

Kick-Fukui: A Fukui Function-guided Method for Molecular Structure Prediction

Osvaldo Yañez, Rodrigo Báez-Grez, Diego Inostroza, Ricardo Pino-Rios, Walter A Rabanal-León, Julia Contreras-García, Carlos Cardenas, William Tiznado

▶ To cite this version:

Osvaldo Yañez, Rodrigo Báez-Grez, Diego Inostroza, Ricardo Pino-Rios, Walter A Rabanal-León, et al.. Kick-Fukui: A Fukui Function-guided Method for Molecular Structure Prediction. Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling, 2021, 61 (8), pp.3955-3963. 10.1021/acs.jcim.1c00605 . hal-03356631

HAL Id: hal-03356631 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03356631

Submitted on 28 Sep 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Kick-Fukui: A Fukui Function-guided Method for Molecular Structure Prediction

Osvaldo Yañez,^{1,2,3} Rodrigo Báez-Grez,³ Diego Inostroza,^{3,4} Ricardo Pino-Rios,⁵ Walter A.

Rabanal-León,⁶ Julia Contreras-García,^{7*} Carlos Cardenas^{8,9*} and William Tiznado^{3*}

¹ Center of New Drugs for Hypertension (CENDHY), 8380494 Santiago, Chile.

² Department of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology, School of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Universidad de Chile, 8380494 Santiago, Chile.

³ Computational and Theoretical Chemistry Group, Departamento de Ciencias Químicas, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad Andres Bello, República 498, 8370035 Santiago, Chile

⁴ Universidad Andres Bello, Programa de Doctorado en Fisicoquímica Molecular, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, 8370035 Santiago, Chile.

⁵ Laboratorio de Química Teórica, Facultad de Química y Biología, Universidad de Santiago de

Chile (USACH), 8320000 Santiago, Chile

⁶ Departamento de Química Analítica e Inorgánica, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad de Concepción, Edmundo Larenas 129, Casilla 160-C, 4070371 Concepción, Chile.

⁷ Sorbonne Universités, UPMC and CNRS, Laboratoire de Chimie Théorique (LCT), 75005 Paris, France.

⁸ Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Chile, Casilla 653 Santiago, Chile.

⁹ Centro para el Desarrollo de la Nanociencias y Nanotecnologia, CEDENNA, Avenida Ecuador 3493, 9170124 Santiago, Chile.

E-mail: J. Contreras-Garcia (Julia.Contreras@lct.jussieu.fr), C. Cardenas (cardena@uchile.cl) and W. Tiznado (wtiznado@unab.cl)

Abstract

Here we introduce a hybrid method, named Kick-Fukui, to explore the potential energy surface (PES) of clusters and molecules using the Coulombic integral between the Fukui functions in a first screening of the best individuals. In the process, small stable molecules, or clusters whose combination has the stoichiometry of the explored species are used as assembly units. First, a small set of candidates has been selected from a large and stochastically generated (Kick) population according to the maximum value of the Coulombic integral between the Fukui functions of both fragments. Subsequently, these few candidates are optimized using a gradient method and DFT calculations.

The program's performance has been evaluated to explore the PES of various systems, including atomic and molecular clusters. In most cases studied, the global minimum (GM) has been identified with a low computational cost. The strategy does not allow to identify the GM of some silicon clusters; however, it predicts local minima very close in energy to the GM that could be used as the initial population of evolutionary algorithms.

Introduction

Phenomenologically, chemical reactivity is related with the susceptibility of molecules to transform (react), and its study is a foundational activity in chemistry. Conceptual density functional theory (CDFT),^{1–7} allows to study chemical reactivity using "response functions" of both electron energy and electron density.^{6,8,9} In its more than thirty years of history, CDFT has been extensively used to study several reactions, both with an interest in the fundamentals of chemistry (e.g., pericyclic reactions)¹⁰ as well as in potential applications (e.g., in toxicology, biology, catalysis, and material characterization).^{11–15} However, these studies focus on interpreting and understanding experimentally characterized reactions. To the best of our understanding, CDFT is still developing to become a

predictive tool to guide molecular design, as was the case with molecular orbital-based models, i.e., Woodward and Hoffman rules for predicting the stereochemistry of pericyclic reactions based on orbital symmetry.^{16–18} Recently, Geerlings et al. outlined this shortcoming of CDFT through the question: "Can we move from interpretation to prediction?".¹⁹

The potential energy surface (PES) is the relationship - mathematical or graphical - between energy and a molecule's geometry. Thus, the PES is a crucial concept in computational chemistry. Through its exploration, it is possible to identify the preferred geometries and the best among these, local minima, and global minima, respectively, for a given group of atoms. Moreover, chemical reactions, which involve a rearrangement of nuclei and electron density, can be rationalized as the lowest energy pathway connecting reactants and products through a maximum point (transition state) on the potential surface.

The PES exploration becomes more complicated as the system under study grows, which motivates the development of modern techniques to facilitate this task.^{20,21} The quality and capability of methods proposed to find the global minimum (GM) on the PES of clusters and molecules have increased significantly through the years, including those based on the classical Monte Carlo (MC) annealing,^{22–27} genetic algorithm (GA),^{28–36} particle swarm,^{37–40} basin hopping (BH),^{41–45} Kick method,^{46–50} among others.^{51–53} On the other hand, there is a scarcity of methods that use chemical intuition –e.g., using Lewis electron-dot diagram⁵⁴ and valence-shell electron-pair repulsion (VSEPR) theory^{55–57}– for predicting lower energy structures of yet unknown systems.

Here we introduce a strategy that invokes the Fukui function (FF), a local CDFT reactivity descriptor,⁵⁸ to identify chemically relevant regions on the PES (lower energy structures) automatically and with low computational cost. The FF allows predicting the most favorable orientation for a hypothetical interaction between two reactive species. Typically, these configurations are established qualitatively by the researcher by contrasting the most reactive regions of the two reactive species. Here, however, it will be done automatically.

