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Choroid plexus APP regulates adult brain proliferation and animal behavior

Karen Arnaud1,*, Vanessa Oliveira Moreira1,*Jean Vincent2, Glenn Dallerac1, Chantal Dubreuil1, Edmond Dupont1, Max Richter3, Ulrike C Müller3, Laure Rondi-Reig5, Alain Prochiantz1, Ariel A Di Nardo1

Elevated amyloid precursor protein (APP) expression in the choroid plexus suggests an important role for extracellular APP metabolites such as sAPPα in cerebrospinal fluid. Despite widespread App brain expression, we hypothesized that specifically targeting choroid plexus expression could alter animal physiology. Through various genetic and viral approaches in the adult mouse, we show that choroid plexus APP levels significantly impact proliferation in both subventricular zone and hippocampus dentate gyrus neurogenic niches. Given the role of Aβ peptides in Alzheimer disease pathogenesis, we also tested whether favoring the production of Aβ in choroid plexus could negatively affect niche functions. After AAV5-mediated long-term expression of human mutated APP specifically in the choroid plexus of adult wild-type mice, we observe reduced niche proliferation, reduced hippocampus APP expression, behavioral defects in reversal learning, and deficits in hippocampal long-term potentiation. Our findings highlight the unique role played by the choroid plexus in regulating brain function and suggest that targeting APP in choroid plexus may provide a means to improve hippocampal function and alleviate disease-related burdens.
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Introduction

The cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) has gained attention as a source of biomarkers mirroring the progression of Alzheimer disease (AD), the major cause of dementia worldwide (Henstridge et al, 2019). A clinically accepted CSF biomarker is the amount of Aβ42 relative to Aβ40 (Molinuero et al, 2018; Palmqvist et al, 2019), both of which are peptide metabolites of the amyloid precursor protein (APP). As Aβ42 oligomerizes and forms amyloid plaques in the parenchyma, an inverse amount of soluble Aβ42 is cleared into the CSF. Along with the Aβ peptides, the soluble sAPPα and sAPPβ ectodomains have also been detected in CSF and were assumed to originate from the parenchyma through clearance (Pernecky et al, 2014). However, studies point to high APP expression in the choroid plexus (ChPl) (Liu et al, 2013; Baruch et al, 2014; Planques et al, 2021), a structure responsible for CSF secretion within brain ventricles. These findings suggest that soluble APP metabolites, such as sAPPα or sAPPβ, from ChPl have functional purpose in the CSF, and we hypothesized that targeting ChPl expression of APP could alter animal physiology.

The ChPl is considered an important contributor to adult neurogenesis by secreting trophic factors, transcription factors, and guidance molecules into the CSF which signal to the neurogenic niche (Lehtinen et al, 2011; Silva-Vargas et al, 2013; Bjornsson et al, 2015; Planques et al, 2019). Interestingly, a deficit in adult neurogenesis has been associated with AD (Rodriguez and Verkhratsky, 2011; Winner & Winkler, 2015; Choi et al, 2018; Moreno-Jiménez et al, 2019; Toda et al, 2019). Although adult neurogenesis in humans has been recently challenged (Sorrells et al, 2018) and debated (Paredes et al, 2018; Tartt et al, 2018), it has been demonstrated conclusively in rodents, with two primary neurogenic sites, the subventricular zone (SVZ) and the subgranular zone (SGZ) of the hippocampal dentate gyrus (DG). Neurogenesis is impaired in mouse models of familial AD (fAD). Early models with mutated APP show decreased adult SGZ proliferation and survival of neural progenitor cells (NPCs) (Haughey et al, 2002b; Donovan et al, 2006), whereas other models expressing fAD forms of APP and/or presenilin-1 also show decreased adult SVZ proliferation (Demars et al, 2010; Zeng et al, 2016) or hippocampal neurogenesis (Arber et al, 2021). Furthermore, deficits in adult neurogenesis precede amyloid plaque and neurofibrillary tangle formation (Hamilton et al, 2010).

