

From bumblebee to bioeconomy: Recent developments and perspectives for sophorolipid biosynthesis

Sven Dierickx, Martijn Castelein, Jelle Remmery, Veerle de Clercq, Sofie Lodens, Niki Baccile, Sofie L de Maeseneire, Sophie L.K.W. Roelants, Wim K Soetaert

► To cite this version:

Sven Dierickx, Martijn Castelein, Jelle Remmery, Veerle de Clercq, Sofie Lodens, et al.. From bumblebee to bioeconomy: Recent developments and perspectives for sophorolipid biosynthesis. Biotechnology Advances, 2021, pp.107788. 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2021.107788 hal-03273220

HAL Id: hal-03273220 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03273220v1

Submitted on 29 Jun 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

From bumblebee to bioeconomy: recent developments and perspectives for sophorolipid biosynthesis

4

5 Author names and affiliations

- 6 Sven Dierickx 1, Martijn Castelein 1, Jelle Remmery 1, Veerle De Clercq 1, Sofie Lodens 1, Niki
- 7 Baccile 2, Sofie L. De Maeseneire 1, Sophie L. K. W. Roelants 1,3,a, Wim K. Soetaert 1,3
- 8 ¹ Centre for Industrial Biotechnology and Biocatalysis (InBio.be), Department of Biotechnology,
- 9 Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Ghent University, Coupure Links 653, 9000 Ghent, Belgium
- 10 ² Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Laboratoire de Chimie de la Matière Condensée de
- 11 Paris, Sorbonne Université, Place Jussieu 4, 75005 Paris, France
- ³Bio Base Europe Pilot Plant, Rodenhuizenkaai 1, 9042 Ghent, Belgium
- 13 ^a corresponding author
- 14 <u>E-mail</u> (in order of authorship): <u>sven.dierickx@ugent.be</u>, <u>martijn.castelein@ugent.be</u>,
- 15 jelle.remmery@ugent.be, veedcler.declercq@ugent.be, sofie.lodens@ugent.be,
- 16 <u>niki.baccile@sorbonne-universite.fr</u>, <u>sofie.demaeseneire@ugent.be</u>, <u>sophie.roelants@ugent.be</u>,
- 17 <u>wim.soetaert@ugent.be</u>

18 Abstract

19 Sophorolipids are biobased compounds produced by the genera Starmerella and Pseudohyphozyma 20 that gain exponential interest from academic and industrial stakeholders due to their mild and 21 environmental friendly characteristics. Currently, industrially relevant sophorolipid productivities are 22 reached up to 3.7 g·L⁻¹·h⁻¹ and sophorolipids are used in the personal care and cleaning industry at 23 small scale. Moreover, applications in crop protection, food, bioflotation and medical fields are being 24 extensively researched. The research and development of sophorolipids is at a crucial stage. Therefore, 25 this work presents an overview of the state-of-the-art on sophorolipid research and their applications, 26 while providing a critical assessment of scientific techniques and standardisation in reporting. In this 27 review, the genuine sophorolipid producing organisms and the natural role of sophorolipids are 28 discussed. Subsequently, an evaluation is made of innovations in production processes and the 29 relevance of *in-situ* product recovery for process performance is discussed. Furthermore, a critical 30 assessment of application research and its future perspectives are portrayed with a focus on the self31 assembly of sophorolipid molecules. Following, genetic engineering strategies that affect the 32 sophorolipid physiochemical properties are summarised. Finally, the impact of sophorolipids on the 33 bioeconomy are uncovered, along with relevant future perspectives.

34 Keywords

Sophorolipid, biosurfactants, *Starmerella*, process engineering, genetic engineering, natural role,
 application research, self-assembly, bioeconomy

37 1 Introduction

In solving worldwide problems caused by human activity, like global warming or the looming loss of 38 39 biodiversity (Cardinale et al., 2012; Masson-Delmotte et al., 2019), help can come from unexpected 40 places. Indeed, the smallest organisms will help to make big impact, as micro-organisms produce 41 biochemicals with applications in a plethora of domains like human health, agriculture, waste 42 processing and even transport; and these production processes are much more eco-friendly compared 43 to their chemical counterparts and start from renewable resources (Khoo et al., 2016). Particularly 44 interesting biochemicals are the secondary metabolites, as these compounds have an extensive 45 structural variety resulting in a broad spectrum of properties and activities and therefore can substitute 46 or extend the current markets. Academic and industrial interest in a specific type of secondary 47 metabolites is increasing exponentially, namely sophorolipids (SLs). Sophorolipids consist of a 48 hydrophilic sophorose moiety linked by a glycosidic binding to a fatty acid tail (figure 1). The 49 sophorose head can have up to two acetylation groups while the tail commonly consists of 16 to 20 50 carbon atoms and is saturated to polyunsaturated. SLs can be lactonised due to a condensation reaction between the fatty acid carboxyl group and the sophorose 4" hydroxyl group (figure 1A). A second 51 52 sophorose moiety can be linked to the fatty acid tail via an ester binding. The resulting molecules are 53 then referred to as bolaform sophorolipids (figure 1B). It should be noted that SLs are predominantly 54 produced in varying mixtures of different congeners, depending on culture conditions and producing 55 organisms (see section 2). As SLs are amphiphilic molecules consisting of a hydrophilic 'head' and 56 aliphatic 'tail', SL application studies and their commercialisation currently focus on their role as a 57 biosurfactant.

58 Figure 1: Chemical structures of sophorolipid congeners. R_1 and $R_2 = H$ or OAcl A) Lactonic SL

59 $(C_{18:1}, \omega - 1) B)$ Bolaform SL $(C_{18:1}, \omega - 1) C)$ Acidic SL $(C_{18:1}, \omega - 1) D)$ "branched" SL $(C_{22:0}, \omega - 10)$

The increasing (industrial) interest in SLs can be illustrated by the exponential increase in patents on SLs published from 2010 till now (figure 2A). To gain more insight in the interested industrial fields, an analysis of the top 10 patent classes according to the international patent classification (IPC) over time was performed. Per time period, the top 10 IPC classes were grouped in 64 six fields, four according to the applications they claim (biocide, medical, cosmetic or detergent 65 applications) and two regarding production methods (organic chemistry or industrial biotechnology) (figure 2B). Regarding production, the top 10 IPC classes are located mainly in the field of 66 67 fermentation processes, while for the applications cosmetics and detergents predominate. In the period of 2011-2015, IPC classes concerning biocide applications were positioned in the top 10 but they 68 dropped out in 2016-2019. As one patent can belong to multiple IPC classes and only the top 10 is 69 70 analysed, it should be noted that figure 2 only shows a trend of the most frequently occurring IPC 71 classes. Moreover, this analysis does not take in to account the impact on the sophorolipid 72 development per single patent. Hence, important fields can be neglected (*e.g.* genetic engineering).

73 Figure 2: Average annual counts were collected in the Lens patent database with the search term 74 "sophorolipid*" A) Average annual count of published patents per time period B) Average annual 75 count of top 10 IPC patent codes per time period. IPC codes classified per parent class: biocide application (A01N25/30, A01N43/16, A01P3/00), medical application (A61K31/70, A61K31/7016, 76 77 A61K31/7024, A61K31/739), cosmetic application (A61K8/00, A61K8/60, A61K8/73, A61Q19/00, 78 A61Q19/10, A61Q5/02), organic chemistry (C07H15/04, C07H15/06, C07H1510), detergent 79 application (C11D1/66, C11D11/00, C11D3/00, C11D3/20, C11D3/22, C11D3/30, C11D3/37, 80 *C11D3/386, C11D3/42), fermentation process (C12P19/12, C12P19/44, C12P7/62)* (Cambia, 2020)

81 Sophorolipids are industrially relevant molecules and are clearly the subject of a large number of 82 recent research papers and patent applications. However, an expanding field can suffer from success as 83 incorrect methodologies and the lack of a complete overview can propagate to persistent academic and 84 industrial misunderstandings and failures. Furthermore, the link between academic research and 85 industrial developments is often ignored or incorrect information on industrial developments and requirements is presented. Therefore, this review provides the reader a complete overview and critical 86 87 assessment of research and industrial development. Moreover, important aspects that are neglected in 88 previous sophorolipid concerning reviews are tackled in this work. More specifically, the self-89 assembly of sophorolipid molecules which is the main driver for its application potential and the 90 natural role of sophorolipids.

91 This work is structured to guide the expanding sophorolipid community towards efficient 92 research and commercialisation. To aid researchers, this review provides a critical assessment of 93 scientific techniques used in the field of SLs and proposes a standardisation for reporting. Both 94 academic and industrial stakeholders will benefit from the state-of-the art overviews on producing 95 micro-organisms and their respective SL production spectrum (section 1), unravelled biological 96 functions (section 2), advances in SL production process development (section 3), SL applications 97 (section 4), genetic engineering strategies (section 5) and insights on the industrial potential of SLs 98 and their impact on the bioeconomy (section 6).

99 2 Producing micro-organisms

Although otherwise reported in the past, all sophorolipid producing organisms are members of the
 Starmerella clade (genera *Starmerella*), except *Pseudohyphozyma bogoriensis*. Though closely
 related, except *P. bogoriensis*, these yeasts differ in SL production spectrum.

103 2.1 Acknowledged sophorolipid producers

104 The very first observation of SL production was reported by Gorin et al. (Gorin et al., 1961) in 105 1961 with the ascomycetous yeast *Starmerella apicola*, which was originally isolated from sow thistle 106 petals. This yeast was formerly known as *Torulopsis magnoliae*, *T. apicola* and *Candida apicola* 107 (Santos et al., 2018; Tulloch and Spencer, 1968) and produces a heterogeneous SL mixture which 108 mainly consists of lactonic SLs of which the mono- and non-acetylated forms are abundant, and which 109 contains minor amounts of the acidic congeners (Kurtzman et al., 2010). The (C_{18:1}) hydroxy fatty acid 110 group is mainly ω -1 linked to the sophorose head group (Price et al., 2012).

111 In 1968, Tulloch et al. first described the production of a specific type of acidic sophorolipids 112 by Candida bogoriensis, namely 13-[(2'-0-13-D-glucopyranosyl-8-n-glucopyranosyl)oxy] 113 docosanoic acid 6',6"-diacetate (figure 1D) (Tulloch et al., 1968). Later on, this yeasts' name was 114 altered to Rhodotorula bogoriensis (Nuñez et al., 2004), and recently it was changed again, to 115 Pseudohyphozyma bogoriensis (Wang et al., 2015). P. bogoriensis was first isolated from the leaf 116 surface of the Randia malleifera shrub in Indonesia. The SLs produced by P. bogoriensis contain 13-117 hydroxydocosanoic acid (13-OH- C_{22}) as the lipid moiety (Solaiman et al., 2015) and are also called 'branched C22 SLs'. Also, minor amounts of C24 branched SLs are present in the mixture (Ribeiro et 118 119 al., 2012a). Both the 'branched' structure and the length of the incorporated fatty acids is unique 120 among SL-producing organisms.

121 Starmerella bombicola (initially referred to as Torulopsis bombicola or Candida bombicola) 122 was first isolated from the nectar of a bumblebee in 1970 (Spencer et al., 1970). It became the best 123 known and the most intensively studied SL producing yeast species, due to its naturally high production titres (> 200 g·L⁻¹) (Davila et al., 1997; Gao et al., 2013; Van Bogaert et al., 2015; Zhang et 124 125 al., 2018) and high overall productivities (up to 3.7 g·L⁻¹·h⁻¹) (Gao et al., 2013). Indeed, S. bombicola 126 is the only SL producing yeast of which the (entire) SL production pathway has been elucidated (figure 5 and described in section 6) (Ciesielska et al., 2014; Saerens et al., 2010; K. M. Saerens et al., 127 128 2011b; K. M. J. Saerens et al., 2011c; Van Bogaert et al., 2013). Typically, SLs produced by S. 129 bombicola, but also by other strains of the Starmerella clade, contain a hydrophobic hydroxy fatty acid 130 moiety that is ω -1 hydroxystearate (C_{18:0}), ω/ω -1 hydroxyoleate (C_{18:1}) or hydroxylinoleate (C_{18:2}), but ω/ω -1 intermediates with 16 C-atoms (C_{16:0} and C_{16:1}) have also been detected (Ashby et al., 2008; 131 132 Tulloch et al., 1962). These hydroxy fatty acid moieties are linked to a sophorose moiety. The SLs 133 may be acetylated at the 6' and/or 6" positions of the sophorose moiety (Tulloch et al., 1967) and a

134 macrocyclic lactone structure may form between the 4" hydroxyl group of the sophorose molecule and 135 the carboxyl group of the hydroxy fatty acid moiety (Tulloch et al., 1967). In contrast to other species within the Starmerella clade, S. bombicola predominantly produces a di-acetylated C_{18:1} lactone form 136 137 in addition to a minor fraction of the free acid form, but this ratio is susceptible to variation based on culture conditions (see below). Although the SLs of S. bombicola mainly consist of a C_{18:1} hydroxy 138 fatty acid, (sub)terminally (ω -1) linked to a sophorose molecule, a clear structural diversity in the 139 140 produced SL mixtures has been demonstrated. Kurtzman et al. (2010) proved this to be the case for the 141 whole Starmerella clade.

In 1987, another SL producing yeast strain was reported, namely *Starmerella floricola* (formerly known as *Candida floricola*), which was isolated from dandelion and azalea flowers (Tokuoka et al., 1987). In 2010, Imura et al. (2010) described its ability to produce SLs. These SLs are predominantly di-acetylated $C_{18:1}$ acidic SLs. This was confirmed in recent papers by Konishi et al. (2017, 2018), as new *S. floricola* isolates were reported to primarily produce ω -1 $C_{18:1}$ di-acetylated acidic SLs at a concentration of approximately 36.1 g·L⁻¹ and with a productivity of 0.21 g·L⁻¹·h⁻¹ in shake flask experiments when glucose and oleic acid were fed simultaneously.

149 Starmerella batistae (formerly known as Candida batistae) was first described in 1999. It was 150 isolated from larval provisions, larvae, and pupae of the solitary bees Diadasina distincta and Ptilotrix 151 plumata (Apidae) in Minas Gerais, Brazil (Rosa et al., 1999). The SLs produced by S. batistae consist 152 of 75% ω -hydroxy fatty acids (mostly C_{18:1} and to a very small extent some C_{18:0} and C_{18:2} congeners), which is different from the SLs produced by S. bombicola, consisting of 65% - 72% (ω -1)-hydroxy 153 154 fatty acids (Konishi et al., 2008; Inge N A Van Bogaert et al., 2009). Also, S. batistae typically 155 produces more than 60% acidic SLs, in contrast to S. bombicola, which produces more than 65% lactonic SLs. It can thus be concluded that S. batistae primarily produces $C_{18:1}$ terminally linked (ω) 156 157 diacetylated acidic SLs (Konishi et al., 2008).

158 In 2010, Kurtzman et al. (2010) evaluated several strains of the Starmerella clade for SL 159 production, including S. stellata, S. riodocensis and Candida. sp. Y-27208, later identified as 160 Starmerella kuoi (Kurtzman, 2012). S. stellata is a common isolate from grape must and can be used 161 in a co-fermentation with S. cerevisiae for wine production (Soden et al., 2000). S. riodocensis was 162 isolated from pollen-nectar provisions, larvae and faecal pellets of Megachile sp. bees (Pimentel et al., 163 2005), whereas S. kuoi was isolated from concentrated grape juice in Cape Province, South Africa 164 (Kurtzman, 2012). These three strains produce very little lactonised SLs compared to S. bombicola 165 and S. apicola. The major SL produced by these three species is the di-acetylated acidic $C_{18:1}$ congener, along with smaller amounts of mono- and non-acetylated acidic C18:1 SLs (Kurtzman et al., 166 167 2010; Kurtzman et al., 2011; Price et al., 2012). S. kuoi and S. riodocensis produce ω linked SLs, 168 while for *S. stellata* it was not specified whether the hydroxy fatty acid was ω or ω -1 linked to the 169 sophorose molecule.

170 2.2 Controversial sophorolipid producers

171 Besides the eight SL producing species mentioned above, which are thoroughly identified and 172 for which the structure of the SLs they produce is thoroughly studied, also some other, more doubtful 173 reports of SL producers were reported, which are critically discussed below.

174 In 2006, Chen et al. first reported SL-production by the yeast Wickerhamiella domercajae, 175 which was isolated from oil containing wastewater (not further defined) (Chen et al., 2006). 176 Subsequently, the same group investigated the influence of several nitrogen (N) sources on growth and 177 SL production and composition (Ma et al., 2012, 2011). The formation of acidic SLs was stimulated 178 when inorganic N-sources such as ammonium sulphate were used, whereas the use of organic N-179 sources promoted the formation of lactonic SLs. Later, they also investigated the influence of metal ions on SL production: addition of Mg²⁺ ions promoted the production of lactonic SLs, whereas Fe²⁺ 180 ions promoted the production of acidic SLs (Chen et al., 2014). A patent application for this selective 181 182 production of either acidic either lactonic SLs was filed in 2014 (Chen and Zhang, 2014; Song, 2013). 183 Several other papers were published about the effects of different factors on the SL production with 184 this species (Li et al., 2013, 2012; Liu et al., 2016). However, in the beginning of 2016, strain W. 185 domercqiae Y2A CGMCC 3798, reported in the articles and patent, was reclassified as S. bombicola 186 based on sequence analysis, meaning the conclusions apply to S. bombicola instead of W. domercqiae 187 (Li et al., 2016). This clearly underlines the importance of thorough strain characterisation.

SL production was reported in 2008 for *Wickerhamomyces anomalus*, formerly known as *Pichia anomala* PY1 (Punrata et al., 2020; Thaniyavarn et al., 2008). LC-MS analysis of the product revealed molar mass peaks that could be correlated to $C_{20:0}$ and $C_{18:1}$ SLs. Yet, Souza et al. (2017) concluded from NMR analysis that the molecules produced by this strain cannot contain a sophorose moiety. However, a full identification was not accomplished. Nevertheless, *W. anomalus* might not produce SLs, since the molecules claimed to be SLs might not contain a sophorose moiety, as described by Souza et al. (2017).

195 Candida rugosa and Rhodotorula mucilaginosa, isolated from hydrocarbon contaminated 196 sites, were shown to produce biosurfactants in the presence of 2% (v/v) diesel as sole carbon- and 197 energy source (Chandran and Das, 2011). It was claimed that C. rugosa produces mono-acetylated 198 lactonic $C_{18:1}$ SLs and that R. mucilaginosa produces di-acetylated acidic $C_{18:1}$ SLs. It was not 199 specified whether the hydroxy fatty acid chains are coupled via the ω or the ω -1 position. However, 200 the proposed structures should be reconsidered as the reported mass to charge ratios of m/z 728 and 201 m/z 668 do not correspond to those of the C_{18:1} SLs. Though the reported molar masses might be 202 consistent with sodium adducts of C_{18:1} congeners (Ribeiro et al., 2012b), this should be checked 203 before conclusions can be drawn. In addition, the identification of these two yeasts is doubtful, as it 204 was done using a Vitek yeast card reader, which is not particularly accurate. Chandran and Das (2012) 205 described SL production by Candida tropicalis in 2012. The yeast was isolated from contaminated soil 206 in India and reported to produce SLs in the presence of diesel oil, making it an interesting and efficient diesel oil degrader. However, the same remarks as to their study on C. rugosa and R. mucilaginosa can 207 be made. Strain identification is doubtful and though the reported mass to charge ratio m/z of 668 208 could correspond to the sodium adduct of lactonic C_{18:1} SL (Ribeiro et al., 2012b), they claim it 209 210 corresponds to $C_{20:4}$ mono-acetylated lactonic SLs, which is doubtful. Moreover, the reported titre and productivity only amount to 1 g·L⁻¹ and 0.003 g·L⁻¹·h⁻¹, respectively, rendering this strain not 211 212 particularly interesting for SL production at industrial scale.

213 The production of SLs by Cyberlindnera samutprakarnensis was first reported in 2013 214 (Poomtien et al., 2013). The strain was isolated from cosmetic industrial waste in Thailand. In the presence of 2% glucose and 2% palm oil it produced 1.89 g·L⁻¹ crude SLs with a mean volumetric 215 productivity of 0.0113 g·L⁻¹·h⁻¹ at shake flask level. The authors claimed production of non-acetylated 216 217 lactonic ω-1 C_{18:0} SL and di-acetylated lactonic ω-1 C_{16:0} SLs linked to mass over charge ratios of 574 218 and 662 in a MALDI-TOF-MS analysis. However, a mass over charge ratio of 574 does not 219 correspond to non-acetylated lactonic C_{18:0} SLs (m/z 606.3604 [M-H]), so what is actually produced should be further investigated. It could be suggested that they are $C_{16:2}$ non-acetylated lactonic SLs. 220 Furthermore, the chromatogram shows a mass of 664 instead of 662. The m/z of 664 might correspond 221 222 to C_{18:1} mono-acetylated acidic SLs instead of the claimed di-acetylated lactonic C_{16:0} SLs.

223 *Candida albicans* 0-13-1 was described for the first time as a SL-producing yeast in 2012 224 (Yang et al., 2012). A high titre of 108 g·L⁻¹ was reported. In the reported mixture mostly $C_{18:1}$ di-225 acetylated lactonic SLs were detected, although also the $C_{18:2}$ and $C_{18:0}$ variants were found. Later, the 226 same research group published a new bioreactor design, enabling integrated SL production using *C*. 227 *albicans* 0-13-1 (Zhang et al., 2018). However, as there are no other reports claiming SL production 228 by *C. albicans*, it is possible that these authors are actually dealing with another strain as it was in 229 neither of the two publications mentioned how the producing strain was identified.

230 In 2014, Basak et al. found that Cryptococcus sp. VITGBN2 is a potent producer of SLs in 231 mineral salt media containing vegetable oil as additional carbon source to glucose. The chemical 232 structure of the purified biosurfactant was proposed to be di-acetylated acidic $C_{18:1}$ SL. It was not 233 mentioned whether the $C_{18:1}$ chain is ω or ω -1 linked to the sophorose head. This study lacks thorough 234 structure identification analysis by e.g. NMR or MS fragmentation. For example, the MW of the 235 extracted biosurfactant is ambiguous as a m/z value of 706 is reported while the unionised 236 monoisotopic mass of a di-acetylated acidic $C_{18:1}$ SL is 706.3776 Da. Though the information of the 237 ionisation mode is lacking, it is highly unlikely that this biosurfactant is a di-acetylated acidic $C_{18:1}$ SL.

238 Sen et. al. (2017) reported on SL production in a novel yeast strain, Rhodotorula babjevae 239 YS3, which was isolated from an agricultural field in Assam, Northeast India. A SL titre and a mean volumetric productivity of 19.0 g·L⁻¹ and 0.26 g·L⁻¹·h⁻¹ were observed, respectively. The production 240 performance might be further increased by optimisation of the process parameters. The product was 241 242 characterised as a heterogeneous SL product containing lactonic and acidic congeners after analysis through TLC, FTIR and LC-MS. The produced mixture was claimed to contain non-acetylated acidic 243 C11:0 and C13:1 SLs, as well as non-acetylated lactonic C13:1, C15:3, C16:0, C18:2 SL and di-acetylated 244 245 lactonic $C_{18:0}$ SLs (Sen et al., 2017). However, these conclusions should be treated very critically, as a 246 recent publication of another group claims production of extracellular polyol esters of fatty acids 247 (PEFA) by this microorganism R. babjevae. (Garay et al., 2017), which they also concluded earlier 248 (Cajka et al., 2016). In the latter publication, Garay et al. claim that the masses of the polar functions 249 of the studied molecules point towards sugar alcohols (polyols) instead of hexoses, which were 250 observed in the SL control they used. It is thus doubtful that this species is a SL-producer.

Recently, a new SL-producing microorganism *Lachancea thermotolerans* BBMCZ7FA20 was isolated from the gut of honeybee, *Apis melifera* (Mousavi et al., 2015). The authors report the production of both acidic and lactonic SLs, but their conclusions are based solely on FTIR analysis. Production titres went up to 24 g·L⁻¹ in a shake flask experiment when adding 100 g·L⁻¹ glucose and 100 g·L⁻¹ canola oil as substrates; however, more detailed analyses are vital in order to unequivocally confirm the identity of these SLs.

257 Specifications on the products produced by all above mentioned organisms are given in table 258 1. It is clear that several alleged SL producing organisms were doubtfully identified, or the structural 259 analysis of the SLs was not sufficiently in depth, thus, most likely not al claimed SL producers are actual SL producers. This illustrates that both proper strain identification and proper SL structure 260 261 identification is of uttermost importance (Claus and Van Bogaert, 2017). "In the perspective of 262 stringent strain identification, we recommend the use of PCR amplification of reference sequences 263 followed by DNA sequencing and subsequent use of Basic Local Alignment Search Tools (BLAST) to 264 nucleotide sequence databases. Hitherto, all described sophorolipid producers are yeast species and therefore, we recommend the analysis of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region in the 18S 265 266 subunit of ribosomal RNA as reference sequence for species identification. However, when strain identification is aimed, we recommend whole genome sequencing and subsequent sequence alignment 267 268 (Twigg et al., 2020). Our recommendations for standardisation of sophorolipid structure identification are described in section 4." 269

Table 1: Overview of fully acknowledged SL producers and produced SL variants. DiAc = diacetylated, MAc = mono-acetylated, NAc = non-acetylated, ID = identification; Purple hexagon =

272 glucose, red filled circle = COOH, red open circle = COO, green line = glycosidic bound (cfr. figure

5). Left schematic represents C18:1 acidic sophorolipids, right schematic represents C18:1 lactonic sophorolipids. a: C18:0, Sls with C16:0 and C16:1 backbones, b: small amounts of SLs with C18:0 and C18:2, c: Mac and DiAc C22:0 hydroxylated at C13. Black square = acknowledged, X = not acknowledged, $\pm =$ more information is necessary.

277 **3 Natural role(s)**

Many yeasts of the *Starmerella* clade are associated with (bumble)bees or with substrates that are often visited by (bumble)bees. It is thus believed that there is a mutually beneficial relationship between (bumble)bees and various yeast species from the *Starmerella* clade (Rosa et al., 2003). Although many papers and patents deal with production and application of sophorolipids and their producing microorganisms, the natural role of these secondary metabolites for their natural producers remains elusive. Why do these yeasts, found in a very specific niche, produce these non-essential secondary metabolites?

285 The fact that SLs are secondary metabolites indicates they do not fulfil (an) essential 286 function(s) in cell growth and/or -maintenance, but that their presence favours the producing organism 287 in specific conditions, resulting in an evolutionary benefit which sustains production (Hommel and 288 Ratledge, 1993). The elucidation of the natural role(s) of SLs thus leads back to the question about the 289 evolutionary benefit of these molecules for the producing organism. The benefits associated with the 290 production of other biosurfactants are as diverse as the comprising chemical structures and the 291 microorganisms producing them: e.g. the cyclic lipopeptide surfactin shows antimicrobial properties 292 and is believed to be involved in the sporulation of *B. subtilis*; rhamnolipids are linked to the virulence 293 of *P. aeruginosa* by playing a role in biofilm formation and cell motility; and flocculosin, produced by 294 the fungus P. flocculosa, was postulated to serve as a storage compound besides being an antifungal 295 agent (Abdel-Mawgoud et al., 2010; Grossman, 1995; Kitamoto et al., 2002; Mimee et al., 2009; Ron 296 and Rosenberg, 2001; Van Hamme et al., 2006).