We will now describe the methodological ingredients of this proposal. Using DFT perturbation theory, an expression can be obtained for the interaction energy between a donor (D) and an acceptor (A) of electrons species. Where, one of its components corresponds to the Coulomb interaction between the corresponding Fukui functions:⁵⁹

$$J_{fD,A} = \iint \frac{f_D^-(\vec{r})f_A^+(\vec{r}')}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} d\vec{r} d\vec{r}'$$
(1)

In this way, $J_{fD,A}$ will be maximum, with a higher contribution to the interaction energy, when the most donating regions (D) match those most susceptible to accept (A) electrons. Therefore, we have chosen $J_{fD,A}$ as a suitable descriptor of the interaction energy to guide us to position in those regions of the PES where there is a bigger chance of converging to the lowest local minima and ideally to the global minimum. However, the evaluation of the integral in equation (1) is computationally demanding, which goes against our motivation to propose a simple and computationally inexpensive method. Note that some of the current authors used this approach in the past in a qualitative way to explore silicon cluster structures.^{60,61} Additionally, Grillo et al. recently used an analog equation to explore the PES of enzymatic reactions.⁶² To accelerate the algorithm, we have resorted to condensed values of the FF, which allow Eq. 1 to be reduced to a discrete sum:

$$J_{fD,A} \approx \sum_{k'=1}^{m'} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{f_{D,k}^- f_{A,k'}^+}{r_{kk'}}$$
(2)

Where f_k^- is the condensed value of f_r^- in a volume k (similarly, $f_{k'}^+$ is obtained). Commonly, FF is condensed using atomic charge analysis centered on atoms.^{63–65} Some of us, however, have proposed to condense FF in its basins, as we can see in Figure 1. In this way, the condensed values are not restricted to the nuclei positions, thus recovering the information of the regioselectivity. In Eq. 2, k

and k' correspond to the attractors' position, i.e., the maximum values within each basin (see Figure 1) and $r_{kk'}$ is the distance between these attractors.



Figure 1. FF isosurfaces (0.018 au), condensed values, and FF basins attractors in black dots.

Different random conformations between the two reactive species are generated to navigate different regions on the PES. Subsequently, the descriptor J_f (Eq. 2) is used to identify the best conformations, subsequently optimized using a gradient method and DFT computations. To assess the methodology, we search for the lowest energy structures of a broad range of chemical species, including molecular,^{66–68} atomic,^{61,69,70} and ligand-stabilized Zintl-type clusters.⁷¹ Satisfactorily we have identified the global minimum (GM) reported in the literature in all the cases studied with few exceptions.^{61,66–72} These results encourage us to envisage this proposal as a first step, in an alternative direction, towards using CDFT as a source of predictive tools to help in molecular design. In addition, recent studies are revitalizing the use of DFTC descriptors to guide PES exploration. For instance, the minimum electrophilicity principle (MEP) and maximum hardness principle (MHP) were proposed as complementary tools to explain chemical reactions.⁷³ Besides, it was studied how local reactivity descriptors, such as the Fukui function and the local spin density distribution, shape the

potential energy surface (PES) associated with chemical reactions.⁷⁴ A correction to the 6-311G(d) basis set compute global reactivity descriptors with quality of larger basis sets (Aug-cc-pVQZ and Aug-cc-pV5Z) was also proposed.⁷⁵ Moreover, the feasibility of calculating the FF with semiempirical methods to access the study of biological systems was shown.⁷⁶

Finally, it is essential to note that the Kick-Fukui is a hybrid method. It considers one term of the interaction energy equation, which contains local reactivity information, between two interacting species as the energy interaction descriptor (the entire equation is reported in SI, Eq. S1). The other counterparts of this algorithm are the Kick process and local optimizations using a gradient method and DFT calculations. Therefore, this should provide flexibility to evaluate systems with various interactions, electrostatic, covalent, or intermediate in between.

Methodological issues

In the CDFT, one of the main ingredients to analyze reactivity is the FF, defined as the response of the chemical potential to a variation of the external potential at a fixed electron number:^{1,6}

$$f(\vec{r}) = \left(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial\nu(\vec{r})}\right)_{N} \tag{3}$$

Since the chemical potential is a first derivative of the energy with respect to the electron number, the FF is a second derivative and, according to Maxwell relationships, it can be expressed as follows:

$$f(\vec{r}) = \left(\frac{\partial \rho(\vec{r})}{\partial N}\right)_{v_{(\vec{r})}} \tag{4}$$

where $\rho(\vec{r})$ is the electron density. This last derivative has a discontinuity of the Fukui function at an integer number of electrons and, therefore, it should be calculated from the left or right side of N as follows:

$$f^{+}(\vec{r}) = \rho_{N+1}(\vec{r}) - \rho_{N}(\vec{r})$$
(5)

6

and

$$f^{-}(\vec{r}) = \rho_{N}(\vec{r}) - \rho_{N-1}(\vec{r})$$
(6)

The FFs, calculated by Eqs. 5 and 6, are the key regioselectivity indicators for chemical species prone to accepting and donating electrons in chemical reactions, respectively. A simple molecular orbital model (in which electron correlation and orbital relaxation is neglected) is commonly used to obtain simple FF's expressions:

$$f^{+}(\vec{r}) = |\phi_{LUMO}(\vec{r})|^{2}$$
(7)
$$f^{-}(\vec{r}) = |\phi_{HOMO}(\vec{r})|^{2}$$
(8)

Eqs. 7 and 8 correspond to the square of module of the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the square of the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO), respectively. Note that this approximation is used in this work. Additionally, in those cases where the frontier orbitals are degenerate or quasi-degenerated, an average over the set of degenerate orbitals is used.^{77,78}