APP plays a role in adult neurogenesis, either as full-length transmembrane APP (Wang et al, 2014) or through its sAPPα ectodomain (Caillé et al, 2004; Demars et al, 2011; Baratchi et al, 2012). Although the controversy on adult neurogenesis in the humans cannot be ignored, recent studies convincingly show that adult DG neurogenesis is maintained at a high level until old age but drops sharply in AD patients (Moreno-Jiménez et al, 2019) and in...
patients with mild cognitive impairments (Tobin et al, 2019), supporting the AD “neurogenesis” hypothesis of cognitive impairment (Lazarov et al, 2010; Lazarov & Hollands, 2016; Choi & Tanzi, 2019). We previously showed in mice that sAPPα infused in the CSF accumulates within the adult SVZ and enhances proliferation (Caillé et al, 2004). In line with these observations, we aimed to evaluate the importance of ChPl APP in regulating adult neurogenesis and to explore the possibility that the ChPl might constitute an important actor and translational target in healthy and pathological aging. We report that sAPPα produced specifically from the ChPl in adult mice positively affects proliferation in both neurogenic niches. Conversely, ChPl-specific viral expression of human APP bearing the Swedish-Indiana (SwInd) mutations to favor CSF Aβ production, results in reduced proliferation in both niches, causes defects in reversal learning, and impairs synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus.

Results

App is highly expressed in the adult choroid plexus

Given that ventricular infusion of recombinant sAPPα affects SVZ proliferation (Caillé et al, 2004; Demars et al, 2013), and that the ChPl expresses APP (Liu et al, 2013), we hypothesized that the ChPl is a potential endogenous source for CSF-borne sAPPα. Indeed, App is one of the most highly expressed ChPl genes (Baruch et al, 2014; Planques et al, 2021), and we confirmed high App expression levels in the ChPl by qPCR. In comparison to the hippocampus (that has been shown previously to strongly express APP) and the SVZ, we found higher levels in ChPl in lateral and fourth ventricles of 4-month-old adult mice (Fig 1A). Interestingly, Transthyretin and Apoe, two genes involved in APP functions (Sousa et al, 2007; Deane et al, 2008) are also very highly expressed in the ChPl (Baruch et al, 2014; Silva-Vargas et al, 2016; Planques et al, 2021).

App knock-down in the choroid plexus decreases adult proliferation

To knockdown App expression locally and specifically in ChPl, we performed Cre-Tat intracerebroventricular (icv) injections in 10-month-old Appfl/fl mice (Fig 1B). Cre-mediated deletion of the App locus and App expression were evaluated 15 d later in hippocampus and ChPl (Fig 1B–E). The specificity of Cre-Tat targeting selectively the ChPl was confirmed by the absence of recombination in hippocampus (Fig 1C), as previously demonstrated for another floxed mouse model (Spatazza et al, 2013). Consequently, only in ChPl do we observe a decrease in App mRNA (Fig 1D) and APP protein (Fig 1E).

To evaluate the impact of App knock-down on cell proliferation, ~3-month-old Appfl/fl mice were injected with vehicle or Cre-Tat and subsequently implanted with 15-d osmotic mini-pumps for CSF infusion of either vehicle or sAPPα (Fig 1F). Compared to vehicle injected/infused controls, animals injected with Cre-Tat and infused with vehicle showed a significant reduction in the number of proliferating cells in the SVZ (Fig 1G). This decrease was rescued by infusion of sAPPα (Fig 1G), suggesting that knock-down of CSF sAPPα from ChPl leads to impaired neurogenesis. In contrast, this experimental paradigm did not alter DG proliferation (Fig 1H and I), even under enriched environment conditions with free access to running wheels known to stimulate hippocampal proliferation (Van Praag et al, 1999).

