

Stratified Radiative Transfer for Multidimensional Fluids and numerical applications to earth science

François Golse, Olivier Pironneau

▶ To cite this version:

François Golse, Olivier Pironneau. Stratified Radiative Transfer for Multidimensional Fluids and numerical applications to earth science. 2023. hal-03306461v2

HAL Id: hal-03306461 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03306461v2

Preprint submitted on 7 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. STRATIFIED RADIATIVE TRANSFER IN A FLUID AND NUMERICAL APPLICATIONS TO EARTH SCIENCE*

FRANÇOIS GOLSE[†] AND OLIVIER PIRONNEAU[‡]

Abstract. New mathematical results are given for the Radiative Transfer equations alone and 4 coupled with the temperature equation of a fluid: existence, uniqueness, a maximum principle and 5 a convergent monotone iterative scheme. Thanks to these new results, a numerical method using 6 an integro-differential formulation is proved to be stable, convergent and accurate. For climate, 7 a robust numerical method is important because the difference between an atmosphere with and 8 without greenhouse gases easily falls below the precision of the numerical schemes. Numerical tests 9 10 for Earth's atmosphere and the heating of a pool by the Sun are included and discussed.

Key words. Radiative transfer, Temperature equation, Integral equation, Numerical analysis, 11 Climate modelling 12

AMS subject classifications. 3510, 35Q35, 35Q85, 80A21, 80M10 13

1

2

3

1. Introduction. Radiative transfer is an important field of physics. It appears 14 in astronomy, nuclear physics and heat transfer in fluid mechanics. It is also a key 15 ingredient of climate models. 16

Books on radiative transfer for the atmosphere are numerous, such as [22], [15],17 [4],the numerically oriented [28] and the two mathematically oriented [6] and [9]. 18

When Planck's theory of black bodies is used, radiation involves a continuum of 19 frequencies governed by the temperature of the emitting bodies. Studies based on the 20 interactions of the photons with the atoms of the medium, such as [3], are currently 21 unusable numerically in large physical domains. A much simpler formulation has 22 been proposed a hundred years ago, known as the radiative transfer equations, which 23 is based on the energy conservation principles of continuum mechanics. 24

Even when the interactions with the background fluid are neglected, the radiative 25 transfer equations involves 5 "spatial" variables (3 coordinates for the position of each 26 photon, and the 2 components of its direction). Existence of solutions of the radiative 27 transfer equations can be proved by a Schauder-type compactness argument (see [1]), 28 with uniqueness under appropriate additional boundedness (see Proposition 2 in [23] 29 and [27]), or monotonicity assumptions (see Corollary 2 in [23], together with [12]). 30

Given the intricacy of the radiative transfer equations, several simplifying assump-31 tions have been studied in the literature. If the scattering and absorption coefficients 32 do not depend on the frequencies of the radiation source, the radiative transfer equa-33 tions can be averaged in the frequency variable, leading to a closed system of equations 34 for the temperature and frequency-averaged radiative intensity, known as the "grey" 35 model. However the frequency dependence of the scattering and absorption coeffi-36 cients is fundamental to understand several important effects in Earth's atmosphere. 37 For instance, Rayleigh explained the blue color of the sky by the fact that the scatter-38 ing coefficient is proportional to the fourth power of the radiation frequency. Likewise, 39 the fact that some components of Earth's atmosphere are opaque to infrared radia-40 tions seems important to understand the greenhouse effect. Another simplification, of 41

^{*}SUBMITTED TO THE EDITORS DATE.

[†]CMLS, École Polytechnique & CNRS, Institut polytechnique de Paris, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France (francois.golse@polytechnique.edu).

[‡]Applied Mathematics, Jacques-Louis Lions Lab, Sorbonne Université, 75252 Paris cedex 5, France (olivier.pironneau@sorbonne-universite.fr, https://www.ljll.math.upmc.fr/pironneau/). 1

F. GOLSE AND O. PIRONNEAU

⁴² a purely geometric nature, consists in assuming that the temperature and radiative

⁴³ intensity are uniform on a foliation of the space by parallel planes, and therefore de-⁴⁴ pend on a single position variable. As a result, the radiative intensity depends only on

the projection of the photon's direction on the orthogonal axis to these planes. This

⁴⁶ is known as the "slab symmetry" assumption, which appears in the "Milne problem"

47 for planetary or stellar atmospheres (see [6] for a detailed physical discussion of the

⁴⁸ Milne problem, and [11] for the corresponding mathematical theory).

The term "radiative transfer" usually refers to the interaction of radiation with 49 a fixed background material. But of course, radiation obviously deposits energy in 50 the background fluid, gas or plasma, as well as momentum, through the radiation 51 pressure, and conversely, high speed fluid motion obviously modifies such processes 52 as Compton scattering (scattering of a photon by a free electron at rest) by Doppler 53 effect. Therefore, in full generality, the equation for the radiation intensity are coupled 54 with the fluid equations. This coupling is studied under the name of "radiation 55 hydrodynamics" (see [26] for the coupling with ideal fluids, and [24]). 56

The most general studies of radiation hydrodynamics mentioned above involve 57 high speed (possibly relativistic) fluid motion. In the present paper, we consider 58 radiation passing through an incompressible fluid, or a compressible fluid at low Mach 59 number. Thus our setting will be intermediate between radiation hydrodynamics as 60 [26],[24], and as in [10]. This last reference considers the coupling of the grey model of 61 radiative transfer with a background material at rest. See also [27]¹ for an existence 62 results for the general system in 3D, yet without the monotone properties used by 63 the numerical algorithm, which is at the core of this study. The radiation energy is 64 deposited in the background medium in the form of heat, and appears as a source 65 term in the heat equation for the temperature, while the black body radiation of 66 the background medium appears as a source term in the radiative transfer equation 67 for the radiative intensity. Our model retains the fluid motion equation, as well as 68 the frequency dependence of the radiation field, which is essential for applications to 69 Earth's climate. 70

We shall however make another simplification, referred to as the "stratification or 71 parallel plane assumption": while the radiation intensity and temperature depend on 72 all 3 position coordinates, only one of these coordinates is retained in the computation 73 of the streaming operator acting on the radiative intensity, while the two other coor-74 dinates appear only as parameters in the radiative transfer equation. The stratified 75 approximation is used when the radiation source is far — as in the case of the Sun -76 and the radiative intensity deposited at the boundary of the computational domain 77 is uniform or at least slowly varying in the tangential directions to this surface. 78

In 2005 K. Evans and A. Marshak wrote in chapter 4 of [22] a review of the 79 numerical methods available for Radiative Transfer alone. Today, judging from [5], the 80 situation has not changed: SHDOM (Spherical Harmonic Discrete Ordinate Method) 81 and Monte-Carlo are the two most popular methods. While reviewing the current 82 situation for the radiative transfer equations in [2] we implemented a finite element 83 version of SHDOM and found that the method was incapable, unless a huge number 84 of degree of freedom is used, of giving results with the accuracy needed to differentiate 85 between small variations on the absorption coefficient. 86

On the other hand an integral formulation present in [6] turned out to be much more precise and also computationally much cheaper. A fixed-point iteration of this nonlinear integral formulation, known in the RT community as "iterations on the

¹While this paper was being reviewed, [27] was brought to our attention.

⁹⁰ sources" was shown to be monotone in [25], a property which seems to have escaped

⁹¹ earlier studies. Finally in [14] the method was extended to include the temperature

equation of the fluid and also to handle Rayleigh scattering while retaining monotonic ity. While [14] is more numerically oriented, the present article gives the convergence

proofs as well.

The radiative transfer equations are presented in section 2. After this, a cascade of simplifications are discussed: the stratified approximation, the decoupling from the fluid, and Milne problem techniques originating from [11] (see also [23]).

In section 3, the stratified radiative transfer decoupled from the fluid is analyzed in the case of isotropic scattering. Existence of a solution is proved by using the convergent monotone iterative scheme proposed in [2]. A maximum principle in the line of [23, 11] is also presented.

Uniqueness issues are discussed in section 4. The proofs are far from straightforward, and heavily rely on ideas in [23]. It may be interesting to compare Mercier's monotonicity structure for the radiative transfer equation, which is quite involved, with the general observation [7] on order preserving maps in L^1 leaving the integral invariant.

In section 5 the above results are extended to the non isotropic case of scattering with the Rayleigh phase function.

Finally in section 6 existence, uniqueness and monotone convergence of the fixedpoint iterations are proved for the radiative transfer equation coupled with the temperature equation of a fluid whose velocity field is known.

Three numerical applications are presented in section 7. The first one is a nu-112 merical simulation of the radiative transfer in the atmosphere with real data for the 113 frequency dependent absorption coefficient κ_{ν} . The numerical method is sufficiently 114 accurate to study the effect of variations of κ_{ν} in part of the spectrum, much like 115 changing the composition of the atmosphere by adding more CO_2 or other greenhouse 116 gases. The problem is one dimensional in space. The second example is the study 117 of the temperature in a pond heated by the Sun. For this problem radiative transfer 118 is coupled with the Navier-Stokes equations. The geometry is academic, in 2D; its 119 object is to show the feasibility of the numerical method for such coupled problems. 120 The third problem is also a feasibility study which shows that it is possible to make 121 a 3D computation of the wind in the atmosphere of a planet heated by the Sun and 122 subject to thermal diffusion. The computing times show that the method could be 123 used in real life situations. 124

2. Fundamental equations and approximations. Finding the temperature 125 T in a fluid heated by electromagnetic radiations is a complex problem because in-126 teractions of photons with atoms of the medium involve rather intricate quantum 127 phenomena. A first simplifying assumption is that of local thermodynamic equilib-128 rium (LTE): at each point in the fluid, there is a well-defined electronic temperature. 129 In that case, one can write a kinetic equation for the radiative intensity $I_{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\omega},t)$ at 130 time t, at position x and in the direction ω for photons of frequency ν , in terms of 131 the temperature field $T(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$: 132

(2.1)
$$\frac{1}{c}\partial_t I_{\nu} + \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla I_{\nu} + \rho \bar{\kappa}_{\nu} a_{\nu} \left[I_{\nu} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} p_{\nu}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\omega}') I_{\nu}(\boldsymbol{\omega}') \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega}' \right] \\ = \rho \bar{\kappa}_{\nu} (1 - a_{\nu}) [B_{\nu}(T) - I_{\nu}].$$

In this equation, ∇ designates the gradient with respect to the position x, while

(2.2)
$$B_{\nu}(T) = \frac{2h\nu^3}{c^2[\mathrm{e}^{\frac{h\nu}{kT}} - 1]}$$

is the Planck function at temperature T, with h the Planck constant, c the speed of light in the medium (assumed to be constant) and k the Boltzmann constant. Notice that

(2.3)
$$\int_0^\infty B_\nu(T) d\nu = \bar{\sigma} T^4, \qquad \bar{\sigma} = \frac{2\pi^4 k^4}{15c^2 h^3},$$

¹³⁷ where $\pi \bar{\sigma}$ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant.

The intricacy of the interaction of photons with atoms of the medium is contained 138 in 3 quantities: 1/ the mass-absorption $\bar{\kappa}_{\nu}$ which is the fraction of radiative intensity 139 at frequency ν that is absorbed per unit length, 2/ the scattering albedo a_{ν} and a 140 probability of scattering from directions ω' to ω . Indeed, a photon of frequency ν 141 travelling in a direction ω' may be deflected by the atoms of the medium in a new 142 direction $\boldsymbol{\omega}$. The proportion of deflected photons $a_{\nu} \in (0,1)$ is the called the scattering 143 albedo. Furthermore if $p_{\nu}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\omega}') \geq 0$ is the probability density of scattering from $\boldsymbol{\omega}'$ 144 to ω the scattered intensity is (see [9], p 74): $\frac{a_{\nu}\bar{\kappa}_{\nu}}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} p_{\nu}(\omega, \omega')I_{\nu}(\omega')d\omega'$. Probabilities sum up to 1, so $\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} p_{\nu}(\omega, \omega')d\omega' = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} p_{\nu}(\omega, \omega')d\omega = 1$. 145 146

¹⁴⁷ The kinetic equation (2.1) is coupled to the fluid equations solely by the local ¹⁴⁸ conservation of energy. When the fluid is incompressible, density ρ , pressure p and ¹⁴⁹ velocity fields u satisfy the Navier-Stokes equations

(2.4)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \rho = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = 0, \\ \partial_t \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u} - \frac{\mu_F}{\rho} \Delta \boldsymbol{u} + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p = \mathbf{g}, \end{cases}$$

where Δ is the Laplacian in the \boldsymbol{x} variable. Here, \boldsymbol{g} is the gravity, while μ_F is the fluid viscosity. For the applications discussed in Section 7, namely the Earth atmosphere below 12km and lakes, air and water are incompressible to a very good precision (see the low Mach number limit theorem in [18]).

The total energy density is the sum of the kinetic energy density of the fluid, of the internal energy of the fluid, and of the radiative energy. Subtracting the kinetic energy balance equation from the local conservation of energy, neglecting the viscous heating term $\frac{1}{2}\mu_F |\nabla \boldsymbol{u} + (\nabla \boldsymbol{u})^T|^2$ on the right hand side of the equality above, which is legitimate assuming that the variations of $|\boldsymbol{u}|^2$ times μ_F are small, we arrive at

(2.5)
$$\rho c_V(\partial_t T + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla T) = \nabla \cdot (\rho c_P \kappa_T \nabla T) \\ + \int_0^\infty \rho \bar{\kappa}_\nu (1 - a_\nu) \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} I_\nu(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\omega} - 4\pi B_\nu(T) \right) \mathrm{d}\nu \,,$$

where T is the temperature, while c_V, c_P are the specific heat capacity at constant volume and constant pressure respectively, and κ_T is the thermal diffusivity.

¹⁶¹ Summarizing, the kinetic equation (2.1) for the radiative intensity is coupled to ¹⁶² the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations (2.4) and to the drift diffusion equation $_{163}$ (2.5) for the temperature. The resulting system is

(2.6)
$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{c} \partial_t I_{\nu} + \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla I_{\nu} + \rho \bar{\kappa}_{\nu} a_{\nu} \left[I_{\nu} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} p_{\nu}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\omega}') I_{\nu}(\boldsymbol{\omega}') d\boldsymbol{\omega}' \right] \\ &= \rho \bar{\kappa}_{\nu} (1 - a_{\nu}) [B_{\nu}(T) - I_{\nu}], \\ \rho c_V(\partial_t T + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla T) - \nabla \cdot (\rho c_P \kappa_T \nabla T) \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \rho \bar{\kappa}_{\nu} (1 - a_{\nu}) \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} I_{\nu}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) d\boldsymbol{\omega} - 4\pi B_{\nu}(T) \right) d\nu, \\ \partial_t \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u} - \frac{\mu_F}{\rho} \Delta \boldsymbol{u} + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p = \mathbf{g}, \\ \partial_t \rho + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \rho = 0, \qquad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = 0. \end{cases}$$

This system is supplemented with appropriate initial and boundary conditions. Assuming for instance that the spatial domain is an open subset Ω of \mathbb{R}^3 with C^1 , or piecewise C^1 boundary $\partial\Omega$, and denoting by \boldsymbol{n} the outward unit normal field on $\partial\Omega$, the following boundary conditions are natural:

(2.7)
$$I_{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\omega},t) = Q_{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\omega},t), \qquad \boldsymbol{x} \in \partial\Omega, \ \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{x}} < 0, \ \nu > 0$$
$$\boldsymbol{u}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\Big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

The first boundary condition tells us that the radiative intensity of incoming photons 168 169 $(\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_x < 0)$ at the boundary of the spatial domain is known, which is a typical admissible boundary condition for kinetic models; the second boundary condition is 170 the classical Dirichlet boundary condition for the velocity field, solution of the Navier-171 Stokes equations, while the last boundary condition, the Neuman condition for the 172 temperature, corresponds to the absence of heat flux at the boundary of the spatial 173 domain. (Of course, this is just one example of boundary condition for the heat 174 equation, other boundary conditions could also be considered — for instance, one 175 could have mixed Dirichlet-Neuman, or even Robin conditions on the temperature.) 176 Notice that there is no boundary condition for the density ρ , since the velocity field 177 \boldsymbol{u} is tangent (and even vanishes) at the boundary $\partial\Omega$. 178

¹⁷⁹ Finally, one should specify initial conditions of the form

(2.8)
$$I_{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}, 0) = I_{\nu}^{in}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}), \qquad \boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega, \ \boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathbb{S}^{2}, \ \nu > 0, \\ \rho|_{t=0} = \rho^{in}, \qquad \boldsymbol{u}|_{t=0} = \boldsymbol{u}^{in}, \qquad T|_{t=0} = T^{in}$$

Neglecting the viscous heating term as explained above has an important conse-180 quence on the structure of this system, which can be thought of as "block triangular". 181 In other words, one can first solve for ρ, \boldsymbol{u}, p the Navier-Stokes equations (2.4), then 182 the last three equations in the system (2.6) above. The mathematical theory of (2.4)183 has been discussed in great detail by P.-L. Lions in [21]. Then, the density ρ and 184 velocity field \boldsymbol{u} are known, and appear as coefficients in the coupled system of the 185 radiative transfer equation (2.1) and of the heat drift-diffusion equation (2.5). This 186 coupling must be studied in detail. In the next two sections, we discuss simplified 187 model equations deduced from (2.6). 188

2.1. Stratified radiative transfer. Let (x, y, z) be the Cartesian coordinates of the point $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, with z denoting the altitude/depth.

Assume that the radiation source (henceforth referred to as "the Sun") is far away in the direction z > 0, and is independent of x and y. The radiation spectrum of this ¹⁹³ source is that of a black body at temperature T_S , that is, the Planck function $B_{\nu}(T_S)$. ¹⁹⁴ With such a radiation source, it is natural to assume that the temperature field T is ¹⁹⁵ slowly varying with x and y, so that $|\partial_x T| + |\partial_y T| \ll |\partial_z T|$ and that I_{ν} is also slowly ¹⁹⁶ varying in x and y so that $|\partial_x I_{\nu}| + |\partial_y I_{\nu}| \ll |\partial_z I_{\nu}|$.

