

Spectral Induced Polarization Characterization of Non-Consolidated Clays for Varying Salinities-An Experimental Study

Aida Mendieta, Damien Jougnot, Philippe Leroy, Alexis Maineult

► To cite this version:

Aida Mendieta, Damien Jougnot, Philippe Leroy, Alexis Maineult. Spectral Induced Polarization Characterization of Non-Consolidated Clays for Varying Salinities-An Experimental Study. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 2021, 126 (4), 10.1029/2020JB021125. hal-03247021

HAL Id: hal-03247021 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03247021v1

Submitted on 2 Jun2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Spectral induced polarization characterization of non-consolidated clays for varying salinities - an experimental study

Aida Mendieta¹, Damien Jougnot¹, Philippe Leroy², and Alexis Maineult¹

5	$^1 \mathrm{Sorbonne}$ Université, CNRS, EPHE, UMR 7619 METIS, 75005 Paris, France
6	$^2\mathrm{BRGM},$ French Geological Survey, 45060 Orléans, France

7 Key Points:

1

2

3

- The quadrature conductivity of clays behaves non-monotonously with increasing salinity
 Some polarization mechanisms may cease to act or decrease significantly at a specific salinity
- The quadrature to surface conductivity ratio is lower for clays than for other min erals

Corresponding author: Aida Mendieta, aida.mendieta_tenorio@upmc.fr

14 Abstract

Clay material characterization is of importance for many geo-engineering and environ-15 mental applications, and geo-electrical methods are often used to detect them in the sub-16 surface. Spectral induced polarization (SIP) is a geo-electric method that non-intrusively 17 measures the frequency-dependent complex electrical conductivity of a material, in the 18 mHz to the kHz range. We present a new SIP dataset of four different types of clay (a 19 red montmorillonite sample, a green montmorillonite sample, a kaolinite sample, and an 20 illite sample) at five different salinities (initially de-ionized water, 10^{-3} , 10^{-2} , 10^{-1} , and 21 1 mol/L of NaCl). We propose a new laboratory protocol that allows the repeatable char-22 acterization of clay samples. The complex conductivity spectra are interpreted with the 23 widely used phenomenological double-Pelton model. We observe an increase of the real 24 part of the conductivity with salinity for all types of clay, while the imaginary part presents 25 a non monotonous behavior. The decrease of polarization over conduction with salin-26 ity is interpreted as evidence that conduction increases with salinity faster than polar-27 ization. We test the empirical petrophysical relationship between σ''_{surf} and σ'_{surf} and 28 validate this approach based on our experimental data and two other datasets from the 29 literature. With this dataset we can better understand the frequency-dependent elec-30 trical response of different types of clay. This unique dataset of complex conductivity 31 spectra for different types of clay samples is a step forward toward better characteriza-32 tion of clay formations in situ. 33

³⁴ 1 Introduction

Clay minerals are ubiquitous in the Earth's subsurface and can be found in many 35 geological formations, from hard clay rocks to disseminated clay aggregates or lenses in 36 other sedimentary rocks. These minerals are frequently the main components of extended 37 sedimentary stratigraphic layers. Illite and smectite alone may constitute around 30%38 of all sedimentary rocks (Garrels & Mackenzie, 1971). Clay materials are fine-grained 39 soil materials (particle size below 2 μ m) characterized by a large fraction of nanopores, 40 high specific surface area (between 10 and 1000 m^2/g), and a large negative surface charge 41 (between -0.15 and -0.10 $\rm Cm^{-2}$) (e.g., Michot & Villiéras, 2006), thus large cationic ex-42 change capacity (CEC, between 0.03 and 1.5 meq g^{-1}) and low permeability (typically 43 below 10^{-16} m²)(Revil & Leroy, 2004). These properties make clay formations suitable 44 to be, e.g.: cap rocks forming geo-reservoirs, aquitards defining the geometry of hydrosys-45

tems, or potential hosts for waste repositories. Studying the transport and mechanical 46 properties of clay materials is crucial for many geoengineering and environmental appli-47 cations, such as: oil and gas (e.g., Morsy & Sheng, 2014), geothermal energy exploration 48 and production (e.g., Corrado et al., 2014), critical zone research (e.g., Chorover et al., 49 2007), nuclear waste storage (e.g., Gonçalvès et al., 2012; Ortiz et al., 2002), hydroge-50 ology (e.g., Parker et al., 2008; Konikow et al., 2001), civil engineering (e.g., Islam et al., 51 2020), among others.

52

Clay formations are geological formations composed of a majority of clay minerals. Clay 53 minerals are hydrous aluminium phyllosilicates, that is, silicates organized in stacks of 54 tetrahedral (T) silica sheets and aluminium octahedral (O) sheets called platelets (Bergaya 55 & Lagaly, 2006). The T and O sheets present an overall negative electrical charge at their surfaces because of deprotonated oxygen atoms and isomorphic substitutions in the crys-57 tal lattice (Leroy & Revil, 2004). Due to these charges on the clay surface, cations (e.g.: 58 Ca²⁺, Na⁺, Mg²⁺, K⁺) can be adsorbed in the interlayer space of illite, smectite and 59 chlorite minerals between platelets; and on the external surface in the electrical double 60 layer (EDL) made of the Stern and diffuse layer (Leroy & Revil, 2009). The differences 61 between clay minerals depend on the kind of tetrahedral and octahedral stacks (1:1 for 62 TO or 2:1 for TOT) and adsorbed cations in the interlayer space (e.g., K^+ for illite or 63 Na^+ and Ca^{2+} for montmorillonite) (Brigatti et al., 2006). The clay platelets are then 64 organized in tactoids, that is, stacks of platelets having different geometries, which form 65 aggregates (Bergaya & Lagaly, 2006). There are four main groups of clay minerals: kaolinite, illite, smectite, and chlorite. 67

The total specific surface area of a kaolinite tactoid, typically 10-20 m^2/g , is considerably lower than the total specific surface area of an illite and montmorillonite tactoid 69 (typically 100-200 m^2/g for illite and 750-800 m^2/g for Na-montmorillonite)(Hassan et 70 al., 2006; Revil & Leroy, 2004; Tournassat et al., 2011, 2015). Clay formations can be 71 constituted of a mixture or stratifications of different clay minerals (e.g., inter-stratified 72 illite-smectite). In the present work, we focus on the three more common groups: kaoli-73 nite (1:1), illite (2:1), and smectite (2:1), montmorillonites are part of the smectite fam-74 ily). As presented previously, kaolinite, illite and smectite groups present many differ-75 ent characteristics in terms of structure (e.g., number of stacked platelets, tactoid size 76 and shape), physicochemical properties (e.g., surface charges, CEC), mechanical prop-77 erties (e.g., plasticity, resistance to stress, swelling-shrinking), and also electrical prop-78

reference error er

in order to characterize the properties of the formation or predict its behavior if submit-

ted to stress (e.g., hydraulic, mechanic, thermic).

In geophysics, the most common methods to identify the presence of clay minerals non-82 intrusively in the field are electrical and electromagnetic methods (e.g., Auken et al., 2017): 83 direct current electrical resistivity tomography (ERT) (e.g., Batayneh, 2006), induced 84 polarization (IP) (e.g., Okay et al., 2013; Lévy et al., 2019a), time-domain electromag-85 netics (TDEM) (e.g., Finco et al., 2018), frequency-domain (FDEM) electromagnetics 86 (e.g., Spichak & Manzella, 2009), and ground penetrating radar (GPR) (e.g., Looms et 87 al., 2018). However, if clays are usually associated to high electrical conductivity zones, they can be mistaken with highly mineralized pore water when only the real electrical conductivity is considered. One way to avoid this misinterpretation is to use the com-90 plex conductivity (inferred from IP), that is the real and imaginary parts of the conduc-91 tivity, or its spectral behavior, i.e. the dependence with frequency of the conductivity, 92 to extract more information than from a single frequency measurement. 93 The spectral induced polarization (SIP) method can investigate the conduction and po-94 larization of geological materials over a large range of frequencies: from the mHz to the 95 kHz (e.g., Kemna et al., 2012; Revil et al., 2012). Indeed, in addition to the resistivity, 96 the SIP method gives the chargeability of the investigated porous medium, which describes 97 its capability to reversibly store electrical charges (e.g., Revil et al., 2012; Tabbagh et 98 al., 2021). The chargeability is very sensitive to the pore structure and electrical surface 99 properties (Leroy & Revil, 2009). When SIP measurements are coupled with a relevant 100 petrophysical model, they can provide information on the nature and behavior of elec-101 trical phenomena (conduction and polarization) happening at the pore scale (Revil, 2012), 102 helping to interpret field scale geophysical electrical measurements in terms of mineral-103

ogy, pore structure, water content, and permeability distribution (Okay et al., 2013; Ghorbani et al., 2009).

¹⁰⁶ The frequency-dependent electrical response of clay minerals has been recently studied

in well-controlled conditions in the laboratory. Many clayey materials have been stud-

- ied, from mixtures containing quartz sand and clays (e.g., Breede et al., 2012; Okay et
- al., 2014; Wang & Slater, 2019), synthetic clay suspensions (e.g., Leroy et al., 2017a),
- to natural clays and clayrocks (e.g., Lévy et al., 2018; Jougnot et al., 2010). These mea-

-4-

surements have been performed in saturated (e.g., Lévy et al., 2019b) or partially watersaturated (e.g., Cosenza et al., 2008; Ghorbani et al., 2009; Jougnot et al., 2010) con-

113 ditions.

However, as pointed out by Leroy & Revil (2009) and Leroy et al. (2017a), there is a lack 114 of SIP laboratory studies on individual clay minerals. Indeed, measuring the frequency-115 dependent electrical response of individual clay minerals is of great importance to bet-116 ter understand their specific conduction and polarization and to improve their geophys-117 ical imaging. This is needed in order to move towards a full discrimination of clay min-118 erals when interpreting field electrical measurements. This can only be achieved by bet-119 ter understanding the electrical signal of each individual type of clay. In this paper, we 120 intend to characterize the electrical signal of a variety of clay samples at multiple fre-121 quencies (from mHz to kHz) and at multiple salinities (from initially de-ionized water 122 to 1 mol/L of NaCl) using laboratory SIP measurements on three groups of clay min-123 erals: illite, smectite, and kaolinite. 124

In the present contribution, we first present the method and some theoretical background for the SIP of clay materials. Then, we detail the protocol we propose in order to obtain the clay samples, characterize them, perform the SIP measurements, and post-treat them. We present the results on four clay samples (two smectite samples, a kaolinite sample, and an illite sample) at five different salinities (initially de-ionized water, 10⁻³, 10⁻², 10⁻¹, and 1 mol/L of NaCl) and analyze them using a phenomenological model. Finally, we discuss our results with respect to the existing literature.

132 2 Theory

133

2.1 Characteristics of kaolinite, illite, and montmorillonite

As mentioned earlier, clay minerals have a strong electrical conductivity response due to the high surface conductivity associated with the high electrical charge on their surface (Revil & Leroy, 2004; Revil, 2012). This particularity, in addition to the heterogeneities of the surface electrical properties of clay minerals (Leroy & Revil, 2004), makes clay systems quite complex but also, interesting to characterize electrically.

Kaolinite is a 1:1 clay, composed of a succession of silica tetrahedral (T) and aluminum

octahedral (O) sheets (see Figure 1a) whereas illite and montmorillonite (member of the

smectite group) are 2:1 clays made up of a succession of TOT sheets (see Figure 1b) (Leroy



Figure 1. Sketch of a (a) kaolinite and an (b) illite or montmorillonite clay tactoid showing the different types of surface sites on the basal and edge surfaces as well as the electrical double layer around them (electrical double layer not shown for kaolinite) and the interlayer space between TOT sheets (modified from Leroy & Revil, 2009).