For SLs in particular, the hypotheses that have been postulated since their discovery 60 years ago can be summarised in five theories, but unambiguously supporting evidence is sparse (Roberto De Oliveira et al., 2014; Van Bogaert et al., 2007): (1) SLs improve the uptake of hydrophobic substrates; (2) SL production constitutes an overflow metabolism; (3) SLs exert antimicrobial activity, thereby inhibiting the growth of competing microorganisms; (4) SL production is a protection mechanism against high osmotic pressure in their natural environment; and (5) SLs serve as an extracellular storage compound for carbon and energy.

All but one SL producing organisms are members of the *Starmerella* clade: *S. apicola*, *S. bombicola*, *S. floricola*, *S. batistae*, *S. stellata*, *S. riodocensis* and *S. kuoi* (Konishi et al., 2016;
Kurtzman et al., 2010). In nature, these ascomycetous yeasts are found, as some names suggest, in

307 close relation with (bumble)bees and flowers, environments rich in sugars (e.g. honey and nectar) and 308 lipids (e.g. beeswax and plant oils) (De Graeve et al., 2018; Rosa et al., 2003). Most research papers 309 that deal with the elucidation of the natural role of SLs are focussing on S. bombicola, as it is the most 310 investigated SL producing organism. However, it can be assumed that the SLs produced by the other 311 species of the *Starmerella* clade have similar natural functions, due to a shared ecological niche. The exception to the rule is the basidiomycete P. bogoriensis - not only aberrant in its produced SL 312 structures, but also in phylogeny and habitat. Although only being isolated twice (from the leaf 313 314 surfaces of an unidentified Schefflera species and Randia malleifera in the same botanical garden in 315 Bogor, Indonesia), it is very likely that the natural habitat of P. bogoriensis, presumably the 316 phylloplane (the leaf surface of a plant, an oligotrophic environment characterised by the presence of 317 lipids in the cuticle), is different from that of the Starmerella species. This is confirmed by the fact that 318 basidiomycetes present in nectar samples were unable to reproduce and were believed to be 319 occasionally present there due to carry-over from the phylloplane (Brysch-Herzberg, 2004; Deinema, 320 1961; Lindow and Brandl, 2003; Ruinen, 1963). Accordingly, the SLs produced by P. bogoriensis 321 might have a (slightly) different natural function.

322 3.1 Uptake of hydrophobic substrates

323 The oldest hypothesis on the natural role of SLs dates back to 1982 (Ito and Inoue, 1982). It 324 presumed the involvement of SLs in the uptake of water-insoluble alkanes, because the addition of *e.g.* octadecane to the S. bombicola culture medium 'induced' SL production. For hexadecane improved 325 326 growth was observed when a wildtype SL mixture (termed 'safflower-SL') was added to the culture 327 medium, seemingly by shortening the lag phase with several days (roughly estimated from about 8 to 328 2 days). Later on, it turned out that S. bombicola not only produces SLs in the presence of 329 hydrophobic substrates, but also when grown solely on glucose as C-source (Hommel et al., 1994). 330 Hence, if the natural function of SLs is enhancing the uptake of hydrophobic substrates, the yeast 331 would waste energy in unrequired SL production in the absence of alkanes. On top, the previously 332 reported shortened lag phase for growth on hexadecane could not be repeated by De Clercq et al. 333 (2021); on the contrary: growth on hexadecane declined for both the wildtype and the $\Delta cyp52M1$ 334 strain (non SL producing S. bombicola strain) when SLs were supplemented to medium with hexadecane as sole carbon source (De Clercq et al., 2021). On the other hand, the addition of SLs to 335 336 $\Delta cyp52M1$ cultures promoted growth (significantly increased growth rates compared to $\Delta cyp52M1$ 337 cultures without SLs addition) on triglycerides (rapeseed oil), which thus indicates that SLs could 338 confer their producing microorganism with a competitive benefit as TGAs are hydrophobic substrates 339 that are present in the natural habitat of *S. bombicola* (De Clercq et al., 2021).

Aside from improving growth, the presence of SLs could also enhance the uptake of hydrophobic substrates like triglycerides, which can be incorporated as fatty acids in newly produced SLs and hence reinforcing its own SL production as combined uptake of hydrophilic and hydrophobic 343 substrates results in more efficient SL production. With SLs having additional benefits as described 344 below thus resulting in a competitive advantage. Although many researchers have shown that SLs have emulsifying/solubilising properties, which can enhance the contact of the cells with water-345 insoluble hydrophobic substrates, the fact that S. bombicola produces SLs in the absence of 346 347 hydrophobic substrates, mainly in the stationary phase and in rather high concentrations, indicates that improved uptake of hydrophobic substrates for growth may not be the main natural role of SLs, which 348 might be different for P. bogoriensis. However, the progeny of SL producing cells (which typically 349 350 arise in the neighbourhood of the original SL producing cells) could benefit from the SLs produced 351 and secreted in the extracellular space by their mother cells i.e. allowing them to grow faster and 352 produce SLs more efficiently on hydrophobic substrates compared to the progeny of non-SL 353 producing cells. This could thus give rise to an evolutionary benefit for SL producing cells over non-354 SL producing cells.

355 3.2 Overflow metabolism

356 A second posed natural role is that SL production constitutes an overflow metabolism in order 357 to regulate the intracellular energy level and redox balances. Davila et al. (1997) proposed this theory 358 to clarify the observation that SLs are excreted upon nitrogen starvation. However, overflow 359 metabolism relates to a deficit in the cofactor NAD⁺, caused by excessive NADH formation during 360 glycolysis in fast growing cells, and results to incomplete oxidation of substrates (e.g. glucose), even in the presence of oxygen (Szenk et al., 2017). To restore the NAD cofactor balance, overflow 361 362 metabolites such as lactate, acetate and ethanol are excreted. Several reasons can be alleged why SL 363 production does not fit with this hypothesis. First of all, SLs are typically produced in the stationary phase where the growth rate declines and secondly, the overall biosynthesis reaction of SLs generates 364 365 NADH instead of recycling it back to NAD+ (see biosynthetic reaction 1, which is concurrent with the 366 calculations of Linton as 1 NADPH corresponds to 4 ATP (Linton, 1991)). When generalising the 367 trigger of overflow metabolism to overall redox balances, the oxidation of NADPH to NADP+ during (sub)terminal hydroxylation of the fatty acid (the first step in the SL pathway, see figure 5) could help 368 369 to restore a deficit in NADP+. However, NADP+ can easily be recovered via reactions in the central 370 metabolism with formation of mannitol (NADP+ recycling) - together with ethanol and glycerol 371 (NAD+ recycling) - the true end product(s) of the overflow metabolism in S. bombicola (Gonçalves et 372 al., 2018).

373 Biosynthetic reaction 1: overall reaction of the formation of di-acetylated acidic SLs ($C_{18:1}$) starting

374 from glucose and fatty acid $(C_{18:1})$:

fatty acid + O_2 + NADPH + 3 glucose + 2 ATP + 4 NAD⁺

 \rightarrow diacetylated acidic SL + NADP⁺ + 4 NADH + 2 ADP + 2 CO₂ + 3 H₂O

375

376 3.3 Antimicrobial activity

377 In a third hypothesis, the natural role of SLs is linked to their antimicrobial activity, inhibiting 378 the growth of microorganisms occupying the same ecological niche as the producing organisms. Antimicrobial activity was first reported for lactonic SLs of S. bombicola, inhibiting growth of some 379 380 alkane utilising yeasts such as Y. lipolytica and C. albicans (Ito et al., 1980). Other studies 381 substantiated this inhibiting effect not only for yeasts, but also for bacteria (e.g. B. subtilis and P. aeruginosa) and fungi (e.g. U. maydis) (De Clercq et al., 2021; Díaz De Rienzo et al., 2016; Gross and 382 383 Shah, 2003; Haque et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2002; Lydon et al., 2017; Tran, 2012). More recently, also 384 antifungal activity of C₂₂ SLs of *P. bogoriensis* was observed for the first time, in a plate assay with *P.* 385 acnes (Solaiman et al., 2015). Important to note is that these antimicrobial experiments were performed with eye on application potential of SLs and therefore, the examined strains lack relevance 386 387 with the ecological niche of SL producing strains. Nevertheless, SLs indeed exert antimicrobial 388 activity by changing or rupturing cellular membranes through similar effects exerted by detergents and 389 hence target a fundamental and universal prerequisite for survival of cells (Akemi et al., 2018). In this 390 way, the microorganisms populating the natural habitat are likely also inhibited by the presence of 391 SLs, giving the SL producing microorganism a competitive advantage and thus resulting in a niche 392 protection role of SLs.

393 3.4 *Protection against osmotic pressure*

394 A theory far less examined, stated by Hommel et al. (1994), proposes that SLs are produced as an adaptation to osmotic stress, arising from the prevalence of sugars in honey and nectar, present in 395 396 the natural habitat of SL producing microorganisms (with exception of *P. bogoriensis*). Hommel *et al.* 397 noted parallels in the biosynthesis of the sophorose moiety of SLs in S. apicola and trehalose synthesis in S. cerevisiae (Hommel et al., 1994). The latter is known to act as a compatible solute under 398 399 (osmotic) stress conditions (Babazadeh et al., 2017). Yet, compatible solutes are defined as highly 400 water soluble and low molecular weight molecules that accumulate to high intracellular 401 concentrations; three conditions that are not fulfilled for SLs (Sleator and Hill, 2002). Inverting this 402 rationale on the other hand, could theoretically imply a relief of osmotic stress: the osmotically active, 403 small sugars outside the cell are converted into higher molecular and less soluble SLs, thereby 404 alleviating the osmotic pressure on the microbial cell. If this process represents the natural function of 405 SLs, it should entail enhanced growth in high sugar concentrations of a strain capable of making SLs 406 compared to a SL deficient strain (e.g. $\Delta cyp52M1$ (Van Bogaert et al., 2013) or $\Delta ugtA1$ (K. M. J. 407 Saerens et al., 2011c)). However, no significant differences in growth (neither in lag, µmax or 408 maximal cell concentration) of the wild type SL producing strain compared to the mutant $\Delta cyp52M1$ 409 non-SL producing S. bombicola strain were observed when cultured (under laboratory conditions) on 410 glucose or fructose concentrations up to $600 \text{ g} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ (De Clercq et al., 2021).

411 3.5 *Exclusive storage compound*

412 A fifth and last hypothesis is that SLs serve as an extracellular storage compound of carbon 413 and energy. This was also first stated by Hommel et al., (1994) as trehalose not only functions as a 414 compatible solute but can also act as a storage compound for S. cerevisiae (Hommel et al., 1994; Jules 415 et al., 2008). This implies that S. bombicola can use its own SLs as carbon source, as was also already 416 suggested by Garcia-Ochoa et al. (1996), who filed a patent claiming SLs were degraded from 5 to 1 g.L⁻¹ when used as sole carbon source, followed by the formation of sophorose (Garcia-Ochoa and 417 Casas, 1996). Although later on some other reports stated that S. bombicola cannot dissimilate SLs 418 419 (Hu, 2000; Lo and Ju, 2009), Li et al. (2016) recently identified a monooxygenase enzyme MoA that 420 could be involved in the metabolism of acidic SLs. They found specificity of MoA towards C_{18:2} di-421 acetylated acidic SLs, as overexpression or deletion of moA only affected the peak area of that 422 compound, with a decrease or increase, respectively. Unfortunately, they used UV absorbance as a 423 detection method, thereby missing the effect of MoA on SLs comprised of saturated fatty acids. The 424 activity and specificity of MoA was confirmed by a heterologous enzyme test with purified MoA enzyme and C_{18:2} di-acetylated acidic SLs as substrate (no activity was found towards C_{18:1} di-425 426 acetylated acidic SLs or C_{18:2} di-acetylated lactonic SLs). The authors believe MoA is involved in the 427 catabolism of SLs as they claim the detection of (acetylated) sophorose and hydroxylated fatty acids in 428 the final reaction mixture of the MoA enzyme with C_{18:2} di-acetylated acidic SLs. The latter results 429 need thorough reinvestigation as the data show some inconsistencies (varying mass differences for loss of water, no standards included in the analyses, no negative control as reference, ...). Still, to 430 431 invigorate the hypothesis that S. bombicola is able to catabolise its own produced SLs, our research 432 group found that SLs disappear from the medium during prolonged incubation upon starvation. It was shown that the predominantly produced di-acetylated lactonic SLs are 1. deacetylated and 2. that ring 433 434 opening occurred, resulting in the extracellular accumulation of non-acetylated acidic SLs. Further 435 experimental evidence indicates subsequent uptake of non-acetylated acidic SLs by the yeast cells and further intracellular degradation thereof into glucose and hydroxylated fatty acids. It was also proven 436 437 that these reactions are not due to 'spontaneous' hydrolysis, but that certain yet unidentified extracellular and intracellular enzymes of S. bombicola are responsible for the observed conversions. 438 439 This mechanism allowed yeast cells to remain viable in submerged 'starved' conditions for over 3 440 months. Furthermore, our lab confirmed that SLs can also sustain growth when used as sole carbon 441 source (De Clercq et al., 2021).

The theory of SLs as extracellular storage compound can also hold for *P. bogoriensis*, as the disappearance of its branched SLs from old culture medium was already reported in 1961 (Deinema, 1961). In agreement with our findings, gradual disappearance of di-acetylated SLs occurred after 3 or 6 days of cultivation of *P. bogoriensis* and simultaneous appearance of mono- and non-acetylated derivatives was observed (Esders and Light, 1972; Ribeiro et al., 2012a). An acetylesterase capable of performing these deacetylation reactions was identified some years later by Bucholtz *et al.* (Bucholtz and Light, 1976). They presumed a preference for initial deacetylation of the outer 6" C of sophorose,
which was also noted in more recent research, but further elucidation of the degradation mechanism of
SLs in *P. bogoriensis* is still lacking (Bucholtz and Light, 1976; Solaiman et al., 2015).

451 3.6 Sophorolipids: an exclusive storage compound with antimicrobial properties

452 To summarise, the most coherent and proven theory on the main natural function of SLs is that 453 they encompass a dual function resulting in an evolutionary benefit to competing microorganisms, *i.e.* 454 as extracellular storage compound with inherent antimicrobial activity. SLs do not protect the 455 microorganism in unfavourable conditions (cfr. overflow metabolism and osmotic pressure) and are 456 not primarily produced to enhance growth on hydrophobic substrates, but SLs aid the producing 457 organism to compete against other microorganisms in the ecological niche (cfr. antimicrobial activity and storage compound). Valuable and easily degradable carbon sources, such as sugars and/or fatty 458 459 acids, are claimed by converting them into more inert compounds: SLs. By that, microorganisms 460 create a 'personal hoard' that can be addressed under starvation conditions while minimising nutrient 461 competition with other microorganisms. For Starmerella species, these starvation conditions could 462 arise during the hibernation of bumblebee queens, as was speculated by De Clercq et al. (2021). By 463 catabolising the previously produced and exclusive SLs, the yeast can keep up its cell numbers, 464 entailing a benefit towards other bumblebee associated microorganisms. Additionally, the 465 antimicrobial activity of SLs could pertain to a possible mutualistic relation between (bumble)bees and 466 SL producing yeasts by inhibiting pathogens.

Figure 3. Overview of the confirmed (in green), refuted (in red) and precarious (in orange) theories
on the physiological role of sophorolipids for S. bombicola. In nature, this yeast can be found in close
association with flowers and (bumble)bees. The prevailing theories aroused from environmental
factors characterising/present in this habitat: high sugar concentrations, a wide variety of
hydrophobic substrates and the presence of other microorganisms (De Clercq et al., 2021).

472 4 Advances in production process optimisation

Apart from being produced by Starmerella in its natural environment, SLs are also produced in 473 474 bioreactors to obtain them as industrially interesting compounds. There is a large abundance of 475 research describing methods towards increasing sophorolipid titres and productivities in submerged 476 bioprocesses. Prior to reviewing the published research regarding this topic, we would like to stress 477 that it is very difficult to compare research outcomes because multiple parameters typically differ 478 between manuscripts and in the authors long-lasting experience, small differences in parameters can 479 make a dramatic difference in production outcomes (productivity/titre related, but also on the 480 distribution of the different congeners). Moreover, the lack of standardisation of the declared 481 production parameters and erroneous quantification and qualification results of the produced SLs often 482 gives rise to more questions than answers.

483 Specifically, as a first issue we would like to address terminology, typical parameters to 484 measure and compare production process outcomes are amounts (g), endpoint titre ($g\cdot L^{-1}$), substrate yield $(g_{SL} \cdot g_{substrate}^{-1})$, productivity $(g \cdot h^{-1})$ and volumetric productivity $(g \cdot L^{-1} \cdot h^{-1})$. Some research articles 485 solely report an endpoint titre. Yet, mean volumetric productivities and substrate yields can easily be 486 487 calculated and hence should be declared in addition to the endpoint titre. A high production titre obtained in a very lengthy process for example will be a lot less industrially relevant compared to a 488 489 lower titre obtained in a short process time. Furthermore, 'titre' or 'concentration' is often referred to 490 as 'yield', which should be avoided. Standardisation in the terminology will allow more 491 straightforward comparison between production processes.

492 A second issue is the use of erroneous quantification techniques, as also critically reviewed 493 recently by Twigg et al. (2020). Crude techniques like gravimetrical or colorimetric methods are often 494 used (Hans-J. Daniel et al., 1998; Hans-Joachim Daniel et al., 1998; Pekin et al., 2005), but result in an 495 overestimation of produced SLs. The so-called gravimetrical methods consist of heating the 496 bioprocess broth, resulting in gravimetrical sedimentation of the heavy SL containing phase, followed 497 by collection and determination of the weight of this phase. However, this crude, viscous SL product 498 typically still contains between 40 to 60 % residual water and other impurities such as fatty acids, 499 salts, residual sugar, glycerol etc. (Roelants et al., 2019), giving rise to a significant overestimation of the SL titres (calculating back to the broth volume). A variation consists of solvent extraction followed 500 501 by evaporation, which suffers from similar bottlenecks, although often the error will be smaller. In the 502 colorimetric methods, anthrone reacts with carbohydrates, which results in a green product with an 503 absorption maximum at 630 nm. Hence, a rough SL titre estimate can be made by calculating back the 504 obtained carbohydrate value to glucose molecules present in SL molecules, which requires the 505 researchers to make an 'educated guess' of the mean molecular weight of the produced SLs as they are 506 -as mentioned- produced as a mixture. The 'choice' of the molecular weight of SLs in this calculation 507 gives rise to variations in the deduced titre of up to 17 %. Moreover, any residual carbohydrates/sugars 508 (e.g. glucose used as a substrate) in the sample should be considered and should be measured 509 independently and subtracted from the detected 'carbohydrates' (de Bruyn et al., 1968). Such methods 510 can be used for screening purposes but should be avoided to report titres in further scaled-up processes 511 (shake flask and bioreactor scale). Other methods for quantification are more advanced analytical methods such as (U)HPLC-UV, (U)HPLC-ELSD, GC-MS, (U)HPLC-MS^N, ESI-MSⁿ, MALDI-TOF 512 513 and NMR; but the limitation there is that quite a lot of effort is required to develop a solid and efficient 514 quantification method. Smyth et al. (2014) published standard methods for purification, identification 515 and quantification of glycolipids, which should definitely be considered in future reporting. An 516 adequate method for SL analysis and quantification is the use of (U)HPLC-ELSD in combination with 517 pure standards (>98%). A drawback of this method is that pure standards are not commercially 518 available and should thus be made in-house. Each quantitative SL analysis should be accompanied

519 with a qualitative analysis *e.g.* using MS and/or NMR analysis. This is necessary because some SL 520 congeners share retention times. NMR or MSⁿ analysis is also required to thoroughly characterise the 521 glycolipid mixture produced by newly developed or -discovered SL production strains.

522 The abovementioned aspects should be considered when performing and reporting on process 523 optimisation efforts. Process development typically consists of three interconnected modules: 524 medium- and substrate- optimisation, bioreactor- and downstream processing development.

525 4.1 Medium- and substrate development and -optimisation

526 Medium development and -optimisation are of utmost importance when developing novel 527 bioprocesses. The thorough investigation thereof for SLs was often overlooked in the past as the 528 evaluation of different substrates and medium components at varying concentrations and the examination of potential interactions requires many replicates. To decrease labour intensity, a number 529 530 of 'high' throughput screening methods based on deep well plates have been described. Van Renterghem et al., (2019) evaluated the effect of different yeast extracts on SL production with an 531 optimised deep-well method. High throughput screening was combined with Cu(OH)2 and I2 assisted 532 533 detection of, respectively, carbohydrates and sophorolipids (Lin et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2019). 534 Though such colorimetric methods can be used in combination with a (fractional) factorial or centroid 535 experimental design to perform a cost-effective screening (Jiménez-Peñalver et al., 2018, 2016; 536 Ribeiro et al., 2012b; Rispoli et al., 2010), an accurate final quantification and qualification is still 537 necessary, as discussed above. It is very important to validate a high throughput screening method, *i.e.* 538 it should simulate a larger scale set up (*i.e.* bioreactor scale) allowing the generation of results relevant 539 towards upscaling.

As mentioned above, SLs are secondary metabolites, which indicates that the production onset occurs when cells switch from the growth phase to the stationary phase. Therefore, a distinction should be made between base 'batch' medium and fed-batch substrates. The former is optimised for growth and stimulate production onset while the latter is fed to optimise SL production in the stationary phase.

545 4.1.1 Base 'batch' medium for biomass generation and SL production onset

Though SL production is almost exclusively occurring in the stationary phase, increased biomass levels give rise to increased SL productivity (until a plateau is reached (Gao et al., 2013)). A costefficient growth phase is favoured, as short as possible and resulting in increased biomass at the start of the stationary phase (Ciesielska et al., 2013; Gao et al., 2013). Both scenarios can be accomplished by tailoring the batch medium components.

The transition from growth to stationary phase is usually triggered by a limitation of nitrogen and/or phosphorous resources in combination with an excess of carbon, more specifically, a high C/N ratio (Albrecht et al., 1996; Davila et al., 1992). This limitation does not equal an absence, as SL
production occurs while nitrogen and phosphorous are still present in sufficient amounts (Ciesielska et
al., 2013). Nitrogen limitation remains the most preferred strategy to trigger the stationary phase.

556 The nitrogen source and its relative concentration is therefore highly important as it will 557 greatly influence the efficiency of the growth phase. Although the Starmerella clade is known for its 558 limited range of nitrogen sources that can be assimilated (Gonçalves et al., 2020; Shen et al., 2018), 559 several were evaluated: NH₄Cl (Lang et al., 2000), urea (Vedaraman and Venkatesh, 2010), yeast 560 extract (YE) (Casas and Garcia-Ochoa, 1999), NaNO₃ (Konishi et al., 2015), NH₄SO₄ (Ribeiro et al., 561 2012a), malt extract (Rispoli et al., 2010), peptone extract (Rispoli et al., 2010), soytone (Rispoli et al., 562 2010), corn steep liquor (Develter and Fleurackers, 2012), ... As there are multiple synergistic effects 563 between medium components and SL producing organisms differ in nutritional requirements, it is difficult to make hard conclusions, but some trends can be observed: 564

- 565 1) Organic nitrogen sources like YE are predominantly used, as they are also a source of vitamins
 566 and metal ions (Casas and Garcia-Ochoa, 1999; Göbbert et al., 1984; Hommel et al., 1994; Lang
 567 et al., 2000; Van Renterghem et al., 2019).
- The C/N ratio is of utmost importance as a high C/N ratio (8-9) results in a higher specific
 production but a lower biomass, while a low C/N ratio (3.5-4) results in lower production but
 higher biomass. A balanced C/N ratio (4.5-5) results in an optimal SL and biomass production
 (Van Renterghem et al., 2019).
- 3) Industrial scale sophorolipid production has made reference to the use of corn steep liquor
 (Develter, D.; Fleurackers 2012); while it has been noticed that this results in lower biomass and
 lower SL production in comparison to YE in a deep well scale screening experiment (Van
 Renterghem *et al.* 2019).

576 4.1.2 Metals

577 In addition to carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) resources, some metal ions have been described to have a profound effect on S. bombicola's growth and/or SL production. The influence of several ions (Mg²⁺, 578 Zn^{2+} , Fe^{3+} , Fe^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Co^{2+}) as sulphate salt additives was investigated. MgSO₄ favours the 579 production of lactonic SLs, Fe²⁺ favours acidic SL production and addition of Cu²⁺ increased the total 580 581 SL content 2.16 fold (Chen et al., 2014). Rispoli et al. (2010) on the other hand observed a negative 582 effect of MgCl₂ on SL production. These different results probably originate from two reasons: the use 583 of two different counterions and the use of another base medium (glucose, YE and ureum (Rispoli et 584 al., 2010) vs glucose, YE, KH₂PO₄, Na₂HPO₄ and oleic acid (Chen et al., 2014)). It is thus advised to 585 the effect of the counterions and the interaction effect between the substrates.

586 4.1.3 Fed batch substrates

587 Besides medium components and cofactors necessary to produce biomass and to induce SL 588 production, also the substrates which are used (in)directly towards conversion into SLs are important 589 factors of the medium. Typically, a combination of hydrophilic and aliphatic carbon substrates is fed 590 towards increasing SL productivity. While the SL producers of the Starmerella clade have a limited 591 range of hydrophilic carbon molecules they can metabolise (on average 10 ± 5 out of 47 tested carbon 592 sources), Pseudohyphozyma bogoriensis can assimilate a wider variety of hydrophilic molecules (33 593 out of 47 tested carbon sources), including α, α -trehalose, D-xylose and D-arabinose (Centraal bureau 594 voor Schimmelculturen, 2020; Kurtzman, 2012; Pimentel et al., 2005). SL producing organisms can 595 typically also assimilate a high variety of aliphatic substrates: oils (Daverey and Pakshirajan, 2009), 596 fatty acids (Li et al., 2016), alkanes (Ito et al., 1980), fatty alcohols (Brakemeier et al., 1998a; Van 597 Renterghem et al., 2018), fatty acid methyl esters (Konishi et al., 2018), Most literature describing 598 SL production processes focusses on glucose (Lang et al., 2000; Solaiman et al., 2015), sucrose 599 (Klekner et al., 1991) or glycerol (Konishi et al., 2017; Lin et al., 2019) as hydrophilic substrates. 600 While for the aliphatic substrate, $C_{18:1}$ containing sources such as rapeseed oil, high oleic sunflower oil 601 (HOSO) or oleic acid are preferred (Cavalero and Cooper, 2003; Van Bogaert et al., 2011; Van 602 Renterghem et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2011). The substrate selection will depend on a trade-off 603 between the substrate cost and the associated SL productivity. Some cheaper substrates (e.g. waste 604 streams) can result in a dramatic decrease in SL productivities and therefore an associated higher 605 production cost, although the substrate cost is substantially lower. This is an important aspect to keep 606 in mind, certainly so because substrate cost only becomes an important denominator of the production 607 costs at the very large industrial scale (multiple 1000 tonnes level) (Roelants et al., 2018) and the use 608 of waste substrates might complicate registration and commercialisation of derived SLs (certainly for 609 some markets).