To reduce App levels more robustly in the ChPl, a viral vector expressing shRNA against the mouse App sequence was injected into the ventricles of ~3-month-old wild-type mice (Fig 2A). As previously reported (Watson et al, 2005), icv injection of serotype 5 adenov-associated virus (AAV5) results in specific ChPl targeting, and indeed we did not detect expression of co-expressed eGFP reporter protein in the parenchyma, including SVZ (Fig 2B), cortex, and hippocampus (Fig STA–C). 6 wk post-injection, App (mRNA) and APP protein decreased nearly fivefold in ChPl with no change in hippocampus levels (Fig 2C and D). We observed an accompanying decrease in APP metabolites in the ChPl (Fig 2D), including the C83 fragment produced by a-secretase activity, and the absence of altered relative full length to C83 ratios (Fig 2D, right panel) suggests that this approach decreases overall APP levels without affecting APP metabolic processing outcomes. Interestingly, this decrease in APP led to a significant reduction in the number of proliferating cells in both SVZ and DG (Fig 2E and F). Thus, there appear to be differences in sensitivity to ChPl APP levels between SVZ and DG: in the Appfl/fl mouse model, where ChPl App (mRNA) knockdown is ~50% (Fig 1D), proliferation decreases in SVZ but not in DG; in the viral shRNA (App) model, where ChPl App (mRNA) knockdown is ~80% (Fig 2C), proliferation decreases in both niches.

sAPPα gain-of-function in either cerebrospinal fluid or choroid plexus increases adult proliferation

APP can be cleaved either along the non-amyloidogenic pathway to give rise to sAPPα or along the amyloidogenic pathway to produce its sAPPβ counterpart, and the icv injection of the two APP forms has been previously shown to have opposite effects on neurogenesis. sAPPα was found to increase both SVZ and SGZ NPC proliferation 30 h after icv injection of sAPPα protein in ~8-month-old wild-type mice, whereas sAPPβ protein icv injection decreased proliferation in both niches (Demars et al, 2013). However, a previous study involving 3-d icv infusion of sAPPα-Fc in ~2-month-old wild-type mice found increased SVZ proliferation but no change in SGZ proliferation (Caillé et al, 2004); these differences may be due to longer infusion times or to the use of a fusion sAPPα-human IgG1(Fc) protein construct. To further investigate the impact of sAPP on adult neurogenesis, either sAPPα or sAPPβ protein was infused for 7 d in the lateral ventricles of ~3-month-old wild-type mice (Fig 3A). In our infusion paradigm, sAPPα protein increased the number of proliferating cells both in the SVZ and SGZ of adult mice, whereas sAPPβ protein had no effect (Fig 3B–E). To confirm that CSF and ChPl sAPPα gain-of-function are correlated, icv injections of AAV5-expressing mouse App were performed for ChPl-specific over-expression (Fig 3F). After 8 wk, the relative App expression was increased by approximately twofold (Fig 3G), resulting in a significant increase in the number of proliferating cells in both the SVZ and SGZ (Fig 3H and I).
Because altering APP expression selectively in the ChPl impacted neurogenic niches, we hypothesized that favoring the production of Aβ specifically in ChPl could negatively affect niche functions. To explore this possibility, we overexpressed a mutated form of human APP (Swedish K670N/M671L and Indiana V17F mutations) specifically in the ChPl of wild-type mice at 3 mo of age (Fig 4A). The consequences of this gain-of-function were evaluated 3 and 12 mo after injection (when mice were 6 and 15 mo old, respectively). We found strong hAPP(Swind) mRNA expression in ChPl after 3 mo which increased more than threefold by 12 mo (Fig 4B). We found a near significant decrease in mouse APP protein within the ChPl (P = 0.057) and a ~50% decrease within the hippocampus (Fig 4C). These decreases did not affect metabolic processing, as seen by unchanged ratios between C83 fragment and full-length APP (Fig 4C). Strikingly, proliferation was significantly decreased at 3 mo post-injection in both SVZ and DG of hAPP(Swind) expressing mice. However, no difference was seen at 12 mo post-injection (Fig 4D and E), although this is likely due to the extremely low levels of proliferation also observed in control mice that may preclude further reduction. Indeed, proliferation already dramatically decreases from the time of injection at 3 mo (“No injection” in Fig 4D and E) to 6 mo (3 mo post-injection) in both niches, and further decreases in the DG at 15 mo (12 mo post-injection) as previously reported (Encinas et al, 2011). This decrease in proliferation was not due to the accumulation of amyloid plaques, as none were observed in the cortex or hippocampus of mice expressing the human APP(Swind) in the ChPl after 12 mo (data not shown).

