

## Defining optimal fluoroquinolone exposure against Mycobacterium tuberculosis: contribution of murine studies

Thomas Maitre, Lorenzo Guglielmetti, Nicolas Veziris

## ► To cite this version:

Thomas Maitre, Lorenzo Guglielmetti, Nicolas Veziris. Defining optimal fluoroquinolone exposure against Mycobacterium tuberculosis: contribution of murine studies. European Respiratory Journal, 2021, 57 (4), pp.2004315. 10.1183/13993003.04315-2020. hal-03278896

## HAL Id: hal-03278896 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03278896

Submitted on 6 Jul 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Defining optimal fluoroquinolone exposure against Mycobacterium tuberculosis:

Thomas Maitre<sup>1</sup>, Lorenzo Guglielmetti<sup>1,2</sup>, Nicolas Veziris<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Sorbonne Université, INSERM, U1135, Centre d'Immunologie et des Maladies Infectieuses, Cimi-Paris, équipe 13, Paris, France

<sup>2</sup> APHP, Groupe Hospitalier Universitaire Sorbonne Université, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Centre National de Référence des Mycobactéries et de la Résistance des Mycobactéries aux Antituberculeux, Paris, France

<sup>3</sup> APHP, Groupe Hospitalier Universitaire Sorbonne Université, Hôpital Saint-Antoine, Département de Bactériologie, Paris, France

We read with interest the research letter published by Forsman *et al.* in the *European Respiratory Journal* [1]. The authors determined the proportion of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis patients treated with moxifloxacin or levofloxacin who attained an optimal drug exposure for these drugs. The target exposure corresponded to the area under the curve  $(AUC_{0-24h})/$  minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) ratio generating the optimal bactericidal activity. The authors based their calculations on the  $AUC_{0-24h}/MIC$  ratio measured in a preclinical model called Hollow Fiber System (HFS), an *in vitro* model used to assess anti-tuberculosis activity of candidate drugs. Based on this model, they reported that the target ratio was reached in only half of patients receiving moxifloxacin, and in none of those receiving levofloxacin.

Like other research groups, we have previously conducted studies in murine models that brought conclusions different from those of the HFS. No single preclinical model accurately reflects the very specific PK/PD conditions observed in human post-primary tuberculosis: in fact, each model has its own advantages and disadvantages. Compared to *in vitro* models, the main advantage for using an animal model is its ability to model both innate and adaptive immune response that participate *in vivo* to

the treatment efficacy, particularly against mycobacterial infections. Among animal models, the murine model has been used for more than sixty years and its capability to predict activity in human has been well established. When comparing results provided by murine studies to those provided by HFS, we have noted that the levofloxacin target  $AUC_{0-24h}/MIC$  ratio measured in mice was similar, around 150 [2, 3]. Nonetheless, the target  $AUC_{0-24h}/MIC$  ratio for moxifloxacin was measured in mice by two independent research teams at around 100 [2, 4] *i.e.* two times higher than the one measured in the HFS study cited by the authors [5]. If we take in consideration this higher ratio, the percentage of patients included in the study by Forsman *et al.* that reached the target exposure would be even lower, around 20-30%.

The authors suggest that, against strains harbouring the gyrA A90V mutation, generating a moxifloxacin MIC of 2 mg/L [2], high doses of moxifloxacin from 600 to 800 mg daily should be sufficient to reach the target exposure to kill *M. tuberculosis*. We agree with Forsman et al. that residual fluoroquinolone activity against the lowlevel resistant mutant A90V is a crucial issue, since this mutation represents the second most frequent DNA gyrase mutation, which is harboured by approximately 20% of fluoroquinolone-resistant strains [6]. However, it appears doubtful that doubling the dose of moxifloxacin can restore the activity against strains with 4- to 8times higher MIC. Our group has measured the activity of moxifloxacin against A90V mutants in two different murine strains: these studies showed that high doses of moxifloxacin, equivalent to 800 mg/day in humans, generated bacteriostatic activity only [2, 7]. When combined with other second-line drugs, high doses of moxifloxacin increased the activity of the regimen but did not reach the level of the same regimen against a susceptible strain [8]. Thus, adding moxifloxacin 800 mg/day to a drug regimen against *M. tuberculosis* strains harbouring gyrA A90V mutation may likely only have limited effectiveness. This benefit has to be balanced with toxicity, in particular QT interval prolongation, which is also reported in association with the use of new drugs such as bedaquiline or delamanid. In addition to the clinical trial cited by the authors, observational evidence has also shown that, in bedaquiline-based regimens for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis, high-dose moxifloxacin is the main risk factor for QT prolongation [9].

