Coalescence times, life history traits and conservation concerns: An example from four coastal shark species from the Indo-Pacific

Pierre Lesturgie, Serge Planes, Stefano Mona

► To cite this version:

Pierre Lesturgie, Serge Planes, Stefano Mona. Coalescence times, life history traits and conservation concerns: An example from four coastal shark species from the Indo-Pacific. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 2021, 10.1111/1755-0998.13487 . hal-03359531

HAL Id: hal-03359531

<https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03359531>

Submitted on 30 Sep 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

MOLECULAR ECOLOGY RESOURCES

Coalescence times, life history traits and conservation concerns: an example from four coastal shark species from the Indo-Pacific

Journal:	<i>Molecular Ecology Resources</i>
Manuscript ID	MER-21-0182.R1
Manuscript Type:	Resource Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	27-Jul-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Lesturgie, Pierre; Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Origines et Evolution Planes, Serge; Université de Perpignan, UMR 5244 CNRS-EPHE-UPVD; CRILOBE, UMS 2978 Mona, Stefano; Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Origines et Evolution; EPHE PSL
Keywords:	Coalescence, Population Genomics, Meta-Population, Sharks, Life History Traits

1   **Coalescence times, life history traits and conservation concerns: an example from four**
2   **coastal shark species from the Indo-Pacific**

3

4   Running title: Coalescence times and conservation concerns.

5

6

7   Pierre Lesturgie¹, Serge Planes^{2,4}, Stefano Mona^{1,2,3,*}

8

9   ¹ Institut de Systématique, Evolution, Biodiversité, ISYEB (UMR 7205), Muséum National
10   d'Histoire Naturelle, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, EPHE, Université des Antilles, Paris,
11   France.

12   ² EPHE, PSL Research University, Paris, France.

13   ³ Laboratoire d'Excellence CORAIL, Papetoai, French Polynesia.

14   ⁴ PSL Research University: EPHE-UPVD-CNRS, USR 3278 CRIOBE, Université de
15   Perpignan, 52 Avenue Paul Alduy, 66860, Perpignan, Cedex, France

16

17

18   * Corresponding author. E-mail : stefano.mona@mnhn.fr

19 Abstract

20 Dispersal abilities play a crucial role in shaping the extent of population genetic structure, with
21 more mobile species being panmictic over large geographic ranges and less mobile ones
22 organized in meta-populations exchanging migrants to different degrees. In turn, population
23 structure directly influences the coalescence pattern of the sampled lineages, but the
24 consequences on the estimated variation of the effective population size (N_e) over time obtained
25 by means of *unstructured* demographic models remain poorly understood. However, this
26 knowledge is crucial for biologically interpreting the observed N_e trajectory and further
27 devising conservation strategies in endangered species. Here we investigated the demographic
28 history of four shark species (*Carharhinus melanopterus*, *Carharhinus limbatus*, *Carharhinus*
29 *amblyrhynchos*, *Galeocerdo cuvier*) with different degrees of endangered status and life history
30 traits related to dispersal distributed in the Indo-Pacific and sampled off New Caledonia. We
31 compared several evolutionary scenarios representing both *structured* (meta-population) and
32 *unstructured* models and then inferred the N_e variation through time. By performing extensive
33 coalescent simulations, we provided a general framework relating the underlying population
34 structure and the observed N_e dynamics. On this basis, we concluded that the recent decline
35 observed in three out of the four considered species when assuming *unstructured* demographic
36 models can be explained by the presence of population structure. Furthermore, we also
37 demonstrated the limits of the inferences based on the sole site frequency spectrum and warn
38 that statistics based on linkage disequilibrium will be needed to exclude recent demographic
39 events affecting meta-populations.

Introduction

Reconstructing the evolutionary history of a species is a challenging exercise only partially eased by the growing size of genetic data available. [Indeed](#), larger amounts of data will provide more precision but not more accuracy if the model(s) chosen to infer demographic parameters is distant from the true one. Species are dynamic entities whose geographic range has often changed in time [through](#) range expansions, contractions and shifts (Arenas, Ray, Currat, & Excoffier, 2012; Excoffier, Foll, & Petit, 2009; Mona, Ray, Arenas, & Excoffier, 2014). [As a consequence](#), [many](#) species are most likely organized in meta-populations (i.e. groups of demes or sub-populations exchanging migrants to some extent), even though the more vagile ones might be panmictic at a large scale (Corrigan et al., 2018; Karl et al., 2010). Neglecting the meta-population structure (i.e., performing demographic inferences under *unstructured* models) may lead to spurious inference of population size change (Chikhi, Sousa, Luisi, Goossens, & Beaumont, 2010; Maisano Delser et al., 2019, 2016; Mazet, Rodríguez, & Chikhi, 2015), which is particularly worrisome for species of conservation concern. Unfortunately, the link between the inferred temporal trajectory of the effective population size (N_e) and the real demographic history of the meta-population remains largely under explored. However, the role of connectivity, particularly the number of migrants N_m exchanged each generation and the migration matrix, has been put forward as a key actor in shaping the gene genealogy of lineages sampled from a deme belonging to a meta-population (Chikhi et al., 2010; Mona et al., 2014; Ray, Currat, & Excoffier, 2003; Städler, Haubold, Merino, Stephan, & Pfaffelhuber, 2009). Understanding the relations between meta-population structure, the inferred N_e variation under *unstructured* models, and species dispersal abilities, is crucial to correctly interpret the pattern of genetic variability and to establish conservation priorities. To search for general rules describing such relations, we followed an inductive approach investigating species: i) with large

distribution (which in principle should guarantee an organization in meta-populations); ii) with different life history traits (LHT) related to dispersal; iii) of conservation concerns. In this spirit, we selected for our genomic study four shark species (*Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos*, *Carcharhinus limbatus*, *Carcharhinus melanopterus*, and *Galeocerdo cuvier*) from New Caledonia. These species have a large and overlapping distribution in the Indo-Pacific (<https://sharksrays.org/>) and they differ for LHT features such as size (which is positively correlated with the capacity for long distance swimming and oceanic migration (Parsons, 1990)), residency pattern, and long-distance dispersal ability as measured by tagging data (Table S1). Moreover, the IUCN red list reported that the black-tip shark (*C. limbatus*) and the tiger shark (*G. cuvier*) are Near Threatened (with a decreasing trend in the tiger shark), the black-tip reef shark (*C. melanopterus*) is Vulnerable with decreasing trend, and the grey reef shark (*C. amblyrhynchos*) is Endangered with decreasing trend as well. We first compared several population genetics models by means of coalescent simulations coupled with an approximate Bayesian computation framework (Bertorelle, Benazzo, & Mona, 2010) to detect whether panmixia or a meta-population model best describe the genomic variation of each species. Then, we inferred the demographic parameters under the most likely model and applied the *stairwayplot*, which assumes a panmictic unstructured population (Liu & Fu, 2015), to detect the N_e variation through time in each species. We finally run extensive coalescent simulations under the tested meta-population models with parameters compatible to those observed in real data. The simulated datasets were in turn analysed with the *stairwayplot* to: i) help interpreting the observed data in the four shark species; ii) providing general coalescence arguments relating the demographic history of a meta-population and the reconstructed variation in N_e through time by means of *unstructured* models.

