



HAL
open science

Swarm v3: towards tera-scale amplicon clustering

Frédéric Mahé, Lucas Czech, Alexandros Stamatakis, Christopher Quince,
Colomban de Vargas, Micah Dunthorn, Torbjørn Rognes

► **To cite this version:**

Frédéric Mahé, Lucas Czech, Alexandros Stamatakis, Christopher Quince, Colomban de Vargas, et al.. Swarm v3: towards tera-scale amplicon clustering. *Bioinformatics*, 2022, 38 (1), pp.267-269. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btab493 . hal-03284105

HAL Id: hal-03284105

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03284105v1>

Submitted on 12 Jul 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Application Notes

Swarm v3: towards tera-scale amplicon clustering

Frédéric Mahé^{1, 2,*}, Lucas Czech^{3, 4}, Alexandros Stamatakis^{3, 5}, Christopher Quince^{6, 7, 8}, Colomán de Vargas^{9, 10}, Micah Dunthorn^{11, 12} and Torbjørn Rognes^{13, 14}

¹UMR PHIM, CIRAD, Montpellier, France

²PHIM Plant Health Institute, Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, INRAE, Institut Agro, IRD, Montpellier, France

³Computational Molecular Evolution Group, Heidelberg Institute for Theoretical Studies, Heidelberg, Germany

⁴Department of Plant Biology, Carnegie Institution for Science, Stanford, CA, USA

⁵Institute of Theoretical Informatics, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany

⁶Organisms and Ecosystems, Earlham Institute, Norwich, United Kingdom

⁷Gut Microbes and Health, Quadram Institute, Norwich, United Kingdom

⁸Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom

⁹Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Station Biologique de Roscoff, UMR7144, ECOMAP, Roscoff, France

¹⁰Research Federation for the study of Global Ocean Systems Ecology and Evolution, FR2022/Tara GOSEE, Paris, France

¹¹Natural History Museum, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

¹²Eukaryotic Microbiology, University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany

¹³Department of Informatics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

¹⁴Department of Microbiology, Oslo University Hospital, Rikshospitalet, Oslo, Norway

*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Associate Editor: XXXXXXX

Received on XXXXX; revised on XXXXX; accepted on XXXXX

Abstract

Motivation: Previously we presented swarm, an open-source amplicon clustering program that produces fine-scale molecular operational taxonomic units (OTUs) that are free of arbitrary global clustering thresholds. Here we present swarm v3 to address issues of contemporary datasets that are growing towards tera-byte sizes.

Results: When compared to previous swarm versions, swarm v3 has modernized C++ source code, reduced memory footprint by up to 50%, optimized CPU-usage and multithreading (more than 7 times faster with default parameters), and it has been extensively tested for its robustness and logic.

Availability: Source code and binaries are available at <https://github.com/torognes/swarm>

Contact: frederic.mahe@cirad.fr

Supplementary information: Supplementary data are available at *Bioinformatics* online.

1 Introduction

In emerging planetary biology, large-scale amplicon sequencing datasets are used to unravel global ecological and evolutionary patterns within and across biomes and biota (de Vargas *et al.*, 2015; Mahé *et al.*, 2017; Giner *et al.*, 2020). With today's sequencing platforms, such as Illumina and PacBio, single environmental diversity studies can produce massive amounts of data. A critical bioinformatics step in the handling of these massive metabarcoding datasets is to cluster the sequencing reads into

operational taxonomic units (OTUs). OTUs are often used as units of comparison in downstream statistical analyses and are often interpreted as proxies for species and other taxa (Santoferrara *et al.*, 2020).