In our proposal, in contrast with the classical condensation schemes (i.e., by means of atomic charges), the molecular space is partitioned into FF basins, which in turn are characterized by attractors at position k, according to the topological analysis of the gradient field of the FF.^{79–81} Not only does this scheme avoid some ambiguities related with others (such as basis set dependence), but it also provides regions of chemical interest that are not necessarily nuclear positions, enriching regioselectivity information. Then, f_k^{\pm} values are obtained by integrating $f^{\pm}(\vec{r})$ into the basins, Ω_k ,

$$f_k^{\pm} = \int_{\Omega_k} f^{\pm}(\vec{r}) d\vec{r} \tag{9}$$

Scheme 1 shows the different steps that make up the method proposed here, using an acid and a base of Lewis, BH₃, and NH₃ as benchmark molecules. In step I, the electrophilic (nucleophilic) species are identified, according to their most (least) negative value of the chemical potential (μ). Then, in

step II, the corresponding FF's are calculated (f^+ or f) according to Eqs. 7 and 8, and their basins, attractors and condensed values are obtained from topological analysis (Eq. 9). The condensed values are assigned to each attractor, which are defined by their spatial coordinates, as are the atomic nuclei. In this way, when the assembly unit is moved (in step III) the attractors also move maintaining their connectivity. In step III, the different conformations are randomly generated. This is done by defining a cubic space whose side is equal to the sum of the sizes of the assembly units. Then, the assembly units are placed in the center of the box (according to their mass center) and are randomly rotated. Subsequently, they are displaced along random trajectories towards the walls of the box (our implementation of the Kick method^{82,83}). Then, the connectivity of the resulting structures is analyzed, discarding those with overlapping covalent radii between neighboring atoms of the two reactive species. The Kick procedure and connectivity analysis process is repeated until a desired number of conformations are obtained. Finally, in step IV, the best assemblies, according to their maximum J_f value, are optimized using a gradient method and DFT calculations. This final step is the most expensive computationally, so it is convenient for the fewest possible candidates to be optimized and that the initial conformations are as close as possible to the optimized structure. J_f will have an intrinsic limitation in this last point. Some assemblies can suffer substantial geometrical and electronic changes trough the optimization process.



Scheme 1.- Schematic representation of the PES exploration procedure.

Identifying effective reagent basins. One of the problems in simplifying Eq. 1 as Eq. 2 is that we lose relevant information about the overlapping of the interacting FFs (effective reactive regions). To

recover this, we propose a simple approach to select reactive attractors as illustrated in Scheme 2 (building of Si₁₂ from fragments Si₃ and Si₉). First, the centers of mass of the attractors (in this assumption mass of each attractor is its condensed value) are connected by a line of distance "d" (2). Next, a sphere of radius 0.5*d* is projected, centered in the middle of the connecting line (3). Thus, attractors within the sphere are considered interacting ones, and the others are discarded for J_f 's calculation (4 and 5).



Scheme 2. Selection of the reactive basins for f_A^+ and f_D^- interactions. The fragments correspond to the Si₃ and Si₉ clusters to form Si₁₂.

Geometry optimization and Similarity check algorithm. After geometric optimizations, several starting structures can converge to the same minimum, which is why our proposal involves a similarity analysis. This is performed by evaluating Eq. 10,⁸⁴ which is a modification of Grigoryan and Springborg's proposal: ^{85–87}

$$d^{\aleph}(\alpha,\beta) = \left[\frac{2}{N(N-1)}\sum_{n=1}^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} \left(\frac{d_{n}^{\alpha}}{D_{ave}^{\alpha}} - \frac{d_{n}^{\beta}}{D_{ave}^{\beta}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1/2}$$
(10)

Where $d^{\aleph}(\alpha,\beta)$ is a non-dimensional quantity, N is the number of the atoms in the system, $d_n^{\alpha}(d_n^{\beta})$ and $D_{ave}^{\alpha}(D_{ave}^{\beta})$ are the ordered interatomic distances (shortest to longest) and the average bond length between the atoms of the two systems under comparison (α and β), respectively. Our group has shown that this similarity algorithm is very useful in exploring the PES of clusters and molecules.^{35,82,88}

Computational Details

The chemical potential (μ) and the Fukui function $f^{\pm}(\vec{r})$ of the assembly units were calculated at PBE0⁸⁹/Def2-TZVP⁹⁰ level, the wave functions were computed with Gaussian 09 program⁹¹ and the topological analysis of the FF was performed with the Multiwfn 3.3.6 software.⁹² To facilitate these calculations the TAFF pipeline,⁷⁷ previously developed by our group, is used. TAFF automates the calculations of the topological analysis of the FF, which consists of using the wave function from the *.fchk files (Gaussian 09 program⁹¹). Then, the topological analysis is performed on the density of the frontier orbitals (Multiwfn 3.3.6 software⁹²). TAFF provides a summary file with the atomic coordinates, the coordinates of the attractors that characterize each basin, and their respective condensed values.

Subsequently, the Kick process⁴⁹ was performed, and the best configurations (structures) were chosen according to their maximum J_f values (Eq.2). Then, these selected structures were optimized at PBE0/SDDALL^{93,94} level and subsequently reoptimized at PBE0/Def2-TZVP⁹⁰ level (with Gaussian 09 program⁹¹). Vibrational frequency calculations were done at the same level to confirm that the reported structures are true minima on the PES.

Results and Discussion

To evaluate the performance of our proposal, we have evaluated several systems whose minimum energy structures are well identified in the literature.^{61,66–72} We used the Kick process to generate 1000 initial conformations. The 10 structures with the maximum value of J_f were selected and optimized. The most stable structure (at PBE0/Def2-TZVP level) has been selected to compare with the global minimum (GM) reported in the literature.

The assembly units used correspond to the lowest energy (GM) structures taken from the literature. However, this is not restrictive; the use of local minima as assembly units can enrich the exploration of the PES.^{61,95} The systems studied can be classified into two groups: 1) those where the initial (reactive) fragments maintain their (structural) identity in the products and 2) systems where the shapes of the initial fragments change significantly within the products. It is expected that the method will perform better in the former since the FF prediction should be more effective in identifying the important regions on the PESs, due to the significant rearrangement of the nuclei and the electron density in the second group.