88 **Material & Methods**

89 **Sampling**

90 Eight specimens of tiger shark (*G. cuvier*), 13 black tip shark (*C. limbatus*), and 12 grey reef
91 shark (*C. amblyrhynchos*) were collected off New Caledonia. Total genomic DNA was
92 extracted from muscle tissue or fin clips, and preserved in 96% ethanol using QIAGEN DNeasy
93 Blood and Tissue purification kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's
94 protocols. Double-digest restriction-associated DNA (ddRAD) libraries were prepared
95 following Peterson, Weber, Kay, Fisher and Hoekstra (2012) using EcoRI and MspI restriction
96 enzymes and a 400-bp size selection. The genomic libraries obtained were sequenced with a
97 HiSeq 2500 Illumina sequencer (single-end, 125 bp). Exon capture data of eight *C.*
98 *melanopterus*) from New Caledonia (Maisano Delser et al., 2019) were included in this study
99 for comparative purposes.

100 ***De novo* assembly and data filtering (dd-RADseq samples)**

101 Raw reads were first demultiplexed and quality filtered through the *process_radtags.pl* pipeline
102 in *Stacks* v.2.5 (Rochette, Rivera-Colón, & Catchen, 2019). In the absence of a reference
103 genome for any of the three species, RAD-seq loci were *de novo* assembled independently in
104 each species under the *denovo_map.pl* pipeline in *Stacks*. We used the following assembly
105 parameters: $m=3$ (minimum read depth to create a stack), $M=4$ (number of mismatches allowed
106 between loci within individuals), and $n=4$ (number of mismatches allowed between loci within
107 catalogue). We found an average coverage per species of $\sim 10\times$ (see results). A consensus on
108 the threshold below which SNP calling may be considered unreliable is still lacking. However,
109 genotype free estimation of allele frequency is generally recommended with low to medium
110 coverage (Korneliussen, Albrechtsen, & Nielsen, 2014). This approach, implemented in the
111 software *Angsd* v.0.923 (Korneliussen et al., 2014), has been rarely applied to Rad-seq data

(however, see Warmuth and Ellegren (2019) for an exception) and, to our knowledge, never to Rad-seq data from non-model organisms, probably due to the need of a reference sequence for the software to work. Here, we followed the approach of Heller et al. (2021) and Khimoun et al. (2020) by creating an artificial reference sequence. First, we used the *population* script in *Stacks* to assemble loci present in at least 80% of the individuals (using the flag $r=0.8$); then, we concatenated the consensus sequences of the retrieved loci spaced by a stretch of 120 N (unknown) characters (the same length of the Rad-loci) to facilitate the subsequent mapping. Raw reads were then mapped back to the novel reference sequence by means of the *bwa-mem* algorithm with default parameters (Li & Durbin, 2009). Using custom bash scripts coupled with *Angsd*, we applied a number of filters to the aligned data and eliminated: i) sites with coverage <3 (*-minIndDepth=3* flag), ii) bad quality bases and poorly aligning reads (*-minQ* and *-minMapQ* and *-C* flags with default values); iii) poor quality sites based on the per base alignment quality (*-baq=1* flag); iv) SNPs in the last 5 bp of each locus; v) SNPs heterozygote in at least 80% of individuals; vi) loci with more than 5 SNPs that could potentially be paralogous; vii) sites with missing data by setting the *-minInd* flag to the total number of individuals retained in each species. The filtered dataset was then used to generate a site allele frequency likelihood file, with the genotype likelihoods computed with the SAMtools method (*-GL=1* flag), further optimised to compute a folded *site frequency spectrum* (SFS) with no missing data for downstream analyses. An alternative (and simpler) approach would have been to augment *m* to achieve an higher coverage (Paris, Stevens, & Catchen, 2017). However, beside the considerable loss in the number of assembled loci (and hence of retrieved SNPs), we found by extensive simulation of *in silico* Rad experiments that selecting high coverage loci biases the SFS towards low frequency variants (Mona, Bertorelle, Benazzo, in preparation).

The SFS for *C. melanopterus* was estimated directly from the high coverage exon-capture dataset of Maisano Delser et al. (2019).

Genetic diversity and demographic inferences

Nucleotide diversity (θ_π), θ_w (Watterson's theta, based on segregating sites (Watterson, 1975)) and Tajima's D (TD , (Tajima, 1989)) were computed from the SFS for each species with custom scripts. Significance of TD was evaluated after 1,000 coalescent simulations of a constant population model with scaled size θ_π . To test whether sampled demes are isolated or belong to a structured meta-population and to eventually estimate connectivity, we devised three alternative evolutionary models for each species (Figure 1) within an *approximate bayesian computation* (ABC) framework. Model NS (non-structured) defined an isolated population characterized by a modern effective population size (N_{MOD}) switching instantaneously into an ancestral population size (N_{ANC}) at T_c generations before present. Model FIM specifies a non-equilibrium finite island model defined by $d=100$ demes exchanging Nm migrants each generation under a symmetric migration matrix. The array of demes is instantaneously colonized T_{COL} generations before present from a population with an ancestral size (N_{ANC}). Model SST is similar to FIM but demes exchange migrants only with their four neighbours (or less, if they are at the border of the array), in a steppingstone fashion. We performed 50,000 coalescent simulations from prior distributions using *fastsimcoal* v.2.6.0.3 (Excoffier, Dupanloup, Huerta-Sánchez, Sousa, & Foll, 2013), reproducing the exact number of individuals and loci for each species (Table 1). We first performed model selection through the random forest (RF) classification method implemented in the abcRF R package (Pudlo et al., 2016). We then performed 50,000 additional simulations under the most supported model in order to estimate demographic parameters with the abcRF regression method (Raynal et al., 2019). Both model selection and parameter estimation were computed with the following set of summary

statistics: the SFS, θ_π , θ_w and TD . The first two axes of a Linear Discriminate Analysis performed on the previous statistics were also included for model selection in order to increase the accuracy of the estimates (Pudlo et al., 2016). Even though θ_π , θ_w and TD are function of the SFS, they convey additional information by the non-linear feature of the functions. Information redundancy among the considered summary statistics is accounted for by the RF algorithm. Model selection and parameter estimation were run twice on each set of simulations to check the consistency of the analyses, and cross validation (or confusion matrix for the model selection) was performed on the first of the two runs. The number of trees in each RF algorithm was chosen by monitoring the evolution of the out-of-bag error (Pudlo et al., 2016).

