



HAL
open science

Olfactory and gustatory dysfunctions in COVID-19 outpatients: A prospective cohort study

L. Cousyn, B. Sellem, R. Palich, D. Bendetowicz, R. Agher, C. Delorme, R. Tubiana, M.-A. Valantin, S. Seang, L. Schneider, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

L. Cousyn, B. Sellem, R. Palich, D. Bendetowicz, R. Agher, et al.. Olfactory and gustatory dysfunctions in COVID-19 outpatients: A prospective cohort study. *Infectious Diseases Now*, 2021, 128 (2), pp.376-383. 10.1016/j.idnow.2021.03.004 . hal-03263498

HAL Id: hal-03263498

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03263498v1>

Submitted on 17 Jun 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Abstract

Objectives: To describe characteristics, evolution and risk factors for long-term persistence of olfactory and gustatory dysfunctions (OGD) in COVID-19 outpatients.

Patients and methods: We conducted a prospective study in SARS-CoV-2 infected outpatients with OGD. Weekly phone interviews were set up from COVID-19 onset symptoms and during 60 days, using standardized questionnaires that included a detailed description of general symptoms and OGD. The primary outcome was the proportion of patients with a complete recovery of OGD at D30. Rate and time to recovery of OGD, as well as risk factors for late recovery (>30 days), were evaluated using Cox regression models.

Results: Ninety-eight outpatients were included. The median time to onset of OGD after first COVID-19 symptoms was 2 days (IQR 0-4). The 30-day recovery rate of OGD was 67.5% (95%CI 57.1-75.4) and the estimated median time of OGD recovery was 20 days (95%CI 13-26). Risk factors for late recovery of OGD were a complete loss of smell or taste at diagnosis (HR=0.26, 95%CI 0.12-0.56, p=0.0005) and age over 40 years (HR=0.56, 95%CI 0.36-0.89, p=0.01).

Conclusions: COVID-19 patients with complete loss of smell or taste and over age 40 are more likely to develop persistent OGD and should rapidly receive a sensorial rehabilitation.

Keywords

Anosmia; ageusia; SARS-CoV-2; Smell; Taste

1. Introduction

Olfactory impairment following an upper respiratory tract infection is common and up to 40% of cases of anosmia in adults have been related to post-viral olfactory dysfunction [1]. Acute olfactory and gustatory dysfunctions (OGD) appear to be even more prevalent in mild-to-moderate COVID-19 patients (40 to 80%) [2–5], whereas these symptoms have been rarely reported in hospitalized patients (about 5%) [6].

As some patients experience long-term manifestations of COVID-19 [7], including OGD [8,9], a better knowledge of the temporal dynamics and risk factors potentially associated with persistent symptoms would be useful for more appropriate patient management. Although prospective studies have been conducted, such factors have not yet been reported. However, the monitoring of patients was not close enough and OGD were sometimes self-assessed, which may have been less sensitive than a clinician's evaluation [8,9].

Herein, we conducted the COVID-19 Infection and Related ANOsμία and ageusia (CIRANO) prospective study – with a systematic weekly teleconsultation – to describe the characteristics and evolution of OGD over time and to investigate factors associated with persistent OGD.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Study population

The COVID-PSL study was a prospective cohort at Pitié-Salpêtrière University Hospital (Paris, France) of 429 adult outpatients with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection and mild-to-moderate COVID-19. The CIRANO study followed a subsample of the COVID-PSL cohort: all patients experiencing OGD were included from March 20, 2020, to April 20, 2020. SARS-CoV-2

diagnosis was based on specific RT-PCR (cobas 6800, Roche Molecular Systems, Branchburg, NJ) testing of nasopharyngeal swab samples, or on the presence of anti-SARS-CoV2 antibodies (Abott ARCHITECT SARS-CoV-2 immunoassay, Abbott Diagnostic, Chicago, USA). All patients gave their informed consent to study participation.