610 4.1.4 Second generation resources and waste streams

611 Baccile et al. (2017a) observed in a life cycle analysis that glucose and rapeseed oil contributed for 612 41% and 47% to the total environmental impact of an investigated SL production process, 613 respectively, in total representing 87% of the impact. Moreover, these first generation substrates are 614 resources which compete with the food industry (plant oil, starch derived food grade glucose, sucrose, etc.). Thus, to increase sustainability, to reduce the ecological footprint, to avoid the competition with 615 food production and to promote a circular economy, the use of 2nd generation substrates and waste 616 streams is under investigation. Waste streams are also cheaper than 1st generation substrates, which do 617 618 account for 10%-30% of the total cost of a bioprocess (Mnif and Ghribi, 2016). Potential pretreatment 619 costs, higher downstream processing (DSP) costs (medium containing more impurities), quality issues 620 towards registration of derived products and lower productivities hampers the breakthrough of 2nd 621 generation economic viable industrial processes (Bhangale et al., 2014; Shah et al., 2017; Takahashi et 622 al., 2011). Further strain and process engineering towards the more efficient use of these types of 623 substrates and the robustness against medium inhibitors is necessary to increase the economic 624 competitiveness of these processes. Recently, an economical viable SL production process was 625 established using hydrolysed food waste as batch medium combined with fed glucose and oleic acid (Kaur et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020a). Comprehensive and complete overviews of the utilisation of 626 627 2nd generation resources and waste streams towards SL production were recently provided by Jiménez-Peñalver et al., (2019), Wang et al., (2019) and Ma et al., (2020) and the reader is referred to these 628 629 reviews for more in depth information.

630 4.2 Bioreactor optimisation

Generally, three bioreactor methods have been evaluated for SL production: fed-batch production,
 continuous production with *in situ* product removal and solid-state production.

633 4.2.1 Fed-Batch production

634 Fed-batch production is the most applied towards SL production. The process starts typically with a \pm 2 days cell-growth phase resulting in biomass production with a typical end-titre of 7 to 30 g·L⁻¹ cell 635 dry weight (CDW). Subsequently, an 8-14 days SL production phase is conducted where hydrophilic 636 and aliphatic substrates are fed semi- or non-continuously. Common mean SL productivities are in the 637 638 range of 0.51-2.1 g·L⁻¹·h⁻¹ (Roelants et al., 2019). Gao et al. (2013) described a high cell density process which reached 80 g·L⁻¹ CDW and a mean volumetric productivity of 3.7 g·L⁻¹·h⁻¹. However, 639 production levels could not be maintained due to the rapidly increasing required working volume 640 641 caused by the high production rate requiring fast feeding of the substrates (Gao et al., 2013). When SL concentrations increase above about 200 g·L⁻¹ (typically after 8-14 days), the viscosity of the broth 642 643 increases and oxygen transfer decreases, which negatively impacts SL productivity (Zhang et al., 644 2018). Examples of fed-batch processes are described in detail by Roelants et al. (Roelants et al., 645 2019), Van Bogaert and Soetaert (Van Bogaert and Soetaert, 2011), Jiménez-Peñalver et al. (Jiménez-646 Peñalver et al., 2019) and Wang et al. (Wang et al., 2019)

647 4.2.2 *In situ* product removal

648 Fed-batch production is hindered by maximum volumetric capacity of fed substrates at high 649 production rates and reduced productivities caused by limited oxygen transfer over time. These issues 650 urged researchers to develop integrated processes such as in situ product removal (ISPR) coupled to a 651 fed-batch or (semi-) continuous bioprocess set-up. Such systems are also developed aiming to decrease down-time and simplify DSP. There are different ISPR methods possible depending on the specific SL 652 653 congener produced (figure 4). If the product has low water solubility such as lactonic SLs (Hu and Ju, 654 2001), ISPR can be obtained through a gravimetric settling set-up. Dolman et al. (2017) described a 655 semi-continuous set-up with a 'separator' coupled to the bioreactor for the production of wild type 656 SLs. When favourable separation conditions are met (sufficient SL concentration and ideal rheological 657 conditions), the broth is constantly pumped through the separator, where the SLs precipitate and the 658 broth with a reduced SL content is pumped back into the bioreactor, resulting in a lower viscosity. The 659 latter separation is performed in a cyclical set-up. Depending on the glucose concentration being lower or higher than 50 g·L⁻¹, the SLs will situate respectively in the bottom or top layer in the separator 660 661 (figure 4C and 4D). Hence, six cycles were performed using a top layer separator which resulted in a relatively long cultivation time of 1023 h with a mean productivity of 0.61 g·L⁻¹·h⁻¹, substrate yield of 662 0.47 g·g⁻¹ and a total separation efficiency of 65%. Nevertheless, higher total separation efficiencies 663 664 were reached in shorter cultivation times. Utilising a top separation set-up amounted to 86 % for a 665 process of 3 cycles and 305 hours. While, a bottom separation set-up resulted in a total separation 666 efficiency of 74% for a process of 4 and 379 hours. The reason for this lower separation efficiency for 667 the longer process time was described by the authors to be due to variation in SL concentration present 668 in the bioreactor before the separation.

669 A similar but batch operated gravimetrical ISPR for wild type SLs was described by Liu et al. 670 (2019) (figure 4B). The authors altered the rheological conditions by adjusting the rapeseed oil/SL ratio to 0.08-0.12 by oil addition prior to pumping the broth into a pre-separator to remove excess air. 671 672 The latter was coupled to a gravimetrical separator of which the top layer was pumped to a washing 673 tank where the SLs could settle. The broth was recycled and pumped back into the bioreactor for 674 further production. This procedure was performed three times and a mean productivity of 1.55 g·L·h⁻¹, a substrate yield of 0.43 g·g⁻¹ was achieved. An overall separation efficiency of 91% was reached, but 675 676 this did not take into account the SL loss in the final separation step upon termination of the 677 bioprocess. The system has the drawback of being operated in batch mode and therefore the process is 678 idle while performing the separation step.

679 Aforementioned ISPR systems provide some clear benefits, such as smaller reactor size 680 required towards the production of a certain SL production volume, which decreases capital 681 expenditures (CAPEX). However, the constant removal of SLs will require adequate feeding regimes 682 of hydrophilic and especially hydrophobic substrates as these are removed together with the SLs. For 683 hydrophilic substrates, this will result only in reduced yields on substrate and thus negatively affect 684 cost-efficiency (substrate lost), while for the hydrophobic substrates, in addition, it is notoriously 685 difficult to separate them from the SLs, which will require further purification steps. This increases 686 costs and negatively impacts the environmental impact of the overall process as determined through 687 life cycle analysis (LCA).

To mitigate the hydrophobic substrate loss, Zhang et al. (2018) developed another ISPR design for wild type SLs which utilises dual sieve plates inside the bioreactor coupled to two semicontinuous separation units, one for the separation of SLs from bioreactor broth by applying soybean oil enhancing separation and a second unit to separate the SLs again from the soybean oil (figure 4E). 692 These authors did not mention the separation efficiency of the reported processes. As the working 693 volume of the reactors was also not reported, the separation efficiency cannot be calculated either. Based on the dimensions of the reactor, the separation efficiency can be estimated between 70 % and 694 80%. A mean volumetric SL productivity of 1.59 $g \cdot L^{-1} \cdot h^{-1}$ and a substrate yield of 0.59 $g \cdot g^{-1}$ was 695 696 reached with a final titre of 477 g·L⁻¹ by using *Candida albicans* O-13-1. As mentioned before, C. 697 albicans has never been described in literature to produce SLs, so this study most probably concerns S. 698 bombicola. Moreover, the SL quantification was done by using the anthrone method, which can be 699 inaccurate, as described in the introduction of section 4. Moreover, the specific role of the sieve plates 700 was not well explained, and it is thus not clear if these sieve plates actually represent a benefit 701 compared to the more straightforward ISPR systems described above and below or even to a regular 702 bioreactor system without sieve plates applied towards a cyclic process of production and 703 sedimentation. Moreover, the separation set up is quite complex and would not be easily scalable. 704 Also, no information about the residual soybean oil in the SL fraction was reported, which is expected 705 to be substantial when using soybean oil as a process aid to enhance separation of SLs from process 706 broth.

707 Figure 4: Schematic representation of ISPR production processes reported for SLs. A) Process by (Wang et al.,

2020a), B) Froth separation by Liu et al. (2019), Gravity separation by Dolman et al. (2017) with C) SL in upper

709 phase & D) SL in lower phase, E) Dual sieve-plates and dual ventilation pipes bioreactor by Zhang et al. (2018)

710 F) Cell recycle process for bolaform sophorolipids (Roelants et al., 2018). I) batch separator, II) continuous

711 separator, III) continuous tangential microfilter (0.2μm) IV) washing tank. Blue lines represent feed, red lines

712 sophorolipid depleted flows and orange lines sophorolipid enriched flows.

713 Recently, Wang et al. (2020a) described another ISPR process which uses a batch separator 714 process optimised for low hydrophobic substrate loss (figure 4A). Though having the same drawback 715 as the system of Liu et al. (2019), being that the system is operated in batch mode, a mean volumetric productivity of 2.39 g·L⁻¹·h⁻¹ and a substrate yield of 0.73 g·g⁻¹ over 480h of production time was 716 717 achieved while utilising a restaurant left over waste stream as batch medium fed with glucose and 718 oleic acid in the fed batch phase. The overall separation efficiency over 6 cycles and final separation 719 step amounted to 93%. A techno-economic analysis was performed for a full-scale SL production 720 plant based on this process. Multiple scenarios indicate lower minimum selling prices in comparison to the market price (13.87 euro·kg⁻¹ vs. 21.62 euro·kg⁻¹, respectively) (Wang et al., 2020b). 721

A different type of ISPR was developed for bolaform SLs, which are, in contrast to lactonic SLs, highly water soluble (Van Renterghem et al., 2018). This process couples a 0.2 μ m filter to the bioreactor and a continuous microfiltration is performed where broth enriched in cells is pumped back to the bioreactor, whereas filtrate containing medium components, substrates and bolaform SLs is constantly removed (figure 4F). A mean volumetric productivity of 0.63 g·L⁻¹·h⁻¹ was reached and could be maintained for 240 hours, after which it slowly declined (Roelants et al., 2018). Like for the 728 set up described by Dolman et al. (2017), it can be remarked that the substrates are 'lost' in the filtrate. 729 However, a subsequent purification step on the filtrate e.g. ultrafiltration can be imagined where the 730 bolaform SLs are separated from the remaining medium components, which can then be pumped back 731 into the bioreactor (Roelants et al., 2016). The way the set up was now described a separation 732 efficiency of about 100% was achieved as the concentration bolaform SLs pumped out of the reactor was the same as the concentration bolaform SLs in the bioreactor. It should be noted that such set up 733 734 can only be applied with compounds with high water solubility, while the set ups described above for 735 lactonic SLs on the contrary can only be applied with compounds with low water solubility.

736 ISPR proved to be an efficient and economical viable technique to increase SL productivity at 737 lab-scale. However, higher operational expenses can be predicted because ISPR systems in general 738 require more follow-up (Palme et al., 2010). Therefore, an economic analysis should be performed to 739 determine if an ISPR design has an economical beneficial effect. Also, attention should be given to the 740 feasibility of scaling-up ISPR procedures which can be particularly challenging. However, two 741 companies are currently applying ISPR techniques for SL production at the larger scale, which 742 confirms the industrial relevance. Holiferm (Manchester, UK) communicated that they started with the 743 construction of a pilot plant (300L) based on the ISPR set-up described by Dolman et al. (2017). Also, 744 Locus (Solon, Ohio) is utilizing a similar ISPR technique for SLs production (Sean et al., 2020). They 745 filed a patent that describes a large-scale apparatus that includes a bioreactor vessel and a directly 746 connected collector vessel. The main challenges associated with these systems are residual 747 hydrophobic substrates remaining together with the collected SLs as they are required for optimal SL 748 production.

749 4.2.3 Solid state production

750 Solid state production is a process that takes place in a solid matrix in absence or near absence of free 751 water (Thomas et al., 2013). The advantage is that (cheaper) solid substrates can be used while no 752 foam control is necessary (Jiménez-Peñalver et al., 2016). Jiménez-Peñalver et al. (2018) used a foam 753 of polyurethane with sugar beet molasses and stearic acid (1.17:1 w/w) as substrates to obtain a yield 754 of 0.211 g SLs per g of substrates. The use of solid waste streams in a solid state production set-up has 755 also been evaluated, e.g. mango kernel (Parekh et al., n.d.), safflower cake (Nooman et al., 2017), 756 motor oil waste and sunflower oil cake (Rashad et al., 2014). The effect of mixing steps of a solid state 757 consisting out of winterisation oil cake and sugar beet molasses was profound and resulted in an 758 increase from 0.179 g SL/g dry mass (DM) to 0.235 g SL/g DM. A correlation was observed between 759 the oxygen uptake rate (OUR), cumulative oxygen uptake rate (COC) and the SL substrate yield 760 (Jiménez-Peñalver et al., 2016).

Solid state production can reach industrial standards for waste stream processing but needs to
 resolve its key issues: the lack of heat dissipation, minimal process monitoring and down-stream

processing. The latter is currently only possible with solvent extraction methods, which are notpreferred, mainly due to environmental reasons (Jiménez-Peñalver et al., 2019).

765 4.3 *Downstream processing optimisation*

766 The downstream processing (DSP) cost for many processes can contribute up to 60-80% of the total production cost, therefore the development of cost-effective DSP processes is of high interest 767 (Fleurackers, 2013). A common lab scale approach for SL purification is solvent extraction with 768 769 ethanol (Van Bogaert et al., 2016), ethylacetate (Kurtzman et al., 2010) or pentanol (Baccile et al., 770 2013). These methods are often accompanied by hexane washing steps to remove residual fatty acids 771 (Baccile et al., 2013). Moreover, silica gel chromatography (Gross et al., 2013) methods have been 772 described. However, all above mentioned methods use organic solvents, which is not the preferred 773 method for industrial production of SLs, because it conflicts with the green nature of microbial 774 biosurfactants (Jessop, 2011; Thavasi and Banat, 2018). Therefore, different DSP methods are 775 described, mitigating the use of solvents, e.g. the use of filtration techniques (Baccile et al., 2017a; 776 Roelants et al., 2016; Van Renterghem et al., 2018) or separation based on melting at 55°C of SLs 777 followed by a sedimentation, separation and water washing (Roelants et al., 2016). A possible 778 subsequent phase can be the crystallisation and drying of SLs crystals, but this can result in increased 779 costs, so a trade-off should be made between purity and cost. More information concerning DSP 780 methods is described by Thavasi and Banat (2018).

It should be considered that when using waste streams as substrates, the USP (upstream 781 782 processing) and DSP can become more elaborate. There is a higher market tolerance for increased 783 impurities for low-end applications (e.g. detergents) compared to high-end applications (e.g. food, 784 nanotechnology). The final product of a WT SL mixture production process is either a SL syrup (78% 785 dry matter) or SL crystals (97% dry matter) (Roelants et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2020b). A techno-786 economical study determined the CAPEX of a crystalliser and a freeze-dryer, both necessary to create 787 SL crystals, equals 68.5 % of the CAPEX cost of the bioreactor (Wang et al., 2020b). Furthermore, 788 freeze-dryers are known to have a high energy demand. In contrast, SL crystals are more stable and 789 have a longer shelf life in comparison to a syrup (Van Bogaert and Soetaert, 2015). Moreover, the 790 impact of transportation of SL crystals is less as it contains less 'dead weight', *i.e.* water. Therefore, an 791 economical and environmental trade-off should be made while taking the aimed final product in mind.

792 5 Multipurpose compounds with a broad application potential

The applications of SLs have extensively been reviewed elsewhere (de Oliveira, 2015; Develter and Lauryssen, 2010; Hayes et al., 2019; Santos et al., 2016; Van Bogaert et al., 2007). The aim of this section is to complement these reviews and to focus on recent (2015-2020) and most promising proceedings in terms of SL applications. 797 SLs can be applied for their bio-active properties and/or for their surface-active properties. 798 These properties can vary significantly in function of purity (e.g. residual presence of hydrophobic 799 substrate(s)), uniformity (e.g. ratio lactonic versus acidic SLs) and formulation matrix (water-, oil- or 800 solvent based), so it is of utmost importance to keep these in mind when evaluating application 801 literature. For example, the reported bioactive properties reported for (wild type) SLs vary a lot. such 802 as anti-microbial properties can reach very diverse values. However, this can clearly be attributed to 803 the fact that the ratio between lactonic and acidic SLs and their acetylation degree in a (wild type) SL 804 mixture depends on the culture conditions and the fact that lactonic and acidic SLs differ in bioactivity 805 (Ciesielska et al., 2016). Information on purity and SL congener ratios should thus be included in 806 future publications to avoid further confusion on the potential application areas of 'sophorolipids' 807 (Twigg et al., 2020).

As many applications of SLs relate to the self-assembly properties of SLs in water, the latter will be discussed first, followed by the literature review of specific SL application areas. These areas not surprisingly are to a great extent in line with the surfactant market and the use of classic surfactants over a range of markets with varying market volumes. These include, from large to smallest: household detergents, personal care, textile, industrial and institutional (I&I) cleaning, elastomers and plastics, oilfield chemicals, food & beverages, crop protection and smaller scale use markets such as pharma, construction, paints and inks, etc.

815 5.1 Self-assembly properties of sophorolipids

816 5.1.1 General principles of surfactant self-assembly in solution

Surfactant self-assembly in solution generally refers to an equilibrium process driven by the so-called 817 818 hydrophobic effect, involving non-specific interactions between aliphatic chains of surfactants. This attractive force is counterbalanced by a number of repulsive contributions to the free energy, 819 820 including, but not limited to, steric, electrostatic and interfacial components. The first two contributions occur at the level of the hydrophilic headgroup while the latter occurs at the micelle-821 822 water interface (Israelachvili et al., 1976; Tanford, 1973). The simplest self-assembled morphology of 823 a surfactant in solution is a micelle, spheroidal object forming above a critical concentration of the 824 surfactant in solution. The shape of micelles can evolve towards cylinders, vesicles or lamellae, 825 according to concertation and in relationship to the shape of the surfactant's molecule (Israelachvili et al., 1976). The critical micelle concentration (cmc) in water is commonly measured for all surfactants 826 827 (Holmberg et al., 2002) and cmc values for sophorolipids were reported before (Daverey and 828 Pakshirajan, 2010; Hirata et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2005; Mnif et al., 2018; Solaiman et al., 2004). On 829 the other hand, knowledge of the molecular structure (tail length and volume, headgroup surface area) 830 helps understanding the shape of the self-assembled aggregates in solution. This last aspect has been 831 rationalised long ago in the so-called critical packing parameter (PP): the lower the PP, the stronger tendency for the surfactant to assemble into spheroidal micelles; the higher the PP, the stronger its tendency to assemble into flat bilayer membranes (Holmberg et al., 2002; Israelachvili et al., 1976). It goes without saying that, although the PP approach has been verified over the years, it still constitutes an oversimplified way to understand self-assembly and it has been shown to fail in many cases, due to which more recent general theories have been proposed (Bergström, 2000a, 2000b). We have recently revised the PP theory and discussed it within the context of biosurfactants' self-assembly (Baccile et al., 2021b).

839 5.1.2 Self-assembly of sophorolipids in solution

840 Sophorolipids, compared to classical head-tail chemical surfactants, have a triple level of complexity: 1) structural., because they are asymmetric bolas, instead of common head-tail, amphiphiles; 2) 841 842 chemical, because they contain chemically-reactive and physicochemically-active groups (C=C, 843 carboxylic acid); 3) compositional, because sophorolipids are rarely pure molecules but generally a 844 mixtures of similar congeners with different properties. Speaking of sophorolipid self-assembly is then 845 highly imprecise, and one should state which SL are concerned and the pH at which self-assembly is 846 studied. In this regard, it is not surprising that the critical micelle concentration (cmc) of sophorolipids 847 reported over the years in the literature vary from 6 to about 700 mg/mL (Daverey and Pakshirajan, 848 2010; Hirata et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2005; Mnif et al., 2018; Solaiman et al., 2004). Table 2 849 summarises the up-to-date work published on the solution (water) self-assembly properties 850 (concentrations below 10 wt%) of a number of sophorolipids and their derivatives and recently 851 reviewed in Ref. (Baccile et al., 2021b). We present below a short comment for each specific phase. 852 Please note that appropriate references are given in Table 2.

853

854 *Micellar phase (L1).* This phase is by far the most representative for a large number of 855 sophorolipids, except lactonic SLs, at concentration below 10 wt% (Table 2, Micellar column). The L1 phase is systematically observed for the charged, COO⁻ or NH_3^+ , forms of SLs at pH respectively 856 857 above and below neutrality. This can be explained by the repulsive electrostatic contribution to the 858 intermolecular forces as well as by the bulky sophorose headgroup. For specific SL derivatives (e.g., 859 alkynyl, peracetylated phorphyrin), the micellar phase is controlled by temperature, instead. The L1 860 phase is found for a broad pH range, from acidic to basic, for both the acidic (R-COOH) and aminyl 861 (R-NH₂) forms of C18:1-cis nonacetylated SLs, the former being the most common form of SLs, easily obtained by hydrolysis of acetylated lactonic SLs. It cannot be excluded that stabilization of the 862 863 micellar phase in a broad pH range may be due to the presence of spurious amounts (< 10%) of SL 864 congeners, naturally present in the raw mixture and hard to remove (Dhasaiyan et al., 2017). The 865 structure of SL micelles has been studied in depth using a combination of small angle X-ray scattering 866 (SAXS) and numerical modelling. The localization of the COO⁻ groups has been probed through the 867 distribution of their counterions via anomalous SAXS experiments while the size and shape of the

hydrophobic core through contrast-matched small angle neutron scattering. The bolaform nature of
SLs produces a spheroidal (prolate ellipsoid) micelle with a small hydrophobic core and an
asymmetric hydrophilic shell (*coffee-bean* like model) (Manet et al., 2015). The micellar phase has
also been reported for a number of asymmetrical, symmetrical, divalent and Y-shaped SL derivatives
(N. Baccile et al., 2019a).

873

874 Micelles of C18:1-cis nonacetylated SLs (COOH or NH2 end-groups) are neutral or charged 875 according to the ionization state of the end-group and, in this regard, they can interact with other 876 molecular species, like polyelectrolytes (Ben Messaoud et al., 2018; Seyrig et al., 2020) or proteins 877 and enzymes (Andersen et al., 2016; Madsen et al., 2017). Strong electrostatically-driven SLs-878 polyelectrolyte complexes present interesting possibilities in emulsion stabilization through soft 879 colloids, (Laquerbe et al., 2021) whereas acidic SLs were shown to be poor emulsion stabilizers. In 880 this regard, acidic-lactonic SL mixtures and alkyl-modified SLs were shown to have better emulsion 881 stabilization properties than acidic SLs (Koh et al., 2017a, 2016; Koh and Gross, 2016a, 2016b). On 882 the contrary, interactions between SLs and proteins and enzymes were shown to be relatively mild, if 883 compared to other ionic surfactants, thus limiting their denaturation (Andersen et al., 2016; Madsen et 884 al., 2017).

885

Vesicle phase. This phase is relatively rare for SLs, probably due to their bulky sophorose headgroup. Multilamellar vesicles (MLV) have been reported mainly for behenic (C22:0₁₃) and linolenic (C18:3-cis) derivatives of SLs at neutral/acidic pH (Table 2, *Vesicle* column) while single unilamellar vesicles (SUV) have been reported for lactonic SLs.

890

Lamellar phase. This phase (Table 2, *Lamellar* column) is particularly rare, and it has been
 most likely observed as a precipitate for behenic derivative of SL (C22:0₁₃) at acidic pH, upon full
 protonation of the COOH group.

894

Columnar phase. This unique phase has been reported for hydroxylated porphyrin dimers of
 SLs, and it is strictly related to the presence of the porphyrin group, but also to the presence of residual
 OH groups (Table 2, *Columnar* column). Such compounds could serve to develop new biocompatible
 exciton-coupled chromophores.

899

Fibre phase. This phase, in the morphology of twisted ribbons, has been surprisingly observed
for a large number of SL derivatives, thus demonstrating the complexity of the self-assembly process
of this compound. Initially reported for deacetylated C18:1-*cis* sophorolipids under acidic conditions
(Zhou et al., 2004) (Dhasaiyan et al., 2013), this result was questioned years later by others, showing
that such compound rather forms a micellar phase (Baccile et al., 2016a, 2012, 2011; Penfold et al.,

2011). The presence of a mixture of congeners (one of which forms twisted ribbons of its own) in the
analysed samples partially explained such discrepancy (Dhasaiyan et al., 2017). The fibre phase has
been otherwise observed for the neutral form of C18:0 SL derivatives, may they have an end-COOH
(acidic pH) or -NH₂ (basic pH) group, but also for C18:1 alkynyl SLs, C18:1-*trans* (acidic pH), C16:0
(acidic pH) SLs bolaform, di-sophorose, sophorosides and SL derivatives. (Table 2, *Fibre* column).
Interestingly, the C18:1-*trans* SL derivative in its ionic form at basic pH also shows the formation of a
fibre phase in the order of hours.

912 The reason according to which this phase is so often observed for a broad set of SL derivatives 913 is still unclear. It does not seem to be related to a specificity of the sophorose headgroup itself, as 914 cellobioselipids display a similar phase (Baccile et al., 2016b). It could be related to the all-trans 915 configuration of the SL fatty acid backbone, but the C18:1-cis alkynyl derivative also shows this 916 phase. It could be related to the chiral centres of the sophorose headgroup, but no specific 917 enantiomeric excess could be detected in the fibre twist (Cuvier et al., 2015a). Following the 918 hypotheses formulated by others (Barclay et al., 2014), fibre formation could be a kinetic phenomenon 919 related to the poor solubility in water of the above-cited molecules and in combination with the 920 bulkiness of the sophorose headgroup, which could drive the twist though steric hindrance and limit 921 later crystal growth during the nucleation and growth of the fibres. The kinetic aspect seems to be 922 justified by the fact that the C18:0 SL derivative forms flat crystals upon dispersion in water 923 (Dhasaiyan et al., 2013) but twisted fibres through a fast pH-jump process (Cuvier et al., 2014). In the 924 latter, fibres can be homogeneous or in the shape of spherulites, according to the rate of pH variation 925 (Ben Messaoud et al., 2019), whereas the presence of salt seems to play an important role in the 926 fibrillation process (Ben Messaoud et al., 2019; Cuvier et al., 2015a). It goes without saying that 927 fibrillation of SLs should be studied further for a better understanding.

Despite the above, homogeneous fibrillation induces hydrogel formation at concentrations as low as 1 wt% of SL in water (Baccile et al., 2021a, 2018; Ben Messaoud et al., 2019). Controlled variation of pH and temperature directly controls the nucleation and growth of the SL fibres, thus providing not only pH-responsive, but also tough, hydrogels, with elastic modulus as high as 10 kPa, thus paving the way to the development of biosurfactant-based soft materials (Baccile et al., 2019b).