We investigated the variation in the effective population size (N_e) through time by running the composite likelihood approach implemented in the *stairwayplot* v.0.2 software (Liu & Fu, 2015). We set the generation time to seven years for *C. melanopterus* (Maisano Delser et al., 2016) and to 10 years for the other species (Cortés, 2002; Pirog et al., 2019) for all demographic inferences. We applied a mutation rate per generation per site of 8.4×10^{-9} to the exon capture data of *C. melanopterus* (Maisano Delser et al. 2016) and of 1.93×10^{-8} to the RADseq data for the remaining three species. This mutation rate was determined by scaling genetic diversity between ddRAD (obtained under the same protocol of this study) and Exon Capture data from 12 *C. melanopterus* individuals from Moorea, French Polynesia (Supplementary Material).

Simulation study

We ran coalescent simulations under FIM, SST and their modified version FIM-CH and SST-CH, where the Nm parameter is changed at T_{CH} generations B.P. (Figure 1), to first inspect the shape of the SFS and to further uncover the variation of N_e over time assuming a panmictic population by means of the *stairwayplot*. We investigated in total 288 demographic scenarios under the four meta-population models (Tables 2, S2, S3, S4, S5 and S6). Similarly to the

analyses performed on the real data, all scenarios were represented by $d=100$ demes exchanging migrants. We sampled 10 diploid individuals either from a randomly selected deme in the case of FIM/FIM-CH (since all demes have the same coalescence history) or from the central deme of the array in the case of SST/SST-CH (to avoid border effects). Deme size was fixed to $N_{DEME}=5000$ with m varying accordingly to obtain a long-term Nm of 1, 5, 10, and 15 in order to encompass the range of the estimated values (see results). T_{COL} was fixed to 5,000, 15,000 and 50,000 generations B.P. or to ∞ (i.e., equilibrium model), and the ancestral effective size was fixed to $N_{ANC}=50,000$. Change of connectivity occurred at $T_{CH}=10$ or 50 generations B.P., to mimic human induced effects due to overfishing and/or habitat modifications (i.e., climate changes). Looking forward in time, we modelled the change in connectivity by instantaneously decreasing m or N_{DEME} by a factor 10 or 100 with respect to the long-term Nm (Tables S3, S4, S5 and S6). For each combination of parameters, we performed 100 coalescent simulations of 50,000 Rad-like loci of 115 bp. Mutation rate per site per generations was set to 1.93×10^{-8} and the generation time to 10 years. We computed for each scenario (averaged over the 100 replicates): a) summary statistics (θ_π , θ_w , and TD); b) the normalised SFS as in (Lapierre, Lambert, & Achaz, 2017); c) the *stairwayplot*, to reconstruct the apparent variation of N_e through time. We note that the number of diploid individuals and simulated loci were chosen to be consistent with our data (preliminary analyses conducted on a subsample of 5,000 loci produced consistent results).

Results

Summary statistics (number of assembled loci, SNPs, genetic diversity and Tajima's D) are presented in Table 1. Mean coverage (and standard deviation) per sample was $9.02 (\pm 2.62)$, $7.93 (\pm 0.48)$, $8.39 (\pm 0.81)$ for *G. cuvier*, *C. limbatus* and *C. amblyrhynchos* respectively.

We compared the models NS, FIM, and SST (Figure 1) in the four species by means of an ABC-RF algorithm and estimated demographic parameters for the most supported model. After checking for the evolution of the out-of-bag error of the RF, model selection and parameter estimation were computed using respectively 500 and 1,000 trees in each species. We found that NS had the higher posterior probability ($p=0.84$) for *G. cuvier* (Tables 1 and S7). In contrast, demographic histories of the three other species were best described by SST, with a posterior probability ranging from 0.53 to 0.88 (Tables 1 and S7). The estimated median number of migrants per generation N_m was 1.8 (95% CI: 0.7-3.0) for *C. melanopterus*, 6.6 (95% CI: 1.5-15.4) for *C. limbatus*, and 11.5 (95% CI: 3.0-22.0) for *C. amblyrhynchos* (Figure 2, Table 1). The posterior distribution of N_m strongly differed from the prior distribution and showed a clear unimodal peak with small credible intervals, and low mean square error (SME) and mean root square error (SMRE) in all three species (Figure 2, Table S8, suggesting that these estimates are highly reliable. Conversely, both T_{COL} and N_{ANC} had larger SME and SMRE errors in all species (Table S8), but it was only in *C. melanopterus* where posterior and prior distribution could not be distinguished (Figure 2). T_{COL} has a clear unimodal distribution in *C. amblyrhynchos* but a more disperse one (and with wider credible intervals) in *C. limbatus* (Figure 2, Table 1).

