

Recipient factors in faecal microbiota transplantation: one stool does not fit all

Camille Danne, Nathalie Rolhion, Harry Sokol

▶ To cite this version:

Camille Danne, Nathalie Rolhion, Harry Sokol. Recipient factors in faecal microbiota transplantation: one stool does not fit all. Nature reviews. Gastroenterology & hepatology, 2021, 18 (7), p. 503-513. 10.1038/s41575-021-00441-5 . hal-03267332

HAL Id: hal-03267332 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03267332

Submitted on 30 Jun 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 NRGH Perspective
- 2 Title: Recipient factors in faecal microbiota transplantation: one stool does not fit all
- 3
- 4 Author(s): Camille Danne^{1,2}, Nathalie Rolhion^{2,3,4}, Harry Sokol^{1,2,3,4,5}
- 5
- 6 1 INRA, UMR1319 Micalis & AgroParisTech, Jouy en Josas, France
- 7 2 Paris Center for Microbiome Medicine (PaCeMM) FHU, Paris, France
- 8 3 Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Centre de Recherche Saint-Antoine, CRSA, AP-HP, Saint Antoine
- 9 Hospital, Gastroenterology department, F-75012 Paris, France
- 10 4 French Group of Fecal Microbiota Transplantation (GFTF ; www.gftf.f)
- 11 5 AP-HP Fecal Microbiota transplantation Center, Saint Antoine Hospital, Paris, France
- 12
- 13
- 14 Corresponding Author: Harry Sokol, MD, PhD, Service de Gastro-entérologie, Hôpital Saint-Antoine,
- 15 184 rue du Faubourg Saint-Antoine, 75571 Paris Cedex 12, France (harry.sokol@aphp.fr).

16

18 Abstract:

19 Fecal microbiota transplantation is a promising therapy for chronic diseases associated with gut 20 microbiota alterations. FMT cures 90% of recurrent C. difficile infections. However, in complex 21 diseases, such as inflammatory bowel disease, irritable bowel syndrome and metabolic syndrome, its 22 efficacy remains variable. It is accepted that donor selection and sample administration are key 23 determinants of FMT success, and great effort has been made to standardize these procedures. 24 However, little is known about recipient factors impacting FMT success and long-term clinical 25 improvement. In this review, we discuss the effects of the recipient parameters on donor microbiota 26 engraftment and clinical efficacy. Especially, emerging evidence supports that controlling the 27 inflammation level in the recipient's intestine might facilitate engraftment by reducing host immune 28 system pressure on the newly transferred microbiota. Deciphering FMT engraftment rules and 29 developing novel therapeutic strategies are priorities to alleviate the burden of chronic diseases 30 associated with an altered gut microbiota.

31

33 Introduction

34 The intestinal microbiota plays major roles in the maintenance of human health, including functions in nutrition, metabolism, immune development, and host defense. In healthy adults, it is 35 36 dominated by Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes, with a minor representation of Proteobacteria, 37 Actinobacteria, Fusobacteria and Verrucomicrobia. Other microorganisms present, such as viruses, 38 archaea and fungi, are currently essential subjects of exploration. Despite a conserved "core" microbiota, each intestine harbors a unique assembly of diverse microbial communities. Both 39 40 environmental and host factors shape microbiota composition, leading to considerable variability 41 among individuals¹.

42 Numerous diseases are associated with alterations in gut microbiota composition and function, such as recurrent *Clostridioides difficile* infection (rCDI), inflammatory bowel diseases (IBDs), 43 44 including Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), hepatic 45 encephalopathy (HE), metabolic syndrome, and graft-versus-host disease (GvHD)². The common point 46 of these alterations is a loss of diversity, with enrichment of some microorganisms and loss of others. 47 Microbiota transfer experiments in mice suggest a causative role in these conditions³. Despite available 48 treatments, there is still a significant unmet medical need for these diseases. In this context, new 49 therapeutic strategies targeting the microbiota are currently being developed. Fecal microbiota 50 transplantation (FMT) consists of the administration of fecal material from a healthy donor into the 51 intestinal tract of a patient to induce therapeutic effects.

52 FMT has been used for centuries before randomized controlled trials (RCTs) proved its efficacy 53 for treating rCDI in 90% of cases^{4,5}. More recently, positive therapeutic effects were also described in 54 the induction of remission in UC^{6–9}, with a modest success rate (24-32 vs 5-9% for placebo) compared 55 to the results obtained for rCDI^{6,8,9}. Similarly, in CD, small case series, uncontrolled studies and a single 56 pilot RCT suggest a beneficial effect of FMT^{10–15}. In IBS, two out of five RCTs revealed significant 57 symptom relief at three months in patients receiving FMT compared to that in patients receiving 58 placebo (65 vs 43%¹⁶; 89.1 vs 23.6%¹⁷), together with changes in microbiota profiles^{16,18–20,17}. Moreover,

59	patients with GvHD positively respond to FMT, with an improvement in gastrointestinal symptoms and
60	no adverse effects despite their severely immunocompromised status ^{21–23} . FMT might also be effective
61	in extraintestinal disorders, such as recurrent HE ^{24,25} , metabolic disorders ^{26,27} and autism ^{28,29} .

Thus, FMT is a promising therapeutic strategy in various diseases associated with altered gut microbiota, and hundreds of RCTs are currently ongoing. However, the differences in the efficacy of FMT between rCDI and other disorders demonstrate that the gut microbiota is not the only actor in many complex diseases. The influence of host and environmental factors on the gut microbiota also takes place after FMT and might limit its efficacy in multifactorial diseases. In this review, we define the effects of host factors on the intestinal microbiota. We discuss recipient factors that play crucial roles in FMT success and identify possible avenues for progress in FMT therapies.

- 69
- 70

71 **1-** Microbiota manipulation by the host

72

73 1-1 Genetics impacts the microbiota

74 Genetics affect the intestinal microbiota composition (FIG. 1), as suggested by the higher similarity 75 between the microbiotas of homozygotic twins than dizygotic twins³⁰. As the variability in human 76 genomes and environmental influences makes it challenging to understand polymorphic mechanisms 77 regulating the intestinal microbiota, mice have been a valuable tool in microbiota research. For 78 example, defects in the nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing protein 2 (Nod2) gene, which encodes a receptor for bacterial molecules^{31,32}, or in the inflammasome NOD-like receptor family 79 pyrin domain containing 6 (*Nlrp6*) induce alterations in the gut microbiota composition³³. Nod2^{-/-} and 80 Nlrp6^{-/-} mice exhibit increased susceptibility to colitis-associated cancer^{31,33}. This phenotype is 81 transmissible to wild-type mice upon cohousing, indicating that an altered microbiota plays a direct 82 role in disease development^{31,33}. Deletion of the caspase recruitment domain family member 9 (*Card9*) 83 84 gene, encoding an adaptor protein, indicates that it is responsible for the modification of both microbiota composition and function³⁴. Indeed, *Card9^{-/-}* mice exhibit a decrease in *Lactobacillus reuteri*abundance and have a reduced capacity to metabolize tryptophan into aryl hydrocarbon receptor
(AhR) ligands, which leads to increased susceptibility to colitis³⁴.

Moreover, germ-free mice with different defects in innate (antimicrobial peptides, complement, 88 89 pentraxins, and enzymes affecting microbial survival) and adaptive (MHC-dependent and MHCindependent) immunity shape the gut microbiota differently³⁵. Mice lacking the myeloid 90 91 differentiation primary response 88 (MyD88) gene, encoding an essential signaling protein for both 92 innate and adaptive immunity, or Toll-like receptor 5 (TLR5) also have an altered intestinal microbiota 93 composition^{36,37}. RAG-deficient mice, which lack T and B cells, have a reduced intestinal microbiota diversity compared to that of controls³⁸, with outgrowth of the mucus-associated bacteria 94 95 Akkermansia muciniphila³⁹. Moreover, these mice exhibit an increased interindividual variability of 96 their microbial communities, suggesting that adaptive immunity contributes to stabilizing the gut microbiota⁴⁰. 97

Host genetics also influences the mycobiota. Some of the genes mentioned above are involved in
 fungal sensing, such as *Card9*, which controls intestinal fungal loads^{41,42}. In addition, the multiple
 interactions between bacteria and fungi suggest that variation in bacterial communities impacts fungal
 populations^{43,42,44}.

