



Two case reports of local envenoming by the Spotted grass snake, *Psammophylax rhombeatus* (Linnæus, 1758) (Serpentes, Psammophiidae)

Ivan Ineich, Francis Girard, Tyrone Ping, Jordy Reynes, Scott A Weinstein

► To cite this version:

Ivan Ineich, Francis Girard, Tyrone Ping, Jordy Reynes, Scott A Weinstein. Two case reports of local envenoming by the Spotted grass snake, *Psammophylax rhombeatus* (Linnæus, 1758) (Serpentes, Psammophiidae). *Toxicon*, 2021, 195, pp.24-28. 10.1016/j.toxicon.2021.02.013 . hal-03273641

HAL Id: hal-03273641

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03273641>

Submitted on 29 Jun 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

**Two Case Reports of Local Envenoming by the Spotted grass
snake, *Psammophylax rhombeatus* (Linnæus, 1758) (Serpentes,
Psammophiidae)**

Ivan Ineich^{a,*}, Francis Girard^b, Tyrone Ping^c, Jordy Reynes^d, Scott A.
Weinstein^e

^a Institut de Systématique, Évolution, Biodiversité (ISYEB)
Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, CNRS, Sorbonne Université,
EPHE, Université des Antilles - CP 30, 57 rue Cuvier, 75005 Paris,
France

^b 167 boulevard Vincent Auriol, 75013 Paris, France

^c 7 Oak Tree Avenue, Glen Anil, KwaZulu-Natal 4051, South Africa

^d 31 rue des Mouleyres, 11120 Bize Minervois, France

^e Department of Toxinology, Women's and Children's Hospital, 72
King William St., North Adelaide, South Australia, Australia 5000

* Corresponding author. E-mail: ivan.ineich@mnhn.fr

ABSTRACT

Two cases of bites by a South African psammophiid snake, *Psammophylax rhombeatus*, are described and analyzed. These are the first detailed reports of local envenoming by a *Psammophylax* spp. While handling a wild-collected 1 m *P. rhombeatus*, the snake inflicted a protracted bite proximal to the metacarpophalangeal joint of digit #5, left hand of a 24-year-old male amateur herpetologist. Local edema persisted for three days, but no pain or other signs or symptoms including non-specific autonomic effects (e.g. headache, nausea) occurred. In a second case, a 28-year-old male herpetologist-photographer was repositioning a 0.58 m female *P. rhombeatus* in order to photograph the snake and her egg clutch, when the snake bit the metacarpophalangeal joint of digit #5, left hand, and briefly advanced its jaws. The bite caused mild local pain, progressive edema of the left hand, and arthralgia; resolution required almost 1 week. Bites from non-front-fanged snakes such as these by *P. rhombeatus* are uncommonly reported in comparison with those described for front-fanged snakes (e.g. Viperidae, Elapidae). Therefore, documentation of bites even with minimal effects provides information essential for the construction of an accurate medical risk profile for these less-known species.

Keywords:

Non-front fanged snake;

Colubroid;

Psammophiidae;

Envenoming;

Snake bites;

Psammophylax rhombeatus;

Spotted grass snake;

Rhombic Skaapsteker

1. Introduction

Grass Snakes or ‘Skaapstekers’ (genus *Psammophylax* Fitzinger, 1843) are terrestrial Central, South and East African non-front-fanged colubroid snakes (NFFCs) with low-pressure venom glands (or, ‘Duvernoy glands’) (Taub, 1967; Heymans, 1977; McKinsty, 1983). Sub-equal maxillary teeth are followed after a diastema by a pair of slightly enlarged, grooved posterior maxillary teeth. The venom of studied species is reportedly quite viscous, and has been compared with glycerin (FitzSimons, 1921).