The *stairwayplot* showed a nearly similar dynamic for *C. amblyrhynchos* and *C. limbatus*, characterized by a strong ancestral expansion (Figure 3). When approaching $T=0$, both species underwent a bottleneck but of distinct strength. This is consistent with the shape of the normalized SFS, which clearly shows a stronger deficit in low frequency variants for *C. limbatus* compared to *C. amblyrhynchos* (Figure 3). Similarly to *C. limbatus*, *C. melanopterus* experienced a recent 10-fold population collapse around 20,000 years B.P. starting from a long term constant N_e . However, *C. melanopterus* showed no signature of ancestral expansion,

231 consistent to the results obtained by Maisano Delser et al. (2019) using *abc-skyline* method.
 232 Finally, *G. cuvier* displayed an ancestral expansion around 100,000 years B.P. with N_e reaching
 233 $\sim 12,000$ before dropping to ~ 3000 at $T \sim 1,600$ years B.P. Remarkably, the ancestral expansion
 234 retrieved by the *stairwayplot* (Figure 3) for both *C. amblyrhynchos* and *C. limbatus* overlap
 235 with the posterior distribution of T_{COL} estimated by the SST model (Table 1). This analogy
 236 holds too for *C. melanopterus*, where T_{COL} could not be properly estimated under the structured
 237 model (we obtained a flat posterior distribution, Figure 2) and there was no signature of
 238 ancestral expansion in the *stairwayplot* (Figure 3).
 239 The first set of coalescent simulations was run under FIM and SST only (Table 2 and S2 to
 240 check if simulated data could reproduce the pattern of genetic variability (both θ estimators and
 241 TD) observed for *C. melanopterus*, *C. limbatus*, and *C. amblyrhynchos*. The simulated θ values
 242 (excluding the equilibrium model) ranged between 0.001 and 0.003 per site, in line with the
 243 observed values (Table 1 and 2). TD follows a U-shaped distribution for each N_m value as a
 244 function of T_{COL} , being more positive at recent T_{COL} and at equilibrium and less positive (or
 245 negative for higher N_m) at intermediate values. Therefore, species demography with $N_m \sim 10$
 246 (and higher) and T_{COL} within 15k and 50k generations B.P. will have negative TD values. In
 247 contrast, species with lower N_m and very recent or very ancient T_{COL} will have positive TD .
 248 This matches strikingly the TD observed for the three shark species and their estimated
 249 demographic parameters under SST (Table 1). We plot the normalized SFS and the *stairwayplot*
 250 for all scenarios presented in Table 2 (Figures 4, 5, S1, S2 and S3). First, we note that none of
 251 our scenarios, even those at equilibrium and with no variation in N_m through time, showed a
 252 normalized SFS compatible with a constant size population (Figures 4, 5, S1, S2 and S3). The
 253 normalized SFS and the reconstructed *stairwayplot* depend generally on the interaction between
 254 N_m and T_{COL} with a dynamic strikingly similar to TD (which is indeed a summary of the SFS).

For $Nm=1$ we observed the signature of a recent decrease in N_e for all scenarios and independently of T_{COL} (Figure 4). The normalized SFS showed consistently a strong deficit of low frequency variants, typical of a demographic bottleneck and in agreement with the positive TD (Figure 4 and Table 1). Furthermore, the *stairwayplot* could never detect the ancestral expansion for any T_{COL} . For growing Nm , the interplay with T_{COL} becomes more complex. A general result is that, once again, all scenarios were characterized by a recent decrease of N_e when looking at the *stairwayplot* and a deficit of singletons compared to the other low frequency classes when looking at the normalized SFS (Figures 5, S1 and S2). However, a strong signature of ancestral expansion appeared for $Nm > 10$ and T_{COL} between 15k and 50k generations B.P., mirroring the results of TD for which most of these scenarios displayed a negative value. Remarkably, the *stairwayplot* retrieved the ancestral expansion only slightly overestimating the simulated T_{COL} (Figures 5, S1 and S2). Similar results were obtained for FIM (Figures S4 and S5).

We compared SST vs SST-CH model (Figure 1) by means of the same ABC-RF model selection framework previously adopted. The two models cannot be clearly distinguished in any of the three structured species since: *i*) they showed similar posterior probability (~ 0.50); *ii*) the prior error rates are large ~ 0.40 (Table S9); *iii*) posterior distributions of Nm before and after T_{CH} are wide and largely overlapping (Table S10); *iv*) the normalized SFS closest to the observed data retrieved under the two models are very similar (Figure S6). We ran a second set of coalescent simulations focusing on the consequences of a recent change in connectivity on the observed SFS and the reconstructed *stairwayplot* (Table S3 and S4). The decrease in connectivity was simulated by reducing either m (the migration rate per generation) or N_{DEME} (the effective population size of each deme). As expected, we found a signature of recent population decline in all simulated scenarios, with its intensity only slightly affected by the

change in Nm (Figures 6, S7, S8 and S9). However, the drop in N_{DEME} (Figures 6 and S9) had larger effect compared to the drop in m (Figures S7 and S8) on both the normalized SFS and the expansion time estimated by the *stairwayplot*. In scenarios with 100x reduction in N_{DEME} , the *stairwayplot* could not retrieve the ancestral expansion even for large long-term Nm (Figure 6). FIM-CH models displayed a behaviour similar to SST-CH models but more pronounced (Figures S10, S11, S12 and S13, Table S5 and S6). While at $T_{CH} = 10$ a decrease in Nm slightly affected the SFS and the reconstructed *stairwayplot*, the consequence of the change in connectivity are more substantial at $T_{CH} = 50$, with a stronger deficit in singletons and a more pronounced recent decline in N_e particularly in scenarios with a 100-fold reduction of N_{DEME} (Figures S11 and S13).

Discussion

Life history traits and demographic history of the four shark species

Discriminating whether the most appropriate model to reconstruct the demographic history of a species is *structured* or *unstructured* should be the first step in empirical population genetics investigations, particularly when targeting species of conservation concerns. Even when an extensive spatial sampling is lacking, an ABC model selection approach can actually distinguish whether the sampled deme belongs or not to a meta-population (similarly to previous studies (Maisano Delser et al., 2019; Peter, Wegmann, & Excoffier, 2010)). Among the four species considered here, the tiger shark is the only panmictic. The three other species conversely are best described by the SST model, i.e., the sampled populations belong to a meta-population exchanging migrants following a stepping stone matrix. Our results reflect the tight link between the level of meta-population structure (or its absence) and life history traits. The

panmictic *G. cuvier* unsurprisingly can accomplish transoceanic movements and has the largest body size among the sharks here considered (Table S1). In the three other species, the estimated number of migrants (Nm) remarkably follows the increase of movement range (Table 1 and S1) and it is consistent with their behaviour and habitat use. Indeed, *C. melanopterus*, a strongly lagoon dependent species, displays the lowest level of connectivity among the studied species (Tables 1 and S1). These results bring meaningful hints about the influence of life history traits on population structure in sharks, but more studies addressing this topic will be needed to accurately detect which traits best predict its extent.