Altogether, these data highlight the role of immune and regulatory genes in shaping intestinal
 microbiota composition and function, with a direct impact on disease development risk.

104

105 In humans, the association of the intestinal taxa with several polymorphisms suggests that host 106 genetics also impacts gut microbiota composition (Table 1). Heritability analyses have identified four 107 genetic loci statistically associated with microbial taxa (*Rikenellaceae, Faecalibacterium, Lachnospira* 108 and *Eubacterium*) in two cohorts from geographically and culturally different areas⁴⁵. Multiple genetic 109 variants in innate immunity players (particularly C-type lectins) and vitamin D receptor were associated 110 with intestinal microbiota composition and function, highlighting their role in gut homeostasis^{46,47}.

These studies provide clues for genetic effects on the microbiota composition in healthy individuals. However, a comparison of independent studies highlights the complexity of the genetic architecture underlying microbiota composition, with only little overlap among identified loci⁴⁸. Moreover, the effect of major disease-causing genetic defects on the human gut microbiota remains elusive, even though notable advances have been made in the context of IBD.

The role of the intestinal microbiota in IBD pathogenesis is now accepted^{49–52}. Beyond mouse 116 studies on IBD susceptibility genes such as Nod2 and Card9, interaction with genetic factors is being 117 118 explored in humans (Table 1). Patients harboring autophagy-related 16-like 1 (ATG16L1) polymorphism 119 show significant microbiota alterations, with increased abundances of Fusobacteriaceae⁵³ and Enterobacteriaceae and decreased amounts of Clostridium groups IV and XIVa⁴⁴. NOD2 variants are 120 121 associated with decreased abundances of Roseburia and Faecalibacterium prausnitzii⁴⁷. Mathematical 122 modeling proposes that the effect of NOD2 risk variants on IBD is partially mediated by decreased F. prausnitzii abundance⁵⁴. Moreover, the gut microbiota of IBD patients with CARD9 but not NOD2 or 123 124 ATG16L1 risk alleles exhibits an impaired ability to metabolize tryptophan into AhR agonists, as observed in Card9^{-/-} mice³⁴. Furthermore, analysis of the microbiota composition of patients with rare 125 126 monogenic primary immunodeficiency causing an IBD-like phenotype (chronic granulomatous disease, 127 X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis defect and tetratricopeptide repeat domain 7A defect) showed genespecific differences compared to healthy subjects and patients with conventional IBD⁵⁵. 128

Taken together, these data in mice and humans demonstrate that host genetics has an impact on gut
 microbiota composition and function. In the FMT context, it suggests that the genetics of the recipients
 should be taken into account.

132

133 1-2 Immunity affects the microbiota

134 Intestinal inflammation drastically modifies the gut environment and leads to changes in the gut 135 microbiota through several mechanisms (FIG. 1). The released antimicrobial peptides sequester 136 specific host-derived nutrients, including iron and zinc, and favor the growth of bacteria that are more

efficient at acquiring those nutrients, such as *Enterobacteriaceae*^{56–58}. The production of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species by host cells leads to the luminal generation of exogenous electron acceptors, which selectively enhances the growth of facultative anaerobic bacteria, especially *Enterobacteriaceae*^{59–61}. Unlike the obligate anaerobic Bacteroidia and Clostridia, *Enterobacteriaceae* are more likely to encode enzymes for nitrate respiration and thrive in this new metabolic niche. Thus, inflammation confers a fitness advantage to facultative anaerobes, possibly explaining the expansion of *Enterobacteriaceae* in the inflammatory setting⁵⁹.

144

145 Intestinal secretory IgA also regulates the composition and function of the gut microbiota in both 146 humans and mice (FIG. 1). IgA coating affects microbial fitness through various effector functions, such 147 as modulation of bacterial gene expression and metabolism, immune exclusion, enhanced antigen 148 uptake, alteration of bacterial motility and niche occupancy, and neutralization of toxins or other 149 secreted factors⁶².

Only a fraction of commensals, such as Proteobacteria and *A. muciniphila*, are coated by IgA *in vivo*, while most members are not⁶². Increased IgA coating of the intestinal microbiota is observed in humans with IBD and mouse colitis models^{63–65}. In humans, a high IgA coating identifies taxa associated with disease, such as proinflammatory bacteria in patients with IBD^{64,65}. Interestingly, some patients with IgA deficiency have an IBD-like phenotype^{66,67} and exhibit alterations in gut microbiota composition despite the compensatory secretion of microbiota-targeted IgM⁶⁸.

IgA-deficient mice exhibit increased abundances of Proteobacteria⁶⁹ and segmented filamentous bacteria (SFB)⁷⁰ and produce abnormal IgG responses to intestinal bacteria, suggesting exposure of their systemic immune system to microorganisms due to ineffective compartmentalization⁷¹. Similarly, mice lacking MyD88 in the T cell compartment fail to control mucosa-associated bacterial communities due to an abnormal IgA response⁷². This effect induces an increase in the abundances of mucolytic Proteobacteria and Firmicutes (*Ruminococcus*) and worsens colitis⁷². Naturally occurring polymorphisms in MHC genes, which control intestinal IgA phenotypes, result in differences in

microbiota composition between different lines of MHC-congenic mice and are sufficient to explain
 susceptibility to enteric infection⁷³.

Finally, IgA can modulate gene expression in *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*, a prominent commensal, facilitating functional symbiosis with Firmicutes and promoting homeostasis and protection from colitis⁷⁴. Thus, diversified and well-selected IgA repertoires might be essential not only to eliminate potentially harmful microorganisms but also to maintain diverse and balanced commensal communities.

170 Collectively, these results reveal a unique and nonredundant role for intestinal secretory IgA in 171 shaping and stabilizing bacterial communities. In parallel with intestinal inflammatory status, the 172 variability in IgA repertoires and the resulting dynamic immunoselection might play a role in the 173 evolution of the gut microbiota following FMT.

174

175 2- Factors associated with FMT success

176

177 2-1 What is a successful FMT?

178 FMT success is primarily defined by a positive clinical response in the recipient, with the main 179 objective being a long-term therapeutic effect. However, the shift in gut microbiota composition of the 180 recipient toward that of the donor, corresponding to the engraftment of the donor microbiota, is also 181 a useful marker per se to assess the success of the procedure. Indeed, engraftment success is often 182 directly associated with clinical improvement. The first RCT investigating FMT effects in patients with rCDI revealed a major increase in microbial diversity of the recipient microbiota toward that of the 183 184 donor⁴. Similarly, a larger proportion of fungal and bacterial OTUs from the donor microbiota, with 185 more similar abundances, are transferred in responding rCDI patients than in patients not responding to treatment⁷⁵. In the same way, patients with IBD who respond to FMT demonstrate a significant shift 186 in microbiota composition toward their donor's profile, whereas nonresponders do not^{6,13}. Typically, 187

188 changes in microbiota composition following FMT are associated with increased microbial diversity^{8,13},

189 which is much more pronounced in responders than in nonresponders^{13,76,77}.

In addition to composition, metabolic functions of intestinal microbiota might also be crucial to 190 191 restore, as metabolites are one of the primary modes by which the gut microbiota interacts with the host^{78,79}. For instance, the presence of bacterial taxa transforming primary to secondary bile acids is 192 associated with resistance to *C. difficile* infection^{80–84}. Moreover, FMT restores the short-chain fatty 193 acid (SCFA) and polyunsaturated fatty acid composition in patients with rCDI^{83,84}. Similarly, in UC, 194 195 remission is associated with the restoration of SCFA biosynthesis pathways and secondary bile acid 196 levels⁷⁷. In a case series, filtrated bacteria-free FMT was also able to alleviate rCDI symptoms, suggesting that bacterial molecules, metabolites, or bacteriophages mediate some of the FMT effects 197 198 in the rCDI context⁸⁵. Bacteriophage transfer during FMT is also associated with rCDI symptom resolution⁸⁶, supporting that live bacteria are not the only players in FMT therapy. These data highlight 199 200 that the transfer of a variety of microorganisms and microbial molecules with diverse engraftment 201 patterns might be necessary to restore a homeostatic intestinal environment in the patient. In line 202 with these findings, complete transfer of the donor microbiota is not required to resolve rCDI⁸².