In conclusion, we agree with the authors that moxifloxacin 400 mg/day and levofloxacin 500 mg/day are suboptimal doses with regard to anti-tuberculous activity. This finding is even more relevant in light of the possible inclusion of fluoroquinolones in shorter regimens for drug-susceptible tuberculosis, where fluoroquinolone exposure could be further reduced by the co-administration of rifamycins [10]. Possible approaches may include routine therapeutic drug monitoring and/or the use of higher fluoroquinolone doses. However, the benefit-risk of the latter strategy should be carefully evaluated.

## References

- Forsman LD, Niward K, Kuhlin J, Zheng X, Zheng R, Ke R, Hong C, Werngren J, Paues J, Simonsson USH, Eliasson E, Hoffner S, Xu B, Alffenaar J-W, Schön T, Hu Y, Bruchfeld J. Suboptimal moxifloxacin and levofloxacin drug exposure during treatment of patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: results from a prospective study in China. *Eur. Respir. J.* [Internet] European Respiratory Society; 2020 [cited 2020 Nov 12]; Available from: https://erj-ersjournalscom.proxy.insermbiblio.inist.fr/content/early/2020/10/22/13993003.03463-2020.
- Maitre T, Petitjean G, Chauffour A, Bernard C, El Helali N, Jarlier V, Reibel F, Chavanet P, Aubry A, Veziris N. Are moxifloxacin and levofloxacin equally effective to treat XDR tuberculosis? *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 2017; 72: 2326– 2333.
- Deshpande D, Pasipanodya JG, Mpagama SG, Bendet P, Srivastava S, Koeuth T, Lee PS, Bhavnani SM, Ambrose PG, Thwaites G, Heysell SK, Gumbo T. Levofloxacin Pharmacokinetics/Pharmacodynamics, Dosing, Susceptibility Breakpoints, and Artificial Intelligence in the Treatment of Multidrug-resistant Tuberculosis. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2018; 67: S293–S302.
- 4. Shandil RK, Jayaram R, Kaur P, Gaonkar S, Suresh BL, Mahesh BN, Jayashree R, Nandi V, Bharath S, Balasubramanian V. Moxifloxacin, ofloxacin, sparfloxacin, and ciprofloxacin against Mycobacterium tuberculosis: evaluation of in vitro and pharmacodynamic indices that best predict in vivo efficacy. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2007; 51: 576–582.
- 5. Gumbo T, Louie A, Deziel MR, Parsons LM, Salfinger M, Drusano GL. Selection of a moxifloxacin dose that suppresses drug resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis, by use of an in vitro pharmacodynamic infection model and mathematical modeling. *J. Infect. Dis.* 2004; 190: 1642–1651.
- Avalos E, Catanzaro D, Catanzaro A, Ganiats T, Brodine S, Alcaraz J, Rodwell T. Frequency and Geographic Distribution of gyrA and gyrB Mutations Associated with Fluoroquinolone Resistance in Clinical Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Isolates: A Systematic Review. Kranzer K, editor. *PLOS ONE* 2015; 10: e0120470.

- 7. Poissy J, Aubry A, Fernandez C, Lott M-C, Chauffour A, Jarlier V, Farinotti R, Veziris N. Should moxifloxacin be used for the treatment of extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis? An answer from a murine model. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2010; 54: 4765–4771.
- 8. Fillion A, Aubry A, Brossier F, Chauffour A, Jarlier V, Veziris N. Impact of fluoroquinolone resistance on bactericidal and sterilizing activity of a moxifloxacin-containing regimen in murine tuberculosis. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2013; 57: 4496–4500.
- Guglielmetti L, Jaspard M, Le Dû D, Lachâtre M, Marigot-Outtandy D, Bernard C, Veziris N, Robert J, Yazdanpanah Y, Caumes E, Fréchet-Jachym M. Long-term outcome and safety of prolonged bedaquiline treatment for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis. *Eur. Respir. J.* 2017; 49: 1601799.
- Naidoo A, Chirehwa M, McIlleron H, Naidoo K, Essack S, Yende-Zuma N, Kimba-Phongi E, Adamson J, Govender K, Padayatchi N, Denti P. Effect of rifampicin and efavirenz on moxifloxacin concentrations when co-administered in patients with drug-susceptible TB. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 2017; 72: 1441– 1449.