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ABSTRACT. — Mechanisms which isolate two sympatric species of the genus Sar-
sia, S. apicula (Murbach & Shearer, 1902) and S. tubulosa (M. Sars, 1835) in a
sheltered bay off southern Vancouver Island, Canada, were investigated. Cross-
breeding experiments using the two species revealed that there are two separate but
linked factors which prevent hybridization. One barrier is an 8 to 9 h time differe-
tence in spawning time. The second is an inability of the two species to interbreed
in interspecific crossings between $\delta \times \Omega$, but not with $\Omega \times \delta$ (asymmetrical bre-
ding), even when spawning time is experimentally modified and made synchro-
nous. The few embryos which resulted from $S. apicula \, \Omega \times S. tubulosa \, \delta$ pairings
under natural light were not viable beyond the cyst stage. Zygotes did not develop
from $S. apicula \, \delta \times S. tubulosa \, \Omega$ crosses under natural light because of decay of
eggs after an 8-9h time lag. Viable hybrids were raised from pairings once spaw-
ning times had been experimentally synchronized, but only from $S. apicula \, \delta \times S.\tubulosa \, \Omega$ and not from the opposite combination. These hybrids, produced under
experimentally altered light conditions, were comparable in numbers to the em-
byros and ensuing hydroids from intraspecific pairings of either species.

RéSUMÉ. — Les mécanismes isolant les deux espèces sympatriques du genre Sar-
sia, S. apicula (Marbach et Shearer, 1902) et S. tubulosa (M. Sars, 1835) d’une baie
abritée au sud de l’île de Vancouver, au Canada, ont été étudiés. Des expériences de
croisements des deux espèces en élevage révèlent que deux facteurs séparés mais
liés empêchent l’hybridation. Une première barrière est un décalage de 8 à 9 h dans
le moment de la ponte. La seconde barrière est l’impossibilité pour les 2 espèces de
se croiser entre $\delta \times \Omega$, alors que le croisement $\Omega \times \delta$ est possible (croisement
asymétrique), même si le moment de la ponte est modifié expérimentalement et ren-
du synchrone. Les quelques embryons résultant des croisements $S. apiculata \, \Omega \times S.\tubulosa \, \delta$ en lumière naturelle ne sont pas viables au delà du stade du cyste. Les
zygotes provenant des croisements $S. apicula \, \delta \times S. tubulosa \, \Omega$ sous lumière natu-
relle ne se développent pas à cause de la dégénérescence des œufs après 8 à 9 h de
décalage. Des hybrides viables sont obtenus à partir de croisements opérés sur des
pontes rendues synchrones, mais seulement avec $S. apicula \, \delta \times S. tubulosa \, \Omega$ et
non avec la combinaison inverse. Ces hybrides, produits expérimentalement en
conditions lumineuses modifiées, sont comparables aux embryons et aux stades hy-
droïdes provenant de croisements intraspecifiques de chaque espèce.