203 Following FMT, some components of the transplanted microbiota engraft and combine with the 204 remaining recipient microbiota to rebuild a new commensal community, a process that can take months to years to stabilize^{87,88}. Although many studies report long-term FMT impact^{6,86,25,89}, the effect 205 206 can also be transient. This situation is the case in patients with metabolic syndrome for whom insulin 207 sensitivity improvement was observed at week 6 post-FMT but was lost at week 18, in line with 208 transient donor microbiota engraftment^{26,27,90}. Thus, long-term clinical improvement relies on the 209 successful establishment and adaptation of the newly transferred microbiota to the specific rules 210 governing its novel host habitat.

211

212 2-2 Donor selection and FMT protocol

213 To date, the exceptionally high success rate of FMT therapy in rCDI has not been observed for any other diseases. The heterogeneity of FMT efficacy from one disease to the other likely reflects 214 215 differences regarding the importance of the gut microbiota in their respective pathogenesis. While 216 rCDI is almost purely microbiota related, other diseases, such as IBD or metabolic syndrome, are much 217 more complex and involve dysfunctional host-microbe interactions and immune and genetic factors⁹¹. 218 In this context, discrepancies in FMT procedures make it even more challenging to compare 219 independent studies. Various approaches have been chosen in terms of donor selection (related or 220 unrelated donors), preparation of fecal material (fresh or frozen, aerobic or anaerobic), route of 221 delivery (colonoscopy, upper endoscopy, nasointestinal tube, enema or capsule), intestinal preparation (antibiotics or colon cleansing), and dose and frequency of administration^{92,93}. Even with 222 223 the same procedure, response to FMT is variable from one recipient to the next. Specificities of the 224 donor material can partly explain these differential responses, with the emergence of the 225 "superdonor" concept⁹⁴. The first study revealing a superdonor effect was an RCT evaluating FMT 226 efficacy in UC, in which seven of the nine FMT responders received material from the same donor⁶.

227 Since then, several studies have confirmed that high donor bacterial diversity is crucial to restoring 228 a stable commensal community in recipients and is one of the most significant factors influencing FMT 229 efficacy in IBD^{76,95,77}. A high diversity of bacteriophage communities in donors is also associated with 230 FMT success in rCDI⁹⁶. Donor microbiota composition also matters. Members of Clostridium clusters 231 IV and XIVa in the Ruminococcaceae and Lachnospiraceae families were found to be enriched in the 232 microbiota of a superdonor for UC⁶, and their enrichment was associated with a positive FMT outcome 233 in several IBD studies^{7,8,97}. Similarly, high abundances of *A. muciniphila, Ruminococcaceae* members 234 and Bacteroides species were more likely to induce remission in patients with UC, whereas a high amount of *Streptococcus* was associated with no response^{77,95}. 235

236

237 2-3 <u>Recipient genetics and lifestyle</u>

For rCDI, the long-term stability of the donor microbiota might not be crucial. FMT rapidly induces clearance of the pathogen and restoration of a commensal community, and a gradual drift away from the donor's microbiota profile due to the recipient selection pressures is unlikely to lead to disease recurrence if there are no further insults to the gut microbiota. By contrast, the sustainability of the donor microbiota in patients with chronic diseases, such as IBD or metabolic syndrome, may be much more determinant. As microbiota alterations contribute to disease progression, FMT is essential to provide the initial relief, with a likely need for subsequent FMT to maintain the effect.

245 Strong evidence supports the theory of donor-recipient compatibility, which depends not only on 246 donor material specificities but also on recipient genetics and environment. Recipient genes and immunity, as well as diet and antibiotic exposures, impact FMT engraftment and long-term 247 248 maintenance. In metabolic syndrome, engraftment failure observed 18 weeks after FMT could be due to particular immune responses and dietary habits²⁷. Along with variable FMT outcomes, colonization 249 levels differ largely among recipients sharing the same donor⁹⁸, suggesting the existence of individual 250 251 permissivity patterns regarding donor microbiota. In hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation 252 recipients undergoing FMT for rCDI, the functional and taxonomic concordance between donor 253 material and their own post-FMT microbiota diminished after one year, supporting that environmental 254 and host factors are essential determinants in the long-term shaping of the transferred microbiota⁹⁰. 255 Thus, aspects such as strain and species fitness and colonization resistance should be investigated in

the context of microbiota resilience, genetic traits, immune responses and lifestyle of the recipient.
Indeed, it is likely that complex ecological interactions and donor-recipient compatibilities underlie the
success of FMT.

As genetic traits are inherent to the recipient and cannot be changed, FMT might be combined with modulation of immune responses and dietary intervention (see section 3). Furthermore, donorrecipient matching approaches could rely on screening of functional perturbations in recipient microbiota to identify a specific donor material enriched in the metabolic pathways that need to be

restored. Thus, FMT is not a "one stool fits all" strategy, especially in the context of complex chronicdiseases involving genetic, immune and environmental factors.

265

266 2-4 <u>Recipient clinical status</u>

267 Although rarely discussed, the clinical status of the recipient before FMT is also a key element to 268 consider (Table 2). Severe and complicated indications, inpatient status during FMT, and the number of previous CDI-related hospitalizations are strongly associated with early FMT failure⁹⁹. Patients who 269 270 need repeated FMT for rCDI have higher intestinal inflammation than patients cured with one single 271 FMT¹⁰⁰. rCDI patients with an active IBD requiring medication escalation have reduced FMT success, with no impact of other parameters, such as CDI recurrence¹⁰¹. In the context of IBD, it is also crucial 272 273 to question the timing and extent of the disease before performing FMT. Is the recipient in flare or 274 remission? Is the inflammation in a maintenance phase after successful immunosuppressive 275 treatment? No published human trial is powered to assess the role of these factors in FMT success, 276 but several elements converge to support this idea^{10,91}.

277 Whereas a randomized FMT study in UC patients demonstrated no effect of concomitant steroid therapy on remission⁸, two others suggest the opposite. One study shows that patients taking 278 279 immunosuppressants tend to have a greater benefit from FMT⁶. In another RCT, the reduction in disease score following FMT was greatly increased in patients taking oral steroids at baseline⁹. 280 281 Recently, we published the first RCT in CD, evaluating the role of FMT in patients who achieved clinical 282 remission using corticosteroids. Failure to maintain remission was associated with microbiota factors 283 at baseline and weaker control of clinical activity¹⁵. In multivariate analysis, FMT and Crohn's disease 284 activity index (CDAI) score were the only independent parameters associated with maintenance of 285 remission, with FMT dividing the risk of a flare by 8 and higher CDAI score (although below the 286 remission threshold) increasing the risk by more than 20-fold (Table 3).

287 Donor-recipient incompatibilities leading to FMT failure may partly be due to an active immune 288 response toward the transplanted microbiota. An immune screening approach was examined in an

FMT case study for UC to minimize FMT failure¹⁰². Patient-derived lymphoid cells isolated from a rectal biopsy were incubated with the intestinal microbiota of different donors. FMT, performed with the donor microbiota inducing the lowest production of proinflammatory cytokines, induced a remission¹⁰². In addition to the noncontrolled nature of this study, the time and costs involved by personalized approaches limit their implementation. Controlling the inflammation level in the recipient's intestine using immunosuppressants might be a simpler strategy.

295

296 2-5 <u>Recipient microbiota composition</u>

297 Following FMT, donor bacterial strains cohabit with those of the recipient. In metabolic syndrome, 298 colonization success for a given strain is greater if another representative of the same species is also 299 present in the recipient before FMT⁹⁸. In both rCDI and metabolic syndrome, bacterial abundance and taxonomic identity in both the donor and recipient before FMT are strong predictors of engraftment¹⁰³. 300 301 Following FMT, the recipient microbiota contains a combination of recipient- and donor-derived as 302 well as newly acquired species¹⁰³, suggesting that complex microbial interactions contribute to overall 303 FMT engraftment. Thus, two recipients receiving the same donor microbiota will not show the same 304 clinical outcome, as both recipient genetics and microbiota are idiosyncratic and determinant in FMT 305 success.