933

934 *Other (minor) phases.* Platelet aggregates or ill-defined phases, mainly detected in SAXS 935 profiles and in cryogenic transmission electron microscopy, are often observed for the ionic form of 936 SLs. They generally involve a small fraction of the compound and to date, neither their origins nor 937 their full control are understood. In some occasions, such phases result in a flocculate visible with bare 938 eye.

939

940 In summary, SLs display a rich phase behaviour, which depends on their structure, 941 physicochemical conditions in solution and purity. The take-home message is that nonacetylated acidic C18:1-*cis* SL, the most accessible compound through alkaline hydrolysis of a raw acidiclactonic SL mixture, is water soluble and mainly forms a micellar phase in a broad pH range, and of which the surface charge (neutral/negative) depends on pH. This compound is then interesting to develop further formulations with other surfactants and (bio)macromolecules. The fibre phase, on the contrary, is very interesting to develop strong hydrogels and it can be mainly obtained from saturated derivatives of SL under acidic conditions.

948

949Table 2: Self-assembled structures of sophorolipids and derivatives reported at room temperature950(unless otherwise stated). SUV: single unilamellar vesicles; MLV: multilamellar vesicles. #time>1h951(minor fraction) ##: 1i, 1h, 1f, 1k, 1j, 1l, 1g, 2g (Ammonium- and amine oxide derivatives of SL) and9523b, 3c, 3d, 4d, 5c, 5d, 6a, 6d (Symmetrical amine SL derivatives) in Ref. (Baccile et al., 2019a) as953neutral form at basic pH.

954

955 5.2 Cleaning/washing

956 The biggest part of the annual production volume of surfactants (46.3 %) is produced for household 957 detergents (Ranji et al., 2019). Sophorolipids can be used as readily biodegradable, non-toxic, bio-958 based, non-irritable, 'green' formulation agents in detergents and cleaning applications. Research 959 papers and patents describe the use of SLs in hard surface cleaners (Develter et al., 2003; Develter and 960 Fleurackers, 2007; Karsten et al., 2010); anti-scaling, rinse aid, (low-)foaming, wetting, degreasing 961 agents (Hillion et al., 1995; Van Renterghem et al., 2018; Xiaoming et al., 2005) and biosolubilisers 962 (Ernenwein et al., 2013). Besides for their purely physicochemical traits, SLs have been extensively 963 examined for their antimicrobial, antifungal and antiviral properties for disinfectant and/or germicide 964 purposes in cleaning formulations for the medical, food, agricultural and cosmetic industry. Besides direct inhibition of micro-organisms, SLs have been shown to inhibit biofilm formation and disrupt 965 biofilms. Unlike in other application fields, SLs have been applied in B2C products like cleaning 966 products for some years and the Belgian company Ecover (Malle, Belgium) was one of the pioneers. 967 Since the B2B commercialisation of SLs under the name of Rewoferm[®]SL by Evonik (Essen, 968 969 Germany), a product honoured with the SEPAWA Innovation Award 2016 for its excellent ecological 970 profile, the application field is expected to open up for more B2C companies. Future commitments in 971 this context should include the further elucidation of the formulative potential of these compounds and 972 the continuous efforts to evaluate for functioning bioactive formulations.

973 5.3 Personal care and cosmetics

The personal care and cosmetics surfactants market segment is the second largest segment of the surfactant market after detergents, corresponding to a yearly production/use of about 3 million tonnes, and is also the fastest-growing segment of the surfactants market and projected to register a CAGR of 5.5%, in terms of volume, between 2016 and 2021 (Markets and markets, 2016). Because this is a very

978 consumer receptive market, it has been clearly redirecting itself the past 5 to 10 years towards more 979 sustainable, green, bio-based and mild products which also do not contribute to deforestation, a clear 980 issue in a market using a lot of palm oil derived products. Due to higher acceptable costs, this is an 981 ideal entry market for new types of (typically more expensive) bio-based surfactants such as SLs. 982 Already in the late 80's the first reports on the use of SLs in such products were published, which 983 ultimately resulted in the commercialisation of SLs in personal care products in the early 2000's. For 984 example, the antibacterial and sebum control agent Sopholiance® used in deodorants (Soliance, now 985 Givaudan (Vernier, Switzerland)) or the Sopholin Acne Soap (MG Intobio Co LTD, Icheon, Republic 986 of Korea) both make use of the bacteriostatic and bactericidal properties of SLs to fight odour-, acne-987 and/or infection inducing bacteria such as Bacillus subtilis, Staphylococcus epidermidis, 988 Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus faecium, Propionibacterium acnes and Corynebacterium xerosis (Lang and Wagner, 1993; Yatim et al., 2010) or fungi such as Candida albicans and 989 990 Trychophyton spp. (Haque et al., 2017; Sanada et al., 2014; Sen et al., 2017). The use of sophorolipids 991 in cosmetic and dermatological compositions was already patented in 1994 (Hillion et al., 1994). 992 Patents describing the use as a mild, foaming detergent and the use in hair and skin cleaning 993 compositions are assigned to, respectively, Unilever (London, United Kingdom) (Cox et al., 2011) and 994 Evonik (Essen, Germany) (Allef et al., 2012). Their use in sulphate-free cleansing agents for their 995 prebiotic activity is also patented (Heike et al., 2016). However, according to publications and other 996 granted patents, interesting properties include more than only formulation aiding or bacterial control 997 agents, as they have been suggested as cellulite reducers through leptin synthesis stimulation in 998 adipocytes (Pellicier and Andre, 2004), and as skin penetration or transdermal release enhancers for 999 active ingredients such as mogroside V (Imura et al., 2014), bovine lactoferrin (Ishii et al., 2012; 1000 Matsumiya et al., 2017) and lignans (Naik et al., 2019). Other patents describe their use to provide free 1001 radical formation inhibiting activity, elastase inhibiting activity and anti-inflammatory activity (Hillion 1002 et al., 1995) or as activator of macrophages, as fibrinolytic agent, as healing agent, as desquamation 1003 agent and as depigmentation agent (Maingault, 1996). The further expansion of the use of SLs in 1004 cosmetic- and personal care products would allow their price to drop, which will result in turn in even 1005 more opportunities and eventually possibly in the mainstream use of SLs and other (microbial) 1006 biosurfactants in personal care and other products, as 'cost' is one of the main bottlenecks.

1007 5.4 Oilfield chemicals and microbial enhanced oil recovery

Due to their amphiphilic properties, SLs are able to adsorb at hydrophobic surfaces such as crude oil, resulting in a reduced surface- and interphase tension, increasing specific surface area and reducing viscosity (de Oliveira, 2015). These traits can be used in so-called microbial enhanced oil recovery (MEOR) in which residual oil, accounting for approximately 50% of the oil reserves after primary and secondary extraction, can be partially recovered from oil wells (Geetha et al., 2018). For *in-situ* MEOR microorganisms or nutrients are injected into the oil well, while *ex-situ* MEOR uses a purified 1014 product for extraction purposes, both circumventing the use of traditional non-biodegradable and 1015 sometimes unstable chemical counterparts. Although interesting results were seen in core-flooding 1016 experiments in which up to 27.27% of the residual oil was recovered through the use of SLs (Elshafie 1017 et al., 2015), only limited patents or publications are available describing the use thereof for such 1018 applications (Duran 2015; Koral, Weers and Campbell 2014; Elshafie et al. 2015; Gunawan, 1019 Vorderbruggen and Armstrong 2017). The need for facultative (an)aerobic bacteria and tricky growth 1020 control for in-situ MEOR and the high cost of SLs in the case of ex-situ MEOR impedes the 1021 elaboration of SL-MEOR, but also for microbial biosurfactants in general. Another challenge is the 1022 execution of field-scale experiments, which sometimes gives rise to deviating results compared to lab-1023 scale experiments (Geetha et al., 2018). As long as the scale of industrial SL production remains 1024 relatively small and prices thus remain high, utilisation for high volume, bulk commodities such as 1025 MEOR will not be possible. Geetha, Banat, and Joshi (2018) have summarised the status of the use of 1026 biosurfactants in oil recovery in a very concise way, including issued patents on the matter. Oilfield 1027 chemicals in general represent a market share of about 1 million tonnes or about 5% of the total 1028 surfactant volumes produced (Markets and markets 2016). Decreasing the cost of raw materials, often an important factor governing the price of the product on the very large industrial scale, by using 1029 1030 nutrient rich industrial wastes as displayed by Joshi et al. (2019) or (Wang et al., 2020a) could be an 1031 important step to increase the economic viability of these applications at least at large industrial scale 1032 as discussed in section 7 (Felse et al., 2007; Roelants et al., 2018). Nevertheless, the use of microbial 1033 biosurfactants, which have amongst others been created as an alternative to petroleum-based 1034 surfactants, in the petroleum industry seems contradictory and should be critically considered.

1035 5.5 Food and beverages

1036 The food & beverage industry covers meats, processed foods, beverages, dairy products, baked goods, 1037 candy, snack foods, frozen foods, and fats & oils. Surfactants are used in this industry, with a total 1038 annual market of about 0.9 million tonnes (Markets and markets, 2016), for different purposes linked 1039 with both physicochemical and anti-microbial properties. They have always been an important 1040 formulation component of foodstuffs because of their stabilising, anti-adhesive, emulsion forming or -1041 breaking and foam forming activity (Kralova and Sjoblom, 2009; Sharma, 2016; Tadros, 2013). At 1042 present, SLs have not yet found their way into the food sector, however, due to their non-toxic nature 1043 (Develter and Lauryssen, 2010), their green image and production from renewable resources such as 1044 glucose and sunflower oil. SLs are highly compatible with foodstuffs and thus increasingly gain 1045 interest in this field (Campos et al., 2013). SLs are able to form oil/water (O/W) emulsions with a 1046 range of hydrocarbon and triglyceride oils, suggesting their food applicability (Gross et al., 2013; Koh 1047 et al., 2017b; Sałek and Euston, 2019). Xue et al. (2013) demonstrated that the stabilisation of O/W 1048 emulsions containing structured lipids using SLs was equally effective as compared to Polysorbate 20, 1049 a commonly used food emulsifier. Other studies determined the interfacial surface tension of a series

1050 of alkyl esters of SLs added to mixtures of paraffin and water, almond oil and water and lemon oil and 1051 water to form O/W emulsions, demonstrating optimal SL-ethyl ester concentrations to stabilise the 1052 emulsions (Koh et al., 2016; Koh and Gross, 2016a, 2016b). Besides these tests based on purely physicochemical characteristics, a number of studies have demonstrated the antibacterial and/or 1053 1054 antifungal effects of SLs against food spoiling and opportunistic pathogenic microbial species (Baccile 1055 et al., 2019a; Díaz De Rienzo et al., 2016; Olanya et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2016). The potential 1056 application of SLs as emulsifiers and/or preservatives in food products requires studying the taste-1057 sensory properties of SLs. According to Ozdener et al. 2019 and Solaiman et al. 2019, the addition of 1058 SLs into foodstuffs could have an effect on taste responses as they could ameliorate bitter tastes in 1059 foods and drugs through t1R3 mediated HBO cell response. As mentioned, implementation of SLs into 1060 food stuffs is not a reality yet. The lack of complete and decisive toxicological studies, and the rather 1061 high prices for SLs account for this stasis. A breakthrough could be their added value e.g. their use in 1062 high value food products such as dietary supplements and/or nutraceuticals or functional foods. For 1063 example, acidic SLs have been shown to solubilise and nano-encapsulate curcumin, improving its 1064 water solubility, stability and bioavailability and hence enhancing its therapeutic activity. The 1065 exploitation of the multifunctionality of SLs, combining quality improvement through formulation, prolonging shelf-life and improving therapeutic properties of high value foodstuffs, reduces the need 1066 1067 for other additives, making its application cheaper, more attractive and worth the investment.

1068 5.6 Crop protection

1069 About 0.8 million tonnes of surfactants are used every year for the formulation of agrochemicals that 1070 are used for crop protection (Markets and markets 2016). Surfactants are used here for their dispersing, 1071 suspending, wetting, foaming and penetration properties and also help to improve the shelf life of the 1072 products due to their anti-microbial properties. Also, in this sector the demand for bio-based 1073 surfactants is increasing due to a growing use of environmentally friendly chemicals in agriculture. 1074 One might thus exploit the biodegradable and non-toxic character of SLs and hence the use of SLs has 1075 been quite thoroughly investigated for multiple purposes in this sector. The main advantage of using 1076 SLs here is the reduction or total elimination of the need for (chemical) pesticides and fungicides, 1077 limiting the overall costs while maintaining the pesticide or herbicide emulsifying function (Vaughn et 1078 al., 2014) and increasing production yields (Sieverding, 2015). Through the reduction of the surface 1079 tension of herbicides, SLs will aid in the formation of smaller droplets and have a softening effect on 1080 the plant's crystalline waxes, resulting in improved retention on the leaves and thus lower dissipation. 1081 Also increased foliar uptake was described due to better penetration and increased spread (Schönherr 1082 et al., 2000; Vaughn et al., 2014). When using SLs as adjuvant to the commonly used herbicides 1083 glufosinate ammonium (GLA) and lemongrass oil (LGO), the herbicide Cato[®] (DuPont[™], 1084 Wilmington, USA) and the systemic fungicide Opus® (BASF, Ludwigshafen am Rhein, Germany), 1085 increased effects have been described in comparison to the formulation without SLs (Vaughn et al.

1086 2014), a finding that has been patented (Evonik, Giessler-Blank et al. 2010). Antimicrobial and 1087 antifungal effects for plant pathogenic fungi and bacteria such as Phytophtora sp., Phytium sp., 1088 Alternaria solani, Penicilium chrysogenum and Botrytis cinerea have been described and were linked 1089 to inhibition/reduction of mycelial growth, zoospore lysis and zoospore motility. Also these findings 1090 are protected/described in several patents/patent applications (Gross and Shah, 2005; Haque et al., 1091 2017; Sachdev and Cameotra, 2013; Schofield et al., 2011; SyntheZyme, 2012; Yoo et al., 2005). The 1092 potential use of SLs as a germicide or germicide formulator, linked to reducing microbial 1093 contaminations of opportunistic pathogens, has also been described in agricultural work context or 1094 distribution centres of fruits and vegetables (de Oliveira, 2015). The agricultural industry is, besides the cleaning and cosmetic industry, already applying wild type SLs into their products. Examples are 1095 the Rewoferm[®] product of Evonik described above and ACS-Sophor[®] of Allied Carbon Solutions 1096 1097 (Tokyo, Japan). The use of SLs in this sector is expected to grow the next few years thanks to their 1098 potential application in all stages of crop production, e.g. the use for pre-sowing soil treatment as 1099 green biostimulants for seed germination and growth (de Vasconcelos et al., 2020), treatment of soil 1100 for increased bio-availability of micronutrients (Singh, Glick, and Rathore 2018) and vegetable and 1101 fruit washing (section 5.2).

1102 5.7 Surfactant enhanced (bio)remediation, bioflotation and wastewater treatment

1103 The most important environmental and health risk posing contaminants described in recent literature 1104 can be classified as poorly water-soluble organic pollutants, metals, oil fractions derived from 1105 petroleum and radionuclides (Mao et al., 2015). The readily biodegradable and non-toxic nature of 1106 SLs lends them perfect for remediation techniques in the environment, as current technologies are 1107 often inefficient and contribute to additional pollution due to the intrinsic toxicity and/or the very often 1108 low biodegradability of the compounds used (Arelli et al., 2018). This makes surfactant enhanced 1109 bioremediation (SEB) one of the most examined application topics of SLs in literature. The mode of 1110 action of (microbial) biosurfactants is often not yet fully understood, however almost always includes 1111 the increase of the contaminants' solubility and an increase in the specific surface area of a 1112 contaminant bearing matrix or the hydrophobic liquid phase. Also important, but very dependent on 1113 the structure of the used biosurfactant, is the binding of the contaminant to the biosurfactant, thus 1114 leading to contaminant-biosurfactant complexes, often resulting in inclusion into macromolecular 1115 biosurfactant structures such as micelles (Luna et al., 2016; Mao et al., 2015; Sarubbo et al., 2015). 1116 When contaminated sources are subjected to living organisms during remediation, specific non-toxic 1117 biosurfactants could aid their growth by, amongst others, increasing the bioavailability of the substrate. However, the latter mechanism is not yet fully proven and probably only accounts for 1118 1119 specific biosurfactant-microorganism interactions (Schippers et al., 2000). For example, SLs have 1120 been shown to increase the maximal degradation rate for the microbial remediation of poly-cyclic 1121 aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) like anthracene, fluorene, phenanthrene and pyrene, to which the

1122 solubilisation effect exerted by SLs has repeatedly been attributed (Schippers et al., 2000; Song et al., 1123 2016). This 'washing effect' has also been seen for polychlorinated biphenyls, where the removal 1124 efficiency using SLs exceeded that of traditional surfactants Tween-80 and SDS (Qi et al., 2014). 1125 Lubricating oil contaminated soils have been treated by SLs by Minucelli et al. (2017), but most of the 1126 research has been successfully conducted towards remediation and dispersion of petroleum derived 1127 hydrocarbon fractions such as 2-methylnaphthalene, hexadecane, pristane, (bio)diesel, aviation kerosene and (light) crude oil phases itself in (sea)water, beach sands and soils (Babaei and Habibi, 1128 1129 2018; Goswami et al., 2020; Kang et al., 2010; Saborimanesh and Mulligan, 2018). The concepts 1130 described above are also seen during biosurfactant or sophorolipid enhanced anaerobic fermentation in 1131 wastewater treatment as a consequence of increased solubility of the waste activated sludge, enhanced 1132 release of biodegradable organics and favoured growth of hydrolytic microbes and short-chain fatty 1133 acid producers over present methanogens (Huang et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2019). A lot of information is 1134 available about metal (Cd, Pb, Cu, Fe, Zn, Mn, Ni, Hg and Al) remediation for contaminated soils and 1135 secondary mining streams such as tailings using biosurfactants such as rhamnolipids and surfactin 1136 (Mulligan, 2017). Unfortunately, information on SLs is rather limited and often dates back two 1137 decades (Mulligan et al., 2001), though recent years interest seems to be restored, e.g. the remediation 1138 of contaminated soils (Basak and Das 2014; Arab and Mulligan 2016; Yang et al. 2016; Qi et al. 1139 2018). In the context of efficient resource management, bioleaching of Cu out of secondary fayalite 1140 material using acidic SLs as lixiviants was reported by Castelein et al. (2021), steered by a Cu(0) corrosion-based and CuS solubilising mechanism. The use of SLs in metal mining context is also 1141 1142 exploited by Dhar et al. (2019) in bioflotation processes, where CuS could be collected by acidic 1143 glycolipids including SLs through a chemisorption binding process between the metal ions and the 1144 carboxylic function. Independently of the affordability of SLs, the biggest concern is the selective 1145 antimicrobial activity of SLs and the negative effect it could have on the synergistic effects of the 1146 contaminant degrading and previously balanced microbiome, resulting in potential growth inhibition 1147 of certain species and the loss of degradation capacity for complex hydrocarbons (Patel et al., 2019).

1148 5.8 *Pharmaceutics and medicine*

1149 Although SLs are not yet applied for pharmaceutical/medical purposes, a lot of literature can be found 1150 on the matter, especially related to the bio-active properties of SLs, *i.e.* their anti-microbial properties. 1151 Microbial contamination in wounds, e.g. after surgery and in burn wounds, and the formation of 1152 biofilms (of pathogenic microorganisms) on medical devices, such as catheters and medical implants, 1153 are a crucial problem in the medical sector (Khatoon et al., 2018). These are increasingly caused by 1154 multidrug-resistant bacteria proving the urge for alternative treatments (van Duin and Paterson, 2016). 1155 Therefore, as in other fields, the antimicrobial and antifungal activity of different SL forms against 1156 pathogenic species is a much discussed topic. It was recently reviewed in detail by Solaiman et al. 1157 (2018). Not mentioned in this review are the *in vivo* effects of SLs on wound healing, examined using 1158 a cream containing acidic SLs. The wound healing with the SL cream was accelerated in comparison 1159 to the control without affecting the histology of the healing wound (Lydon et al., 2017). SLs also appear to exhibit antiviral activity in combination with acceptable cytotoxicity levels suggesting their 1160 1161 use as therapeutic agents in preventing or treating viral infections. To date, they have been described 1162 as effective antiviral agents against both the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) (Shah et al., 2005) 1163 and the herpes virus (Borsanyiova et al., 2016; Gross and Shah, 2007). Shah et al. (2005) also showed 1164 that SLs could be used as effective spermicidal and virucidal agents. In the late 90's it was shown that 1165 SLs could induce cell differentiation instead of cell proliferation in human promyelocytic leukaemia 1166 (HL60) cell lines and could also inhibit protein kinase C activity within the HL60 cells (Isoda et al., 1167 1997), a characteristic of an effective anti-tumour agent. Anti-cancer activities were demonstrated on 1168 human hepatoma cells of H7402 (Chen et al., 2006), and on human pancreatic (HPAC) and cervical 1169 cancer (HeLa and CaSki) cells (Fu et al., 2008; Li et al., 2017), after which the apoptotic response was 1170 further clarified (Nawale et al., 2017). However, Callaghan et al. (2016) found that lactonic SLs also 1171 reduced viability of normal human colonic and lung cell lines in vitro next to colorectal cancer cell 1172 lines. Also, an increased number of intestinal polyps was observed in Apcmin+/- mice after 1173 administration of 50 mg/kg of lactonic SLs for 70 days. Harmful effects are possibly not negligible, 1174 being an important aspect of further investigation. Next to a bio-active function, molecular self-1175 assembly of SLs provides potential in pharmaceuticals as an encapsulation component of drugs, which 1176 can be used for controlled drug delivery purposes (Baccile et al., 2016b). Although some in depth 1177 research has been executed and some opportunities seem to exist, the application of new therapeutics 1178 in the pharma/medical sector is highly regulated (*i.e.* GMP and high purity/uniformity required) and 1179 associated with a lengthy registration process. This sector is also less prone to sustainability and/or 1180 bio-based claims compared to other sectors applying surfactants. Nevertheless, the formulation of 1181 drugs and especially paediatric drugs might hold some opportunities as also here some 'classic' 1182 pharmaceutical products keep expanding, leading to withdrawal from the market (Meyers et al., 2020; 1183 Siramshetty et al., 2016). Of course, as not-active agent, SLs would have to be included in the relevant 1184 pharmacopoeias for its use in a certain market(s) which should be justified by the commercial use. 1185 Biosynthesis: unravelled and bended towards the biosynthesis of novel biochemicals

1186 6 Biosynthesis: unravelled and bended towards the biosynthesis

1187 **of nove**

of novel biochemicals

As described above, sophorolipids are produced as a mixture of different congeners which can in general be divided into different types of acidic, lactonic and bolaform SLs (section 2). Due to the biological nature of the production processes, these mixtures are prone to batch to batch variation, which also gives rise to variation in physiochemical and self-assembly properties (section 5.1). Although the market is looking for an increased variety of biosurfactants, the in-product variety should be minimised to limit batch to batch variation, *i.e.* the uniformity should be increased. Increasing product variety and uniformity can be achieved through process and/or strain engineering. Product variety can also be increased through screening for new natural producers. In this section, advances on expanding the inter-product variety of sophorolipid/glycolipid types and on decreasing the in-product variety, are described, with a focus on the most studied SL producing organism, *Starmerella bombicola*.

1199 The full SL biosynthetic pathway has been elucidated for S. bombicola (figure 5). It starts with 1200 the terminal or subterminal hydroxylation of a fatty acid (preferably oleic acid) by the CYP52M1 1201 cytochrome P450 mono-oxygenase enzyme (Huang et al., 2014; Van Bogaert et al., 2013). This 1202 hydroxylation step is followed by two glycosylation steps performed by two distinct 1203 glycosyltransferase enzymes both utilising UDP-glucose as a glycosyl donor, finally resulting in the 1204 addition of a sophorose moiety on the hydroxylated lipophilic tail. The first glycosylation is performed 1205 by the UGTA1 glucosyltransferase enzyme (K. M. J. Saerens et al., 2011c), while the second 1206 glycosylation is performed by a second glycosyltransferase enzyme, UGTB1 (K. M. Saerens et al., 1207 2011b). The resulting acidic or 'open form' sophorolipid can undergo acetylation on the sophorose 1208 moiety by an acetyl transferase enzyme, transferring an acetyl group from acetyl-CoA to positions 6' 1209 and/or 6" of the sophorose moiety, thus resulting in a mixture of non-, mono- and di-acetylated acidic 1210 SLs (K. M. Saerens et al., 2011b). This biosynthesis of acidic SLs takes place intracellularly and the 1211 acidic SLs are transported out of the cells by a specific SL transporter similar to multi drug transporter 1212 proteins (MDR) (Van Bogaert et al., 2013). A last enzymatic reaction takes place outside the cell, *i.e.* 1213 an intramolecular esterification of the free carboxylic end of the acidic SLs with the 4" position of the 1214 hydrophilic sophorose head (Roelants et al., 2016), giving rise to the so-called lactonic or 'closed 1215 form' SLs. The enzyme responsible for this reaction, the S. bombicola lactone esterase (SBLE), was 1216 identified in the exoproteome of S. bombicola is actively secreted (Ciesielska et al., 2016, 2014).

Figure 5: Left: Depiction of wild type sophorolipid biosynthesis in S; bombicola. A:B: A depicts fatty acyl
carbon chain length while B depicts degree of unsaturation, 1: CYP52M1, 2: UGTA1, 3: UGTB1, 4: SBLE, 5:
De novo fatty acid synthesis. Upper right: schematic representation of SLs depicted in figure 1 A, B and C.
Bottom right: functional group legend.

1221 The elucidation of the SL biosynthetic pathway, together with the development of molecular 1222 tools for S. bombicola, allowed multiple rational engineering strategies to solve the variety and 1223 uniformity hurdles mentioned above. To increase product uniformity, the composition of the wild type 1224 mixture was pushed towards either (100 %) acidic or either (almost 100%) lactonic sophorolipids by 1225 the creation of an *sble* deletion or an *sble* overexpression strain, respectively (Ciesielska et al., 2016; 1226 Roelants et al., 2016). Yet, although uniformity was increased, the abundancy of different SL types in 1227 the new mixtures was still quite high, mainly due to the variation in acetylation degrees and the 1228 incorporation of different types of fatty acids into the non-, mono- or di-acetylated products. A knock
1229 out of the acetyltransferase gene gives rise to the production of non-acetylated molecules (K. M. 1230 Saerens et al., 2011a). Relatively simple overexpression and knock out strategies thus showed to 1231 dramatically increase the uniformity of the produced sophorolipids. Increasing the uniformity can also 1232 be achieved by specifically feeding a desired fatty acid or a substrate enriched in the desired fatty acid 1233 (e.g. pure oleic acid or an oil enriched in oleic acid, such as high oleic sunflower oil (HOSO)) (Ashby 1234 et al., 2013). The produced SLs will 'mirror' the composition of the fed substrate with a preference for C_{18:1} fatty substrates. Another strategy lies in modifying the substrate specificity of the responsible 1235 1236 biosynthetic enzymes, but this has not yet been described for SL biosynthetic enzymes.