INTRODUCTION

Several species of the genus Sarsia Lesson have been
described from the southern British Columbia
cost, Canada and the San Juan Islands, Washing-
ton, USA (Arai & Brinckmann-Voss 1980, Mills
1980, Miller 1982, Brinckmann-Voss 1985, 2000,
Strathmann 1987). Some of these species morpho-
logically resemble S. tubulosa (M. Sars, 1835), but
they are difficult to distinguish, especially if the
medusa stage only is considered. Miller (1980a,
1982), working in the nearby San Juan Islands
(Washington state, USA) on the problem of sperm
attractants in intraspecific and interspecific mat-
ings (“intraspecific” for crossings within one spe-
cies and “interspecific for crossings between spe-
cies is used throughout this paper; as used for
instance by Szmant et al. 1997 and others), reported
some biological separation of Sarsia tubulosa like
medusae. He therefore considered them "sibling" species, defined by Mayr (1982: 271, 281) as morphologically similar populations which are reproductively isolated. In later comparative studies of the genus Sarsia from the southern part of Vancouver Island and the San Juan Islands (Brinckmann-Voss 1985, 2000), "morphotypes of the Sarsia tubulosa complex" (see Miller 1980b, 1982) were separated into species through morphological characters, such as egg size and structure of the hydroids. The Sarsia tubulosa morphotypes from Friday Harbor (San Juan Islands) should now be considered separate sympatric species, being morphologically different and having a certain degree of reproductive isolation as shown by Miller (1982) (note that Miller's Sarsia S is S. apicina, and Sarsia L is Sarsia bella; see Brinckmann-Voss 2000 for discussion of these species). Based on end-of-the-season collections of Sarsia, which were difficult to identify, Miller suspected hybridization among Sarsia tubulosa morphotypes from Friday Harbor, but this assumption needed confirmation. Experiments done as part of my studies, with material from Friday Harbor and Sooke Harbour (locations less than 65 km apart) did not produce viable zygotes in crosses between S. tubulosa morphotypes now identified as S. bella (Sarsia L in Miller 1982) and S. apicina (Sarsia S in Miller 1982).

The regular abundance in sheltered Sooke Harbour of two morphotypes of Sarsia tubulosa now identified as S. tubulosa (M. Sars, 1835) and S. apicina (Murdoch & Shearer 1902), were used in this study of reproductive isolation. This seemed interesting because Miller & Babcock (1997) and Wallace & Willis (1994) studying sympatric corals, and Pernet (1999) sympatric polychaetes, have reported that even morphologically distinct sympatric species seem to hybridize in the laboratory, with the barriers of reproductive isolation apparently not functioning. Reproductive isolation had generally been considered an effective barrier between sympatric species until now, defining species through their biological properties. Notably Mayr (1982: 273) considered the biological species concept superior to the older morphological species definition. The authors noted above sought explanations to seeming contradictions of biological versus morphological species in the "ecological niches" of each species.

The term "biological" species versus the "morphological" species (discussed and summarized in Mayr 1982), was based mainly on terrestrial organisms, where there are enough different barriers to allow for the evolution of allopatric and sympatric species (defined in Mayr, Linsley & Usinger 1953). Palumbi (1994) however, discussed the application of this concept to the marine environment, which superficially seems to lack the various natural barriers known from the terrestrial habitats. Based on a number of studies he was able to report the existence of various barriers in the marine environment which would explain the diversity of species in numerous marine groups. The two sympatric hydrozoan species Sarsia tubulosa and S. apicina appeared to be good material for a study of these reproductive barriers.

Any research addressing evolution and maintenance of species should include genetic testing of the species, and if present, their hybrids. As my main research is morphology and biology of hydrozoans, molecular biological methods were not used here; however, material from the present work was preserved for genetic testing.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Collection: the medusae were collected by dipping from a floating dock at a small marina in Sooke Harbour, where medusae drift by with the tide. Medusae were most abundant near the surface about three hours after low tide especially during spring tides, when water currents were maximal. The medusae were put in a large insulated container, and returned immediately to the laboratory. There they were placed in a large glass bowl under natural light, not later than 30 min. after collecting, to prevent spawning (see below). The animals were then separated into the two species and each species into ♂ and ♀ specimens. From these "bulk" glasses single pairs in different combinations for intraspecific and interspecific crosses were placed in individual glass cups holding either 140-150 or 240-250 ml water. Cups with rounded walls are preferable to glasses with straight walls because counting of gametes, embryos and primary hydranths was easier in glasses with rounded walls. To facilitate counting, the outer bottoms of the glasses were divided in 8 or 16 numbered segments with a marker. Sea water used for maintaining collected medusae and for all experiments was collected from as unpolluted areas as possible, paper filtered, and left standing at least a week in to avoid any contamination with sperm or eggs. Moreover, additional ♀ only medusae were used in all sets of breeding experiments to find any contamination with sperm which presence could be detected from fertilized eggs. All sets of crossings between Sarsia tubulosa and S. apicina were done with four to eight separate breeding pairs, with half of them opposite sexes to detect individual variations in hybridization pattern. In addition each set of crosses included a separate pair of S. tubulosa ♂ ♀ and S. apicina ♂ ♀ as control. Each set of breeding experiments, consisting of a total of 6 to 10 separate breeding pairs, was repeated with medusae from new collections 17 times during April/May 2002 and 10 times during April/May 2001. Many more breeding experiments involving the two species were done in previous years, but due to different spawning times of the two species, and different life span of male and female gametes in the case of S. tubulosa (see below), results were often erratic and difficult to interpret. Results became repeatable and clearer to analyze only, when methods were found in 2001-2002
to synchronize spawning times of *Sarsia tubulosa* and *S. apicula*.