2.2. Data collection

Weekly phone interviews were performed from the clinical onset of COVID-19 and during 30 days. In case of persistent OGD beyond day 30, follow-up continued every 2 weeks until day 60. Data were collected through a standardized questionnaire including demographics, onset of COVID-19 symptoms, general symptoms (i.e. general infectious, respiratory and gastrointestinal symptoms), onset of OGD (defined as D0), and characteristics of OGD: anosmia or hyposmia (complete or partial loss of smell), parosmia (distortion of smell), phantosmia (presence of smell in absence of stimulus), ageusia or hypogeusia (complete or partial loss of taste), parageusia (distortion of taste). To prevent any confusion with retronasal olfactory dysfunction, gustatory dysfunction strictly referred to trouble detecting at least one of the four basic tastes (sweet, salty, bitter, and sour). At each teleconsultation, patients were asked whether symptoms were stable, improving, or resolved, and potential impact on appetite and psychological state.

The study main outcome was the proportion of individuals with OGD recovery at D30. The secondary outcomes were the median time to OGD recovery, the proportion of individuals with OGD recovery at D60, and risks factors for persistent OGD after D30.

The evaluated risk factors included age, gender, cycle threshold (Ct) value of SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR (as a proxy for nasopharyngeal viral load), intensity of OGD (partial or complete loss), and number of olfactory and gustatory symptoms at diagnosis.

2.3. Statistical analysis

Data were summarized using the following descriptive statistics: non-missing sample size, median, and interquartile range (IQR) for continuous variables. The frequency and percentages (based on the non-missing sample size) of observed levels were reported for categorical variables. The rate and time to OGD recovery and analyses of factors associated with OGD recovery were evaluated using Cox regression models, accounting for staggered entries in the study. Models included age (\leq or $>$ 40 years), gender, RT-PCR Ct values, intensity of OGD, and number of olfactory or gustatory symptoms at diagnosis. We also plotted the Kaplan-Meier curves for the OGD recovery.

2.4. Ethics

The research was conducted according to the recommendations outlined in the Helsinki declaration. This study was approved by an institutional review board (CPP Ile-de-France X, Paris, France, N°47-2020).

3. Results

In the COVID-PSL cohort, 300 patients (69.9%) experienced OGD, of whom 98 were enrolled in the CIRANO study. Two patients were lost to follow-up after day 21 and day 30; no participant was hospitalized, nor required oxygen therapy or died. SARS-CoV2 diagnosis was based on positive RT-PCR tests (n=96) or positive SARS-CoV-2 antibody tests (n=2).

All the descriptive characteristics are reported in Table 1. In short, Patients were mainly healthcare workers (86%) and especially nurses. They were mostly females (76%) with a median age of 34.5 years (IQR 27.9-47.9).

Olfactory disorders were reported in 95 patients (97%), whereas gustatory disorders occurred in 67 patients (68%). The median time to onset of OGD after initial COVID-19 symptoms was respectively 2 (IQR 0-4) and 3 days (IQR 0-4). OGD were present at onset of COVID-19 in 30% of patients. Complete loss of smell occurred in 91% of patients with OD, while gustatory symptoms appeared less pronounced (complete loss in only 60% of patients with GD). Only 9% of patients had isolated OGD. Apart from OGD and headaches, no other neurological manifestation was reported. Regarding OGD consequences, 63% of patients reported anorexia, and 46% described a psychological impact, mostly anxiety.

The median time to complete recovery of OGD was 20 days (95%CI 13-26): 20 days (95%CI 12-25) for OD and 16 days (95%CI 10-24) for GD. At D30, 67.5% of patients (95%CI 56.7-75.6) reported a complete resolution of OD and 72.8% (95%CI 60.3-81.3) for GD. At D60, persistent OGD were present in respectively 17% and 10% of patients (Figure 1).