Similarly, we further assume that $|\frac{1}{c}\partial_t I_{\nu}| \ll |\partial_z I_{\nu}|$, and forget the initial condition on I_{ν} , so that the time dependence of the radiative intensity is governed solely by the evolution of the temperature field through the radiative transfer equation (2.1).

With this assumption, the streaming term $\frac{1}{c}\partial_t I_{\nu} + \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \nabla I_{\nu}$ reduces to $\mu \partial_z I_{\nu}$, where μ is the cosine of the angle of $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ with the z axis. Henceforth, the spatial domain is $\Omega = \mathbb{O} \times (z_m, z_M)$, where \mathbb{O} is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 with C^1 boundary.

203 Then (2.6) becomes (see [28]):

$$(2.9) \begin{cases} \mu \partial_z I_{\nu} + \rho \bar{\kappa}_{\nu} I_{\nu} = \rho \bar{\kappa}_{\nu} (1 - a_{\nu}) B_{\nu}(T) + \frac{1}{2} \rho \bar{\kappa}_{\nu} a_{\nu} \int_{-1}^{1} p_{\nu}(\mu, \mu') I_{\nu}(z, \mu', t) d\mu', \\ \partial_t T + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla T - \frac{c_P}{c_V} \kappa_T \Delta T = \frac{4\pi}{c_V} \int_0^\infty \bar{\kappa}_{\nu} (1 - a_{\nu}) \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 I_{\nu} d\mu - B_{\nu}(T) \right) d\nu, \\ I_{\nu}(x, y, z_M, \mu, t)|_{\mu < 0} = Q^-(\mu) B_{\nu}(T_S), \qquad I_{\nu}(x, y, z_m, \mu, t)|_{\mu > 0} = Q_{\nu}^+(\mu), \\ \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} \Big|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \qquad T|_{t=0} = T^{in}. \end{cases}$$

That $I_{\nu}(z_m, \mu, t)|_{\mu>0} = 0$, i.e. $Q_{\nu}^+(\mu) = 0$, is natural since no radiation comes from 204 the bottom of the spatial domain. Yet, by the law of black bodies, radiation could 205 also come from the bottom but more general boundary conditions could be handled 206 by the same analysis. In fact in [9] and other references, it is assumed that most of 207 the energy from the Sun is in the form of visible light and is essentially unaffected 208 by crossing the atmosphere, so that it is equivalent to a source of energy located at 209 z = 0. Recall that it make physical sense to take $Q^{-}(\mu) = \mu Q' \cos \theta$, where θ is the 210 latitude on Earth, while μ is the cosine of the observation angle. The fluid velocity 211 field \boldsymbol{u} is given, assumed to be divergence-free and regular enough for (2.9) to make 212 sense. Note that by rescaling the time variable, \boldsymbol{u} and κ_T appropriately, the factor 213 $4\pi/\rho c_V$ can be replaced with 1. 214

2.2. Radiative transfer decoupled from hydrodynamics. When $\kappa_T = 0$, and the fluid is at rest, the left-hand side of temperature equation is zero, so that the fluid equations are decoupled from the radiative transfer equation (2.1). Let us consider first the case of isotropic scattering, namely $p_{\nu}(\mu, \mu') = 1$ at all frequencies ν . Then the system becomes (see [2])

(2.10)
$$(\mu \partial_{\tau} + \kappa_{\nu}) I_{\nu}(\tau, \mu) = \kappa_{\nu} a_{\nu} J_{\nu}(\tau) + \kappa_{\nu} (1 - a_{\nu}) B_{\nu}(T(\tau)) ,$$

(2.11)
$$I_{\nu}(0,\mu) = Q_{\nu}^{+}(\mu), \quad I_{\nu}(Z,-\mu) = Q_{\nu}^{-}(\mu), \quad 0 < \mu < 1$$

(2.12)
$$\int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (1 - a_\nu) B_\nu(T(\tau)) d\nu = \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (1 - a_\nu) J_\nu(\tau) d\nu,$$

with the notation $Q_{\nu}^{-}(\mu) = Q^{-}(-\mu)B_{\nu}(T_{S})$ and

(2.13)
$$J_{\nu}(\tau) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} I_{\nu}(\tau, \mu) \mathrm{d}\mu.$$

In these equations, we have replaced $\bar{\kappa}_{\nu}$ by κ_{ν} and the height $z \in (z_m, z_M)$ by τ , analogous to the "optical depth" (see for instance [9], or formula (51) in chapter I of [6]), defined as follows. Pick $\rho_0 > 0$, some "reference" density of the fluid. For instance, ρ_0 could be the average density in the fluid, or the density at some reference altitude z. Indeed, the following expressions for the atmospheric density ρ in terms of the altitude z are found in the literature: $\rho(z) = \rho_0 e^{-z}$ or $\rho(z) = \rho_0 - \rho_1 z$. The new variable τ , and the absorption coefficient κ_{ν} are defined as follows:

(2.14)
$$\tau := \int_{z_m}^{z} \frac{\rho(\zeta)}{\rho_0} \mathrm{d}\zeta \,, \quad \text{and } \kappa_{\nu} := \rho_0 \bar{\kappa}_{\nu} \,.$$

Equations (2.10) and (2.12) imply that

(2.15)
$$\partial_{\tau} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{-1}^1 \mu I_{\nu}(\tau,\mu) \mathrm{d}\mu \mathrm{d}\nu = 0.$$

We have ignored the dependence in x, y of T and I_{ν} , since x, y are mere parameters in these equations, which are anyway completely decoupled from the fluid equations. Assuming that $0 < \kappa_{\nu} \leq \kappa_{M}$ and $0 \leq a_{\nu} < 1$ for all $\nu > 0$, we see that (2.12) and (2.13) define T as a functional of I, henceforth denoted T[I]. Equivalently, one can consider J_{ν} as a radiative intensity independent of μ , and observe that (2.12) and (2.13) imply that T[I] is also a T[J]. Thus (2.10),(2.11),(2.12) can be recast as

(2.16)
$$\begin{cases} (\mu \partial_{\tau} + \kappa_{\nu}) I_{\nu}(\tau, \mu) = \kappa_{\nu} S_{\nu}[J] := \kappa_{\nu} (a_{\nu} J_{\nu}(\tau) + \kappa_{\nu} (1 - a_{\nu}) B_{\nu}(T[J](\tau))) , \\ I_{\nu}(0, \mu) = Q_{\nu}^{+}(\mu) , \qquad I_{\nu}(Z, -\mu) = Q_{\nu}^{-}(\mu) , \qquad 0 < \mu < 1 . \end{cases}$$

²³¹ Throughout this article we use the exponential integrals

(2.17)
$$E_p(X) := X^{1-p} \int_X^\infty \frac{e^{-z}}{z^p} dz = \int_0^1 e^{-X/\mu} \mu^{p-2} d\mu, \qquad X > 0.$$

LEMMA 2.1. The following inequality holds:

$$\frac{1}{2} \sup_{0 \le t \le Z} \int_0^Z E_1(\kappa |\tau - t|) \kappa \mathrm{d}\tau \le C_1(\kappa) \,,$$

where $\kappa \mapsto C_1(\kappa)$ is monotone increasing from \mathbb{R}^+ to \mathbb{R}^+ , and less than 1.

Proof With $s = \kappa t$, observe that

$$\begin{split} \int_0^Z E_1(\kappa|\tau-t|)\kappa \mathrm{d}\tau &= \int_0^{\kappa Z} E_1(|\sigma-s|)\mathrm{d}\sigma = \int_{\mathbf{R}} E_1(|\sigma-s|)\mathbf{1}_{[0,\kappa Z]}(\sigma)\mathrm{d}\sigma \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}} E_1(|\theta|)\mathbf{1}_{[-s,\kappa Z-s]}(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta \le \int_{\mathbf{R}} E_1(|\theta|)\mathbf{1}_{[-\kappa Z/2,\kappa Z/2]}(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta \\ &= 2\int_0^{\kappa Z/2} E_1(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta \le 2\int_0^{Z\kappa_M/2} E_1(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta =: 2C_1(\kappa) \,. \end{split}$$

The first inequality above is the elementary rearrangement inequality (Theorem 3.4 in [20]). Now C_1 is obviously increasing since $E_1 > 0$, and

$$C_1(\kappa) = \int_0^{Z\kappa/2} E_1(\theta) \mathrm{d}\theta < \int_0^\infty E_1(\theta) \mathrm{d}\theta = \int_0^\infty \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-\theta y}}{y} \mathrm{d}y\right) \mathrm{d}y = \int_1^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{y^2} = 1.$$

234 235 236 LEMMA 2.2. Let

(2.19)
$$S_{\nu}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \left(e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}\tau}{\mu}} Q_{\nu}^{+}(\mu) + e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(Z-\tau)}{\mu}} Q_{\nu}^{-}(\mu) \right) d\mu$$

237 Problem (2.10), (2.11), (2.12), (2.13) is equivalent to (2.12), plus the integral equation

(2.20)
$$J_{\nu}(\tau) = S_{\nu}(\tau) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} E_{1}(\kappa_{\nu}|\tau - t|)\kappa_{\nu}(a_{\nu}J_{\nu}(t) + (1 - a_{\nu})B_{\nu}(T(t)))dt.$$

²³⁸ *Proof* Applying the method of characteristics shows that (2.21)

$$I_{\nu}(\tau,\mu) = e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}\tau}{\mu}} Q_{\nu}^{+}(\mu) \mathbf{1}_{\mu>0} + e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(Z-\tau)}{|\mu|}} Q_{\nu}^{-}(|\mu|) \mathbf{1}_{\mu<0} + \mathbf{1}_{\mu>0} \int_{0}^{\tau} e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(\tau-t)}{\mu}} \frac{\kappa_{\nu}}{\mu} \mathcal{S}_{\nu}[J](t) dt + \mathbf{1}_{\mu<0} \int_{\tau}^{Z} e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(t-\tau)}{|\mu|}} \frac{\kappa_{\nu}}{\mu} \mathcal{S}_{\nu}[J](t) dt .$$

One integrates both sides of this identity in μ , exchange the order of integration by Tonelli's theorem, and change variables in the inner integral, observing that

$$\int_{0}^{1} e^{-\frac{x}{\mu}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu}{\mu} = \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-Xy}}{y} \mathrm{d}y = \int_{X}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-z}}{z} \mathrm{d}z = E_{1}(X) \,.$$

 $_{241}$ Thus (2.20) holds

242

3. Analysis of problem (2.10)-(2.12). In order to solve numerically (2.10)-(2.12), one uses the method of iteration on the sources. Starting from some appropriate (I_{ν}^{0}, T^{0}) , one constructs a sequence (I_{ν}^{n}, T^{n}) by the following prescription:

(3.1)
$$\begin{cases} (\mu \partial_{\tau} + \kappa_{\nu}) I_{\nu}^{n+1}(\tau, \mu) = \kappa_{\nu} \mathcal{S}_{\nu}[J^{n}] \\ I_{\nu}^{n+1}(0, \mu) = Q_{\nu}^{+}(\mu), \qquad I_{\nu}^{n+1}(Z, -\mu) = Q_{\nu}^{-}(\mu), \qquad 0 < \mu < 1 \end{cases}$$

Note that $\mathcal{S}_{\nu}[J^n] := a_{\nu}J_{\nu}^n(t) + (1-a_{\nu})B_{\nu}(T^n(t))$ does not depend on μ . Hence, it is

(3.2)
$$J_{\nu}^{n+1}(\tau) = S_{\nu}(\tau) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} E_{1}(\kappa_{\nu}|\tau-t|)\kappa_{\nu}(a_{\nu}J_{\nu}^{n}(t) + (1-a_{\nu})B_{\nu}(T^{n}(t)))dt, \\ \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa_{\nu}(1-a_{\nu})B_{\nu}(T^{n+1}(\tau))d\nu = \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa_{\nu}(1-a_{\nu})J_{\nu}^{n+1}(\tau)d\nu.$$

As in (2.21), the method of characteristics shows that (3.3)

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\nu}^{n+1}(\tau,\mu) &= e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}\tau}{\mu}} Q_{\nu}^{+}(\mu) \mathbf{1}_{\mu>0} + e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(Z-\tau)}{|\mu|}} Q_{\nu}^{-}(|\mu|) \mathbf{1}_{\mu<0} \\ &+ \mathbf{1}_{\mu>0} \int_{0}^{\tau} e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(\tau-t)}{\mu}} \frac{\kappa_{\nu}}{\mu} \mathcal{S}_{\nu}[J^{n}] \mathrm{d}t + \mathbf{1}_{\mu<0} \int_{\tau}^{Z} e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(t-\tau)}{|\mu|}} \frac{\kappa_{\nu}}{|\mu|} \mathcal{S}_{\nu}[J^{n}] \mathrm{d}t \,. \end{aligned}$$

Since $B_{\nu} \ge 0$, this formula shows, by a straightforward induction argument, that

$$I_{\nu}^{0} \ge 0, \ T^{0} \ge 0, \ Q_{\nu}^{\pm} \ge 0 \implies I_{\nu}^{n} \ge 0.$$

8

Moreover

$$\begin{split} I_{\nu}^{n+1}(\tau,\mu) - I_{\nu}^{n}(\tau,\mu) &= \mathbf{1}_{\mu>0} \int_{0}^{\tau} e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(\tau-t)}{\mu}} \frac{\kappa_{\nu}}{\mu} a_{\nu} (J_{\nu}^{n}(t) - J_{\nu}^{n-1}(t)) \mathrm{d}t \\ &+ \mathbf{1}_{\mu>0} \int_{0}^{\tau} e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(\tau-t)}{\mu}} \frac{\kappa_{\nu}}{\mu} (1 - a_{\nu}) (B_{\nu}(T^{n}(t)) - B_{\nu}(T^{n-1}(t))) \mathrm{d}t \\ &+ \mathbf{1}_{\mu<0} \int_{\tau}^{Z} e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(t-\tau)}{|\mu|}} \frac{\kappa_{\nu}}{|\mu|} a_{\nu} (J_{\nu}^{n}(t) - J_{\nu}^{n-1}(t)) \mathrm{d}t \\ &+ \mathbf{1}_{\mu<0} \int_{\tau}^{Z} e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(t-\tau)}{|\mu|}} \frac{\kappa_{\nu}}{|\mu|} (1 - a_{\nu}) (B_{\nu}(T^{n}(t)) - B_{\nu}(T^{n-1}(t))) \mathrm{d}t \end{split}$$

Since B_{ν} is nondecreasing for each $\nu > 0$, formula (2.12) shows that

$$J_{\nu}^{n} \ge J_{\nu}^{n-1} \implies T^{n} \ge T^{n-1} ,$$

and we conclude from the equality above that

$$I_{\nu}^{0} = 0, \ T^{0} = 0, \ Q_{\nu}^{\pm} \ge 0 \implies \begin{cases} 0 \le I_{\nu}^{1} \le I_{\nu}^{2} \le \dots \le I_{\nu}^{n} \le \dots \\ 0 \le T^{1} \le T^{2} \le \dots \le T^{n} \le \dots \end{cases}$$

Integrating both sides of (3.2) over [0, Z] in τ implies that

$$\int_0^Z J_\nu^{n+1}(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau = \int_0^Z S_\nu(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^Z \left(\int_0^Z E_1(\kappa_\nu |\tau - t|) \kappa_\nu \mathrm{d}\tau \right) \mathcal{S}_\nu[J^n] \mathrm{d}t$$
$$\leq \int_0^Z S_\nu(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{0 \le t \le Z} \int_0^Z E_1(\kappa_\nu |\tau - t|) \kappa_\nu \mathrm{d}\tau \int_0^Z \mathcal{S}_\nu[J^n] \mathrm{d}t.$$

Thus by Lemma 2.1

$$\int_0^Z J_\nu^{n+1}(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau \le \int_0^Z S_\nu(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau + C_1(\kappa_\nu) \int_0^Z \mathcal{S}_\nu[J^n] \mathrm{d}t \,.$$

Multiply both sides of this inequality by κ_{ν} and integrate in ν : one finds that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^Z \kappa_\nu J_\nu^{n+1}(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}\nu \le \int_0^\infty \int_0^Z \left(\kappa_\nu S_\nu(\tau) + C_1(\kappa_M)\kappa_\nu S_\nu[J^n]\right) \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}\nu.$$

248 At this point, we recall that $T^n = T[J^n_{\nu}]$, so that

(3.4)
$$\int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (1 - a_\nu) B_\nu (T^n(t))) d\nu = \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (1 - a_\nu) J_\nu^n(t) d\nu$$

and hence

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^Z \kappa_\nu J_\nu^{n+1}(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}\nu \le C_1(\kappa_M) \int_0^\infty \int_0^Z \kappa_\nu J_\nu^n(t) \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}\nu + \int_0^\infty \int_0^Z \kappa_\nu S_\nu(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}\nu \,.$$

The expression of the source term can be slightly reduced, by integrating out the τ variable:

$$\int_0^Z \kappa_\nu e^{-\frac{\kappa_\nu \tau}{\mu}} \mathrm{d}\tau = \int_0^Z \kappa_\nu e^{-\frac{\kappa_\nu (Z-\tau)}{\mu}} \mathrm{d}\tau = \mu \left(1 - e^{-\frac{\kappa_\nu Z}{\mu}}\right) \,,$$

so that

$$0 \leq \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu \int_0^Z S_\nu(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}\nu \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu \int_0^1 (Q_\nu^+(\mu) + Q_\nu^-(\mu))\mu \mathrm{d}\mu \mathrm{d}\nu =: \mathcal{Q}$$
$$\implies \int_0^\infty \int_0^Z \kappa_\nu J_\nu^{n+1}(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}\nu \leq C_1(\kappa_M) \int_0^\infty \int_0^Z \kappa_\nu J_\nu^n(t) \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}\nu + \mathcal{Q}.$$

Initializing the sequence I_{ν}^{n} with $I_{\nu}^{0} = 0$ and $T^{0} = T[J_{\nu}^{0}] = 0$, one finds that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^Z \kappa_\nu J_\nu^1(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}\nu \le \mathcal{Q}, \qquad \int_0^\infty \int_0^Z \kappa_\nu J_\nu^2(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}\nu \le C_1(\kappa_M)\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q}$$

and by induction

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^Z \kappa_\nu J_\nu^{n+1}(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}\nu \leq \mathcal{Q} \sum_{j=0}^n C_1(\kappa_M)^j \,.$$

Since $C_1(\kappa_M) < 1$, the series above converges and one has the uniform bound

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^Z \kappa_\nu J_\nu^{n+1}(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}\nu \le \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{1 - C_1(\kappa_M)}$$

Furthermore, as

$$0 \le I_{\nu}^{1} \le I_{\nu}^{2} \le \ldots \le I_{\nu}^{n} \le I_{\nu}^{n+1} \le \ldots$$

the bound above and the Monotone Convergence Theorem implies that the sequence $I_{\nu}^{n+1}(\tau,\mu)$ converges for a.e. $(\tau,\mu,\nu) \in (0,Z) \times (-1,1) \times (0,+\infty)$ to a limit denoted $I_{\nu}(\tau,\mu)$ as $n \to \infty$. Since

$$0 \le T^1 \le T^2 \le \ldots \le T^n \le T^{n+1} \le \ldots$$

we conclude from (2.15) and the Monotone Convergence Theorem that $T^{n+1}(\tau)$ converges for a.e. $\tau \in (0, Z)$ to a limit denoted $T(\tau)$ as $n \to \infty$.