Six species are recognized in the genus *Psammophylax* (Table 1). They feed on small vertebrates including fishes (Broadley, 1977; Branch, 1988; Shine et al., 2006; Cottone & Bauer, 2010; Chippaux & Jackson, 2019; Keates et al., 2019; Wilkey, 2019). They are unusual among snakes by variably engaging in parental care (Shine et al., 2006). Their common name ‘Skaapsteker’ has an Afrikaans origin

related to their presumed habit of biting sheep (literally: ‘sheep stabber’), a belief that unfortunately leads some ranchers to kill these snakes on sight (Tyrone Ping, personal observations). However, cape cobras (*Naja nivea* (Linnæus, 1758), Elapidae) are probably responsible for most of the stock losses that are blamed on relatively harmless *Psammophylax* (FitzSimons, 1921; Alexander & Marais, 2007), although some authors have considered puff adders, *Bitis arietans* Merrem, 1820 (Viperidae), more likely culprits (Elstob in Chippaux & Jackson, 2019).

Early workers, most prominently, Frei (1910), Andrews (1912) and FitzSimons (1912) contemplated whether *Psammophylax* spp. were venomous. Based on experiments with fowl reportedly bitten by *Trimerorhinus rhombeatus* (most likely *P. rhombeatus*; *Trimerorhinus* A. Smith, 1847 is a junior synonym of *Psammophylax*.), FitzSimons (1921: 488) considered the possibility that this species could be as dangerous as the Boomslang (*Dispholidus typus* (A. Smith, 1828)) and its venom more potent than that of elapid species of notable medical importance (e.g., cobras, *Naja* spp. and mambas, *Dendroaspis* spp.). However, Phisalix (1922) reported delayed lethal effects in a small sampling of rodents and chicken bitten by specimens of two *Psammophylax* species from South Africa.

Christensen (1955: 2) later commented that *Trimerorhinus tritaeniatus* possess “potent venom”. Alexander and Marais (2007)

92 stated that the venom of *Psammophylax* spp. has no medical
93 relevance. Spawls et al. (2018) suggested that the venom of
94 *Psammophylax* spp. is “fairly toxic”, but little is injected when a bite
95 is delivered to a human, and thus no serious symptoms have been
96 recorded. Wilkey (2019) considered the two species of *Psammophylax*
97 present in Malawi and reported that their venom is mild and may
98 cause local swelling and some mild pain. However, Spawls and
99 Branch (2020) noted that *P. tritaeniatus* reportedly have “toxic
100 venom” with that of *P. rhombeatus* having “potent” neurotoxicity.
101 Nonetheless, they concluded that no medically significant effects from
102 a *Psammophylax* bite have so far been recorded. Likewise, FitzSimons
103 and Smith (1958) noted the absence of any reported serious case of
104 human envenomation from *Trimerorhinus* (now *Psammophylax*), and
105 opined that all published cases are dubious. Consistent with the latter
106 impression, Chapman (1968) described the effects observed in three
107 cases of bites by *Psammophylax* spp. in Natal, and indicated that these
108 consisted of “a slight local reaction of bruising and swelling, one with
109 a rigor”. Branch (1982) reported similar signs and symptoms
110 following a bite from an East Cape *P. rhombeatus*. However, these
111 reports are described second hand and it is not clear if formal medical
112 review was ever conducted within a reasonable timeframe after the
113 bites.

114
115 Warrell (1995: 460) noted that *P. tritaeniatus* bites were
116 associated with non-specific systemic symptoms such as nausea,

117 headache and rigors. Warrell (2010) later opined that *Psammophylax*
118 is probably capable of mild envenomations that cause only local pain,
119 mild swelling and lymphangitis, and emphasized the absence of any
120 reported serious bites. Kuch and Mebs (2002, 167-168) quoted
121 FitzSimons (1910) apparently referring to a case of human
122 envenomation from *P. rhombeatus* that reportedly included
123 “giddiness, lassitude, cold clammy skin, cold sweat on the forehead, a
124 little swelling at the site of the fang punctures, with discolouration of
125 the surrounding tissue”.

126
127 The previously reported cases were also reviewed by Minton
128 (1990), and later, Weinstein et al. (2011) evaluated them and assigned
129 these with a low-quality evidence rating (C/D). This rating indicated
130 limitations noted in these reports including lack of formal medical
131 review and/or detailed information/documentation about the species
132 assigned responsibility for the bite(s). Therefore, there are no detailed
133 descriptions of bites by *Psammophylax* spp., or first-hand reports that
134 have documented their medical effects.