& Revil, 2009). The thickness of a TOT platelet is around 9.5 Å, its length is around 142 50-100 nm for illite and 50-1000 nm for montmorillonite (Tournassat et al., 2015). For 143 kaolinite, the thickness of a TO platelet is around 7 Å and its length lies between around 144 200 nm to more than 1000 nm (Tournassat & Steefel, 2015). The number of stacked lay-145 ers of a kaolinite tactoid ranges from 10 to more than 200 whereas this number ranges 146 between 1 and 2, 6 and 10, and 5 and 20 for Na-montmorillonite, Ca-montmorillonite 147 and illite, respectively (Tournassat et al., 2015; Tournassat & Steefel, 2015; Leroy et al., 148 2017a). The height of a kaolinite tactoid ranges between 7 and 150 nm and the height 149 of an illite and montmorillonite tactoid lies between 5 and 20 nm, and, 1 and 10 nm, re-150 spectively (Hassan et al., 2006; Tournassat et al., 2011; Tournassat & Steefel, 2019). It 151 results that the total specific surface area of a kaolinite tactoid is considerably lower than 152 the total specific surface area of an illite and montmorillonite tactoid (typically, 10-20 153 $\rm m^2/g$ versus 100-200 $\rm m^2/g$ and 750-800 $\rm m^2/g,$ respectively). 154

Consequently, clay minerals generally present a high aspect ratio with different morpholo-155 gies: kaolinite and well-crystallized illite have a tendency toward hexagonal and elongated 156 hexagonal morphologies respectively, whereas montmorillonite and less well-crystallized 157 illite have mostly irregular platy or lath-shaped morphologies. The surface charge of the 158 lateral (or edge) surface of kaolinite, illite and montmorillonite (to a lesser extent due 159 to the influence of the basal surface) are controlled by the aluminol and silanol (>Al-160 OH and >Si-OH) surface sites and are thus sensitive to salinity and pH (Tombácz & Szek-161 eres, 2006). When salinity and pH increase, the charge on these surfaces is generally more 162 negative due to the >Si-O- surface sites. On the other hand, the basal surface of illite 163 and montorillonite is permanently negative and less sensitive to salinity and pH because 164 it mainly results from the isomorphic substitutions in the crystal lattice (e.g., Si⁴⁺ by 165 Fe^{3+} or Al^{3+} ions in the T-sheet or Al^{3+} by Mg^{2+} or Fe^{2+} ions in the O-sheet). Most 166 of the isomorphic substitutions in these minerals occur in the O-sheet. Because the spe-167 cific surface area of the basal surface of these 2:1 clays is more than one order of mag-168 nitude higher than the specific surface area of the lateral surface (typically 760 m²/g vs 169 $20 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ (Tournassat et al., 2011), the basal surface may control the surface electrical 170 properties of illite and montmorillonite. The CEC method can be used to measure the 171 surface properties and then the surface charge of illite and montmorillonite, if the spe-172 cific surface area is known (Okay et al., 2014). For kaolinite, the CEC is very sensitive 173 to pH and salinity due to the pH and salinity dependent surface charge of the lateral sur-174

face. When a clay particle is put in water, an EDL mostly made of counterions builds 175 up to compensate the external negative surface charge (Leroy et al., 2015; Tsujimoto et 176 al., 2013). The internal negative surface charge of montmorillonite is compensated by 177 cations in the interlayer space. The pore space is then made of the EDL and the free elec-178 trolyte. The EDL is thought to be composed of two portions, the Stern and the diffuse 179 layer. The Stern layer is only made of counterions (cations for clays) and is thought to 180 be fixed to the surface of the mineral (see Figure 1). The diffuse layer is made mostly 181 of counter-ions that are more mobile than those of the Stern layer. When a clay parti-182 cle and its surrounding electrolyte is submitted to a frequency dependent electrical field 183 (for frequencies typically lower than 1 MHz), cations and anions around the clay par-184 ticle separate, giving rise to different types of polarization mechanisms. 185

In the literature, three different polarization mechanisms have been proposed for clay 186 samples in the mHz to the kHz frequency range: Maxwell-Wagner polarization, EDL po-187 larization, and membrane polarization (e.g., Kemna et al., 2012; Chen & Or, 2006; Leroy 188 & Revil, 2009; Bücker & Hördt, 2013; Bücker et al., 2019). The Maxwell-Wagner polar-189 ization mechanism is due to a charge build-up at boundaries between phases with dif-190 ferent electrical properties (conductivity, permittivity) in geologic materials and happens 191 at the highest frequencies (in the kHz range) for SIP. The EDL polarization happens when 192 ions in the Stern and diffuse layers migrate around the surface of the mineral guided on 193 the orientation of the time varying external electric field, leading to a charge separation 194 in the EDL at the particle scale (Leroy et al., 2017a). This polarization mechanism typ-195 ically occurs at the mid frequencies for SIP (below the kHz range). Finally, the mem-196 brane polarization mechanism happens when pore throats block electrical charges (an-197 ions for clays, due to their negative electrical charge) mobilizing due to repulsive EDLs 198 and a time varying external electric field, and thus charges separate in ion selective zones. 199 This polarization mechanism happens in the lowest frequencies for SIP (typically in the 200 mHz to the Hz range). With all these polarization mechanisms the question is open on 201 what is the active polarization mechanism in clay samples at a given frequency of the 202 injected sinusoidal electrical field. 203

204

2.2 Background on spectral induced polarization

The SIP geophysical method consists of a sinusoidal electric current injection in a rock sample and the measurement of a resulting electrical potential difference between

-8-

two electrodes at multiple frequencies (from mHz to kHz). In addition to the electrical conductivity (or resistivity, $\rho^* = 1/\sigma^*$) of the sample, the phase-lag between injected and measured signal gives information about the petrophysical and surface electrical properties of clay samples at the pore scale (e.g., Leroy et al., 2017a; Kemna et al., 2012; Revil et al., 2012).

The frequency dependent complex conductivity $\sigma^*(\omega)$ is inferred from SIP. The angular frequency ω (rad/s) is related to the frequency f (Hz) by $\omega = 2\pi f$. There are two ways to express the complex conductivity, either by real σ' (S m⁻¹) and imaginary components σ'' (S m⁻¹), or amplitude $|\sigma|$ (S m⁻¹) and phase φ (rad):

$$\sigma^*(\omega) = |\sigma|e^{i\varphi} = \sigma' + i\sigma'',\tag{1}$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$ represents the imaginary unit. The resulting electric signal of a rock sample depends on the electrical properties of the pore water and the rock matrix itself. Following Waxman & Smits (1968), we assume then that the measured electrical conductivity (a complex quantity) is a result of the bulk pore water electrical conductivity (σ_w) in the rock acting in parallel to the surface conductivity (σ_{surf}^*) of the geologic material:

$$\sigma^* = \frac{\sigma_w}{F} + \sigma^*_{surf},\tag{2}$$

where F is the electrical formation factor, sensitive to the electrically connected porosity and the shape of the grains. For clays, surface conduction is particularly strong due to their high specific surface area and surface charge, resulting in a strong EDL (Leroy & Revil, 2004). Weller et al. (2013) took equation 2 and proposed a linear relation between the real part of the measured conductivity, water conductivity, and surface conductivity:

$$\sigma'_{surf}(\sigma_w) = \sigma'(\sigma_w) - \frac{\sigma_w}{F}.$$
(3)

Following the notation of Weller et al. (2013), we have:

$$\sigma'' = \sigma''_{surf}.\tag{4}$$

Börner (1992) proposes to link the real and imaginary surface components as:

$$l = \frac{\sigma_{surf}''}{\sigma_{surf}'}.$$
(5)

230

2.3 Double-Pelton phenomenological model

In order to model SIP data there are several types of models available, some are 231 physical models and some are phenomenological. Physical models are often complex and 232 require a thorough knowledge of a plethora of physical and chemical properties of the 233 rock sample in question. Phenomenological models are able to reproduce large datasets 234 and do not require much knowledge on the physical and chemical properties of the rock 235 sample that is being studied. We use a phenomenological double-Pelton model to fit our 236 data. We use one Pelton model to describe the complex conductivity (the inverse of the 237 complex resistivity) of the clay and the other Pelton model to explain the high frequency 238 signal due to inductive and capacitive noise and also clay polarization. Our double-Pelton 239 model consists of two individual Pelton (Pelton et al., 1978) electrical signals summed 240 up together. The double-Pelton model originates from the Cole-Cole and Debye mod-241 els (Cole & Cole, 1941). The double-Pelton model is defined by: 242

$$\rho^*(\omega) = \rho_0 \left[1 - m_1 \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + (i\omega\tau_1)^{c_1}} \right) - m_2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + (i\omega\tau_2)^{c_2}} \right) \right],\tag{6}$$

where ρ ($\Omega \cdot m$) is the electrical resistivity of the sample (inverse of the electrical conductivity σ), c (-) is the Cole-Cole exponent, τ (s) refers to the relaxation time, and m (mV/V) is the chargeability of the material. In general, ρ_0 is thought of as a direct current (DC) or low frequency term. In the case of c=0.5, the Pelton model becomes a Warburg model. Therefore, when in equation 6 we have $c_1 = 0.5$ and $c_2 = 0.5$, we obtain a double-Warburg model.

249

3 Materials and methods

250

3.1 CEC and XRD of clay samples

We performed the CEC measurements and the X-ray diffraction (XRD) characterization of all the clay types used in this work, to have the surface properties and the mineralogical composition of the samples. We present the results of the XRD analysis

Clay sample	Smectite	Illite	Kaolinite	Gypsum	Quartz	Microcline	Albite	Calcite	Magnetite
Clay sample	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Kaolinite	4	0	0.4		10				
sample	4	3	84		10				
Illite		67	10			10		10	
sample		07	10			10		12	
Green	90	1		+~*	1	2	1	4	
mont. sample		1	Ţ	U	1	5	T	4	
Red	66				11	18	2		1
mont. sample	00				11	10	ა		1

Table 1. Results of XRD analysis, showing the exact mineral content of each clay sample.

 tr^* : traces.

in Table 1. As for the CEC results, we obtained: 22 meq/100 g for the kaolinite sam-254 ple, 47 meq/100 g for the illite sample, 132 meq/100 g for the green montmorillonite sam-255 ple, and 135 meq/100 g for the red montmorillonite sample. From Table 1, we see that 256 none of our clay samples are 100% pure. The XRD measurements were obtained using 257 a Philips Xpert machine from clay powder and glycolated samples. The bulk clay pow-258 der samples were quantitatively analyzed with randomly oriented preparations follow-259 ing Brindley & Brown (1980) and Moore & Reynolds (1989). Furthermore, following the 260 modified Chung method (Chung, 1974; Hillier, 2003) an analysis on glycolated oriented 261 preparations was done in order to correct the measurements on the clay powder sam-262 ples. The CEC measurement consists of replacing a cation present on the clay surface 263 with another cation (Ma & Eggleton, 1999). Methods differ on the exchanged cation, 264 the exchange solution (according to the AFNOR standard NF X31-108 and Khaled & 265 Stucki, 1991), and if there are consecutive exchanges in the procedure (Ciesielski & Ster-266 ckeman, 1997; Meier & Kahr, 1999). For the CEC measurements presented in this pa-267 per, we determined the amount of recovered Mg²⁺ ions after a second exchange (Khaled & Stucki, 1991). 269

-11-

270

3.2 Preparation of clay samples

We developed a laboratory protocol that allowed us to have clay mixtures we could 271 knead and place inside a sample holder, while ensuring a good reproducibility of the data. 272 Plasticity is our criteria for a parameter to keep between all clay types, salinities and mea-273 surements. When we talk about plasticity, we need to take a look at the Atterberg lim-274 its in clays. The liquid and plastic limits are water contents that mark the limits of plas-275 tic behavior of clays (White, 1949). We chose a water content within those limits for each 276 clay, to avoid a clay mixture too liquid (more water than the liquid limit), or a sample 277 too dry that crumbles into pieces (smaller water content than the plastic limit). Wag-278 ner (2013) presents a table of liquid and plastic limits for illite, kaolinite, smectites, and others. Note that Mitchell & Soga (2005) explain that the availability of ions and the valence of the ions present in the pore water of the clay samples may affect these lim-281 its. As presented in Table 2, we see a decrease of porosity at the highest salinities in our 282 clay samples, in accordance with Mitchell & Soga (2005). 283

Figure 2 describes the procedure used to prepare the clay samples. In order to obtain 284 the adequate plasticity, we first combine water and clay powder at higher water contents 285 than the objective (Figure 2a and b). We left the clay powder in contact with water for 286 at least 24 hours to have a good imbibition process, and we then mix the whole mixture 287 mechanically using a drill until we reach a homogeneous mixture (Figure 2c). In order 288 to obtain the desired water content, we eliminate the water excess through evaporation 289 by letting the clay mixture dehydrate on a polyurethane foam (Figure 2d). We use a polyurethane 290 foam to have a homogeneous evaporation process, that is, to allow evaporation from the 291 bottom, top and sides of the clay mixture. The mass of the mixture is monitored at ev-292 ery step to determine the evolution of water content at each step of the process. After 293 obtaining the desired water content, we take the clay mixture out of the foam, knead it 294 and locate it in our sample holder (Figure 2e). Once in place, we perform the SIP mea-295 surement of the clay sample twice, from 1 mHz to 20 kHz (see the following section and 296 Figure 2f). We acknowledge that a total chemical equilibrium might not be achieved when 297 measuring the SIP signal in the clay samples, but we assume that the difference between 298 the SIP signal we measure and a true equilibrated sample is negligible. After the mea-299 surements are over, we take out the sample from the sample holder and dry it in an oven 300 at 105° C during 25h (Figure 2g). By measuring the mass at every step of the process, 301 we can calculate the water content (presented in Table 2) at each step and therefore de-302

-12-

termine the porosity of our clay sample during the SIP measurement. The calculated porosities of the clay mixtures are presented in Table 2. These porosities help us keep a check
on the water vs clay powder ratios of our samples. The porosity calculations present some
experimental uncertainties, these porosity values are a good estimate but should not be
over-interpreted.