Sperm density was not measured, but in order to keep sperm density fairly constant each set of matings used same size glasses containing either 140-150 ml or 240-250 ml of sea-water. As the intraspecific pairs of *S. tubulosa* δ × ♀ and *S. apicula* δ × ♀ in each set of experiments had a fertilization rate of 94-100 % (n=27) it was assumed that the sperm density was sufficient for fertilization and independent of the two glass sizes or individual variation in the male medusae. Insufficient sperm density could therefore be excluded as cause for the low fertilization rate in part of the interspecific crossings (additional interspecific crossings of the two species done in the years before 2001 had always the same high fertilization rate).

Once zygotes reached 2-8 cell division stages the parent medusae were removed from the culture bowls and preserved in 95 % ethyl alcohol.

Primary hydranths were fed with nauplii of *Thisbe* sp. (Brinckmann-Voss 1985) within seven days of appearance from the cyst. If left unfed longer the tentacles of the primary hydranths regressed and did not regenerate. Large hydranths and whole colonies were fed with adult *Thisbe* sp., which is preferable to brine shrimps, because the hydroid colonies needed to be handled once a week only (this feeding method applies for *Sarsia* hydroids only; other hydroid genera or medusa may have to be fed more often).

Medusae liberated from their hydroids were also first fed with *Thisbe* sp. nauplii and later with brine shrimps and adult *Thisbe* sp. on alternate days.

For the breeding experiments the medusae bowls were kept either in natural light/dark or, in case of the light/dark experiments, were put under a 60 w lamp during the night, followed by placing the glasses in a box during dawn and daylight.

Photographs were taken with a Wild/Leitz MPS 52 camera used either on a stereomicroscope M8, M 420 or on a compound microscope Leica DMLB equipped with interference phase contrast (Nomarski). Most pictures are from living specimens.

**RESULTS**

*Morphological differences between Sarsia tubulosa and S. apicula*

The medusae (Fig. 1 a,d) redescribed by Edwards 1978, Arai & Brinckmann-Voss 1980, & Brinckmann-Voss 1985, Schuchert 2001, are very similar, nearly impossible to distinguish when preserved. Their most distinctive characters when living are the bluish tentacles in *S. tubulosa* compared to bright red tentacles in *S. apicula* which can already be seen in the sea. The red tentacles are not dependent on food, but are a specific character as discussed by Brinckmann-Voss 1985.

The mesogloea at the margin is thicker in *S. apicula* than in *S. tubulosa*, which results in more bulging of the mesogloea around the marginal bulbs in *S. apicula* than in *S. tubulosa* (compare Fig. 1b with 1e; see also Fig. 4c in Miller, 1982 as "Sarsia s.*" = *S. apicula*).

The hydroid stages (Fig. 1c, f) of both species are morphologically more distinctive (Edwards 1978, Brinckmann-Voss 1985) than the medusa stage.

**Ecological remarks**

Adult medusae of *S. tubulosa* appear on the surface waters of Sooke Harbour between end of March and end of April at a water temperature of 6-9°C, and diminish by the beginning of June. *S. apicula* is present from middle of April to beginning of July, later than *S. tubulosa* which it slowly replaces from end of May on. During May, when both species are abundant, their populations may become very dense and often more than 10 specimens may be seen in an area about 10 square cm.