1237 Similarly, several approaches have been applied to increase the product variety, also both 1238 genetic and process engineering approaches. Using genetic engineering to, for example, knock out the 1239 sble and at genes in S. bombicola, allowed the production of a specific and special type of 1240 sophorolipids with interesting properties, *i.e.* bolaform sophorolipids (Van Bogaert et al., 2016). 1241 Bolaform sophorolipids are produced in very low amounts in the wild type SL mixture (Price et al., 1242 2012), but this modification allowed more uniform and increased production of these compounds, free 1243 from contaminating lactonic SLs. Still, the problem of uniformity remains, as acidic sophorolipids are 1244 co-produced. The ratio of bolaform SL/acidic SL was optimised by applying process development 1245 strategies (non-published results). Knocking out the faol gene in the former strain increased the stability of the bolaform biosurfactants, since the linking of the second sophorose moiety on the 1246 1247 hydrophobic linker now occurs through a glycosidic bond instead of an ester bond (Roelants et al., 1248 2018, Van Renterghem et al., 2018). Again, the choice of substrate has an important impact on the 1249 ratio of different molecules formed, as also here 'substrate mirroring' applies, grace to the higher 1250 affinity of the CYP52M1 enzyme towards long chain hydrophilic substrates (Huang et al., 2014). In 1251 another example, Takahashi et. al (2016) described improved production of alkyl polyglucosides by 1252 deletion of the *fao1* gene, disrupting the long-chain alcohol oxidation pathway. Brakemeier et al. 1253 (1998b) were able to produce such microbial alkyl polyglucosides by feeding 2-dodecanol, showing 1254 again the crucial role of process engineering strategies to increase product variety, besides genetic 1255 engineering (Brakemeier et al., 1998b, 1995; Lang et al., 2000).

1256 S. bombicola's tolerance towards hydrophobic substrates, due to the abundantly present 1257 (alkane inducible) cyp52 genes, represents also clear opportunities towards the production of different 1258 types of 'fatty based' molecules (Geys et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2014; Inge N.A. Van Bogaert et al., 1259 2009). Proof of concept therefor was given by Roelants et al. (2013), who showed the production of 1260 the bioplastic polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) and production of cellobiose lipids. Moreover, Jezierska et 1261 al. (2019) succeeded in creating a strain capable of producing about 1 g·L⁻¹ free fatty acids (mixture of C_{18:1}, C_{18:0} and C_{16:0} chain) by knocking out 3 genes: *faa1* (fatty acyl-CoA synthetase), *cyp52m1* and 1262 1263 mfe2 (multifunctional enzyme type 2), while De Graeve et. al (2019) showed that by controlling the

1264 beta- and omega oxidation, by knocking out $\Delta ugta 1/\Delta pox/\Delta fao1$, the production of long chain hydroxy 1265 fatty acids could be obtained up to concentrations of 17.39 g·L⁻¹.

1266 Hitherto, advances in process optimisation are resulting in higher productivities and therefore 1267 lower production costs of SLs (section 4). In this perspective, genetic engineering strategies can be a 1268 valuable ally. The above described strategies to minimise product uniformity, stimulate lower DSP 1269 costs as less impurities have to be separated. Furthermore, strategies to adapt the metabolism of S. 1270 bombicola for increased SL production have been described. (Takahiro et al. 2017) described a KO 1271 strategy for higher SL production. Moreover, random mutagenesis in combination with high-1272 throughput screening is described to increase production SL titres (Lin et al. 2019; Zhou et al. 2019; 1273 Ma et al. 2020). Herein, it is of utmost importance that the performance of the selected strains based 1274 on high-throughput screening methods are validated by accurate SL quantification methods. 1275 Furthermore, random mutagenesis can result in production losses by metabolism distortion caused by 1276 off-target effects and therefore thorough strain performance validation is of utmost importance 1277 (Lodens et al. 2019).

1278 The above mentioned rational genetic engineering of S. bombicola required the development 1279 of a molecular toolbox, which is, till to date, under construction. The first step taken was the development of a successful transformation and selection method. Van Bogaert et al (2008,2007) 1280 1281 described the use of a chemical transformation method, where the cells are resuspended in a 1282 transformation mix containing PEG, LiAc, SS-carrier DNA, and (plasmid) DNA. Currently, the use of 1283 an electroporation protocol combined with lithium acetate and dithiothreitol is more common (K. M. 1284 Saerens et al., 2011a). Conventional yeast antibiotics based selection methods were examined but 1285 hitherto only hygromycin and nourseothricin were reported as robust selection markers for S. 1286 bombicola (Lodens et al., 2017; Van Bogaert et al., 2008). As for auxotrophic markers, Ura3 selection 1287 (orotidine-5'-phosphate decarboxylase) is the only one described (Lodens et al., 2017; Van Bogaert et 1288 al., 2007). Furthermore, Lodens et al. (2020) focused on the development of a reporter system for S. 1289 *bombicola*. Such a reporter system is key in the development of a molecular toolbox since it allows for screening of promotor and terminator libraries. Characterised parts for enzyme expression in turn 1290 1291 allow for metabolic flux regulation, which aid in solving problems of titres, productivity and 1292 congeners mentioned above. Here the telomere positioning effect involved in sophorolipid synthesis 1293 was shown using the endogenous promotors pCyp52m1 and pGapd. Finally, a huge leap forward in 1294 domesticating S. bombicola was also taken by the development of quantitative proteomics, 1295 transcriptomics and metabolomics methodologies, as well as a reverse- transcription quantitative 1296 polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) platform and liquid chromatography- multireaction 1297 monitoring- mass spectrometry (LC-MRM-MS) (Lodens et al., 2019).

1298 Though the first steps for the domestication of the exotic yeast Starmerella bombicola have 1299 been taken, there is room for improvement if compared to other yeast species (e.g. Yarrowia 1300 lipolytica, Saccharomyces cerevisiae). For example, improved homologous recombination could solve 1301 the need for 1000 bp long homologous regions. Events in which genomic integration is required could 1302 be improved by altering the non-homologous end-joining pathway and thus increasing the homologous 1303 recombination efficiency. This strategy has already been proven successful in Yarrowia lipolytica 1304 (Verbeke et al., 2013), Rhodosporidium toruloides (Koh et al., 2014), Kluyveromyces marxianus 1305 (Chen et al., 2013) and several other yeasts. By knocking out the KU70 or KU80 proteins in these 1306 yeasts, genomic integration was drastically altered in favour of homologous recombination, and the 1307 need for large homologous regions became redundant. The isolation of ARS/CEN sequences in several 1308 exotic yeast species allowed the use of plasmids and as such the use of more "complex" molecular tools like Cre-Lox or CRISPR-CAS9 (Wagner and Alper, 2016). The development of CRIPSPR-1309 1310 CAS9 can also eliminate the need for selection markers due to the high efficiency of the technique. 1311 This would obviate the need for marker recovery in S. bombicola, which is quite laborious and 1312 frequently required, as only three markers are available. CRISPR-CAS9 has been developed in 1313 numerous non-conventional yeasts, e.g. Yarrowia lipolytica (Schwartz et al., 2016) and 1314 Kluyveromyces lactis (Spohner et al., 2016). Also, if the tailor-made biomolecules are to achieve 1315 industrial relevance, a (much) more extended library of characterised regulatory parts will be required 1316 (both for transcription and translation). Taken together, this will allow more efficient metabolic 1317 engineering and might open the door to further counteract the issue with SLs produced in mixtures.

1318

7 Industrial perspectives

1319 The interesting surfactant and antimicrobial properties of sophorolipids in combination with high 1320 natural productivities and sustainable nature (biological process based on biobased feedstock, good 1321 biodegradability) has resulted in their application in a range of commercialised B2C products and 1322 other applications, as discussed in section 5. Although the industrial interest in biobased surfactants is 1323 clearly on the rise, the production volumes of sophorolipids remain modest (two-digit tonne scale in Europe). This is mainly because of the high costs of sophorolipids (20-30 eurokg⁻¹ in Europe) in 1324 1325 comparison with classic surfactants (bulk surfactants typically cost around 1 euro/kg, while chemically 1326 produced biobased surfactants, such as APGs, are typically sold at between 3-6 euro kg⁻¹). The main 1327 two reasons for these high costs of sophorolipids are 1) the low scale combined with the fact that 2) 1328 industrial microbiology for the large-scale production of biochemicals, such as microbial 1329 biosurfactants, is still a relatively 'young' technology compared to mature and fully optimised 1330 chemical production technologies. Clearly this is a catch-22 situation, where the high costs of 1331 sophorolipids prevent an increase in the production volumes and vice versa. When the production 1332 scale and volumes would be increased to let's say the production of a few thousand tonnes of SLs per 1333 year, the prices could drop below 10 eurokg⁻¹ depending on substrates, set ups, type of SL molecule, purification method(s) etc. (Roelants et al., 2018). This drop in price would result in an increase of the
demand and subsequent increase of the production volumes and further decrease of the price, as such
creating a clear growth of the market and escaping the catch-22 situation.

1337 However, this required and substantial increase of production volumes requires quite extensive 1338 capital investments. Although the productivities of the SL production process are typically quite high 1339 (an average volumetric productivity of about 2 $g \cdot L^{-1} \cdot h^{-1}$ can be easily reached), a production volume of 1340 about 10 000 tonnes of SLs per year would still require an estimated (conservative) bioreactor capacity 1341 order of magnitude of 1000 m³ plus the required associated equipment for the purification/downstream 1342 processing. Such capacity increase would require substantial CAPEX investments, which should be 1343 substantiated and backed up by a clear market pull for these volumes at the projected price and 1344 requires substantial ambition from the industry. This pivoting point and shift in ambitions from the 1345 industry might have been reached, seen Unilever's recent statements towards their ambition to 1346 completely eliminate fossil feedstocks for the production of cleaning products by 2030 and their 1347 budget reservation of 1 billion euro to do so (Macmillan, 2020). Their aim, together with that of 1348 Evonik, to build a multi thousand tonne scale microbial biosurfactant (rhamnolipid) production plant 1349 in Europe (Evonik, 2019; Roelants and Soetaert, 2021) clearly substantiates that ambition. Now that 1350 SLs have been available on the B2B market (commercialised by Evonik) for about 5 years, several 1351 B2C companies have had the chance to test sophorolipids in their applications.

1352 To our knowledge, industrial interest is there, but price is still a crucial issue. Once large scale 1353 procurance can be guaranteed, investments in large scale production capacity should not be too far off. 1354 This could be done by existing or new players in the field as several industrial parties have expressed 1355 their will to invest in this field and some new players such as Locus, Holiferm and Croda (Snaith, UK) 1356 have expressed their ambitions towards large scale SL production. We thus expect the world to look 1357 quite different in five years from now and predict a bright future for microbial biosurfactants. Whether 1358 sophorolipids will take the same flight as rhamnolipids depends on their (combination of) 1359 functionalities and the will of B2C companies to formulate these compounds in their products because, 1360 besides being green, biobased and mild, the products also need to do the job.

1361 8 Conclusive remarks

1362 The interest in and impact of sophorolipids is increasing exponentially. Therefore, this review 1363 provides academic and industrial stakeholders the necessary critical assessment to look back at and 1364 extend the sophorolipid tale, from beehive to bioeconomy. Take home messages are:

The genuine SL producing organisms are located in the genera of *Starmerella* and
 Pseudohyphozyma.

- As for the *Starmerella* species in their natural habitat, it has been postulated that SLs are
 produced as extracellular storage compound ('personal hoard') in combination with antimicrobial
 activity.
- 1370 Qualitative strain and structure identification are of utmost importance as previous inadequacies
 1371 led to questionable reports.
- 1372 To utilise SLs to their full extend, process optimisation has been performed to increase production 1373 performance. Hitherto, *in-situ* product recovery in combination with high biomass concentrations 1374 showed promising results. For further process optimisation reports, it is advised to use correct and 1375 standardised terminology. Moreover, production processes should be optimised for overall 1376 production cost and environmental impact. An increase in production process performance 1377 alongside an increase in production capacity will decrease the production cost and result in an 1378 increased application potential. In this perspective, the personal care and cleaning sector are 1379 playing a pioneering role as they already have sophorolipids in their formulations. Subsequently, 1380 the economy of scale will make the production price accessible for crop protection and food 1381 applications. If the necessary investments for production scale-up are performed, we expect a 1382 bright future for sophorolipids and other microbial biosurfactants.
- The strength of SLs lies in their function as both (bio-)active component and formulating agent.
 Additionally, the 'green nature' (high biodegradability, biological production) and mild properties
 of sophorolipids increases the demand for SLs.

1386 9 Acknowledgements

1387 No acknowledgements are necessary as the work provided to create this manuscript was fully1388 performed by the authors themselves.

1389 10 **Research funding**

Funding: This work was supported by the 'Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek' [grant numbers
1837420N, 1837920N, 1858817N]; Flemish Vlaio VIS project APPLISURF [HBC.2017.0704]

1392 11 **References**

- Abdel-Mawgoud, A.M., Lépine, F., Déziel, E., 2010. Rhamnolipids: diversity of structures, microbial
 origins and roles. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 86, 1323–1336. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253010-2498-2
- 1396 Akemi, V., Silveira, I., Urzedo, C.A., Freitas, Q., Pedrine, M.A., Celligoi, C., 2018. Antimicrobial
- 1397applications of sophorolipid from Candida bombicola: A promising alternative to conventional1398drugs. https://doi.org/10.7324/JABB.2018.60614
- 1399 Albrecht, A., Rau, U., Wagner, F., 1996. Initial steps of sophoroselipid biosynthesis by Candida

- bombicola ATCC 22214 grown on glucose. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 46, 67–73.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s002530050784
- Allef, P., Hartung, C., Schilling, M., 2012. Aqueous hair and skin cleaning compositions comprising
 biotensides. WO2013098066A2.
- Andersen, K.K., Vad, B.S., Roelants, S., van Bogaert, I.N.A., Otzen, D.E., 2016. Weak and saturable
 protein-surfactant interactions in the denaturation of apo-a-lactalbumin by acidic and lactonic
 sophorolipid. Front. Microbiol. 7, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01711
- Arab, F., Mulligan, C.N., 2016. Efficiency of sophorolipids for arsenic removal from mine tailings.
 Environ. Geotech. jenge.15.00016. https://doi.org/10.1680/jenge.15.00016
- Arelli, A., Nuzzo, A., Sabia, C., Banat, I.M., Zanaroli, G., Fava, F., 2018. Optimization of washing
 conditions with biogenic mobilizing agents for marine fuel-contaminated beach sands. N.
 Biotechnol. 43, 13–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbt.2017.12.007
- Ashby, R.D., McAloon, A.J., Solaiman, D.K.Y., Yee, W.C., Reed, M., 2013. A Process Model for
 Approximating the Production Costs of the Fermentative Synthesis of Sophorolipids. J.
 Surfactants Deterg. 16, 683–691. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11743-013-1466-0
- Ashby, R.D., Solaiman, D.K.Y., Foglia, T.A., 2008. Property control of sophorolipids: influence of
 fatty acid substrate and blending. Biotechnol. Lett. 30, 1093–1100.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-008-9653-1
- Ba, A.A., Everaert, J., Poirier, A., Le Griel, P., Soetaert, W., Roelants, S.L.K.W., Hermida-Merino,
 D., Stevens, C. V., Baccile, N., 2020. Synthesis and self-assembly of aminyl and alkynyl
 substituted sophorolipids. Green Chem. 22, 8323–8336. https://doi.org/10.1039/d0gc03053h
- Babaei, F., Habibi, A., 2018. Fast Biodegradation of Diesel Hydrocarbons at High Concentration by
 the Sophorolipid-Producing Yeast Candida catenulata KP324968. J. Mol. Microbiol. Biotechnol.
 28, 240–254. https://doi.org/10.1159/000496797
- Babazadeh, R., Lahtvee, P.J., Adiels, C.B., Goksör, M., Nielsen, J.B., Hohmann, S., 2017. The yeast
 osmostress response is carbon source dependent. Sci. Rep. 7, 1–11.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-01141-4
- Baccile, N., Babonneau, F., Banat, I.M., Ciesielska, K., Cuvier, A.-S., Devreese, B., Everaert, B.,
 Lydon, H., Marchant, R., Mitchell, C.A., Roelants, S., Six, L., Theeuwes, E., Tsatsos, G.,
 Tsotsou, G.E., Vanlerberghe, B., Van Bogaert, I.N.A., Soetaert, W., 2017. Development of a
 Cradle-to-Grave Approach for Acetylated Acidic Sophorolipid Biosurfactants. ACS Sustain.
 Chem. Eng. 5, 1186–1198. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.6b02570

- Baccile, N., Babonneau, F., Jestin, J., Pehau-Arnaudet, G., Van Bogaert, I., 2012. Unusual, pHInduced, Self-Assembly Of Sophorolipid Biosurfactants. ACS Nano 6, 4763–4776.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/nn204911k
- Baccile, Niki, Ben Messaoud, G., Zinn, T., Fernandes, F.M., 2019b. Soft lamellar solid foams from
 ice-templating of self-assembled lipid hydrogels: organization drives the mechanical properties.
 Mater. Horizons 6, 2073–2086. https://doi.org/10.1039/c9mh00371a
- 1438 Baccile, N., Cuvier, A.-S., Prévost, S., Stevens, C. V., Delbeke, E., Berton, J., Soetaert, W., Van
- Bogaert, I.N.A., Roelants, S., 2016a. Self-Assembly Mechanism of pH-Responsive Glycolipids:
 Micelles, Fibers, Vesicles, and Bilayers. Langmuir 32, 10881–10894.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.6b02337
- Baccile, N., Cuvier, A.-S., Valotteau, C., Van Bogaert, I.N.A., 2013. Practical methods to reduce
 impurities for gram-scale amounts of acidic sophorolipid biosurfactants. Eur. J. Lipid Sci.
 Technol. 115, 1404–1412. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.201300131
- Baccile, N., Delbeke, E.I.P., Brennich, M., Seyrig, C., Everaert, J., Roelants, S.L.K.W., Soetaert, W.,
 Van Bogaert, I.N.A., Van Geem, K.M., Stevens, C. V., 2019a. Asymmetrical, Symmetrical,
 Divalent, and Y-Shaped (Bola)amphiphiles: The Relationship between the Molecular Structure
 and Self-Assembly in Amino Derivatives of Sophorolipid Biosurfactants. J. Phys. Chem. B 123,
 3841–3858. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.9b01013
- Baccile, N., Le Griel, P., Prévost, S., Everaert, B., Van Bogaert, I.N.A., Roelants, S., Soetaert, W.,
 2017b. Glucosomes: Glycosylated Vesicle-in-Vesicle Aggregates in Water from pH-Responsive
 Microbial Glycolipid. ChemistryOpen 6, 526–533. https://doi.org/10.1002/open.201700101
- Baccile, N., Messaoud, G. Ben, Griel, P. Le, Cowieson, N., Perez, J., Geys, R., Graeve, M. De,
 Roelants, S.L.K.W., Soetaert, W., 2021a. Palmitic Acid Sophorolipid Biosurfactant: From SelfAssembled Fibrillar Network (SAFiN) To Hydrogels with Fast Recovery. Philos. Trans. A
 10.1098/rsta.2020.0343. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2020.0343
- Baccile, N., Nassif, N., Malfatti, L., Van Bogaert, I.N.A., Soetaert, W., Pehau-Arnaudet, G.,
 Babonneau, F., 2011. Sophorolipids: a yeast-derived glycolipid as greener structure directing
 agents for self-assembled nanomaterials. Green Chem. 12, 1564–1567.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/c0gc00163e
- Baccile, N., Selmane, M., Le Griel, P., Prévost, S., Perez, J., Stevens, C. V., Delbeke, E., Zibek, S.,
 Guenther, M., Soetaert, W., Van Bogaert, I.N.A., Roelants, S., 2016b. pH-Driven Self-Assembly
 of Acidic Microbial Glycolipids. Langmuir 32, 6343–6359.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.6b00488

- Baccile, N., Seyrig, C., Poirier, A., Castro, S.A., Roelants, S.L.K.W., Abel, S., 2021b. Self-Assembly,
 Interfacial Properties, Interactions with Macromolecules and Numerical Modelling of Microbial
 Bio-based Amphiphiles (Biosurfactants). A Tutorial Review. Green Chem. DOI:
 10.1039/d1gc00097g. https://doi.org/10.1039/d1gc00097g
- 1469 Baccile, N., Van Renterghem, L., Le Griel, P., Ducouret, G., Brennich, M., Cristiglio, V., Roelants, 1470 S.L.K.W., Soetaert, W., 2018. Bio-based glyco-bolaamphiphile forms a temperature-responsive 1471 Soft 14, 7859–7872. hydrogel with tunable elastic properties. Matter 1472 https://doi.org/10.1039/C8SM01167B
- Barclay, T.G., Constantopoulos, K., Matisons, J., 2014. Nanotubes self-assembled from amphiphilic
 molecules via helical intermediates. Chem. Rev. 114, 10217–10291.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/cr400085m
- Basak, G., Das, D., Das, N., 2014. Dual role of acidic diacetate sophorolipid as biostabilizer for ZnO
 nanoparticle synthesis and biofunctionalizing agent against Salmonella enterica and Candida
 albicans. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 24, 87–96. https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.1307.07081
- Basak, G., Das, N., 2014. Characterization of sophorolipid biosurfactant produced by Cryptococcus
 sp. VITGBN2 and its application on Zn(II) removal from electroplating wastewater. J. Environ.
 Biol. 35, 485–489.
- Ben Messaoud, G., Le Griel, P., Hermida-Merino, D., Roelants, S.L.K.W., Soetaert, W., Stevens,
 C.V., Baccile, N., 2019. pH-Controlled Self-Assembled Fibrillar Network Hydrogels: Evidence
 of Kinetic Control of the Mechanical Properties. Chem. Mater. 31, 4817–4830.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b01230
- Ben Messaoud, G., Promeneur, L., Brennich, M., Roelants, S.L.K.W., Le Griel, P., Baccile, N., 2018.
 Complex coacervation of natural sophorolipid bolaamphiphile micelles with cationic
 polyelectrolytes. Green Chem. 20, 3371–3385. https://doi.org/10.1039/c8gc01531g
- Bergström, M., 2000a. Thermodynamics of anisotropic surfactant micelles. I. The influence of
 curvature free energy on the micellar size and shape. J. Chem. Phys. 113, 5559–5568.
 https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1289884
- Bergström, M., 2000b. Thermodynamics of anisotropic surfactant micelles. II. A molecular
 interpretation of the micellar curvature free energy. J. Chem. Phys. 113, 5569–5579.
 https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1289885
- Bhangale, A.P., Wadekar, S.D., Kale, S.B., Pratap, A.P., 2014. Sophorolipids synthesized using nontraditional oils with glycerol and studies on their surfactant properties with synthetic surfactant.
 Tenside, Surfactants, Deterg. 51, 387–396. https://doi.org/10.3139/113.110320

- Borsanyiova, M., Patil, A., Mukherji, R., Prabhune, A., Bopegamage, S., 2016. Biological activity of
 sophorolipids and their possible use as antiviral agents. Folia Microbiol. (Praha). 61, 85–89.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12223-015-0413-z
- Brakemeier, A., Lang, S., Wullbrandt, D., Merschel, L., Benninghoven, A., Buschmann, N., Wagner,
 F., 1995. Novel sophorose lipids from microbial conversion of 2-alkanols. Biotechnol. Lett. 17,
 1183–1188. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00128383
- 1504 Brakemeier, A., Wullbrandt, D., Lang, S., 1998a. Microbial alkyl-sophorosides based on 1-dodecanol
- 1505 or 2-, 3- or 4-dodecanones. Biotechnol. Lett. 20, 215–218.
- Brakemeier, A., Wullbrandt, D., Lang, S., 1998b. Candida bombicola, production of novel alkyl
 glycosides based on glucose/2-dodecanol. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 50, 161–166.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s002530051271
- Brysch-Herzberg, M., 2004. Ecology of yeasts in plant-bumblebee mutualism in Central Europe.
 FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 50, 87–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsec.2004.06.003
- Bucholtz, M.L., Light, R.J., 1976. Hydrolysis of 13-sophorosyloxydocosanoic acid esters by acetyland carboxylesterases isolated from Candida bogoriensis. J. Biol. Chem. 251, 431–437.
- Cajka, T., Garay, L.A., Sitepu, I.R., Boundy-Mills, K.L., Fiehn, O., 2016. Multiplatform Mass
 Spectrometry-Based Approach Identifies Extracellular Glycolipids of the Yeast *Rhodotorula babjevae* UCDFST 04-877. J. Nat. Prod. 79, 2580–2589.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jnatprod.6b00497
- Callaghan, B., Lydon, H., Roelants, S.L.K.W., Van Bogaert, I.N.A., Marchant, R., Banat, I.M.,
 Mitchell, C.A., 2016. Lactonic sophorolipids increase tumor burden in Apcmin+/- mice. PLoS
 One 11. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0156845
- Cambia, 2020. Lens version 7.2.1. (https://www.lens.org/, accessed on 23/12/2020) [WWW
 Document]. URL https://www.lens.org/ (accessed 12.23.20).
- Campos, J.M., Montenegro Stamford, T.L., Sarubbo, L.A., de Luna, J.M., Rufino, R.D., Banat, I.M.,
 2013. Microbial biosurfactants as additives for food industries. Biotechnol. Prog. 29, 1097–1108.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/btpr.1796
- 1525 Cardinale, B.J., Duffy, J.E., Gonzalez, A., Hooper, D.U., Perrings, C., Venail, P., Narwani, A., MacE,
 1526 G.M., Tilman, D., Wardle, D.A., Kinzig, A.P., Daily, G.C., Loreau, M., Grace, J.B.,
 1527 Larigauderie, A., Srivastava, D.S., Naeem, S., 2012. Biodiversity loss and its impact on
 1528 humanity. Nature. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11148
- 1529 Casas, J.A., Garcia-Ochoa, F., 1999. Sophorolipid production by Candida bombicola: Medium

- 1530
 composition
 and
 culture
 methods.
 J.
 Biosci.
 Bioeng.
 88,
 488–494.