Note that as the water content changed in the samples, so did the salinities. We orig-308 inally started all samples with five different salinities: De-ionized water (D.W.), 1×10^{-3} , 309 1×10^{-2} , 1×10^{-1} , and 1 M (mol/L) of NaCl. To account for the water content de-310 crease due to the evaporation procedure, we recalculated the salinities in our sample dur-311 ing the SIP measurements for all the salinities from 1×10^{-3} to 1 M of NaCl. Table 2 312 presents the corrected salinities using a simple proportion equivalence. From these post-313 dehydration salinity values we calculated the bulk water electrical conductivity, follow-314 ing the procedure proposed in Leroy et al. (2015), using: 315

$$\sigma_w = e 10^3 N_A \sum_{i=1}^N z_i \beta_i^w C_i^w,\tag{7}$$

where β_i^w (in m²s⁻¹V⁻¹) is the ionic mobility of an ion *i* in the bulk water, C_i^w (in mol 316 dm^{-3}) is its concentration, and z_i is its valence. Also, N_A is the Avogadro number (6.022× 317 10^{23} mol⁻¹), and e is the elementary charge (1.602×10^{-19} C). It is worth noting that 318 the ionic mobility values used in equation 7 have been corrected for the temperature and 319 salinity, as presented in Leroy et al. (2015). It should be noted that the low-salinity wa-320 ter conductivity values may be underestimated because we do not consider clay disso-321 lution as well as cation leaching from the interlayer space for the calculation of the ion 322 concentrations. 323

324

3.3 SIP measurement setup

We conducted the SIP measurements on the clay samples using the SIP-FUCHS III equipment (Radic Research, www.radic-research.de). The setup for the measurements is presented in Figure 3a. The SIP-FUCHS III sends a sinusoidal current into the sample through the injection unit and then the so-called current electrodes (C1 and C2 in Figure 3b) by imposing a chosen potential difference. The second unit measures the resulting voltage through the so-called potential electrodes (P1 and P2 in Figure 3b). The communication between the units (injection and measurement) and the system is done

Table 2. Post-dehydration calculated salinities, porosities, and gravimetric water contents (m_{fluid}/m_{solid}) for all the SIP-measured clay samples.

Initial Salinity	(D.water)	(10^{-3} M NaCl)	(10^{-2} M NaCl)	(10^{-1} M NaCl)	(1 M NaCl)
	Final salinity	Final salinity	Final salinity	Final salinity	Final salinity
Clay type	(M NaCl)	(M NaCl)	(M NaCl)	(M NaCl)	(M NaCl)
Kaolinite sample	D.W.	1.53×10^{-3}	1.54×10^{-2}	1.91×10^{-1}	1.76
Illite sample	D.W.	1.92×10^{-3}	1.80×10^{-2}	1.82×10^{-1}	1.91
Green montmorillonite sample	D.W.	1.39×10^{-3}	1.53×10^{-2}	1.46×10^{-1}	1.54
Red montmorillonite sample	D.W.	1.64×10^{-3}	1.71×10^{-2}	1.54×10^{-1}	1.51
Clay type	Porosity	Porosity	Porosity	Porosity	Porosity
Kaolinite sample	0.54	0.59	0.57	0.56	0.47
Illite sample	0.52	0.56	0.54	0.56	0.42
Green montmorillonite sample	0.65	0.68	0.68	0.71	0.57
Red montmorillonite sample	0.67	0.62	0.61	0.62	0.51
Clay type	Water content	Water content	Water content	Water content	Water content
Kaolinite sample	0.48	0.51	0.55	0.54	0.44
Illite sample	0.49	0.41	0.46	0.43	0.40
Green montmorillonite sample	1.02	0.96	0.91	0.93	0.85
Red montmorillonite sample	0.71	0.63	0.60	0.66	0.67



Figure 2. Laboratory protocol to create clay samples: a) Combination of clay powder and water. b) Saturation of clay powder for at least 24 h. c) Homogenization of mixture with drill. d) Excess water evaporation until correct plasticity is reached. e) Setting clay in sample holder. f) SIP measurements. g) Clay sample drying.

through optic cables to reduce electromagnetic noise. The SIP-FUCHS III outputs the 332 amplitude of the measured impedance (Ω) , the phase shift between injected and mea-333 sured signal (mrad), and their respective errors, for each measured frequency. 334 The current electrodes C1 and C2 are stainless steel cylinders that we use also as cov-335 ers for the sample holder, while we use home-made non-polarizable electrodes for P1 and 336 P2. We made our own Cu-CuSO₄ non-polarizable electrodes, following the procedure 337 proposed by Kremer et al. (2016). They consist of a copper wire inserted in a plastic tube 338 filled with a saturated solution of copper sulfate and gelatin, plugged by a porous filter 339 at the bottom. We used a near cylindrical sample holder of length 22.9 cm and radius 340 2.1 cm, with electrode separation of 7.4 cm, that is separated roughly by a third of the 341 sample holder's total length (Figure 3b); this pseudo-Wenner configuration has been used 342 previously by Ghorbani et al. (2009), and Jougnot et al. (2010). The geometrical fac-343 tor to convert measured impedances to conductivities has been determined using finite 344 elements numerical methods, this approach has been used previously by Jougnot et al. 345 (2010).346



Figure 3. a) Laboratory set-up for SIP measurements on our clay samples with the sample holder, injecting and measuring units (orange), SIP-FUCHS III, and a computer to store the data. b) Sample holder sketch with the external structure. C1 and C2 are two cylindrical plates, our current electrodes that inject a sinusoidal electric current. P1 and P2 are a pair of non-polarizable electrodes that measure the resulting electrical potential difference, they are equally distanced from the current electrodes, making a pseudo-Wenner array.

We created an external structure to hold the sample holder (Figure 3b) in order to achieve 347 repeatability in our measurements. Indeed, we needed the ability to close the sample holder 348 at the exact same position and with the same pressure between measurements. As re-349 peatability test, we built two identical sample holders, made two individual green mont-350 morillonite samples, and measured the SIP signal in both samples. The repeatability of 351 the measurements shows a 4.7% difference on the real part of the electrical conductiv-352 ity and a 0.47% difference on the imaginary part at 1.46 Hz. For the whole spectrum, 353 we see a maximum percentage difference of 4.8% on the real part of the electrical con-354 ductivity (at 2.9 mHz) and 11.89% for the imaginary part (at 45.8 mHz). In average, 355 for the whole spectrum, we see a difference of 4.6% for the real part of the spectrum, and 356 1.5% for the imaginary part. See the supplementary information file, to visualize the re-357 peatability test. We acknowledge that the difference between the real part of the con-358 ductivity between both samples is surprising (although negligible). We think that such 359 difference lies on the fact that we are dealing with two different clay samples in two dif-360 ferent sample holders. A minimal difference between these two will correspond to a min-361 imal difference between their signals. 362

363 3.4 Optimization of the double-Pelton model

For the optimization procedure, we use our SIP data as input, that is, conductivity amplitude (S m⁻¹) and phase (rad), and then fit a double-Pelton model (see equation 6). In this paper, we optimize for seven parameters: ρ_0 , m_1 , m_2 , τ_1 , τ_2 , c_1 , and c_2 . The cost function is:

$$\Phi = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_a} (A^i_{mes} - A^i_{mod})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N_a} (A^i_{mes} - \langle \mathbf{A}_{mes} \rangle)^2} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_p} (P^i_{mes} - P^i_{mod})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N_p} (P^i_{mes} - \langle \mathbf{P}_{mes} \rangle)^2},$$
(8)

where, A_{mes} represents the measured amplitude vector, $\langle \mathbf{A}_{mes} \rangle$ represents the mean of 368 the measured amplitude vector, A_{mod} , the modeled or calculated amplitude vector, via the double-Pelton model, N_a is the number of amplitude data points that have been pre-370 served, P_{mes} is the measured phase vector, $\langle \mathbf{P}_{mes} \rangle$ is the mean of the measured phase 371 vector, P_{mod} is the modeled or calculated phase vector, and N_p is the number of phase 372 data points that have been kept. The strategy we used was to first optimize with a sim-373 ulated annealing approach, that has been explained in detail in Maineult (2016). For the 374 parameters m_1 , m_2 , c_1 , and c_2 , we let them vary between [0 - 1], for ρ_0 we usually use 375 $[\bar{\rho}\pm(0.2\cdot\bar{\rho})\ \Omega\cdot m]$, for τ_1 we usually use $[10^{-3}-10^6]$ s, and finally for τ_2 we use $[10^{-10}-10^6]$ s, τ_1 376 10^1 s. Here, $\overline{\rho}$ is the arithmetic mean electrical resistivity for all frequencies. We later 377 optimize the double-Pelton parameters using a simplex optimization procedure (Caceci 378 & Cacheris, 1984). This same strategy has been used in Maineult et al. (2017). As in-379 put of the simplex code we use our measured SIP data (amplitude and phase) and as initial model we use the result of the simulated annealing method. The simulated anneal-381 ing step allows us to explore the parameter space preventing to get trapped in a local 382 minimum, but this is done in a discrete manner. When we know the vicinity of the so-383 lution, we use the Simplex optimization procedure to refine the solution. 384

Moreover, we fixed a double-Warburg model for the red and green montmorillonite samples, as well as the kaolinite sample. A double-Warburg model is a double-Pelton model but with $c_1 = 0.5$ and $c_2 = 0.5$. In the case of these three types of clay samples, we turned the optimization code and obtained values of c_1 and c_2 near 0.5. Therefore, we opted that for these three types of clay samples, we would fix c_1 and c_2 , and we would only optimize for the remaining five parameters, that is: ρ_0 , m_1 , m_2 , τ_1 , and τ_2 . It is worth mentioning that we tried fixing c_1 and c_2 for the illite sample as we also obtained values near 0.5, but we obtained poor fits with $c_1 = 0.5$ and $c_2 = 0.5$. We assume then that the illite sample does not behave as a double-Warburg, but as a double-Pelton. The rest of the clay samples (kaolinite, red and green montmorillonite samples) do behave as double-Warburg models. The results of our fits are presented later on in this article, in Table 4.

397

3.5 Differentiation of clay minerals

In order to compare our SIP datasets, we calculated the normalized measured conduc-398 tivity differences ($\Delta \sigma'_N$ or $\Delta \sigma''_N$) between each clay type for every salinity at 1.46 Hz, 399 for both the real and imaginary parts of the complex conductivity. We chose 1.46 Hz be-400 cause frequencies near 1 Hz represent a widely used choice in geophysics (Zanetti et al., 401 2011). Also, as it will be presented in the results and discussion sections, the local max-402 imum polarization phenomena happens near 10^0 Hz. To choose this particular frequency, 403 we also took into account that the highest measured errors in the data happened at the 404 lowest frequencies (mHz range), because less stacking is possible, due to the long time 405 periods for each measurement. The noisiest data happened at the highest frequencies 406 (kHz range). Indeed, according to Huisman et al. (2016) the electromagnetic coupling 407 effects happen at the highest frequency range of our SIP measurements, in the kHz range. 408 Therefore, when choosing near 1 Hz, we should get the most accurate data. We calcu-409 late Δx_N values between each clay type at 1.46 Hz, for the datasets shown in Figure 4. 410 To calculate the Δx_N we use: 411

$$\Delta x_N(f = 1.46 \text{ Hz}) = 100 \times \frac{x_1 - x_2}{\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}},\tag{9}$$

where x_N , x_1 and x_2 can be substituted by the real and imaginary parts of the conduc-412 tivity (so either $\Delta \sigma'_N$ or $\Delta \sigma''_N$), in such a way that the operation is done either for the 413 real part or the imaginary part of the conductivity, separately. Additionally, x_1 and x_2 414 represent either the real or imaginary part of the conductivity at 1.46 Hz of an individ-415 ual type of clay. The idea is to quantify if we are able to distinguish between two dif-416 ferent clay minerals in a laboratory setting. That is, if the $\Delta \sigma'_N$ or $\Delta \sigma''_N$ value is low (e.g. 417 below 10%) that means we are hardly able to differentiate two specific clay minerals at 418 the laboratory scale, then at the field scale it would seem impossible to differentiate such 419 clay minerals. Conversely, if we have a high $\Delta \sigma'_N$ or $\Delta \sigma''_N$ (e.g. above 100%) it would 420

⁴²¹ not mean that we could automatically differentiate two different clay minerals at the field⁴²² scale.

