ]-Enzalutamide	/	/	/	1.57	471.2 > 215.1	25	50	0.005
[²H₅]-Ibrutinib	/	/	/	1.72	446.3 > 138.1	25	60	0.005
[ <sup>2</sup> H <sub>8</sub> ]-Imatinib	/	/	/	1.45	502.4 > 394.2	25	55	0.005
[ <sup>2</sup> H <sub>3</sub> , <sup>13</sup> C]-Nilotinib	/	/	/	1.63	534.2 > 289.2	30	60	0.005
[ <sup>2</sup> H <sub>3</sub> , <sup>13</sup> C]-N-oxide Sorafenib	/	/	/	1.68	485.1 > 290.1	25	60	0.005
[ <sup>2</sup> H <sub>8</sub> ]-Palbociclib	/	/	/	1.47	456.4 > 388.3	28	50	0.005
[ <sup>2</sup> H <sub>9</sub> ]-Ruxolitinib	/	/	/	1.56	316.3 > 186.2	25	50	0.005
[ <sup>2</sup> H <sub>3</sub> . <sup>13</sup> C]-Sorafenib	/	/	/	1.73	469.2 > 256.1	30	50	0.005
[ <sup>2</sup> H <sub>6</sub> ]-Vemurafenib	/	/	/	1.73	496.2 > 389.1	26	45	0.005

Analyte	Precision (% CV)											
	LLOQ		LQC	LQC			HQC					
	Intra-day	Inter-day	Intra-day	Inter-day	Intra-day	Inter-day	Intra-day	Inter-day				
Abiraterone	9.9	11.8	2.6	5.5	1.9	2.1	2.5	2.3				
Cobimetinib	9.2	14.7	7.2	8.9	2.9	3.3	3.4	4.3				
Dasatinib	11.3	19.2	6.8	8.2	4.0	5.2	4.1	4.9				
Enzalutamide	8.4	10	8.3	9.3	7.2	7.6	5.7	6.5				
Ibrutinib	11.4	14.8	2.4	2.5	1.1	7.0	9.4	11.3				
Imatinib	3.1	2.9	2.0	2.8	0.8	1.7	1.5	3.2				
N-desmethyl Imatinib	7.8	13.8	4.5	5.6	2.6	5.2	2.8	4.4				
Nilotinib	5.2	6.4	1.6	1.7	0.9	1.5	0.9	1.4				
N-oxide Sorafenib	6.5	7.2	3.5	3.8	1.6	3.2	1.8	3.1				
Palbociclib	5.9	6.3	4.3	7.3	3.2	5.1	2.3	3.8				
Ruxolitinib	2.9	7.8	1.6	3.1	0.9	1.5	1.1	1.6				
Sorafenib	4.2	11.2	2.5	2.4	5.4	5.9	8.5	9.7				
Vemurafenib	3.6	6.4	2.1	3.7	2.7	12.3	4.5	9.8				

Table 3. Assay precision. Data detailing intra-day precision (n = 6) and inter-day precision (n = 6/day; 3 days : n = 18) of each analyte in human plasma.

CV: coefficient of variation

Analyte	Concentration (ng/mL)											
	LLOQ			LQC			MQC			НОС		
	Nominal	Mean Measured ± SD	Accuracy (% true)	Nominal	Mean Measured ± SD	Accuracy (% true)	Nominal	Mean Measured ± SD	Accuracy (% true)	Nominal	Mean Measured ± SD	Accuracy (% true)
Abiraterone	1	$1.15 \pm 0.14$	115	10	$10.4 \pm 0.6$	104	100	96 ± 2	96	400	408 ± 9	102
Cobimetinib	5	4.9 ± 0.7	98	10	9.4 ± 0.8	94	100	92 ± 3	92	400	381 ± 16	95
Dasatinib	1	$1.02 \pm 0.20$	102	10	9.9 ± 0.8	99	100	92 ± 5	92	400	383 ± 19	96
Enzalutamide	100	114 ± 11	114	1,000	1,010 ± 94	101	10,000	9,260 ± 704	93	40,000	39,200 ± 2,548	98
Ibrutinib	1	$0.95 \pm 0.14$	95	10	9.9 ± 0.2	100	100	96 ± 7	96	400	395 ± 45	99
Imatinib	10	11.4 ± 0.3	114	100	98 ± 3	98	1,000	975 ± 17	98	4,000	3,945 ± 126	99
N-desmethyl Imatinib	10	9.5 ± 1.3	95	100	110 ± 6	110	1,000	1,090 ± 57	109	4,000	4,033 ± 177	101
Nilotinib	10	11.2 ± 0.7	112	100	108 ± 2	108	1,000	1,084 ± 16	108	4,000	4,390 ± 61	110
N-oxide Sorafenib	10	$11.0 \pm 0.8$	110	100	102 ± 4	103	1,000	1,030 ± 33	103	4,000	4,157 ± 129	104
Palbociclib	5	5.5 ± 0.3	109	10	9.4 ± 0.7	94	100	89 ± 5	89	400	388 ± 15	97
Ruxolitinib	10	9.9 ± 0.8	100	100	105 ± 3	105	1,000	1,062 ± 16	106	4,000	4,220 ± 68	106
Sorafenib	10	9.3 ± 1.0	93	100	94 ± 2	94	1,000	973 ± 57	97	4,000	3,712 ± 360	93
Vemurafenib	100	107 ± 7	107	1,000	960 ± 36	96	10,000	8,900 ± 1,095	89	80,000	74,400 ± 7,291	93

