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Since its identification in Wuhan, China, coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has infected more than 104 million and killed over 2.2 million people worldwide (1). Recent studies of air pollution and COVID-19 cases and mortality in diverse international settings add convincingly to a large body of evidence showing that exposure to air pollution exacerbates viral respiratory infections and consequently widens health disparities. Meanwhile, the global lockdown response through a near global economic standstill resulted in a temporary improvement in short-term air quality, likely reducing pollution-related, non-COVID-19 deaths. A large proportion of the global population, including those in Europe and the United States, still live in areas where ambient air pollution levels exceed World Health Organization guidelines, with racial minorities being disproportionally affected. In this commentary, we review studies linking air pollution to worse COVID-19 outcomes and discuss several ways in which the COVID-19 pandemic highlights the urgent need to address the global problem of air pollution through sustainable local and national policies to improve respiratory health and equity worldwide.

**Proposed Mechanisms for Air Pollution and Worsened Viral Respiratory Infection**

Air pollution exposure is associated with higher rates of hospitalizations and deaths from respiratory tract infections (2-5). Multiple studies have shown that elevated levels of air pollutants such as particulate matter (PM) and nitrogen dioxide (NO$_2$) impair the innate immune response and lead to both increased susceptibility to viruses and more severe viral infections (4,6,7). Experimental studies conducted in humans indicate that air pollution
damages cilia in the respiratory tract, the first line of defense against respiratory infections, and causes oxidative stress that may increase epithelial permeability (4,6,8,9). Air pollution exposure also impairs the ability of macrophages to phagocytose, thereby reducing viral clearance and promoting infectivity (4, 6). Consistent with this mechanistic evidence, human mortality has been higher in more polluted areas during prior respiratory viral pandemics such as severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and the influenza pandemic of 1918 (10,11).

In the case of COVID-19, several additional mechanisms have been proposed for how short-term air pollution exposure may augment SARS-CoV-2 transmission or severity of infection. PM and NO\textsubscript{2} exposures lead to \textit{in vitro} overexpression of respiratory angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE-2), the cellular target for SARS-CoV-2, which could, in theory, promote viral entry and infection (12,13). Speculation has been offered that airborne particles may act as platforms for SARS-CoV-2, allowing viral aerosols to remain suspended in the air for longer distances than in the case of droplets, thereby enhancing transmission between individuals (14,15). The evidence to support this hypothesis comes from a study identifying SARS-CoV-2 RNA on outdoor particulate matter in Bergamo, Italy and a similar study finding higher levels of influenza RNA in air samples collected during Asian dust storms (16,17).

However, a more recent study in Italy concluded that outdoor airborne transmission is unlikely to play a significant role in the spread of SARS-CoV-2 after identifying only minimal atmospheric concentrations of the virus (18). While evidence in China suggests that short-term (e.g. daily) PM exposure is associated with higher COVID-19 infection rates, this may be explained by greater viral entry and replication by mechanisms described above, rather than via PM (19,20).

No controlled exposure studies have confirmed that ambient PM concentrations increase
transmission of SARS-CoV-2 or other respiratory viruses and a recent expert workshop on SARS-CoV-2 transmission did not identify ambient pollution as a factor promoting disease transmission but recommended that it be examined further (21).

Exposure to ambient air pollution in the decades preceding the pandemic may worsen the severity of illness among those who are infected with COVID-19. Long-term air pollution exposure increases the risk of chronic cardiovascular, metabolic, and pulmonary conditions that are consistently linked to worse outcomes in those infected with respiratory viruses, especially COVID-19 (3,22). In addition, long-term air pollution exposure increases the risk of developing acute respiratory distress syndrome, the primary cause of death among those with respiratory failure from COVID-19 (23-25).

**Associations Between Air Pollution and COVID-19 Infection Rates and Mortality**

A growing body of evidence suggests that higher long-term exposure to outdoor pollutants, mostly to PM and NO$_2$, increases the risk of infection and death from COVID-19 (26-31). One study estimates that particulate air pollution has contributed 15% to COVID-19 mortality worldwide, including 17% in North America and 19% in Europe (32). Table 1 includes studies published to date that utilized models to evaluate associations of long-term air pollution exposures and COVID-19 cases and deaths, controlling for many potential confounders such as timing of outbreak, population density, socioeconomic status, and co-morbidities. Notably, current studies remain restricted to county or municipal-level exposure/outcome data and are unable to adjust for individual-level risk factors given the limited publicly available data (33).
COVID-19 has disproportionately affected racial/ethnic minorities and low-income communities who have suffered higher rates of hospitalizations and mortality (34,35). Ambient air pollution may contribute to these severe disparities. In the United States, racial minorities on average have higher exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ and NO$_2$ compared to Caucasians, an inequality that has worsened despite improving air quality (36). Racial minorities are more likely to live in areas closer to industrial pollution and to work in business sectors with higher exposure to pollution. These inequalities in residential and occupational air pollution exposure may be a cause of the stark disparities of the COVID-19 pandemic along racial and ethnic lines.

COVID-19 Pandemic Highlights Scale of Pollution-Related Mortality

In an effort to control the spread of COVID-19, government policies dramatically decreased industrial activity and transportation, causing rapid improvements in air quality. Studies spanning multiple continents show NO$_2$ concentrations decreased by 50%, PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations by 40%, and PM$_{10}$ concentrations by 60% during global lockdowns (Figures 1 & 2) (37,38). The decline in air pollution levels likely improved mortality from non-communicable diseases, and thus may have softened the blow from COVID-19. PM$_{2.5}$ reductions during initial lockdowns are estimated to have avoided approximately 24,000 and 2,100 premature deaths in China and Europe, respectively, with similar health benefits attributed to NO$_2$ reductions (39,40). While there are historical examples of short-term air quality improvements leading to declines in admissions for respiratory conditions, similar studies have not yet been conducted for the
COVID-19 lockdowns and such studies would likely be confounded by overall reduced healthcare utilization during the lockdowns (41).