Then we can pass to the limit in (3.3) as $n \to \infty$ by monotone convergence, so that (2.21) holds for a.e. $(\tau, \mu, \nu) \in (0, Z) \times (-1, 1) \times (0, +\infty)$. One recognizes in this equality the integral formulation of (2.10)-(2.12). Besides, we have seen that

$$0 = I_{\nu}^{0} \le I_{\nu}^{1} \le I_{\nu}^{2} \le \dots \le I_{\nu}^{n} \le I_{\nu}^{n+1} \le \dots \le I_{\nu}, 0 = T^{0} \le T^{1} \le T^{2} \le \dots \le T^{n} \le T^{n+1} \le \dots \le T,$$

so that

$$0 \leq \int_{0}^{Z} (J_{\nu}^{n+1} - J_{\nu}^{n})(\tau) d\tau = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} \left(\int_{0}^{Z} E_{1}(\kappa_{\nu}|\tau - t|)\kappa_{\nu}d\tau \right) a_{\nu}(J_{\nu}^{n} - J_{\nu}^{n-1})(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} \left(\int_{0}^{Z} E_{1}(\kappa_{\nu}|\tau - t|)\kappa_{\nu}d\tau \right) (1 - a_{\nu})(B_{\nu}(T^{n}(t)) - B_{\nu}(T^{n-1}(t))) dt \leq C_{1}(\kappa_{M}) \int_{0}^{Z} (a_{\nu}(J_{\nu}^{n} - J_{\nu}^{n-1})(t) + (1 - a_{\nu})(B_{\nu}(T^{n}(t)) - B_{\nu}(T^{n-1}(t))) dt$$

Using again (3.4), we conclude that

$$0 \le \int_0^Z \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (J_\nu^{n+1} - J_\nu^n)(\tau) \mathrm{d}\nu \mathrm{d}\tau \le C_1(\kappa_M) \int_0^Z \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (J_\nu^n - J_\nu^{n-1})(t) \mathrm{d}t \,.$$

Hence

$$0 \leq \int_0^Z \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (J_\nu^{n+1} - J_\nu^n)(\tau) \mathrm{d}\nu \mathrm{d}\tau \leq C_1(\kappa_M)^n \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu J_\nu^1(\tau) \mathrm{d}\nu \mathrm{d}\tau \leq C_1(\kappa_M)^n \mathcal{Q},$$

so that

251

$$0 \leq \int_0^Z \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (J_\nu - J_\nu^n)(\tau) \mathrm{d}\nu \mathrm{d}\tau \leq C_1 (\kappa_M)^n \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu J_\nu^1(\tau) \mathrm{d}\nu \mathrm{d}\tau \leq \frac{C_1 (\kappa_M)^n \mathcal{Q}}{1 - C_1 (\kappa_M)}$$

Summarizing, we have proved the following result.

THEOREM 3.1. Assume that $0 < \kappa_{\nu} \leq \kappa_{M}$, while $0 \leq a_{\nu} < 1$ for all $\nu > 0$. Let $Q_{\nu}^{\pm}(\mu)$ satisfy

$$Q := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu \int_0^1 (Q_\nu^+(\mu) + Q_\nu^-(\mu)) \mu d\mu < \infty$$

Choose $I^0_{\nu} = 0$ and $T^0 = 0$, and let I^n_{ν} and $T^n = T[J^n_{\nu}]$ be the solution of (3.1). Then

$$I^n_{\nu}(\tau,\mu) \to I_{\nu}(\tau,\mu) \quad and \quad T^n(\tau) \to T(\tau)$$

for $(\tau, \mu, \nu) \in (0, Z) \times (-1, 1) \times (0, +\infty)$ as $n \to \infty$, where (I_{ν}, T) is a solution of (2.10)-(2.12). This method converges exponentially fast, in the sense that

$$0 \leq \int_0^Z \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (J_\nu - J_\nu^n)(\tau) \mathrm{d}\nu \mathrm{d}\tau \leq \frac{C_1(\kappa_M)^n \mathcal{Q}}{1 - C_1(\kappa_M)},$$

and, if $0 \le a_{\nu} \le a_M < 1$ while $0 < \kappa_m \le \kappa_{\nu}$, one has

$$0 \le \int_0^Z \bar{\sigma} (T(t)^4 - T^n(t)^4) dt \le \frac{C_1(\kappa_M)^n \mathcal{Q}}{\kappa_m (1 - a_M)(1 - C_1(\kappa_M))}.$$

The last bound comes from the defining equality for the temperature in terms of the radiative intensity

$$\kappa_m (1 - a_M) \bar{\sigma} (T^4 - (T^n)^4) = \kappa_m (1 - a_M) \int_0^\infty (B_\nu (T) - B_\nu (T^n)) d\nu$$

$$\leq \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (1 - a_\nu) (B_\nu (T) - B_\nu (T^n)) d\nu = \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (1 - a_\nu) (J_\nu - J_\nu^n) d\nu$$

$$\leq \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (J_\nu - J_\nu^n) d\nu.$$

4. Uniqueness, Maximum Principle for (2.10)-(2.12). This section follows computations in [11] (in the case $Z = +\infty$ and with $a_{\nu} = 0$) and the rather subtle monotonicity structure of the radiative transfer equations, a striking result² found by Mercier in [23]. The following theorem shows that two solutions of the problem (2.10)-(2.12) are ordered exactly as their boundary data. (This situation is analogous to the case of harmonic functions, except that the radiative transfer equations (2.10)-(2.12) are nonlinear, at variance with the Laplace equation.)

²In fact, Mercier's original argument is even more complex, because he assumes that the opacity $K_{\nu} := \kappa_{\nu}(1-a_{\nu})$ depends on the temperature T, and is a nonincreasing function of T for each $\nu > 0$ while $T \mapsto K_{\nu}(T)B_{\nu}(T)$ is nondecreasing.

THEOREM 4.1. Assume that $0 < \kappa_{\nu} \leq \kappa_M$, while $0 \leq a_{\nu} < 1$ for all $\nu > 0$. Let $Q^{\pm}, Q'^{\pm} \in L^1((0,1) \times (0,\infty))$ satisfy

$$0 \le Q_{\nu}^{\pm}(\mu) \le {Q'}_{\nu}^{\pm}(\mu) \quad \text{for a.e. } (\mu,\nu) \in (0,1) \times (0,\infty) \,.$$

Then, the solutions $(I_{\nu}, T[I])$ of (2.10)-(2.12), and $(I'_{\nu}, T[I'])$ of (2.10)-(2.12), with boundary data $Q^{\pm}_{\nu}(\mu)$ replaced with $Q'^{\pm}_{\nu}(\mu)$ satisfy

$$I_{\nu}(\tau,\mu) \leq I'_{\nu}(\tau,\mu) \text{ and } T[I](\tau) \leq T[I'](\tau) \text{ for a.e. } (\tau,\mu) \in (-1,1) \times (0,\infty) \,.$$

In particular,

$$Q_{\nu}^{\pm}(\mu) = Q_{\nu}^{\prime\pm}(\mu) \text{ a.e. } \mu, \nu \implies I_{\nu}(\tau,\mu) = I_{\nu}^{\prime}(\tau,\mu) \text{ and } T[I](\tau) = T[I^{\prime}](\tau)$$

for a.e. $\tau, \mu \in (-1,1) \times (0,\infty)$.

²⁵⁹ The proof of this result is deferred to the appendix at the very end of this paper.

One has also the following form of Maximum Principle for the radiative transfer equation. (If one keeps in mind the analogy with harmonic functions recalled before Theorem 4.1, the Maximum Principle below is a *consequence* of the monotonicity of the dependence of the solution of (2.10)-(2.12) in terms of its boundary data, whereas the analogous monotonicity in the case of harmonic functions is *deduced* from the Maximum Principle for the Laplace equation.)

Corollary 4.2. Assume that $0 < \kappa_{\nu} \leq \kappa_{M}$, while $0 \leq a_{\nu} < 1$ for all $\nu > 0$. Let $Q_{\nu}^{\pm}(\mu) \leq B_{\nu}(T_{M})$ (resp. $Q_{\nu}^{\pm}(\mu) \geq B_{\nu}(T_{m})$) for a.e. $(\mu, \nu) \in (0, 1) \times (0, \infty)$. Then

$$I_{\nu}(\tau,\mu) \leq B_{\nu}(T_M) \text{ and } T[I](\tau) \leq T_M$$

(resp. $I_{\nu}(\tau,\mu) \geq B_{\nu}(T_m) \text{ and } T[I](\tau) \geq T_m$)
for a.e. $(\tau,\mu) \in (-1,1) \times (0,\infty)$.

Proof Indeed, $I'_{\nu} = B_{\nu}(T_M)$ and $T[I'] = T_M$ (resp. $I'_{\nu} = B_{\nu}(T_m)$ and $T[I'] = T_m$) is the solution of (2.11) with boundary data $Q'^{\pm}_{\nu}(\mu) = B_{\nu}(T_M)$ (resp. $Q'^{\pm}_{\nu}(\mu) = B_{\nu}(T_m)$). The announced inequalities follow from the comparison of solutions obtained in Theorem 4.1.

Remark 4.3. In Theorem 3.1, if one has the stronger condition

$$0 \le Q_{\nu}^{\pm}(\mu) \le B_{\nu}(T_M)$$
 for a.e. $(\mu, \nu) \in (0, 1) \times (0, \infty)$,

one obtains the following bound for the numerical and theoretical solutions

$$0 \leq I_{\nu}^{1} \leq \ldots \leq I_{\nu}^{n} \leq \ldots I_{\nu} \leq B_{\nu}(T_{M})$$
, and $0 \leq T^{1} \leq \ldots \leq T^{n} \leq \ldots \leq T \leq T_{M}$

5. Radiative Transfer with Rayleigh Phase Function. In this section, we discuss the same problem as in the previous section, with the isotropic scattering kernel replaced by the Rayleigh phase function. In the case of slab symmetry, the Rayleigh phase function is

$$p(\mu, \mu') = \frac{3}{16}(3 - \mu^2) + \frac{3}{16}(3\mu^2 - 1)\mu'^2$$

 $_{271}$ (see section 11.2 in chapter I of [6]). Observe that

(5.1)
$$p(\mu,\mu') = \frac{3}{16}(3+3\mu^2\mu'^2-\mu^2-\mu'^2) \ge \frac{3}{16} > 0,$$

12

(5.2)
$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} p(\mu, \mu') d\mu = \frac{3}{16} (6 + 3 \cdot \frac{2}{3} {\mu'}^2 - \frac{2}{3} - 2{\mu'}^2) = 1.$$

273 Keeping (2.12) as the defining equation for T[I], the problem becomes

(5.3)
$$\begin{cases} (\mu \partial_{\tau} + \kappa_{\nu}) I_{\nu}(\tau, \mu) = \frac{3}{8} \kappa_{\nu} a_{\nu} ((3 - \mu^{2}) J_{\nu}(\tau) + (3\mu^{2} - 1) K_{\nu}(\tau)) \\ + \kappa_{\nu} (1 - a_{\nu}) B_{\nu}(T[J](\tau)) , \\ I_{\nu}(0, \mu) = Q_{\nu}^{+}(\mu) , \qquad I_{\nu}(Z, -\mu) = Q_{\nu}^{-}(\mu) , \qquad 0 < \mu < 1 , \end{cases}$$

274 with

(5.4)
$$J_{\nu} := \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \mu I_{\nu} d\mu, \qquad K_{\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \mu^2 I_{\nu} d\mu$$

and (2.12). Starting from $I^0_{\nu}(\tau,\mu)=0$ and $T^0(\tau)=0$, one solves for I^{n+1}

(5.5)
$$\begin{cases} (\mu\partial_{\tau} + \kappa_{\nu})I_{\nu}^{n+1}(\tau,\mu) = \frac{3}{8}\kappa_{\nu}a_{\nu}((3-\mu^{2})J_{\nu}^{n}(\tau) + (3\mu^{2}-1)K_{\nu}^{n}(\tau)) \\ + \kappa_{\nu}(1-a_{\nu})B_{\nu}(T^{n}(\tau)), \quad T^{n} := T[I^{n}] \\ I_{\nu}^{n+1}(0,\mu) = Q_{\nu}^{+}(\mu), \quad I_{\nu}^{n+1}(Z,-\mu) = Q_{\nu}^{-}(\mu), \quad 0 < \mu < 1. \end{cases}$$

Since B_{ν} is nondecreasing for each $\nu > 0$, one easily checks with (5.1) that

$$0 = I_{\nu}^{0} \le I_{\nu}^{1} \le I_{\nu}^{2} \le \dots \le I_{\nu}^{n} \le I_{\nu}^{n+1} \le \dots$$

$$0 = T^{0} \le T^{1} \le T^{2} \le \dots \le T^{n} \le T^{n+1} \le \dots$$

²⁷⁶ The construction of these sequences is straightforward:

$$J_{\nu}^{n+1}(\tau) = S_{\nu}(\tau) + \frac{3}{16} \int_{0}^{Z} E_{1}(\kappa_{\nu}|\tau-t|)\kappa_{\nu}a_{\nu}(3J_{\nu}^{n}(t) - K_{\nu}^{n}(t))dt + \frac{3}{16} \int_{0}^{Z} E_{3}(\kappa_{\nu}|\tau-t|)\kappa_{\nu}a_{\nu}(3K_{\nu}^{n}(t) - J_{\nu}^{n}(t))dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} E_{1}(\kappa_{\nu}|\tau-t|)\kappa_{\nu}(1-a_{\nu})B_{\nu}(T^{n}(t))dt, K_{\nu}^{n+1}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \left(e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}\tau}{\mu}}Q_{\nu}^{+}(\mu)\mathbf{1}_{\mu>0} + e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(Z-\tau)}{|\mu|}}Q_{\nu}^{-}(|\mu|)\mathbf{1}_{\mu<0}\right)\mu^{2}d\mu + \frac{3}{16} \int_{0}^{Z} E_{3}(\kappa_{\nu}|\tau-t|)\kappa_{\nu}a_{\nu}(3J_{\nu}^{n}(t) - K_{\nu}^{n}(t))dt + \frac{3}{16} \int_{0}^{Z} E_{5}(\kappa_{\nu}|\tau-t|)\kappa_{\nu}a_{\nu}(3K_{\nu}^{n}(t) - J_{\nu}^{n}(t))dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} E_{3}(\kappa_{\nu}|\tau-t|)\kappa_{\nu}(1-a_{\nu})B_{\nu}(T^{n}(t))dt, \int_{0}^{\infty}\kappa_{\nu}(1-a_{\nu})B_{\nu}(T^{n+1})d\nu = \int_{0}^{\infty}\kappa_{\nu}(1-a_{\nu})J_{\nu}^{n+1}d\nu.$$

277 Notice that the radiative intensity is eliminated, but can be recovered by

(5.7)

$$I_{\nu}^{n+1}(\tau,\mu) = e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}\tau}{\mu}} Q_{\nu}^{+}(\mu) \mathbf{1}_{\mu>0} + e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(Z-\tau)}{|\mu|}} Q_{\nu}^{-}(|\mu|) \mathbf{1}_{\mu<0} + \mathbf{1}_{\mu>0} \int_{0}^{\tau} e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(\tau-t)}{\mu}} \frac{\kappa_{\nu}}{\mu} \frac{3}{8} a_{\nu}((3-\mu^{2})J_{\nu}^{n}(t) + (3\mu^{2}-1)K_{\nu}^{n}(t)) dt + \mathbf{1}_{\mu>0} \int_{0}^{\tau} e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(\tau-t)}{\mu}} \frac{\kappa_{\nu}}{\mu} (1-a_{\nu})B_{\nu}(T^{n}(t)) dt + \mathbf{1}_{\mu<0} \int_{t}^{Z} e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}|t-\tau|}{|\mu|}} \frac{\kappa_{\nu}}{|\mu|} \frac{3}{8} a_{\nu}((3-\mu^{2})J_{\nu}^{n}(t) + (3\mu^{2}-1)K_{\nu}^{n}(t)) dt + \mathbf{1}_{\mu<0} \int_{0}^{Z} e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}|t-\tau|}{|\mu|}} \frac{\kappa_{\nu}}{|\mu|} (1-a_{\nu})B_{\nu}(T^{n}(t)) dt.$$