The multivariable analysis highlighted two factors independently associated with the persistence of OGD (Table 2). Patients with age over 40 years (Hazard Ratio [HR]=0.56, 95%CI 0.36-0.89, p=0.01) and those with a complete loss of smell or taste at diagnosis (HR=0.26, 95%CI 0.12-0.56, p=0.0005) were more likely to have long-term persistence of symptoms (> 30 days). Gender, Ct value of SARS-CoV-2 PCR and number of OGD symptoms at diagnosis were not associated with the persistence of symptoms.

4. Discussion

Our study highlights the evolution of smell and taste disorders during the course of COVID-19. Olfactory and gustatory symptoms usually appear early – during the first 2-3 days – and last less than 30 days in two-thirds of cases. In addition, GD disappeared more rapidly than OD. We also identified predictors of late recovery: complete loss of smell and taste at diagnosis and

age over 40 years were associated with a longer duration of OGD. To our knowledge, this is the first longitudinal cohort that highlighted risk factors for persistent symptoms of OGD [8,9]. The pathophysiological mechanisms leading to anosmia in the context of viral infections remain unclear but may be based on the neurotropic characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 [10]. Indeed, SARS-CoV-2 can bind to the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor to enter human cells such as neurons [11]. In addition, the ability of SARS-CoV-1 (close to SARS-CoV-2) to invade the olfactory bulb, and therefore the central nervous system, have been highlighted in transgenic mice [12]. Therefore, anosmia and ageusia in COVID-19 are very likely to be caused by the involvement of olfactory and gustatory nervous systems [13,14]. Before the COVID-19 pandemic, post-viral anosmia has been investigated for other viruses [15]. However, in most cases, patients had associated congestion and obstruction, which were sufficient to explain the anosmia. In our study, only 49% of patients had symptoms of rhinitis. A direct infection of olfactory receptor neurons caused by SARS-CoV-2 could also be involved [16]. One reported argument against this hypothesis was the time to OGD recovery in less than a week, whereas the replacement of neurons would take 8 to 10 days, with 5 additional days for cilia maturation [16,17]. However, our study and others highlighted a higher median recovery time than previously reported [8,9]. In our experience, the rapid onset of OGD within two days, as well as the long delay for recovery would be in favor of a direct viral mechanism.

Our cohort was mostly composed of young female healthcare workers. Indeed, during the first wave of COVID-19 in France, healthcare workers – who are known to be predominantly female – had greater access to SARS-CoV-2 PCR. However, other studies also reported a predominance of women (from 63 to 77%) experiencing OGD in a context of COVID-19 [2,8,18]. Whether genetic differences can be responsible for the different prevalence of OGD between women and men remains to be determined. Similarly, as OGD appear to be less

frequent in Asian populations than in European ones, it has been hypothesized that genetic differences in SARS-CoV-2 entry receptors could explain this discrepancy [19].

Our study has several limits. First, because of the lockdown and the recommended isolation for COVID-19 patients, we were not able to physically examine patients. However, OGD are subjective symptoms that could rely on the patient's perception. Since the end of the lockdown, objective measurements using psychophysical olfactory tests have been performed [20]. Second, our data focused only on outpatients with mild-to-moderate disease. Although prevalence of OGD seems lower in hospitalized patients with a more severe disease, our results cannot be extrapolated to these patients. Therefore, new investigations would be interesting, in particular to analyze the correlation between the severity of the disease and the duration of symptoms. Third, our patients were followed-up during two months. Extended aftercare could determine whether patients may have permanent aftereffects. Finally, Ct values for SARS-CoV-2 PCR, a proxy for viral quantification, were only available in 74 patients (76%). The statistical power may have been too low to detect any association between Ct values and OGD recovery, as previously described in the literature [21]. Besides, as PCR tests could have been performed a few days after the onset of symptoms, the peak of viral load may have been missed.