135
136 While performing field work and photography, two of the
137 authors were bitten by specimens *P. rhombeatus* and developed
138 effects consistent with local envenoming. We report here on these two
139 first-hand cases of bites by *P. rhombeatus*, present the details of these
140 bites and their reported effects.

2. Case reports

Case 1

While performing a herpetological field survey on September 30 2004 near the Sterkfontein dam (Orange Free State) in South Africa (28°27'N, 29°01'E) in the morning around 1000-1100 hrs, one of us (JR; 24 yr old male, amateur herpetologist, with no significant medical history, no current medications or known allergies) was bitten by an approximately 1± m (total length) *P. rhombeatus* (gender undetermined) (Fig. 1). The victim was previously (2002) bitten by a Brazilian lancehead, *Bothrops moojeni* Hoge, 1966 (Viperidae, Crotalinae) and severely envenomed (progressive edema and consumptive coagulopathy); he was treated with six vials of Bothrofav[®] (Sanofi-Pasteur, Lyon, France; a monovalent F(ab')₂ antivenom against venom of the fer-de-lance or Martinique lancehead, *Bothrops lanceolatus* Bonnaterre, 1790; this antivenom has no clinically proven paraspecificity for *B. moojeni* venom).

The *P. rhombeatus* was discovered under a piece of pottery (pipe) in a humid habitat that had recently burned (2-3 months prior) and was beginning to reestablish floral growth. The snake was captured without difficulty, and was kept for several minutes in order to photograph the specimen. While handling the snake, the victim momentarily loosened his grip, and was promptly bitten proximal to

the metacarpophalangeal joint of the digit #5, left hand. The snake maintained a firm grip for approximately 10-15 secs while it implanted the enlarged posterior maxillary teeth into the victim's hand. Once manually disengaged from the bite site, two symmetrical puncture marks consistent with the enlarged posterior maxillary teeth, as well as small blood drops produced by several of the anterior teeth were observed (Fig. 2). The local, proportional bleeding stopped after several minutes. There was no first aid applied, and the wound was not disinfected. Several minutes post-bite, edema and erythema were first noted around the bite site. Within about seven hours post-bite, the edema involved the whole hand; moving the hand and fingers was not painful, but there was a nearly complete limitation of flexion and extension; manual dexterity was significantly affected (e.g., handling silverware was very difficult). Subsequently, the local edema of the bitten hand remained unchanged for three days and resolved on only the fourth day post-bite. The pain was estimated as 1/10 (using the verbal pain 0 to 10 with 10 being 'unbearable' pain) during the bite, but there was no pain (0/10), even when moving the hand and fingers. The victim described the most persistent effect as marked digital stiffness noted especially with attempted flexion of the fingers. Ecchymosis was absent and there were no other symptoms or signs including non-specific complaints such as headache and/or nausea. Complete resolution was observed within 4 days.

Case 2

192

193 A 28-yr-old male herpetologist/professional photographer with
194 no significant medical history or allergies was investigating the
195 herpetofauna at Glen Austen Gauteng, South Africa (25.975536°N,
196 28.169737°E) at 1120 hrs on October 18 2014 when he encountered
197 an approximately 600 mm (total length) female *P. rhombeatus*, that
198 was coiled around a recently deposited egg clutch (Fig. 3A). The
199 snake was gently moved in order to photograph the eggs, together
200 with the specimen. As the snake was handled, it inflicted a bite
201 delivered to the medial-dorsal surface of the thumb, left hand, that
202 initially consisted of contact with only the anterior maxillary and
203 mandibular teeth; however, the snake began to advance its jaws and
204 the victim sensed being punctured by both of the enlarged posterior
205 maxillary teeth (Fig. 3B). The snake was firmly attached and resisted
206 removal by the victim; it was gently coaxed to release by manually
207 manipulating its head and had remained attached for approximately 45
208 seconds. The victim noted that immediately after detachment of the
209 snake, the wounds immediately bled and continued bleeding for an
210 estimated 2-3 minutes; the victim opined that the wounds bled a bit
211 disproportionally in relation to the lacerations and punctures
212 comprising the wound site. There was no attempted first-aid or
213 interventions for the bite. For several hours post-bite, the victim only
214 noted a “slight burning sensation”, but approximately 7 hours later the
215 victim reported being awakened by his partner who stated that she felt
216 “heat radiating” from his hand. The victim noted local moderate