Group 1. In this group, we have studied $(H_2O)_n$ molecular clusters (n=2-5). For the PES exploration we considers the interaction between $(H_2O)_{n-1}$ and H_2O units. It should be noted that the study was performed from the smallest cluster $[(H_2O)_2]$ to the largest one, allowing us to obtain the fragments

to be used in the search for the next cluster. As reported in Figure 2, in all the cases analyzed, we have identified the GM in agreement with previous results reported in the literature.⁶⁶⁻⁶⁸



Figure 2. GM structures obtained by the *Kick-Fukui* algorithm for the water clusters, (H₂O)_n (n=2-5).

In the group 1, we have also searched the GM structures of Si_nAu^+ systems. For the PES exploration we consider the Si_n GM structures as the nucleophilic and the Au^+ cation as the electrophilic fragment. As shown in Figure 3, we were able to identify the GM structures⁶⁹ in all cases.



Figure 3. GM structures obtained by the *Kick-Fukui* algorithm for the Si_nAu^+ (n=2-11,14,15) clusters.

Finally, we have explored the PES of the tetrasubstituted neutral cluster [Ge₉{Si(SiMe₃)₃}₃{SnPh₃}] synthesized experimentally from the reaction between the trisubstituted [Ge₉{Si(SiMe₃)₃}₃]⁻ species with the triphenyltin chloride, Ph₃SnCl.⁷¹ In our exploration we have used as reagents the species [Ge₉{Si(SiMe₃)₃}₃]⁻ (nucleophile) and Ph₃Sn⁺ (electrophile). As shown in Figure 4, the GM is correctly identified. Additionally, several of the predicted structures chosen by J_f (translucent images in the Figure) converge to the GM after the optimization process.



Figure 4. Candidate structures generated by the *Kick-Fukui* algorithm (translucent structures) and the GM structure identified after optimization.

Group 2. In this group, we have explored the PES of small silicon clusters, Si_n (n=12-20), from various possible combinations (see Table S1 and Table S2 in the ESI). As shown in Figure 5, we fail to identify the GM in Si₁₅, Si₁₈, and Si₁₉ clusters. The lowest energy structures identified are at 8.6, 14.6, and 2.8 kcal.mol⁻¹ above the GM, respectively. Nonetheless, it is worth highlighting that we have identified a better putative GM for Si₁₇, our candidate is 1.8 kcal.mol⁻¹ more stable that the one reported in the literature.⁷⁰ In general, although some GMs are not identified in these series, good local minima are identified, which could be good starting populations to evolve with other methods, such as genetic algorithms.⁶¹ The Cartesian coordinates of the identified lowest energy structures are shown in Table S3.



Figure 5. Final structures obtained from the *Kick-Fukui* algorithm for the Si_n (n=12-20) cluster. The structures reported are GM (green), lowest isomers but not GM (red) and better putative GM than those reported in the literature (yellow).

As evidenced by the results shown above, our methodology effectively identifies the GM of the species studied. Nevertheless, some questions arise that we will discuss below: How much does the FF help explore the PES? In addition to the GM, are other relevant minima identified by this

procedure? To answer these questions, we have chosen to analyze in detail the search of the Si_{12} system. Because, as already mentioned, Si_n clusters are particularly challenging given the drastic structural changes of the initial fragments when optimizing the product. In our approach, what is novel is the use of FF to pre-select candidates from many candidates (an initial population of 1000 individuals). That is, a strategy to identify relevant positions on the PES. However, the structure generation method (Kick) already has certain restrictions that allow us to have good candidates from the beginning, like the size of the box and the connectivity analysis between neighboring atoms when joining fragments. Thus, the challenge of FF is to help in identify conformations that, when optimized, converge to the best minima and ideally to the global minimum.

Furthermore, the fitness criterion is the energy. Therefore, it is expected that conformations with lower energy will converge to the best minima. The latter is the justification for using J_f as a descriptor of this energy. A simple way to assess the help provided by FF is shown in the boxplots in Figure 6, where a random selection of individuals is compared with a selection guided by the J_f value. For this analysis, we consider the interaction between Si₉ and Si₃ building units. In agreement with our hypothesis, the population chosen with the FF is of lower energy than the one randomly chosen. Therefore, it converges to better minima, including the GM. This improved selection is shown more clearly when we reduce the population from 40 to 20 individuals. Also, the structures selected according to their J_f value converge to the lowest energy isomers when optimized, as shown the boxplot distribution in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Boxplots showing the energy distribution of Si_{12} candidates. Comparison between *Stochastic* and J_{Max} guided selection of individuals. An initial population of 1000 individuals were generated by the Kick procedure using the Si₉ and Si₃ fragments. A selection of 40 (above) and 20 (below) individuals was considered for this comparison. Box plots white and grey correspond to single point energy and geometry optimized energy of the selected individuals.

Conclusions and Perspectives

Herein, a hybrid method is introduced to explore the potential energy surface (PES) of atomic and molecular clusters that combines a stochastic process with the Coulombic integral between the Fukui functions of the fragments to make an initial optimal candidates' selection. The method's performance has been assessed in search of different clusters; in almost all of them, the GM and other relevant minima are identified. Only in the case of in silico clusters, some GMs are not identified; however, good minima are identified that could be used as the initial population of evolutionary strategies.

This work opens a new direction for better and faster PES exploration. Nevertheless, several perspectives exist to improve the algorithm. It is important to be aware of changes during the optimization process. This is sketched in Figure 7, for two initial conformations of Si₃ and Si₉ to produce Si₁₂ cluster. We see that the conformation with the lower value of J_f can converge to a better minimum after the optimization process. These results agree with what the boxplots show (Figure 6), where there is a more extensive spread between the preliminary structures concerning the optimized structures.