Several therapies such as zinc, intranasal corticoids injections, or systemic steroids have been used in post-viral OD, but have never proved to be effective [22]. To date, the only approved treatment is daily and long-term olfactory rehabilitation, which could improve the olfactory capacities of patients up to 30% [23]. However, whether olfactory rehabilitation could be effective in COVID-19 patients with anosmia needs to be demonstrated.

5. Conclusions

In summary, OGD in COVID-19 usually appear in the first days of illness and last less than 30 days. Patients with complete loss of smell or taste and over age 40 are more likely to develop long-term persistent sensory symptoms and should rapidly receive a sensorial rehabilitation. Further studies are required to investigate new therapeutic approaches concerning COVID-19-related OGD.

Acknowledgments

We thank our collaborators of the COVIDOM-19 PSL research group (F. Adda, C. Blanc, E. Bourzam, P. Bouvet, G. Brucker, P. Charles, A. Chermak, C. Dehais, S. Epelbaum, C. Ewencyk, A. Hartmann, H. Gobillot, E. Hainque, N. Hamani, N. Ktorza, P. Lavagna, F. Laylavoix, N. Le Forestier, L. Lenclume, S. Lhuiller, C. Lubetzki, E. Maillart, C. Masgnaux, E. Mayer, M. Menel, V. Meric, N. Qatib, and M. Trogneux) for their help in this study.

References

- [1] Welge-Lüssen A, Wolfensberger M. Olfactory disorders following upper respiratory tract infections. *Adv Otorhinolaryngol* 2006;63:125–32. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000093758>.
- [2] Lechien JR, Chiesa-Estomba CM, De Siati DR, Horoi M, Le Bon SD, Rodriguez A, et al. Olfactory and gustatory dysfunctions as a clinical presentation of mild-to-moderate forms of the coronavirus disease (COVID-19): a multicenter European study. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-020-05965-1>.
- [3] Agyeman AA, Chin KL, Landersdorfer CB, Liew D, Ofori-Asenso R. Smell and Taste Dysfunction in Patients With COVID-19: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings* 2020;95:1621–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2020.05.030>.
- [4] Tong JY, Wong A, Zhu D, Fastenberg JH, Tham T. The Prevalence of Olfactory and Gustatory Dysfunction in COVID-19 Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis: *Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0194599820926473>.
- [5] Beltrán-Corbellini Á, Chico-García JL, Martínez-Poles J, Rodríguez-Jorge F, Natera-Villalba E, Gómez-Corral J, et al. Acute-onset smell and taste disorders in the context of COVID-19: a pilot multicentre polymerase chain reaction based case–control study. *Eur J Neurol* 2020;27:1738–41. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.14273>.
- [6] Mao L, Jin H, Wang M, Hu Y, Chen S, He Q, et al. Neurologic Manifestations of Hospitalized Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Neurol* 2020;77:683. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.1127>.
- [7] Carfi A, Bernabei R, Landi F, for the Gemelli Against COVID-19 Post-Acute Care Study Group. Persistent Symptoms in Patients After Acute COVID-19. *JAMA* 2020;324:603. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.12603>.
- [8] Chary E, Carsuzaa F, Trijolet J-P, Capitaine A-L, Roncato-Saberan M, Fouet K, et al. Prevalence and Recovery From Olfactory and Gustatory Dysfunctions in Covid-19 Infection: A Prospective Multicenter Study. *Am J Rhinol Allergy* 2020;34:686–93. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1945892420930954>.
- [9] Vaira LA, Hopkins C, Petrocelli M, Lechien JR, Chiesa-Estomba CM, Salzano G, et al. Smell and taste recovery in coronavirus disease 2019 patients: a 60-day objective and prospective study. *J Laryngol Otol* 2020:1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022215120001826>.
- [10] Xydakis MS, Dehgani-Mobaraki P, Holbrook EH, Geisthoff UW, Bauer C, Hautefort C, et al. Smell and taste dysfunction in patients with COVID-19. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 2020:S1473309920302930. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(20\)30293-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30293-0).