We also evaluated spatial memory by using the Morris water maze reversal learning paradigm at either 3 or 12 mo post-injection (Fig 4F). Mice from either group had not been subjected to previous spatial memory tests. During the learning phases, all groups showed significant improvement in latency to find the hidden platform after 5 d of training, but no differences were observed between groups (control and hAPP(Swind)) in either latency or swimming speed at both ages (Fig 52A–D). During probe tests, platforms were removed and performance was evaluated by the time spent in the target platform quadrant, the number of platform area crossings, and the mean total distance taken to reach the platform area (Fig 4G–I). Swimming speed remained unaltered during probe tests (Fig 52A–D). At either 3 or 12 mo post-injection, analysis of App expression normalized to GAPDH after Cre-Tat or Veh icv injection (n = 5 mice per group). (E) Western blot analysis of ChPl and Hc after Cre-Tat or Veh icv injection for quantification of APP protein levels normalized to actin (n = 5 mice per group). (F) Schematic of sAPPα rescue paradigm for evaluating SVZ cell proliferation. sAPPα was infused by 15-d osmotic mini-pump implanted immediately after Cre-Tat icv injection. (G) Analysis of SVZ cell proliferation by quantification of KI67 positive cells after Veh or Cre-Tat icv injection following by infusion of Veh or sAPPα (Veh/Veh n = 7 mice, Cre-Tat/Veh n = 6 mice, Cre-Tat/sAPPα n = 7 mice). (H) Schematic of App ChPl knock-down with environmental enrichment (running wheel) for evaluating Hc proliferation. (I) Analysis of DG cell proliferation by quantification of KI67 positive cells after Cre-Tat icv injection in mice with CRE-Tat/Veh or Veh/Veh in normal conditions or after physical exercise (Veh n = 7 mice, Cre-Tat n = 8 mice). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; t test in (A, D, E, G), one-way ANOVA in (I); all values, mean ± SD. LV, lateral ventricle; 4V, fourth ventricle; ChPl, choroid plexus; Hc, hippocampus; SVZ, ventricular-subventricular zone; DG, dentate gyrus; icv, intracerebroventricular; Veh, vehicle.
hAPP(SwInd) mice showed no difference in performance compared to controls during probe test 1 (initial learning). Performance after probe test 2 (reversal learning) was unchanged in 6-mo-old mice (3 mo post-injection) but showed significant differences for all parameters at 15 mo (12 mo post-injection). The time spent in the trained target quadrant was decreased, as was the number of platform area crossings, whereas the mean distance to find the platform area was increased, indicating that reversal learning is impaired after long-term ChPl expression of hAPP(SwInd).
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Discussion

The present study takes its origin in the growing interest for the ChPl, a structure increasingly recognized for its physiological importance beyond its classical “kidney of the brain” functions as it secretes CSF containing a plethora of trophic compounds (Ghersi-Egea et al., 2018). Among these compounds are growth factors, guidance cues, and morphogens, some of which gain access to the SVZ and participate in the regulation of neuroblast migration (Falcão et al., 2012; Silva-Vargas et al., 2016; Planques et al., 2019). sAPPα infused into the CSF has been shown to increase proliferation and progenitor cell numbers in the SVZ (Caille et al., 2004; Demars et al., 2013), with binding sites on transient amplifying...
cells and neuroblasts (Caillé et al, 2004). Given the very high expression of APP by the ChPl, we hypothesized that sAPPα could be secreted by the ChPl into the CSF and participate in adult neurogenesis. In the present study, we focused solely on proliferation given that we had previously determined that sAPPα mainly affects transient amplifying cells in the SVZ (Caillé et al, 2004).