306 In numerous human studies, both microbial diversity and the presence of specific species in the 307 recipient microbiota impact FMT engraftment (Table 3). In UC, higher fecal and mucosal microbiota 308 richness before FMT is associated with positive therapeutic outcomes^{8,77}, while Fusobacterium and 309 Sutterella species are associated with FMT failure⁸. In CD, FMT failure is associated with enrichment in 310 different members of Gammaproteobacteria, such as Klebsiella, Actinobacillus, and Haemophilus, in the recipient microbiota at baseline¹⁵. In metabolic syndrome, responders have lower fecal microbial 311 312 diversity before FMT, with higher relative abundances of Subdoligranulum variabile and Dorea and 313 lower relative abundances of Eubacterium ventriosum and Ruminococcus torques than

nonresponders²⁷. Patients with IBS responding to FMT have a higher baseline abundance of
 Streptococcus species and higher microbiota diversity than nonresponders¹⁰⁴.

316 In rCDI, a low abundance of fecal Candida albicans, both in recipients at baseline and in donors, 317 correlates with a positive FMT outcome⁷⁵. In contrast, a high initial abundance of *C. albicans* was 318 associated with increased FMT success in patients with UC, suggesting that high fungal abundance allows better bacterial engraftment in this context¹⁰⁵. In rCDI, a higher richness of the bacteriophage 319 320 Caudovirales in the donor than in the recipient microbiota predicts a positive therapeutic outcome⁸⁶. 321 Moreover, in UC, responders to FMT have a lower baseline relative abundance of Caudovirales 322 bacteriophages than nonresponders¹⁰⁶. Similarly, low eukaryotic virus richness before FMT in patients with UC is associated with a clinical response¹⁰⁷. These data suggest that in addition to bacteria, fungi 323 324 and both eukaryotic and prokaryotic viruses in the recipient microbiota play a role in the establishment 325 of a novel commensal community after FMT and in therapeutic effects.

Altogether, these data demonstrate that the baseline recipient microbiota affects FMT clinical outcome, probably by influencing the success of donor microbiota engraftment and maintenance but also by directly contributing to the new microbial ecosystem. Thus, extensive analysis of bacterial, fungal and viral species in patients before transfer might provide a valuable biomarker for FMT success prediction.

331

- 332 3- Avenues for progress
- 333

334 3-1 Gut preparation for engraftment

Inadequate bowel preparation is associated with FMT failure in rCDI¹⁰⁸. Although it seems logical to clear ecological niches for the new microbiota before administrating it, procedures for preparing the recipient (broad-spectrum antibiotics, colon cleansing with polyethylene glycol or no preparation) vary between studies, and the best approach remains to be identified (FIG. 2). Antibiotic usage is questionable, as they may induce deleterious side effects (particularly in IBD) and/or enrich

340 for antibiotic-resistant bacteria. Few clinical studies suggest that antibiotic pretreatment improves the clinical response rate of FMT in UC, but control arms are missing^{109,110}. Mouse study results are 341 divergent, with one study demonstrating a positive impact of bowel cleansing prior to FMT¹¹¹, while 342 another found no effect of lavage but a positive effect of antibiotics¹¹². Conversely, antibiotic 343 344 pretreatment does not improve the overall engraftment of donor microbiota compared to that with lavage alone but does increase the engraftment of specific taxa, such as Bifidobacterium¹¹³. Thus, 345 346 further well-designed RCTs are needed to determine the best approach to prepare the gut 347 environment for engraftment.

348

349 3-2 <u>Targeting recipient immunity</u>

350 Modulation of immune responses within the new gut habitat could improve engraftment (FIG. 2). In IBD, control of disease activity using corticosteroids is associated with improved FMT efficacy^{6,9,15}. 351 352 Indeed, a healthy microbiota can rapidly be altered by existing intestinal inflammation, thus limiting 353 its potential therapeutic effect. Moreover, transferring a large number of microbes into an inflamed 354 intestine with a disrupted epithelial barrier could be detrimental and both exacerbate inflammation 355 and promote bacterial translocation. Thus, treatment with immunosuppressants in addition to FMT 356 protocols might be useful to alleviate immune pressure on the newly transferred microbiota and avoid 357 additional damage to the intestinal tissue.

Furthermore, human leukocyte antigen (HLA) and other genetic compatibility factors between donors and recipients might play a role in FMT efficacy. Indeed, FMT engraftment failure can be related to graft rejection, which corresponds to the immunologic destruction of transplanted tissues between two individuals of the same species differing at the MHC for that species (i.e., HLA in humans). HLAs are extremely polymorphic in different populations and determine the specificity of T cell responses against the intestinal microbiota. MHC-dependent mechanisms impact the microbiota composition in mice^{73,114}. In humans, specific HLA polymorphisms are associated with the microbiota composition and

365 with several common infections^{46,115,116}. Considering HLA as a matching factor for FMT should be 366 investigated.

367

368 3-3 Dietary intervention

Environmental factors impacting the microbiota, particularly dietary habits, might play a significant role in FMT success (FIG. 2). Dietary interventions show promising results in CD^{117–119} and could help maintain an optimal ecosystem after transplantation in IBD and non-IBD contexts. Adopting a "microbiota-protecting" diet enriched in fibers to promote SCFA-producing bacteria and reduced in ultraprocessed food might be beneficial in the post-FMT setting. Further basic and clinical research studies are required to validate the integration of such approaches to FMT protocols.

375

376 Conclusions

377 FMT efficacy could be improved by carefully selecting donors, but the specific parameters for 378 implementing selection criteria are still mostly unknown. Similarly, no consensus exists on the best 379 procedure for each condition. Standardization of methods and development of stool banks will 380 improve follow-up over the years and help to optimize donor-to-recipient microbiota transfer. 381 However, the role of recipient factors in FMT success remains underestimated. The main drivers of 382 donor material engraftment into the recipient's intestine include microbiota composition, genetics, 383 inflammation status and environmental factors, such as diet. Additional interventions, alone or in 384 combination, could potentiate FMT. Optimization of donor/recipient matching at both the genetic and 385 microbiota levels could help minimize engraftment failure due to incompatibilities. Deciphering the 386 best bowel preparation method is also crucial to promote remission. Furthermore, strategies to limit 387 immune pressure toward the newly transferred microbiota could be powerful, such as the 388 administration of immunosuppressants at least during the first stages after FMT in chronic 389 inflammatory diseases. Finally, improved control of the recipient environment, especially via dietary

intervention, might improve FMT engraftment and maintenance and support long-term clinicalremission.

392

393 Figure legends:

Figure 1: Demonstrated effects of the host immune system on the intestinal microbiota composition and functions

396 Multiple host factors, including intestinal immunity, shape the bacterial, fungal and viral populations 397 of the microbiota. A. Intestinal secretory immunoglobulin A (SIgA) eliminates potentially harmful 398 microorganisms and stabilizes bacterial communities. B. Production of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) 399 favors the growth of Enterobacteriaceae by sequestering specific host-derived nutrients, including iron 400 and zinc. C. Production of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (ROS and RNS) by host cells leads to 401 the luminal generation of exogenous electron acceptors, which selectively enhance the growth of 402 facultative anaerobic bacteria, including Enterobacteriaceae. D. Finally, immune and regulatory 403 proteins are essential to maintain a balanced composition and function of the microbiota, especially 404 the ratio between Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes. Mutation of these genes is associated with alteration 405 of the microbiota composition. NOD2, nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing protein 406 2; ATG16L1, autophagy-related 16-like 1; NLRP6, NOD-like receptor family pyrin domain containing 6; 407 VDR, vitamin D receptor; MyD88, myeloid differentiation primary response 88; TLR5, Toll-like receptor 408 5; CARD9, caspase recruitment domain family member 9; TTC7A, tetratricopeptide repeat domain 7A; 409 XIAP, X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis; CGD, chronic granulomatous disease.

410

411 - Figure 2: Potential targets and strategies on the recipient side to improve FMT efficacy

The main drivers of donor material engraftment into the recipient's intestine include microbiota composition, genetics, inflammation status and environmental factors, such as dietary habits. Additional interventions before or after transplantation, alone or in combination, could help to improve FMT success. **A.** Optimization of donor/recipient matching at the genetic, immune and

416 microbiota levels could help minimize engraftment failure due to incompatibilities. **B.** Identifying the 417 best bowel preparation method between antibiotics and bowel cleansing is also crucial to promote 418 engraftment. **C.** Furthermore, strategies to limit immune pressure toward the newly transferred 419 microbiota, such as the administration of immunosuppressants at least before and during the first 420 stages after FMT in chronic inflammatory diseases could be a powerful method. **D.** Finally, improved 421 control of the recipient environment, especially via dietary intervention, might improve FMT 422 engraftment and maintenance and support long-term clinical remission.