- [11] Yang J, Petitjean SJL, Koehler M, Zhang Q, Dumitru AC, Chen W, et al. Molecular interaction and inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 binding to the ACE2 receptor. *Nat Commun* 2020;11:4541. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18319-6>.
- [12] Netland J, Meyerholz DK, Moore S, Cassell M, Perlman S. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Infection Causes Neuronal Death in the Absence of Encephalitis in Mice Transgenic for Human ACE2. *J Virol* 2008;82:7264–75. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00737-08>.
- [13] Zhou Z, Kang H, Li S, Zhao X. Understanding the neurotropic characteristics of SARS-CoV-2: from neurological manifestations of COVID-19 to potential neurotropic mechanisms. *J Neurol* 2020;267:2179–84. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-020-09929-7>.
- [14] Eliezer M, Hautefort C. MRI Evaluation of the Olfactory Clefts in Patients with SARS-CoV-2 Infection Revealed an Unexpected Mechanism for Olfactory Function Loss. *Acad Radiol* 2020;27:1191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acra.2020.05.013>.
- [15] Lee DY, Lee WH, Wee JH, Kim J-W. Prognosis of postviral olfactory loss: follow-up study for longer than one year. *Am J Rhinol Allergy* 2014;28:419–22. <https://doi.org/10.2500/ajra.2014.28.4102>.
- [16] Butowt R, von Bartheld CS. Anosmia in COVID-19: Underlying Mechanisms and Assessment of an Olfactory Route to Brain Infection. *Neuroscientist* 2020;1073858420956905. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1073858420956905>.
- [17] Brann JH, Firestein SJ. A lifetime of neurogenesis in the olfactory system. *Front Neurosci* 2014;8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2014.00182>.
- [18] Klopfenstein T, Kadiane-Oussou NJ, Toko L, Royer P-Y, Lepiller Q, Gendrin V, et al. Features of anosmia in COVID-19. *Médecine et Maladies Infectieuses* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medmal.2020.04.006>.
- [19] Cao Y, Li L, Feng Z, Wan S, Huang P, Sun X, et al. Comparative genetic analysis of the novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV/SARS-CoV-2) receptor ACE2 in different populations. *Cell Discov* 2020;6:11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41421-020-0147-1>.
- [20] Lechien JR, Cabaraux P, Chiesa-Estomba CM, Khalife M, Hans S, Calvo-Henriquez C, et al. Objective olfactory evaluation of self-reported loss of smell in a case series of 86 COVID-19 patients. *Head & Neck* 2020;42:1583–90. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hed.26279>.
- [21] Cho RHW, To ZWH, Yeung ZWC, Tso EYK, Fung KSC, Chau SKY, et al. COVID-19 Viral Load in the Severity of and Recovery From Olfactory and Gustatory Dysfunction. *The Laryngoscope* 2020;130:2680–5. <https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.29056>.
- [22] Hura N, Xie DX, Choby GW, Schlosser RJ, Orlov CP, Seal SM, et al. Treatment of post-viral olfactory dysfunction: an evidence-based review with recommendations. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol* 2020;10:1065–86. <https://doi.org/10.1002/alr.22624>.
- [23] Hummel T, Rissom K, Reden J, Hähner A, Weidenbecher M, Hüttenbrink K-B. Effects of olfactory training in patients with olfactory loss. *The Laryngoscope* 2009;119:496–9. <https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.20101>.