By conditionally knocking down App expression specifically in the ChPl through either genetic deletion or shRNA expression, we establish that ChPl APP has neurogenic activity not only in the SVZ but also in the DG. Furthermore, this reduced proliferation can be reversed by the infusion of sAPPα into the CSF. Thus, sAPPα secreted by the ChPl not only gains access to cells within the “superficial” SVZ, which contact lateral ventricles, but also to cells within the “deeper” DG within the parenchyma. Such transport has been reported for transcription factors secreted into the CSF by the ChPl (Spatazza et al, 2013). Together, these results strongly suggest that sAPPα secreted by the ChPl functions as a neurogenic factor, further confirming ChPl as a major actor in regulating adult neurogenesis. It is presently unknown which specific aspect of neurogenesis is most affected by sAPPα given that Ki67 labels mainly transiently amplifying NPCs, neuroblasts, and some stem cells. Thus, observed changes could result from altered stem cell activation or altered timing of progenitor and neuroblast division. sAPPα binds to EGFR+ cells in the SVZ (Caillé et al, 2004), and given that EGFR+ cells are either activated neural stem cells or transient amplifying cells (Codega et al, 2014), this suggests that its primary effects are restricted to these cell types.

The full range of functions of the APP family have yet to be fully identified, but several studies strongly suggest important developmental and physiological roles (Müller et al, 2017). Key functions of sAPPα include its ability to enhance spine density, synaptic plasticity, and memory (Müller et al, 2017; Mockett et al, 2019). Expression of sAPPα in the hippocampus has been shown to rescue cognition and synaptic transmission and to mitigate synaptic and cognitive deficits in a pathological context (Fol et al, 2016; Xiong et al, 2017; Tan et al, 2018; Rice et al, 2019). Certain functions involve cis or trans dimer interactions between transmembrane APP molecules, and others involve heterodimers between transmembrane APP and secreted sAPPα (Milosch et al, 2014). Some of these properties are attributed to the extracellular C-terminal 16 amino acids of sAPPα, as compared to sAPPβ. For example, acute in vitro or in vivo application of sAPPα but not sAPPβ rescues hippocampal LTP in the adult brain of conditional double knockout mice lacking APP and the related protein APLP2 (Hick et al, 2015; Richter et al, 2018). This C-terminal sequence facilitates synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus through binding to functional nicotinic a7–nAChRs (Richter et al, 2018; Morrissey et al, 2019). In keeping with previous results (Demars et al, 2013), we also find that in vivo icv infusion of sAPPα, but not sAPPβ, positively impacts neurogenic niche cell proliferation in the adult mouse brain. Although the reason for this functional divergence between sAPPα and sAPPβ for proliferation remains unknown, a shift in APP processing towards the amyloidogenic pathway would reduce sAPPα levels and clearly impair both synaptic plasticity and neurogenesis.

Mouse models developed to analyze the role of specific human APP mutations typically use mutated genes often expressed throughout the brain and even the body. Our study is unique in that it explores whether expressing mutated APP only in the ChPl of adult mice (3 mo
old) could affect neurogenic niche proliferation and trigger learning defects. Specific expression in ChPi of hAPP(Swind) mutant, which favors sAPPβ and Aβ peptide formation, decreases proliferation at 3 mo after infection (6 mo old) with no change at 12 mo after infection (15 mo old). This lack of change is likely due to the naturally low level of neurogenesis at 15 mo which leaves little room for significant further decrease. Nevertheless, a reversal learning test showed a significant decrease in the cognitive performance of these mice at 15 mo. Accordingly, electrophysiological analysis at 15 mo revealed decreased pre-synaptic release probability and impaired synaptic plasticity. Strikingly, we observed reduced endogenous APP protein expression in the hippocampus. Thus, ChPi expression of hAPP(Swind) can directly impact local brain parenchyma APP expression after 3 mo, which may explain the reduced proliferation and the eventual synaptic defects. Although we cannot provide an underlying mechanism, this result reinforces the potential for the ChPi to widely affect brain function. Also, we cannot disregard an effect due to viral production of human sAPPβ and Aβ peptide from the ChPi. While no amyloid plaques were observed in these mice, there could be a functional impact of increased sAPPβ and Aβ accumulation due to either synaptic deficits, reduced neurogenesis, and/or neuronal aging (Suberbielle et al, 2013). Given that sAPPβ icv infusion does not affect proliferation in the neurogenic niches, it is more likely that the observed deficits are due to increased Aβ (soluble or oligomers). Indeed, icv injection of Aβ has been shown to decrease SVZ proliferation (Haughey et al, 2002a). Finally, although we observe no change in proliferation at 15 mo, we cannot discount a negative long-term effect of ChPi hAPP(Swind) on the shaping of hippocampal synaptic circuits due to impaired proliferation during aging.