- 423
- 424
- 425 **Tables:**

426 Table 1: Associations between host genetics and intestinal microbiota in healthy individuals and

427 IBD patients

Study (year)	Gene	Association with microbiota composition or function			
Healthy indivi	Healthy individuals (GWAS)				
Turpin et al.	rs62171178 (near UBR3)	Presence of Rikenellaceae			
(2016) ⁴⁵	rs1394174 (CNTN6)	Presence of Faecalibacterium			
	rs59846192 (DMRTB1)	Presence of Lachnospira			
	rs28473221 (SALL3)	Presence of Eubacterium			
Bonder et al.	CLEC4F–CD207 and CLEC4A–FAM90A1	Intestinal homeostasis			
(2016) ⁴⁶	(C-type lectin)				
	HLA-B (human leukocyte antigen)	Microbiota composition			
Wang et al. (2016) ⁴⁷	VDR (vitamin D receptor)	Overall microbial variation and individual taxa			
Patients with	Patients with IBD				
Frank et al.	NOD2 (nucleotide-binding	Increased abundance of Enterobacteriaceae			
(2011) ¹²⁰	oligomerization domain-containing	(Proteobacteria) and decreased abundance of			
	protein 2) in IBD	Clostridium groups IV and XIVa (Firmicutes)			
	ATG16L1 (inflammasome NOD-like				
	receptor family pyrin domain				
	containing 6) in IBD				
Knights et al. (2014) ¹²¹	NOD2 in IBD	Increased abundance of Enterobacteriaceae			
Sadaghian	ATG16L1 in CD	Increased abundance of Fusobacteriaceae			
Sadabad et					
al. (2015) ⁵³					
Lamas et al.,	CARD9 (caspase recruitment domain	Impaired ability to metabolize tryptophan into AhR			
(2016) ³⁴	family member 9) in IBD	agonists			
Aschard et	NOD2 in IBD	Decreased abundance of the Roseburia genus and			
al. (2019) ⁵⁴		Faecalibacterium prausnitzii			

IBD-like phenotype in Primary immunodeficiency			
Sokol et al. (2019) ⁵⁵	CGD (Chronic granulomatous disease) XIAP (X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis)	Increased abundance of <i>Ruminococcus gnavus</i> Increased abundance of disease-associated taxa from Proteobacteria, Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, and Fusobacteria	
	TTC7A (Tetratricopeptide Repeat Domain 7A)	Presence of the pathogen <i>Lactococcus garvieae</i> Increased abundance of Proteobacteria, decreased abundance of the <i>Ruminococcaceae</i> family (notably the <i>Oscillospira</i> genera)	

430 Table 2: Donor and recipient factors at baseline associated with FMT success

Condition	Donor microbiota composition	Recipient microbiota composition	Recipient clinical status
rCDI	 Low abundance of Candida albicans⁷⁵ High bacteriophage diversity^{86,96} 	 Low abundance of Candida albicans⁷⁵ Low relative abundance of Caudovirales bacteriophages⁸⁶ 	 Low disease severity^{99,108} Low intestinal inflammation level (calprotectin)¹⁰⁰ Low number of disease recurrence⁹⁹ Adequate bowel preparation¹⁰⁸ No active associated IBD requiring medication escalation¹⁰¹
UC	 High microbiota diversity^{77,95} Enrichment in members of Clostridium clusters IV and XIVa in the <i>Ruminococcaceae</i> and <i>Lachnospiraceae</i> families^{6–8,97} High relative abundance of <i>A. muciniphila</i>, <i>Ruminococcaceae</i> members and <i>Bacteroides</i> species^{77,95} Low amount of <i>Streptococcus</i>^{77,95} 	 High fecal and mucosal microbiota richness^{8,77} Absence of <i>Fusobacterium</i> and <i>Sutterella</i>⁸ High abundance of <i>C. albicans</i>¹⁰⁵ Low abundance of Caudovirales bacteriophages¹⁰⁶ Low eukaryotic virus richness¹⁰⁷ 	- Immunosuppressant therapy, such as oral steroids ^{6,9}
CD	- High microbiota diversity ⁷⁶	- Low abundance in members of Gammaproteobacteria such as <i>Klebsiella</i> , <i>Actinobacillus</i> and <i>Haemophilus</i> ¹⁵	- Low disease activity ¹⁵
Metabolic syndrome	- High abundance of <i>Bifidobacterium</i> ¹²²	 Low relative microbial diversity²⁷ High abundance of <i>Subdoligranulum variabile</i> and <i>Dorea</i>²⁷ Low abundance of <i>Eubacterium ventriosum</i> and <i>Ruminococcus torques</i>²⁷ 	N/A
IBS	N/A	- High relative microbiota diversity ¹⁰⁴	N/A

		n relative abundance of tococcus ¹⁰⁴	
431			

432

433 Table 3: Factors associated with remission maintenance in the whole population of the study in

434 univariate and multivariate analyses (from ¹⁵)

Factors	P value univariate	P value multivariate	OR (Odds Ratio)	95% CI (confidence interval)
FMT vs Sham	0.23	0.0295*	0.13	[0.009 – 0.82]
Age	0.60			
Gender	0.70			
Active smoking	0.53			
Montreal : L2 / L3	0.91			
Disease duration	0.26			
Hemoglobin	0.46			
Platelet count	0.55			
CRP	0.21	0.42		
Fecal Calprotectin	0.06	0.72		
Previous azathioprine treatment	0.28			
Previous anti-TNF therapy	0.39			
CDAI Score	0.03	0.018*	26.9	[1.8 – 645.7]
CDEIS Score	0.31			

435 CRP: C reactive protein; CDAI: Crohn's disease activity index; CDEIS: Crohn's disease endoscopic index

436 of severity; TNF: Tumor Necrosis Factor; L2: colonic involvement only ; L3: ileo-colonic involvement.

437

438

439 References:

1. Durack, J. & Lynch, S. V. The gut microbiome: Relationships with disease and opportunities for

441 therapy. J. Exp. Med. **216**, 20–40 (2019).

- 442 2. D'Haens, G. R. & Jobin, C. Fecal Microbial Transplantation for Diseases Beyond Recurrent
- 443 Clostridium Difficile Infection. *Gastroenterology* **157**, 624–636 (2019).
- 444 3. Round, J. L. & Palm, N. W. Causal effects of the microbiota on immune-mediated diseases. *Sci.*
- 445 *Immunol.* **3**, eaao1603 (2018).
- 446 4. van Nood, E. et al. Duodenal Infusion of Donor Feces for Recurrent Clostridium difficile. N. Engl. J.
- 447 *Med.* **368**, 407–415 (2013).

448 5. Kelly, C. R. *et al.* Effect of Fecal Microbiota Transplantation on Recurrence in Multiply Recurrent

449 *Clostridium difficile* Infection: A Randomized Trial. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **165**, 609 (2016).

- 450 6. Moayyedi, P. et al. Fecal Microbiota Transplantation Induces Remission in Patients With Active
- 451 Ulcerative Colitis in a Randomized Controlled Trial. *Gastroenterology* **149**, 102-109.e6 (2015).
- 452 7. Rossen, N. G. et al. Findings From a Randomized Controlled Trial of Fecal Transplantation for
- 453 Patients With Ulcerative Colitis. *Gastroenterology* **149**, 110-118.e4 (2015).
- 8. Paramsothy, S. *et al.* Multidonor intensive faecal microbiota transplantation for active ulcerative
 colitis: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *The Lancet* **389**, 1218–1228 (2017).
- 456 9. Costello, S. P. et al. Effect of Fecal Microbiota Transplantation on 8-Week Remission in Patients
- 457 With Ulcerative Colitis: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* **321**, 156 (2019).
- 458 10. Colman, R. J. & Rubin, D. T. Fecal microbiota transplantation as therapy for inflammatory bowel
- disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Crohns Colitis 8, 1569–1581 (2014).
- 460 11. Cui, B. *et al.* Fecal microbiota transplantation through mid-gut for refractory Crohn's disease:
- 461 Safety, feasibility, and efficacy trial results: Fecal microbiota transplantation. J. Gastroenterol.
- 462 *Hepatol.* **30**, 51–58 (2015).
- 12. Suskind, D. L. *et al.* Fecal Microbial Transplant Effect on Clinical Outcomes and Fecal Microbiome
- 464 in Active Crohn's Disease: *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* **21**, 556–563 (2015).
- 465 13. Vaughn, B. P. *et al.* Increased Intestinal Microbial Diversity Following Fecal Microbiota Transplant
 466 for Active Crohn's Disease: *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* 22, 2182–2190 (2016).
- 467 14. He, Z. et al. Multiple fresh fecal microbiota transplants induces and maintains clinical remission
- 468 in Crohn's disease complicated with inflammatory mass. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 4753 (2017).
- 469 15. Sokol *et al.* Fecal microbiota transplantation to maintain remission in Crohn's disease: a pilot
- 470 randomized controlled study. *Microbiome* **8**, 12 (2020).
- 16. Johnsen, P. H. *et al.* Faecal microbiota transplantation versus placebo for moderate-to-severe
- 472 irritable bowel syndrome: a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, single-
- 473 centre trial. *Lancet Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **3**, 17–24 (2018).