Swarm v1 (Mahé *et al.*, 2014) was introduced as a novel approach to cluster amplicons into OTUs, inspired by previous single-linkage methods such as DOTUR (Schloss & Handelsman, 2005). The key underlying idea of swarm was to use a local, iterative, single-linkage clustering process to group closely related sequences (by default with one difference in their nucleotide sequences, i.e. $d = 1$). Swarm's clustering process differs from global clustering threshold approaches that apply an arbitrary fixed

minimal similarity between the OTU seed and other OTU members; often set at 97% or 98% (Edgar *et al.*, 2010), or from model-based noise-filtering methods such as DADA2 (Callahan *et al.*, 2016) and Deblur (Amir *et al.*, 2017). The recommended usage of these methods is to process samples or sequencing runs independently and then to merge the results. Swarm offers a fast alternative allowing users to (re-)process entire datasets at once. Swarm v2 (Mahé *et al.*, 2015) implemented in C++ two additional features to refine clustering: OTU-breaking that splits OTUs that are only linked via low-abundant sequences (`--no-otu-breaking` to disable); and the merging that grafts low-abundant OTUs onto higher-abundant OTUs (`--fastidious` to enable).

Swarm v2 was completely implemented in C++ and was substantially faster due to algorithmic advances when used with default parameters ($d = 1$). There was still room for improvement. There were issues with code standardization that could limit compile-time optimization and raise warnings or errors with future compilers (Darriba *et al.*, 2018; Wilson *et al.*, 2014). The code could only be executed on GNU/Linux and macOS on x86-64 CPUs. And although swarm v2 was multithreaded and fast, its time and memory requirements could become a limiting factor on very large current and future datasets, especially as amplicon sequences become longer. Swarm v3 addresses these issues.

2 Code quality and portability

Following the recommendations of Darriba *et al.*, 2018, swarm v3 features a substantially revised and improved documentation (e.g.; help and man page), as well as clearer and more helpful warnings and error messages. Swarm's logic and behavior have been tested extensively via automatically generated input (afl-fuzz¹) and 669 hand-crafted functional software tests², covering more than 95% of swarm's code (the remaining code is CPU architecture-specific). The Codecov³ tool tracks code coverage evolution, and the Travis-CI⁴ suite automatically executes the test suite on each new code modification to prevent regressions.

To facilitate swarm's long-term maintenance and portability, advanced compiler options (gcc⁵ and clang⁶) as well as state-of-the-art static (cppcheck⁷ and clang-tidy⁸) and dynamic C++ analyzers (valgrind⁹) were used to detect unsafe or deprecated code not reported by commonly used compiler options. More than 1,600 warnings were fixed so far, improving swarm's global code quality score as assessed by SoftWipe (Zapletal *et al.*, 2020) from 5.2 to 6.6 out of 10. Swarm has now been ported to new combinations of CPU architectures and operating systems: Microsoft's Windows on x86-64, GNU/Linux and macOS on ARM 64, and GNU/Linux on POWER8, in addition to the already available versions for GNU/Linux and macOS on x86-64.

3 Time and space optimization, real-world results

DNA sequences are stored in silico as strings of the four characters A, C, G, and T. Rather than using a byte of memory for storing each nucleotide, it is possible to only use two bits. Thereby, four nucleotides can be

stored per byte. This compression reduces the global memory-footprint but also requires some storage overhead and additional encoding-decoding operations as CPUs cannot operate directly on anything smaller than a byte. To alleviate this, swarm v3 deploys a faster hash function (Zobrist, 1970) and an efficient Bloom filter (Putze *et al.*, 2009), and was re-written to operate on fixed-length chunks of compressed sequences, rather than on individual nucleotides (see Supp. File). It should be noted that this new algorithm only applies to the default value for swarm's d parameter ($d = 1$). Higher d values use the same algorithm as in swarm v2.

On a dataset of 10.6 million unique SSU-rRNA V4 sequences (representing 31.6 million reads, 380 bp on average, Mahé *et al.*, 2017), and a series of subsamplings (1%, and 10-90% steps), swarm v3 outperformed swarm v2 in every performance metric, while yielding exactly identical clustering results. With both versions running on 1 core, v3 was more than 7 times faster than v2. When both were running on 16 cores, v3 was about 10 times faster than v2. The memory requirement of v3 was about half that of v2 (Supp. Fig 1). Comparable results were obtained on a second dataset of 10.6 million unique SSU-rRNA V9 sequences (130 bp on average, de Vargas *et al.*, 2015), but with a less pronounced memory-footprint reduction as the storage overhead of two-bit compressed sequences has a larger impact with shorter sequences (see Supp. Figs. 2, 3, and Supp. File for a detailed benchmark description).