In the laboratory *S. tubulosa* hydroids bud medusae between 4° and 10°C from December to May. Four different colonies aged one to four years showed no variation in this pattern of medusa budding and all four colonies started budding within the same week in December. However these temperatures apply to the *S. tubulosa* hydroid colonies raised from medusa from Sooke Harbour only. *S. tubulosa* hydroids obtained by the author from Helgoland, North Sea bud at higher temperatures (above 8°C). Werner (1963) reported budding temperatures of *S. tubulosa* of 2-8°C from two locations in the North Sea, whereas Edwards (1978) reported a temperature range for budding between 2-20°C from Oban, Scotland. It may, however, be possible that Edwards worked with different colonies, spatially separated, which may have resulted in the unusual wide temperature range of budding. Hydroids of *Sarsia apicula* bud medusae in the laboratory at an average temperature above 9°C.

**Development of embryos from spawning medusae to primary hydranths**

*Intraspecific crossing*

*Sarsia apicula*, ♀ × δ (Plate I a-d), Table I, II: *Sarsia apicula* medusae spawned 3-4 hrs after sunrise. Spawning occurred for three to four days in unfed animals, with diminishing egg numbers on consecutive days.

Diameter of eggs were 82 μm (n=50). The first divisions were total, equal and mostly radial leading to a coeloblastula and a swimming, ciliated planula as described for various other hydrozoans (Uchida & Yamada 1957, Van de Vyver 1967, Tardent 1978). The rate of fertilization was 94-
100 % (in this paper "fertilization" was used as the first two cleavage stages compared to undivided eggs). The planula became spherical before settling as a cyst, making it difficult to distinguish between anterior (=animal) and posterior (=vegetative) poles typical for numerous other hydrozoan larvae (Freeman 1980, Werner 1984, Van de Vyver 1995). The pattern of early development of Sarsia apicula is similar to that described by Bodo & Bouillon (1968) of S. eximia. Differences noted in their development are probably caused by the larger egg size in S. eximia, which was recently moved to the genus Coryne (Petersen 1990, Schuchert 2001). Three days after cyst formation about half of the encysted embryos became elongated, and two days later all embryos changed from round disks to an oblong shape with the thickening of one end as the future hypostome and a slight thickening of the aboral end, giving the embryo the shape of a dumbbell. The embryos were 250 μm long at this stage (average of 10). Tentacles, usually three, deve-
op ed on the sixth day after spawning, with the primary hydranths emerging from their cysts.

Feeding of primary hydranths started at this stage. Growth of the hydorhiza commenced about 6 days after the first feeding, although subsequent rate of growth depended on feeding and crowding. All well spaced primary hydranths developed into small colonies.

*Sarsia tubulosa* ♀ × ♂ (Plate II a-f), Table I, II: *S. tubulosa* medusae spawned one to three hours after sunset under natural light. Egg diameter was 84 μm (n=50). The rate of fertilization was 97-99%. The pace and pattern of early development appeared to be the same as for *S. apicula*, although with a considerable time difference because *S. tubulosa* spawns 8-9 hours before *S. apicula*, whose spawning is induced by light. *Sarsia tubulosa* is the only species of the genus *Sarsia* from the research region covering south Vancouver Island and San Juan Islands whose release of gametes is triggered by darkness.

**Interspecific crossings of Sarsia apicula × S. tubulosa**

**Breeding under natural light conditions**

*Sarsia apicula* ♀ × *S. tubulosa* ♂: Placed under natural light, *S. apicula* ♂ crossed with *S. tubulosa* ♀ produced no zygotes. As there was an 8 to 9 hour difference in spawning time (Table I), *S. tubulosa* eggs were already disintegrating by the time *S. apicula* had spawned.