Our findings strengthen the unique role played by the ChPi in regulating adult neurogenesis, and it is important to consider the
morphological and transcriptomic alterations of the ChPl during normal aging and in late-onset AD that can impact the brain (Serot et al, 2000, 2001, 2012; Balusu et al, 2016; Silva-Vargas et al, 2016). These alterations can lead to changes in blood–CSF barrier properties, in ChPl function regulation, as well as in CSF composition and turnover. Furthermore, adult neurogenesis failure clearly plays a role in the development of AD in familial and possibly sporadic AD patients (Mu & Gage, 2011; Rodríguez & Verkhratsky, 2011; Hamilton et al, 2013; Gonçalves et al, 2016; Moreno-Jiménez et al, 2019; Toda et al, 2019) and could be in part responsible for the decrease in neurogenesis observed in AD patients (Moreno-Jiménez et al, 2019). Indeed, cognition in a fAD mouse model can be improved by enhancing SGZ neurogenesis and elevating levels of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (Choi et al, 2018). From a translational viewpoint, the fact that expressing a mutated APP gene exclusively in the ChPl can alter the cognitive abilities of mice raises the possibility that modifying the expression of APP or targeting APP mutations specifically in the ChPl, a structure accessible from the venous compartment (Spatazza et al, 2013), may represent a novel means to alleviate the burden associated with AD.

Materials and Methods

Animals and ethics

C57Bl/6J mice were purchased from Janvier and App\textsuperscript{fl/fo} mice were described previously (Mallm et al, 2010). All colonies were maintained under a 12:12 light/dark cycle with free access to food and water. In environmental enrichment experiments, App\textsuperscript{fl/fo} mice were placed in cages with running wheels (2 mice per cage) 7 d before surgery and kept for 15 d in these cages before analysis. All animal procedures were carried out in accordance with the guidelines of the European Economic Community (2010/63/UE) and the French National Committee (2013/118). For surgical procedures, animals were anesthetized with xylazine (2%, 5 mg/kg; Rompun) and ketamine (1,000, 80 mg/kg; Imalgene) by intraperitoneal injection. This project (no. 00702.01) obtained approval from Ethics committee no. 59 of the French Ministry for Research and Higher Education.

Protein and virus stereotaxic surgery

Vected Cre recombinase protein, Cre-Tat, was produced as previously described (Spatazza et al, 2013). Adeno-associated virus (AAV) were of serotype 5 and purchased from either Sig- naGen [AAV5-CMV-eGFP-U6-shRNA(App) and AAV5-CMV-eGFP-U6-shRNA(Ctrl)] or Vector Biolabs [AAV5-CMV-eGFP-2A-mAPP [NM_007471.3], AAV5-CMV-mCherry-2A-hAPP(SwInd), and AAV5-CMV-eGFP]. The hAPP(SwInd) sequence is a form of human APP [NM_201414.2] bearing both Swedish (K670N/M671L) and Indiana (V717F) related mutations. Cre-Tat protein (~30 μg), vehicle (protein vehicle is 1.8% NaCl, 15% DMSO; virus vehicle is 0.9% NaCl), or high-titer AAVs (~10\textsuperscript{13} GC/ml) were injected (2 μl per mouse) into the right lateral ventricle (coordinates from bregma: x, −0.58 mm; y, +1.28 mm; z, −2 mm) with a 10 μl Hamilton syringe (0.2 μl/min). For protein infusion (3.5 μg per mouse), sAPP\alpha (Sigma-Aldrich), sAPP\beta (Sigma-Aldrich), or vehicle were infused for either 7 or 15 d with 100 μlo s m o t i c...
mini-pumps (Alzet) implanted at the same stereotaxic coordinates as above.