 1531
 https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1389-1723(00)87664-1
- Castelein, M., van Renterghem, L., Verbruggen, F., Spooren, J., Yurramendi, L., Laing, G. Du, Boon,
 N., Soetaert, W., Hennebel, T., Roelants, S., Williamson, A., 2020. Bioleaching of metals from
 secondary materials using glycolipid biosurfactants (ready for submission).
- 1535 Cavalero, D.A., Cooper, D.G., 2003. The effect of medium composition on the structure and physical
 1536 state of sophorolipids produced by Candida bombicola ATCC 22214. J. Biotechnol. 103, 31–41.
 1537 https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1656(03)00067-1
- 1538 Centraal bureau voor Schimmelculturen, 2020. CBS strain database (https://wi.knaw.nl/, accessed on
 1539 23/12/2020) [WWW Document]. URL https://wi.knaw.nl/ (accessed 12.23.20).
- Chandran, P., Das, N., 2012. Role of sophorolipid biosurfactant in degradation of diesel oil by
 Candida tropicalis. Bioremediat. J. 16, 19–30. https://doi.org/10.1080/10889868.2011.628351
- 1542 Chandran, P., Das, N., 2011. Characterization of sophorolipid biosurfactant produced by yeast species1543 grown on diesel oil. Int. J. Sci. Nat. 2, 63–71.
- Chen, J., Song, X., Zhang, H., Qu, Y.B., Miao, J.Y., 2006. Sophorolipid produced from the new yeast
 strain Wickerhamiella domercqiae induces apoptosis in H7402 human liver cancer cells. Appl.
 Microbiol. Biotechnol. 72, 52–59. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-005-0243-z
- 1547 Chen, J., Zhang, H., 2014. Method for selectively producing sophorolipid. (CN104178538A).
- Chen, J., Zhang, H., Liu, Y., Fu, S., Liu, X., 2014. Metal ions can affect the composition and
 production of sophorolipids by *Wickerhamiella domercqiae Y2A CGMCC 3798*. Eur. J. Lipid
 Sci. Technol. 116, 1505–1512.
- Chen, Z., Sun, H., Li, P., He, N., Zhu, T., Li, Y., Schacherer, J., 2013. Enhancement of the Gene
 Targeting Efficiency of Non-Conventional Yeasts by Increasing Genetic Redundancy.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0057952
- Ciesielska, K., Li, B., Groeneboer, S., Van Bogaert, I., Lin, Y.C., Soetaert, W., Van de Peer, Y.,
 Devreese, B., 2013. SILAC-Based Proteome Analysis of Starmerella bombicola Sophorolipid
 Production. J. Proteome Res. 12, 4376–4392. https://doi.org/10.1021/pr400392a
- Ciesielska, K., Roelants, S.L.K.W., Van Bogaert, I.N.A., De Waele, S., Vandenberghe, I., Groeneboer,
 S., Soetaert, W., Devreese, B., 2016. Characterization of a novel enzyme—Starmerella
 bombicola lactone esterase (SBLE)—responsible for sophorolipid lactonization. Appl.
 Microbiol. Biotechnol. 100, 9529–9541. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-016-7633-2
- 1561 Ciesielska, K., Van Bogaert, I.N., Chevineau, S., Li, B., Groeneboer, S., Soetaert, W., Van de Peer, Y.,

- Devreese, B., 2014. Exoproteome analysis of Starmerella bombicola results in the discovery of
 an esterase required for lactonization of sophorolipids. J. Proteomics 98, 159–174.
 https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2013.12.026
- Claus, S., Van Bogaert, I.N.A., 2017. Sophorolipid production by yeasts: a critical review of the
 literature and suggestions for future research. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 101, 7811–7821.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-017-8519-7
- Cox, T.F., Crawford, R.J., Gregory, L.G., Hosking, S.L., Kotsakis, P., 2011. Mild to the skin, foaming
 detergent composition (WO2011120776A1). WO2011120776A1.
- 1570 Cuvier, A.-S., Berton, J., Stevens, C. V., Fadda, G.C., Babonneau, F., Van Bogaert, I.N.A., Soetaert,
 1571 W., Pehau-Arnaudet, G., Baccile, N., 2014. pH-triggered formation of nanoribbons from yeast1572 derived glycolipid biosurfactants. Soft Matter 10, 3950–3959.
 1573 https://doi.org/10.1039/C4SM00111G
- Cuvier, A.S., Babonneau, F., Berton, J., Stevens, C. V., Fadda, G.C., Genois, I., Le Griel, P., P HauArnaudet, G., Baccile, N., 2015a. Synthesis of Uniform, Monodisperse, Sophorolipid Twisted
 Ribbons. Chem. An Asian J. 10, 2419–2426. https://doi.org/10.1002/asia.201500693
- 1577 Cuvier, A.S., Babonneau, F., Berton, J., Stevens, C. V., Fadda, G.C., Péhau-Arnaudet, G., Le Griel, P.,
 1578 Prévost, S., Perez, J., Baccile, N., 2015b. Nanoscale Platelet Formation by Monounsaturated and
 1579 Saturated Sophorolipids under Basic pH Conditions. Chem. A Eur. J. 21, 19265–19277.
 1580 https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201502933
- Daniel, Hans-J., Otto, R.T., Reuss, M., Syldatk, C., 1998. Sophorolipid Production with High Yields
 on Whey Concentrate and Rapeseed Oil without Consumption of Lactose. Biotechnol. Lett. 20,
 805–807.
- Daniel, Hans-Joachim, Reuss, M., Syldatk, C., 1998. Production of sophorolipids in high
 concentration from deproteinized whey and rapeseed oil in a two stage fed batch process using
 Candida bombicola ATCC 22214 and Cryptococcus curvatus ATCC 20509. Biotechnol. Lett. 20,
 1153–1156. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005332605003
- Daverey, A., Pakshirajan, K., 2010. Sophorolipids from Candida bombicola using mixed hydrophilic
 substrates: Production, purification and characterization. Colloids Surfaces B Biointerfaces 79,
 246–253. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2010.04.002
- Daverey, A., Pakshirajan, K., 2009. Production of sophorolipids by the yeast Candida bombicola using
 simple and low cost fermentative media. Food Res. Int. 42, 499–504.
- Davila, A.-M., Marchal, R., Vandecasteele, J.-P., 1997. Sophorose lipid fermentation with
 differentiated substrate supply for growth and production phases. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.

1595 47, 496–501.

- Davila, A.-M., Marchal, R., Vandecasteele, J.-P., 1992. Kinetics and balance of a fermentation free
 from product inhibition: sophorose lipid production by Candida bombicola. Appl. Microbiol.
 Biotechnol. 38, 6–11. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00169410
- 1599 De Graeve, M., Van de Velde, I., Saey, L., Chys, M., Oorts, H., Kahriman, H., Mincke, S., Stevens, 1600 C., De Maeseneire, S.L., Roelants, S.L.K.W., Soetaert, W.K.G., 2019. Production of long-chain 1601 FEMS hydroxy fatty acids by Starmerella bombicola. Yeast Res. 19. 1602 https://doi.org/10.1093/femsyr/foz067
- de Bruyn, J.W., Van Keulen, H.A., Ferguson, J.H.A., 1968. Rapid method for the simultaneous
 determination of glucose and fructose using anthrone reagent. J. Sci. Food Agric. 19, 597–601.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.2740191009
- 1606 De Clercq, V., Roelants, S.L.K.W., Castelein, M.G., De Maeseneire, S.L., Soetaert, W.K., 2021.
 1607 Elucidation of the natural function of sophorolipids produced by Starmerella bombicola. J. Fungi
 1608 (submission ongoing).s
- 1609 De Graeve, M., De Maeseneire, S.L., Roelants, S.L.K.W., Soetaert, W., 2018. Starmerella bombicola,
 1610 an industrially relevant, yet fundamentally underexplored yeast. FEMS Yeast Res. 18.
 1611 https://doi.org/10.1093/femsyr/foy072
- de Oliveira, M.R. et al., 2015. Sophorolipids: a promising biosurfactant and it's applications. Adv.
 Biotechnol. Res. 16, 161–174.
- de Vasconcelos, G.M.D., Mulinari, J., Schmidt, V.K. de O., Matosinhos, R.D., José Vladimir de
 Oliveira, Oliveira, D. de, De Andrade, C.J., 2020. Biosurfactants as Green Biostimulants for
 Seed Germination and Growth. Int. J. Res. Stud. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 6.
 https://doi.org/10.20431/2454-9428.0601001
- 1618 Deinema, M., 1961. Intra- and extra-cellular lipid production by yeasts. Meded. Landb-Hoogesch.1619 wageningen 61.
- 1620 Develter, D.; Fleurackers, S., 2012. Sophorolactone production (US10287615B2). US10287615B2.
- 1621 Develter, D., Fleurackers, S., 2012. Improved sophorolactone production (EP2718454A1).
- 1622 Develter, D., Fleurackers, S., 2007. A method for the production of short chained glycolipids1623 (EP1953237).
- 1624 Develter, D., Jacobs, I., Renkin, M., 2003. Detergent compositions (EP1445302A1). EP1445302A1.
- 1625 Develter, D.W.G., Lauryssen, L.M.L., 2010. Properties and industrial applications of sophorolipids.

- 1626 Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol. 112, 628–638. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.200900153
- 1627 Dhar, P., Chernyshova, I. V., Thornhill, M., Roelants, S., Soetaert, W., Kota, H.R., 2019. Floatability
 1628 of Chalcopyrite by Glycolipid Biosurfactants as Compared to Traditional Thiol Surfactants.
 1629 Tenside Surfactants Deterg. 56, 429–435. https://doi.org/10.3139/113.110639
- 1630 Dhasaiyan, P., Banerjee, A., Visaveliya, N., Prasad, B.L. V, 2013. Influence of the sophorolipid
 1631 molecular geometry on their self-assembled structures. Chem. Asian J. 8, 369–372.
 1632 https://doi.org/10.1002/asia.201200935
- Dhasaiyan, P., Le Griel, P., Roelants, S., Redant, E., Van Bogaert, I.N.A., Prevost, S., Prasad, B.L. V.,
 Baccile, N., 2017. Micelles versus Ribbons: How Congeners Drive the Self-Assembly of Acidic
 Sophorolipid Biosurfactants. ChemPhysChem 18, 643–652.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/cphc.201601323
- Dhasaiyan, P., Pandey, P.R., Visaveliya, N., Roy, S., Prasad, B.L. V, 2014. Vesicle structures from
 bolaamphiphilic biosurfactants: Experimental and molecular dynamics simulation studies on the
 effect of unsaturation on sophorolipid self-assemblies. Chem. A Eur. J. 20, 6246–6250.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201304719
- 1641 Dhasaiyan, P., Prévost, S., Baccile, N., Prasad, B.L. V, 2018. pH- and time-resolved in-situ SAXS
 1642 study of self-assembled twisted ribbons formed by elaidic acid sophorolipids. Langmuir 34,
 1643 2121–2131. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.7b03164
- Díaz De Rienzo, M.A., Stevenson, P., Marchant, R., Banat, I.M., 2016. Antibacterial properties of
 biosurfactants against selected Gram-positive and -negative bacteria. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 363.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnv224
- Dolman, B.M., Kaisermann, C., Martin, P.J., Winterburn, J.B., 2017. Integrated sophorolipid
 production and gravity separation. Process Biochem. 54, 162–171.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PROCBIO.2016.12.021
- 1650 Duran, A.L., 2015. Sophorolipid-containing compositions having reduced pour point temperature.
 1651 WO2015164327A1.
- Elshafie, A.E., Joshi, S.J., Al-Wahaibi, Y.M., Al-Bemani, A.S., Al-Bahry, S.N., Al-Maqbali, D.,
 Banat, I.M., 2015. Sophorolipids Production by Candida bombicola ATCC 22214 and its
 Potential Application in Microbial Enhanced Oil Recovery. Front. Microbiol. 6, 1324.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01324
- 1656 Ernenwein, C., Reynaud, R., Guilleret, A., Podevin, L., Lafosse, A., Rannou, F., 2013. Biosolubilizer
 1657 (WO2013182759A1). WO2013182759A1.

- Esders, T.W., Light, R.J., 1972. Characterization and in-vivo production of three glycolipids from
 Candida bogoriensis 13-glucopyranosylglucopyranosyloxydocosanoic acid and its
 monoacetylated and diacetylated derivatives. J. Lipid Res. 13, 663--.
- 1661 Evonik, 2019. Evonik and Unilever team up for large-scale production of world's first "green"
 1662 biosurfactant. (https://household-care.evonik.com/product/household-
- 1663 care/en/pages/article.aspx?articleId=121470, accessed on 23/12/2020) [WWW Document]. URL
- 1664 https://household-care.evonik.com/product/household-
- 1665 care/en/pages/article.aspx?articleId=121470 (accessed 12.23.20).
- Felse, P.A., Shah, V., Chan, J., Rao, K.J., Gross, R.A., 2007. Sophorolipid biosynthesis by Candida
 bombicola from industrial fatty acid residues. Enzyme Microb. Technol. 40, 316–323.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enzmictec.2006.04.013
- Fleurackers, S.J.J., 2013. Commentary regarding "Practical methods to reduce impurities in gramscale amounts of acidic sophorolipid biosurfactants." Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol. 115, 1402–1403.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.201300235
- Fu, S.L., Wallner, S.R., Bowne, W.B., Hagler, M.D., Zenilman, M.E., Gross, R., Bluth, M.H., 2008.
 Sophorolipids and their derivatives are lethal against human pancreatic cancer cells. J. Surg. Res.
 148, 77–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2008.03.005
- Gao, R., Falkeborg, M., Xu, X., Guo, Z., 2013. Production of sophorolipids with enhanced volumetric
 productivity by means of high cell density fermentation. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 97, 1103–
 1111. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-012-4399-z
- Garay, L.A., Sitepu, I.R., Cajka, T., Cathcart, E., Fiehn, O., German, J.B., Block, D.E., Boundy-Mills,
 K.L., 2017. Simultaneous production of intracellular triacylglycerols and extracellular polyol
 esters of fatty acids by Rhodotorula babjevae and Rhodotorula aff. paludigena. J. Ind. Microbiol.
 Biotechnol. 44, 1397–1413. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-017-1964-6
- 1682 Garcia-Ochoa, F., Casas, J.A., 1996. Process for the production of sophorose by Candida bombicola1683 (ES2103688A1).
- Geetha, S.J., Banat, I.M., Joshi, S.J., 2018. Biosurfactants: Production and potential applications in
 microbial enhanced oil recovery (MEOR). Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol. 14, 23–32.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2018.01.010
- Geys, R., De Graeve, M., Lodens, S., Van Malderen, J., Lemmens, C., De Smet, M., Mincke, S., Van
 Bogaert, I., Stevens, C., De Maeseneire, S., Roelants, S., Soetaert, W., 2018. Increasing
 Uniformity of Biosurfactant Production in Starmerella bombicola via the Expression of Chimeric
 Cytochrome P450s. Colloids and Interfaces 2, 42. https://doi.org/10.3390/colloids2040042

- Giessler-Blank, S., Schilling, M., Thum, O., Sieverding, E., 2010. Use of sophorolipids and
 derivatives thereof in combination with pesticides as adjuvant/additive for plant protection and
 the industrial non-crop field. WO2011039014A1.
- 1694 Gietz, R.D., Woods, R.A., 2002. Transformation of yeast by lithium acetate/single-stranded carrier
 1695 DNA/polyethylene glycol method. Methods Enzymol. 350, 87–96.
 1696 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(02)50957-5
- 1697 Göbbert, U., Lang, S., Wagner, F., 1984. Sophorose lipid formation by resting cells of Torulopsis
 1698 bombicola. Biotechnol. Lett. 6, 225–230. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00140041
- Gonçalves, C., Wisecaver, J.H., Kominek, J., Salema Oom, M., Leandro, M.J., Shen, X.X., Opulente,
 D.A., Zhou, X., Peris, D., Kurtzman, C.P., Hittinger, C.T., Rokas, A., Gonçalves, P., 2018.
 Evidence for loss and reacquisition of alcoholic fermentation in a fructophilic yeast lineage. Elife
 7. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.33034
- Gonçalves, P., Gonçalves, C., Brito, P.H., Sampaio, J.P., 2020. The *Wickerhamiella/Starmerella*clade—A treasure trove for the study of the evolution of yeast metabolism. Yeast 37, 313–320.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/yea.3463
- Gorin, P.A.J., Spencer, J.F.T., Tulloch, A.P., 1961. Hydroxy Fatty Acid Glycosides of Sophorose from
 Torulopsis magnoliae. Can. J. Chem. 39, 846–855. https://doi.org/10.1139/v61-104
- Goswami, T., Tack, F.M.G., McGachy, L., Šír, M., 2020. Remediation of Aviation KeroseneContaminated Soil by Sophorolipids from Candida bombicola CB 2107. Appl. Sci. 10, 1981.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/app10061981
- 1711 Gross, R., Shah, V., 2005. Antifungal properties of various forms of sophorolipids1712 (WO2006069175A2).
- Gross, R.A., Peng, Y., Thavasi, R., Koh, A., 2013. Modified sophorolipids as oil solubilizing agents
 (US9650405B2). US9650405B2.
- Gross, R.A., Shah, V., 2007. Anti-herpes virus properties of various forms of sophorolipids
 (WO2007130738A1). WO2007130738A1.
- 1717 Gross, R.A., Shah, V., 2003. Antimicrobial properties of various forms of sophorolipids1718 (WO2004044216A1). WO2004044216A1.
- Grossman, A.D., 1995. GENETIC NETWORKS CONTROLLING THE INITIATION OF
 SPORULATION AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF GENETIC COMPETENCE IN BACILLUS
 SUBTILIS, A/III u. Rev. Genetics.
- 1722 Gunawan, S., Vorderbruggen, M.A., Armstrong, C.D., 2017. Method of using sophorolipids or

- mannosylerythritol lipids as acid corrosion inhibitors in well treatment operations.US9683164B2.
- Haas, L.O.C., Cregg, J.M., Gleeson, M.A.G., 1990. Development of an integrative DNA
 transformation system for the yeast Candida tropicalis. J. Bacteriol. 172, 4571–4577.
 https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.172.8.4571-4577.1990
- Haque, F., Alfatah, M., Ganesan, K., Bhattacharyya, M.S., 2016. Inhibitory Effect of Sophorolipid on
 Candida albicans Biofilm Formation and Hyphal Growth. Sci. Rep. 6, 1–11.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23575
- Haque, F., Sajid, M., Cameotra, S.S., Battacharyya, M.S., 2017. Anti-biofilm activity of a
 sophorolipid-amphotericin B niosomal formulation against Candida albicans. Biofouling 33,
 768–779. https://doi.org/10.1080/08927014.2017.1363191
- Hayes, D.G., Solaiman, D.K., Ashby, R.D., 2019. Biobased Surfactants, in: Biobased Surfactants
 Synthesis, Properties, and Applications. Elsevier, p. 541.
- Heike, S., Maria, T., Brigitte, L., 2016. Cleansing agents containing biosurfactants and having
 prebiotic activity (GB2544166A). GB2544166A.
- Hillion, G., Marchal, R., Stoltz, C., Borzeix, F., 1995. Use of a sophorolipid to provide free radical
 formation inhibiting activity or elastase inhibiting activity (US5756471A). US5756471A.
- Hillion, G., Marchal, R., Stoltz, C., Borzeix, F., 1994. Use of sophorolipids and cosmetic and
 dermatological compositions (FR2720941B1). FR2720941B1.
- Hirata, Y., Ryu, M., Igarashi, K., Nagatsuka, A., Furuta, T., Kanaya, S., Sugiura, M., 2009. Natural
 synergism of acid and lactone type mixed sophorolipids in interfacial activities and
 cytotoxicities. J. Oleo Sci. 58, 565–572.
- Holmberg, K., Jönsson, B., Kronberg, B., Lindman, B., 2002. Ch. 2 Surfactant Micellization, in:
 Surfactants and Polymers in Aqueous Solutions. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., pp. 39–66.
- Hommel, R., Ratledge, C., 1993. Biosynthetic mechanisms of low molecular weight surfactants and
 their precursor molecules, in: Kosaric, N. (Ed.), Biosurfactants: Production: Properties:
 Applications. Marcel Dekker, INC, pp. 3–63.
- Hommel, R. K., Stegner, S., Kleber, H.-P., Weber, L., 1994. Effect of ammonium ions on glycolipid
 production by Candida (Torulopsis)apicola. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 42, 192–197.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00902716
- Hommel, R K, Weber, L., Weiss, A., Himmelreich, U., Rilke, O., Kleber, H.-P., 1994. Production of
 sophorose lipid by Candida (Torulopsis) apicola grown on glucose. J. Biotechnol. 33, 147–155.

- Hu, Y., 2000. Production, purification and enzymatic polymerization of sophorolipids. Dep. Chem.
 Eng. University of Akron, Akron.
- Hu, Y., Ju, L., 2001. Purification of lactonic sophorolipids by crystallization. J. Biotechnol. 87, 263–
 272.
- Huang, F.-C., Peter, A., Schwab, W., 2014. Expression and Characterization of CYP52 Genes
 Involved in the Biosynthesis of Sophorolipid and Alkane Metabolism from Starmerella
 bombicola. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 80, 766–776. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02886-13
- Huang, X., Shen, C., Liu, J., Lu, L., 2015. Improved volatile fatty acid production during waste
 activated sludge anaerobic fermentation by different bio-surfactants. Chem. Eng. J. 264, 280–
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2014.11.078
- Imura, T., Masuda, Y., Minamikawa, H., Fukuoka, T., Konishi, M., Morita, T., Sakai, H., Abe, M.,
 Kitamoto, D., 2010. Enzymatic Conversion of Diacetylated Sophoroselipid into Acetylated
 Glucoselipid: Surface-Active Properties of Novel Bolaform Biosurfactants. J. Oleo Sci. J. Oleo
 Sci 59, 495–501.
- Imura, T., Morita, T., Fukuoka, T., Ryu, M., Igarashi, K., Hirata, Y., Kitamoto, D., 2014. Spontaneous
 Vesicle Formation from Sodium Salt of Acidic Sophorolipid and Its Application as a Skin
 Penetration Enhancer 147, 141–147.
- Ishii, N., Kobayashi, T., Matsumiya, K., Ryu, M., Hirata, Y., Matsumura, Y., Suzuki, Y.A., 2012.
 Transdermal administration of lactoferrin with sophorolipid. Biochem. Cell Biol. 90, 504–512.
 https://doi.org/10.1139/o11-065
- Isoda, H., Shinmoto, H., Kitamoto, D., Matsumura, M., Nakahara, T., 1997. Differentiation of human
 promyelocytic leukemia cell line HL60 by microbial extracellular glycolipids. Lipids 32, 263–
 271. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11745-997-0033-0
- Israelachvili, J.N., Mitchell, D.J., Ninham, B.W., 1976. Theory of self-assembly of hydrocarbon
 amphiphiles into micelles and bilayers. J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 2 72, 1525.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/f29767201525
- Ito, S., Inoue, S., 1982. Sophorolipids from Torulopsis bombicola: possible relation to alkane uptake.
 Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 43, 1278–83.
- Ito, S., Kinta, M., Inoue, S., 1980. Growth of yeasts on n-alkanes: Inhibition by a lactonic sophorolipid
 produced by Torulopsis bombicola. Agric. Biol. Chem. 44, 2221–2223.
 https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb1961.44.2221
- 1786 Jessop, P.G., 2011. Searching for green solvents. Green Chem. 13, 1391-1398.

1787 https://doi.org/10.1039/c0gc00797h

- Jezierska, S., Claus, S., Ledesma-Amaro, R., Van Bogaert, I., 2019. Redirecting the lipid metabolism
 of the yeast Starmerella bombicola from glycolipid to fatty acid production. J Ind Microbiol
 Biotechnol 46, 1697–1706. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-019-02234-x
- 1791 Jiménez-Peñalver, P., Castillejos, M., Koh, A., Gross, R., Sánchez, A., Font, X., Gea, T., 2018. 1792 Production and characterization of sophorolipids from stearic acid by solid-state fermentation, a 1793 surfactants. Clean. 172. 2735-2747. cleaner alternative to chemical J. Prod. 1794 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.11.138
- Jiménez-Peñalver, P., Gea, T., Sánchez, A., Font, X., 2016. Production of sophorolipids from
 winterization oil cake by solid-state fermentation: Optimization, monitoring and effect of mixing.
 Biochem. Eng. J. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2016.08.006
- Jiménez-Peñalver, P., Rodríguez, A., Daverey, A., Font, X., Gea, T., 2019. Use of wastes for
 sophorolipids production as a transition to circular economy: state of the art and perspectives.
 Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotechnol. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11157-019-09502-3
- Joshi, S.J., Al-Wahaibi, Y., Al-Bahry, S., Al-Rawahi, K., Elshafie, A., Al-Bemani, A., Banat, I.M.,
 2019. Biosurfactant Production from Waste Frying Oil and its Utilization in Microbial Enhanced
 Oil Recovery. Arab Gulf J. Sci. Res. Vol. 37, p22-23. 2p.
- Jules, M., Beltran, G., François, J., Parrou, J.L., 2008. New insights into trehalose metabolism by
 Saccharomyces cerevisiae: NTH2 encodes a functional cytosolic trehalase, and deletion of TPS1
 reveals Ath1p-dependent trehalose mobilization. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74, 605–614.
 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00557-07
- 1808 Kang, S.-W., Kim, Y.-B., Shin, J.-D., Kim, E.-K., 2010. Enhanced Biodegradation of Hydrocarbons in
 1809 Soil by Microbial Biosurfactant, Sophorolipid. Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 160, 780–790.
 1810 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-009-8580-5
- 1811 Karsten, S., Dreja, M., Noglich, J., 2010. Low-residue detergent for hard surfaces.
 1812 WO2011051161A1.
- 1813 Kaur, G., Wang, H., To, M.H., Roelants, S.L.K.W., Soetaert, W., Lin, C.S.K., 2019. Efficient
 1814 sophorolipids production using food waste. J. Clean. Prod. 232, 1–11.
 1815 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2019.05.326
- 1816 Khatoon, Z., McTiernan, C.D., Suuronen, E.J., Mah, T.F., Alarcon, E.I., 2018. Bacterial biofilm
 1817 formation on implantable devices and approaches to its treatment and prevention. Heliyon 4,
 1818 e01067. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e01067

- 1819 Khoo, H.H., Ee, W.L., Isoni, V., 2016. Bio-chemicals from lignocellulose feedstock: Sustainability,
 1820 LCA and the green conundrum. Green Chem. 18, 1912–1922.
 1821 https://doi.org/10.1039/c5gc02065d
- 1822 Kim, H.S., Kim, Y.B., Lee, B.S., Kim, E.K., 2005. Sophorolipid production by Candida bombicola
 1823 ATCC 22214 from a corn-oil processing byproduct. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 15, 55–58.
- 1824 Kim, K., Yoo, D., Kim, Y., Lee, B., Shin, D., Kim, E.K., 2002. Characteristics of sophorolipid as an
 1825 antimicrobial agent. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 12, 235–241.
- 1826 Kitamoto, D., Isoda, H., Nakahara, T., 2002. Functions and potential applications of glycolipid
 1827 biosurfactants from energy-saving materials to gene delivery carriers —. J. Biosci. Bioeng.
 1828 94, 187–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1389-1723(02)80149-9
- 1829 Klekner, V., Kosaric, N., Zhou, Q.H., 1991. Sophorose lipids produced from sucrose. Biotechnol. Lett.
 1830 13, 345–348. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01027680
- 1831 Koh, A., Gross, R., 2016a. A versatile family of sophorolipid esters: Engineering surfactant structure
 1832 for stabilization of lemon oil-water interfaces. Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 507,
 1833 152–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2016.07.089
- 1834 Koh, A., Gross, R., 2016b. Molecular editing of sophorolipids by esterification of lipid moieties:
 1835 Effects on interfacial properties at paraffin and synthetic crude oil-water interfaces. Colloids
 1836 Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 507, 170–181.
 1837 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2016.07.084
- 1838 Koh, A., Linhardt, R.J., Gross, R., 2016. Effect of Sophorolipid n -Alkyl Ester Chain Length on Its
 1839 Interfacial Properties at the Almond Oil–Water Interface. Langmuir 32, 5562–5572.
 1840 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.6b01008
- 1841 Koh, A., Todd, K., Sherbourne, E., Gross, R.A., 2017a. Fundamental Characterization of the Micellar
 1842 Self-Assembly of Sophorolipid Esters. Langmuir 33, 5760–5768.
 1843 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.7b00480
- 1844 Koh, A., Wong, A., Quinteros, A., Desplat, C., Gross, R., 2017b. Influence of Sophorolipid Structure
 1845 on Interfacial Properties of Aqueous-Arabian Light Crude and Related Constituent Emulsions. J.
 1846 Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 94, 107–119. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11746-016-2913-7
- 1847 Koh, C.M.J., Liu, Y., Moehninsi, Du, M., Ji, L., 2014. Molecular characterization of KU70 and KU80
 1848 homologues and exploitation of a KU70-deficient mutant for improving gene deletion frequency
 1849 in Rhodosporidium toruloides. BMC Microbiol. 14, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-141850 50