In addition, J_f is only one component of the interaction energy derived by perturbation theory;⁵⁹ there are other parameters that could be considered. These other terms could improve the relationship between this descriptor and the interaction energy of the fragments. This work is under development.



Figure 7.- A schematic diagram illustrating the relation between J_f value and energy on onedimensional potential energy surface. Illustrated example for the Si₁₂ cluster, showing the local minimum (left) and global minimum (right), with an energy difference of 8.1 kcal·mol⁻¹.

Data and Software Availability

The TAFF (Topological Analysis of the Fukui Function) pipeline was used to perform the Fukui function's topological analysis and, it is available in <u>https://github.com/HumanOsv/TAFF</u>. The initial population was built using the SnippetKick code, which is our Kick method implementation, and it is available in <u>https://github.com/HumanOsv/SnippetKick</u>.

Acknowledgements

We thank the financial support of National Agency for Research and Development (ANID) through ECOS170045, FONDECYT projects 1211128 (W.T.), 1181121 (C.C.), 11190561 (W. R.-L.), FONDECYT Postdoctorado 3210037 (R.B.-G.), FONDECYT Postdoctorado 3180119 (R.P.-R.), ANID/PIA ACT192144 (O.Y.) and Scholarship Program/BECAS DOCTORADO NACIONAL/2019–21190427 (D.I.). Powered@NLHPC: This research was partially supported by the supercomputing infrastructure of the NLHPC (ECM-02) of the Universidad de Chile. C.C. acknowledges Center for the Development of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology CEDENNA AFB180001.

Associated Content

Supporting information available:

Equation S1. The total interaction energy between an electron donor and electron acceptor species from detailed density functional perturbation theory analysis.

Table S1. The chemical potential and cartesian coordinates of the small silicon clusters (used as building blocks).

Table S2. All evaluated combinations of different size building blocks (in the PES exploration).

Table S3. Structures and cartesian coordinates of the minimum energy structures for Sin (n=12-20) clusters.

References of the supporting information.

References

- (1) Parr, R. G.; Yang, W. Density-Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules Oxford Univ. *Press. New York* **1989**.
- (2) Parr, R. G.; Yang, W. Density-Functional Theory of the Electronic Structure of Molecules. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **1995**, *46* (1), 701–728.
- (3) Chermette, H. Chemical Reactivity Indexes in Density Functional Theory. J. Comput. Chem. **1999**, 20 (1), 129–154.
- (4) Gázquez, J. L. Perspectives on the Density Functional Theory of Chemical Reactivity. *J. Mex. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *52* (1), 3–10.
- (5) Liu, S.-B. Conceptual Density Functional Theory and Some Recent Developments. *Acta Physico-Chimica Sin.* **2009**, *25* (3), 590–600.
- (6) Geerlings, P.; De Proft, F.; Langenaeker, W. Conceptual Density Functional Theory. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103* (5), 1793–1874.
- (7) Fuentealba, P.; Cárdenas, C. Density Functional Theory of Chemical Reactivity. In *Chemical Modelling: Volume 11*; The Royal Society of Chemistry, 2015; Vol. 11, pp 151–174. https://doi.org/10.1039/9781782620112-00151.
- (8) Geerlings, P.; Fias, S.; Boisdenghien, Z.; De Proft, F. Conceptual DFT: Chemistry from the Linear Response Function. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43* (14), 4989–5008.
- (9) Geerlings, P.; De Proft, F. Conceptual DFT: The Chemical Relevance of Higher Response Functions. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *10* (21), 3028–3042.
- (10) Geerlings, P.; Ayers, P. W.; Toro-Labbé, A.; Chattaraj, P. K.; De Proft, F. The Woodward– Hoffmann Rules Reinterpreted by Conceptual Density Functional Theory. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45 (5), 683–695.
- (11) Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Hardness, Softness, and the Fukui Function in the Electronic Theory of Metals and Catalysis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **1985**, 82 (20), 6723–6726.
- (12) Roos, G.; Geerlings, P.; Messens, J. Enzymatic Catalysis: The Emerging Role of Conceptual Density Functional Theory. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2009**, *113* (41), 13465–13475.
- (13) Sarkar, U.; Roy, D. R.; Chattaraj, P. K.; Parthasarathi, R.; Padmanabhan, J.; Subramanian, V. A Conceptual DFT Approach towards Analysing Toxicity. J. Chem. Sci. 2005, 117 (5), 599–612.
- (14) Cárdenas, C.; De Proft, F.; Chamorro, E.; Fuentealba, P.; Geerlings, P. Theoretical Study of the Surface Reactivity of Alkaline Earth Oxides: Local Density of States Evaluation of the Local Softness. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *128* (3), 34708.
- (15) Cerón, M. L.; Gomez, T.; Calatayud, M.; Cárdenas, C. Computing the Fukui Function in Solid-State Chemistry: Application to Alkaline Earth Oxides Bulk and Surfaces. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2020**, *124* (14), 2826–2833.
- (16) Woodward, R. B.; Hoffmann, R. Selection Rules for Sigmatropic Reactions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87 (11), 2511–2513.
- (17) Hoffmann, R.; Woodward, R. B. Selection Rules for Concerted Cycloaddition Reactions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1965**, 87 (9), 2046–2048.
- (18) Woodward, R. B.; Hoffmann, R. Stereochemistry of Electrocyclic Reactions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1965**, 87 (2), 395–397.
- (19) Geerlings, P.; Chamorro, E.; Chattaraj, P. K.; De Proft, F.; Gázquez, J. L.; Liu, S.; Morell, C.; Toro-Labbé, A.; Vela, A.; Ayers, P. Conceptual Density Functional Theory: Status, Prospects, Issues. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2020**, *139* (2), 1–18.
- (20) Baletto, F.; Ferrando, R. Structural Properties of Nanoclusters: Energetic, Thermodynamic, and Kinetic Effects. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **2005**, 77 (1), 371–423. https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.77.371.
- (21) Ferrando, R.; Jellinek, J.; Johnston, R. L. Nanoalloys: From Theory to Applications of Alloy

Clusters and Nanoparticles. *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108* (3), 845–910. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr040090g.