Gene genealogies in the four shark species and simulated scenarios

While it may seem counterintuitive to apply *unstructured* models to demes belonging to a metapopulation, we further investigated the demographic history of the four species by means of the *stairwayplot*. When enough data is available, non-parametric *unstructured* models (such as the PSMC (Li & Durbin, 2011), the extended Bayesian skyline plot (Heled & Drummond, 2008) and the *stairwayplot* among others) provide a careful description of the distribution of coalescence times of the gene genealogy, which ultimately depends from the “true” demographic history (whether it is known or not) of the sampled lineages. If panmixia is the most likely scenario, the distribution of coalescence times is directly related to the variation of N_e through time and can therefore have a direct biological interpretation. This is the case for *G. cuvier* (Table 1), whose reconstructed *stairwayplot* suggests that this species experienced a mild ancestral expansion and a recent ~4-fold bottleneck around 2,000 years B.P. (consistent with the results of Pirog et al. (2019), Figure 3). Conversely, signals detected by the *stairwayplot* in the remaining three species, better described by the SST model (Table 1), cannot be directly interpreted as changes in N_e over time. In this light, we ran coalescence simulations to provide

helpful and general insights into the understanding of the relation between the inferences performed under *unstructured* and *structured* models.

We first focus on scenarios simulated under the SST, with parameters close to those estimated in real data. The first and most striking result is that we systematically observed a recent bottleneck under all simulated scenarios (Table 2, Figures 4, 5, S1, S2 and S3). This result could seem at a first glance surprising and due to an artefact. However, this is not the case, as: i) the signal does not depend on the inferential algorithm chosen to analyse the data (i.e., the *stairwayplot*), since the normalized spectra showed a deficit in singletons compared to the other low frequency classes (Figures 4, 5, S1 and S2), which is typical of a recent population decline; ii) it is consistent with the distribution of the Inverse Instantaneous Coalescence Rate (IICR) computed in one diploid individual, which shows a signature of decline under similar meta-population models (Chikhi et al., 2018; Mazet, Rodríguez, Grusea, Boitard, & Chikhi, 2016; Rodríguez et al., 2018). The results of our simulations are consistent with the recent bottleneck observed in the three shark species (Figure 3), with its intensity inversely correlated to the estimated Nm (i.e., stronger for *C. melanopterus* and *C. limbatus* than for *C. amblyrhynchos*). In our SST model there is an instantaneous colonization of the array of demes at T_{COL} , which corresponds also to a demographic expansion (i.e., the total number of individuals in the array of deme is larger than those in the ancestral deme). However, this signature is detected only for $Nm \geq 5$ when T_{COL} is neither too recent nor too old (at equilibrium) (Figures 5, S1, S2 and S3). In these scenarios, the beginning of the expansion retrieved by the *stairwayplot* broadly corresponds to the simulated T_{COL} . This again corroborates the results obtained for the three shark species, since the two species with higher Nm displayed indeed an ancestral expansion in the *stairwayplot* with a timing consistent with the estimated T_{COL} (Table 1, Figures 2 and 3). Similarly, it explains why we could not retrieve the ancestral expansion for *C. melanopterus*

nor estimate T_{COL} under the SST model: this appears to be a property of the coalescence pattern and it is not related to the amount of data available (see below).

Coalescence phases in structured models

It is now straightforward to frame all these findings under the coalescence perspective. The coalescence history of the lineages sampled from a single deme in an SST (or FIM) model can be separated for simplicity into three phases: the *scattering*, the *collecting* and the *ancestral* phase (Figure 7). Going backward in time, lineages will coalesce in the sampled deme with a rate according to both Nm and N_{DEME} until all lineages either have coalesced or migrated to another deme. This is the *scattering* phase described in the seminal works of (Wakeley, 1998, 1999). The *scattering* phase was considered instantaneous for mathematical tractability, with its outcome dependent on Nm only, but later works could disentangle the effect of N_{DEME} and m on the shape of the gene genealogy (Mona, 2017). The *collecting* phase starts when the lineages which did not coalesce have migrated to other demes of the array: they will then coalesce according to a Kingman process with a rate scaled by Nm and the number of demes d of the array (Wakeley, 1999) (Figure 7). Finally, all surviving lineages (in non-equilibrium model) will reach the ancestral deme at T_{COL} , where they will coalesce at a rate depending only on the N_{ANC} parameter (Figure 7). The interplay between the demographic parameters (N_{DEME} , Nm , N_{ANC} , d) and the historical events (T_{COL} and T_{CH}) determines the length of each coalescence phase and the resulting shape of the gene genealogy of the sampled lineages (Figure 7).

In species with low Nm , the rate of coalescence during the *scattering* phase is very fast since lineages have low probability of emigrating from the sampled deme and high probability of coalescence due to the small N . Once all the lineages are dispersed in the array of demes, there will be two possible outcomes: i) in equilibrium model, we shift to the *collecting* phase, where the rate of coalescence drops since lineages will hardly fall in the same deme again; ii) in non-

equilibrium model, with the parameters we have simulated here, there will be very few (if any) coalescence events during the collecting phase and the transition will be directly from the *scattering* to the *ancestral* phase. Both the *collecting* and the *ancestral* phases have a rate of coalescence lower than the *scattering* phase, which determines the observed recent drop in N_e for all simulated scenarios. Remarkably, the decline in N_e is much stronger in equilibrium model, since the rate of coalescence is much lower in the *collecting* than in the *ancestral* phase (Figures 4, 5, S1, S2 and S3). Low N_m species will therefore have only two coalescence phases, the *scattering* and either the *collecting* (in equilibrium model) or the *ancestral* (in non-equilibrium model) which is why the signature of the ancestral expansion is lost.

For growing N_m , in equilibrium model there will be again only two coalescence phases, namely the *scattering* and *collecting*, with the latter having a lower rate of coalescence than the former independently of the simulated parameters. This is why we observed always a strong bottleneck consistent with the distribution of the IICR statistics in any equilibrium model (Chikhi et al., 2018; Mazet et al., 2015; Rodríguez et al., 2018). In non-equilibrium model, there will be two different situations: a) T_{COL} (in generations) is of the same order of the deme size N_{DEME} . In this setting, going backward in time few lineages would have escaped the sampled demes before T_{COL} . This corresponds to a shift in the coalescence rate directly from the *scattering* to the *ancestral* phase, resulting in a bottleneck of lower intensity compared to an equilibrium model (Figures 4, 5, S1 and S2), for the same reasons as above; b) T_{COL} (in generations) is larger than N_{DEME} . In this setting, some coalescence events may occur during the *collecting* phase, at a rate much slower than the two other phases. This determines the hump observed in the *stairwayplot* (Figures 4, 5, S1 and S2) and explains why in this window of parameters it is also possible to correctly estimate T_{COL} using our ABC framework. Further simulations under the FIM model confirmed those patterns even though the ancestral expansion could be detected for lower long-

term Nm than the corresponding SST scenario (Figure S4). This is probably due to a higher apparent connectivity underlined the by FIM, where lineages can move more freely during the collecting phase in comparison to SST where migrants only come from the closest neighbours. If many coalescence events occur during the *collecting* phase, the change in coalescence rate will affect the resulting gene genealogy and it will be detected by the *stairwayplot* (or any other *unstructured* method based on coalescent theory).