- 1851 Konishi, M., Fujita, M., Ishibane, Y., Shimizu, Y., Tsukiyama, Y., Ishida, M., 2016. Isolation of yeast
 1852 candidates for efficient sophorolipids production: Their production potentials associate to their
 1853 lineage. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 80, 2058–2064.
 1854 https://doi.org/10.1080/09168451.2016.1191332
- 1855 Konishi, M., Fukuoka, T., Morita, T., Imura, T., Kitamoto, D., 2008. Production of new types of
 1856 sophorolipids by Candida batistae. J. Oleo Sci. 57, 359–369.
- 1857 Konishi, M., Morita, T., Fukuoka, T., Imura, T., Uemura, S., Iwabuchi, H., Kitamoto, D., 2018. 1858 Efficient Production of Acid-Form Sophorolipids from Waste Glycerol and Fatty Acid Methyl 1859 Esters by <i>Candida floricola</i> J. Oleo Sci. 67. 489-496. 1860 https://doi.org/10.5650/jos.ess17219
- 1861 Konishi, M., Morita, T., Fukuoka, T., Imura, T., Uemura, S., Iwabuchi, H., Kitamoto, D., 2017.
 1862 Selective Production of Acid-form Sophorolipids from Glycerol by Candida floricola. J. Oleo Sci 1863 66, 1365–1373. https://doi.org/10.5650/jos.ess17116
- 1864 Konishi, M., Yoshida, Y., Horiuchi, J., 2015. Efficient production of sophorolipids by Starmerella
 1865 bombicola using a corncob hydrolysate medium. J. Biosci. Bioeng. 119, 317–322.
 1866 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiosc.2014.08.007
- 1867 Koral, B., Weers, L., Campbell, J.J., 2014. Methods and compositions for decreasing the viscosity of
 hydrocarbon-based fluids during refining. US9550937B2.
- 1869 Kralova, I., Sjoblom, J., 2009. Surfactants used in food industry: a review. J. Dispers. Sci. Technol.
 1870 30, 1363–1383.
- 1871 Kurtzman, C P, 2012. Candida kuoi sp. nov., an anamorphic species of the Starmerella yeast clade that
 1872 synthesizes sophorolipids. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 62, 2307–2311.
 1873 https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.039479-0
- 1874 Kurtzman, C.P., Price, N.P.J., Ray, K.J., Kuo, T.-M., 2011. Fermentative production of sophorolipids
 1875 from soybean and other vegetable oils. (US9382566B1). US9382566B1.
- 1876 Kurtzman, Cletus P., Price, N.P.J., Ray, K.J., Kuo, T.M., 2010. Production of sophorolipid
 1877 biosurfactants by multiple species of the Starmerella (Candida) bombicola yeast clade. FEMS
 1878 Microbiol. Lett. 311, 140–146. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2010.02082.x
- Lang, S., Brakemeier, A., Heckmann, R., Spockner, S., Rau, U., 2000. Production of native and
 modified sophorose lipids. Chim. OGGI-CHEMISTRY TODAY 18, 76–79.
- Lang, S., Wagner, F., 1993. Biological activities of biosurfactants, in: Kosaric, N. (Ed.),
 Biosurfactants: Production-Properties-Applications, Surfactants Science Series. Marcel Dekker,

- 1883 pp. 251–268.
- 1884 Laquerbe, S., Carvalho, A., Schmutz, M., Poirier, A., Baccile, N., Ben Messaoud, G., 2021. pH-1885 Switchable Pickering Emulsions Stabilized by Biosurfactant-Polyelectrolyte Complex 1886 Coacervate Colloids. J. Colloid Interface Sci. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcis.2021.04.135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2021.04.135 1887
- Li, H., Guo, W., Ma, X. jing, Li, J. shan, Song, X., 2017. In Vitro and in Vivo Anticancer Activity of
 Sophorolipids to Human Cervical Cancer. Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 181, 1372–1387.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-016-2290-6
- Li, H., Ma, X., Shao, L., Shen, J., Song, X., 2012. Enhancement of Sophorolipid Production of
 Wickerhamiella domercqiae var. sophorolipid CGMCC 1576 by Low-Energy Ion Beam
 Implantation. Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 167, 510–523. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-0129664-1
- Li, H., Ma, X., Wang, S., Song, X., 2013. Production of sophorolipids with eicosapentaenoic acid and
 docosahexaenoic acid from Wickerhamiella domercqiae var. sophorolipid using fish oil as a
 hydrophobic carbon source. Biotechnol. Lett. 35, 901–908. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-0131151-4
- Li, J., Li, H., Li, W., Xia, C., Song, X., 2016. Identification and characterization of a flavin-containing
 monooxygenase MoA and its function in a specific sophorolipid molecule metabolism in *Starmerella bombicola*. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 100, 1307–1318.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-7091-2
- Lin, Y., Chen, Y., Li, Q., Tian, X., Chu, J., 2019. Rational high-throughput screening system for high
 sophorolipids production in Candida bombicola by co-utilizing glycerol and glucose capacity.
 Bioresour. Bioprocess. 6, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40643-019-0252-x
- Lindow, S.E., Brandl, M.T., 2003. Microbiology of the phyllosphere. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.
 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.4.1875-1883.2003
- Linton, J.D., 1991. Metabolite production and growth efficiency. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 60, 293–
 311. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00430371
- Liu, X. ge, Ma, X. jing, Yao, R. sheng, Pan, C. yu, He, H. bing, 2016. Sophorolipids production from
 rice straw via SO3 micro-thermal explosion by Wickerhamiella domercqiae var. sophorolipid
 CGMCC 1576. AMB Express 6, 60. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13568-016-0227-7
- Liu, Z., Tian, X., Chen, Y., Lin, Y., Mohsin, A., Chu, J., 2019. Efficient sophorolipids production via
 a novel in situ separation technology by Starmerella bombicola. Process Biochem. 81, 1–10.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2018.12.005

- Lo, C.-M., Ju, L.-K., 2009. Sophorolipids-induced cellulase production in cocultures of Hypocrea
 jecorina Rut C30 and Candida bombicola. Enzyme Microb. Technol. 44, 107–111.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enzmictec.2008.10.006
- Lodens, S., De Graeve, M., Roelants, S.L.K.W., De Maeseneire, S.L., Soetaert, W., 2017.
 Transformation of an exotic yeast species into a platform organism: a case study for engineering
 glycolipid production in the yeast Starmerella bombicola., in: Braman, J. (Ed.), Synthetic
 Biology. Springer Publishing Co. series "Methods in Molecular Biology." https://doi.org/in press
- Lodens, S., Roelants, S.L.K.W., Ciesielska, K., Geys, R., Derynck, E., Maes, K., Pattyn, F., Van
 Renterghem, L., Mottet, L., Dierickx, S., Vanhaecke, L., Devreese, B., De Maeseneire, S.L.,
 Soetaert, W., 2019. Unraveling and resolving inefficient glucolipid biosurfactants production
 through quantitative multiomics analyses of *Starmerella bombicola* strains. Biotechnol. Bioeng.
 bit.27191. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.27191
- Lodens, S., Roelants, S.L.K.W., Luyten, G., Geys, R., Coussement, P., De Maeseneire, S.L., Soetaert,
 W., 2020. Unraveling the regulation of sophorolipid biosynthesis in Starmerella bombicola.
 FEMS Yeast Res. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsyr/foaa021
- Luna, J.M., Rufino, R.D., Sarubbo, L.A., 2016. Biosurfactant from Candida sphaerica UCP0995
 exhibiting heavy metal remediation properties. Process Saf. Environ. Prot. 102, 558–566.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2016.05.010
- Lydon, H.L., Baccile, N., Callaghan, B., Marchant, R., Mitchell, C.A., Banat, I.M., 2017. Adjuvant
 antibiotic activity of acidic sophorolipids with potential for facilitating wound healing.
 Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 61. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02547-16
- Ma, X., Li, H., Shao, L., Shen, J., Song, X., 2011. Effects of nitrogen sources on production and
 composition of sophorolipids by Wickerhamiella domercqiae var. sophorolipid CGMCC 1576.
 Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 91, 1623–1632. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-011-3327-y
- Ma, X., Li, H., Song, X., 2012. Surface and biological activity of sophorolipid molecules produced by
 Wickerhamiella domercqiae var. sophorolipid CGMCC 1576. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 376, 165–
 172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2012.03.007
- Ma, X., Meng, L., Zhang, H., Zhou, L., Yue, J., Zhu, H., Yao, R., 2020. Sophorolipid biosynthesis and
 production from diverse hydrophilic and hydrophobic carbon substrates. Appl. Microbiol.
 Biotechnol. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-019-10247-w
- Macmillan, S., 2020. Unilever to eliminate fossil fuels in cleaning products by 2030
 (https://www.unilever.com/news/press-releases/2020/unilever-to-invest-1-billion-to-eliminate-
- 1948 fossil-fuels-in-cleaning-products-by-2030.html, accessed on 23/12/2020) [WWW Document].

- 1949 URL https://www.unilever.com/news/press-releases/2020/unilever-to-invest-1-billion-to 1950 eliminate-fossil-fuels-in-cleaning-products-by-2030.html (accessed 12.23.20).
- Madsen, J.K., Kaspersen, J.D., Andersen, C.B., Nedergaard Pedersen, J., Andersen, K.K., Pedersen,
 J.S., Otzen, D.E., 2017. Glycolipid Biosurfactants Activate, Dimerize, and Stabilize
 Thermomyces lanuginosus Lipase in a pH-Dependent Fashion. Biochemistry 56, 4256–4268.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.7b00420
- Maingault, M., 1996. Utilization of sophorolipids as therapeutically active substances or cosmetic
 products, in particular for the treatment of the skin (WO1997001343A3). WO1997001343A3.
- Manet, S., Cuvier, A.S., Valotteau, C., Fadda, G.C., Perez, J., Karakas, E., Abel, S., Baccile, N., 2015.
 Structure of Bolaamphiphile Sophorolipid Micelles Characterized with SAXS, SANS, and MD
 Simulations. J. Phys. Chem. B 119, 13113–13133. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.5b05374
- Mao, X., Jiang, R., Xiao, W., Yu, J., 2015. Use of surfactants for the remediation of contaminated
 soils: A review. J. Hazard. Mater. 285, 419–435. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.12.009
- Markets, M. and, 2016. Surfactant market by type (anionic, non-ionic, cationic, and amphoteric),
 substrate (synthetic, and bio- based) and application (detergents, personal care, textile,
 elastomers & plastics, crop protection) Global Forecast to 2021 food & beverage), in: Markets
 and Markets. p. 170.
- Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pörtner, H.O., Roberts, D., Skea, J., Shukla, P.R., Pirani, A.,
 Moufouma-Okia, W., Péan, C., Pidcock, R., Connors, S., Matthews, J.B.R., Chen, Y., Zhou, X.,
 Gomis, M.I., Lonnoy, E., Maycock, T., Tignor, M., Waterfield, T., 2019. IPCC, 2018: Global
 Warming of 1.5 °C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above
 pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of
 strengthening the global response to the threat of cl.
- Matsumiya, K., Suzuki, Y.A., Hirata, Y., Nambu, Y., Matsumura, Y., 2017. Protein–surfactant
 interactions between bovine lactoferrin and sophorolipids under neutral and acidic conditions.
 Biochem. Cell Biol. 95, 126–132. https://doi.org/10.1139/bcb-2016-0057
- Mekala, S., Peters, K.C., Singer, K.D., Gross, R.A., 2018. Biosurfactant-functionalized porphyrin
 chromophore that forms: J-aggregates. Org. Biomol. Chem. 16, 7178–7190.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/c8ob01655k
- Meyers, R.S., Thackray, J., Matson, K.L., McPherson, C., Lubsch, L., Hellinga, R.C., Hoff, D.S.,
 2020. Key Potentially Inappropriate Drugs in Pediatrics: The KIDs List. J. Pediatr. Pharmacol.
 Ther. 25, 175–191. https://doi.org/10.5863/1551-6776-25.3.175
- 1981 Mimee, B., Labbé, C., Bélanger, R.R., 2009. Catabolism of flocculosin, an antimicrobial metabolite

- 1982produced by Pseudozymaflocculosa.Glycobiology19,995–1001.1983https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwp0785555
- Minucelli, T., Ribeiro-Viana, R.M., Borsato, D., Andrade, G., Cely, M.V.T., de Oliveira, M.R., Baldo,
 C., Celligoi, M.A.P.C., 2017. Sophorolipids Production by Candida bombicola ATCC 22214 and
 Its Potential Application in Soil Bioremediation. Waste and Biomass Valorization 8, 743–753.
- 1987 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-016-9592-3
- Mnif, I., Ellouz-Chaabouni, S., Ghribi, D., 2018. Glycolipid Biosurfactants, Main Classes, Functional
 Properties and Related Potential Applications in Environmental Biotechnology. J. Polym.
 Environ. 26, 2192–2206. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-017-1076-4
- Mnif, I., Ghribi, D., 2016. Glycolipid biosurfactants: main properties and potential applications in
 agriculture and food industry. J. Sci. Food Agric. 96, 4310–4320.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.7759
- Mousavi, F., Beheshti-Maal, K., Massah, A., 2015. Production of Sophorolipid from an Identified
 Current Yeast, Lachancea thermotolerans BBMCZ7FA20, Isolated from Honey Bee. Curr.
 Microbiol. 71, 303–310. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-015-0841-7
- Mulligan, C.N., 2017. Biosurfactants for the remediation of metal contamination, in: Das, S., Dash,
 H.R. (Eds.), Handbook of Metal-Microbe Interactions and Bioremediation. CRC Press: Boca
 Raton, FL, USA, pp. 299–316.
- Mulligan, C.N., Yong, R.N., Gibbs, B.F., 2001. Heavy metal removal from sediments by
 biosurfactants. J. Hazard. Mater. 85, 111–125. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S03043894(01)00224-2
- 2003 Naik, N.J., Abhyankar, I., Darne, P., Prabhune, A., Madhusudhan, B., 2019. Sustained Transdermal 2004 Release of Lignans Facilitated by Sophorolipid based Transferosomal Hydrogel for Cosmetic 2005 Application. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci. 8. 1783-1791. 2006 https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.802.210
- Nawale, L., Dubey, P., Chaudhari, B., Sarkar, D., Prabhune, A., 2017. Anti-proliferative effect of
 novel primary cetyl alcohol derived sophorolipids against human cervical cancer cells HeLa.
 PLoS One 12, e0174241. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0174241
- Nooman, M.U., Mahmoud, M.H., Al-kashef, A.S., Rashad, M.M., Rashad, M.M., 2017.
 Hypocholesterolemic impact of newly isolated sophorolipids produced by microbial conversion
 of safflower oil cake in rats fed high-fat and cholesterol diet. Grasas y Aceites 68, 212.
 https://doi.org/10.3989/gya.0219171
- 2014 Nuñez, A., Ashby, R., Foglia, T.A., Solaiman, D.K.Y., 2004. LC/MS analysis and lipase modification

- 2015 of the sophorolipids produced by Rhodotorula bogoriensis. Biotechnol. Lett. 26, 1087–93.
 2016 https://doi.org/10.1023/B:BILE.0000032970.95603.6d
- Olanya, O.M., Ukuku, D.O., Solaiman, D.K.Y., Ashby, R.D., Niemira, B.A., Mukhopadhyay, S.,
 2018 2018. Reductionin Listeria monocytogenes, Salmonella enterica and Escherichia coli O157:H7
 in vitro and on tomato by sophorolipid and sanitiser as affected by temperature and storage time.
 Int. J. Food Sci. Technol. 53, 1303–1315. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.13711
- Ozdener, M.H., Ashby, R.D., Jyotaki, M., Elkaddi, N., Spielman, A.I., Bachmanov, A.A., Solaiman,
 D.K.Y., 2019. Sophorolipid Biosurfactants Activate Taste Receptor Type 1 Member
 3- Mediated Taste Responses and Block Responses to Bitter Taste In Vitro and In Vivo. J.
 Surfactants Deterg. 22, 441–449. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsde.12246
- Palme, O., Comanescu, G., Stoineva, I., Radel, S., Benes, E., Develter, D., Wray, V., Lang, S., 2010.
 Sophorolipids from Candida bombicola: Cell separation by ultrasonic particle manipulation. Eur.
 J. Lipid Sci. Technol. 112, 663–673. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.200900163
- Parekh, V.J., Patravale, V.B., Pandit, A.B., n.d. Mango kernel fat: A novel lipid source for the
 fermentative production of sophorolipid biosurfactant using Starmerella Bombicola NRRL-Y
 17069. Sch. Res. Libr. Ann. Biol. Res. 2012, 1798–1803.
- Patel, S., Homaei, A., Patil, S., Daverey, A., 2019. Microbial biosurfactants for oil spill remediation:
 pitfalls and potentials. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-9434-2
- Pekin, G., Vardar-Sukan, F., Kosaric, N., 2005. Production of Sophorolipids from Candida bombicola
 ATCC 22214 Using Turkish Corn Oil and Honey. Eng. Life Sci. 5, 357–362.
- Pellicier, F., Andre, P., 2004. Cosmetic use of sophorolipids as subcutaneous adipose cushion
 regulating agents and slimming application. WO2004108063.
- Penfold, J., Chen, M., Thomas, R.K., Dong, C., Smyth, T.J.P., Perfumo, A., Marchant, R., Banat, I.M.,
 Stevenson, P., Parry, A., Tucker, I., Grillo, I., 2011. Solution self-assembly of the sophorolipid
 biosurfactant and its mixture with anionic surfactant sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate.
 Langmuir 27, 8867–8877. https://doi.org/10.1021/la201661y
- Peters, K.C., Mekala, S., Gross, R.A., Singer, K.D., 2020. Chiral inversion and enhanced cooperative
 self-assembly of biosurfactant-functionalized porphyrin chromophores. J. Mater. Chem. C 8,
 4675–4679. https://doi.org/10.1039/c9tc06829e
- Peters, K.C., Mekala, S., Gross, R.A., Singer, K.D., 2019. Cooperative Self-Assembly of Helical
 Exciton-Coupled Biosurfactant-Functionalized Porphyrin Chromophores. ACS Appl. Bio Mater.
 2046 2, 1703–1713. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsabm.9b00086

- Pimentel, M., ANTONINI, Y., MARTINS, R., LACHANCE, M., ROSA, C., Y., A., R.P., M., 2005.
 Candida riodocensis and Candida cellae, two new yeast species from the clade associated with
 solitary bees in the Atlantic rain forest of Brazil. FEMS Yeast Res. 5, 875–879.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsyr.2005.03.006
- Poomtien, J., Thaniyavarn, J., Pinphanichakarn, P., Jindamorakot, S., Morikawa, M., 2013. Production
 and Characterization of a Biosurfactant from Cyberlindnera samutprakarnensis JP52 T. Biosci.
 Biotechnol. Biochem. 77, 2362–2370. https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.130434
- Price, Neil P J, Ray, K.J., Vermillion, K.E., Dunlap, C.A., Kurtzman, C.P., 2012. Structural
 characterization of novel sophorolipid biosurfactants from a newly identified species of Candida
 yeast. Carbohydr. Res. 348, 33–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2011.07.016
- 2057 Punrata, T., Thaniyavarn, J., Chanprateep Napathorn, S., Anuntagool, J., Thaniyavarn, S., 2020. 2058 Production of a sophorolipid biosurfactant by Wickerhamomyces anomalus MUE24 and its use 2059 for modification of **ScienceAsia** 46, 11. rice flour properties. 2060 https://doi.org/10.2306/scienceasia1513-1874.2020.003
- 2061 Qi, X., Xu, X., Zhong, C., Jiang, T., Wei, W., Song, X., 2018. Removal of Cadmium and Lead from 2062 Contaminated Soils Using Sophorolipids from Fermentation Culture of Starmerella bombicola 2063 CGMCC 1576 Fermentation. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 15. 2334. 2064 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15112334
- Qi, X.Y., Song, X., Sun, Y.M., 2014. Enhanced Soil Washing of PCB by Sophorolipids from
 Transformer Oil Contaminated Soil. Appl. Mech. Mater. 694, 450–454.
 https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.694.450
- Ranji, H., Babajanzadeh, B., Sherizadeh, S., 2019. Detergents and surfactants: a brief review. Open
 Access J. Sci. 3, 94–99. https://doi.org/10.15406/oajs.2019.03.00138
- Rashad, M.M., Al-kashef, A.S., Nooman, M.U., Mahmoud, A.E.E.D., 2014. Co-utilization of motor
 oil waste and sunflower oil cake on the production of new sophorolipids by Candida bombicola
 NRRL Y-17069. Res. J. Pharm. Biol. Chem. Sci. 5, 1515–1528.
- 2073 Ribeiro, I.A., Bronze, M.R., Castro, M.F., Ribeiro, M.H.L., 2012a. Design of selective production of
 2074 sophorolipids by *Rhodotorula bogoriensis* through nutritional requirements. J. Mol. Recognit.
 2075 25, 630–640. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmr.2188
- Ribeiro, I.A., Bronze, M.R., Castro, M.F., Ribeiro, M.H.L., 2012b. Sophorolipids: improvement of the
 selective production by Starmerella bombicola through the design of nutritional requirements.
 Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 97, 1875–1887. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-012-4437-x
- 2079 Rispoli, F.J., Badia, D., Shah, V., 2010. Optimization of the fermentation media for sophorolipid

- 2080 production from *Candida bombicola* ATCC 22214 using a simplex centroid design. Biotechnol.
 2081 Prog. 26, NA-NA. https://doi.org/10.1002/btpr.399
- 2082 Roberto De Oliveira, M., Camilios-Neto, D., Baldo, C., Magri, A., Pedrine, M.A., Celligoi, C., 2014.
 2083 Biosynthesis And Production Of Sophorolipids. Int. J. Sci. Technol. Res. 3.
- Roelants, S., Solaiman, D.K.Y., Ashby, R.D., Lodens, S., Van Renterghem, L., Soetaert, W., 2019.
 Production and application of sophorolipids, in: Douglas, H.G., K, S.D., Ashby, R.D. (Eds.),
 Biobased Surfactants : Synthesis, Properties and Applications. Elsevier Academic Press; AOCS
 Press, pp. 65–119.
- Roelants, S.L.K.W., Ciesielska, K., De Maeseneire, S.L., Moens, H., Everaert, B., Verweire, S.,
 Denon, Q., Vanlerberghe, B., Van Bogaert, I.N.A., Van der Meeren, P., Devreese, B., Soetaert,
 W., 2016. Towards the industrialization of new biosurfactants: Biotechnological opportunities
 for the lactone esterase gene from *Starmerella bombicola*. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 113, 550–559.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.25815
- Roelants, S.L.K.W., Saerens, K.M.J., Derycke, T., Li, B., Lin, Y.-C., de Peer, Y., De Maeseneire,
 S.L., Van Bogaert, I.N.A., Soetaert, W., 2013. Candida bombicola as a platform organism for the
 production of tailor-made biomolecules. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 110, 2494–2503.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.24895
- Roelants, S.L.K.W., Soetaert, W., 2021. Industrial perspectives for microbial biosurfactants in
 "Biosurfactants for the Biobased Economy", in: Hausmann, R., Henkel, M. (Eds.), Series
 Advances in Biochemical Engineering/Biotechnology. Springer.
- Roelants, S.L.K.W., Van Renterghem, L., Maes, K., Everaert, B., Redant, E., Vanlerberghe, B., De
 Maeseneire, S.L., Soetaert, W.K., 2018. Microbial biosurfactants: from lab to market, in: Banat,
 I.M., Thavasi, R. (Eds.), Microbial Biosurfactants and Their Environmental and Industrial
 Applications. CRC Press, Boca Raton. https://doi.org/10.1201/b21950-13
- 2104 Ron, E.Z., Rosenberg, E., 2001. Natural roles of biosurfactants. Environ. Microbiol. 3, 229–236.
 2105 https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-2920.2001.00190.x
- Rosa, C.A., Lachance, M.A., Silva, J.O.C., Teixeira, A.C.P., Marini, M.M., Antonini, Y., Martins,
 R.P., 2003. Yeast communities associated with stingless bees. FEMS Yeast Res. 4, 271–275.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1567-1356(03)00173-9
- Rosa, C.A.C.A., Viana, E.M.E.M., Martins, R.P.R.P., Antonini, Y., Lachance, M.-A.M.-A., 1999.
 Candida batistae, a New Yeast Species Associated with Solitary Digger Nesting Bees in Brazil.
 Mycologia 91, 428. https://doi.org/10.2307/3761343
- 2112 Ruinen, J., 1963. The phyllosphere II. Yeasts from the phyllosphere of tropical foliage. Antonie Van

- 2113 Leeuwenhoek 29, 425–438. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02046095
- Saborimanesh, N., Mulligan, C.N., 2018. Dispersion of Weathered Biodiesel, Diesel, and Light Crude
 Oil in the Presence of Sophorolipid Biosurfactant in Seawater. J. Environ. Eng. (United States)
 144, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)EE.1943-7870.0001369
- Sachdev, D.P., Cameotra, S.S., 2013. Biosurfactants in agriculture. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-012-4641-8
- Saerens, K., Soetaert, W., Van Bogaert, I.N.A., 2010. Producing unacetylated sophorolipids by
 fermentation. (WO 2012080116 A1).
- Saerens, K.M., Saey, L., Soetaert, W., 2011a. One-step production of unacetylated sophorolipids by an
 acetyltransferase negative Candida bombicola. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 108, 2923–2931.
- Saerens, K.M., Zhang, J., Saey, L., Van Bogaert, I.N., Soetaert, W., 2011b. Cloning and functional
 characterization of the UDP-glucosyltransferase UgtB1 involved in sophorolipid production by
 Candida bombicola and creation of a glucolipid-producing yeast strain. Yeast 28, 279–292.
- Saerens, K.M., Roelants, S.L.K.W., Van Bogaert, I.N.A., Soetaert, W., 2011c. Identification of the
 UDP-glucosyltransferase gene UGTA1, responsible for the first glucosylation step in the
 sophorolipid biosynthetic pathway of Candida bombicola ATCC 22214. FEMS Yeast Res. 11,
 123–132. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1567-1364.2010.00695.x
- Sałek, K., Euston, S.R., 2019. Sustainable microbial biosurfactants and bioemulsifiers for commercial
 exploitation. Process Biochem. 85, 143–155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2019.06.027
- Sanada, H., Nakagami, G., Takehara, K., Goto, T., Ishii, N., Yoshida, S., Ryu, M., Tsunemi, Y., 2014.
 Antifungal Effect of Non-Woven Textiles Containing Polyhexamethylene Biguanide with
 Sophorolipid: A Potential Method for Tinea Pedis Prevention. Healthcare 2, 183–191.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare2020183
- Santos, A.R.O., Leon, M.P., Barros, K.O., Freitas, L.F.D., Hughes, A.F.S., Morais, P.B., Lachance,
 M.A., Rosa, C.A., 2018. Starmerella camargoi f.A., sp. nov., starmerella ilheusensis f.a., sp. nov.,
 Starmerella litoralis f.a., sp. nov., starmerella opuntiae f.a., sp. nov., Starmerella roubikii f.a., sp.
 nov. and Starmerella vitae f.a., sp. nov., isolated from flowers and bees, and transfer of related
 candida species to the genus Starmerella as new combinations. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 68,
 1333–1343. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.002675
- Santos, D.K.F., Rufino, R.D., Luna, J.M., Santos, V.A., Sarubbo, L.A., 2016. Biosurfactants:
 Multifunctional biomolecules of the 21st century. Int. J. Mol. Sci.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms17030401