423 4 Results

We obtained a large SIP dataset in the laboratory. To make our interpretation of 424 this dataset more accessible, we decomposed their analysis into several subsections. First, 425 we will present the complex conductivity values at 1.46 Hz vs. the calculated water con-426 ductivity, to get a quick view of the electric behavior of the clay samples at varying salin-427 ities. After that, we present the normalized spectrum of the real part of the complex con-428 ductivity per clay type; we show the evolution with salinity. We then present the full spec-429 tra of the complex conductivity for all clay samples and all salinities. Afterwards, we present 430 the results of our double-Pelton fits, and the obtained parameters. We finally present 431 a quantitative differentiation between clay samples at the same salinity. We filtered all 432 of our datasets with a 5% percent filter. That is, if the error of the measured amplitude 433 is larger than 5%, we remove the data point from our dataset. We performed our SIP 434 measurements at five salinities on four types of clay: montmorillonite samples (red and 435 green), a kaolinite sample and an illite sample (see Table 2). Additionally, we performed 436 SIP measurements at three salinities (initially de-ionized water, 1×10^{-2} , and 1 M of 437 NaCl) on two extra types of clay: beige montmorillonite sample and a Boom clay sam-438 ple. Boom clay is a natural clayrock used for nuclear waste storage (Ortiz et al., 2002). 439 The results of these additional types of clay are shown as supplementary information in 440 this article. 441

442

4.1 Results at varying salinities at 1.46 Hz

We collected SIP measurements of four different types of clay (red and green mont-443 morillonite samples, an illite sample, and a kaolinite sample) with the SIP-FUCHS III 444 system. We used frequencies from 10^{-3} to 10^4 Hz. The calculated water conductivity 445 values (following equation 7) presented in Figure 4, correspond to those of the post-dehydration 446 salinities (Figures 2d and e). We chose to present the data points at 1.46 Hz, because 447 the highest measured errors and the noisiest data are present at the lowest and highest 448 frequencies, respectively. It should be noted that the low salinity (initially 10^{-3} M NaCl) 449 calculated water conductivity values may be underestimated because we did not consider 450 clay dissolution as well as cation leaching from the interlayer space of montmorillonite. 451

-19-

In Figure 4a we observe that the real conductivity increases with an increase in the con-452 ductivity of the fluid saturating our clay mixtures for all salinities for all types of clay. 453 In addition, Figure 4a shows that both montmorillonite samples exhibit higher surface 454 conductivity than the illite and kaolinite samples. Due to their difference in surface elec-455 trical properties (see section 2.1), it is a bit surprising to see that the kaolinite and il-456 lite samples may have the same surface conductivity here. This may be due to the fact 457 that the kaolinite sample is not pure and contains 4% in weight of more conducting smec-468 tite and 3% in weight of more conducting illite (see Table 1). 459

With the imaginary conductivity we see a different behavior. For the red and green mont-460 morillonite samples, we see a peak of the imaginary conductivity at the second to high-461 est salinity (corresponding to a water conductivity in the 10^0 S m⁻¹ range). For the kaoli-462 nite and illite samples, we see a similar behavior, however, we see the peak in the range 463 of 10^{-1} S m⁻¹ for the water conductivity. The imaginary conductivity amplitude is also 464 roughly one order of magnitude higher for the montmorillonite samples than for other 465 clay samples. Due to their higher CEC and stronger EDL, the montmorillonite samples 466 polarize more than the illite and kaolinite samples. In addition, the zeta potential of Na-467 montmorillonite in a NaCl solution is higher in magnitude than the zeta potential of il-468 lite and kaolinite in a NaCl solution (Sondi et al., 1996; Leroy & Revil, 2004; Leroy et 469 al., 2015). Consequently, membrane polarization effects may be higher for Na-montmorillonite 470 than for illite and kaolinite. It results that more salt is necessary to decrease the imag-471 inary conductivity of montmorillonite compared to illite and kaolinite at high salinity. 472 Note that although we collected SIP data at five different salinities, the de-ionized wa-473 ter dataset are not presented in Figure 4. We chose not to present those data points be-474 cause knowing or controlling the conductivity of the pore water at that salinity proved 475 to be very complex, and out of the scope of this paper. However, the datasets of de-ionized 476 water are presented in the following parts of this paper. 477

Equation 2 was adjusted to the σ' values at 1.46 Hz (for 10⁻³-1 M NaCl) by consider-

ing that the formation factor and the surface conductivity are independent from the pore

480 water conductivity. For this adjustment, more weight was attributed to the values for

- the two highest pore water conductivities as they are expected to be less sensitive to the
- surface conductivity (see Weller et al., 2013). This procedure provides a single surface
- conductivity per sample presented in Table 3 and seems to overestimate its values for
- the lowest pore water conductivity. As expected, we see larger values of σ'_{surf} for both

-20-



Figure 4. Measured (filled circles) real (a) and imaginary (b) conductivity of the four clay samples as a function of calculated water conductivity, at a frequency of 1.46 Hz. MtG represents the green montmorillonite sample, MtR the red montmorillonite sample, Ka the kaolinite sample, and II the illite sample. The bold line on (a) is the calculated $\sigma'(\sigma_w)$ from equation 2, the parameters we fit are presented in Table 3.

Clay type	F[-]	$\sigma'_{surf} \ [\mathrm{Sm}^{-1}]$	$\rm CEC \ [meq/100 \ g]$	$\mathrm{Ss}^* \ [\mathrm{m}^2/\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{BET}]$
Kaolinite sample	2.82	0.09	22	16.94
Illite sample	3.29	0.09	47	101.60
Green mont. sample	3.60	0.35	132	77.71
Red mont. sample	2.63	0.31	135	71.09

Table 3. Formation factors (F) and σ'_{surf} fitted from equation 2 for the real conductivity values at 1.46 Hz, CEC and specific surface area (Ss) of the clay samples.

*Specific surface area measured through the BET (Brunauer-Emmett-Teller) method for each sample. BET cannot probe the interlayer space of montmorillonites.

montmorillonite samples, because these clay samples have a more important surface elec-485 tric charge and specific surface area than the illite or kaolinite samples. We recognise the 486 formation factor values we obtained have some uncertainty and are only meant as a mean 487 of the electrical formation factor for each type of clay sample, as we are dealing with clay 488 muds with varying porosities and not hard rocks with a specific formation factor. We 489 present the σ' calculated values from the σ'_{surf} and F fitted values in Figure 4a. It is 490 worth mentioning that the specific surface areas measured using the BET (Brunauer-491 Emmett-Teller) technique might not be representative of the true values for the mont-492 morillonites mineral. Indeed, previous work from the literature indicate this technique 493 is not able to properly probe interlayer space (e.g., Tournassat et al., 2003; Hassan et 494 al., 2006). In order to do so, other methods such as wet-state methylene blue (MB) should 495 be used (Weller et al., 2015a). Another possibility to better determine the real specific 496 surface area could be through a calculation of the specific surface area based on the XRD 497 characterisation of the samples. According to the literature the specific surface area of 498 montmorillonites should be in the range of 390-780 m^2/g (see Tournassat et al., 2013). 499

-22-

500

4.2 Normalized real conductivity

In Figure 5 we show the normalized real conductivity for all clay samples. For nor-501 malization value we used the amplitude of the conductivity at 1.46 Hz, per clay type, 502 per salinity. We observe that overall the signal of the normalized real conductivity gets 503 flattened as the salinity increases. In other words, we see less of a change in the normal-504 ized real conductivity within the measured frequency range as the salinity of the fluid 505 increases. We interpret this as evidence that at the highest salinity, pore conduction dom-506 inates over the surface conduction, and we are able to see this evolution with salinity. 507 The normalized value presented in Figure 5 could be interpreted as a ratio of alternat-508 ing current (AC) conduction vs. close to direct current (DC) conduction. Even though we see an overall decrease with salinity of $\sigma'/\sigma_{1.46}$. This decrease could be interpreted 510 as evidence that the DC conduction increases faster with salinity than the AC conduc-511 tion due to polarization. We used a frequency of 1.46 Hz as normalization value because, 512 as mentioned previously in the paper, as it is the closest value to 1 Hz; a widely used choice 513 in field geophysics. Also, in field geophysics, the measurements (i.e. electrical resistiv-514 ity tomography) are thought of as DC measurements. A true DC value would make use 515 of the lowest measured frequency. 516

517

4.3 Effect of the salinity on the spectra

Figure 6 shows the real conductivity spectra of each clay per salinity, with the double-Pelton model superimposed onto the dataset. We see for all of the clay samples that as the salinity increases, the real conductivity also increases. We do however notice that the data seems more dispersed for the kaolinite and illite samples, meaning, the difference between maximum and minimum conductivities seems bigger for the kaolinite and illite samples, than for the montmorillonite samples.

Figure 7 shows the imaginary conductivity spectra of each clay per salinity, with the double-Pelton model predictions superimposed onto the dataset. For the montmorillonite samples we see the overall highest polarization at the second to highest salinity. Finally, for the kaolinite and illite samples, we see the highest polarization at the middle salinity $(10^{-2}$ M of NaCl salinity range), this is better seen for the illite sample.

The errorbars become larger in the highest salinity measurements. This is expected from the measurement itself. Indeed, measuring low phases, that is, very small time differences

-23-



Figure 5. Normalized real conductivity for all salinities per clay type: a) green montmorillonite sample, b) red montmorillonite sample, c) kaolinite sample, and d) illite sample. All these spectra have been normalized by the conductivity amplitude at 1.46 Hz.



Figure 6. Real part of the complex conductivity per salinity of: a) green montmorillonite sample, b) red montmorillonite sample, c) kaolinite sample, and d) illite sample. The calculated salinity values at which the SIP measurements were collected are presented in the legends of each subplot. Dots with errorbars represent the measured SIP data, and the line represents the double-Pelton model predictions for each dataset.



Figure 7. Imaginary part of the complex conductivity per salinity of: a) green montmorillonite sample, b) red montmorillonite sample, c) kaolinite sample, and d) illite sample. The calculated salinity values at which the SIP measurements were collected are presented in the legends of each subplot. Dots with errorbars represent the measured SIP data, and the line represents the double-Pelton model predictions for each dataset.

between the injected current and the resulting measured voltage signal, is a real chal-

⁵³² lenge for the electronics involved in SIP measurements (Zimmermann et al., 2008). Nev-

ertheless, it is possible to distinguish a clear tendency with frequency, in most of the spec-

tra, except for the illite and kaolinite samples at the highest salinity.

535

4.4 Double-Pelton model fits and variation of Pelton parameters with varying salinities

In Figure 8 we present the principle of the double-Pelton model decomposition. We 537 sum two individual Pelton signals (see equation 6), the resulting signal is the one that 538 we fit our data with. Note that we ran more than 3 simulated annealing optimizations to check for the repeatability of the solution and in all cases we found the same solution. It is also worth mentioning that we use filtered data for this process, for which the er-541 rorbars are negligible. We assume that the high frequency peak (in blue) happens due 542 to partly an inductive and capacitive effect (Huisman et al., 2016) plus polarization of 543 the clay (Leroy & Revil, 2009; Okay et al., 2014; Leroy et al., 2017a). We assume that 544 the mid-frequency peak (in red) corresponds solely to the polarization of clay. 545

In Table 4 we have summarized the optimized Pelton parameters of both the red and blue peaks (Figure 8). Furthermore, as mentioned previously, we used a double-Warburg model ($c_1=0.5$ and $c_2=0.5$) for all clay samples except the illite sample, that was fitted with a double-Pelton (fitted c_1 and c_2). We present fully the double-Pelton parameters as we believe it will be of interest to the community to have access to Pelton parameters of individual types of clays at varying salinities, for possible forward-modeling opportunities.

For the four lowest salinity datasets, we observe how at the highest fitted salinity, there 553 is a considerable decrease in the chargeability (m_1) parameter for the lower frequency 554 local maxima. For all datasets we see chargeability values (in each individual local max-555 ima) in the same magnitude order. We also see an increase on DC electrical conductiv-556 ity with increasing salinity, as expected. Note that we present values of electrical con-557 ductivity, instead of resistivity (as shown in the double-Pelton model, equation 6), as the 558 complex conductivity is only the inverse to the complex resistivity. As for the illite sam-559 ple, we see that for c_1 all values linger near 0.5, but not quite 0.5. Finally, we see that 560

Clay type	Salinity [M NaCl]	$\sigma_0 \; [\mathrm{S} \; \mathrm{m}^{-1}]$	$m_1 \; [{\rm mV/V}]$	$\tau_1 \; [\mu \; \mathrm{s}]$	c_1	$m_2 \; [{\rm mV/V}]$	$\tau_2 \ [\mu \ s]$	c_2	RMS [-]
Kaolinite	D.W.	0.074	40.14	333	0.5	345	0.327	0.5	1.78×10^{-3}
	1.53×10^{-3}	0.089	40.68	332	0.5	249	0.599	0.5	1.82×10^{-3}
aamula	1.54×10^{-2}	0.146	34.86	413	0.5	142	1.483	0.5	1.52×10^{-3}
sample	1.91×10^{-1}	0.797	5.66	842	0.5	350	0.014	0.5	2.63×10^{-2}
Tilita	D.W.	0.057	34.26	10110	0.45	682	0.063	0.66	4.82×10^{-3}
Illite	1.92×10^{-3}	0.080	20.00	3261	0.42	740	0.143	0.84	5.26×10^{-3}
	1.80×10^{-2}	0.159	22.57	7662	0.51	515	0.021	0.56	6.18×10^{-3}
sample	1.82×10^{-1}	0.557	5.11	10369	0.44	342	0.043	0.76	7.21×10^{-3}
Green mont.	D.W.	0.213	37.40	4418	0.5	158	1.917	0.5	4.75×10^{-3}
	1.39×10^{-3}	0.257	32.55	3432	0.5	249	0.56	0.5	4.23×10^{-3}
aammla	1.53×10^{-2}	0.347	28.27	3957	0.5	198	0.803	0.5	2.72×10^{-3}
sample	1.46×10^{-1}	0.877	18.48	5758	0.5	504	0.052	0.5	3.87×10^{-3}
Red mont.	D.W.	0.171	42.32	2266	0.5	958	0.048	0.5	9.61×10^{-2}
	1.64×10^{-3}	0.245	30.87	2046	0.5	200	1.88	0.5	3.78×10^{-3}
sample	1.71×10^{-2}	0.387	27.47	2033	0.5	306	0.452	0.5	3.85×10^{-3}
	1.54×10^{-1}	0.805	25.76	1846	0.5	188	0.528	0.5	7.41×10^{-3}

Table 4. Double-Pelton parameters obtained from the optimization procedure of section 3.4 toreproduce SIP signal on the four studied clay types.

the relaxation times for the second (high frequency) local maxima are mostly below the μ s range, and that for the second local maxima, these are considerably above.