- 474 17. El-Salhy, M., Hatlebakk, J. G., Gilja, O. H., Bråthen Kristoffersen, A. & Hausken, T. Efficacy of
- 475 faecal microbiota transplantation for patients with irritable bowel syndrome in a randomised,

double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Gut* **69**, 859–867 (2020).

- 477 18. Halkjær, S. I. et al. Faecal microbiota transplantation alters gut microbiota in patients with
- 478 irritable bowel syndrome: results from a randomised, double-blind placebo-controlled study. *Gut*

67, 2107–2115 (2018).

- 480 19. Holster, S. et al. The Effect of Allogenic Versus Autologous Fecal Microbiota Transfer on
- 481 Symptoms, Visceral Perception and Fecal and Mucosal Microbiota in Irritable Bowel Syndrome: A

482 Randomized Controlled Study. *Clin. Transl. Gastroenterol.* **10**, e00034 (2019).

483 20. Aroniadis, O. C. *et al.* Faecal microbiota transplantation for diarrhoea-predominant irritable

484 bowel syndrome: a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Gastroenterol*.

485 *Hepatol.* **4**, 675–685 (2019).

486 21. Kakihana, K. *et al.* Fecal microbiota transplantation for patients with steroid-resistant acute
487 graft-versus-host disease of the gut. *Blood* **128**, 2083–2088 (2016).

488 22. Spindelboeck, W. et al. Repeated fecal microbiota transplantations attenuate diarrhea and lead

489 to sustained changes in the fecal microbiota in acute, refractory gastrointestinal graft- versus -

490 host-disease. *Haematologica* **102**, e210–e213 (2017).

491 23. Qi, X. *et al.* Treating Steroid Refractory Intestinal Acute Graft-vs.-Host Disease With Fecal

492 Microbiota Transplantation: A Pilot Study. *Front. Immunol.* **9**, 2195 (2018).

493 24. Bajaj, J. S. et al. Fecal microbiota transplant from a rational stool donor improves hepatic

- 494 encephalopathy: A randomized clinical trial: Bajaj et al. *Hepatology* **66**, 1727–1738 (2017).
- 495 25. Bajaj, J. S. *et al.* Long-term Outcomes of Fecal Microbiota Transplantation in Patients With

496 Cirrhosis. *Gastroenterology* **156**, 1921-1923.e3 (2019).

497 26. Vrieze, A. *et al.* Transfer of Intestinal Microbiota From Lean Donors Increases Insulin Sensitivity in

498 Individuals With Metabolic Syndrome. *Gastroenterology* **143**, 913-916.e7 (2012).

- 499 27. Kootte, R. S. et al. Improvement of Insulin Sensitivity after Lean Donor Feces in Metabolic
- 500 Syndrome Is Driven by Baseline Intestinal Microbiota Composition. *Cell Metab.* 26, 611-619.e6
 501 (2017).
- 502 28. Kang, D.-W. et al. Microbiota Transfer Therapy alters gut ecosystem and improves
- 503 gastrointestinal and autism symptoms: an open-label study. *Microbiome* **5**, 10 (2017).
- Sola 29. Kang, D.-W. *et al.* Long-term benefit of Microbiota Transfer Therapy on autism symptoms and
 gut microbiota. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 5821 (2019).
- 30. Goodrich, J. K. et al. Human Genetics Shape the Gut Microbiome. Cell 159, 789–799 (2014).
- 507 31. Couturier-Maillard, A. et al. NOD2-mediated dysbiosis predisposes mice to transmissible colitis
- and colorectal cancer. J. Clin. Invest. JCI62236 (2013) doi:10.1172/JCI62236.
- 509 32. Petnicki-Ocwieja, T. *et al.* Nod2 is required for the regulation of commensal microbiota in the
- 510 intestine. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **106**, 15813–15818 (2009).
- 33. Hu, B. *et al.* Microbiota-induced activation of epithelial IL-6 signaling links inflammasome-driven
 inflammation with transmissible cancer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **110**, 9862–9867 (2013).
- 513 34. Lamas, B. *et al.* CARD9 impacts colitis by altering gut microbiota metabolism of tryptophan into
- aryl hydrocarbon receptor ligands. *Nat. Med.* **22**, 598–605 (2016).
- 515 35. Khan, A. A. et al. Polymorphic Immune Mechanisms Regulate Commensal Repertoire. Cell Rep.
- 516 **29**, 541-550.e4 (2019).
- 36. Wen, L. *et al.* Innate immunity and intestinal microbiota in the development of Type 1 diabetes. *Nature* 455, 1109–1113 (2008).
- 519 37. Vijay-Kumar, M. *et al.* Metabolic Syndrome and Altered Gut Microbiota in Mice Lacking Toll-Like
- 520 Receptor 5. *Science* **328**, 228–231 (2010).
- 521 38. Kawamoto, S. *et al.* Foxp3+ T Cells Regulate Immunoglobulin A Selection and Facilitate
- 522 Diversification of Bacterial Species Responsible for Immune Homeostasis. *Immunity* **41**, 152–165
- 523 (2014).

- 39. Zhang, H., Sparks, J. B., Karyala, S. V., Settlage, R. & Luo, X. M. Host adaptive immunity alters gut
 microbiota. *ISME J.* 9, 770–781 (2015).
- 40. Dimitriu, P. A. *et al.* Temporal stability of the mouse gut microbiota in relation to innate and
- 527 adaptive immunity: Mouse gut microbiota dynamics. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* **5**, 200–210 (2013).
- 41. Sokol, H. et al. Card9 Mediates Intestinal Epithelial Cell Restitution, T-Helper 17 Responses, and
- 529 Control of Bacterial Infection in Mice. *Gastroenterology* **145**, 591-601.e3 (2013).
- 42. Richard, M. L. & Sokol, H. The gut mycobiota: insights into analysis, environmental interactions
- and role in gastrointestinal diseases. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* (2019)
- 532 doi:10.1038/s41575-019-0121-2.
- 43. Sovran, B. *et al.* Enterobacteriaceae are essential for the modulation of colitis severity by fungi.

534 *Microbiome* **6**, 152 (2018).

- 44. van Tilburg Bernardes, E. *et al.* Intestinal fungi are causally implicated in microbiome assembly
 and immune development in mice. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 2577 (2020).
- 537 45. Turpin *et al.* Association of host genome with intestinal microbial composition in a large healthy
 538 cohort. *Nat. Genet.* 48, 1413–1417 (2016).
- 539 46. Bonder, M. J. *et al.* The effect of host genetics on the gut microbiome. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 1407–
- 540 1412 (2016).
- 47. Wang, J. et al. Genome-wide association analysis identifies variation in vitamin D receptor and
- 542 other host factors influencing the gut microbiota. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 1396–1406 (2016).
- 48. Benson, A. K. The gut microbiome-an emerging complex trait. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 1301–1302 (2016).
- 49. Hansen, J. J. Immune Responses to Intestinal Microbes in Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. *Curr.*
- 545 *Allergy Asthma Rep.* **15**, 61 (2015).
- 546 50. Gevers, D. *et al.* The treatment-naive microbiome in new-onset Crohn's disease. *Cell Host*
- 547 *Microbe* **15**, 382–392 (2014).
- 51. Morgan, X. C. *et al.* Dysfunction of the intestinal microbiome in inflammatory bowel disease and
 treatment. *Genome Biol.* 13, R79 (2012).