*Sarsia apicula* ♀ × *S. tubulosa* ♂: This combination produced a small percentage of zygotes, some reaching the planula and cyst stage. The discrepancy between these two sets of matings may be caused either by the longer viability of the sperm than of the eggs or males may spawn over a period of several hours compared to the females which shed their eggs within half an hour. Therefore, to ascertain the longevity of the male gametes or the longer period of spawning compared to the shorter life span of the eggs, a separate intraspecific spawning experiment was done; *S. tubulosa* ♀ were treated with light/dark, (see also below) postponing the spawning time of the female by 8 hours. A male *S. tubulosa* which had spawned under natural light conditions 8 hours earlier, or continuously spawned for some hours, was then added together with its water to the treated female. A small percentage of eggs developed into zygotes, all of which developed into normal primary hydranths and colonies. The opposite with ♂ postponed spawning for 8 hours and ♀ spawning under natural light, did not produce zygotes because the eggs disintegrated in less than 8 hours. This explains why in interspecific crossing of *S. apicula* ♀ × *S. tubulosa* ♂ there is some fertilization, but not with opposite sexes (Table III). Ability of sperm to fertilize was discussed by Miller & Staub (1982) & Rosen-Runge (1962) for different species of hydromedusae.

Although the crossing of *S. apicula* ♀ with *S. tubulosa* ♂ under natural light produced some zygotes, fertilization (for practical purposes success-
Table II. - Development of from intraspecific pairing of *Sarsia tubulosa* ♀ × ♂, *S. apicula* ♀ × ♂. Times refer to age of embryos after spawning see Table I. % is given as number of completed developmental stages compared to earlier stages or undeveloped eggs. Development times given as completion of each stage. * Irregular stages seem slightly more common than in *S.tubulosa*, but never as abundant from the interspecific crosses of *S.apicula*♀ × *S.tubulosa* ♂; nd.nc. normal development observed, but embryos not counted. n: number of embryos counted. Capital letters designate the different single pairs of medusae.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>2-4 cell</th>
<th>Coelo blastula</th>
<th>Planula</th>
<th>Cyst</th>
<th>Dumbbell</th>
<th>Primary hydranth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>S.tubulosa</em> ♀ × ♂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average devel. times</td>
<td>50min-2h</td>
<td>4-7h</td>
<td>15-24h</td>
<td>32-48h</td>
<td>53-72h</td>
<td>6 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S.tubulosa</em> development rates and percentages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular divisions</td>
<td>A: 99%</td>
<td>Planula</td>
<td>Most planula settled as cysts</td>
<td>Elongation of embryos and thickening at both ends</td>
<td>total number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>typical; n=100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>irregular divisions</td>
<td>B: 97%</td>
<td>and crawling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minimal; n=121</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S.apicula</em> ♀ × ♂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average devel. times</td>
<td>1-2 h</td>
<td>4-6h</td>
<td>12-24h</td>
<td>24-60h</td>
<td>72-96h</td>
<td>6 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S.apicula</em> development rates and %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular divisions</td>
<td>A: 100%</td>
<td>Planula</td>
<td>Most planula settled as cysts</td>
<td>Elongation of embryos and thickening at both ends</td>
<td>total number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>typical; n=127</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>irregular divisions</td>
<td>B: 94%</td>
<td>and crawling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minimal; n=235</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C: nd.nc. n=225</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S.tubulosa</em> ♀</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ctrl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S.apicula</em> ♀</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ctrl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ful fertilization was registered as the appearance of the first or second division, although this should be called cleaving. See also Lesson & Cunningham (1990) was of a much lower percentage than the intraspecific crossing of either *S. tubulosa* or *S. apicula*. Besides the low fertilization rate of crosses of *S. apicula* ♀ × *S. tubulosa* ♂, different pairs from the same collection varied much more in their fertilization rate than in intraspecific pairings. The few zygotes of *S. apicula* ♀ × *S. tubulosa* ♂ crosses would reach the planula stage and settle as cysts. But whereas encysted embryos from intraspecific matings reached the primary hydranth stage in 6 days (Table II, plate I,i), interspecific hybrids from the *S. apicula* ♀ × *S. tubulosa* combination shrunk slowly in their cysts, rarely reaching the dumbbell stage and not producing viable primary hydranths (plate I, h).