Table 4. Assay accuracy. Data detailing inter-day accuracy (n = 6/day; 3 days: n = 18) of each analyte in human plasma.

SD: standard deviation

Table 5. Matrix effect (ME), extraction recovery (ER) and stability of each analyte at LQC, MQC and HQC in human plasma (n = 5)

Analyte	QC level	ME (%) + CV (%)	ER (%) + CV (%)	(%) Stability: % true + CV (%)				
				25°C for 72h	4°C for 1 week	-20°C for 8 weeks	3 freeze/thaw cycles	
Abiraterone	LQC	88 + 6.4	77 + 5.9	108 + 6.6	108 + 5.3	104 + 4.2	111 + 7.6	
	MQC	90 + 10.8	76 + 9.7	97 + 1.3	100 + 3.5	100 + 2.1	103+ 10.4	
	HQC	87 + 9.9	82 + 9.9	97 + 2.2	107 + 4.4	104 + 1.3	97 + 4.4	
Cobimetinib	LQC	113 + 7.2	111 + 2.1	92 + 4.9	102 + 12.7	103 + 2.8	99 + 6.7	
	MQC	115 + 2.0	103 + 3.8	97 + 3.3	104 + 4.2	98 + 3.6	103 + 3.2	
	HQC	112 + 4.3	105 + 1.3	100 + 2.8	105 + 3.6	101 + 1.9	96 + 0.9	
Dasatinib	LQC	92 + 12.2	103 + 11.6	94 + 5.8	109 + 3.8	94 + 6.3	91 + 4.7	
	MQC	95 + 4.2	99 + 7.9	95 + 5.7	102 + 8.1	98 + 2.3	96 + 2.6	
	HQC	96 + 3.0	111 + 0.9	99 5.8	99 + 7.5	99 + 1.2	95 + 5.7	
Enzalutamide	LQC	100 + 12.3	98 + 12.4	96 + 9.7	103 + 11.3	92 + 10.8	104 + 11.3	
	MQC	98 + 5.9	102 + 2.4	94 + 11.1	104 + 1.5	92 + 2.9	108 + 3.8	
	HQC	101 + 5.4	103 + 3.3	104 + 9.6	103 + 6.0	94 + 1.2	107 + 3.9	
Ibrutinib	LQC	92 + 13.1	107 + 11.5	65 + 7.5	72 + 8.5	90 + 5.0	107 + 4.9	
	MQC	109 + 11.7	104 + 9.8	68 + 3.3	76 + 2.9	108 + 2.2	99 + 6.6	
	HQC	105 + 2.9	101 + 0.8	74 + 10.0	80 + 10.4	107 + 2.4	95 + 10.5	
Imatinib	LQC	101 + 2.7	99 + 4.1	96 + 3.0	99 + 3.6	99 + 0.8	88 + 1.8	
	MQC	92 + 1.2	100 + 1.5	96 + 1.5	99 + 1.5	98 + 1.6	90 + 0.7	
	HQC	98 + 4.0	111 + 1.7	97 + 0.5	99 + 1.9	100 + 1.2	89 + 2.7	
N-desmethyl Imatinib	LQC	117 + 3.1	95 + 3.7	94 + 2.2	93 + 3.1	91 + 1.5	94 + 2.1	
	MQC	104 + 1.3	102 + 2.3	101 + 3.5	97 + 1.7	99 + 3.3	93 + 3.2	
	HQC	114 + 4.4	109 + 1.4	104 + 1.5	98 + 5.2	101 + 2.0	96 + 4.3	
Nilotinib	LQC	92 + 6.3	105 + 5.8	97 + 2.4	99 + 5.0	102 + 1.4	107 + 1.4	
	MQC	98 + 5.8	94 + 7.3	94 + 0.6	96 + 1.6	100 + 0.7	101 + 2.6	
	HQC	103 + 3.3	97 + 4.2	97 + 1.1	95 + 2.3	100 + 0.4	100 + 0.6	
N-oxide Sorafenib	LQC	97 + 11.7	107 + 6.8	101 + 1.8	97 + 6.1	96 + 4.8	112 + 5.1	
	MQC	94 + 4.4	102 + 0.8	94 + 1.3	96 + 3.7	97 + 2.4	102 + 4.8	
	HQC	102 + 2.9	110 + 6.1	98 + 1.0	98 + 2.8	97 + 1.2	101 + 2.1	
Palbociclib	LQC	122 + 11.5	113 + 2.0	94 + 6.1	108 + 2.3	95 + 4.6	103 + 9.7	
	MQC	92 + 2.9	108 + 2.1	95 + 2.2	105 + 3.2	94 + 2.7	96 + 2.6	
	HQC	95 + 3.6	111 + 6.1	96 + 1.6	100 + 5.9	98 + 0.9	97 + 5.6	
Ruxolitinib	LQC	98 + 8.8	108 + 2.7	97 + 0.5	98 + 3.3	96 + 1.5	98 + 2.2	
	MQC	95 + 6.9	101 + 1.0	97 + 0.9	99 + 2.3	102 + 1.3	99 + 2.4	
	HQC	101 + 3.3	101 + 3.2	99 + 1.1	101 + 3.1	101 + 1.4	101 + 2.7	
Sorafenib	LQC	98 + 12.8	92 + 9.7	100 + 2.0	101 + 6.1	95 + 1.1	112 + 3.6	
	MQC	90 + 10.9	94 + 4.3	96 + 0.8	105 + 2.0	99 + 1.6	98 + 9.6	
	HQC	92 + 9.8	104 + 6.2	91 + 0.9	106 + 3.1	98 + 1.8	98 + 4.9	
Vemurafenib	LQC	107 + 4.7	100 + 3.4	101 + 4.5	98 + 3.4	103 + 1.9	102 + 2.0	
	MQC	99 + 6.4	92 + 1.3	92 + 1.1	98 + 1.6	98 + 1.5	108 + 2.7	
	HQC	103 + 3.9	98 + 1.5	95 + 0.7	99 + 2.9	94 + 2.2	106 + 1.8	