**Reversal learning**

Spatial memory was assessed by the Morris water maze test (D’Hooge & De Deyn, 2001) in which mice use visual cues to locate an escape platform (9 cm in diameter) in an open circular swimming arena (150 cm in diameter, 40 cm deep) filled with opaque water (Acusol, 20°C ± 1°C). The escape platform was hidden 1 cm below the water surface. Room temperature was kept constant at 24°C and both arena placement and surrounding visual cues were kept fixed during all experiments. Data were acquired by the SMART recording system and tracking software (Panlab). Data processing was automated with NAT (Navigation Analysis Tool), an in-house tool rooted in MATLAB (Jarlier et al, 2013). Mice underwent a two-phase training protocol (Fig 4E). The first phase consisted of five training days, 1 d of rest followed by probe test 1 (initial learning). For the second phase, the platform was moved to a different quadrant, and again training lasted 5 d followed by 1 d of rest before probe test 2 (reversal learning). Training sessions consisted of four daily swimming trials (1 h interval between trials) starting randomly from different positions, with each quadrant sampled once per day. For each trial, mice were released at a starting point facing the inner wall and given a maximum of 90 s to locate and climb onto the escape platform. Mice that failed to locate the platform within 90 s were guided to it. In either case, mice were allowed to stay on the platform for 30 s. To assess spatial memory, probe tests were performed 24 h after the last training session by tracking mice for 30 s inter-train interval. As a reference, we decided that Gapdh was an appropriate choice after finding no significant change in ΔCt between Gapdh and Hprt in the Cre-Tat and vehicle icv injected mice (∆CHprt-ga dph; ChPl, vehicle 3.85 ± 0.04, Cre-Tat 3.81 ± 0.05; hippocampus, vehicle 4.90 ± 0.11, Cre-Tat 4.70 ± 0.17). For Western blot analysis, proteins samples were separated on NuPAGE 4–12% Bis-Tris pre-cast gels (NP0321; Invitrogen) at 200 V for 1 h and transferred onto a methanol-activated polyvinylidene difluoride membrane at 400 mV for 1 h. Primary antibody anti-APP (22C11 mouse 1:500; Millipore MAB348 or [Y188] rabbit 1:1,000; Abcam ab32136) was incubated overnight at 4°C and anti-mouse or anti-rabbit HRP–coupled secondary antibody (1:3,000; Life Technologies) was incubated for 1.5 h at RT. Signal was detected by SuperSignal West Femto Substrate (34095; Thermo Fisher Scientific) with a LAS-4000 gel imager (Fujifilm) and quantified by densitometry with ImageJ.

**Electrophysiology**

Acute transverse hippocampal slices (400 μm) were prepared as previously described (Pannasch et al, 2014). Briefly, mice were culled by cervical dislocation and decapitation. Brains were rapidly removed and placed in chilled (1°C-4°C) artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) composed of (in mM) 119 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 0.5 CaCl₂, 1.3 MgSO₄, 1 NaH₂PO₄, 26.2 NaHCO₃, and 11 glucose. After sectioning, hippocampal slices were maintained at room temperature in a storage chamber containing aCSF saturated with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂ for at least 1 h before the experiments. Slices were then transferred to a submerged recording chamber mounted on a Scientifica SliceScope Pro 6000 microscope equipped for infrared-differential interference (IR-DIC) microscopy and were perfused with aCSF (2 ml/min) at RT. All experiments were performed in CA1 stratum radiatum region of the hippocampus. Field excitatory postsynaptic potentials (fEPSPs) were recorded with glass pipettes (2–5 MΩ) filled with 1 M NaCl. Postsynaptic responses were evoked by stimulating Schaffer collaterals (0.033 Hz) in CA1 stratum radiatum with aCSF-filled glass pipettes. Input/output relationships of evoked excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs) were assayed by incrementing stimulation strength (5–50 μA, 100 μs). The test-shock used in subsequent experiments was chosen to elicit 50% of the maximal slope. Paired-pulse experiments consisted of two identical stimuli with increasing inter-pulse intervals (50–250 ms). Paired-pulse ratios were generated by plotting the maximum slope of the second fEPSP as a percentage of the first. LTP was induced by high-frequency stimulation (HFS: 2 trains of 100 pulses at 100 Hz, 30 s inter-train interval).