When using the merging option (named fastidious), swarm v3 is more than 5 times faster for SSU-rRNA V9 (130 bp), and more than 9 times faster for SSU-rRNA V4 (380 bp) (Supp. Fig. 2). The memory-footprint is only reduced by 5-10% due to the fact that the fastidious algorithm relies on a Bloom filter to store hash values instead of DNA sequences, and therefore does not profit from the two-bit sequence compression.

4 Conclusion

Swarm v3 is a clustering method designed to maximize taxonomic resolution, sensitivity and speed. If coupled with "lossy" post-clustering filtering steps such as chimera detection, quality filtering, and multi-sample co-occurrence patterns (e.g.; Frøslev *et al.*, 2017), swarm has the potential to yield robust, single-nucleotide resolution results. Swarm v3 can be used on short and long read metabarcoding data (with sequences up to 10 Mbp when using $d = 1$), or on meta-transcriptomic/genomic data that has been subsampled from the same locus. It offers a comprehensive set of options that gives users full-control and access to intermediate internal data, such as the complete pairwise sequence network (see Forster *et al.*, 2020, for a usage example). Swarm v3 is open-source, actively maintained, portable, and efficient, thus reducing the need for expensive computational resources. As an example, the UniEuk project (Berney *et al.*, 2017) gathered from the global research community an SSU-rRNA V4 dataset with nearly 324 million unique sequences (123 billion nucleotides), more than three times the volume of the recently published Earth Microbiome Project (Thompson *et al.*, 2017). Using default parameters, swarm v3 required 50 minutes to cluster the UniEuk dataset on a 16-core system. We estimate that it would take less than six hours on the same machine to process a one trillion nucleotide, or one tera-byte dataset.

Acknowledgements

We thank Étienne Platini and Milena Königshoffen for writing unit tests, and Claude Monet for providing the impressionist background. The bioinformatics analyses were performed on the Core Cluster of the Institut Français de Bioinformatique (IFB) (ANR-11-INBS-0013). We are also grateful for access to computational resources provided by UNINETT Sigma2—the National Infrastructure for High Performance Computing and Data Storage in Norway (project NN9383K), the University of Oslo and the Oregon State University.

¹ <https://lcamtuf.coredump.cx/afl/>

² <https://github.com/frederic-mahe/swarm-tests/>

³ <https://codecov.io>

⁴ <https://travis-ci.org>

⁵ <https://gcc.gnu.org>

⁶ <https://clang.llvm.org>

⁷ <http://cppcheck.sourceforge.net>

⁸ <https://clang.llvm.org/extra/clang-tidy/>

⁹ <https://www.valgrind.org>

Funding

Funding came from the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation through the UniEuk grant GBMF5275, the Klaus Tschira Foundation, and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (#DU1319/5-1).