- (22) Metropolis, N.; Ulam, S. The Monte Carlo Method. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. **1949**, 44 (247), 335–341.
- (23) Metropolis, N.; Ulam, S. The Monte Carlo Method. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. **1949**, 44 (247), 335–341.
- (24) Kirkpatrick, S.; Gelatt, C. D.; Vecchi, M. P. Optimization by Simmulated Annealing. *Science* (80-.). **1983**, 220 (4598), 671–680.
- (25) Nayeem, A.; Vila, J.; Scheraga, H. A. A Comparative Study of the Simulated-annealing and Monte Carlo-with-minimization Approaches to the Minimum-energy Structures of Polypeptides:[Met]-enkephalin. J. Comput. Chem. **1991**, *12* (5), 594–605.
- (26) Vanderbilt, D.; Louie, S. G. A Monte Carlo Simulated Annealing Approach to Optimization over Continuous Variables. *J. Comput. Phys.* **1984**, *56* (2), 259–271.
- (27) Van Laarhoven, P. J. M.; Aarts, E. H. L. Simulated Annealing. In *Simulated annealing: Theory and applications*; Springer, 1987; pp 7–15.
- (28) Davis, L. Handbook of Genetic Algorithms. 1991.
- (29) Hartke, B. Global Geometry Optimization of Clusters Using Genetic Algorithms. J. Phys. Chem. 1993, 97 (39), 9973–9976.
- (30) Davis, J. B. A.; Shayeghi, A.; Horswell, S. L.; Johnston, R. L. The Birmingham Parallel Genetic Algorithm and Its Application to the Direct DFT Global Optimisation of Ir N (N=10– 20) Clusters. *Nanoscale* 2015, 7 (33), 14032–14038.
- (31) Patra, T. K.; Meenakshisundaram, V.; Hung, J.-H.; Simmons, D. S. Neural-Network-Biased Genetic Algorithms for Materials Design: Evolutionary Algorithms That Learn. *ACS Comb. Sci.* **2017**, *19* (2), 96–107.
- (32) Johnston, R. L.; Mortimer-Jones, T. V; Roberts, C.; Darby, S.; Manby, F. R. Application of Genetic Algorithms in Nanoscience: Cluster Geometry Optimization. In *Workshops on Applications of Evolutionary Computation*; Springer, 2002; Vol. 2279, pp 92–101.
- (33) Alexandrova, A. N.; Boldyrev, A. I.; Fu, Y.-J.; Yang, X.; Wang, X.-B.; Wang, L.-S. Structure of the NaxClx+1- (x =1-4) Clusters via Ab Initio Genetic Algorithm and Photoelectron Spectroscopy. J. Chem. Phys. 2004, 121 (12), 5709–5719. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1783276.
- (34) Johnston, R. L. Evolving Better Nanoparticles: Genetic Algorithms for Optimising Cluster Geometries. *Dalt. Trans.* **2003**, No. 22, 4193–4207.
- (35) Yañez, O.; Báez-Grez, R.; Inostroza, D.; Rabanal-León, W. A.; Pino-Rios, R.; Garza, J.; Tiznado, W. AUTOMATON: A Program That Combines a Probabilistic Cellular Automata and a Genetic Algorithm for Global Minimum Search of Clusters and Molecules. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2019, 15 (2), 1463–1475.
- (36) Rabanal-León, W. A.; Tiznado, W.; Osorio, E.; Ferraro, F. Exploring the Potential Energy Surface of Small Lead Clusters Using the Gradient Embedded Genetic Algorithm and an Adequate Treatment of Relativistic Effects. *RSC Adv.* **2018**, 8 (1), 145–152. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7RA11449D.
- (37) Eberhart, R.; Kennedy, J. A New Optimizer Using Particle Swarm Theory. In *Micro Machine and Human Science, 1995. MHS'95., Proceedings of the Sixth International Symposium on*; IEEE, 1995; pp 39–43.
- (38) Poli, R.; Kennedy, J.; Blackwell, T. Particle Swarm Optimization. *Swarm Intell.* **2007**, *1* (1), 33–57.
- (39) Zhan, Z.-H.; Zhang, J.; Li, Y.; Chung, H. S.-H. Adaptive Particle Swarm Optimization. *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man, Cybern. Part B* 2009, *39* (6), 1362–1381.
- (40) Call, S. T.; Zubarev, D. Y.; Boldyrev, A. I. Global Minimum Structure Searches via Particle Swarm Optimization. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2007**, *28* (7), 1177–1186.
- (41) Li, Z.; Scheraga, H. A. Monte Carlo-Minimization Approach to the Multiple-Minima Problem in Protein Folding. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **1987**, *84* (19), 6611–6615.