Assume that $0 \le Q_{\nu}^{\pm} \le B_{\nu}(T_M)$, $0 \le I_{\nu}^n \le B_{\nu}(T_M)$ and $0 \le T^n \le T_M$. Thus $0 \le J_{\nu}^n \le B_{\nu}(T_M)$ and $0 \le K_{\nu}^n \le \frac{1}{3}B_{\nu}(T_M)$, so that

$$\begin{split} I_{\nu}^{n+1}(\tau,\mu) &\leq \left(e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}\tau}{\mu}}\mathbf{1}_{\mu>0} + e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(Z-\tau)}{|\mu|}}\mathbf{1}_{\mu<0}\right)B_{\nu}(T_{M}) \\ &+ \mathbf{1}_{\mu>0}\int_{0}^{\tau}e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(\tau-t)}{\mu}}\frac{\kappa_{\nu}}{\mu}\frac{3}{8}a_{\nu}((3-\mu^{2})B_{\nu}(T_{M}) + (\mu^{2}-\frac{1}{3})B_{\nu}(T_{M}))dt \\ &+ \mathbf{1}_{\mu>0}\int_{0}^{\tau}e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(\tau-t)}{\mu}}\frac{\kappa_{\nu}}{\mu}(1-a_{\nu})B_{\nu}(T_{M})dt \\ &+ \mathbf{1}_{\mu<0}\int_{\tau}^{Z}e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(t-\tau)}{|\mu|}}\frac{\kappa_{\nu}}{\mu}\frac{3}{8}a_{\nu}((3-\mu^{2})B_{\nu}(T_{M}) + (\mu^{2}-\frac{1}{3})B_{\nu}(T_{M}))dt \\ &+ \mathbf{1}_{\mu<0}\int_{\tau}^{Z}e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(t-\tau)}{|\mu|}}\frac{\kappa_{\nu}}{\mu}(1-a_{\nu})B_{\nu}(T_{M})dt \\ &= B_{\nu}(T_{M}))\mathbf{1}_{\mu>0}\left(e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}\tau}{\mu}} + \int_{0}^{\tau}e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(\tau-t)}{\mu}}\frac{\kappa_{\nu}}{\mu}(\frac{3}{8}a_{\nu}(3-\frac{1}{3}) + (1-a_{\nu}))dt\right) \\ &+ B_{\nu}(T_{M}))\mathbf{1}_{\mu<0}\left(e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(Z-\tau)}{|\mu|}} + \int_{\tau}^{Z}e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(t-\tau)}{|\mu|}}\frac{\kappa_{\mu}}{|\mu|}(\frac{3}{8}a_{\nu}(3-\frac{1}{3}) + (1-a_{\nu}))dt\right) = B_{\nu}(T_{M}). \end{split}$$

Besides, using again that $T \mapsto B_{\nu}(T)$ is increasing for each $\nu > 0$ while $\kappa_{\nu}(1-a_{\nu}) > 0$ for all $\nu > 0$,

$$T^{n+1} = T[I^{n+1}] \le T[B_{\nu}(T_M)] = T_M$$

²⁸⁰ Summarizing, we have proved the following result.

THEOREM 5.1. Assume that $\kappa_{\nu} > 0$ while $0 \le a_{\nu} < 1$ for all $\nu > 0$. Let the boundary data Q_{ν}^{\pm} satisfy

$$0 \le Q_{\nu}^{\pm}(\mu) \le B_{\nu}(T_M)$$
 for all $\mu \in (-1,1)$ and $\nu > 0$.

(5.6) defines an increasing sequence of radiative intensities I_{ν}^{n} and temperatures T^{n} converging pointwise to I_{ν} and T = T[I] respectively, which is a solution of (5.3).

The argument above is based on the monotonicity of the sequences I_{ν}^{n} and T^{n} , and does not give any information on the convergence rate.

Remark 5.2. One easily checks that the uniqueness Theorem 4.1 holds verbatim
 for the problem (5.3) with Rayleigh phase function. See the appendix at the end of
 this paper for the proof.

6. Radiative transfer in a fluid with thermal diffusion. For clarity we consider the case of a lake; we neglect the wind above the lake and we assume that the sunlight hits the surface of the lake with a given energy. The depth of the lake should vary slowly with x, y, but for the sake of simplicity, it is assumed to be uniform: $\Omega = \mathbb{O} \times (0, Z)$, for some open set $\mathbb{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with C^1 boundary, or piecewise C^1 boundary.

With $\boldsymbol{u} \in H^1(\Omega)$ satisfying $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, consider again the system (2.9). Throughout this section, we assume isotropic scattering, with

(6.1) $0 \le a_{\nu} \le a_M < 1, \qquad 0 < \kappa_m \le \kappa_{\nu} \le \kappa_M, \qquad \nu > 0.$

Here, ρ is assumed to be a constant, and we choose $\rho_0 = \rho$ in (2.14), so that $\kappa_{\nu} = \rho \bar{\kappa}_{\nu}$, and $\tau = z$.

We further assume that the fluid flow is steady, and consider the system

(6.2)
$$\mu \partial_z I_{\nu} + \kappa_{\nu} I_{\nu} = \kappa_{\nu} (1 - a_{\nu}) B_{\nu}(T) + \kappa_{\nu} a_{\nu} J_{\nu}, \quad J_{\nu} := \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} I_{\nu} \mathrm{d}\mu,$$

(6.3)
$$\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla T - \frac{c_P}{c_V} \kappa_T \Delta T = \frac{4\pi}{\rho c_V} \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (1 - a_\nu) (J_\nu - B_\nu(T)) d\nu,$$

(6.4)
$$I_{\nu}|_{z=Z,\mu<0} = Q_{\nu}^{-}(x,y,-\mu), \quad I_{\nu}|_{z=0,\mu>0} = Q_{\nu}^{+}(x,y,\mu), \quad \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\Big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

The boundary sources $Q^{\pm}_{\nu}(x, y, \mu)$ are bounded, measurable, nonnegative functions defined a.e. on $\mathbb{O} \times (-1, 1) \times (0, \infty)$.

As a first reduction, we solve (6.2) for the radiative intensity I_{ν} in terms of the angle-averaged intensity J_{ν} and of the temperature T, and average the resulting expression in μ : proceeding as in Lemma 2.2, we arrive at the system

(6.5)
$$\begin{cases} J_{\nu}(x,y,z) = S_{\nu}(x,y,z) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} \kappa_{\nu} E_{1}(\kappa_{\nu}|z-\zeta|) \left(a_{\nu} J_{\nu}(x,y,\zeta) + (1-a_{\nu}) B_{\nu}(T(x,y,\zeta))\right) \mathrm{d}\zeta, \\ u(x) \cdot \nabla T(x) - \frac{c_{P}}{c_{V}} \kappa_{T} \Delta T(x) = \frac{4\pi}{\rho c_{V}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa_{\nu} (1-a_{\nu}) (J_{\nu}(x) - B_{\nu}(T(x))) \mathrm{d}\nu, \\ \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\Big|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \end{cases}$$

303 where

(6.6)
$$S_{\nu}(x,y,z) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \left(e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}z}{\mu}} Q_{\nu}^{+}(x,y,\mu) + e^{-\frac{\kappa_{\nu}(z-z)}{\mu}} Q_{\nu}^{-}(x,y,\mu) \right) d\mu.$$

Once the angle-averaged radiative intensity is known J_{ν} , the radiative intensity I_{ν} itself is easily obtained by solving the transfer equation (6.2) by the method of characteristics: see (2.21).

THEOREM 6.1. Assume that the absorption coefficient κ_{ν} and the scattering albedo a_{ν} satisfy (6.1). Let the boundary source terms Q_{ν}^{\pm} satisfy: for some T_M ,

$$0 \le Q_{\nu}^{\pm}(\mu) \le B_{\nu}(T_M), \qquad 0 < \mu < 1, \quad \nu > 0.$$

³⁰⁷ Consider $\{J^n_{\nu}, T^n\}_{n\geq 0}$ initiated by T^0 given and generated by

(6.7)
$$J_{\nu}^{n+1}(x, y, z) = S_{\nu}(x, y, z) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} \kappa_{\nu} E_{1}(\kappa_{\nu}|z-\zeta|) \left(a_{\nu} J_{\nu}^{n}(x, y, \zeta) + (1-a_{\nu}) B_{\nu}(T^{n}(x, y, \zeta))\right) d\zeta.$$

F. GOLSE AND O. PIRONNEAU

(6.8)
$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla T^{n+1} - \frac{c_P}{c_V} \kappa_T \Delta T^{n+1} + \frac{4\pi}{\rho c_V} \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (1 - a_\nu) B_\nu (T_+^{n+1}) \mathrm{d}\nu \\ = \frac{4\pi}{\rho c_V} \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (1 - a_\nu) J_\nu^{n+1} \mathrm{d}\nu, \qquad \frac{\partial T}{\partial n} \Big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$S_{\nu}(\boldsymbol{x}) = J_{\nu}^{0}(\boldsymbol{x}) \le J_{\nu}^{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \le \dots \le J_{\nu}^{n}(\boldsymbol{x}) \le J_{\nu}^{n+1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \le \dots \le B_{\nu}(T_{M}), \qquad \nu > 0, \\ 0 = T^{0} \le T^{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \le \dots \le T^{n}(\boldsymbol{x}) \le T^{n+1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \le \dots \le T_{M}, \qquad \boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega,$$

and convergence to a solution (J,T) of the system (6.5) holds.

Define

$$\mathcal{B}(T) := \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (1 - a_\nu) B_\nu(T_+) \mathrm{d}\nu \, .$$

Observe that

$$\kappa_m(1-a_M)\bar{\sigma}T_+^4 \leq \mathcal{B}(T) \leq \kappa_M\bar{\sigma}T_+^4,$$

where $\pi \bar{\sigma}$ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant (see (2.3)). Observe also that the function $\mathcal{B}: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ is nondecreasing, and increasing on $(0, +\infty)$ by construction, since B_{ν} is increasing on $[0, +\infty)$ for each $\nu > 0$.

For the sake of notational simplicity, in order to keep the number of physical constants to a strict minimum, we assume henceforth that $\rho c_P \kappa_T / 4\pi = 1$, and replace u with $\rho c_V u / 4\pi$.

³¹⁵ The key argument in the proof of this theorem is the following lemma.

LEMMA 6.2. Let $R \in L^{6/5}(\Omega)$. There exists at least one weak solution of

$$-\Delta T + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla T + \mathcal{B}(T) = R, \qquad \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\Big|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$$

If $R \ge 0$ a.e. and $|\{x \in \Omega \text{ s.t. } R(x) > 0\}| > 0$, the weak solution of the problem above is unique and satisfies $T \ge 0$ a.e. on Ω .

Moreover, if $R' \in L^{6/5}(\Omega)$ and $R' \geq R$ a.e. on Ω , the weak solution T' of the problem above with right hand side R' satisfies $T \leq T'$ a.e. on Ω .

Proof For each $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, the problem

$$\varepsilon T_{\varepsilon} - \Delta T_{\varepsilon} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla T_{\varepsilon} + \mathcal{B}(T_{\varepsilon}) = R, \qquad \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\Big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

³²⁰ has a weak solution in $H^1(\Omega)$.

To see this, apply Theorem 1 of [19] with $V = H^1(\Omega)$ to the nonlinear operator $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon} : V \mapsto V'$ defined by

$$\langle \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}T, \phi \rangle_{V',V} = \int_{\Omega} (\varepsilon T \phi + \nabla T \cdot \nabla \phi + \phi \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla T + \mathcal{B}(T) \phi) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}.$$

That $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}$ is continuous from V to V' easily follows from the Sobolev embedding $H^1(\Omega) \subset L^6(\Omega)$, which implies by duality the continuous inclusion $L^{6/5}(\Omega) \subset V'$. Since $\mathbf{u} \in H^1(\Omega) \subset L^6(\Omega)$, one has

$$\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla T \in L^{3/2}(\Omega) \subset L^{6/5}(\Omega) \subset V' \quad \text{with } \|\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla T\|_{L^{3/2}(\Omega)} \leq \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{L^6(\Omega)} \|T\|_{H^1(\Omega)},$$

16

and

$$\mathcal{B}(T) \in L^{3/2}(\Omega) \subset L^{6/5}(\Omega) \subset V' \quad \text{with } \|\mathcal{B}(T)\|_{L^{3/2}(\Omega)} \le \kappa_M \bar{\sigma} \|T_+\|_{L^6(\Omega)}^4.$$

Since \boldsymbol{u} is a divergence free vector in $H^1(\Omega)$ satisfying $\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$, the bilinear functional

$$H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega) \ni (T, \phi) \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \phi \, \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla T \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbf{R}$$

is skew-symmetric, and $\mathcal{B}(T(x)) = 0$ if $T(x) \leq 0$ by definition, so that

$$\langle \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}T, T \rangle_{V',V} = \varepsilon \|T\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \|\nabla T\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{B}(T)T \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \ge \varepsilon \|T\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}$$

Hence $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}$ is coercive on V. Besides, for all $T_1, T_2 \in H^1(\Omega)$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon} T_{1} - \mathcal{A} T_{2}, T_{1} - T_{2} \rangle_{V',V} = & \varepsilon \| T_{1} - T_{2} \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \| \nabla (T_{1} - T_{2}) \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \\ & + \int_{\Omega} (T_{1} - T_{2}) (\mathcal{B}(T_{1}) - \mathcal{B}(T_{2})) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \ge 0 \,. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1 in [19], implies the desired existence result for each $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$.

Then, since $R \ge 0$ a.e. on Ω , one has $RT_{\varepsilon} \le RT_{\varepsilon+}$ a.e. on Ω , and therefore

$$\varepsilon \|T_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \|\nabla T_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \bar{\sigma}\kappa_{m}(1-a_{M})\int_{\Omega} T_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{x})_{+}^{5} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \leq \langle \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}T, T \rangle_{V',V}$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} R(\boldsymbol{x})T_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{x})_{+} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \leq \|R\|_{L^{6/5}(\Omega)}\|T_{\varepsilon+}\|_{L^{6}(\Omega)} \leq C_{S}\|R\|_{L^{6/5}(\Omega)}\|T_{\varepsilon+}\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}.$$

By Hölder's inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} T_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{x})_{+}^{5} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \geq \frac{1}{|\Omega|^{3/2}} \|T_{\varepsilon+}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{5},$$

and since $\|\nabla T_{\varepsilon+}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \|\nabla T_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$, we see that

$$\|\nabla T_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \frac{\bar{\sigma}\kappa_{m}(1-a_{M})}{|\Omega|^{3/2}} \|T_{\varepsilon+}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{5} \le C_{S} \|R\|_{L^{6/5}(\Omega)} \left(\|T_{\varepsilon+}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \|\nabla T_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

so that

$$\sup_{0<\varepsilon<1} \left(\|\nabla T_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + \|T_{\varepsilon+}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \right) < \infty.$$

By the Banach-Alaoglu and the Rellich theorems, there exists a subsequence of T_{ε} (still denoted T_{ε} for simplicity) such that

 $T_{\varepsilon+} \to T_+$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ and $\nabla T_{\varepsilon} \to \nabla T$ weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$

for all $p \in [1, 6)$ while $\varepsilon^{1/2} T_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$. Hence, for each $\phi \in H^1(\Omega)$, one has

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} (\varepsilon T_{\varepsilon} \phi + \nabla T_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \phi + \phi \, \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla T_{\varepsilon} + \mathcal{B}(T_{\varepsilon}) \phi) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$$

$$\rightarrow \int_{\Omega} (\nabla T \cdot \nabla \phi + \phi \, \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla T + \mathcal{B}(T) \phi) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} =: \langle \mathcal{A}T, \phi \rangle_{V',V}$$

in the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$, so that T is a weak solution of

$$-\Delta T + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla T + \mathcal{B}(T) = R, \qquad \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\Big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

Observe that

$$\langle \mathcal{A}T - \mathcal{A}T', (T - T')_+ \rangle_{V',V} = \|\nabla(T - T')_+\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{B}(T) - \mathcal{B}(T'))(T - T')_+ \mathrm{d}x \ge 0,$$

since

$$\int_{\Omega} (T - T')_{+} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla (T - T') d\boldsymbol{x} = \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \frac{1}{2} (T - T')_{+}^{2} d\boldsymbol{x} = \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{1}{2} (T - T')_{+}^{2} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot n d\sigma(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0,$$

denoting by $d\sigma(\boldsymbol{x})$ the surface element on $\partial\Omega$. Hence

$$R \le R'$$
 a.e. on $\Omega \implies \langle (R - R'), (T - T')_+ \rangle_{V',V} = \|\nabla (T - T')_+\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0$.

Since Ω is connected, $(T - T')_+ = c$ a.e. on Ω for some constant $c \ge 0$.

A first consequence of this remark is that, if $R' \ge 0$ a.e. on Ω , weak solutions of

$$-\Delta T' + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla T' + \mathcal{B}(T') = R', \qquad \frac{\partial T'}{\partial n}\Big|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$$

satisfy

 $T' \geq 0 \text{ a.e. on } \Omega, \quad \text{ unless } R' = 0 \text{ a.e. on } \Omega, \quad \text{ in which case } T' = \text{Const.} \leq 0 \,.$

A second consequence is that, if $R' \ge R \ge 0$, with $|\{x \in \Omega \text{ s.t. } R \ge 0\}| > 0$, the solutions T and T' of

$$-\Delta T + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla T + \mathcal{B}(T) = R, \qquad \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\Big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

satisfy $T \ge 0$ and $T' \ge 0$, and $(T - T')_+ = c$ a.e. on Ω for some constant $c \ge 0$. Besides

$$0 = \langle R - R', (T - T')_{+} \rangle_{V',V} = \langle \mathcal{A}T - \mathcal{A}T', (T - T')_{+} \rangle_{V',V} = \|\nabla(T - T')_{+}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{B}(T) - \mathcal{B}(T'))(T - T')_{+} d\mathbf{x} = c \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{B}(T' + c) - \mathcal{B}(T')) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Since $T' \ge 0$ a.e. on Ω , and since \mathcal{B} is increasing, this implies that c = 0. Therefore

$$R' \ge R \ge 0$$
 with $|\{x \in \Omega \text{ s.t. } R \ge 0\}| > 0 \implies (T - T')_+ = 0$.