edema that involved the entire left hand; the edema mildly inhibited digit flexion/extension and the skin was notably warm (“hot to touch”). The victim reported a mild “throbbing-type pain” ranked 2/10 (“very mild, but pulsating, making it uncomfortable particularly when trying to sleep”), and did not ingest any analgesics or any other medications/substances. The edema was still present and moderately inhibited manual dexterity 36 hours post-bite (Figs 4A, 4B), and persisted for almost 1 week. Stiffness was present in the all of the metacarpophalangeal joints and digits of the left hand, but this completely subsided after approximately 4 days. Thereafter, the signs and symptoms fully resolved without any sequelae. The victim reported several previous asymptomatic and uneventful brief bites from several species of non-front-fanged snakes including *Psammophylax* (also, the psammophiids, short-snouted grass snake, *Psammophis brevirostris* Peters, 1881; cross-marked grass snake, *Psammophis crucifer* (Daudin, 1803), and the colubrine colubrid, marbled tree snake, *Dipsadoboa aulica* (Günther, 1864)); there was no history of any envenoming from any front-fanged species, and no history of having received antivenom. The victim has many years of experience photographing reptiles in the field often requiring close contact with many species of reptiles.

3. Discussion

241 The victims in these cases received firm bites with some jaw
242 advancement from adult *P. rhombeatus*. Although the snakes were
243 attached for <1 min, both victims developed mild-moderate signs and
244 symptoms consisting of significant local edema with some local
245 progression and minimal pain at the bite site. These resolved without
246 sequelae in four to seven days (respectively, victims #1 and #2).
247 Notably, both victims experienced similar clinical evolution of edema
248 and local pain. The victims did not receive formal medical review and
249 this limits the evidence quality (Level C/D, following the evidence
250 rankings by Weinstein et al. (2011). However, careful observations
251 were recorded and accurately correlated with images taken in
252 chronological order, thus providing precise records of the effects of
253 the bites.

254
255 Observations of *Psammophylax* spp. have suggested that these
256 snakes rarely attempt to bite unless roughly handled (FitzSimons,
257 1921). Our own observations (FG, TP) suggest that some *P.*
258 *rhombeatus* specimens are quick to bite when handled, as was also
259 reported by Branch (1988). However, the effects of the bites described
260 here may indicate that an especially prolonged bite by a large adult
261 specimen might produce more significant local envenoming. Spawls
262 and Branch (2020) commented that *P. rhombeatus*, “has a potent
263 neurotoxic venom, but no adverse symptoms ever recorded from a
264 bite”, while Bates (1996) referring to *Psammophylax* venom and that
265 of several other NFFC stated, “...is comparatively weak and bites

usually result in only localized swelling and pain similar to that caused by a bee sting”. Perceived venom neurotoxicity of *Psammophylax* is probably based on the previously outlined experiments by Phisalix (1922), FitzSimons (1921), and the comments by Christensen (1955). However, there is so far no biomedical evidence of neurotoxins in any *Psammophylax* venom, nor any clinical evidence of neurotoxicity from their bites, although as noted here, detailed reports about their venom and bites are absent in the literature. Therefore, there is insufficient documentation to firmly characterize the clinical syndrome that may be caused by prolonged bites by *Psammophylax*. Additionally, 3-finger-fold neurotoxins are common in many NFFCs including other psammophiids (Lumsden et al., 2007; Jackson et al., 2019; Modahl and Mackessy, 2019), and these may occur in *Psammophylax* venoms, but could have prey specificity (e.g., lizards). We decline speculation about the venom components that may have caused the effects that developed in the victims described here. Several venom components probably contribute to this e.g., snake venom metalloproteases; however, victim hypersensitivity may also play a role in the acute effects of some NFFC bites such as these (Weinstein et al., 2011). The minimal pain associated with the rapidly progressive edema suggests the specific investigation of this whenever possible e.g., laboratory evaluation of inflammatory cellular subsets and immunoglobulins. For example, Th2-related markers, including the interleukins (IL) and C-motif chemokines (CCL): IL-5, IL-13, IL-10, IL-31, CCL13, CCL18, and