Figure 1. Evolution and recovery of OGD over time

Figure 1 legend: A: olfactory dysfunction; B: gustatory dysfunction; C: cumulated olfactory and gustatory dysfunctions




Table 1. Main characteristics of patients included in the CIRANO study (N=98)

Table 1 legend: IQR: interquartile range

Demographic characteristics	
Age, median, (IQR), years	34.5 (27.9-47.9)
Gender	
Female, No. (%)	74 (75.5)
Male, No. (%)	24 (24.5)
Place of work	
Care facility, No. (%)	94 (95.9)
Other, No. (%)	4 (4.1)
Profession	
Healthcare worker, No. (%)	84 (85.7)
Technical officer, No. (%)	3 (3.1)
Medico-technical assistant, No. (%)	4 (4.1)
Administrative agent, No. (%)	3 (3.1)
Other, No. (%)	4 (4.1)
Olfactory disorders	
Delay between onset of the first COVID-19 symptoms and olfactory disorders, median, (IQR), days	2 (0-4)
Hyposmia, No. (%)	9/95 (96.9)
Anosmia, No. (%)	86/95 (90.5)
Parosmia, No. (%)	6/95 (6.3)
Phantosmia, No. (%)	15/95 (15.8)
Gustatory disorders	
Delay between onset of the first COVID-19 symptoms and gustatory disorders, median, (IQR), days	3 (0-4)
Hypogeusia, No. (%)	27/67 (40.3)
Ageusia, No. (%)	40/67 (59.7)
Dysgeusia, No. (%)	11/67 (16.4)
Consequences of olfactory and gustatory disorders	
Anorexia, No. (%)	62 (63.3)
Psychological impact, No. (%)	45 (45.9)
Associated symptoms	
No associated symptoms, No. (%)	9 (9.2)
Headache, No. (%)	70 (71.4)
Asthenia, No. (%)	98 (69.4)
Cough, No. (%)	67 (68.4)
Myalgia, No. (%)	55 (56.1)
Fever, No. (%)	52 (53.1)
Rhinorrhea, No. (%)	48 (49.0)
Diarrhea, No. (%)	26 (26.5)
Dyspnea, No. (%)	20 (20.4)
Nausea and/or vomiting, No. (%)	15 (15.3)
Odynophagia, No. (%)	12 (12.2)
Chills, No. (%)	12 (12.2)
Abdominal pain, No. (%)	12 (12.2)

Table 2. Risk factors associated with persistent OGD

Table 2 legend: HR: Hazard Ratio; IQR: interquartile range; *Ct were available for 74 patients; ** There are five olfactory and gustatory related symptoms: anosmia or hyposmia, parosmia, phantosmia, ageusia or hypogeusia, parageusia.

	OGD recovery at d30		Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis		
	No recovery (n=31)	Recovery (n=67)	HR (95%CI)	p-value	HR (95%CI)	p-value	
Age							
Age, median, IQR, years	42.9 (29.3-48.7)	33.3 (27.1-45.1)	0.98 (0.96-1.00)	0.0916			
Age, classes, No. (%) (%), years	≤ 40	14 (24.6)	1	0.0277	1	0.0133	
	> 40	17 (41.5)	24 (58.5)		0.60 (0.38-0.95)		0.56 (0.36-0.89)
Gender							
Male, No (%)	8 (33.3)	16 (66.7)	1.10 (0.67-1.81)	0.7167			
Female, No (%)	23 (31.1)	51 (68.9)	1				
Virology							
SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR, median, Ct*	22.0 (20.5-25.1)	22.0 (20.0-27.6)	1.02 (0.97-1.07)	0.4278			
SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR, Ct*, classes, No. (%)	< 22	12 (32.4)	1	0.7714			
	[22-32]	10 (32.3)	21 (67.7)		0.96 (0.57-1.64)		
	> 32	2 (33.3)	4 (66.7)		1.33 (0.55-3.21)		
Severity of OGD at diagnosis							
Partial loss, No (%)	0 (0.0)	8 (100.0)	1	0.0015	1	0.0005	
Total loss, No (%)	31 (34.4)	59 (65.6)	0.30 (0.14-0.63)		0.26 (0.12-0.56)		
Number of olfactory and gustatory related symptoms**, median, IQR							
	2 (1-2)	2 (1-2)	0.95 (0.72-1.26)	0.7157			