Changes in connectivity

Using coalescence arguments, we clarified why simple meta-population models with constant connectivity generate a gene genealogy harbouring a signature of a recent decline for any parameters' combination. The signature of bottleneck detected by the *stairwayplot* in the three shark species best described by SST can be therefore interpreted as a consequence of the underlying structure. However, connectivity likely changes through time. For instance, human activities have likely impacted the evolutionary history of a large number of species either by decreasing their effective population size and/or by fragmenting their habitat (i.e., reducing migration rates between demes). This intuitively should exacerbate the signature of population decline in the resulting gene genealogy. However, it remains to be shown whether this signature is qualitatively and quantitatively distinguishable from models with constant connectivity. This is a question of fundamental importance to understand whether it is possible to detect recent bottleneck in structured populations. To this end, we further investigated by coalescent simulations the expected gene genealogy in SST-CH (and FIM-CH) models with a change in connectivity 10 or 50 generations B.P., which matches the beginning of extensive anthropogenic influence on biodiversity considering our species' generation time (Ceballos et al., 2015). The resulting gene genealogies were poorly affected by the recent drop in connectivity, with both the normalized SFS and the inferred N_e dynamic following the same

trajectory of the corresponding scenario with the same long-term Nm and T_{COL} (Figures 6, S7, S8, S9, S10, S11, S12 and S13). We noticed the drop in N_{DEME} (Figures 6, S9, S12 and S13) had stronger influence than the drop in m (Figures S7, S8, S10 and S11), consistent with previous finding showing that the distribution of coalescence events depends not only by the Nm compound parameter but also by their individuals values (Mona, 2017). This can be explained once again in the light of the length of the coalescence phases (Figure 7). Reducing N_{DEME} will increase exponentially the number of coalescence events, drastically shortening the *scattering* phase and the number of surviving lineages. Reducing m will only linearly reduce the probability of migrations outside the deme, marginally affecting the length of the *scattering* phase and the number of surviving lineages compared to constant Nm scenarios. This is why a 100-fold reduction in N_{DEME} significantly reduces the number of lineages entering in the *collecting* phase, almost hiding the ancestral expansion in high long-term Nm scenarios (Figures 6, S9, S12 and S13), while a 100-fold reduction in m is barely detectable (Figures S7, S8, S10 and S11). Similarly, the recent reduction in either N_{DEME} or m cannot be detected for lower long-term Nm scenarios, where the *collecting* phase is already missing. This explains why the general pattern is strikingly similar between SST-CH and SST simulations, which implies that the simulated change in connectivity is too recent to significantly alter the pattern of coalescence events and that a recent drop can be hardly detected on the basis of the SFS only. Our empirical data are consistent with these findings: when we compared SST vs. SST-CH models in the three shark species using the ABC framework, we failed to clearly distinguish the two models (Tables S9 and S10, Figure S6). This seems to be a paradox: we observed a recent bottleneck in species of conservation concern using *unstructured* model, but we cannot exclude that this is just the consequence of population structure.

Practical recommendations and conservation concerns

This study highlight once more the importance to explicitly test for meta-population structure before interpreting the demographic signals detected by *unstructured* models, similarly to what advocated previously by (Maisano Delser et al., 2019; Rodríguez et al., 2018). If the meta-population structure hypothesis is rejected, the variation of N_e through time can be directly interpreted as the demographic history of the population under investigation, such as the case of tiger shark. Otherwise, this variation is still related to demographic events, but it has to be explained in the light of population structure and its consequence on the rate of coalescence events. We showed by coalescent simulations how to interpret such variation: the recent bottleneck detected by the *stairwayplot* in demes belonging to a meta-population is a consequence of the coalescence process. In other words, any inferential method implementing an *unstructured* model will detect such decline (if enough data is available) since it is a property of the gene genealogy. Importantly, the gene genealogy is only slightly affected by recent changes in connectivity if the time of this change in generations is of the same order of the size of the deme.

Our study underscore a key issue in conservation genetics as a recent decline inferred by an *unstructured* model can be mis-interpreted as a consequence of recent anthropic pressures (Ceballos et al., 2015) when it actually results from meta-population structure. This is all the more alarming since the majority of species is likely organised in meta-populations across their range rather than panmictic at a large scale. We therefore stress the necessity for an educated choice of tools to correctly uncover the recent trend of a species and design proper conservation programs. For instance, detecting a recent bottleneck in meta-populations will require summary statistics measuring the linkage disequilibrium (Boitard, Rodríguez, Jay, Mona, & Austerlitz, 2016; Kerdoncuff, Lambert, & Achaz, 2020) and/or the inferential framework based on the IICR (Chikhi et al., 2018; Rodríguez et al., 2018) coupled with whole genome data. On a

positive note, we showed that the colonization time of the array of demes can be estimated to some extent (and under some combinations of parameters) by *unstructured* models. We believe that this is particularly important because it has been shown that the simple instantaneous colonization process we used here behaves similarly to a spatial explicit range expansion (Hamilton, Stoneking, & Excoffier, 2005; Mona, 2017), which is certainly a more realistic model but more difficult to investigate. We are aware that the meta-population models here tested are simple and the parameters chosen are specific of the three shark species we focused on. Nevertheless, the time-scale separation of the coalescence process is general, and it allows explaining intuitively any structured models. The four shark species here used as an example has the merit to cover a large spectrum of LHT and consequently a large spectrum of demographic scenarios, going from a highly structured to a panmictic population: this has strong implications on the distribution of coalescence times and therefore on the interpretation of the observed data.