563

4.5 Differentiation of clay minerals

After calculating the $\Delta \sigma'_N$ and $\Delta \sigma''_N$ values (equation 9), we see that the values $\Delta \sigma'_N$ 564 decrease with increasing salinities overall, agreeing with what we observe in Figure 5, 565 for the normalized real conductivity. This behavior is not so clear or evident for the imaginary part. We also observe that the $\Delta \sigma'_N$ and $\Delta \sigma''_N$ values are smaller between the mont-567 morillonite samples, as expected, that is the montmorillonite samples are electrically sim-568 ilar to each other. For the lowest salinity (initially de-ionized water) the biggest differ-569 ence in real conductivity is between the illite and the green montmorillonite samples (-116%), 570 the real conductivity of the illite sample is smaller than that of the montmorillonite sam-571 ple), and for the imaginary part it is between the kaolinite and the green montmorillonite 572 samples (-149%), the imaginary conductivity of the kaolinite sample is smaller than that 573 of the montmorillonite sample). For the initial 10^{-3} M salinity (NaCl) the biggest dif-574 ference in real conductivity is between the illite and the green montmorillonite samples 575

-28-



Figure 8. Fit of a double-Pelton model (equation 6) to our data, in both a) amplitude and b) phase. We present the illite sample dataset using initial de-ionized water (filled circles), and the corresponding double-Pelton model (green line), with two individual Pelton models (blue and red lines).

Table 5. $\Delta \sigma'_N$ and $\Delta \sigma''_N$ values (in %) for the initially 10^{-2} M of NaCl clay mixtures. These calculations are made using the complex conductivity at 1.46 Hz, the real part ($\Delta \sigma'_N$) is on the lower left triangle (in bold), and the imaginary part ($\Delta \sigma''_N$) is on the upper right triangle (in italics). MtG represents the green montmorillonite sample, MtR the red montmorillonite sample, Ka the kaolinite sample, and IL the illite sample.

	MtG	MtR	Ka	IL
MtG	0	2.56	129.84	81.20
MtR	10.85	0	128.34	79.06
Ka	-82.00	-90.83	0	-66.04
IL	-74.37	-85.53	9.01	0

(-105%), and for the imaginary part it is between the kaolinite and the green montmo-576 rillonite samples (-143%). For the initial 10^{-2} M salinity, the biggest difference in real 577 conductivity is between the kaolinite and the red montmorillonite samples (-91%), and 578 for the imaginary part it is between the kaolinite and the green montmorillonite sam-579 ples (-130%). For the initial 10^{-1} M salinity, the biggest difference in real conductiv-580 ity is between the illite and the green montmorillonite samples (-45%), and for the imag-581 inary part it is between the kaolinite and the green montmorillonite samples (-162%). 582 For the highest salinity, the biggest difference in real conductivity is between the kaoli-583 nite and the green montmorillonite samples (20%), and for the imaginary part it is be-584 tween the kaolinite and the red montmorillonite samples (-169%). Table 5 presents the 585 $\Delta \sigma'_N$ and $\Delta \sigma''_N$ values for the initial salinity of 10^{-2} M of NaCl. We use x_1 (see equa-586 tion 9) as the value of the column, and x_2 of the row. For example, in Table 5, we ob-587 tained 10.85, using the σ' of the red montmorillonite sample as σ'_1 , and of the green mont-588 morillonite sample as σ'_2 (see equation 9). The lower left triangle corresponds to calcu-589 lation for the real part $(\Delta \sigma'_N)$ of the complex conductivity (in bold), and the upper right 590 triangle corresponds to the imaginary part ($\Delta \sigma''_N$, in italics). The tables for the rest of 591 the salinities are presented in the supplementary information part of this paper. 592

593 5 Discussion

In this study we propose a new experimental protocol with verified repeatability 594 to characterize the complex electrical conductivity spectra of non-consolidated clay sam-595 ples. We obtain a unique SIP dataset composed of four types of clay samples and sat-596 urated by a NaCl solution at five different salinities. We first interpreted the dataset at 597 1.46 Hz for the real and imaginary parts of the electrical conductivity before studying 598 the entire spectra and fitting them with a double-Pelton phenomenological model, and 599 presenting a schematic figure on how we interpret the polarization phenomena of our re-600 sults. 601

Our measurements, at 1.46 Hz (Figure 4b), show that the quadrature conductivity (imag-602 inary part of the complex conductivity) hits a maximum at a certain salinity and then 603 decreases. The salinity at which this maximum exists depends on the type of clay. For 604 the kaolinite and the illite samples, we have the maximum at the mid-salinity (around 605 10^{-2} M of NaCl salinity range), while it is a higher salinity for the montmorillonite samples (around 10^{-1} M of NaCl). It should be noted that we do not have the exact salin-607 ity at which the maximum quadrature conductivity happens because we investigated 5 608 finite salinities, that is, perhaps the maximum of the quadrature happens between two 609 of our measured salinities. Among the published SIP datasets on clay samples, Vinegar 610 & Waxman (1984) present an extensive dataset of the complex electrical conductivity 611 612 1.0, and 2.0 M NaCl); see Tables 1 and 2 of Vinegar & Waxman (1984). Some of their 613 samples also exhibit the behavior with a maximum quadrature conductivity at a par-614 ticular salinity, notably the samples with more shale content. They propose that the de-615 crease of the quadrature conductivity happens due to a decrease of the membrane effect. 616 Weller et al. (2010) proposed that the relationship between the imaginary conductivity 617 and the water conductivity is guided by the specific surface area per unit pore volume. 618 For this, they analyzed IP or SIP data from 114 samples, including sandstones, and sand 619 and clay mixtures. Revil & Skold (2011) also present a dataset composed of 7 samples 620 of sandstones and unconsolidated sand from the literature where most of the datasets 621 present the same trend where a maximum in quadrature conductivity appears at a par-622 ticular salinity. The behavior shown in Figure 4b is also consistent with the one reported 623 by Weller & Slater (2012), both share the same water conductivity range. They mea-624 sured SIP on 67 samples of sandstones and unconsolidated sediments. Okay et al. (2014) 625

-31-

measured SIP on bentonite and kaolinite quartz sand mixtures, at different clay contents 626 100%, 20%, 5%, and 1%. They present the behavior of the quadrature conductivity with 627 respect to water conductivity at only three NaCl salinities. Their bentonite samples (95%)628 smectite content) and kaolinite samples (15% smectite content) present an increase in 629 the quadrature conductivity with salinity; the maximum water conductivity presented 630 is around 1.5 S/m. Finally, Lévy et al. (2019b) measured the SIP response of a set of 631 88 volcanic altered rocks with varying amounts of smectite. They present the SIP spec-632 tra from four of their samples (Figure 1 in Lévy et al., 2019b), using four different fluid 633 conductivities, 0.04, 0.1, 0.5, and 1.5 S m⁻¹ (from four different NaCl concentrations). 634 They show an overall increase in polarization (quadrature conductivity) with salinity for 635 these four samples. If we only analyze the smectite samples of our dataset, we see a pro-636 gressive increase in the quadrature conductivity with increase of fluid conductivity, un-637 til we reach the highest salinity, where we see a decrease (see Figure 4b). Only one of 638 the samples presented with the full conductivity spectra (Figure 1 in Lévy et al., 2019b) 639 has more than 20 % smectite. If we only take a look at this sample, it doesn't show a 640 decrease in quadrature conductivity with the highest salinity, although, their highest pre-641 sented pore water conductivity for this data subset is 1.5 S m^{-1} . For the smectite sam-642 ples of our dataset, we see a decrease on the quadrature conductivity just at the high-643 est pore water conductivity, around 10 S m⁻¹. According to these studies, it is interest-644 ing to notice that the increase of the quadrature conductivity with salinity is larger for 645 sandstones and quartz sand than for smectite minerals. This observation confirms the 646 assumption that the quadrature conductivity of these materials is directly sensitive to 647 their surface charge controlling EDL polarization (Okay et al., 2014; Leroy et al., 2017a). 648 Indeed, the surface charge of quartz strongly increases with pH and salinity due to the 649 deprotonated silanol surface sites whereas the smectite minerals carry a permanent neg-650 ative surface charge less sensitive to pH and salinity on their basal surface due to iso-651 morphic substitutions in the crystal lattice. Weller & Slater (2012) suggest further in-652 vestigation at even higher salinities, this could be important for high salinity environ-653 ments, such as oceanic shale reservoirs (Morsy & Sheng, 2014). Due to such a high elec-654 trical conductivity of such sample, the SIP measurement logistics could be complex, and 655 better protocols and measuring equipment with low uncertainty at high conductivities 656 are needed. 657

-32-

Furthermore, Weller et al. (2013), Woodruff et al. (2014), and Lévy et al. (2019b) ob-658 served a linear relation between σ''_{surf} and σ'_{surf} . Weller et al. (2013) used a database 659 composed of 63 sandstones and unconsolidated sediment samples. They overall found 660 the linear parameter (l) of equation 5 to be 0.042. Woodruff et al. (2014) worked on a 661 variety of shales, and found l = 0.022 for their dataset, they call it parameter R in their 662 work. In addition, Lévy et al. (2019b) studied a variety of volcanic rocks, with different 663 smectite contents, and they found that the linear relation between σ''_{surf} and σ'_{surf} de-664 creases in magnitude with smectite content. They calculate l = 0.002 for a data sub-665 set with more than 20% smectite content. According to Revil (2012), this very low l value of samples with high smectite content compared to the l value of sandstones and uncon-667 solidated sediment samples may be due to the restricted cation mobility in the Stern layer 668 of clays. Also, it is not sure that it is possible to correctly capture the surface conduc-669 tivity of clays with such linear model (de Lima & Sharma, 1990). 670 We used σ' values at 1.46 Hz for the four highest salinities (10⁻³-1 M of NaCl) to ad-671 just one formation factor and one surface conductivity per clay type using equation 2. 672 Then, we recalculated σ'_{surf} values for each salinity (using equation 3) and considered 673 equation 4 to associate the measured values of σ'' to σ''_{surf} . Figure 9b shows the rela-674 tion between σ'_{surf} and σ'' . We obtained the best fit for equation 5 for l = 0.0039, that 675 is, almost an order of magnitude smaller than the value of Weller et al. (2013)(l = 0.042)676 from samples containing no clay. Our data agree more with the value of l proposed by 677 Lévy et al. (2019b)(l = 0.002), when samples had more than 20% smectite), than the 678 one of Weller et al. (2013). As we only consider clay samples, this difference could be 679

attributed to the difference in mineralogical composition. Perhaps sandstones and sed-680 iments behave more like what Weller et al. (2013) present, but as clay materials have a

significant σ'_{surf} , they present a different, but also seemingly linear behavior. 682

681

In order to test the hypothesis that l decreases with clay content, in Figure 9a we eval-683 uated the combined dataset of Woodruff et al. (2014), Lévy et al. (2019b), and ours. For 684 Lévy et al. (2019b) we selected the data that contained more than 20% smectite, from 685

their Table 1. As mentioned previously, using only our dataset we obtain l = 0.0039. 686

From Figure 9a we can see that none of the proposed values for l fit perfectly this com-687

bined dataset. The results are in agreement with Lévy et al. (2019b) on the idea that

l seems to decrease with increasing smectite content. Further than that, these data would 689

seem to suggest that the relation between σ'' and σ'_{surf} is a non-linear one over multi-690

ple types of minerals. A more thorough analysis over multiple types of minerals needs 691 to be performed in order to determine if there is a larger obtainable linear or non-linear 692 relation between σ'' and σ'_{surf} . Another interesting relationship that is studied between 693 two SIP parameters is the relationship between σ'' and the surface area per unit volume 694 (S_{por}) , see Weller et al. (2015a) and Revil (2012). In the supplementary information, we 695 present a comparison of our data and that presented in Weller et al. (2015a) and Börner 696 (1992). It should be noted that we use clay samples and not a mix of sand and clay, and 607 thus the results between the data presented in Weller et al. (2015a), Börner (1992), and 698 our data do not align perfectly. As a whole, we observe that the imaginary conductiv-699 ity increases with the surface area per unit volume, as previously observed by Börner (1992), 700 Revil (2012), and Weller et al. (2015a). 701