Hence $T \leq T'$ a.e. on Ω .

Proof [Proof of Theorem 6.1] For the sake of clarity, we systematically omit the tangential variables x, y in the integral equations for the averaged radiative intensity J^n_{ν} (as well as for the radiative intensity I_{ν} itself), since these variables are only parameters in all these formulas. Start from

$$T^0 \equiv 0$$
, $J^0_{\nu}(z) = S_{\nu}(z) > 0$.

Construct iteratively $(T^n, J^n_{\nu})_{n\geq 0}$ by the following recursion formula: first, compute

$$J_{\nu}^{n+1}(z) = S_{\nu}(z) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} \kappa_{\nu} E(\kappa_{\nu}|z-t|) (a_{\nu} J_{\nu}^{n}(t) + (1-a_{\nu}) B_{\nu}(T^{n}(t))) dt;$$

and then let T^{n+1} be the solution of 325

(6.9)
$$-\Delta T^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla T^{n+1} + \mathcal{B}(T^{n+1}) = \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (1 - a_\nu) J_\nu^{n+1} \mathrm{d}\nu, \qquad \frac{\partial T^{n+1}}{\partial n} \Big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

Obviously $J^1_{\nu} \ge J^0_{\nu} > 0$, and applying Lemma 6.2 implies that $T^1 \ge T^0$ a.e. on Ω . Moreover

$$T^n \ge T^{n-1}$$
 and $J^n_\nu \ge J^{n-1}_\nu > 0 \implies J^{n+1}_\nu \ge J^n_\nu > 0$,

and applying the Lemma 6.2 shows that $T^{n+1} \ge T^n$ a.e. on Ω . 326

Assume that $Q^{\pm}_{\nu}(\mu) \leq B_{\nu}(T_M)$. It will be more convenient to deal with radiative intensities I_{ν} instead of their angle-averaged variants J_{ν} . Therefore, we define I_{ν}^{n} to be the solution of

$$(\mu\partial_z + \kappa_\nu)I_\nu^{n+1} = \kappa_\nu(1 - a_\nu)B_\nu(T^n) + \kappa_\nu a_\nu J_\nu^n, \qquad J_\nu^n = I_\nu^n, I_\nu^{n+1}(Z, -\mu) = Q_\nu^-(-\mu), \qquad I_\nu^{n+1}(0, +\mu) = Q_\nu^+(+\mu), \qquad 0 < \mu < 1.$$

Let us prove by induction that

$$\begin{split} I_{\nu}^n &\leq B_{\nu}(T_M) \text{ a.e. on } \Omega \times (-1,1) \times (0,+\infty) \,, \\ J_{\nu}^n &\leq B_{\nu}(T_M) \text{ a.e. on } \Omega \times (0,+\infty) \,, \qquad T^n \leq T_M \text{ a.e. on } \Omega \,. \end{split}$$

This is true for n = 0 since $T^0 \equiv 0$, while

$$I_{\nu}^{0}(z,\mu) = \mathbf{1}_{0 < \mu < 1} e^{-\kappa_{\nu} z/\mu} Q_{\nu}^{+}(\mu) + \mathbf{1}_{0 < -\mu < 1} e^{-\kappa_{\nu} (Z-z)/|\mu|} Q_{\nu}^{-}(-\mu)$$

$$\leq (\mathbf{1}_{0 < \mu < 1} + \mathbf{1}_{0 < -\mu < 1}) B_{\nu}(T_{M}), \quad \text{so that } 0 \leq J_{\nu}^{0} \leq B_{\nu}(T_{M}).$$

If this is true for some $n \ge 0$, then

$$(\mu \partial_z + \kappa_{\nu}) I_{\nu}^{n+1} = \kappa_{\nu} \Sigma_{\nu}^{n}, \qquad 0 \le \Sigma_{\nu}^{n} \le B_{\nu}(T_M), I_{\nu}^{n+1}(Z, -\mu) \Big|_{0 < \mu < 1} = Q_{\nu}^{-}(-\mu), \qquad I_{\nu}^{n+1}(0, +\mu) \Big|_{0 < \mu < 1} = Q_{\nu}^{+}(+\mu).$$

Thus, proceeding as (5.8) shows that $I_{\nu}^{n+1} \leq B_{\nu}(T_M)$. Hence $J_{\nu}^{n+1} \leq B_{\nu}(T_M)$, and one solves (6.9) for T^{n+1} . Since $J_{\nu}^n \geq S_{\nu} > 0$ and

$$\int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (1-a_\nu) J_\nu^{n+1} \mathrm{d}\nu \le \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (1-a_\nu) B_\nu(T_M) \mathrm{d}\nu = \mathcal{B}(T_M) \,,$$

we conclude from Lemma 6.2 that T^{n+1} is a.e. less than or equal to the solution of the problem

$$-\Delta T + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla T + \mathcal{B}(T) = \mathcal{B}(T_M), \qquad \frac{\partial T}{\partial n}\Big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

which is obviously the constant T_M . Hence $T^{n+1} \leq T_M$ a.e. on Ω , so that we have 327

From these inequalities, we conclude that the sequences J_{ν}^{n} and T^{n} converge a.e. pointwise on $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ and on Ω respectively to limits denoted J_{ν} and T, and that this convergence also holds in $L^{p}(\Omega \times (0, \infty))$ and $L^{p}(\Omega)$ for all $p \in [1, \infty)$ by dominated convergence.

Passing to the limit in (6.7) immediately shows that J_{ν}, T satisfy the first equation in (6.5). As for the second equation, one can pass to the limit in the right hand side and in the nonlinear term on the left hand side of (6.8). Since T^{n+1} is a weak solution of (6.8), one has $T^{n+1} \in H^1(\Omega)$ and

(6.10)
$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla T^{n+1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \nabla \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x} - \int_{\Omega} T^{n+1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \nabla \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x} = \int_{\Omega} h_{n+1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x}$$

for all $\phi \in H^1(\Omega)$, with

$$h_{n+1} := \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (1 - a_\nu) (J_\nu^{n+1} - B_\nu(T^{n+1})) \mathrm{d}\nu$$

so that h_{n+1} is bounded in $L^p(\Omega)$ for all $p \in [1, \infty)$. Taking $\phi = T^{n+1}$, and observing that

$$\int_{\Omega} T^{n+1}(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \nabla T^{n+1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} = \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{1}{2} T^{n+1}(\boldsymbol{x})^2 \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0$$

since $\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ shows that T^{n+1} is bounded, and therefore weakly relatively compact in $H^1(\Omega)$. Since we already know that $T^{n+1} \to T$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ for all $p \in [1, \infty)$ as $n \to \infty$, we conclude that $T^{n+1} \to T$ weakly in $H^1(\Omega)$. At this point, we can pass to the limit in the weak formulation of (6.10), and this shows that T satisfies the second equation in (6.5).

Next we discuss the convergence rate of (6.7). We shall use the monotonic structure of the radiative transfer equations. Consider the upper approximating sequence

$$\begin{split} \mu \partial_z H_{\nu}^n &= \kappa_{\nu} (a_{\nu} K_{\nu}^{n-1} + (1 - a_{\nu}) B_{\nu}(\Theta^{n-1}) - H_{\nu}^n) \,, \quad K_{\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} H_{\nu} d\mu \,, \\ \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \Theta^n - \Delta \Theta^n &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa_{\nu} (1 - a_{\nu}) (K_{\nu}^n - B_{\nu}(\Theta^n)) d\nu \,, \\ H_{\nu}^n(0, \mu) &= Q_{\nu}^+(\mu) \,, \quad H_{\nu}^n(Z, -\mu) = Q_{\nu}^-(\mu) \,, \quad 0 < \mu < 1 \,, \qquad \frac{\partial \Theta^n}{\partial n} \Big|_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \,, \end{split}$$

for all $n \ge 1$, initialized with $\Theta^0 = T_M$ and $H^0_\nu = K^0_\nu = B_\nu(\Theta^0)$.

THEOREM 6.3. Assume that the absorption coefficient κ_{ν} and the scattering albedo a_v satisfy (6.1). Assume moreover that the constant C₁ defined in (2.18) satisfies

(6.11)
$$0 \le \gamma := \left(\sup_{\nu > 0} (1 - a_{\nu}) C_1(\kappa_{\nu}) + \sup_{\nu > 0} a_{\nu} C_1(\kappa_{\nu}) \right) < 1.$$

Let the boundary source terms Q^{\pm}_{ν} satisfy the bound

$$0 \le Q_{\nu}^{\pm}(\mu) \le B_{\nu}(T_M), \quad 0 < \mu < 1, \quad \nu > 0.$$

346 Then one has

$$0 \leq T^{0} \leq \ldots \leq T^{n-1} \leq \Theta^{n} \leq \ldots \Theta^{1} \leq T_{M}, 0 \leq J_{\nu}^{0} \ldots \leq J_{\nu}^{n-1} \leq K_{\nu}^{n} \leq \ldots \leq K_{\nu}^{1} \leq B_{\nu}(T_{M}); \|\mathcal{B}(T^{n+1}) - \mathcal{B}(T^{n})\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leq \|\mathcal{B}(\Theta^{n+1}) - \mathcal{B}(T^{n})\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leq \gamma^{n} |\Omega| \mathcal{B}(T_{M}), (6.12) \qquad \|J_{\nu}^{n+1} - J_{\nu}^{n}\|_{L^{1}(\Omega \times (0, +\infty))} \leq \|K_{\nu}^{n+1} - J_{\nu}^{n}\|_{L^{1}(\Omega \times (0, +\infty))} \leq \frac{\gamma^{n} |\Omega| \mathcal{B}(T_{M})}{\kappa_{m}(1 - a_{M})}; \|\mathcal{B}(T) - \mathcal{B}(T^{n})\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{\gamma^{n}}{1 - \gamma} |\Omega| \mathcal{B}(T_{M}), \|J_{\nu} - J_{\nu}^{n}\|_{L^{1}(\Omega \times (0, +\infty))} \leq \frac{\gamma^{n} |\Omega| \mathcal{B}(T_{M})}{\kappa_{m}(1 - a_{M})(1 - \gamma)}.$$

Proof First, one has

$$\begin{split} \mu \partial_z H^1_{\nu} + \kappa_{\nu} H^1_{\nu} &= \kappa_{\nu} B_{\nu}(T_M) \ge 0, \quad 0 < z < Z, \\ 0 \le H^1_{\nu}(0, +\mu) &= Q^+_{\nu}(\mu) \le B_{\nu}(T_M), \quad 0 < \mu < 1, \\ 0 \le H^1_{\nu}(Z, -\mu) &= Q^-_{\nu}(\mu) \le B_{\nu}(T_M), \quad 0 < \mu < 1, \\ \implies H^1_{\nu}(z, \mu) &= 1_{0 < \mu < 1} \left(e^{-\kappa_{\nu} z/\mu} Q^+_{\nu}(\mu) + (1 - e^{-\kappa_{\nu} z/\mu}) B_{\nu}(T_M) \right) \\ &+ 1_{0 < -\mu < 1} \left(e^{-\kappa_{\nu} (Z - z)/|\mu|} Q^-_{\nu}(-\mu) + (1 - e^{-\kappa_{\nu} (Z - z)/\mu}) B_{\nu}(T_M) \right) \\ &0 \le I^0_{\nu} \le H^1_{\nu} \le B_{\nu}(T_M), \qquad 0 \le J^0_{\nu} \le K^1_{\nu} \le B_{\nu}(T_M). \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{B}(\Theta^1) + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \Theta^1 - \Delta \Theta^1 = \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (1 - a_\nu) K_\nu^1 \mathrm{d}\nu \le \mathcal{B}(T_M) \,,$$

so that $0 \leq T^0 \leq \Theta^1 \leq T_M$ by Lemma 6.2. The same induction argument as in the proof of Theorem 6.1 shows that

$$0 \le \dots \le \Theta^n \le \Theta^{n-1} \le T_M, \\ 0 \le \dots \le H_{\nu}^n \le H_{\nu}^{n-1} \le B_{\nu}(T_M), \quad 0 \le \dots \le K_{\nu}^n \le K_{\nu}^{n-1} \le B_{\nu}(T_M).$$

Moreover, assume that we have proved that

$$0 \le T^{0} \le \dots \le T^{n-1} \le \Theta^{n} \le \dots \Theta^{1} \le T_{M}, 0 \le I_{\nu}^{0} \le \dots \le I_{\nu}^{n-1} \le H_{\nu}^{n} \le \dots H_{\nu}^{1} \le B_{\nu}(T_{M}), 0 \le J_{\nu}^{0} \dots \le J_{\nu}^{n-1} \le K_{\nu}^{n} \le \dots \le K_{\nu}^{0} \le B_{\nu}(T_{M}).$$

Then

$$\mu \partial_z (H_{\nu}^{n+1} - I_{\nu}^n) + \kappa_{\nu} (H_{\nu}^{n+1} - I_{\nu}^n) = \kappa_{\nu} a_{\nu} (K_{\nu}^n - J_{\nu}^{n-1}) + \kappa_{\nu} (1 - a_{\nu}) (B_{\nu}(\Theta^n) - B_{\nu}(T^{n-1})) \ge 0 , (H_{\nu}^{n+1} - I_{\nu}^n) (0, +\mu) = (H_{\nu}^{n+1} - I_{\nu}^n) (Z, -\mu) = 0 , \quad 0 < \mu < 1 ,$$

so that $I_{\nu}^{n} \leq H_{\nu}^{n+1}$, and $J_{\nu}^{n} \leq K_{\nu}^{n+1}$. Then $\frac{\partial \Theta^{n+1}}{\partial n}\Big|_{\partial\Omega} = \frac{\partial T^{n}}{\partial n}\Big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ and $\mathcal{B}(\Theta^{n+1}) + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \Theta^{n+1} - \Delta \Theta^{n+1} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa_{\nu} (1 - a_{\nu}) K_{\nu}^{n+1} \mathrm{d}\nu$, $\mathcal{B}(T^{n}) + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla T^{n} - \Delta T^{n} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa_{\nu} (1 - a_{\nu}) J_{\nu}^{n} \mathrm{d}\nu$, and Lemma 6.2 implies that $T^n \leq \Theta^{n+1}$. Hence we have proved by induction that,

$$0 \leq T^0 \leq \ldots \leq T^{n-1} \leq \Theta^n \leq \ldots \Theta^1 \leq T_M,$$

$$0 \leq I_{\nu}^0 \leq \ldots \leq I_{\nu}^{n-1} \leq H_{\nu}^n \leq \ldots H_{\nu}^1 \leq B_{\nu}(T_M),$$

$$0 \leq J_{\nu}^0 \ldots \leq J_{\nu}^{n-1} \leq K_{\nu}^n \leq \ldots \leq K_{\nu}^1 \leq B_{\nu}(T_M), \text{ for all } n \geq 1,$$

which implies the two first chains of inequalities in (6.12). 347

Then

$$+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{O}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \int_{0} \mathrm{d}\nu \int_{0} \mathrm{d}z \int_{0} \kappa_{\nu}^{2} E_{1}(\kappa_{\nu}|z-\zeta|) \cdot (1-a_{\nu}) a_{\nu} (K_{\nu}^{n}-J_{\nu}^{n-1})(x,y,\zeta) \mathrm{d}\zeta \,.$$

At this point, we integrate first in z and use (2.18), to obtain

$$\begin{split} \epsilon_n &= \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{\infty} \kappa_{\nu} (1-a_{\nu}) (K_{\nu}^{n+1}-J_{\nu}^n) \mathrm{d}\nu \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{O}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \int_0^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\nu \int_0^Z C_1(\kappa_{\nu}) \kappa_{\nu} (1-a_{\nu})^2 (B_{\nu}(\Theta^n)-B_{\nu}(T^{n-1}))(x,y,\zeta) \mathrm{d}\zeta \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{O}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \int_0^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\nu \int_0^Z C_1(\kappa_{\nu}) \kappa_{\nu} (1-a_{\nu}) a_{\nu} (K_{\nu}^n-J_{\nu}^{n-1})(x,y,\zeta) \mathrm{d}\zeta \\ &\leq \sup_{\nu>0} (1-a_{\nu}) C_1(\kappa_{\nu}) \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{\infty} \kappa_{\nu} (1-a_{\nu}) (B_{\nu}(\Theta^n)-B_{\nu}(T^{n-1}))(\boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{d}\nu \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \\ &\quad + \sup_{\nu>0} a_{\nu} C_1(\kappa_{\nu}) \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{\infty} \kappa_{\nu} (1-a_{\nu}) (K_{\nu}^n-J_{\nu}^{n-1})(\boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{d}\nu \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \\ &\leq \sup_{\nu>0} (1-a_{\nu}) C_1(\kappa_{\nu}) \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{B}(\Theta^n)-\mathcal{B}(T^{n-1}))(\boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{d}\nu \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \\ &\quad + \sup_{\nu>0} a_{\nu} C_1(\kappa_{\nu}) \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{\infty} \kappa_{\nu} (1-a_{\nu}) (K_{\nu}^n-J_{\nu}^{n-1})(\boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{d}\nu \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \\ &\quad = \epsilon_{n-1} \left(\sup_{\nu>0} (1-a_{\nu}) C_1(\kappa_{\nu}) + \sup_{\nu>0} a_{\nu} C_1(\kappa_{\nu}) \right). \end{split}$$

Hence $\epsilon_n \leq \epsilon_0 \gamma^n$ with $\gamma := (\sup_{\nu>0} (1-a_\nu)C_1(\kappa_\nu) + \sup_{\nu>0} a_\nu C_1(\kappa_\nu)) \in [0,1)$, while $\epsilon_0 \leq |\Omega| \mathcal{B}(T_M) < \infty$. Hence the sequence $(K_\nu^n, \Theta^n)_{n\geq 1}$ of upper approximations and the sequence (J_ν^n, T^n) of lower approximations provided by (6.7) are adjacent. In particular

$$\begin{split} \|\mathcal{B}(T^{n+1}) - \mathcal{B}(T^n)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} &= \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{B}(T^{n+1}) - \mathcal{B}(T^n)) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{B}(\Theta^{n+1}) - \mathcal{B}(T^n)) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \leq \epsilon_0 \gamma^n \end{split}$$

for all $n \ge 1$, so that $\|\mathcal{B}(T) - \mathcal{B}(T^n)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \le \frac{\epsilon_0 \gamma^n}{1-\gamma}$. Similarly

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa_{\nu} (1-a_{\nu}) (J_{\nu}^{n+1}-J_{\nu}^{n}) \mathrm{d}\nu \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa_{\nu} (1-a_{\nu}) (K_{\nu}^{n+1}-J_{\nu}^{n}) \mathrm{d}\nu \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \leq \epsilon_{0} \gamma^{n} \,, \\ \kappa_{m} (1-a_{M}) \| J_{\nu} - J_{\nu}^{n} \|_{L^{1}(\Omega \times (0,\infty))} \leq \sum_{m \geq n} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa_{\nu} (1-a_{\nu}) (J_{\nu}^{m+1}-J_{\nu}^{m}) \mathrm{d}\nu \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \\ &\leq \sum_{m \geq n} \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} \kappa_{\nu} (1-a_{\nu}) (K_{\nu}^{m+1}-J_{\nu}^{m}) \mathrm{d}\nu \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \leq \frac{\epsilon_{0} \gamma^{n}}{1-\gamma} \,. \end{split}$$

This concludes the proof of the convergence statements in (6.12).