- 550 52. Chu, H. et al. Gene-microbiota interactions contribute to the pathogenesis of inflammatory
- bowel disease. *Science* **352**, 1116–1120 (2016).
- 552 53. Sadaghian Sadabad, M. et al. The ATG16L1–T300A allele impairs clearance of pathosymbionts in
- the inflamed ileal mucosa of Crohn's disease patients. *Gut* **64**, 1546–1552 (2015).
- 554 54. Aschard, H. et al. Genetic effects on the commensal microbiota in inflammatory bowel disease
- 555 patients. *PLOS Genet.* **15**, e1008018 (2019).
- 55. Sokol, H. *et al.* Intestinal dysbiosis in inflammatory bowel disease associated with primary
- 557 immunodeficiency. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. **143**, 775-778.e6 (2019).
- 558 56. Raffatellu, M. et al. Lipocalin-2 resistance confers an advantage to Salmonella enterica serotype
- 559 Typhimurium for growth and survival in the inflamed intestine. *Cell Host Microbe* **5**, 476–486
- 560 (2009).
- 561 57. Liu, J. Z. *et al.* Zinc sequestration by the neutrophil protein calprotectin enhances Salmonella
 562 growth in the inflamed gut. *Cell Host Microbe* **11**, 227–239 (2012).
- 563 58. Deriu, E. *et al.* Probiotic bacteria reduce salmonella typhimurium intestinal colonization by
 564 competing for iron. *Cell Host Microbe* 14, 26–37 (2013).
- 565 59. Winter, S. E. *et al.* Host-Derived Nitrate Boosts Growth of E. coli in the Inflamed Gut. *Science* 339,
 566 708–711 (2013).
- 567 60. Winter, S. E. & Bäumler, A. J. Dysbiosis in the inflamed intestine: Chance favors the prepared
 568 microbe. *Gut Microbes* 5, 71–73 (2014).
- 569 61. Faber, F. & Bäumler, A. J. The impact of intestinal inflammation on the nutritional environment
 570 of the gut microbiota. *Immunol. Lett.* 162, 48–53 (2014).
- 571 62. Bunker, J. J. & Bendelac, A. IgA Responses to Microbiota. *Immunity* **49**, 211–224 (2018).
- 572 63. van der Waaij, L. A. *et al.* Immunoglobulin coating of faecal bacteria in inflammatory bowel
- 573 disease. *Eur. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **16**, 669–674 (2004).
- 574 64. Palm, N. W. et al. Immunoglobulin A Coating Identifies Colitogenic Bacteria in Inflammatory
- 575 Bowel Disease. *Cell* **158**, 1000–1010 (2014).

- 576 65. Viladomiu, M. et al. IgA-coated E. coli enriched in Crohn's disease spondyloarthritis promote T_H
- 577 17-dependent inflammation. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **9**, eaaf9655 (2017).

578 66. Aghamohammadi, A. et al. IgA Deficiency: Correlation Between Clinical and Immunological

579 Phenotypes. J. Clin. Immunol. **29**, 130–136 (2009).

- 580 67. Ludvigsson, J. F., Neovius, M. & Hammarström, L. Association Between IgA Deficiency & Other
- Autoimmune Conditions: A Population-Based Matched Cohort Study. J. Clin. Immunol. 34, 444–
 451 (2014).
- 583 68. Catanzaro, J. R. *et al.* IgA-deficient humans exhibit gut microbiota dysbiosis despite secretion of
 584 compensatory IgM. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 13574 (2019).
- 585 69. Mirpuri, J. *et al.* Proteobacteria-specific IgA regulates maturation of the intestinal microbiota.

586 *Gut Microbes* **5**, 28–39 (2014).

- 587 70. Suzuki, K. *et al.* Aberrant expansion of segmented filamentous bacteria in IgA-deficient gut. *Proc.*588 *Natl. Acad. Sci.* 101, 1981–1986 (2004).
- 589 71. Macpherson, A. J. A Primitive T Cell-Independent Mechanism of Intestinal Mucosal IgA
- 590 Responses to Commensal Bacteria. *Science* **288**, 2222–2226 (2000).
- 591 72. Kubinak, J. L. et al. MyD88 Signaling in T Cells Directs IgA-Mediated Control of the Microbiota to
- 592 Promote Health. *Cell Host Microbe* **17**, 153–163 (2015).
- 593 73. Kubinak, J. L. *et al.* MHC variation sculpts individualized microbial communities that control
- 594 susceptibility to enteric infection. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 8642 (2015).
- 595 74. Nakajima, A. et al. IgA regulates the composition and metabolic function of gut microbiota by
- 596 promoting symbiosis between bacteria. J. Exp. Med. **215**, 2019–2034 (2018).
- 597 75. Zuo, T. et al. Gut fungal dysbiosis correlates with reduced efficacy of fecal microbiota
- transplantation in Clostridium difficile infection. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 3663 (2018).
- 599 76. Vermeire, S. *et al.* Donor Species Richness Determines Faecal Microbiota Transplantation Success
- 600 in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. J. Crohns Colitis **10**, 387–394 (2016).

- 601 77. Paramsothy, S. *et al.* Specific Bacteria and Metabolites Associated With Response to Fecal
- Microbiota Transplantation in Patients With Ulcerative Colitis. *Gastroenterology* 156, 14401454.e2 (2019).
- 604 78. Lavelle, A. & Sokol, H. Gut microbiota-derived metabolites as key actors in inflammatory bowel
 605 disease. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **17**, 223–237 (2020).
- 606 79. McCarville, J. L., Chen, G. Y., Cuevas, V. D., Troha, K. & Ayres, J. S. Microbiota Metabolites in
 607 Health and Disease. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 38, 147–170 (2020).
- 80. Weingarden, A. R. *et al.* Microbiota transplantation restores normal fecal bile acid composition in
 recurrent *Clostridium difficile* infection. *Am. J. Physiol.-Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.* 306, G310–
- G319 (2014).
- 81. Buffie, C. G. *et al.* Precision microbiome reconstitution restores bile acid mediated resistance to
 Clostridium difficile. *Nature* 517, 205–208 (2015).
- 613 82. Staley, C., Kelly, C. R., Brandt, L. J., Khoruts, A. & Sadowsky, M. J. Complete Microbiota
- 614 Engraftment Is Not Essential for Recovery from Recurrent *Clostridium difficile* Infection following

615 Fecal Microbiota Transplantation. *mBio* **7**, e01965-16, /mbio/7/6/e01965-16.atom (2016).

- 616 83. Seekatz, A. M. *et al.* Restoration of short chain fatty acid and bile acid metabolism following fecal
- 617 microbiota transplantation in patients with recurrent Clostridium difficile infection. *Anaerobe* 53,
 618 64–73 (2018).
- 619 84. Brown, J. R.-M. et al. Changes in microbiota composition, bile and fatty acid metabolism, in

620 successful faecal microbiota transplantation for Clostridioides difficile infection. BMC

- 621 *Gastroenterol.* **18**, 131 (2018).
- 622 85. Ott, S. J. *et al.* Efficacy of Sterile Fecal Filtrate Transfer for Treating Patients With Clostridium
- difficile Infection. *Gastroenterology* **152**, 799-811.e7 (2017).
- 624 86. Zuo, T. et al. Bacteriophage transfer during faecal microbiota transplantation in Clostridium
- 625 *difficile* infection is associated with treatment outcome. *Gut* gutjnl-2017-313952 (2017)
- 626 doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2017-313952.

87. Broecker, F. *et al.* Long-term changes of bacterial and viral compositions in the intestine of a
recovered *Clostridium difficile* patient after fecal microbiota transplantation. *Mol. Case Stud.* 2,

629 a000448 (2016).