Among the various existing phenomenological models, we used a double-Pelton model 702 to fit our data. We noticed that a double-Warburg model (c=0.5) was suitable for three 703 of our datasets (kaolinite, red, and green montmorillonite samples). Revil et al. (2014) 704 have proposed rather the use of a Warburg model over a Debye or Pelton model, after 705 analyzing SIP datasets of metal-free and clayey materials. This holds true for three of 706 the measured types of clay, that is the kaolinite, red and green montmorillonite samples. 707 Only the illite sample cannot be fitted by a double-Warburg and presents the most no-708 ticeable mid-frequency (around 10 Hz) peak of all the measured types of clay. We present 709 in Figure 10, trends we found among all double-Pelton parameters. To further interpret 710 the results of the double-Pelton model, one can consider the classic formula of charge-711 ability (m): 712

$$m = \frac{\sigma_{\infty} - \sigma_0}{\sigma_{\infty}},\tag{10}$$

where σ_{∞} can be thought of as the conductivity at high frequency or the AC conduc-713 tivity due to polarization plus the DC conductivity, and σ_0 can be thought of as the con-714 ductivity at low frequency or only the DC conductivity. In this way, if we notice an in-715 crease of m_1 or m_2 , we could interpret this as that possibly AC conductivity increases 716 faster with respect to DC conductivity. Similarly, if we notice a decrease of m_1 or m_2 , 717 we could interpret this as DC conductivity increasing faster than the AC conductivity. 718 We see an overall decrease of m_1 with an increase of σ_0 , and we observe a decrease of 719 τ_2 with an increase of m_2 . We could interpret the first as a direct result of our data pro-720



Figure 9. Relationship between σ'' and σ'_{surf} . a) Comparison of different linear parameters presented in the literature and the datasets from Woodruff et al. (2014) and Lévy et al. (2019b). b) Linear fit (l = 0.0039) between σ'' and σ'_{surf} , with our data at 1.46 Hz and with the four highest salinities. The red symbols represent the red montmorillonite sample, the green represent the green montmorillonite sample, the blue symbols the kaolinite sample, and the magenta represent the illite sample. The symbols (in b) representing data from the lower to higher salinity are: circle, square, diamond, and triangle.

cessing protocol. By optimizing the Pelton parameters from the curves of amplitude and 721 phase, we see an overall decrease of the mid-frequency peak (red peak in Figure 8b) with 722 an increase in salinity of the clay sample. We attribute the decrease of m_1 with salin-723 ity to maybe the cease of a polarization mechanism at a particular salinity. The fact that 724 we don't necessarily see a decrease of m_2 with salinity means that perhaps, at a certain 725 salinity some other polarization mechanisms are still active. Which polarization mech-726 anism acts at which salinity is still an open question. Further investigation needs to be 727 done, specifically on the modeling side, to better understand the SIP response of clay 728 samples for varying salinities, with individual polarization mechanisms in mind. The cor-729 relation of τ_2 and m_2 could be an artifact present in our optimization process. However, 730 we do not see such a behavior between τ_1 and m_1 . Schwartz & Furman (2015) adjust 731 a single Pelton on their SIP data on soil organic matter, and they also see a decrease of 732 τ with an increase of m. They attribute this phenomenon to the fact that an ion mo-733 bility reduction causes an increase in the relaxation time and a decrease in polarization. 734 Indeed, as presented in Table 4 and Figure 10b, we see that for m_2 and τ_2 of our dataset 735 this holds truth as well. An explanation of the observed inverse correlation between m_2 736 and τ_2 could be also due to the EDL polarization of the smallest clay particles at high 737 frequency. Large clay particles tend to polarize less than smaller clay particles due to 738 their lower total specific surface area, and thus lower surface conductivity. However, the 739 relaxation time of the EDL polarization increases when the size of the particle increases. 740 Therefore, the chargeability due to these small clay particles may decrease when the re-741 laxation time increases. More modeling work is necessary on the polarization of the EDL 742 of clay particles to better interpret our results with respect to individual polarization mech-743 anisms, in particular the EDL polarization. 744

Our $\Delta \sigma'_N$ and $\Delta \sigma''_N$ calculations agree with the fact that the highest conduction and po-745 larization values come from the smectite samples. We could interpret this as a result of 746 the fact that the smectite samples have a higher specific surface area than illite sample, 747 which has a higher specific surface area than the kaolinite sample. The surface charge 748 of montmorillonite and illite may also be higher in magnitude than the surface charge 749 of kaolinite. The imaginary conductivity amplitude is roughly one order of magnitude 750 higher for the montmorillonite samples than for other clay samples. Due to their higher 751 specific surface area and stronger EDL (reflected in the CEC measurements, see Table 752 3), the montmorillonite samples may polarize more than the kaolinite and illite samples, 753

-36-



Figure 10. From the double-Pelton optimization parameters: a) dependence of m_1 and σ_0 , and b) dependence of τ_2 and m_2 . The red symbols represent the red montmorillonite sample, the green represent green montmorillonite sample, the blue the kaolinite sample, and the magenta represent the illite sample. The symbols representing data from lower to higher salinity are: circle, square, diamond, and triangle.

and this may also explain why more salt is necessary to "saturate" the EDL polariza-754 tion controlling imaginary conductivity. For the red and green montmorillonite samples, 755 we interpret the fact that the peak of polarization (see Figure 4) happens around a 10^{-1} 756 M NaCl salinity due to the high electrical charge (see the CEC values in Table 3) on the 757 basal surfaces of all smectites. Diffuse layers around montmorillonite particles are strongly 758 repulsive, meaning that a high ion concentration in the pore water is necessary to com-759 press the diffuse layers which decreases membrane polarization effects and favour coag-760 ulation of the particles (Tombácz & Szekeres, 2006). Coagulated particles exhibit a smaller 761 external surface area available for polarization. Illite and kaolinite have a smaller spe-762 cific surface area, therefore, the peak in their imaginary conductivity may happen at a 763 smaller ion concentration in the pore water. 764

If we take a look at Figures 6 and 7, we see that for both conductivities (real and imag-765 inary), the montmorillonite samples are less dispersed than the kaolinite and illite sam-766 ples. Meaning, the maximum and minimum values are closer together for the montmo-767 rillonite samples than for the illite and kaolinite samples. This could be due to the fact 768 that montmorillonites have a far more important specific surface area than illite and kaoli-769 nite, therefore a change in salinity effects more the conductivities (real and imaginary) 770 of kaolinite and illite. Furthermore, we can observe in Figure 6 that the surface conduc-771 tivity of the montmorillonite samples is higher than the surface conductivity of the kaoli-772 nite and illite samples. We can see this as in the lowest salinity, we have higher values 773 for the real conductivity of the montmorillonite samples in comparison to the kaolinite 774 and illite samples. At the lowest salinity, we can assume that the surface conductivity 775 is the most important between pore water conductivity and surface conductivity (see equa-776 tion 2). The high surface conductivity of the montmorillonite samples could also explain 777 the fact that the difference between maximum and minimum conductivities is bigger for 778 the kaolinite and illite samples, than for the montmorillonite samples (see Figure 6). Again, 779 as the salinity increases (more available ions), it can significantly effect the pore water 780 conductivity and thus the total measured conductivity of the kaolinite and illite sam-781 ples. As for the montmorillonite samples, this is less clear because of the high surface 782 conductivity. For the montmorillonites and kaolinite samples, the imaginary conductiv-783 ity spectra are less sensitive to salinity than for the illite sample. This may be due to 784 the permanent negative surface charge of the basal surface of montmorillonite (see Fig-785 ure 1) which may control polarization of montmorillonites and kaolinite (to a lesser ex-786

-38-

tent due to a significant content of smectite). In addition, the illite sample exhibits a polarization peak at a frequency of around 10 Hz, which is not seen for the other clay types
(flatter signals). Following Schwarz (1962), we could attribute this 10 Hz peak of polarization in the illite sample to a possible presence of bigger clay aggregates compared to
the rest of the clay samples. The illite sample used for our measurements (see Table 1)
has 12% calcite that could perhaps correspond to polarization around large calcite grains,
or a smaller polarization of grains themselves, as shown by Leroy et al. (2017b).

In Figure 11 we present a conceptual sketch of what we interpret occurs to clay parti-794 cles with increasing salinity. As the salinity increases, it seems plausible that clay par-795 ticles coagulate; and thus the distance between clay particles decreases with increasing salinity, up until a point of coagulation where two clay particles can be thought of as a 797 thicker clay particle. As a result, initially at the lowest salinity (Figure 11a), we have 798 two clay particles with a negative surface charge, and an overlapping diffuse layer, with 799 a membrane effect polarization. At the mid-salinity (Figure 11b), we have a larger ionic 800 concentration (NaCl), thus more available ions to polarize, and so we see an increase in 801 polarization from Figure 11a to Figure 11b. However, we see an overlap in the diffuse 802 layer, with a possible reduced membrane effect polarization. Therefore the overall to-803 tal polarization increases from Figure 11a to Figure 11b (even if individual polarization 804 mechanisms such as the membrane polarization decreases from Figure 11a to Figure 11b). 805 On the contrary, at the highest salinity (Figure 11c), where clay particles have coagulated and thus we have a smaller external specific surface charge; a smaller area for ions 807 to polarize. In addition, we have a null membrane polarization effect at the highest salin-808 ity. To make the link with Figure 4b, for the montmorillonite samples, the two lowest 809 salinities $(10^{-2}-10^{-1} \text{ S/m range})$ would correspond to the state presented in Figure 11a, 810 the 10^{0} S/m salinity would correspond to in Figure 11b, and the 10^{1} S/m would cor-811 respond to Figure 11c. For the kaolinite and illite samples, we would rather couple the 812 10^{-2} S/m (presented in Figure 4b) to Figure 11a, the 10^{-1} S/m to Figure 11b, and fi-813 nally the two highest salinities $(10^{0}-10^{1} \text{ S/m range})$ to 11c. This is consistent with, Vine-814 gar & Waxman (1984), who proposed that the decrease of the quadrature conductivity 815 with salinity in shaly sands happens due to a decrease of the membrane effect. Revil (2012) 816 mentions that there is a relative change on the effect of polarization mechanisms with 817 salinity. Furthermore, Hördt et al. (2016) made a numerical membrane polarization study 818 of wide and narrow pores of different sizes and varying salinity and pH. They find that 819

-39-



Figure 11. An interpreted process of how clay particles behave with increasing salinity. The state of two clay particles at a) the lowest salinity, b) mid-salinity and c) highest salinity. In green we present individual clay particles. In blue the negative surface charge of the clay particle, and in red the EDL (Stern and diffuse layer). In this figure, we refer as sal. to salinity, and Ss_{ext} to the specific surface area of the clay particle. Numbers 1, 2, and 3 represent different stages of increasing salinity and therefore coagulation.

- specially for narrow pores, the imaginary conductivity increases with salinity until a max-820 imum value, and then decreases. Additionally, Weller et al. (2015b) and Lesmes & Frye 821 (2001) have interpreted the decrease of the polarization of sandstones at high salinities 822 by a decrease of the ionic mobility at high salinities in the EDL. Although according to 823 molecular dynamics (MD) predictions (Bourg & Sposito, 2011), the mobility of counter-824 ions (Na⁺) in the Stern layer does not decrease when salinity increases. More physical 825 or numerical modeling of clays needs to be done to better understand exactly how each 826 phenomenon (clay coagulation and decrease of ionic mobility) effects the polarization of 827 clay samples at varying salinities. 828
- On the differentiation of clay types by using SIP, we can think of two things. If we take a look at the parameters of Table 4, we could say these parameters are very close to each other, and on a field scale experiment, realistically differentiating two types of clay seems very ambitious. The success of such a task would depend on the fieldwork planning, so

-40-

a correct resolution is used, but with single parameters such as σ_0 , the task would seem 833 complicated. However, if we take a look at figures 6 and 7, differentiating types of clay 834 using multiple frequencies seems easier of a task. Therefore, if a fieldwork campaign is 835 carried out with the objective of differentiating two or more types of clay in a formation, 836 we recommend using multi-frequency electrical methods. Moreover, differentiating two 837 types of montmorillonites in the field and laboratory scale seems impossible if only us-838 ing geo-electrical methods. However, differentiating between a montmorillonite and il-830 lite or kaolinite seems more achievable of a task in both the field and laboratory scales. 840 If in the laboratory we run experiments in a controlled environment using relatively pure 841 clays, the application of our findings in the field will be more challenging due to a com-842 bination of subsurface heterogeneity and greater measurement noise due to larger cou-843 pling effects. 844

Zonge et al. (2005) mention that the differentiation of clay types in IP is possible at fre-845 quencies above 1000 Hz. Our dataset could help establishing a basis to differentiate types 846 of clay at lower frequencies (<1000 Hz) using the widely used low frequency geo-electrical 847 methods. We understand that, just because we can see a clear difference in the resistiv-848 ity values of our clay samples (see Table 5), this does not necessarily mean that, this dif-849 ferentiation could be done for all field conditions. Differentiating types of clay would de-850 pend on the clay samples themselves and the resolution of method used for the data col-851 lection in the field. As future work, we could use our dataset as a basis for forward-modeling 852 to better understand if the differentiation of types of clay would be possible at the field 853 scale. Also more experiments at a larger laboratory scale (pluri-decimetric) to test if we 854 are able to differentiate types of clay using geo-electrical methods in a controlled envi-855 ronment. 856