Breeding under experimentally changed light conditions:

In order to synchronize spawning times of *S. apicula* and *S. tubulosa*, medusae of the latter were exposed to continuous light from daylight the previous day to 6 h the following day, followed by dark for two or three hours. Spawning then occurred between 8-9h in the morning at the same time *S. apicula* spawned under natural light conditions (Table I).

*S. tubulosa* ♀ × *S. apicula* ♂: Table III shows a high fertilization rate, nearly equal the intraspecific pairings of either *S. tubulosa* or *S. apicula*; in further development a large percentage of zygotes metamorphosed into primary hydranths (Table III). The time needed for their development from the first two cleavages to the primary hydranth was the same as for the intraspecific crossings. Variation between different pairs of *S. tubulosa* ♀ × *S. apicula* ♂ was small. The primary hydranths of these hybrids (from year 2002) grew into small colonies within the same time span as those from intraspecific crossings, but had not budded medusae in the time this paper went into press. However, one hybrid colony raised in 2001 did bud medusae; but these medusae never became fertile, although medusae raised from non hybrid hydroid colonies (*Sarsia apicula* ♀ × ♂ and *S. tubulosa* ♂ × ♀) raised in the same time span did so. Results from one colony however, are not sufficient to ascertain the fecundity of a hybrid.

*S. tubulosa* ♂ × *S. apicula* ♀: the fertilization rate varied between 50 % and 98 % in different pairs (Table III). After the first regular cleavages
Plate I. - a-d: *Sarsia apicula* developmental stages; a- egg; b- two cell stage; c- several 4 cell zygotes; d- coeloblastula. e-h: *S. apicula* ♀×*S. tubulosa* ♂ developmental stages, often irregular after the first division. e- first cleavage; f- two cell stage; scale e-f:100μ; g- four cell stage with irregularly shaped blastomeres; h- shrinking embryo of *S. apicula* ♀×*S. tubulosa* ♂ in its cyst; i- primary hydranth of *S. apicula* ♀×*S. tubulosa* ♂, same age as h. scale a-d, g-i: 100 μm

(Plate I, e, f) development in these hybrids showed a large percentage of irregular embryos (Plate I g). These irregular early division stages however, often developed into seemingly normal embryos by the time they reached the late blastula (a similar development pattern was reported in Miller 1982 as personal information from G. Freeman). Although blastulae developed into swimming planula and cysts, none of these “irregular-regular” early embryos developed further than the cyst stage (Plate I, h). Those embryos of *S. tubulosa* ♂×*S. apicula* ♀ with regular division stages throughout early development developed to the cyst stage, but also these hybrids with a seemingly normal early development did not metamorphose into primary hydranths and disintegrated then slowly within their cysts as reported above for the few *S. tubulosa* ♂×*S. apicula* ♀ hybrid cysts from breeding under natural light conditions. Some cysts elongated slightly as if to form primary hydranths, but they died and no primary hydranths ever developed from the *S. tubulosa* ♂×*S. apicula* ♀ combination.
DISCUSSION

Results from hybridization experiments of Sarsia tubulosa and S. apicula revealed two barriers which keep the two species reproductively isolated and prevent their hybridization under natural conditions. First, a difference of 8-9 hours in spawning time between the two species results in female gametes of S. tubulosa already disintegrating at a time when male medusae of S. apicula are spawning. Although the opposite S. apicula × S. tubulosa cross produced a low percentage of hybrid zygotes under natural light conditions, these were not viable and died off before metamorphosing into primary hydranths. Even when spawning times were synchronized experimentally in the laboratory the two species did not interbreed freely as in intraspecific pairings. Although S. apicula × S. tubulosa produced a high percentage of primary hydranths (Table III) the opposite cross S. apicula × S. tubulosa resulted in a much lower fertilization rate, often irregularly shaped embryos and cysts which did not metamorphose into primary hydranths. A second barrier thus exists to hybridization, which mostly affects pairing with one set of sexes and not when done with opposite sexes. This “asymmetrical” barrier seems more to affect the viability of the embryos in the cyst stage than the fertilization and early division stages, and thus acts more post than prezygotically.