CV: coefficient of variation. n: number of replicates

Drug (INN)	Number of patients	Dose (mg)	Steady-state C <sub>trough</sub> ¢ (ng/mL)	C <sub>max</sub> ¤ (ng/mL)	AUC <sub>0-24h</sub> ¥ (ng.h <sup>-1</sup> .mL <sup>-1</sup> )	Established or accepted target concentration Ref [7]
Abiraterone	7	1,000 mg QD	12.0 [3.1-16.3]*	/	/	C <sub>trough</sub> > 8.4 ng/mL
Cobimetinib	19	20 [20-40]* mg QD	54.0 [6.5-227.8]*	/	/	C <sub>trough</sub> : 75-290 ng/mL**
Dasatinib	2	100 mg QD	2.1 ; 4.7	15.7 ; 28.3	/	C <sub>trough</sub> : 1.4-3.4 ng/mL; C <sub>max</sub> > 50 ng/mL
Enzalutamide	4	160 mg QD	13,322 [9,240-16,770]*	/	/	C <sub>trough</sub> > 10,000 ng/mL
Ibrutinib	15	420 [140-560]* mg QD	5.5 [1.2-80.1]*	113.1 [6.4-355.5]*	918 [69-3,210]*	C <sub>max</sub> < 170 ng/mL, AUC: 680 ± 517 ng.h <sup>-1</sup> .mL <sup>-1</sup>
Imatinib	4	400 mg QD	805.1 [141.8-2,024]*	/	/	C <sub>trough</sub> > 1,000 ng/mL
N-desmethyl Imatinib	/	/	213.1 [97.2-432.7]*	/	/	/
Nilotinib	2	300 mg BID	960.2 ; 1,601	/	/	C <sub>trough</sub> : 480-1,580 ng/mL
Ruxolitinib	5	15 [15-20]* mg BID	37.3 [11.7-82.7]*	162.4 [139.8-204.2]*	/	C <sub>trough</sub> : 5.4-17.4 ng/mL; C <sub>max</sub> : 140-277 ng/mL
Sorafenib	7	400 [200-400]* mg BID	4,242 [3,475-5,684]*	/	/	C <sub>trough</sub> : 3,750-4,300 ng/mL
N-oxide Sorafenib	/	/	432.3 [142.7-941.4]*	/	/	/
Vemurafenib	15	240 [240-960]* mg BID	16,654 [7,583-67,774]*	/	/	C <sub>trough</sub> > 40,000 ng/mL**

Table 6. Results of the samples of patients treated with oral targeted therapies.

\* Median [min-max], QD: once a day, BID: two times a day

\*\* Therapeutic range in melanoma. No data in erdheim-chester disease

¢ For all patients, the samples were taken at least 5 days after the start of treatment or change in dose

x Cmax was measured at T1h, T2h and T3h after taking the drug for ruxolitinib, ibrutinib and dasatinib, respectively

¥ AUC0-24h was estimated using 3 successive samples at T0, T2h and T4h by noncompartmental method using WinNonLin® software