**PCR and Western blots**

Mice were anesthetized for intracardic perfusion with PBS. Subsequently, choroid plexus and hippocampus samples were extracted in ice-cold PBS, frozen on dry ice, and stored at -20°C. Genomic DNA, total RNA, and proteins were recovered by using the Allprep DNA/RNA/Protein Mini Kit (80004; QIAGEN). The efficiency of Cre-induced recombination in Applox/lox mice was verified by PCR with primers F, C, and D previously described (Mallm et al, 2010). For quantitative RT-PCR, cDNA was synthesized from 13 ng total RNA with QuantiTect Reverse Transcription Kit (205313; QIAGEN). Quantitative PCR reactions were carried out in triplicate with SYBR Green I Master Mix (S-7563; Roche) on a LightCycler 480 system (Roche). Expression was calculated by using the 2⁻ΔΔCt method with Gapdh as a reference. We decided that Gapdh was an appropriate choice after finding no significant change in ΔCt between Gapdh and Hprt in the Cre-Tat and vehicle icv injected mice (∆CHprt-ga dph; ChPl, vehicle 3.85 ± 0.04, Cre-Tat 3.81 ± 0.05; hippocampus, vehicle 4.90 ± 0.11, Cre-Tat 4.70 ± 0.17). For Western blot analysis, proteins samples were separated on NuPAGE 4–12% Bis-Tris pre-cast gels (NP0321; Invitrogen) at 200 V for 1 h and transferred onto a methanol-activated polyvinylidene difluoride membrane at 400 mV for 1 h. Primary antibody anti-APP (22C11 mouse 1:500; Millipore MAB348 or [Y188] rabbit 1:1,000; Abcam ab32136) was incubated overnight at 4°C and anti-mouse or anti-rabbit HRP–coupled secondary antibody (1:3,000; Life Technologies) was incubated for 1.5 h at RT. Signal was detected by SuperSignal West Femto Substrate (34095; Thermo Fisher Scientific) with a LAS-4000 gel imager (Fujifilm) and quantified by densitometry with ImageJ.

**Histology**

Mice were anesthetized for intracardic perfusion with PBS, and brains were subsequently removed and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 3 d. Coronal sections (60 μm) were obtained by Vibratome (Microm). For immunostaining, floating sections were steamed 10 min in citrate buffer (10 mM sodium citrate pH 6.0, 0.05% Tween), washed in PBS and treated with blocking solution (PBS pH 7, 1% Triton X-100, 5% normal goat serum) for 1 h. Primary antibody anti-Ki67 (SP6 rabbit 1:500, ab16667; Abcam) was incubated overnight at 4°C in blocking solution and biotinylated anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (1:3,000; Vector Laboratories) was incubated for 1.5 h at RT. Staining was visualized by using the Vectastain ABC HRP kit (PK-6100; Vector Laboratories) with the DAB Peroxidase (HRP) Substrate Kit (SK-4100; Vector Laboratories). Ki67-positive cells were counted manually in the DG on five sections per mouse.
(sections 180 μm apart, n = 5–8 mice per group). Cells in SVZ were counted by Stereoinvestigator (Stereology Software, MBF Bioscience) on eight sections per mouse (sections 180 μm apart, n = 5–8 mice per group).

Statistical analysis

Morris water maze training data (escape latency and speed) were analyzed with two-way repeated-measures ANOVA with Statview 5.0.1 (SAS). Probe test data were analyzed for normality (D’Agostino & Pearson omnibus normality test) and unpaired t test was used for group comparisons with Statview 5.0.1 (SAS). Electrophysiological data were analyzed by ANOVA with Statistica 6.1 and Statview 5.0.1. Histological and biochemical data were analyzed by unpaired t test or ANOVA (as described in Figure legends) with Prism v6 (GraphPad). All data are given as mean ± SD, except for Figs 5 and S2 in which mean ± SEM was used.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary Information is available at https://doi.org/10.26508/lsa.202000703.
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