“Asymmetrical” hybridization with synchronized spawning times may also explain why in pairings under natural light, and therefore also in nature, those few embryos which may get fertilized in crossings of S. apicula × S. tubulosa are affected by the barrier of asymmetrical development and do not develop beyond the cyst stage. In the
### Table III. - Development from interspecific breeding of *Sarsia tubulosa* × *S. apicula*

From spawning under natural light (8-9 hours time difference between spawning of the two species) and experimentally changed light to achieve synchronized spawning for both species. n=number of embryos counted; Capital letters designate the different breeding pairs. All numbers and percentages are from a one day single spawning. The percentage of coeloblastulae was calculated against non developed eggs or irregular earlier stages. Controls consisted of several ♀♀ from either species. Ne: development was observed but single stages not counted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breeding Pair</th>
<th>2-4 cell</th>
<th>% coeloblastula</th>
<th>cysts, total</th>
<th>Primary hydranths, total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural spawn. <em>S. apicula</em> ♀ × <em>S. tubulosa</em> ♂</td>
<td>Disintegrating eggs only</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural spawn</td>
<td>Regular and irregular</td>
<td>A: 12% n=250 A: 75</td>
<td>No primary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. apicula ♀ × <em>S. tubulosa</em> ♂</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>B: 50% n=168 B: 172</td>
<td>hydranths</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. apicula ♀ × <em>S. tubulosa</em> ♂</td>
<td>C: 9% n=274 C: ne</td>
<td>D: 8% n=214 D: ne</td>
<td>developed from cysts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchronized spawn.</td>
<td>Regular and irregular</td>
<td>E: 51% n=98 E: 371</td>
<td>No primary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. apicula</em> ♀ × <em>S. tubulosa</em> ♂</td>
<td>F: 71% n=105 F: 333</td>
<td>G: 98% n=693 G: ne</td>
<td>developed from cysts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchronized spawn.</td>
<td>Mostly regular</td>
<td>H: 59% n=343 H: ne</td>
<td>I: 97% n=204 I: ne</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. apicula</em> ♀ × <em>S. tubulosa</em> ♂</td>
<td>J: 98% n=105 J: 790</td>
<td>K: 98% n=109 K: ne</td>
<td>L: 734</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ctrl: <em>S. tubulosa</em> ♀</td>
<td>Disintegrating eggs only</td>
<td>L: ne</td>
<td>M: 602</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ctrl: <em>S. apicula</em> ♀</td>
<td>Disintegrating eggs only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

opposite cross (*S. tubulosa* ♀ × *S. apicula* ♂) under natural light hybridization can not occur at all because of the decay of eggs over the eight hours time difference in spawning of the two species. "Asymmetrical" hybridization has been reported from different phyla as reported by Strathmann (1981), Miller (1982), Lesson & Cunningham (1990), Miller & Babcock (1997) and Pernet (1999).

Clearly, *S. apicula* and *S. tubulosa* from Sooke Harbour are efficiently isolated reproductively both by the difference in spawning time and by a second isolating mechanism affecting mostly the viability of embryos in the cyst stage of *S. apicula♀ × *S. tubulosa♂ but not the opposite cross.

These results differ from those of Miller (1982), because Miller’s species from Friday Harbor were: *Sarsia bella* and *S. apicula* (*Sarsia L* and *Sarsia S* in Miller 1982). According to his work the two species had a spawning difference of only about one hour, which did not prove to be an effective isolating mechanism between his species (not as interpreted in Schuchert 2001). Miller suspected the presence of additional isolating mechanisms acting as reproductive barriers in the Friday Harbor natural populations.
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