857 6 Conclusions

We present a new laboratory protocol to characterize clay samples with good repeatability, and a new SIP dataset consisting of four different types of clay (red and green montmorillonite samples, an illite sample, and a kaolinite sample) at five different NaCl salinities (from initially de-ionized water to 1 M NaCl). Our data shows an increase of the real part of the conductivity with salinity, while there is a non-monotonous behavior with the imaginary conductivity. A possible interpretation of this behavior could be that as salinity increases, coagulation happens. At a particular salinity threshold some

-41-

polarization mechanisms cease to act, possibly membrane polarization effects, thus de-865 creasing at a particular salinity the imaginary conductivity of the clay sample. There 866 is a difference in the peak of polarization between clay types, varying both with salin-867 ity and in amplitude. Montmorillonite samples may present this polarizability peak at 868 a higher salinity than the kaolinite and illite samples. This agrees with the fact that smec-869 tites need a higher ion concentration in the pore water to diminish membrane polariza-870 tion effects and favour particle coagulation. We calculate the surface conductivities of 871 the clay samples for the four highest salinities and we confirm that both montmorillonite 872 samples have higher surface conductivities with respect to the kaolinite and illite sam-873 ples and correlate well with the measured CEC. We found the linear parameter (l) be-874 tween both surface conductivities to be 0.0039 for our dataset. A wider dataset of clayey 875 materials would seem to suggest that l decreases with clay content. 876

More work on the side of the physical modeling needs to be done in order to be able to 877 interpret our dataset by polarization mechanisms. Additionally more laboratory work, 878 at a slightly bigger scale (pluri-decimetric) or directly field scale using multi-frequency 879 geo-electrical methods could be used to validate the differentiation of clay types at big-880 ger scales. 881

Acknowledgments 882

The authors strongly thank the financial support of ANR EXCITING (grant ANR-17-883 CE06-0012) for this work and for the PhD thesis funding of A. Mendieta. We would also like to thank: Claude Fontaine, Philippe Cosenza, Céline Boissard, and Patricia Patrier. 885 Members of the HydrASA team of the University of Poitiers for performing the XRD 886 and CEC measurements on the different types of clay samples. We thank the associate 887 editor, Niklas Linde, Lee Slater and two anonymous reviewers for their insightful com-888 ments that allowed us to improve our manuscript immensely. The data used in this study 889 will be available on a zenodo repository (doi:10.5281/zenodo.4050345) after acceptance 890 of the paper. 891

References 892

805

Auken, E., Boesen, T., & Christiansen, A. V. (2017). A Review of Airborne Elec-893 tromagnetic Methods With Focus on Geotechnical and Hydrological Applications From 2007 to 2017. In Advances in geophysics (Vol. 58, pp. 47–93). Elsevier Inc.

-42-

manuscript submitted to JGR: Solid Earth

- doi: 10.1016/bs.agph.2017.10.002
- Batayneh, A. T. (2006). Resistivity tomography as an aid to planning gas-pipeline
 construction, Risha area, north-east Jordan. Near Surface Geophysics, 4, 313–319.
 doi: 10.3997/1873-0604.2005053
- Bergaya, F., & Lagaly, G. (2006). General introduction: clays, clay minerals, and clay science. In B. F., T. B.K.G., & L. G. (Eds.), *Handbook of clay science* (1st

ed., Vol. 1, pp. 1–18). Elsevier Ltd. doi: 10.1016/S1572-4352(05)01001-9

- Börner, F. (1992). Complex conductivity measurements of reservoir properties.
- In P. Worthington & C. Chardaire-Rivière (Eds.), Advances in core evaluation:
- Reservoir management: Reviewed proceedings of the society for core analysis third european core analysis symposium (pp. 359–386). Hardwood Academic.
- Bourg, I. C., & Sposito, G. (2011). Molecular dynamics simulations of the electri-
- cal double layer on smectite surfaces contacting concentrated mixed electrolyte
- 909 (NaCl-CaCl2) solutions. Journal of Colloid And Interface Science, 360(2), 701–
- 715. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2011.04.063 doi:
 10.1016/j.jcis.2011.04.063
- Breede, K., Kemna, A., Esser, O., Zimmermann, E., Vereecken, H., & Huisman,

J. A. (2012). Spectral induced polarization measurements on variably sat-

- urated sand-clay mixtures. Near Surface Geophysics, 10, 479–489. doi:
 10.3997/1873-0604.2012048
- Brigatti, M. F., Galan, E., & Theng, B. K. (2006). Structures and Mineralogy of
 Clay Minerals. In B. F., T. B.K.G., & L. G. (Eds.), *Handbook of clay science* (Vol. 1, pp. 19–86). Elsevier Ltd. doi: 10.1016/S1572-4352(05)01002-0
- Brindley, G., & Brown, G. (1980). Crystal structures of Clay Minerals and Their
- X-ray Identification. Mineralogical Society Monograph No. 5. London: The Miner alogical Society of Great Britain and Ireland.
- Bücker, M., Flores Orozco, A., Undorf, S., & Kemna, A. (2019). On the Role of
 Stern- and Diffuse-Layer Polarization Mechanisms in Porous Media. Journal of
 Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124, 5656–5677. doi: 10.1029/2019JB017679
- Bücker, M., & Hördt, A. (2013). Analytical modelling of membrane polarization
- with explicit parametrization of pore radii and the electrical double layer. Geo-
- p_{227} physical Journal International, 194, 804–813. doi: 10.1093/gji/ggt136
- ⁹²⁸ Caceci, M., & Cacheris, W. (1984). Fitting curves to data. The Simplex algorithm is

929	the answer. $Byte, 9, 340-362.$
930	Chen, Y., & Or, D. (2006). Effects of Maxwell-Wagner polarization on soil complex
931	dielectric permittivity under variable temperature and electrical conductivity. Wa -
932	ter Resources Research, 42, 1–14. doi: 10.1029/2005 WR004590
933	Chorover, J., Kretzschmar, R., Garica-Pichel, F., & Sparks, D. L. (2007). Soil bio-
934	geochemicial processes within the critical zone. $Elements, 3, 321-326.$ doi: 10
935	.2113/gselements.3.5.321
936	Chung, F. H. (1974). Quantitative interpretation of X-ray diffraction patterns of
937	mixtures. I. Matrix-flushing method for quantitative multicomponent analysis.
938	$Journal \ of \ Applied \ Crystallography, \ 7, \ 519-525. \ {\rm doi:} \ 10.1107/{\rm s}0021889874010375$
939	Ciesielski, H., & Sterckeman, T. (1997) . Determination of cation exchange capacity
940	and exchangeable cations in soils by means of cobalt hexamine trichloride. Effects
941	of experimental conditions. Agronomie, 17, 1–7. doi: $10.1051/agro:19970101$
942	Cole, K. S., & Cole, R. H. (1941). Dispersion and Absorption in Dielectrics. Journal
943	of Chemical Physics, 9, 341. doi: https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1750906
944	Corrado, S., Aldega, L., Celano, A. S., De Benedetti, A. A., & Giordano, G. (2014).
945	Cap rock efficiency and fluid circulation of natural hydrothermal systems by
946	means of XRD on clay minerals (Sutri, Northern Latium, Italy). Geothermics, 50 ,
947	180–188. doi: $10.1016/j.geothermics.2013.09.011$
948	Cosenza, P., Ghorbani, A., Revil, A., Zamora, M., Schmutz, M., Jougnot, D.,
949	& Florsch, N. (2008). A physical model of the low-frequency electrical po-
950	larization of clay rocks. Journal of Geophysical Research, 113, 1–9. doi:
951	10.1029/2007 JB005539
952	de Lima, O. A., & Sharma, M. M. (1990). A grain conductivity approach to
953	shaly sand stones. Geophysics,55,1347–1356. doi: doi:https://doi.org/10.1190/
954	1.1442782
955	Finco, C., Pontoreau, C., Schamper, C., Massuel, S., Hovhannissian, G., & Rejiba,
956	F. (2018). Time-domain electromagnetic imaging of a clayey confining bed in a
957	brackish environment: A case study in the Kairouan Plain Aquifer (Kelbia salt
958	lake, Tunisia). Hydrological Processes, 32, 3954–3965. doi: 10.1002/hyp.13303
959	Garrels, R. M., & Mackenzie, F. (1971). Evolution of sedimentary rocks. New York.
960	Ghorbani, A., Cosenza, P., Revil, A., Zamora, M., Schmutz, M., Florsch, N., &
961	Jougnot, D. (2009). Non-invasive monitoring of water content and textural

-44-

962	changes in clay-rocks using spectral induced polarization: A laboratory investiga-
963	tion. Applied Clay Science, 43, 493–502. doi: 10.1016/j.clay.2008.12.007
964	Gonçalvès, J., de Marsily, G., & Tremosa, J. (2012). Importance of thermo-
965	osmosis for fluid flow and transport in clay formations hosting a nuclear
966	waste repository. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 339-340, 1–10. doi:
967	10.1016/j.epsl.2012.03.032
968	Hassan, M. S., Villieras, F., Gaboriaud, F., & Razafitianamaharavo, A. (2006).
969	AFM and low-pressure argon adsorption analysis of geometrical properties of
970	phyllosilicates. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 296, 614–623. doi:
971	10.1016/j.jcis.2005.09.028
972	Hillier, S. (2003). Quantitative Analysis of Clay and other Minerals in Sandstones by
973	X-Ray Powder Diffraction (XRPD). Int. Assoc. Sedimentol. Spec. Publ., 34, 213–
974	251. doi: 10.1002/9781444304336.ch11
975	Hördt, A., Bairlein, K., Bielefeld, A., Bücker, M., Kuhn, E., Nordsiek, S., & Stebner,
976	H. (2016). The dependence of induced polarization on fl uid salinity and pH ,
977	studied with an extended model of membrane polarization. Journal of Applied
978	Geophysics, 135, 408-417.doi: 10.1016/j.jappgeo.2016.02.007
979	Huisman, J. A., Zimmermann, E., Esser, O., Haegel, F. H., Treichel, A., &
980	Vereecken, H. (2016). Evaluation of a novel correction procedure to remove elec-
981	trode impedance effects from broadband SIP measurements. Journal of Applied
982	Geophysics, 135, 466-473.doi: 10.1016/j.jappgeo.2015.11.008
983	Islam, M., Chittoori, B., & Burbank, M. (2020). Evaluating the Applicability of
984	Biostimulated Calcium Carbonate Precipitation to Stabilize Clayey Soils. Journal
985	of Materials in Civil Engineering, 32 , 1–11. doi: $10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533$
986	.0003036
987	Jougnot, D., Ghorbani, A., Revil, A., Leroy, P., & Cosenza, P. (2010). Spectral in-
988	duced polarization of partially saturated clay-rocks : a mechanistic approach. Geo-
989	physical Journal International, 180, 210–224. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2009.04426
990	.x
991	Kemna, A., Binley, A., Cassiani, G., Niederleithinger, E., Revil, A., Slater, L.,
992	Zimmermann, E. (2012). An overview of the spectral induced polarization
993	method for near-surface applications. Near Surface Geophysics, 10, 453–468.

994 doi: 10.3997/1873-0604.2012027

-45-

- Khaled, E. M., & Stucki, J. W. (1991). Iron Oxidation State Effects on Cation Fixation in Smectites. Soil Science Society of America Journal1, 55(2), 550–554.
- Konikow, L., August, L., & Voss, C. (2001). Effects of clay dispersion on aquifer
 storage and recovery in coastal aquifers. Transport in Porous Media, 43, 45–64.
 doi: 10.1023/A:1010613525547
- 1000 Kremer, T., Schmutz, M., Maineult, A., & Agrinier, P. (2016). Laboratory mon-

itoring of CO2 injection in saturated silica and carbonate sands using spectral

- induced polarization. Geophysical Journal International, 207, 1258–1272. doi:
 10.1093/gji/ggw333
- Leroy, P., Li, S., Jougnot, D., Revil, A., & Wu, Y. (2017b). Modelling the evolution of complex conductivity during calcite precipitation on glass beads. *Geophysical Journal International*, 209, 123–140. doi: 10.1093/gji/ggx001
- Leroy, P., & Revil, A. (2004). A triple-layer model of the surface electrochemical
 properties of clay minerals. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 270, 371–
 380. doi: 10.1016/j.jcis.2003.08.007
- Leroy, P., & Revil, A. (2009). A mechanistic model for the spectral induced polarization of clay materials. Journal of Geophysical Research, 114 (B10202), 1–21. doi: 10.1029/2008JB006114
- Leroy, P., Tournassat, C., Bernard, O., Devau, N., & Azaroual, M. (2015). The electrophoretic mobility of montmorillonite. Zeta potential and surface con-
- ductivity effects. Journal of Colloid And Interface Science, 451, 21–39. doi: 10.1016/j.jcis.2015.03.047
- Leroy, P., Weigand, M., Mériguet, G., Zimmermann, E., Tournassat, C., Fager-
- lund, F., ... Huisman, J. A. (2017a). Spectral induced polarization of Na montmorillonite dispersions. Journal of Colloid And Interface Science, 505,
 1093–1110. doi: 10.1016/j.jcis.2017.06.071
- Lesmes, D. P., & Frye, K. M. (2001). Influence of pore fluid chemistry on the complex conductivity and induced polarization responses of Berea sandstone. *Journal* of *Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*(B3), 4079–4090. doi: 10.1029/2000jb900392
- 1024 Lévy, L., Gibert, B., Sigmundsson, F., Flóvenz, O. G., Hersir, G. P., Briole, P., &
- Pezard, P. A. (2018). The role of smectites in the electrical conductivity of
- active hydrothermal systems: Electrical properties of core samples from Krafla
- volcano, Iceland. Geophysical Journal International, 215, 1558–1582. doi:

1028 10.1093/gji/ggy342

- 1029 Lévy, L., Maurya, P. K., Byrdina, S., Vandemeulebrouck, J., Sigmundsson, F.,
- Árnason, K., ... Labazuy, P. (2019a). Electrical resistivity tomography and
 time-domain induced polarization field investigations of geothermal areas at
- 1032 Krafla, Iceland: Comparison to borehole and laboratory frequency-domain elec-
- trical observations. Geophysical Journal International, 218, 1469–1489. doi:
 10.1093/gji/ggz240
- Lévy, L., Weller, A., & Gibert, B. (2019b). Influence of smectite and salinity on the
 imaginary and surface conductivity of volcanic rocks. Near Surface Geophysics,
 17, 653–673. doi: 10.1002/nsg.12069
- Looms, M. C., Klotzsche, A., van der Kruk, J., Larsen, T. H., Edsen, A., Tuxen, N.,
- 1039 ... Nielsen, L. (2018). Mapping sand layers in clayey till using crosshole ground 1040 penetrating radar. *Geophysics*, 83, A21–A26. doi: 10.1190/GEO2017-0297.1
- Ma, C., & Eggleton, R. (1999). Cation Exchange Capacity of Kaolinite. Clays and
 Clay Minerals, 47, 174–180. doi: https://doi.org/10.1346/CCMN.1999.0470207
- Maineult, A. (2016). Estimation of the electrical potential distribution along metallic casing from surface self-potential profile. *Journal of Applied Geophysics*, 129,
- 1045 66–78. doi: 10.1016/j.jappgeo.2016.03.038
- Maineult, A., Revil, A., Camerlynck, C., Florsch, N., & Titov, K. (2017). Upscaling
 of spectral induced polarization response using random tube networks. *Geophysical Journal International*, 209, 948–960. doi: 10.1093/gji/ggx066
- Meier, L. P., & Kahr, G. (1999). Determination of the Cation Exchange Capac-
- ity (CEC) of Clay Minerals Using the Complexes of Copper(II) Ion with Tri-
- ethylenetetramine and Tetraethylenepentamine. Clays and Clay Minerals, 47(3),
- 1052 386–388. doi: 10.1346/ccmn.1999.0470315
- Michot, L. J., & Villiéras, F. (2006). Surface Area and Porosity. In F. Bergaya,
 B. K. Theng, & G. Lagaly (Eds.), *Handbook of clay science* (Vol. 1, pp. 965–978).
- 1055 Elsevier Ltd. doi: 10.1016/S1572-4352(05)01035-4
- Mitchell, J. K., & Soga, K. (2005). Fundamentals of Soil Behavior (3rd ed.). John
 Wiley & Sons. doi: 10.1097/00010694-199407000-00009
- Moore, D., & Reynolds, R. (1989). X-ray diffraction and the identification and anal ysis of clay minerals. Oxford University Press.
- Morsy, S., & Sheng, J. (2014). Effect of Water Salinity on Shale Reservoir Produc-

- tivity. Advances in Petroleum Exploration and Development, 8, 9–14. doi: 10
 .3968/5604
- 1063 Okay, G., Cosenza, P., Ghorbani, A., Camerlynck, C., Cabrera, J., Florsch, N., &
- Revil, A. (2013). Localization and characterization of cracks in clay-rocks using
 frequency and time-domain induced polarization. *Geophysical Prospecting*, 61,
 134–152. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2478.2012.01054.x
- Okay, G., Leroy, P., Ghorbani, A., Cosenza, P., Camerlynck, C., Cabrera, J., ... Re vil, A. (2014). Spectral induced polarization of clay-sand mixtures : Experiments
 and modeling. *Geophysics*, 79, 353–375. doi: 10.1190/GEO2013-0347.1
- Ortiz, L., Volckaert, G., & Mallants, D. (2002). Gas generation and migration in
 Boom Clay, a potential host rock formation for nuclear waste storage. *Engineering Geology*, 64, 287–296. doi: 10.1016/S0013-7952(01)00107-7
- Parker, B. L., Chapman, S. W., & Guilbeault, M. A. (2008). Plume persistence
 caused by back diffusion from thin clay layers in a sand aquifer following TCE
- source-zone hydraulic isolation. Journal of Contaminant Hydrology, 102, 86–104.
 doi: 10.1016/j.jconhyd.2008.07.003
- Pelton, W., Ward, S. H., Hallof, P. G., Sill, W. R., & Nelson, P. H. (1978). Mineral discrimination and removal of inductive coupling with multifrequency IP. *Geophyics*, 43, 588–609. doi: https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1440839
- Revil, A. (2012). Spectral induced polarization of shaly sands: Influence of the
 electrical double layer. Water Resources Research, 48(2), 1–23. doi: 10.1029/
 2011WR011260
- Revil, A., Florsch, N., & Camerlynck, C. (2014). Spectral induced polarization
 porosimetry. *Geophysical Journal International*, 198, 1016–1033. doi: 10.1093/gji/
 ggu180
- Revil, A., Karaoulis, M., Johnson, T., & Kemna, A. (2012). Review : Some low frequency electrical methods for subsurface characterization and monitoring in hy drogeology. Hydrogeology Journal, 20, 617–658. doi: 10.1007/s10040-011-0819-x
- Revil, A., & Leroy, P. (2004). Constitutive equations for ionic transport in porous
 shales. Journal of Geophysical Research, 109, 1–19. doi: 10.1029/2003jb002755
- Revil, A., & Skold, M. (2011). Salinity dependence of spectral induced polarization
 in sands and sandstones. *Geophysical Journal International*, 187, 813–824. doi: 10
- .1111/j.1365-246X.2011.05181.x

-48-

- Schwartz, N., & Furman, A. (2015). On the spectral induced polarization signature
 of soil organic matter. *Geophysical Journal International*, 200, 589–595. doi: 10
 .1093/gji/ggu410
- Schwarz, G. (1962). A theory of the low-frequency dielectric dispersion of colloidal
 particles in electrolyte solution. Journal of Physical Chemistry, 66, 2636–2642.
 doi: 10.1021/j100818a067
- Sondi, I., Biscan, J., & Pravdic, V. (1996). Electrokinetics of Pure Clay Minerals
 Revisited. Journal of Colloid And Interface Science, 178, 514–522. doi: https://
 doi.org/10.1006/jcis.1996.0403
- Spichak, V., & Manzella, A. (2009). Electromagnetic sounding of geothermal zones.
 Journal of Applied Geophysics, 68, 459–478. doi: 10.1016/j.jappgeo.2008.05.007
- Tabbagh, A., Rejiba, F., Finco, C., Schamper, C., Souffaché, B., Camerlynck, C., ...
- Maineult, A. (2021). The Case for Considering Polarization in the Interpretation
- of Electrical and Electromagnetic Measurements in the 3 kHz to 3 MHz Frequency Range. Surveys in Geophysics. doi: 10.1007/s10712-020-09625-1
- Tombácz, E., & Szekeres, M. (2006). Surface charge heterogeneity of kaolinite in aqueous suspension in comparison with montmorillonite. Applied Clay Science, 34, 105–124. doi: 10.1016/j.clay.2006.05.009
- Tournassat, C., Bizi, M., Braibant, G., & Crouzet, C. (2011). Influence of montmorillonite tactoid size on Na-Ca cation exchange reactions. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 364, 443–454. doi: 10.1016/j.jcis.2011.07.039
- Tournassat, C., Bourg, I. C., Steefel, C. I., & Bergaya, F. (2015). Surface Properties of Clay Minerals. In C. Tournassat, C. I. Steefel, I. C. Bourg, & F. Bergaya (Eds.), *Developments in clay science* (Vol. 6, pp. 5–31). Elsevier. doi: 10.1016/
- в978-0-08-100027-4.00001-2
- Tournassat, C., Grangeon, S., Leroy, P., & Giffaut, E. (2013). Modeling specific pH dependent sorption of divalent metals on montmorillonite surfaces. A review of
- pitfalls, recent achievements and current challenges. American Journal of Science,
 313, 395–451.
- Tournassat, C., Neaman, A., Villéras, F., Bosbach, D., & Charlet, L. (2003).
- Nanomorphology of montmorillonite particles: Estimation of the clay edge sorp-
- tion site density by low-pressure gas adsorption and AFM observations. American
- 1126 Mineralogist, 88, 1989–1995. doi: 10.2138/am-2003-11-1243

-49-

- Tournassat, C., & Steefel, C. I. (2015). Ionic transport in nano-porous clays with consideration of electrostatic effects. *Reviews in Mineralogy & Geochemistry*, 80,
- 1129 287–329. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.2138/rmg.2015.80.09
- Tournassat, C., & Steefel, C. I. (2019). Reactive Transport Modeling of Coupled
- Processes in Nanoporous Media. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 85,
 75–109. doi: 10.2138/rmg.2019.85.4
- Tsujimoto, Y., Chassagne, C., & Adachi, Y. (2013). Dielectric and electrophoretic response of montmorillonite particles as function of ionic strength. *Journal of Col-*
- loid and Interface Science, 404, 72–79. doi: 10.1016/j.jcis.2013.03.033
- Vinegar, H. J., & Waxman, M. H. (1984). Induced Polarization of Shaly Sands the
 Effect of Clay Counterion Type. Log Analyst, 25, 11–21.
- ¹¹³⁸ Wagner, J. F. (2013). Mechanical properties of clays and clay minerals. In
- F. Bergaya & G. Lagaly (Eds.), Handbook of clay science (2nd ed., Vol. 5A, pp.
- 1140 347-381). Elsevier Inc. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-08-098258-8.00011-0
- Wang, C., & Slater, L. D. (2019). Extending accurate spectral induced polarization measurements into the kHz range: Modelling and removal of errors from interac-
- tions between the parasitic capacitive coupling and the sample holder. *Geophysical Journal International*, 218, 895–912. doi: 10.1093/gji/ggz199
- Waxman, M. H., & Smits, L. J. (1968). Electrical Conductivities in Oil-Bearing
 Shaly Sands. Society of Petroleum Engineers Journal, 243, 107–122. doi: 10.2118/
 1863-A
- Weller, A., & Slater, L. (2012). Salinity dependence of complex conductivity of un consolidated and consolidated materials: Comparisons with electrical double layer
 models. *Geophysics*, 77, D185–D198. doi: 10.1190/geo2012-0030.1
- Weller, A., Slater, L., Huisman, J. A., Esser, O., & Haegel, F. H. (2015a). On the
 specific polarizability of sands and sand-clay mixtures. *Geophysics*, 80, A57–A61.
- doi: 10.1190/GEO2014-0509.1
- Weller, A., Slater, L., & Nordsiek, S. (2013). On the relationship between induced polarization and surface conductivity: Implications for petrophysical
- interpretation of electrical measurements. Geophysics, 78, D315–D325. doi:
 10.1190/GEO2013-0076.1
- Weller, A., Slater, L., Nordsiek, S., & Ntarlagiannis, D. (2010). On the estimation of specific surface per unit pore volume from induced polarization: A robust

-50-

- empirical relation fits multiple data sets. *Geophysics*, 75, WA105–WA112. doi:
- 1161 10.1190/1.3471577
- Weller, A., Zhang, Z., & Slater, L. (2015b). High-salinity polarization of sandstones.
 Geophysics(3), D309–D318. doi: 10.1190/GEO2014-0483.1
- White, W. A. (1949). Atterberg plastic limits of clay minerals. American Mineralo gist, 34, 508–512. doi: https://doi.org/
- Woodruff, W. F., Revil, A., & Torres-Verdín, C. (2014). Laboratory determina tion of the complex conductivity tensor of unconventional anisotropic shales. *Geo- physics*, 79, E183–E200. doi: 10.1190/GEO2013-0367.1
- Zanetti, C., Weller, A., Vennetier, M., & Mériaux, P. (2011). Detection of buried
 tree root samples by using geoelectrical measurements: A laboratory experiment.
- Plant Soil, 339, 273–283. doi: 10.1007/s11104-010-0574-0
- Zimmermann, E., Kemna, A., Berwix, J., Glaas, W., Münch, H. M., & Huisman,
- J. A. (2008). A high-accuracy impedance spectrometer for measuring sediments
- with low polarizability. Measurement Science and Technology, 19, 1–9. doi:
 10.1088/0957-0233/19/10/105603
- II76 Zonge, K., Wynn, J., & Urquhart, S. (2005). Resistivity, induced polarization,
- and complex resistivity. In D. K. Butler (Ed.), Near surface geophysics (pp.
- 1178 265–300). Society of Exploration Geophysicists. doi: https://doi.org/10.1190/
- 1.9781560801719.ch9