

# From Self-adaptive Collective Robotics to Artificial Evolutionary Ecology (and back)

Nicolas Bredeche<sup>1,2</sup>, Jean-Marc Montanier<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 7222, ISIR, F-75005, Paris, France

<sup>2</sup>CNRS, UMR 7222, ISIR, F-75005, Paris, France

<sup>3</sup>NTNU, Trondheim, Norway

nicolas.bredeche@upmc.fr, jean-marc.montanier@idi.ntnu.no

Collective self-adaptive systems (CsAS) are concerned with efficient lifelong learning capabilities to endow a population of (robotic) agents with limited communication (short range, limited bandwidth) to survive in an open environment (a priori unknown, and possibly changing). In Bredeche and Montanier (2010), we have introduced the EDEA approach (aka Environment-driven Distributed Evolutionary Adaptation), as well as a particular implementation termed mEDEA (minimal EDEA). The mEDEA algorithm provides a lightweight component that can easily be embedded in robotic systems, requiring few assumptions on both the robot specificities and the environment at hand. While this algorithm resembles other embodied evolution algorithms, a major assumption is that *no* fitness function is available at runtime. In this context, the goal is to perform open-ended evolution (long term adaptation in an open environment), guided only by robot-robot and robot-environment local interactions. In earlier works, the mEDEA algorithm was experimentally evaluated as a self-adaptive version of embodied evolution within a group of 20 real e-puck robots (Bredeche and Montanier (2010); Bredeche et al. (2012)) (cf. Figure 1), with an emphasis on robustness and scalability.



Figure 1: A collective robotic system running mEDEA (collaboration with the Bristol Robotics Lab - details in (Bredeche et al., 2012))

Beyond engineering, the EDEA approach was also shown to be relevant to basic science, as it provides a particular flavor of individual-based modelling method for addressing open questions in evolutionary ecology. Indeed, such a method enables to study the dispersion and mating strategies at work during evolutionary adaptation, which are particularly relevant for the evolution of social behaviours or for speciation. The very same algorithm that was embedded on real robots was also applied to tackle a major open question in evolutionary ecology: the evolution of cooperation with indirect benefits (ie. altruistic cooperation). In recent works, the impact of kin-selection strategies (Montanier and Bredeche (2011)), as well as the interaction between limited dispersal and altruism (Montanier and Bredeche (2013)) have been addressed, and yielded both insights on the evolutionary mechanisms and intuitions for algorithm design.

## Acknowledgments

Financial support from the European Union FET Proactive Initiative: Pervasive Adaptation funding the Symbion project under grant agreement 216342. The authors also wish to thank several people with whom, at some point, we collaborated: Alan Winfield, Wenguo Liu, Simon Carrignon, Antoine Sylvain, Leo Cazenille.

## References

- Bredeche, N. and Montanier, J.-M. (2010). Environment-driven Embodied Evolution in a Population of Autonomous Agents. In *The 11th International Conference on Parallel Problem Solving From Nature (PPSN 2010)*, pages 290–299.
- Bredeche, N., Montanier, J.-M., Wenguo, L., and Winfield, A. F. (2012). Environment-driven Distributed Evolutionary Adaptation in a Population of Autonomous Robotic Agents. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling of Dynamical Systems*, 18(1).
- Montanier, J.-M. and Bredeche, N. (2011). Surviving the tragedy of commons: Emergence of altruism in a population of evolving autonomous agents. In *Proceedings of the 11th European Conference on Artificial Life*.
- Montanier, J.-M. and Bredeche, N. (2013). Evolution of altruism and spatial dispersion: an artificial evolutionary ecology approach. In *Proceedings of the 12th European Conference on Artificial Life*.