Remark 6.4. The condition $\sup_{\nu>0}(1-a_{\nu})C_1(\kappa_{\nu}) < 1$ implies that the absorption-350 emission nonlinearity is a contraction, while $\sup_{\nu>0} a_{\nu}C_1(\kappa_{\nu}) < 1$ implies that the 351 scattering term is also a contraction. The condition $\gamma < 1$ implies that these two 352 terms are contractions separately, leading to the exponential rate in Theorem 6.3 (3). 353 As $a_{\nu} \in [0,1]$ and $\kappa_{\nu} \mapsto C_1(\kappa_{\nu})$ is monotone increasing from 0 to 1, for a given a_{ν} 354 there is always a κ^* such that (6.11) holds for all $\kappa_{\nu} < \kappa^*$. Conversely, if it is known 355 that $\kappa_{\nu} < \kappa^*$, for some κ^* , for all ν , there is a maximum a^* for which (6.11) for all 356 $a_{\nu} < a^*$. By Lemma 2.1, $C_1 < 1$. Hence $\gamma < 1$ if a_{ν} is independent of ν , whatever the 357 upper bound κ_M in (6.1). The more a_{ν} varies between 0 and 1, the lower κ_M must 358 be to satisfy $\gamma < 1$. 359

With the monotonic structure of the radiative transfer equations, our argument will also provide the uniqueness of the solution of the system (6.2)-(6.3)-(6.4).

THEOREM 6.5. Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 6.3, there exists at most one solution (I_{ν}, T) of the problem (6.2)-(6.3)-(6.4) such that $T \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$,

 $I_{\nu} \geq 0 \ a.e. \ on \ \Omega \times (-1,1) \times (0,\infty) \quad and \quad T \geq 0 \ a.e. \ on \ \Omega$.

Proof Let (I_{ν}, T) be a solution of (6.2)-(6.3)-(6.4), and assume that the upper approximating sequence $(H_{\nu}^{n}, \Theta^{n})_{n\geq 1}$ satisfies $I_{\nu} \leq H_{\nu}^{n}$ and $J_{\nu} \leq K_{\nu}^{n}$, with $T \leq \Theta^{n}$. Then, one has

$$\mu \partial_z (H_{\nu}^{n+1} - I_{\nu}) + \kappa_{\nu} (H_{\nu}^{n+1} - I_{\nu}) = \kappa_{\nu} a_{\nu} (K_{\nu}^n - J_{\nu}) + \kappa_{\nu} (1 - a_{\nu}) (B_{\nu}(\Theta^n) - B_{\nu}(T)) \ge 0, (H_{\nu}^{n+1} - I_{\nu}) (0, +\mu) = (H_{\nu}^{n+1} - I_{\nu}) (Z, -\mu) = 0, \qquad 0 < \mu < 1.$$

Solving this equation for $(H_{\nu}^{n+1} - I_{\nu})$ by the method of characteristics shows that $I_{\nu} \leq H_{\nu}^{n+1}$ and therefore $J_{\nu} \leq K_{\nu}^{n+1}$. Next, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\Theta^{n+1}) - \mathcal{B}(T) + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla(\Theta^{n+1} - T) - \Delta(\Theta^{n+1} - T) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (1 - a_\nu) (K_\nu^{n+1} - J_\nu) \mathrm{d}\nu \ge 0 \,, \quad \frac{\partial(\Theta^{n+1} - T)}{\partial n} \Big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \quad , \end{aligned}$$

so that $T \leq \Theta^{n+1}$ according to Lemma 6.2.

It remains to check the initial step of this induction argument. Since $T \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we pick $\Theta^{0} = \max(T_{M}, ||T||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)})$ and $H^{0}_{\nu} = K^{0}_{\nu} = B_{\nu}(\Theta^{0})$. Hence $T \leq \Theta^{0}$ by construction. Next we prove that $I_{\nu} \leq B_{\nu}(\Theta^{0})$. Multiplying both sides of (6.2) by $s_{+}(I_{\nu} - B_{\nu}(\Theta^{0}))$, we repeat the argument of the proof of Theorem 4.1:

$$\partial_{z} \langle \mu (I_{\nu} - B_{\nu}(\Theta^{0}))_{+} \rangle$$

= $- \langle \kappa_{\nu} (1 - a_{\nu}) (I_{\nu} - B_{\nu}(\Theta^{0})) - (B_{\nu}(T) - B_{\nu}(\Theta^{0}))) s_{+} (I_{\nu} - B_{\nu}(\Theta^{0})) \rangle$
 $- \langle \kappa_{\nu} a_{\nu} (I_{\nu} - B_{\nu}(\Theta^{0})) - (J_{\nu} - B_{\nu}(\Theta^{0}))) s_{+} (I_{\nu} - B_{\nu}(\Theta^{0})) \rangle$ = $-D_{1} - D_{2}$.

We have seen in the proof of Theorem 4.1 that

$$D_2 = \langle \kappa_{\nu} a_{\nu} (I_{\nu} - B_{\nu}(\Theta^0)) - (J_{\nu} - B_{\nu}(\Theta^0)) s_+ (I_{\nu} - B_{\nu}(\Theta^0)) \rangle$$

= $\langle \kappa_{\nu} a_{\nu} (I_{\nu} - B_{\nu}(\Theta^0)) - (J_{\nu} - B_{\nu}(\Theta^0)) (s_+ (I_{\nu} - B_{\nu}(\Theta^0)) - s_+ (J_{\nu} - B_{\nu}(\Theta^0)) \rangle \ge 0.$

As for D_1 , observe that

$$D_1 = \langle \kappa_{\nu} (1 - a_{\nu}) ((I_{\nu} - B_{\nu}(\Theta^0)) - (B_{\nu}(T) - B_{\nu}(\Theta^0))) (s_+ (I_{\nu} - B_{\nu}(\Theta^0)) - s_+ (T - \Theta^0))) \rangle$$

which is positive by our assumption on T which implies that $s_+(T - \Theta^0) = 0$. Integrating on Ω , we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{O}} \langle \mu_+(I_\nu - B_\nu(\Theta^0))_+ \rangle(x, y, Z) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y = \int_{\mathbb{O}} \langle \mu_-(I_\nu - B_\nu(\Theta^0))_+ \rangle(x, y, 0) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y = 0$$

and that $D_1 = D_2 = 0$ a.e. on Ω . Now, since $\kappa_{\nu}(1 - a_{\nu}) \ge \kappa_m(1 - a_M) > 0$, the condition $D_1 = 0$ implies that

$$((I_{\nu} - B_{\nu}(\Theta^{0})) - (B_{\nu}(T) - B_{\nu}(\Theta^{0})))(s_{+}(I_{\nu} - B_{\nu}(\Theta^{0})) - s_{+}(T - \Theta^{0}))) = 0$$

which implies in turn that $s_{+}(I_{\nu} - B_{\nu}(\Theta^{0})) = s_{+}(T - \Theta^{0}) = 0$

Hence $I_{\nu} \leq B_{\nu}(\Theta^0)$, which completes the proof of the initialization of our induction argument. Summarizing, we have proved that, if one chooses $\Theta^0 = \max(T_M, ||T||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)})$, the solution (I_{ν}, T) of (6.2)-(6.3)-(6.4) considered satisfies

$$I_{\nu} \leq H_{\nu}^{n} \leq H_{\nu}^{n-1} \leq \ldots \leq H_{\nu}^{0} = B_{\nu}(\Theta^{0}), \text{ while } T \leq \Theta^{n} \leq \Theta^{n-1} \leq \ldots \leq \Theta^{0},$$

where (H^n_{ν}, Θ^n) is the upper approximating sequence. A similar argument (with a slightly simpler initialization) shows that

$$I_{\nu} \ge I_{\nu}^{n} \ge I_{\nu}^{n-1} \ge \ldots \ge I_{\nu}^{0} = 0$$
, while $T \ge T^{n} \ge T^{n-1} \ge \ldots \ge T^{0} = 0$.

With this, we easily prove the uniqueness of the solution of (6.2)-(6.3)-(6.4). If (I_{ν}, T) and (I'_{ν}, T') are two solutions satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 6.5, we initialize the upper approximating sequence with $\Theta^0 = \max(T_M, ||T||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, ||T'||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)})$.

The argument above shows that $I_{\nu}^{n} \leq I_{\nu}, I_{\nu}' \leq H_{\nu}^{n+1}$ while $T^{n} \leq T, T' \leq \Theta^{n+1}$. Hence

$$\|J_{\nu} - J_{\nu}'\|_{L^{1}(\Omega \times (0,\infty))} \leq \|K_{\nu}^{n+1} - J_{\nu}^{n}\|_{L^{1}(\Omega \times (0,\infty))} \leq \frac{|\Omega|\gamma^{n}}{\kappa_{m}(1 - a_{M})} \mathcal{B}(\Theta^{0}),$$

$$\|\mathcal{B}(T) - \mathcal{B}(T')\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leq \|\Theta^{n+1} - T^{n}\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leq \gamma^{n}|\Omega|\mathcal{B}(\Theta^{0}).$$

When $n \to \infty$ it shows that T = T' a.e. on Ω and $J_{\nu} = J'_{\nu}$ a.e. on $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$. Once it is known that $J_{\nu} = J'_{\nu}$ a.e. on $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$, solving (6.2) for I_{ν} and I'_{ν} by the method of characteristics shows that $I_{\nu} = I'_{\nu}$ a.e. on $\Omega \times (-1, 1) \times (0, \infty)$.

Several remarks regarding Theorems Theorem 6.1, Theorem 6.3 and Theorem 6.5 are in order.

369 Remarks.

(1) One can treat slightly more general situations with the same techniques. For 370 instance, one could assume that the scattering rate a_{ν} depends on z, and is a slowly 371 varying function of x, y. This may be useful to include a layer of clouds in our problem. 372 Similarly, one can treat the case where ρ is not a constant, but for instance a function 373 of z, by introducing an optical length defined as in (2.14). Typically, one could assume 374 that $0 < \rho_m \leq \rho(z) \leq \rho_M < \infty$, and recast the radiative transfer equation in terms of 375 the variable τ instead of z. Of course, this will modify the drift-diffusion operator in 376 the left hand side of (6.3), but in a way that should be tractable by the same methods. 377 (2) One could enrich the class of boundary conditions considered here by taking into 378 account the albedo coefficients of the boundary at z = 0 and z = Z. This should 379 lead to more serious modifications of the strategy discussed above, but we expect that 380 some of our results can be modified to handle these more general boundary conditions. 381 (3) Until now, we have treated the case of an incompressible fluid with constant 382 density. This is the reason for the factor c_P/c_V multiplying the heat diffusivity. One 383 can treat in the same manner the case of low Mach number flows of a compressible 384 fluid which could be useful for the stratosphere (In the case of water at 20°C, one finds 385 that $c_P/c_V = 1.007$, so that this ratio is very close to 1 for all practical purposes.) 386 (4) Including Boussinesq's approximation in our model in order to take into account 387 the buoyancy created by the temperature dependence of the density is a more difficult 388

³⁸⁹ problem — in the first place because the motion equation of the fluid becomes coupled ³⁹⁰ to the simple system considered here. We keep this problem for future work.

7. Numerical Simulations. This section is meant to show that iterations (3.2), 391 (5.6) and (6.7), proposed in the previous sections, are monotone, implementable, ro-392 bust and computationally fairly fast. Here, robustness means that there are no singu-393 lar integrals and convergence is not subject to the adjustment of sensitive parameters; 394 in other words, the mathematical properties derived above are observed numerically. 395 Two computer programs have been written: one in C++ with (3.2) or (5.6) for the 396 case $\kappa_T = 0$ and the other in the FreeFEM language [17] with (6.7) for the general 397 case, either in Cartesian coordinates (2D) or in spherical ones (3D). 398

³⁹⁹ The programming is straightforward except at three places:

400 1. Writing a function to compute the exponential integrals is simple due to two

(7.1)
$$E_{1}(x) = -\gamma - \ln x + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} x^{k}}{k k!}, \qquad \gamma = 0.577215664901533,$$
$$E_{n+1}(x) = \frac{e^{-x}}{n} - \frac{x}{n} E_{n}(x),$$

but the tail of the series falls below machine precision if x > 18. From practical purpose keeping $9 + (int(x) - 1) \cdot 5$ terms in the series is more than enough.

2. When thermal diffusion is neglected, one must solve for T, with J_{ν} given,

$$\int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (1-a_\nu) B_\nu(T) \mathrm{d}\nu = \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (1-a_\nu) J_\nu \mathrm{d}\nu.$$

Newton iterations are used combined with dichotomy. The integrals are approximated with the trapezoidal rule on a mesh which is uniform in wavelength with up to 900 points, though 300 are usually more than enough.

3. When thermal diffusion is not neglected, the temperature equation has a similar nonlinearity which requires iterations. We use the time dependent problem, discretized by a method of characteristics, as follows, which is unconditionally stable:

$$\frac{1}{\delta t} (T^{m+1}(x) - T^m(x - \delta t u(x)) - \kappa_T \Delta T^{m+1} + \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (1 - a_\nu) B_\nu(T^{m+1}) d\nu = \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (1 - a_\nu) J_\nu d\nu,$$

with Dirichlet or Neumann conditions on the boundaries. Then a standard P^1 Finite Element approximation of the temperature equation is applied for the discretization in a finite dimensional space V_h on a triangular (2D) or tetraedral (3D) mesh. Then the numerical approximation of T^{m+1} is also the solution of the minimization problem below, T^m and J_{ν} given, which can be solved by a BFGS method:

(7.3)
$$\min_{T \in V_h} \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{T^2}{2\delta t} + \frac{\kappa_T}{2} |\nabla T|^2 + \int_0^\infty \left(\kappa_\nu (1 - a_\nu) \int_0^T B_\nu(T') dT' \right) d\nu \right] dx - \int_{\Omega} T \left(\frac{1}{\delta T} T^m(x - \delta t u(x)) + \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu (1 - a_\nu) J_\nu d\nu \right) dx.$$

⁴¹⁹ Speed-up can be achieved by using for initial value in BFGS, the temperature ⁴²⁰ computed by the Newton algorithm mentioned above with $\kappa_T = 0$.

The first set of tests are for the radiative transfer system decoupled from the temperature equation. The second set of test involves the complete system in 2D and the third is also with radiative transfer coupled with the temperature equation but in 3D.

7.1. Radiative Transfer in the Troposphere without Thermal Diffusion. The troposphere is roughly 12km thick. When air density is $\rho(z) = \rho_0 e^{-z}$, with $\rho_0 = 1.225 \cdot 10^{-3}$, a change of vertical coordinate is made, $\tau = 1 - e^{-z}$ to remove the exponential from the equations; thus $\tau \in (0, Z)$ with $Z = 1 - e^{-12}$.

formulas

401

402

403

404

405

409

410

411

412

We wish to study the influence of κ_{ν} on *T*. As said earlier, $\bar{\kappa}_{\nu}$ is the massextinction coefficient and $\kappa_{\nu} = \rho_0 \bar{\kappa}_{\nu}$, is the absorption coefficient, defined as a dimensionless parameter between 0 and 1 which measures the output to input ratio of ν -light crossing an horizontal unit length (here 1 km) of air layer. Note however that we are not restricted to $\kappa_{\nu} \in (0, 1)$ because of the following observation.

⁴³³ Remark 7.1. When Z is large, $T(\tau)$ computed by (3.2) with κ_{ν} is equal to $T(\frac{\tau}{L})$ ⁴³⁴ computed with by (3.2) with $\kappa_{\nu}L$.

Incidently, it implies that if $\tau \mapsto T(\tau)$ is decreasing, increasing κ uniformly in ν will cause a uniform decrease of temperature.

The problem is: find $I_{\nu}(\tau,\mu)$ and $T(\tau)$ verifying (2.10), (2.12) and the boundary conditions used in [9]:

(7.4)
$$I(0,\mu)|_{\mu>0} = Q_{\nu}\mu, \quad I(Z,\mu)|_{\mu<0} = 0$$

⁴³⁹ The first one implies that the Earth receives sunlight on its surface and that the ⁴⁴⁰ computation does not include the effect of the atmosphere on the sun rays during ⁴⁴¹ their downward travel ($\mu < 0$). It is generally assumed that visible light is unaffected ⁴⁴² by air.

⁴⁴³ Due to Planck's law for black bodies, Earth radiates ($\mu > 0$) infrared radiations ⁴⁴⁴ upward ; the second boundary condition says that these escapes at $\tau = Z$ without ⁴⁴⁵ back-scattering.