- (42) Wales, D. J.; Doye, J. P. K. Global Optimization by Basin-Hopping and the Lowest Energy Structures of Lennard-Jones Clusters Containing up to 110 Atoms. J. Phys. Chem. A 1997, 101 (28), 5111–5116. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp970984n.
- (43) White, R. P.; Mayne, H. R. An Investigation of Two Approaches to Basin Hopping Minimization for Atomic and Molecular Clusters. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1998**, 289 (5–6), 463– 468.
- (44) Liberti, L.; Maculan, N. *Global Optimization: From Theory to Implementation*; Springer Science & Business Media, 2006; Vol. 84. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-30528-9.
- (45) Zhao, Y.; Chen, X.; Li, J. TGMin: A Global-Minimum Structure Search Program Based on a Constrained Basin-Hopping Algorithm. *Nano Res.* **2017**, *10* (10), 3407–3420.
- (46) Saunders, M. Stochastic Exploration of Molecular Mechanics Energy Surfaces. Hunting for the Global Minimum. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109* (10), 3150–3152.
- (47) Bera, P. P.; Schleyer, P. v R.; Schaefer III, H. F. Periodane: A Wealth of Structural Possibilities Revealed by the Kick Procedure. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **2007**, *107* (12), 2220–2223.
- (48) Averkiev, B. Geometry and Electronic Structure of Doped Clusters via the Coalescence Kick Method, Utah State University, 2009.
- (49) Metha, G. F.; Addicoat, M. A. Kick: Constraining a Stochastic Search Procedure with Molecullar Fragments. J. Comput. Chem. 2009, 30 (1), 57–64. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21026.
- (50) Zhai, H.; Ha, M.-A. A.; Alexandrova, A. N. AFFCK: Adaptive Force-Field-Assisted Ab Initio Coalescence Kick Method for Global Minimum Search. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2015, 11
 (5), 2385–2393. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.5b00065.
- (51) Heiles, S.; Johnston, R. L. Global Optimization of Clusters Using Electronic Structure Methods. *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **2013**, *113* (18), 2091–2109.
- (52) Zhang, J.; Dolg, M. Global Optimization of Clusters of Rigid Molecules Using the Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *18* (4), 3003–3010.
- (53) Jackson, K. A.; Horoi, M.; Chaudhuri, I.; Frauenheim, T.; Shvartsburg, A. A. Unraveling the Shape Transformation in Silicon Clusters. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2004**, *93* (1), 13401.
- (54) Lewis, G. N. THE ATOM AND THE MOLECULE. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1916**, 38 (4), 762–785. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja02261a002.
- (55) TSUCHIDA, R. A New Simple Theory of Valency. *Nippon KAGAKU KAISHI* **1939**, *60* (3), 245–256. https://doi.org/10.1246/nikkashi1921.60.245.
- (56) Sidgwick, N. V.; Powell, H. M. Bakerian Lecture. Stereochemical Types and Valency Groups. *Proc. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **1940**, *176* (965), 153–180. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1940.0084.
- (57) Gillespie, R. J. The Electron-Pair Repulsion Model for Molecular Geometry. J. Chem. Educ. **1970**, 47 (1), 18. https://doi.org/10.1021/ed047p18.
- (58) Parr, R. G.; Yang, W. Density Functional Approach to the Frontier-Electron Theory of Chemical Reactivity. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1984**, 106 (14), 4049–4050.
- (59) Berkowitz, M. Density Functional Approach to Frontier Controlled Reactions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1987**, 109 (16), 4823–4825.
- (60) Osorio, E.; Ferraro, M. B.; Ona, O. B.; Cardenas, C.; Fuentealba, P.; Tiznado, W. Assembling Small Silicon Clusters Using Criteria of Maximum Matching of the Fukui Functions. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2011, 7 (12), 3995–4001.
- (61) Yañez, O.; Vásquez-Espinal, A.; Inostroza, D.; Ruiz, L.; Pino-Rios, R.; Tiznado, W. A Fukui Function-guided Genetic Algorithm. Assessment on Structural Prediction of Sin (N= 12–20) Clusters. J. Comput. Chem. 2017, 38, 1668–1677.
- (62) Grillo, I. B.; Urquiza-Carvalho, G. A.; Bachega, J. F. R.; Rocha, G. B. Elucidating Enzymatic Catalysis Using Fast Quantum Chemical Descriptors. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 2020, 60 (2), 578– 591.

- (63) Yang, W.; Mortier, W. J. The Use of Global and Local Molecular Parameters for the Analysis of the Gas-Phase Basicity of Amines. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1986**, 108 (19), 5708–5711.
- (64) Contreras, R. R.; Fuentealba, P.; Galván, M.; Pérez, P. A Direct Evaluation of Regional Fukui Functions in Molecules. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1999**, *304* (5–6), 405–413.
- (65) Fuentealba, P.; Pérez, P.; Contreras, R. On the Condensed Fukui Function. J. Chem. Phys. 2000, 113 (7), 2544–2551.
- (66) James, T.; Wales, D. J.; Hernández-Rojas, J. Global Minima for Water Clusters (H2O) n, N≤ 21, Described by a Five-Site Empirical Potential. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2005, 415 (4–6), 302–307.
- (67) Maheshwary, S.; Patel, N.; Sathyamurthy, N.; Kulkarni, A. D.; Gadre, S. R. Structure and Stability of Water Clusters (H2O) n, N= 8– 20: An Ab Initio Investigation. J. Phys. Chem. A 2001, 105 (46), 10525–10537.
- (68) Wales, D. J.; Hodges, M. P. Global Minima of Water Clusters (H2O) n, N≤21, Described by an Empirical Potential. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1998**, 286 (1–2), 65–72.
- (69) Li, Y.; Lyon, J. T.; Woodham, A. P.; Lievens, P.; Fielicke, A.; Janssens, E. Structural Identification of Gold-Doped Silicon Clusters via Far-Infrared Spectroscopy. J. Phys. Chem. C 2015, 119 (20), 10896–10903.
- (70) Goedecker, S.; Hellmann, W.; Lenosky, T. Global Minimum Determination of the Born-Oppenheimer Surface within Density Functional Theory. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2005**, *95* (5), 55501.
- (71) Li, F.; Muñoz-Castro, A.; Sevov, S. C. [Ge9 {Si (SiMe3) 3} 3 {SnPh3}]: A Tetrasubstituted and Neutral Deltahedral Nine-Atom Cluster. *Angew. Chemie* **2012**, *124* (34), 8709–8712.
- (72) Ho, K.-M.; Shvartsburg, A. A.; Pan, B.; Lu, Z.-Y.; Wang, C.-Z.; Wacker, J. G.; Fye, J. L.; Jarrold, M. F. Structures of Medium-Sized Silicon Clusters. *Nature* **1998**, *392* (6676), 582– 585.
- (73) von Szentpály, L.; Kaya, S.; Karakuş, N. Why and When Is Electrophilicity Minimized? New Theorems and Guiding Rules. J. Phys. Chem. A **2020**, 124 (51), 10897–10908.
- (74) Stuyver, T.; De Proft, F.; Geerlings, P.; Shaik, S. How Do Local Reactivity Descriptors Shape the Potential Energy Surface Associated with Chemical Reactions? The Valence Bond Delocalization Perspective. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142* (22), 10102–10113.
- (75) Sánchez-Márquez, J.; García, V.; Zorrilla, D.; Fernández, M. On Electronegativity, Hardness, and Reactivity Descriptors: A New Property-Oriented Basis Set. J. Phys. Chem. A 2020, 124 (23), 4700–4711.
- (76) Grillo, I. B.; Urquiza-Carvalho, G. A.; Chaves, E. J. F.; Rocha, G. B. Semiempirical Methods Do Fukui Functions: Unlocking a Modeling Framework for Biosystems. J. Comput. Chem. 2020, 41 (9), 862–873.
- (77) Pino-Rios, R.; Yañez, O.; Inostroza, D.; Ruiz, L.; Cardenas, C.; Fuentealba, P.; Tiznado, W. Proposal of a Simple and Effective Local Reactivity Descriptor through a Topological Analysis of an Orbital-weighted Fukui Function. J. Comput. Chem. 2017, 38 (8), 481–488.
- (78) Cárdenas, C.; Ayers, P. W.; Cedillo, A. Reactivity Indicators for Degenerate States in the Density-Functional Theoretic Chemical Reactivity Theory. J. Chem. Phys. 2011, 134 (17), 174103.
- (79) Fuentealba, P.; Cardenas, C.; Pino-Rios, R.; Tiznado, W. Topological Analysis of the Fukui Function BT - Applications of Topological Methods in Molecular Chemistry; Chauvin, R., Lepetit, C., Silvi, B., Alikhani, E., Eds.; Springer International Publishing: Cham, 2016; pp 227–241. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-29022-5_8.
- (80) Tiznado, W.; Chamorro, E.; Contreras, R.; Fuentealba, P. Comparison among Four Different Ways to Condense the Fukui Function. J. Phys. Chem. A 2005, 109 (14), 3220–3224.
- (81) Fuentealba, P.; Cardenas, C.; Pino-Rios, R.; Tiznado, W. Topological Analysis of the Fukui Function. In *Applications of Topological Methods in Molecular Chemistry*; Springer International Publishing, 2016; pp 227–241.
- (82) Yañez, O.; Garcia, V.; Garza, J.; Orellana, W.; Vásquez-Espinal, A.; Tiznado, W. (Li6Si5) 2-