References

- Amnon Amir, Daniel McDonald, Jose A. Navas-Molina, Evgenia Kopylova, James T. Morton, Zhenjiang Zech Xu, Eric P. Kightley, Luke R. Thompson, Embriette R. Hyde, Antonio Gonzalez, Rob Knight (2017) Deblur Rapidly Resolves Single-Nucleotide Community Sequence Patterns, *mSystems*, **2**, e00191-16.
- Berney, Cédric et al. (2017) UniEuk: Time to Speak a Common Language in Protistology! *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology*, **64**, 407-411.
- Callahan, B., McMurdie, P., Rosen, M. et al. (2016) DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data, *Nature Methods*, **13**, 581-583.
- Darriba D, Flouri T, Stamatakis A. (2018) The State of Software for Evolutionary Biology, *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **35**, 1037-1046.
- Edgar RC. (2010) Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST, *Bioinformatics*, **26**, 2460-2461.
- Forster D, Lentendu G, Wilson M, Mahé F, Leese F, Andersen T, Khomich M, Dunthorn M. (2020) Evaluating geographic variation within molecular operational taxonomic units (OTUs) using network analyses in Scandinavian lakes, *BioRxiv*, 2020.08.06.240267.
- Froslev, T.G., Kjølner, R., Bruun, H.H. et al. (2017) Algorithm for post-clustering curation of DNA amplicon data yields reliable biodiversity estimates *Nature Communications*, **8**, 1188.
- Giner, C.R., Pernice, M.C., Balagué, V. et al. (2020) Marked changes in diversity and relative activity of picoeukaryotes with depth in the world ocean *ISME J*, **14**, 437-449.
- Mahé F, Rognes T, Quince C, de Vargas C, Dunthorn M. (2014) Swarm: robust and fast clustering method for amplicon-based studies *PeerJ*, **2**, e593.
- Mahé F, Rognes T, Quince C, de Vargas C, Dunthorn M. (2015) Swarm v2: highly-scalable and high-resolution amplicon clustering *PeerJ*, **3**, e1420.
- Mahé, F., de Vargas, C., Bass, D. et al. (2017) Parasites dominate hyperdiverse soil protist communities in Neotropical rainforests *Nature Ecology and Evolution*, **1**, 0091.
- Putze F, Sanders P, Singler J (2009) Cache-, Hash- and Space-Efficient Bloom Filters *Journal of Experimental Algorithmics*, **14**, 4.
- Santoferrara, L., Burki, F., Filker, S., Logares, R., Dunthorn, M. and McManus, G.B. (2020) Perspectives from Ten Years of Protist Studies by High-Throughput Metabarcoding *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.*, **67**, 612-622.
- Schloss P.D. and Handelsman J. (2005) Introducing DOTUR, a Computer Program for Defining Operational Taxonomic Units and Estimating Species Richness *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **71**, 1501-1506.
- Thompson, L., Sanders, J., McDonald, D. et al. (2017) A communal catalogue reveals Earth's multiscale microbial diversity *Nature*, **551**, 457-463.
- de Vargas C., Audic S., Henry N., Decelle J., Mahé F., Logares R., Lara E., Berney C., Le Bescot N., Probert I., Carmichael M., Poulain J., Romac S., Colin S., Aury J.-M., Bittner L., Chaffron S., Dunthorn M., Engelen S., Flegontova O., Guidi L., Horak A., Jaillon O., Lukeš J., Malviya S., Morard R., Mulot M., Scalco E., Siano R., Vincent F., Zingone A., Dimier C., Picheral M., Searson S., Kandels-Lewis S., Tara Oceans Coordinators, Acinas S. G., Bork P., Bowler C., Gorsky G., Grimsley N., Hingamp P., Iudicone D., Not F., Ogata H., Pesant S., Raes J., Sieracki M., Speich S., Stemman L., Sunagawa S., Weissenbach J., Wincker P., and Karsenti E. (2015) Eukaryotic plankton diversity in the sunlit global ocean *Science*, **348**, 6237.
- Wilson G, Aruliah DA, Brown CT, Chue Hong NP, Davis M, Guy RT, Haddock SHD, Huff KD, Mitchell IM, Plumbley MD, Waugh B, White EP, Wilson P (2014) Best Practices for Scientific Computing *PLoS Biology*, **12**, e1001745.
- Zapletal A, Höhler D, Sinz C, and Stamatakis A (2020) SoftWipe—a tool and benchmark to assess scientific software quality *BioRxiv*, 2020.10.07.330621.
- Zobrist AL (1970) A New Hashing Method with Application for Game Playing *Tech. Rep.*, **88**, Computer Sciences Department, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.