The frequency spectrum of interest is $\nu \in (0, 20 \cdot 10^{14})$. It is convenient to rescale some variables:

$$\nu' = 10^{-14}\nu, \quad T' = 10^{-14}\frac{k}{h}T = 10^{-14}\frac{1.381 \cdot 10^{-23}}{6.626 \cdot 10^{-34}}T = \frac{T}{4798}$$

448 so as to write

$$B_{\nu}(T) = B_0 \frac{\nu'^3}{e^{\frac{\nu'}{T'}} - 1}, \quad \text{with } B_0 = \frac{2h}{c^2} 10^{42} = \frac{2 \times 6.626 \cdot 10^{-34}}{2.998^2 \cdot 10^{16}} 10^{42} = 1.4744 \cdot 10^{-8}.$$

We may work with B_{ν}/B_0 and I_{ν}/B_0 so that, forgetting the primes, we have (2.10) with (2.12) and (7.4) with

(7.5)
$$B_{\nu}(T) = \frac{\nu^3}{e^{\frac{\nu}{T}} - 1}, \ Q_{\nu} = Q_0 B_{\nu}(1.209), \quad Q_0 = 2 \cdot 10^{-5},$$

because T_{Sun} being $5800^0 K$, it is now 5800/4798 = 1.209; Q_0 is found from the sunlight energy sent to Earth, $Q_{sun} = 1370 \text{Watt}/m^2$:

(7.6)
$$Q_{sun} = \int_0^\infty Q_0 B_0 B_\nu (1.209) 10^{14} d\nu = Q_0 1.4744 \cdot 10^6 \frac{(1.209\pi)^4}{15} = 1.023 \cdot 10^7 Q_0.$$

This leads to $Q_0 = 13.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$, but the Sun sees Earth as a disk of surface πR^2 453 while the Earth surface reemitting radiations is $2\pi R^2$, so $6.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$ should be used 454 instead. Yet this value is too high as it gives an Earth temperature around 400K. It 455 comes down to 3.1 when it is corrected by the latitude, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ at 45°, and by the Earth 456 albedo: 35% of the Sun energy is reflected, i.e. not absorbed, by the Earth surface. 457 Furthermore due to the alternation of days and nights only a portion of the final value 458 should be retained [9]. Thus Q_0 is in the range $(1.5,3) \cdot 10^{-5}$. A reasonable value is 459 $Q_0 = 2 \cdot 10^{-5}$, because, with a constant $\kappa = 0.5$, the temperature near the ground is 460

found to be around 24°C; but it should not be taken for its face value because rains, clouds etc, are not accounted for.

Scattering is the sum of an isotropic part and a Rayleigh part; both have their 464 own a_{ν} , function of altitude (i.e. τ) and ν .

To simulate clouds, isotropic scattering is activated between altitude Z_1 and $Z_2 > Z_1$ and

 $a_{\nu}(z) = \alpha [4 \max(z - Z_1, 0) \max(Z_2 - z, 0) / (Z_2 - Z_1)^2].$

It is known that Rayleigh scattering is a function of ν^4 in the ultraviolet range at high altitude, so it is switched on above altitude Z_2 and is $O(\nu^4)$ for $\nu \in (0.8, 1.2)$:

 $a_{\nu}'(z) = \alpha [40 \max(\nu - 0.8, 0)^2 \max(1.2 - \nu, 0)^2 \max(z - Z_2, 0) / (Z - Z_2)].$

⁴⁶⁹ The values of the physical and numerical parameters are

• $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ or zero; $Z_1 = 6$ km, $Z_2 = 9$ km

- Absorption coefficient κ_{ν} digitalized from Gemini measurements.
- Discretization: 60 altitude stations, 485 frequencies corresponding to a uniform grid in wavelength in $(1,20)\mu m$.
- Number of iterations 20.

⁴⁷⁵ The Gemini measurements of the absorption are posted on wikipedia in

https://www.gemini.edu/observing/telescopes-and-sites/sites#Transmission

Figure 1 shows κ_{ν}^{0} versus wavelength c/ν . Recall that visible light is in the range 0.4 - 0.7 μm (i.e. 450-750 THz) and relevant infrared radiations are in the range 0.8 - 20 μm (i.e. 0.03 - 0.4 THz).

To assess the sensitivity of the temperature to gas like carbon dioxide opaque, for wavelengths in 7-9 μ m, and 1-3 μ m we constructed κ_{ν}^{1} by increasing κ_{ν}^{0} by a factor 3, and capped at 1, in the infrared range 7 – 8 μ m. Similarly we construct κ_{ν}^{2} by increasing κ_{ν}^{0} by a factor of 3, and capped at 1, in the range 1 – 3 μ m. These are displayed in Figure 1.



FIG. 1. Absorption κ_{ν}^{0} versus wavelength $(3/\nu)$ read from Gemini measurements; κ_{ν}^{1} , is κ_{ν}^{0} increased in the infrared range $2-3\mu m$ and κ_{ν}^{2} is κ_{ν}^{0} increased in the range $8-14\mu m$. The \times marks show the 487 grid points for the integrals in ν .

⁴⁸⁴ Convergence of the lower increasing and upper decreasing sequences is studied ⁴⁸⁵ with and without Rayleigh scattering. The convergence of the lower sequences is faster and it is slightly slower in the presence of scattering. Yet, for both 20, iterations seem appropriate for a 3 digits precision.



FIG. 2. Temperatures scaled by 4798 without (left) and with (right) scattering: convergence history. The dashed curves are computed with an initial $T^0 = T_{Sun}/10$ and the solid curves with $T^0 = 0$. Notice the monotonic convergence towards a solution after 20 iterations. The iterations shown for the upper and lower solutions are (5,7,9,11,20). This computation has used $Q_0 = 3 \cdot 10^{-5}$.

Next, results are shown with κ_{ν}^0 , κ_{ν}^1 and κ_{ν}^2 , with and without scattering. Figures 489 3 and 4 shows the mean radiation intensity J_{ν} versus wavelength at altitude 0 and 490 12km. Notice the dramatic changes when going from κ^0_{ν} to κ^1_{ν} and the smaller changes 491 in the opposite direction when going from κ_{ν}^0 to κ_{ν}^2 . Note too that scattering decreases 492 J_{ν} . It is also interesting to note that in the frequency range where κ_{ν}^{0} is very small 493 such as wavelength 3-4 μm and 10-14 μm , J_{ν} is also small; it is because the Planck 494 function with the Earth temperature (3.2) cannot create ν -waves in regions where 495 κ_{ν} is small. 496

Figure 5 shows the scaled temperatures versus altitude computed with κ_{ν}^{0} , κ_{ν}^{1} and κ_{ν}^{2} with and without scattering. Note that going from κ_{ν}^{0} to κ_{ν}^{1} decreases the temperatures by 5%. On the other hand going from κ_{ν}^{0} to κ_{ν}^{2} increases the temperatures by 2%.

501 Comments.

502	• CPU time is 20" on an Macbook air M1, but with a smoother κ_{ν} , 50 ν -
503	integration points are sufficient, cutting the CPU time by 10 to 2".
504	• We observed that a highly oscillating κ_{ν} did not cause any programming or
505	convergence problems. The total light intensities J plotted on Figures 3 and
506	4 show clearly that the method traces the small or large changes on κ_{ν} .
507	• Figure 2: Monotone convergence from below and from above is observed. The
508	convergence from below, i.e. starting with $T^0 = 0$, is faster than the one from
509	above, starting from $T = T_{sun}/10$, and it is slightly slower in the presence of
510	scattering.
511	• Figure 5: Increasing κ_{ν} in the Earth infrared range can cause either an in-
512	crease or a decrease of temperature, depending on the position of the change
513	in the infrared spectrum.
514	• Isotropic and Rayleigh scattering did not change the above conclusion (see
515	Figure 5).

⁵¹⁶ Finally, note that the Earth albedo and the clouds seem to play an important role on



FIG. 3. Computed mean radiation intensities $10^5 \cdot J_{\nu}(0)$ at the ground level for κ_{ν}^0 , κ_{ν}^1 , κ_{ν}^2 with scattering ($\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$) and for κ_{ν}^0 without scattering.



FIG. 4. Computed mean radiation intensities $10^5 \cdot J_{\nu}(Z)$ at the top of the troposphere for κ_{ν}^0 , κ_{ν}^1 , κ_{ν}^2 with scattering ($\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$) and for κ_{ν}^0 without scattering.

the effect of the greenhouse gases on the temperature of the atmosphere [8]. If it is modeled by a Lambert condition of the type

$$I_{\nu}(0,\mu) - \beta I_{\nu}(0,-\mu) = \mu Q_0 B_{\nu}(T_{Sun}), \quad \forall \mu > 0.$$

then the present numerical method can handle it and our preliminary test show an increase of temperature when β increases; while this is another story, it is yet another



FIG. 5. Temperatures in Kelvin divided by 4798 versus altitude, computed with κ_{ν}^0 , κ_{ν}^1 and κ_{ν}^2 without scattering ($\alpha = 0$) and with a scattering $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$.

⁵²¹ proof of the versatility of the present numerical formulation for climate modeling.

7.1.1. Relevance to Global Warming. The simulations made above indicate that an increase of opacity in the atmosphere may cause cooling or warming depending on the range of frequencies where the change of opacity occurs. It is known that CO_2 is opaque to wavelengths around $\lambda_1 = 2\mu m$ and around $\lambda_2 = 6\mu m$. According to Figure 1 the λ_1 peak heats the atmosphere and the λ_2 peak cools it. Cooling does not go against the physical observations because it is known that CO_2 cools the high atmosphere: see figure 13 in [8] and this Belgium website, for instance:

529 www.aeronomie.be/en/news/2021/rising-co2-levels-also-cause-cooling-upper-layers-atmosphere

What differentiates high and low altitudes? Clouds, for one thing, probably play 530 a big role; also the absorption coefficient depends on the pressure, i.e. on altitude. 531 The present formulation does not allow it, but it is not hard to see that by taking the 532 greatest value for each frequency on the left hand side of (3.2) and compensate for 533 the difference on the right hand side, the iterations on the source are still convergent. 534 Thus there are many opportunities for future developments; we will show also, in [13], 535 that the method is not confined to stratified atmospheres and that the full 3D problem 536 can be solved by iterations on the source in an integral formulation; it is much more 537 expensive computationally but still a lot cheaper than SHDOM and Monte-Carlo. 538

One should be cautious not to draw early conclusions before the full problem is solved; the purpose of the present study is to show that here is a method which is mathematically well understood and numerically faster than others.

⁵⁴²**7.2. Radiative Transfer with Thermal Diffusion in a Pool.** Consider the ⁵⁴³vertical cross-section of a pool, Ω , heated from above, possibly by the Sun, and ⁵⁴⁴subject to wind on its surface, but without evaporation. The bottom is elliptical ⁵⁴⁵with maximum length 3 and height 1.

The time dependent Navier-Stokes equations is solve with a kinematic viscosity $\nu_F = 0.05$. A no-slip condition $\boldsymbol{u} = (0,0)^T$ is applied on the bottom boundary and a Dirichlet condition on the horizontal boundary $\boldsymbol{u} = (10,0)^T$ to simulate the wind 549 velocity.

The Taylor-Hood finite element method is used with the space V_h of continuous piecewise quadratic velocities on a triangulation and the space Q_h of piecewise linear pressures on the same triangulation. Galerkin-characteristics discretization in time are used: at each time step n+1, find $u_h^{n+1} \in V_h$, satisfying the boundary conditions, and $p_h^{n+1} \in Q_h$, such that (7.7)

$$\int_{\Omega_h} \left(\frac{1}{\delta t} \boldsymbol{u}_h^{n+1} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_h + \nu_F \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_h^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_h - p_h^{n+1} \nabla \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_h + \hat{p}_h \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_h^{n+1} \right) \mathrm{d}x$$
$$= \int_{\Omega_h} \frac{1}{\delta t} \boldsymbol{u}_h^n (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{u}_h^n (\boldsymbol{x}) \delta t) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_h \mathrm{d}x, \quad \forall \hat{p}_h \in Q_h, \ \forall \hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_h \in V_h, \ \text{with} \ \hat{\boldsymbol{u}}_h|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

There are 764 vertices in the triangulation; Figure 6 displays the velocity vectors after 550 time steps of size 0.02; stationarity is reached. The computation takes 12".

For the temperature (6.5) is rescaled and discretized by (7.3). We chose $\kappa_T = 0.5$, $a_{\nu} = 0$, with vertical radiative transfer in the fluid, from its surface down into the liquid and Dirichlet conditions on the bottom boundary T = 0.057 which is approximately the reduced temperature found in the previous section.

The liquid water absorption parameter κ_{ν} can be found in

⁵⁶² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Electromagnetic_absorption_by_water

It turned out to be CPU prohibitive to solve the problem with such a detailed κ_{ν} ; the bottleneck is in the computation of the integral in T of $B_{\nu}(T)$ required by the variational principle (7.3). Hence we approximated κ_{ν} by its regression line in the range $\nu \in (0.02, 7)10^{-14}$:

$$\kappa_{\nu} = \kappa_0 - \kappa_1 \nu$$
 with $\nu \in (0.02, \nu_{max})$ $\nu_{max} = 7, \ \kappa_0 = 0.7, \ \kappa_1 = 0.5/\nu_{max}.$

⁵⁶⁷ Then the integral of $\kappa_{\nu}B_{\nu}(T)$ can be computed analytically:

$$\int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu B_\nu(T) d\nu = T^4 \kappa_0 \frac{\pi^4}{15} - 24T^5 \kappa_1 \zeta(5).$$

where ζ is the Riemann function, $\zeta(5) = 1.03693$.

The time dependent temperature equation is solved until convergence to a sta-569 tionary state with 50 time steps of size 0.1. The convection terms are treated explicitly 570 so as to use (7.3) which is solved by the BFGS module in FreeFEM++. The computation 571 takes 326". The solution is shown on Figure 6. One sees the effect of the current in the 572 fluid on the temperature distribution which has shifted to the right. Note that with a 573 Neumann condition on the bottom the temperature would keep rising with time and 574 even with a Dirichlet condition on the bottom boundary there is a critical value for 575 κ_T and/or Q_0 below which the temperature rises with time. Here $Q_0 = 0.02$, which 576 is much bigger than the value for the sunlight, but with the later the temperature is 577 almost constant everywhere, equal to its bottom value 0.06. 578

7.3. Radiative Transfer with Thermal Diffusion in the Atmosphere of a planet. Consider the atmosphere of a spherical planet, heated by the Sun, with a known ground temperature T_e . The computational domain is the space between a sphere of radius R_2 and a sphere of radius $R_1 < R_2$.

As before the sunrays travel unaffected and hit the ground; so the radiative part is governed by the first equation in (2.10) with (2.12) and (7.4), i.e. the second equation in (2.10) is replaced by (7.2). The density of the atmosphere is constant



FIG. 6. Velocity vectors and Temperature in a pool subject to wind on its top boundary and given temperature on the bottom. The wind creates a large eddy rotating clockwise which, in turn, moves the hoter fluid region to the right.

rather than decaying exponentially with altitude. The absorption parameter chosen for the computation is also constant $\kappa = 0.5$. The wind velocity is a rotating Poiseuille flow around an axis $(\sin \bar{\psi}, 0, \cos \bar{\psi})^T$ which is not aligned with the direction of the Sun. In spherical coordinates it is

 $u = r(H - r)[\cos\psi, \sin\psi, 0]^T$, r is the distance to the ground.

where $H = R_2 - R_1$. The time dependent equation (7.2), is solved in spherical 590 coordinates (details can be found in [16] -appendix A). The computational domain 591 becomes a solid rectangle with periodic conditions; it is discretized with a uniform 592 distribution of vertices $16 \times 8 \times 8$ in the domain $(0, 2\pi) \times (0, \pi) \times (0, Z)$ with Z = 1. 593 The equations are discretized in time and space by a Galerkin-Characteristic 594 method and piecewise linear conforming finite elements on tetraedras. The time step 595 is $\delta t = 0.1$, the thermal diffusion is $\kappa_T = 0.01$. The stratified approximation requires 596 R_1 to be large and H small. For the visualizations, however, we map the solid 597 rectangle onto the spherical domain with $R_1 = 1$ and $R'_2 = 2$. As before $T_{Sun} = 1.209$ 598 and $Q_0 = 2 \cdot 10^{-5}$. Initially $T_{t=0}$ is set to $T_e = T_{sun} \frac{\kappa}{2} \left(Q^0 E_3(\kappa z) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$. On the surface 599 of the planet T is set to $0.95T_e(0)$. 600

Figure 7 shows the temperatures after 15 iterations without wind. The computing time is 357". The Sun is at infinity in the direction opposite to the blue region. Blue means cold; it corresponds to the night on this part of the planet. Yet with more time iterations we would see this zone heated by thermal diffusion due to the fixed temperature of the planet.

Figure 8 compares the temperatures with and without wind. The planar views correspond to cross sections of the domain by the plane z = 0. Here, the Sun in the horizontal direction on the right but the wind transports its heat counterclockwise.

7.4. Conclusion. In this article a special case of radiative and heat transport has been studied, the so called stratified approximation. The one dimensional radiative transfer equations are coupled with the temperature equation. Existence and uniqueness have been established with almost no restriction on the absorption and scattering parameters. Furthermore the proofs are based on a formulation of the problem which gives rise to an efficient numerical algorithm for radiative transfer F. GOLSE AND O. PIRONNEAU



FIG. 7. Temperature in the atmosphere of a planet heated by a Sun, when thermal diffusion propagates heat in unlit regions and also in the presence of a counter clockwise rotating wind. Note that the thickness of the atmosphere has been expanded for readability.



FIG. 8. Temperature in the atmosphere of a planet heated by a Sun on the right with wind (right) and without wind (left); it is a counterclockwise rotating wind around an axis almost (but not quite) perpendicular to the figure. Thermal diffusion propagates heat in unlit regions and the wind transports the heat counterclockwise. Note that the thickness of the atmosphere has been expanded for readability.

coupled with the heat equation for a fluid. Upper and lower positive solutions can be computed and the convergence to the unique solution is polynomial.