Conclusion

In this study we found that population structure, independently from the degree of connectivity between demes and the migration matrix relating them, intrinsically determines a variation in the rate of coalescence events through time. We showed that the intensity and the direction(s) of such variation related to the demographic parameters of the meta-population in a predictable way. Our results highlight the importance of detecting population structure (which depends on LHT among other factors) before performing any demographic inferences but, at the same time, they reveal the utility of *unstructured* models to describe the shape of the gene genealogy, which is the final product of the evolutionary history of a species. A combination of structured and *unstructured* models (better if non-parametric) is therefore the key to best characterize the evolutionary history of a species. We call for a change in perspective when investigating the

demographic history of a species: the focus should be put in the reconstruction of the variation of both N and m through time, which requires certainly new methodological development and probably more data.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to the Genotoul bioinformatics platform Toulouse Midi-Pyrenees (Bioinfo Genotoul; <http://bioinfo.genotoul.fr/>) for providing computing resources. We are indebted to Oscar Lao for fruitful discussions and careful reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by two ATM grants (2016 and 2017) from the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle to S.M.

References

- Arenas, M., Ray, N., Currat, M., & Excoffier, L. (2012). Consequences of range contractions and range shifts on molecular diversity. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 29(1), 207–218. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msr187>
- Bertorelle, G., Benazzo, A., & Mona, S. (2010). ABC as a flexible framework to estimate demography over space and time: Some cons, many pros. *Molecular Ecology*, 19(13), 2609–2625. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04690.x>
- Boitard, S., Rodríguez, W., Jay, F., Mona, S., & Austerlitz, F. (2016). Inferring Population Size History from Large Samples of Genome-Wide Molecular Data - An Approximate Bayesian Computation Approach. *PLoS Genetics*, 12(3), e1005877. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005877>
- Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P. R., Barnosky, A. D., García, A., Pringle, R. M., & Palmer, T. M. (2015). Accelerated modern human-induced species losses: Entering the sixth mass extinction. *Science Advances*, 1(5), 9–13. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1400253>
- Chikhi, L., Rodríguez, W., Grusea, S., Santos, P., Boitard, S., & Mazet, O. (2018). The IICR (inverse instantaneous coalescence rate) as a summary of genomic diversity: Insights into demographic inference and model choice. *Heredity*, 120(1), 13–24. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-017-0005-6>
- Chikhi, L., Sousa, V. C., Luisi, P., Goossens, B., & Beaumont, M. A. (2010). The Confounding Effects of Population Structure, Genetic Diversity and the Sampling Scheme on the Detection and Quantification of Population Size Changes. *Genetics*, 186(3), 983–995. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.110.118661>
- Corrigan, S., Lowther, A. D., Beheregaray, L. B., Bruce, B. D., Cliff, G., Duffy, C. A., ...

- Rogers, P. J. (2018). Population Connectivity of the Highly Migratory Shortfin Mako (*Isurus oxyrinchus* Rafinesque 1810) and Implications for Management in the Southern Hemisphere. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 6(NOV), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2018.00187>
- Cortés, E. (2002). Incorporating uncertainty into demographic modeling: Application to shark populations and their conservation. *Conservation Biology*, 16(4), 1048–1062. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.2002.00423.x>
- Excoffier, L., Dupanloup, I., Huerta-Sánchez, E., Sousa, V. C., & Foll, M. (2013). Robust Demographic Inference from Genomic and SNP Data. *PLoS Genetics*, 9(10), e1003905. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003905>
- Excoffier, L., Foll, M., & Petit, R. J. (2009). Genetic Consequences of Range Expansions. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 40(1), 481–501. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.39.110707.173414>
- Hamilton, G., Stoneking, M., & Excoffier, L. (2005). Molecular analysis reveals tighter social regulation of immigration in patrilocal populations than in matrilocal populations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 102(21), 7476–7480. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0409253102>
- Heled, J., & Drummond, A. J. (2008). Bayesian inference of population size history from multiple loci. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 8(1), 289. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-8-289>
- Heller, R., Nursyifa, C., Garcia-Erill, G., Salmona, J., Chikhi, L., Meisner, J., ... Albrechtsen, A. (2021). A reference-free approach to analyse RADseq data using standard next generation sequencing toolkits. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 21(4), 1085–1097. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13324>
- Karl, S. A., Motta, P. J., Stewart, B. S., Wilson, S. G., Bowen, B. W., Castro, A. L. F., ... Hueter, R. E. (2010). Population genetic structure of Earth's largest fish, the whale shark (*Rhincodon typus*). *Molecular Ecology*, 16(24), 5183–5192. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294x.2007.03597.x>
- Kerdoncuff, E., Lambert, A., & Achaz, G. (2020). Testing for population decline using maximal linkage disequilibrium blocks. *Theoretical Population Biology*, 134, 171–181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tpb.2020.03.004>
- Khimoun, A., Doums, C., Molet, M., Kaufmann, B., Peronnet, R., Eyer, P. A., & Mona, S. (2020). Urbanization without isolation: The absence of genetic structure among cities and forests in the tiny acorn ant *Temnothorax nylanderi*. *Biology Letters*, 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2019.0741>
- Korneliussen, T. S., Albrechtsen, A., & Nielsen, R. (2014). ANGSD: Analysis of Next Generation Sequencing Data. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 15(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-014-0356-4>
- Lapierre, M., Lambert, A., & Achaz, G. (2017). Accuracy of Demographic Inferences from the Site Frequency Spectrum: The Case of the Yoruba Population. *Genetics*, 206(1), 439–449. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.116.192708>
- Li, H., & Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics*, 25(14), 1754–1760. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324>
- Li, H., & Durbin, R. (2011). Inference of human population history from individual whole-genome sequences. *Nature*, 475(7357), 493–496. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10231>
- Liu, X., & Fu, Y.-X. (2015). Exploring population size changes using SNP frequency spectra. *Nature Genetics*, 47(5), 555–559. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3254>