many others, are prominently expressed in lesions manifested in acute atopic dermatitis (Malik et al., 2017).

Management of bites by *Psammophylax* spp. is governed by the severity of the victim's distress; simple wounds and local effects can be managed with meticulous wound care, while progressive edema, persistent pain, bleeding and greater distress suggestive of systemic effects should be promptly reviewed by a physician whenever possible. There is no antivenom for bites by *Psammophylax* spp. and no antivenom of any kind should be given because: it subjects the patient to unnecessary risks; it would be ineffective, and in any case is not clinically indicated. There is also no evidence supporting the administration of parenteral steroids, or antihistamines for treatment of bites by NFFC, nor for antibiotic prophylaxis unless there has been interference with the wound (e.g., incorrect first-aid, application of local remedies, etc.), environmental contamination, or shows signs of early necrosis (Weinstein et al., 2011; Weinstein, 2017).

Further studies of the venoms of *Psammophylax* spp. are desirable, and formal reports of any bites inflicted by these snakes can further document their medical effects and clinical management.

References

315 Alexander, G., Marais, J. 2007. A guide to the Reptiles of Southern
 316 Africa. Struik Publishers, Cape Town, South Africa. 408 pp.

317

318 Andrews, W.H. 1912. Experiments with snakes. Report Director Vet.
 319 Res., Dept. Agric., Union of S. Africa 2, 406–483.

320

321 Bates, M.F. 1995. Poisonous snakes of the Free State. Culna 49, 12–
 322 14.

323

324 Branch, B. 1988. Field Guide to the Snakes and Other Reptiles of
 325 Southern Africa (First edition). New Holland, London. 328 pp. + 96
 326 pls.

327

328 Branch, B. 2005. A photographic guide to snakes and other reptiles
 329 and amphibians of East Africa. Struik Publishers, Cape Town, South
 330 Africa. 144 pp.

331

332 Branch, W.R. 1982. Venomous snakes of southern Africa, 3.
 333 Concluding part: Colubridae. The Snake 14, 1–17.

334

335 Branch, W.R., Baptista, N., Keates, C., Edwards, S. 2019.
 336 Rediscovery, taxonomic status, and phylogenetic relationships of two
 337 rare and endemic snakes (Serpentes: Psammophiinae) from the
 338 southwestern Angolan plateau. Zootaxa 4590, 342–366.

339

340 Branch, W.R., Braak, H.H. 1987. Reptiles and amphibians of the
 341 Addo Elephant National Park. Koedoe 30, 61–111.

342

343 Broadley, D.G. 1977. A revision of the African snakes of the genus
 344 *Psammophylax* Fitzinger (Colubridae). Occas. Pap. Natl. Mus. Rhod.,
 345 Ser. B 6(1), 1–44.

346

347 Chapman, D.S. 1968. The symptomatology, pathology, and treatment
 348 of the bites of venomous snakes of central and southern Africa.

349 Bücherl, W., Buckley, E.E., Deulofeu, V., eds. Venomous Animals
 350 and Their Venoms. Vol. I: Venomous vertebrates. Academic Press,
 351 New York, 463–527.

352

353 Chippaux, J.-P., Jackson, K. 2019. Snakes of Central and Western
 354 Africa. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore. x + 429 pp.

355

356 Christensen, P.A. 1955. South African snake venoms and antivenoms.
 357 The South African Institute for Medical Res., Johannesburg, v, 129
 358 pp.