⁶¹⁷ The method has been implemented numerically and indeed arbitrary precision can ⁶¹⁸ be obtained, even with highly oscillating absorption or scattering coefficients. Fur-

thermore it is computationally very fast when the thermal diffusion is neglected and

reasonably fast otherwise, at least with absorption coefficients which are polynomial functions of the frequencies.

It has been applied to the computation of the temperature in the Earth atmosphere, to that of a pool heated from above and to the atmosphere of a planet with a large thermal diffusion. However these are test cases rather than a full solution of physical problems and so, one should be cautious not to draw early conclusions from these computations; the purpose of the present study is to show that here is a method which is mathematically well understood and numerically faster than others.

There are many other applications, especially for climate modelling and in nuclear engineering for which these new mathematical and numerical results should be useful.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Prof. Claude Bardos and Prof. Guy Lucazeau for the numerous discussions and references.

8. Appendix: Proof of Theorem 4.1. Set $s_+(z) = 1_{z\geq 0}$. We recall that $z_+ = \max(z, 0) = zs_+(z)$ while $z_- = \max(-z, 0)$. Multiply both sides of the radiative transfer equation for two solutions I_{ν} and I'_{ν} by $s_+(I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu})$ and integrate in all variables, with the notation

$$\langle \Phi \rangle := \int_0^\infty \int_{-1}^1 \Phi(\mu,\nu) \mathrm{d}\mu d\nu$$

With T = T[I] and T' = T[I'] defined by (2.16), let us compute

$$D := \langle \kappa_{\nu} ((I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu}) - a_{\nu} (J_{\nu} - J'_{\nu}) - (1 - a_{\nu}) (B_{\nu}(T) - B_{\nu}(T'))) s_{+} (I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu}) \rangle$$

= $\langle \kappa_{\nu} (1 - a_{\nu}) ((I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu}) - (B_{\nu}(T) - B_{\nu}(T'))) s_{+} (I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu}) \rangle$
+ $\langle \kappa_{\nu} a_{\nu} ((I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu}) - (J_{\nu} - J'_{\nu})) s_{+} (I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu}) \rangle$ =: $D_{1} + D_{2}$

Since

$$\int_{-1}^{1} ((I_{\nu} - I_{\nu}')(\tau, \mu) - (J_{\nu} - J_{\nu}')(\tau)) d\mu = 0$$

and since $s_+(J_\nu - J'_\nu)$ is independent of μ , one has

$$D_2 = \langle \kappa_{\nu} a_{\nu} ((I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu}) - (J_{\nu} - J'_{\nu}))(s_+ (I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu}) - s_+ (J_{\nu} - J'_{\nu})) \rangle \ge 0$$

since the function $z \mapsto s_+(z)$ is nondecreasing and $\kappa_{\nu} a_{\nu} \ge 0$. Similarly

$$T = T[I] \text{ and } T' = T[I'] \implies \langle \kappa_{\nu}(1 - a_{\nu})((I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu}) - (B_{\nu}(T) - B_{\nu}(T'))) \rangle = 0$$

and since $s_+(T-T')$ is independent of μ and ν , one has

$$D_1 = \langle \kappa_{\nu} (1 - a_{\nu}) ((I_{\nu} - I_{\nu}') - (B_{\nu}(T) - B_{\nu}(T'))) (s_{+}(I_{\nu} - I_{\nu}') - s_{+}(T - T')) \rangle.$$

Since B_{ν} is increasing for each $\nu > 0$, one has $s_{+}(T - T') = s_{+}(B_{\nu}(T) - B_{\nu}(T'))$. Hence

$$D_1 = \langle \kappa_{\nu} (1 - a_{\nu}) ((I_{\nu} - I_{\nu}') - (B_{\nu}(T) - B_{\nu}(T'))) (s_+ (I_{\nu} - I_{\nu}') - s_+ (B_{\nu}(T) - B_{\nu}(T'))) \rangle \ge 0$$

since $\kappa_{\nu}(1-a_{\nu}) \ge 0$ and $z \mapsto s_{+}(z)$ is nondecreasing.

Let I_{ν} and I'_{ν} be two solutions of (2.11) with boundary data

$$I_{\nu}(0,\mu) = Q_{\nu}^{+}(\mu), \quad I_{\nu}(Z,-\mu) = Q_{\nu}^{-}(\mu), \qquad 0 < \mu < 1,$$

$$I'_{\nu}(0,\mu) = Q'_{\nu}^{+}(\mu), \quad I'_{\nu}(Z,-\mu) = Q'_{\nu}^{-}(\mu), \qquad 0 < \mu < 1.$$

Assume that

$$Q_{\nu}^{\pm}(\mu) \leq {Q'}_{\nu}^{\pm}(\mu)$$
 for a.e. $(\mu, \nu) \in (0, 1) \times (0, \infty)$

Then

$$\partial_\tau \langle \mu (I_\nu - I'_\nu)_+ \rangle = -D_1 - D_2 \le 0$$

so that $\tau \mapsto \langle \mu (I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu})_+ \rangle(\tau)$ is nonincreasing. Since

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\nu}^{-} &\leq Q_{\nu}^{'} \implies \langle \mu (I_{\nu} - I_{\nu}^{'})_{+} \rangle (Z) = \langle \mu_{+} (I_{\nu} - I_{\nu}^{'})_{+} \rangle (Z) \geq 0 \,, \\ Q_{\nu}^{+} &\leq Q_{\nu}^{'+} \implies \langle \mu (I_{\nu} - I_{\nu}^{'})_{+} \rangle (0) = -\langle \mu_{-} (I_{\nu} - I_{\nu}^{'})_{+} \rangle (0) \leq 0 \,, \end{aligned}$$

one has

$$0 = \langle \mu (I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu})_+ \rangle = D_1 = D_2 \quad \text{for a.e. } \tau \in (0, Z)$$
$$(I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu})_+ (0, -\mu) = (I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu})_+ (Z, \mu) = 0 \qquad \text{for a.e. } \mu \in (0, 1) \,.$$

Besides, since $\kappa_{\nu}(1-a_{\nu}) > 0$ for all $\nu > 0$

$$D_1 = 0 \implies s_+(I_\nu(\tau,\mu) - I'_\nu(\tau,\mu)) = s_+(T[I] - T[I']) \text{ for a.e. } (\tau,\mu,\nu) \,.$$

Next we use the K-invariant (in the terminology of section 10 in chapter I of Chandrasekhar [6]) for solutions of the radiative transfer equation with slab symmetry. We compute

$$\begin{split} \partial_{\tau} \left\langle \frac{\mu^2}{\kappa_{\nu}} (I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu})_+ \right\rangle &= -\langle a_{\nu} \mu((I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu}) - (I'_{\nu} - \tilde{I}'_{\nu}))s_+(T[I] - T[I']) \rangle \\ &- \langle (1 - a_{\nu}) \mu((I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu}) - (B_{\nu}(T[I]) - B_{\nu}(T[I']))s_+(T[I] - T[I']) \rangle \\ &= -\langle \mu(I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu})s_+(T[I] - T[I']) \rangle = -\langle \mu(I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu})_+ \rangle = 0 \,, \end{split}$$

since

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \mu(I'_{\nu}(\tau) - \tilde{I'}_{\nu}(\tau)) d\mu = \int_{-1}^{1} \mu(B_{\nu}(T[I]) - B_{\nu}(T[I'])) d\mu = 0.$$

Next we integrate in $\tau \in (0, Z)$, and observe that

$$(I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu})_{+}(0, -\mu) = 0 \text{ and } Q_{\nu}^{+}(\mu) \leq Q'_{\nu}^{+}(\mu) \quad \text{for a.e. } \mu \in (0, 1)$$
$$\implies \left\langle \frac{\mu^{2}}{\kappa_{\nu}}(I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu})_{+} \right\rangle(\tau) = \left\langle \frac{\mu^{2}}{\kappa_{\nu}}(I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu})_{+} \right\rangle(0) = 0.$$

Thus, we have proved that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\nu}^{\pm}(\mu) &\leq Q_{\nu}^{\prime}{}^{\pm}(\mu) \quad \text{ for a.e. } (\mu,\nu) \in (0,1) \times (0,\infty) \\ \Longrightarrow \ I_{\nu}(\tau,\mu) &\leq I_{\nu}^{\prime}(\tau,\mu) \quad \text{ for a.e. } (\tau,\mu,\nu) \in (0,Z) \times (-1,1) \times (0,\infty) \\ \implies T[I](\tau) &\leq T[I^{\prime}](\tau) \quad \text{ for a.e. } \tau \in (0,Z) \,. \end{aligned}$$

Exchanging $Q_{\nu}^{\pm}(\mu)$ and $Q_{\nu}^{\prime \pm}(\mu)$ above shows that $I_{\nu} = I_{\nu}^{\prime}$ and $T[I] = T[I^{\prime}]$, which is the announced uniqueness.

Proof of Remark 5.2 Let $(I_{\nu}, T[I])$ and $(I'_{\nu}, T[I'])$ the solutions of (5.3) corresponding to the boundary data Q^{\pm}_{ν} and ${Q'^{\pm}_{\nu}}$ respectively, such that $Q^{\pm}_{\nu}(\mu) \leq {Q'^{\pm}_{\nu}}(\mu)$ for

a.e. $(\mu, \nu) \in (0, 1) \times (0, \infty)$. First, we slightly modify the treatment of D_2 as follows:

$$D_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu a_\nu \int_{-1}^1 (I_\nu - I'_\nu)_+(\mu) \mathrm{d}\mu \mathrm{d}\nu$$
$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu a_\nu \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 p(\mu, \mu') (I_\nu - I'_\nu)(\mu') s_+(I_\nu - I'_\nu)(\mu) \mathrm{d}\mu' \mathrm{d}\mu \mathrm{d}\nu$$

Since $p \ge 0$ and $\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} p(\mu, \mu') d\mu = 1$, one has

$$p(\mu,\mu')(I_{\nu}-I_{\nu}')(\mu')s_{+}(I_{\nu}-I_{\nu}')(\mu) \le p(\mu,\mu')(I_{\nu}-I_{\nu}')_{+}(\mu'),$$

so that

$$D_2 \ge \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu a_\nu \int_{-1}^1 (I_\nu - I'_\nu)_+(\mu) \mathrm{d}\mu \mathrm{d}\nu$$
$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu a_\nu \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 p(\mu, \mu') (I_\nu - I'_\nu)_+(\mu') \mathrm{d}\mu' \mathrm{d}\mu \mathrm{d}\nu = 0,$$

As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we see that

$$\langle \mu (I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu})_{+} \rangle (\tau) = 0 \text{ for a.e. } \tau \in (0, Z),$$

and

$$s_+(I_\nu(\tau,\mu) - I'_\nu(\tau,\mu)) = s_+(T[I](\tau) - T[I'](\tau))$$

for a.e. $(\tau, \mu, \nu) \in (0, Z) \times (-1, 1) \times (0, \infty)$, while

$$(I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu})_{+}(0, -\mu) = (I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu})_{+}(Z, \mu) = 0$$
 for a.e. $\mu \in (0, 1)$.

Next we compute

$$\partial_{\tau} \left\langle \frac{\mu^{2}}{\kappa_{\nu}} (I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu})_{+} \right\rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} a_{\nu} \int_{-1}^{1} \mu (I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu})_{+} (\tau, \mu) d\mu d\nu$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} a_{\nu} \int_{-1}^{1} \mu \int_{-1}^{1} p(\mu, \mu') (I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu})_{+} (\tau, \mu') d\mu' d\mu d\nu s_{+} (T[I](\tau) - T[I'](\tau))$$

$$- \langle (1 - a_{\nu})\mu ((I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu}) - (B_{\nu}(T[I]) - B_{\nu}(T[I']))s_{+} (T[I] - T[I']) \rangle$$

$$= - \langle a_{\nu}\mu (I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu})s_{+} (T[I] - T[I']) \rangle - \langle (1 - a_{\nu})\mu (I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu})s_{+} (T[I] - T[I']) \rangle$$

$$= - \langle \mu (I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu})s_{+} (T[I] - T[I']) \rangle = - \langle \mu (I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu}) + \rangle = 0$$

since \mathbf{s}

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \mu p(\mu, \mu') d\mu = \int_{-1}^{1} \mu (B_{\nu}(T[I]) - B_{\nu}(T[I'])) d\mu = 0.$$

Finally we integrate in $\tau \in (0, Z)$, and conclude as in the previous section that

$$(I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu})_{+}(0, -\mu) = 0 \text{ and } Q_{\nu}^{+}(\mu) \leq Q'_{\nu}^{+}(\mu) \quad \text{for a.e. } \mu \in (0, 1)$$
$$\implies \left\langle \frac{\mu^{2}}{\kappa_{\nu}}(I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu})_{+} \right\rangle(\tau) = \left\langle \frac{\mu^{2}}{\kappa_{\nu}}(I_{\nu} - I'_{\nu})_{+} \right\rangle(0) = 0.$$

Hence $Q_{\nu}^{\pm}(\mu) \leq Q_{\nu}^{\prime\pm}(\mu)$ for a.e. $(\mu,\nu) \in (0,1) \times (0,\infty)$ implies that $I_{\nu}(\tau,\mu) \leq I_{\nu}^{\prime}(\tau,\mu)$ for a.e. $(\tau,\mu,\nu) \in (0,Z) \times (-1,1) \times (0,\infty)$, and $T[I](\tau) \leq T[I'](\tau)$ for a.e. $\tau \in (0,Z)$. This implies the uniqueness of the solution as explained in the proof of Theorem 4.1.

F. GOLSE AND O. PIRONNEAU

REFERENCES

- C. BARDOS, F. GOLSE, B. PERTHAME, AND R. SENTIS, The nonaccretive radiative transfer equations: Existence of solutions and Rosseland approximation, J. Funct. Anal., 77 (1988), pp. 434–460.
- C. BARDOS AND O. PIRONNEAU, Radiative Transfer for the Greenhouse Effect. submitted to SeMA J. Springer, 2021, https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03094855.
- [3] V. BARLAKASA, A. MACKE, AND M. WENDISCHA, Sparta solver for polarized atmospheric radiative transfer applications, Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer, 178 (2016), pp. 77–92.
- [4] C. F. BOHREN AND E. E. CLOTHIAUX, Fundamentals of Atmospheric Radiation, Wiley-VCH, 2006.
- [5] R. CAHALAN, L. OREOPOULOS, A. MARSHAK, K. EVANS, A. DAVIS, AND ET AL., Bringing together the most advanced radiative transfer tools for cloudy atmospheres, American Meteorological Society, 86 (2005), pp. 1275–1294.
- [6] S. CHANDRASEKHAR, Radiative Transfer, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1950.
- [7] M. G. CRANDALL AND L. TARTAR, Some relations between nonexpansive and order preserving mappings, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 78 (1980), pp. 385–390.
- [8] J. DUFRESNE, V. EYMET, C. CREVOISIER, AND J. GRANDPEIX, Greenhouse effect: The relative contributions of emission height and total absorption, Journal of Climate, American Meteorological Society, 33 (2020), pp. 3827–3844.
- [9] A. FOWLER, Mathematical geoscience, Berlin: Springer, 2011.
- [10] M. GHATTASSI, X. HUO, AND N. MASMOUDI, On the diffusive limits of radiative heat transfer system I: well prepared initial and boundary conditions. Preprint 2020, https://arxiv.org/ abs/2007.13209.
- [11] F. GOLSE, The Milne Problem for the Radiative Transfer Equations (with Frequency Dependence), Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 303 (1987), pp. 125–143.
- [12] F. GOLSE AND B. PERTHAME, Generalized solutions of the radiative transfer equations in a singular case, Commun. Math. Phys., 106 (1986), pp. 211–239.
- [13] F. GOLSE AND O. PIRONNEAU, Radiative transfer in a fluid, RACSAM, Springer, dedicated to I. Diaz (2021).
- [14] F. GOLSE AND O. PIRONNEAU, Stratified radiative transfer for multidimensional fluids. Compte-Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences (Mécamique), to appear 2022.
- [15] R. M. GOODY AND Y. L. YUNG, Atmospheric Radiation. 2nd ed., Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1996.
- [16] J. HAPPEL AND H. BRENNER, Low Reynolds number hydrodynamics with special applications to particulate media. 2nd rev. ed., 4th printing, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1986.
- [17] F. HECHT, New developments in freefem++, J. Numer. Math., 20 (2012), pp. 251-265.
- [18] S. KLAINERMAN AND A. MAJDA, Compressible and incompressible fluids, Comm Pure and Applied Math, 35 (1982), pp. 629–651.
- [19] J. LERAY AND J.-L. LIONS, Quelques résultats de Visik sur les problèmes elliptiques non linéaires par les méthodes de Minty-Browder, Bull. Soc. Math. Fr., 93 (1965), pp. 97–107.
- [20] E. H. LIEB AND M. LOSS, Analysis. 2nd ed., Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2001.
- [21] P.-L. LIONS, Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics. Vol. 1: Incompressible models, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1996.
- [22] A. MARSHAK AND A. DAVIS, eds., 3D Radiative Transfer in Cloudy Atmospheres, vol. 5117 of Physics of Earth and Space Environments, Springer, 2005.
- B. MERCIER, Application of accretive operators theory to the radiative transfer equations, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 18 (1987), pp. 393–408.
- [24] D. MIHALAS AND B. WEIBEL MIHALAS, Foundations of radiation hydrodynamics, New York, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1984.
- [25] O. PIRONNEAU, A fast and accurate numerical method for radiative transfer in the atmosphere. Compte Rendus de l'académie des sciences (Math), December 2021.
- [26] G. POMRANING, The equations of Radiation Hydrodynamics, Pergamon Press, NY, 1973.
- [27] M. PORZIO AND O. LOPEZ-POUSO, Application of accretive operators theory to evolutive combined conduction, convection and radiation, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, 20 (2004), pp. 257– 275.
- [28] W. ZDUNKOWSKI AND T. TRAUTMANN, Radiation in the Atmosphere. A Course in Theoretical Meteorology, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2007.