- 630 88. Staley, C. *et al.* Durable Long-Term Bacterial Engraftment following Encapsulated Fecal
- 631 Microbiota Transplantation To Treat *Clostridium difficile* Infection. *mBio* **10**, e01586-19,
- 632 /mbio/10/4/mBio.01586-19.atom (2019).
- 633 89. Goloshchapov, O. V. *et al.* Long-term impact of fecal transplantation in healthy volunteers. *BMC*634 *Microbiol.* 19, 312 (2019).
- 635 90. Moss, E. L. *et al.* Long-term taxonomic and functional divergence from donor bacterial strains
- 636 following fecal microbiota transplantation in immunocompromised patients. *PLOS ONE* **12**,
- 637 e0182585 (2017).
- 91. Pigneur, B. & Sokol, H. Fecal microbiota transplantation in inflammatory bowel disease: the
 quest for the holy grail. *Mucosal Immunol.* 9, 1360–1365 (2016).
- 640 92. Kim, K. O. & Gluck, M. Fecal Microbiota Transplantation: An Update on Clinical Practice. *Clin.*
- 641 Endosc. **52**, 137–143 (2019).
- 93. Allegretti, J. R., Mullish, B. H., Kelly, C. & Fischer, M. The evolution of the use of faecal microbiota
- transplantation and emerging therapeutic indications. *The Lancet* **394**, 420–431 (2019).
- 94. Wilson, B. C., Vatanen, T., Cutfield, W. S. & O'Sullivan, J. M. The Super-Donor Phenomenon in
- 645 Fecal Microbiota Transplantation. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **9**, 2 (2019).
- 646 95. Kump, P. et al. The taxonomic composition of the donor intestinal microbiota is a major factor
- 647 influencing the efficacy of faecal microbiota transplantation in therapy refractory ulcerative
- 648 colitis. *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.* **47**, 67–77 (2018).
- 649 96. Park, H. et al. The success of fecal microbial transplantation in Clostridium difficile infection
- 650 correlates with bacteriophage relative abundance in the donor: a retrospective cohort study. *Gut*
- 651 *Microbes* **10**, 676–687 (2019).

- 652 97. Fuentes, S. et al. Microbial shifts and signatures of long-term remission in ulcerative colitis after
- 653 faecal microbiota transplantation. *ISME J.* **11**, 1877–1889 (2017).

98. Li, S. S. et al. Durable coexistence of donor and recipient strains after fecal microbiota

- 655 transplantation. *Science* **352**, 586–589 (2016).
- 656 99. Fischer, M. et al. Predictors of Early Failure After Fecal Microbiota Transplantation for the
- Therapy of Clostridium Difficile Infection: A Multicenter Study: *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 111, 1024–
 1031 (2016).
- 659 100. Gallo, A. *et al.* Fecal calprotectin and need of multiple microbiota trasplantation infusions in
- 660 *Clostridium difficile* infection. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* jgh.15072 (2020) doi:10.1111/jgh.15072.
- 661 101. Hirten, R. P. *et al.* Microbial Engraftment and Efficacy of Fecal Microbiota Transplant for
- 662 Clostridium Difficile in Patients With and Without Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Inflamm. Bowel*
- 663 *Dis.* **25**, 969–979 (2019).
- 102. Ponce-Alonso, M. *et al.* P782 A new compatibility test for donor selection for faecal microbiota
 transplantation in ulcerative colitis. *J. Crohns Colitis* **11**, S480–S481 (2017).
- 666 103. Smillie, C. S. et al. Strain Tracking Reveals the Determinants of Bacterial Engraftment in the
- 667 Human Gut Following Fecal Microbiota Transplantation. *Cell Host Microbe* **23**, 229-240.e5
- 668 (2018).
- 104. Holvoet, T. *et al.* Assessment of faecal microbial transfer in irritable bowel syndrome with
 severe bloating. *Gut* 66, 980–982 (2017).
- 105. Leonardi, I. et al. Fungal Trans-kingdom Dynamics Linked to Responsiveness to Fecal Microbiota
- Transplantation (FMT) Therapy in Ulcerative Colitis. *Cell Host Microbe* **27**, 823-829.e3 (2020).
- 106. Gogokhia, L. et al. Expansion of Bacteriophages Is Linked to Aggravated Intestinal Inflammation
- 674 and Colitis. *Cell Host Microbe* **25**, 285-299.e8 (2019).
- 675 107. Conceição-Neto, N. et al. Low eukaryotic viral richness is associated with faecal microbiota
- transplantation success in patients with UC. *Gut* **67**, 1558–1559 (2018).

- 677 108. Ianiro, G. et al. Predictors of failure after single faecal microbiota transplantation in patients
- 678 with recurrent Clostridium difficile infection: results from a 3-year, single-centre cohort study.

679 Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 23, 337.e1-337.e3 (2017).

- 680 109. Keshteli, A. H., Millan, B. & Madsen, K. L. Pretreatment with antibiotics may enhance the
- 681 efficacy of fecal microbiota transplantation in ulcerative colitis: a meta-analysis. *Mucosal*
- 682 *Immunol.* **10**, 565–566 (2017).
- 110. Ishikawa, D. *et al.* Changes in Intestinal Microbiota Following Combination Therapy with Fecal
 Microbial Transplantation and Antibiotics for Ulcerative Colitis: *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* 23, 116–125
 (2017).
- 111. Le Roy, T. et al. Comparative Evaluation of Microbiota Engraftment Following Fecal Microbiota
- Transfer in Mice Models: Age, Kinetic and Microbial Status Matter. *Front. Microbiol.* 9, 3289
 (2019).
- 112. Ji, S. K. *et al.* Preparing the Gut with Antibiotics Enhances Gut Microbiota Reprogramming
 Efficiency by Promoting Xenomicrobiota Colonization. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 1208 (2017).
- 691 113. Freitag, T. L. *et al.* Minor Effect of Antibiotic Pre-treatment on the Engraftment of Donor
- 692 Microbiota in Fecal Transplantation in Mice. *Front. Microbiol.* **10**, 2685 (2019).
- 693 114. Khan, A. A. *et al.* Polymorphic Immune Mechanisms Regulate Commensal Repertoire. *Cell Rep.*
- 694 **29**, 541-550.e4 (2019).
- 695 115. Tian, C. et al. Genome-wide association and HLA region fine-mapping studies identify

susceptibility loci for multiple common infections. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 599 (2017).

- 697 116. Andeweg, S. P., Keşmir, C. & Dutilh, B. E. *Quantifying the impact of Human Leukocyte Antigen on*
- 698 *the human gut microbiome*. http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2020.01.14.907196 (2020)
- 699 doi:10.1101/2020.01.14.907196.
- 117. Levine, A. et al. Crohn's Disease Exclusion Diet Plus Partial Enteral Nutrition Induces Sustained
- 701 Remission in a Randomized Controlled Trial. *Gastroenterology* **157**, 440-450.e8 (2019).

118. Svolos, V. et al. Treatment of Active Crohn's Disease With an Ordinary Food-based Diet That

Replicates Exclusive Enteral Nutrition. *Gastroenterology* **156**, 1354-1367.e6 (2019).

- 119. Sabino, J., Lewis, J. D. & Colombel, J.-F. Treating Inflammatory Bowel Disease With Diet: A Taste
- 705 Test. *Gastroenterology* **157**, 295–297 (2019).
- 120. Frank, D. N. et al. Disease phenotype and genotype are associated with shifts in intestinal-
- associated microbiota in inflammatory bowel diseases: *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* **17**, 179–184 (2011).
- 121. Knights, D. *et al.* Complex host genetics influence the microbiome in inflammatory bowel
- 709 disease. *Genome Med.* **6**, 107 (2014).
- 710 122. Mizuno, S. *et al.* Bifidobacterium-Rich Fecal Donor May Be a Positive Predictor for Successful
- Fecal Microbiota Transplantation in Patients with Irritable Bowel Syndrome. *Digestion* **96**, 29–38
- 712 (2017).
- 713

714 Author contributions:

- 715 CD, NR, and HS designed the systematic review, did the literature search and assessed data quality.
- 716 CD drafted the manuscript and figures. NR and HS critically revised the review. All authors approved
- 717 the final submitted version for publication.

718

719 Competing interests:

- HS received unrestricted study grants from Danone, Biocodex, and Enterome; board membership,
- consultancy, or lecture fees from Carenity, Abbvie, Astellas, Danone, Ferring, Mayoly Spindler, MSD,
- Novartis, Roche, Tillots, Enterome, Maat, BiomX, Biose, Novartis, and Takeda; and a co-founder of
- 723 Exeliom bioscience. CD and NB declare not having any competing interests.

724