359

360 Cottone, A.M., Bauer, A.M. 2010. Sexual dimorphism, diet,
 361 reproduction, and their geographic variation in sympatric
 362 Psammophiids, *Psammophis crucifer* and *Psammophylax rhombeatus*
 363 *rhombeatus*, from Southern Africa. Copeia 4, 578–590.

364

365 FitzSimons, F.W. 1910. The Snakes of South Africa; Their Venom
366 and the Treatment of Snake Bite. Walton, Port Elizabeth, South
367 Africa. 160 pp.

368

369 FitzSimons, F.W. 1912. The snakes of Southern Africa: Their Venom
370 and Treatment of Snake Bite. T.M. Miller, Cape Town, South Africa.
371 547 pp.

372

373 FitzSimons, F.W. 1921. The snakes of South Africa, their venom and
374 the treatment of snake bite. T. Maskew Miller, Cape Town. xvi + 550
375 pp.

376

377 FitzSimons, D.C., Smith, H.M. 1958. Another rear-fanged South
378 African snake lethal to humans. *Herpetologica* 14, 198–202.

379

380 Frei, W. 1910. Über einige Experimente mit Giften und
381 Speicheldrüsenextracten südafrikanischer Schlangen. *Zeitschr. Hyg.*
382 *Infektionskr.* 3, 211–217

383

384 Heymans, J.C. 1977. Variations des glandes parotidiennes chez les
385 Serpents Colubridae d'Afrique Centrale. *Rev. Zool. Afr.* 91, 893–905.

386

387 Jackson, T.N.W., Jouanne, H., Vidal, N. 2019. Snake Venom in
388 Context: Neglected Clades and Concepts. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 7, 332.

389

Keates, C., Conradie, W., Greenbaum, E., Edwards, S. 2019. A snake in the grass: Genetic structuring of the widespread African grass snake (*Psammophylax* Fitzinger 1843), with the description of a new genus and a new species. J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res. 57, 1039–1066.

Kuch, U., Mebs, D. 2002. Envenomations by colubrid snakes in Africa, Europe and the Middle East. J. Toxicol. - Toxin Reviews 21, 159–179.

Lumsden, N.G., Banerjee, Y., Kini, R.M., Kuruppu, S., Hodgson, W.C. 2007. Isolation and characterization of rufoxin, a novel protein exhibiting neurotoxicity from venom of the psammophiine, *Rhamphiophis oxyrhynchus* (Rufous beaked snake). Neuropharmacology 52, 1065–1070. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2006.11.002

Malik, K., Heitmiller, K.D., Czarnowicki, T. 2017. An update on the pathophysiology of atopic dermatitis. Dermatol. Clin. 35(3), 317–326.

McKinstry, D.M. 1983. Morphologic evidence of toxic saliva in colubrid snakes: a checklist of world genera. Herpetological Review 14, 12–15.

413 Minton, S.A., Jr. 1990. Venomous bites by nonvenomous snakes: an
414 annotated bibliography of colubrid envenomation. *J. Wild. Med.* 1(2),
415 119–127.

416

417 Modahl, C., Mackessy, S.P. 2019. Venoms of rear-fanged snakes:
418 New proteins and novel activities. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 7 (doi:
419 10.3389/fevo.2019.00279).

420

421 Phisalix, M. 1922. Animaux venimeux et venins : la fonction
422 venimeuse chez tous les animaux ; les appareils venimeux, les venins
423 et leurs propriétés ; les fonctions et usages des venins ; l'envenimation
424 et son traitement. Tome 2. Masson & Cie Éditeurs - Libraires de
425 l'Académie de Médecine, Paris. xii + 864 pp. + [1 p., errata], 17 pls.

426

427 Shine, R., Branch, W.R., Webb, J.K., Harlow, P.S., Shine, T. 2006.
428 Sexual dimorphism, reproductive biology, and dietary habits of
429 Psammophiine snakes (Colubridae) from Southern Africa. *Copeia*
430 2006, 650–664.

431

432 Spawls, S., Branch, B. 2020. *The Dangerous Snakes of Africa*.
433 Bloomsbury, London (Oxford, New York, New Dehli, Sydney). 336
434 pp.