5: The Smallest Cluster-Assembled Materials Based on Aromatic Si56– Rings. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25* (10), 2467–2471.

- Yañez, O.; García, V.; Garza, J.; Orellana, W.; Vásquez-Espinal, A.; Tiznado, W. (Li₆Si₅) 2-5: The Smallest Cluster-Assembled Materials Based on Aromatic Si₅⁶-Rings. *Chem. – A Eur. J.* 2018, 25 (10), 2467–2471.
- (84) Rogan, J.; Ramírez, M.; Varas, A.; Kiwi, M. How Relevant Is the Choice of Classical Potentials in Finding Minimal Energy Cluster Conformations? *Comput. Theor. Chem.* 2013, 1021, 155–163.
- (85) Grigoryan, V. G.; Alamanova, D.; Springborg, M. Structure and Energetics of Nickel, Copper, and Gold Clusters. *Eur. Phys. J. D-Atomic, Mol. Opt. Plasma Phys.* **2005**, *34* (1–3), 187–190.
- (86) Grigoryan, V. G.; Springborg, M. Structure and Energetics of Ni Clusters with up to 150 Atoms. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2003**, *375* (1–2), 219–226.
- (87) Grigoryan, V. ~G.; Springborg, M. Structural and Energetic Properties of Nickel Clusters: $2 \le N \le 150$. *Phys. Rev. B* **2004**, *70* (20), 205415. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.70.205415.
- (88) Yañez, O.; Inostroza, D.; Usuga-Acevedo, B.; Vásquez-Espinal, A.; Pino-Rios, R.; Tabilo-Sepulveda, M.; Garza, J.; Barroso, J.; Merino, G.; Tiznado, W. Evaluation of Restricted Probabilistic Cellular Automata on the Exploration of the Potential Energy Surface of Be 6 B 11–. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2020**, *139* (3), 41.
- (89) Adamo, C.; Barone, V. Toward Realiable Density Functional Methods Without Ajustable Parameters: The PBE0 Model. J. Chem. Phys. **1999**, 110 (13), 6158. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.478522.
- (90) Weigend, F.; Ahlrichs, R. Balanced Basis Sets of Split Valence, Triple Zeta Valence and Quadruple Zeta Valence Quality for H to Rn: Design and Assessment of Accuracy. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, 7 (18), 3297–3305.
- (91) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. Gaussian 09, Revision C.01. *Gaussian 09, Revision C.01, Gaussian 09, Revision C.01.*
- (92) Lu, T.; Chen, F. Multiwfn: A Multifunctional Wavefunction Analyzer. J. Comput. Chem. 2012, 33 (5), 580–592. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.22885.
- (93) Fuentealba, P.; Von Szentpaly, L.; Preuss, H.; Stoll, H. Pseudopotential Calculations for Alkaline-Earth Atoms. J. Phys. B At. Mol. Phys. 1985, 18 (7), 1287.
- (94) Bergner, A.; Dolg, M.; Küchle, W.; Stoll, H.; Preuß, H. Ab Initio Energy-Adjusted Pseudopotentials for Elements of Groups 13–17. *Mol. Phys.* **1993**, *80* (6), 1431–1441.
- (95) Tiznado, W.; Oña, O. B.; Bazterra, V. E.; Caputo, M. C.; Facelli, J. C.; Ferraro, M. B.; Fuentealba, P. Theoretical Study of the Adsorption of H on Sin Clusters,(N= 3–10). J. Chem. Phys. 2005, 123 (21), 214302.