- Sarubbo, L.A., Rocha, R.B., Luna, J.M., Rufino, R.D., Santos, V.A., Banat, I.M., 2015. Some aspects
 of heavy metals contamination remediation and role of biosurfactants. Chem. Ecol. 7540, 1–17.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/02757540.2015.1095293
- Schippers, C., Geßner, K., Müller, T., Scheper, T., 2000. Microbial degradation of phenanthrene by
 addition of a sophorolipid mixture. J. Biotechnol. 83, 189–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/S01681656(00)00304-7
- Schofield, M.H., Thavasi, R.T., Gross, R.A., 2011. Modified sophorolipids for the inhibition of plant
 pathogens. WO 2013052615.
- Schönherr, J., Baur, P., Uhlig, B.A., Obstbau, G.-, Obstbau, A., Hannover, U., Steinberg, A., Sarstedt,
 D., 2000. Rates of cuticular penetration of 1-naphthylacetic acid (NAA) as affected by
 adjuvants, temperature, humidity and water quality 61–74.
- Schwartz, C.M., Hussain, M.S., Blenner, M., Wheeldon, I., 2016. Synthetic RNA Polymerase III
 Promoters Facilitate High-Efficiency CRISPR-Cas9-Mediated Genome Editing in Yarrowia
 lipolytica. ACS Synth. Biol. 5, 356–359. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssynbio.5b00162
- Sean, F., Ken, A., Tyler, D., 2020. Method And Apparatus For Continuous Production Of
 Sophorolipids.(WO 2020006194 A1)
- Sen, S., Borah, S.N., Bora, A., Deka, S., 2017. Production, characterization, and antifungal activity of
 a biosurfactant produced by Rhodotorula babjevae YS3. Microb. Cell Fact. 16, 95.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-017-0711-z
- Seyrig, C., Kignelman, G., Thielemans, W., Griel, P. Le, Cowieson, N., Perez, J., Baccile, N., 2020.
 Stimuli-induced non-equilibrium phase transitions in polyelectrolyte-surfactant complex
 coacervates. Langmuir 36, 8839–8857. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.0c01177
- Shah, V., Doncel, G.F., Seyoum, T., Eaton, K.M., Zalenskaya, I., Hagver, R., Azim, A., Gross, R.,
 2005. Sophorolipids, microbial glycolipids with anti-human immunodeficiency virus and spermimmobilizing activities. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 49, 4093–4100.
- Shah, M.U.H., Sivapragasam, M., Moniruzzaman, M., Talukder, M.M.R., Yusup, S.B., Goto, M.,
 2017. Production of sophorolipids by Starmerella bombicola yeast using new hydrophobic
 substrates. Biochem. Eng. J. 127, 60–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BEJ.2017.08.005
- Sharma, D., 2016. Biosurfactants in Food, Surfactant Science Series. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3319-39415-2
- Shen, X.X., Opulente, D.A., Kominek, J., Zhou, X., Steenwyk, J.L., Buh, K. V., Haase, M.A.B.,
 Wisecaver, J.H., Wang, M., Doering, D.T., Boudouris, J.T., Schneider, R.M., Langdon, Q.K.,

- 2177 Ohkuma, M., Endoh, R., Takashima, M., Manabe, R. ichiroh, Čadež, N., Libkind, D., Rosa,
- 2178 C.A., DeVirgilio, J., Hulfachor, A.B., Groenewald, M., Kurtzman, C.P., Hittinger, C.T., Rokas,
- A., 2018. Tempo and Mode of Genome Evolution in the Budding Yeast Subphylum. Cell 175,
- 2180 1533-1545.e20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.10.023
- Shin, J.D., Lee, J., Kim, Y.B., Han, I. sun, Kim, E.K., 2010. Production and characterization of methyl
 ester sophorolipids with 22-carbon-fatty acids. Bioresour. Technol. 101, 3170–3174.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.12.019
- Sieverding, E., 2015. Increasing yields by the use of sophorolipids (WO2015172964A1).
 WO2015172964A1.
- SINGH, R., GLICK, B.R., RATHORE, D., 2018. Biosurfactants as a Biological Tool to Increase
 Micronutrient Availability in Soil: A Review. Pedosphere 28, 170–189.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(18)60018-9
- Siramshetty, V.B., Nickel, J., Omieczynski, C., Gohlke, B.-O., Drwal, M.N., Preissner, R., 2016.
 WITHDRAWN—a resource for withdrawn and discontinued drugs. Nucleic Acids Res. 44,
 D1080–D1086. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1192
- Sleator, R.D., Hill, C., 2002. Bacterial osmoadaptation: the role of osmolytes in bacterial stress and
 virulence. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 26, 49–71. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2002.tb00598.x
- Smyth, T.J.P., Rudden, M., Tsaousi, K., Marchant, R., Banat, I.M., 2014. Protocols for the Detection
 and Chemical Characterisation of Microbial Glycolipids. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 29–
 60. https://doi.org/10.1007/8623_2014_25
- Soden, A., Francis, I.L., Oakey, H., Henschke, P.A., 2000. Effects of co-fermentation with Candida
 stellata and Saccharomyces cerevisiae on the aroma and composition of Chardonnay wine. Aust.
 J. Grape Wine Res. 6, 21–30. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0238.2000.tb00158.x
- Solaiman, D.K.Y., Ashby, R.D., Crocker, N. V., 2015. High-titer production and strong antimicrobial
 activity of sophorolipids from *Rhodotorula bogoriensis*. Biotechnol. Prog. 31, 867–874.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/btpr.2101
- Solaiman, D.K.Y., Ashby, R.D., Nuñez, A., Foglia, T.A., 2004. Production of sophorolipids by
 Candida bombicola grown on soy molasses as substrate. Biotechnol. Lett. 26, 1241–1245.
 https://doi.org/10.1023/B:BILE.0000036605.80577.30
- Solaiman, D.K.Y., Ashby, R.D., Ozdener, M.H., Bachmanov, A., 2019. Compositions containing a
 bitter tastant and at least one sophorolipid, and methods of reducing bitter taste attributed to a
 bitter tastant in an edible composition. 16/174570.

- Solaiman, D.K.Y., Roelants, S.L.K.W., Ashby, R., Van Renterghem, L., Lodens, S., Soetaert, W.,
 2018. Chapter 3: Production and application of sophorolipids. Biobased surfactants Synth. Prop.
 Appl. Second Ed. 84.
- Song, D., Liang, S., Yan, L., Shang, Y., Wang, X., 2016. Solubilization of Polycyclic Aromatic
 Hydrocarbons by Single and Binary Mixed Rhamnolipid-Sophorolipid Biosurfactants. J.
 Environ. Qual. 45, 1405–1412. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2015.08.0443
- Song, X., 2013. Method for producing sophorolipid through fermentation by taking cottonseed
 molasses and cottonseed oil as substrates. (CN103540631A).
- Souza, K.S.T., Gud Na, E.J., Azevedo, Z., De Freitas, V., Schwan, R.F., Rodrigues, L.R., Dias, D.R.,
 Teixeira, J.A., 2017. New glycolipid biosurfactants produced by the yeast strain
 Wickerhamomyces anomalus CCMA 0358. Colloids Surfaces B Biointerfaces 154, 373–382.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2017.03.041
- Spencer, J.F.T., Gorin, P.A.J., Tulloch, A.P., 1970. *Torulopsis bombicola* sp. n. Antonie Van
 Leeuwenhoek 36, 129–133. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02069014
- Spohner, S.C., Schaum, V., Quitmann, H., Czermak, P., 2016. Kluyveromyces lactis: An emerging
 tool in biotechnology. J. Biotechnol. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2016.02.023
- SyntheZyme, 2012. synthezyme's fungicides and antimicrobials [WWW Document]. URL
 https://greenchemicalsblog.com/wp-
- 2227 content/uploads/2012/09/synthezyme_fungicides_and_antimicrobials.pdf (accessed 3.24.21).
- Szenk, M., Dill, K.A., de Graff, A.M.R., 2017. Why Do Fast-Growing Bacteria Enter Overflow
 Metabolism? Testing the Membrane Real Estate Hypothesis. Cell Syst.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cels.2017.06.005
- Tadros, T., 2013. Food Surfactants. In: Encyclopedia of Colloid and Interface Science. Springer Berlin
 Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-20665-8
- Takahashi, M., Morita, T., Wada, K., Hirose, N., Fukuoka, T., Imura, T., Kitamoto, D., 2011.
 Production of Sophorolipid Glycolipid Biosurfactants from Sugarcane Molasses Using
 Starmerella bombicola NBRC 10243. J. Oleo Sci. 60, 267–273.
- Tanford, C., 1973. The hydrophobic effect: Formation of micelles and biological membranes. Wiley-Interscience.
- Teichmann, B., Linne, U., Hewald, S., Marahiel, M.A., Bolker, M., 2007. A biosynthetic gene cluster
 for a secreted cellobiose lipid with antifungal activity from Ustilago maydis. Mol. Microbiol. 66,
 525–533. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.05941.x

- Thaniyavarn, J., Chianguthai, T., Sangvanich, P., Roongsawang, N., Washio, K., Morikawa, M.,
 Thaniyavarn, S., 2008. Production of Sophorolipid Biosurfactant by Pichia anomala. Biosci.
 Biotechnol. Biochem. 72, 2061–2068. https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.80166
- Thavasi, R., Banat, I.M., 2018. Downstream processing of Microbial Biosurfactants, in: Thavasi, R.,
 Banat, I.M. (Eds.), Microbial Biosurfactants and Their Environmental and Industrial
 Applications. CRC Press, Boca Raton, p. 12.
- Thomas, L., Larroche, C., Pandey, A., 2013. Current developments in solid-state fermentation.
 Biochem. Eng. J. 81, 146–161. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BEJ.2013.10.013
- Tokuoka, K., Ishitani, T., Goto, S., Komagata, K., 1987. Four new yeast species beloning to the genus
 Candida. J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol. 33, 1–10.
- Tran, H.G., 2012. Improving the glycosylation activity of cellobiose/cellodextrin phosphorylase
 through enzyme engineering. Ghent, Belgium: Ghent University.
- Tulloch, A.P., Hill, A., Spencer, J.F.T., 1967. A new type of macrocyclic lactone from Torulopsis
 apicola. Chem. Commun. 0, 584. https://doi.org/10.1039/c19670000584
- Tulloch, A.P., Spencer, J.F.T., 1968. Fermentation of long-chain compounds by *Torulopsis apicola*.
 IV. Products from esters and hydrocarbons with 14 and 15 carbon atoms and from methyl
 palmitoleate. Can. J. Chem. 46, 1523–1528. https://doi.org/10.1139/v68-249
- Tulloch, A.P., Spencer, J.F.T., Deinema, M.H., 1968. A new hydroxy fatty acid sophoroside from
 Candida bogoriensis. Can. J. Chem. 46, 345–348. https://doi.org/10.1139/v68-057
- Tulloch, A.P., Spencer, J.F.T., Gorin, P.A.J., 1962. The fermentation of long-chain compounds by
 Torulopsis magnoliae. I. Structures of the hydroxy fatty acids obtained by the fermentation of
 fatty acids and hydrocarbons. Can. J. Chem. 40, 1326–1338. https://doi.org/10.1139/v62-203
- Twigg, M.S., Baccile, N., Banat, I.M., Déziel, E., Marchant, R., Roelants, S., Van Bogaert, I.N.A.,
 2020. Microbial biosurfactant research: time to improve the rigour in the reporting of synthesis,
 functional characterization and process development. Microb. Biotechnol. 1751-7915.13704.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.13704
- Van Bogaert, I.N.A., Buyst, D., Martins, J.C., Roelants, S.L.K.W., Soetaert, W.K., 2016. Synthesis of
 bolaform biosurfactants by an engineered Starmerella bombicola yeast. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 113,
 269 2644–2651. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.26032
- Van Bogaert, I.N.A., Ciesielska, K., Devreese, B., Soetaert, W., 2015. Sophorolipids: Microbial
 synthesis and application, in: Biosurfactants: Production and Utilization Processes,
 Technologies, and Economics. pp. 19–37.

- Van Bogaert, I.N.A., De Maeseneire, S.L., De Schamphelaire, W., Develter, D., Soetaert, W.,
 Vandamme, E.J., 2007. Cloning, characterization and functionality of the orotidine-5 '-phosphate
 decarboxylase gene (URA3) of the glycolipid-producing yeast Candida bombicola. Yeast 24,
 201–208. https://doi.org/10.1002/yea.1448
- Van Bogaert, I.N.A., De Maeseneire, S.L., Develter, D., Soetaert, W., Vandamme, E.J., 2008. Cloning
 and characterization of the glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase gene of Candida
 bombicola and use of its promotor. J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 35, 1085–1092.
- Van Bogaert, Inge N.A., Demey, M., Develter, D., Soetaert, W., Vandamme, E.J., 2009. Importance of
 the cytochrome P450 monooxygenase CYP52 family for the sophorolipid-producing yeast
 Candida bombicola. FEMS Yeast Res. 9, 87–94. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1567 1364.2008.00454.x
- Van Bogaert, Inge N A, Develter, D., Fleurackers, S., 2009. A method for the production of mediumchain sophorolipids (WO2009141407A2). WO2009141407A2.
- Van Bogaert, I.N.A., Holvoet, K., Roelants, S.L.K.W., Li, B., Lin, Y.C., Van de Peer, Y., Soetaert,
 W., 2013. The biosynthetic gene cluster for sophorolipids: A biotechnological interesting
 biosurfactant produced by Starmerella bombicola. Mol. Microbiol. 88, 501–509.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.12200
- Van Bogaert, I.N.A., Saerens, K., De Muynck, C., Develter, D., Soetaert, W., Vandamme, E.J., 2007.
 Microbial production and application of sophorolipids. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 76, 23–34.
- Van Bogaert, I.N.A., Soetaert, W., 2015. Final Report Summary BIOSURFING (New-to-nature
 biosurfactants by metabolic engineering: production and application; FP7 ID:289219).
- Van Bogaert, I.N.A., Soetaert, W., 2011. Sophorolipids, in: Soberón-Chávez, G. (Ed.), Biosurfactants :
 From Genes to Applications, Microbiology Monographs. Springer, pp. 179–210.
- Van Bogaert, I.N.A., Zhang, J., Soetaert, W., 2011. Microbial synthesis of sophorolipids. Process
 Biochem. 46, 821–833. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2011.01.010
- van Duin, D., Paterson, D.L., 2016. Multidrug-Resistant Bacteria in the Community. Infect. Dis. Clin.
 North Am. 30, 377–390. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idc.2016.02.004
- Van Hamme, J.D., Singh, A., Ward, O.P., 2006. Physiological aspects. Part 1 in a series of papers
 devoted to surfactants in microbiology and biotechnology. Biotechnol. Adv.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2006.08.001
- Van Renterghem, L., Clicque, H., Huyst, A., Roelants, S.L.K.W., Soetaert, W., 2019. Miniaturization
 of Starmerella bombicola fermentation for evaluation and increasing (novel) glycolipid

- 2305 production. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 103, 4347–4362. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-0192306 09766-3
- 2307 Van Renterghem, L., Roelants, S.L.K.W., Baccile, N., Uyttersprot, K., Taelman, M.C., Everaert, B., 2308 Mincke, S., Ledegen, S., Debrouwer, S., Scholtens, K., Stevens, C., Soetaert, W., 2018. From lab 2309 to market: An integrated bioprocess design approach for new-to-nature biosurfactants produced 2310 by Starmerella bombicola. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 115. 1195-1206. 2311 https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.26539
- Vaughn, S.F., Behle, R.W., Skory, C.D., Kurtzman, C.P., Price, N.P.J., 2014. Utilization of
 sophorolipids as biosurfactants for postemergence herbicides q. Crop Prot. 59, 29–34.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2014.01.014
- Vedaraman, N., Venkatesh, N.M., 2010. The effect of medium composition on the production of
 sophorolipids and the tensiometric properties by Starmerella bombicola MTCC 1910. Polish J.
 Chem. Technol. 12, 9–13. https://doi.org/10.2478/v10026-010-0011-4
- Verbeke, J., Beopoulos, A., Nicaud, J.-M., 2013. Efficient homologous recombination with short
 length flanking fragments in Ku70 deficient Yarrowia lipolytica strains. Biotechnol. Lett. 35,
 571–576. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-012-1107-0
- Wagner, J.M., Alper, H.S., 2016. Synthetic biology and molecular genetics in non-conventional
 yeasts: Current tools and future advances. Fungal Genet. Biol. 89, 126–136.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2015.12.001
- Wang, H., Kaur, G., To, M.H., Roelants, S.L.K.W., Patria, R.D., Soetaert, W., Lin, C.S.K., 2020a.
 Efficient in-situ separation design for long-term sophorolipids fermentation with high productivity. J. Clean. Prod. 246, 118995. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118995
- Wang, H., Roelants, S.L., To, M.H., Patria, R.D., Kaur, G., Lau, N.S., Lau, C.Y., Van Bogaert, I.N.,
 Soetaert, W., Lin, C.S., 2019. *Starmerella bombicola*: recent advances on sophorolipid
 production and prospects of waste stream utilization. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 94, 999–
 1007. https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.5847
- Wang, H., Tsang, C.W., To, M.H., Kaur, G., Roelants, S.L.K.W., Stevens, C. V., Soetaert, W., Lin,
 C.S.K., 2020b. Techno-economic evaluation of a biorefinery applying food waste for
 sophorolipid production A case study for Hong Kong. Bioresour. Technol. 303, 122852.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2020.122852
- Wang, Q.-M., Yurkov, A.M., Göker, M., Lumbsch, H.T., Leavitt, S.D., Groenewald, M., Theelen, B.,
 Liu, X.-Z., Boekhout, T., Bai, F.-Y., 2015. Phylogenetic classification of yeasts and related taxa
 within Pucciniomycotina. Stud. Mycol. 81, 149–189.

- 2338 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simyco.2015.12.002
- Xiaoming, W., Jing, H., Wenxiong, C., 2005. Biological degreasing agent used in metal processing
 pretreatment of oil removing and degreasing, and method for processing metal surface greasy
 dirt.
- Xu, Q., Liu, X., Wang, D., Liu, Y., Wang, Q., Ni, B.-J., Li, X., Yang, Q., Li, H., 2019. Enhanced
 short-chain fatty acids production from waste activated sludge by sophorolipid: Performance,
 mechanism, and implication. Bioresour. Technol. 284, 456–465.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.03.121
- Xue, C.L., Solaiman, D.K.Y., Ashby, R.D., Zerkowski, J., Lee, J.H., Hong, S.T., Yang, D., Shin, J.A.,
 Ji, C.M., Lee, K.T., 2013. Study of structured lipid-based oil-in-water emulsion prepared with
 sophorolipid and its oxidative stability. JAOCS, J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 90, 123–132.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11746-012-2133-8
- Yang, X., Zhu, L., Xue, C., Chen, Y., Qu, L., Lu, W., 2012. Recovery of purified lactonic
 sophorolipids by spontaneous crystallization during the fermentation of sugarcane molasses with
 Candida albicans O-13-1. Enzyme Microb. Technol. 51, 348–353.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enzmictec.2012.08.002
- Yang, Z., Zhang, Z., Chai, L., Wang, Y., Liu, Y., Xiao, R., 2016. Bioleaching remediation of heavy
 metal-contaminated soils using Burkholderia sp. Z-90. J. Hazard. Mater. 301, 145–152.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.08.047
- Yatim, A.R., Ahmad, N., Hassan, Z.A.A., 2010. Application of a Palm-Based Sophorolipid as a
 Liquid Deodorant. Transf. Technol.
- Yoo, D., Baek Sok, L., Kim eun-Ki, 2005. Characteristics of Microbial Biosurfactant as an Antifungal
 Agent Against Plant Pathogenic Fungus. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 15, 1164–1169.
- Zhang, J., Saerens, K.M.J., Van Bogaert, I.N.A., Soetaert, W., 2011. Vegetable oil enhances
 sophorolipid production by Rhodotorula bogoriensis. Biotechnol. Lett. 33, 2417–2423.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-011-0703-8
- Zhang, X., Ashby, R., Solaiman, D.K.Y., Uknalis, J., Fan, X., 2016. Inactivation of Salmonella spp.
 and Listeria spp. by Palmitic, Stearic, and Oleic Acid Sophorolipids and Thiamine Dilauryl
 Sulfate. Front. Microbiol. 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.02076
- Zhang, Y., Jia, D., Sun, W., Yang, X., Zhang, C., Zhao, F., Lu, W., 2018. Semicontinuous
 sophorolipid fermentation using a novel bioreactor with dual ventilation pipes and dual sieveplates coupled with a novel separation system. Microb. Biotechnol. 11, 455–464.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.13028

- Zhou, G., Tian, X., Lin, Y., Zhang, S., Chu, J., 2019. Rational high-throughput system for screening of
 high sophorolipids-producing strains of Candida bombicola. Bioprocess Biosyst. Eng. 42, 575–
 582. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00449-018-02062-w
- Zhou, S.Q., Xu, C., Wang, J., Gao, W., Akhverdiyeva, R., Shah, V., Gross, R., 2004. Supramolecular
 assemblies of a naturally derived sophorolipid. Langmuir 20, 7926–7932.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/la048590s
Table 1

| Yeast species | Fi | ılly ackı | nowledg | ged prod | luced S | L struc | tures | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|---------|-------|----------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| | 18:1 | | | 18:1 | | | Other | Acknowledged species ID | Acknowledged structure ID | References | |
| | DiAc | MAc | NAc | MAc | NAc | NAc | | | | | |
| Starmerella riodocensis | | | | | | | | | | (Kurtzman et al., 2010) | |
| Starmerella stellata | • | • | • | | | | | | | (Kurtzman et al., 2010) | |
| Starmerella kuoi | - | • | | | | | | | | (Kurtzman, 2012; Kurtzman et al., 2010; Price et al., 2012) | |
| Starmerella bombicola | | | | | | | а | | | (Kurtzman et al., 2010; Tulloch et al., 1967; Tulloch and Spencer, 1968) | |
| Starmerella apicola | - | • | • | | • | | | | | (Kurtzman et al., 2010) | |
| Starmerella batistae | - | | | | | | b | | | (Konishi et al., 2008) | |
| Starmerella floricola | • | | | | | | | | | (Imura et al., 2010; Konishi et al., 2017, 2016) | |
| Starmerella rugosa | | | | | | | | X | | (Chandran and Das, 2011) | |
| Rhodotorula mucilaginosa | | | | | | | | X | | (Chandran and Das, 2011) | |
| Pseudohyphozyma bogoriensis | | | | | | | с | | | (Ribeiro et al., 2012a; Shin et al., 2010; Solaiman et al., 2015; Tulloch et al., 1968) | |
| Cryptococcus VITGBN2 | | | | | | | | | X | (Basak et al., 2014) | |
| Cyberlindnera samutprakarnensis | | | | | | | | | X | (Poomtien et al., 2013) | |
| Lachancea thermotolerans | | | | | | | | | <u>±</u> | (Mousavi et al., 2015) | |
| Rhodotorula babjevae | | | | | | | | | X | (Cajka et al., 2016; Garay et al., 2017; Sen et al., 2017) | |
| Wickerhamiella domercqiae | | | | | | | | X | | (Li et al., 2016) | |
| Candida tropicalis | | | | | | | | X | X | (Chandran and Das, 2012) | |
| Wickerhamiella anomalus | | | | | | | | | X | (Souza et al., 2017; Thaniyavarn et al., 2008) | |
| Candida albicans | | | | | | | | Х | | (Yang et al., 2012) | |

| Table | 2 |
|-------|---|
|-------|---|

| | Micellar (L1) | | Vesicle | | Tomollon | Ethana | Columnar | Minor phases | | Deferences |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|----------|---------------------------|---|--------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Sophorolipid type | sphere | cylinder | SUV | MLV | Lamenar | riore | Columnar | Platelets | Ill-defined | Kelerence |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| NAc acidic (C18:1-cis) | 4 < pH < 10, C < 5 wt% | pH 4.5, 5 < C (wt%) < 20 | | | | Mix with N° 13 pH < ~7 | | pH> 8 | | (Baccile et al., 2016b, 2011; Cuvier et al., 2015b; Dhasaiyan et al., 2017; Penfold et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2004) |
| Acetylated acidic (C18:1- cis) | 2 < pH < 7 | | | | | | | | pH< ~7 | (Baccile et al., 2017a; Penfold et al., 2011) |
| Acidic (C18:1-trans) | pH > 7.5 | | | | | 3 < pH < 7.5 ## | | | | (Dhasaiyan et al., 2018, 2013) |
| Acidic (<i>C18:0</i>) | pH > 7.5 | | | | | 3 < pH < 7.5 | | pH> 8 | | (Baccile et al., 2016b; Cuvier et al., 2014, 2015b; Dhasaiyan et al., 2018, 2013) |
| Acidic (<i>C16:0</i>) | pH > 7.5 | | | | | 7.5 < pH < 3 | | | | (Baccile et al., 2021a) |
| Acidic (C18:3-cis) | | | Neutral pH | | | | | | | (Dhasaiyan et al., 2014) |
| Acidic (C22:013) | pH > 7.5 | | pm | pH < ~7 | pH < 4 | | | | pH>~7 | (Baccile et al., 2017b) |
| Lactonic (C18:1-cis) | | | Neutral pH 1 < C (mM) < 5 | | | | | | Neutral <i>pH</i> (C> 7 mM) | (Penfold et al., 2011) |
| Bolaform sophorosides (C18:1-cis) | C < 10 wt% | | | | | | | | | (Van Renterghem et al., 2018) |
| Bolaform sophorosides (C16:0) | T> 28°C | | | | | T< 28°C | | | | (Baccile et al., 2018) |
| Aminyl (-NH ₂) (C18:0) | pH < 7.5 | | | | | 7.5 < pH < 10 | | | pH< 7.5 | (Ba et al., 2020) |
| Alkynyl (-C≡CH) (<i>C18:1-</i> <i>cis</i>) | T>~52°C | | | | | T<~52°C | | | | (Ba et al., 2020) |
| Peracetylated porphyrin sophorolipids | $(R^1 = R^2 = OAc)$ | | | | | | $(\mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{OAc},$ | | | |
| | T< T _e (~36°C) | | | | | | $R^{2}=OH$) (R^{1}=R^{2}=OH) T< T _e (~36°C) | | | (Mekala et al., 2018; Peters et al., 2020, 2019) |
| Other SLs [#] | C < 10 wt% | | | | | | | | | (N. Baccile et al., 2019) |







Figure 3