435

436 Spawls, S., Howell, K., Hinkel, H., Menegon, M. 2018. Field Guide to
437 East African Reptiles, 2nd edition. Bloomsbury Wildlife, London. 624
438 pp.

439

440 Taub, A.M. 1967. Comparative histological studies on Duvernoy's
441 gland of Colubrid snakes. Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist. 138, 1–50, 58
442 plates.

443

444 Warrell, D.A. 1995. Clinical toxicology of snakebite in Africa and the
445 Middle East / Arabian Peninsula. In Meier, J., and White, J. Handbook
446 of clinical toxicology of animal venoms and poisons. CRC Press,
447 Boca Raton, New York, London, Tokyo.

448

449 Warrell, D.A. 2010. Guidelines for the prevention and clinical
450 management of snakebite in Africa. World Health Organization,
451 Regional Office for Africa, Brazzaville. xiv + 129 pp.

452

453 Weinstein, S.A. 2017. Non-Front-Fanged Colubroid Snakes. In Brent,
454 J., Burkhart, K., Dargan, P., Hatten, B. et al. Textbook of Critical
455 Care Toxicology. Springer International, pp. 2453–2492.

456

457 Weinstein, S., Warrell, D., White, J., Keyler, D.E. 2011. “Venomous”
458 Bites from Non-Venomous Snakes. 1st Edition. A Critical Analysis of

459 Risk and Management of “Colubrid” Snake Bites. Elsevier, London.
460 xxvii + 336 pp.

461

462 Wilkey, R. 2019. Snakes of Malawi. A field guide to the snake species
463 of Malawi (2019 revised edition, 5th revision). Biddles Books. 329 pp.

464

Table 1

A brief inventory of *Psammophylax* spp. and related species.

Valid name	Common names	Previous name(s); subspecies	Distribution	Reference(s)
<i>Psammophylax kellyi</i> Conradie, Keates & Edwards in Keates, Conradie, Greenbaum & Edwards, 2019	Tanzanian Grass Snake, Tanzanian Skaapsteker	<i>Psammophylax multisquamis</i> [pro parte]; [no recognized subspecies]	Mount Meru, N Tanzania	Keates et al., 2019
<i>Psammophylax multisquamis</i> (Loveridge, 1932)	Kenyan Grass Snake, Kenyan Striped Skaapsteker	<i>Trimerorhinus tritaeniatus multisquamis</i> , <i>Psammophylax tritaeniatus multisquamis</i> , <i>Psammophis variabilis multisquamis</i> ; [no recognized subspecies]	Ethiopia, Kenya, N Tanzania, N Rwanda	Branch, 2005; Spawls et al., 2018; Chippaux & Jackson, 2019
<i>Psammophylax ocellatus</i> (Bocage, 1873)	Angolan Grass Snake, Angolan Skaapsteker	<i>Psammophylax rhombeatus ocellatus</i> [pro parte]; [no recognized subspecies]	SW Angola, ?NW Namibia	Branch et al., 2019; Keates et al., 2019
<i>Psammophylax rhombeatus</i> (Linnæus, 1758)	Spotted Grass Snake, Spotted Skaapsteker, Rhombic Skaapsteker	<i>Coluber rhombeatus</i> , <i>Trimerorhinus rhombeatus</i> , <i>Psammophylax rhombeatus ocellatus</i> [pro parte]; [no recognized subspecies]	S Namibia, Rep. of South Africa, Lesotho, Swaziland, SW Angola	Phisalix, 1922; Branch, 1988; Alexander & Marais, 2007; Chippaux & Jackson, 2019; Spawls & Branch, 2020
<i>Psammophylax tritaeniatus</i> (Günther, 1868)	Striped Grass Snake, Striped Skaapsteker, Three-lined Grass Snake	<i>Trimerorhinus tritaeniatus</i> , <i>T. tritaeniatus</i> , <i>Rhagerhis tritaeniatus</i> , <i>Rhagerhis tritaeniata</i> ; [recognized subspecies: <i>P. t. tritaeniatus</i> , <i>P. t. subniger</i>]	NE Namibia, N Botswana, Zimbabwe, NE Rep. of South Africa, Angola, S Tanzania, Zambia, Malawi, S Dem. Rep. Congo, Zambia, Mozambique	Phisalix, 1922; Branch, 1988, 2005; Alexander & Marais, 2007; Spawls et al., 2018; Chippaux & Jackson, 2019; Wilkey, 2019; Spawls & Branch, 2020
<i>Psammophylax variabilis</i> Günther, 1893	Grey-bellied Grass Snake, Grey-bellied Skaapsteker	<i>Trimerorhinus tritaeniatus</i> [pro parte], <i>Trimerorhinus tritaeniatus variabilis</i> ; [recognized subspecies: <i>P.v.variabilis</i> , <i>P.v. vanoyei</i>]	N Botswana, Dem. Rep. Congo, Tanzania, Burundi, Rwanda, Uganda, Kenya, Ethiopia, Malawi, Zambia, Mozambique, Namibia	Branch, 1988; Alexander & Marais, 2007; Spawls et al., 2018; Chippaux & Jackson, 2019; Wilkey, 2019
<i>Kladirostratus acutus</i> (Günther, 1888)	Striped Beaked Snake, Beaked Skaapsteker	<i>Psammophis acutus</i> ; <i>Psammophylax acutus</i> ; <i>Rhamphiophis acutus</i> ; [recognized subspecies: <i>K. a. acutus</i> , <i>K. a. jappi</i>]	Angola, NW/W Zambia, S Dem. Rep. Congo, W Tanzania, N Malawi, N Rwanda	Keates et al., 2019
<i>Kladirostratus togoensis</i> (Matschie, 1893)	Northern Sharp-nosed Skaapsteker	<i>Psammophis togoensis</i> ; <i>Rhamphiophis togoensis</i> ; <i>Rhamphiophis acutus garambensis</i> ; <i>Psammophylax acutus togoensis</i> ; <i>Psammophylax togoensis</i> ; [no recognized subspecies]	Ghana, Togo, Nigeria, Cameroon, Central Afr. Rep., N Dem. Rep. Congo, Uganda	Keates et al., 2019