More than 91% of the world lives in areas that exceed the World Health Organization’s air quality guidelines and more people are impacted by worsening air quality each year (42,43). In the United States, approximately 45% of the population or 150 million Americans live in counties marked by ozone or PM$_{2.5}$ levels that exceed standards by 10 or more days per year, an increase compared to the prior three years, according to the American Lung Association’s 2020 State of the Air Report (43). Despite these growing numbers, government authorities including the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) weakened air quality management by suspending the requirement to report PM emissions during the COVID outbreak in an effort to stimulate the economy (44). On December 7th 2020, the US EPA opted to retain current national standards for fine PM against the advice of experts in the field, who cited extensive evidence that current standards are responsible for thousands of premature deaths each year (45,46).

The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the widespread health consequences of ambient air pollution, including acute effects on respiratory immune defenses and chronic effects that lead to higher risk of chronic cardiopulmonary disease and ARDS. These chronic health effects likely explain the higher COVID-19 mortality among those exposed to more air pollution. The pandemic has also provided a glimpse into the health benefits of cleaner air. As we emerge from this devastating public health crisis, COVID-19 is a wakeup call for the need to adopt stricter air quality standards and end our tolerance for pollution in disadvantaged
neighborhoods. As part of our post-COVID-19 recovery, we must clean up the air to improve respiratory health and equality worldwide.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1: Decrease in Nitrogen Dioxide Levels over China Between January and February 2020 Following Economic Lockdown. Reprinted (permission pending) from NASA Earth Observatory. Airborne nitrogen dioxide plummets over China. Image by Joshua Stevens [accessed on 2020 December 16]. Available from https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/images/146362/airborne-nitrogen-dioxide-plummets-over-china

Figure 2: Decrease in Nitric Dioxide Levels Over Europe During Economic Lockdown in Spring 2020 Compared to Spring 2019. Reprinted (permission pending) from The European Space Agency. Air pollution remains low as Europeans stay at home [accessed on 2020 December 16]. Available from https://www.esa.int/Applications/Observing_the_Earth/Copernicus/Sentinel-5P/Air_pollution_remains_low_as_Europeans_stay_at_home
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>COVID-19 Outcome</th>
<th>Principal Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al. (April 2020)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$ Average between 2000 - 2016</td>
<td>Mortality rate</td>
<td>Increase of 1 $\mu$g/m$^3$ in PM$_{2.5}$ associated with an 8% increase in mortality rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cole et al. (June 2020)</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$, NO$_2$, &amp; SO$_2$ Average between 2015 - 2019</td>
<td>Cases</td>
<td>1 $\mu$g/m$^3$ increase in PM$_{2.5}$ associated with 9.4 more cases, 3.0 more hospital admissions, and 2.3 more deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hospital admissions Deaths</td>
<td>NO$_2$ (but not SO$_2$) associated with cases and deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hendryx et al. (June 2020)</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}^<em>$, diesel PM$^+$ &amp; O$_3$ $^</em>$ Average for 2016 Average for 2014</td>
<td>Cases</td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$ and diesel PM associated with higher prevalence and mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Deaths</td>
<td>Diesel PM appeared to be the primary driver for associations with PM$_{2.5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travaglio et al. (June 2020)</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>PM$<em>{2.5}$, PM$</em>{10}$, SO$_2$, NO$_2$, NO, &amp; O$_3$ Average between 2018 - 2019</td>
<td>Cases</td>
<td>NO and NO$_2$ significant predictors of cases independent of population density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Deaths</td>
<td>1 $\mu$g/m$^3$ increase in SO$_2$ and NO associated with 17% and 2% higher mortality, respectively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Infectivity rate</td>
<td>O$_3$ negatively associated with cases and deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PM$<em>{2.5}$, PM$</em>{10}$, &amp; SO$_2$ associated with SARS-CoV-2 infectivity (OR 1.12, 1.07, and 1.32 respectively) but not cases or deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Konstantinoudis et al. (August 2020)</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$ &amp; NO$_2$ Average between 2014 - 2018</td>
<td>Mortality rate</td>
<td>1 $\mu$g/m$^3$ increase in PM$_{2.5}$ and NO$_2$ associated with 1.4% and 0.5% higher mortality rate, respectively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Exposure Measure</td>
<td>Outcome Measures</td>
<td>Findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Liang et al. (October 2020) | United States | PM$_{2.5}$, NO$_2$, & O$_3$ Annual mean between 2010 - 2016 | CFR, Mortality rate | NO$_2$ associated with 11.3% and 16.2% higher CFR and mortality rate, respectively, per IQR  
PM$_{2.5}$ associated with 14.9% higher mortality rate per IQR, but not with CFR  
No association between O$_3$ and CFR or mortality rate |

**Table 1:** Studies evaluating long-term air pollution exposure and COVID-19 incidence or mortality.

*Definition of abbreviations:* CFR = case fatality rate; diesel PM = diesel particulate matter; IQR = interquartile-range; NO = nitric oxide; NO$_2$ = nitric dioxide; O$_3$ = ozone; PM$_{2.5}$ = particulate matter <2.5 μm in diameter; PM$_{10}$ = particulate matter <10 μm in diameter; SO$_2$ = sulfur dioxide.