Figure legends

Figure 1. The Spotted Grass Snake or Rhombic Skaapsteker (*Psammophylax rhombeatus*) that inflicted the bite (Case #1). The snake was approximately 1 m total length; gender is unknown.



478 Figure 2. The left hand shortly after having been bitten by
479 *Psammophylax rhombeatus* (Case #1). The snake remained attached
480 for approximately 10-15 seconds, but did not advance its jaws. The
481 bite produced symmetrical punctures that corresponded with the
482 enlarged posterior maxillary teeth; only scant bleeding was noted.
483 Note the early edema proximal to the metacarpophalangeal joint.

484

485

486



487

488

Figures 3A, B. (A) The Spotted Grass Snake or Rhombic Skaapsteker (*Psammophylax rhombeatus*) that inflicted the bite (Case #2). The snake (female) was approximately 580 mm total length, and was found coiled around her clutch of recently deposited eggs. The snake inflicted the bite when she was re-positioned in order to take photographs (image courtesy of Tyrone Ping). (B) The *Psammophylax rhombeatus* specimen shown in Fig. 3A, inflicting the bite on the medial-dorsal surface of the left thumb, victim #2. The wound reportedly bled “freely”, but bleeding ceased within approximately 2 minutes. The victim reported that the subsequent local edema was accompanied only by mild throbbing pain, but rendered sleep difficult (image courtesy of Tyrone Ping).



Figures 4A, B. Persistent local effects, left hand 36 hrs after bite by *Psammophylax rhombeatus* on medial-dorsal surface of left thumb (Case #2). The snake remained attached for approximately 45 seconds and briefly advanced its jaws. The bite caused progressive local edema that eventually involved the entire hand and caused functional limitations of digital flexion and extension; only mild local pain was noted. Note the significant local edema of the thenar eminence in Panel B (images courtesy of Tyrone Ping).