- Maisano Delser, P., Corrigan, S., Duckett, D., Suwalski, A., Veuille, M., Planes, S., ... Mona, S. (2019). Demographic inferences after a range expansion can be biased: the test case of the blacktip reef shark (*Carcharhinus melanopterus*). *Heredity*, 122(6), 759–769. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-018-0164-0>
- Maisano Delser, P., Corrigan, S., Hale, M., Li, C., Veuille, M., Planes, S., ... Mona, S. (2016). Population genomics of *C. melanopterus* using target gene capture data: Demographic inferences and conservation perspectives. *Scientific Reports*, 6(April), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep33753>
- Mazet, O., Rodríguez, W., Grusea, S., Boitard, S., & Chikhi, L. (2016). On the importance of being structured: instantaneous coalescence rates and human evolution—lessons for ancestral population size inference? *Heredity*, 116(4), 362–371. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2015.104>
- Mazet, Olivier, Rodríguez, W., & Chikhi, L. (2015). Demographic inference using genetic data from a single individual: Separating population size variation from population structure. *Theoretical Population Biology*, 104, 46–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tpb.2015.06.003>
- Mona, S. (2017). On the role played by the carrying capacity and the ancestral population size during a range expansion. *Heredity*, 118(2), 143–153. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2016.73>
- Mona, S., Ray, N., Arenas, M., & Excoffier, L. (2014). Genetic consequences of habitat fragmentation during a range expansion. *Heredity*, 112(3), 291–299. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2013.105>
- Paris, J. R., Stevens, J. R., & Catchen, J. M. (2017). Lost in parameter space: a road map for stacks. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 8(10), 1360–1373. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12775>
- Parsons, G. R. (1990). Metabolism and swimming efficiency of the bonnethead shark *Sphyrna tiburo*. *Marine Biology*, 104(3), 363–367. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01314338>
- Peter, B. M., Wegmann, D., & Excoffier, L. (2010). Distinguishing between population bottleneck and population subdivision by a Bayesian model choice procedure. *Molecular Ecology*, 19(21), 4648–4660. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04783.x>
- Peterson, B. K., Weber, J. N., Kay, E. H., Fisher, H. S., & Hoekstra, H. E. (2012). Double Digest RADseq: An Inexpensive Method for De Novo SNP Discovery and Genotyping in Model and Non-Model Species. *PLoS ONE*, 7(5), e37135. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037135>
- Pirog, A., Jaquemet, S., Ravigné, V., Cliff, G., Clua, E., Holmes, B. J., ... Magalon, H. (2019). Genetic population structure and demography of an apex predator, the tiger shark *Galeocerdo cuvier*. *Ecology and Evolution*, 9(10), 5551–5571. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5111>
- Pudlo, P., Marin, J.-M. M., Estoup, A., Cornuet, J.-M. M., Gautier, M., & Robert, C. P. (2016). Reliable ABC model choice via random forests. *Bioinformatics*, 32(6), 859–866. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv684>
- Ray, N., Currat, M., & Excoffier, L. (2003). Intra-deme molecular diversity in spatially expanding populations. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 20(1), 76–86. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msg009>
- Raynal, L., Marin, J. M., Pudlo, P., Ribatet, M., Robert, C. P., & Estoup, A. (2019). ABC random forests for Bayesian parameter inference. *Bioinformatics*, 35(10), 1720–1728. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty867>
- Rochette, N. C., Rivera-Colón, A. G., & Catchen, J. M. (2019). Stacks 2: Analytical methods

for paired-end sequencing improve RADseq-based population genomics. *Molecular Ecology*, 28(21), 4737–4754. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15253>

Rodríguez, W., Mazet, O., Grusea, S., Arredondo, A., Corujo, J. M., Boitard, S., & Chikhi, L. (2018). The IICR and the non-stationary structured coalescent: towards demographic inference with arbitrary changes in population structure. *Heredity*, 121(6), 663–678. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-018-0148-0>

Städler, T., Haubold, B., Merino, C., Stephan, W., & Pfaffelhuber, P. (2009). The impact of sampling schemes on the site frequency spectrum in nonequilibrium subdivided populations. *Genetics*, 182(1), 205–216. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.108.094904>

Tajima, F. (1989). Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. *Genetics*, 123(3), 585–595. <https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/123.3.585>

Wakeley, J. (1998). Segregating Sites in Wright’s Island Model. *Theoretical Population Biology*, 53, 166–174.

Wakeley, J. (1999). Nonequilibrium migration in human history. *Genetics*, 153(4), 1863–1871.

Warmuth, V. M., & Ellegren, H. (2019). Genotype-free estimation of allele frequencies reduces bias and improves demographic inference from RADSeq data. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 19(3), 586–596. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12990>

Watterson, G. A. A. (1975). On the number of segregating sites in genetical models without recombination. *Theoretical Population Biology*, 7(2), 256–276. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-5809\(75\)90020-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-5809(75)90020-9)

Data availability statement

Fastq sequence files, SFS and scripts are available from the Dryad Digital Repository: <https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.b8gtht7d1>.

Authors contribution

S.M. and P.L. conceived the project. S.P. provided reagents and samples. S.M. and P.L. analysed the data and wrote the manuscript with input from S.P.

Tables

Table 1. Summary statistics and ABC estimation. Number of loci and SNPs after filtering, mean pairwise difference (θ_π), Watterson theta (θ_w), Tajima's D (TD), posterior probability of the most supported model and its parameters (median value and 95% credible interval in parentheses).

	N° Loci	N° SNP	θ_π	θ_w	TD	Model (probability) [†]	Nm	T_{COL} [‡]	N_{ANC}
<i>G. cuvier</i> [§] (N=8)	117976	25785	0.00057	0.00051	-0.03	NS (0.84)	-	-	-
<i>C. amblyrhynchos</i> (N=12)	69490	68355	0.00216	0.00229	-0.23*	SST (0.85)	11.5 (3.0-22.0)	20456 (12567-75649)	40961 (1315-49276)
<i>C. limbatus</i> (N=13)	60812	43449	0.00180	0.00166	0.43*	SST (0.55)	6.6 (1.5-15.4)	50198 (475-245440)	25521 (1913-52820)
<i>C. melanopterus</i> [¶] (N=8)	926	784	0.00040	0.00030	0.691*	SST (0.89)	1.8 (0.7-3.0)	91719 (5000-291341)	34607 (2760-95380)
<i>Priors</i> ^a							U: 0.001 - 100	U: 1 - 300000	U: 100 - 100000.

* Tajima's D values are significant ($p < 0.001$).

[†] Most supported model and its posterior probability.

[‡] T_{COL} is expressed in generations.

[§] *G. cuvier* is best represented by the NS model: its demography is depicted through the *stairwayplot* algorithm (see discussion).

[¶] Data from Maisano Delser et al. (2019).

^a Uniform prior distribution. The prior distribution of Nm is the product of two uniforms (one for N and one for m).

Table 2. Coalescent simulations of 50,000 Rad-loci under SST model, with mutation rate fixed to 1.93×10^{-8} per site per generation and N_{ANC} fixed to 50,000. Mean pairwise difference (θ_π), Watterson theta (θ_w), Tajima's D (TD), and number of segregating sites (S) are averaged over 100 replicates.

<i>Nm</i>	<i>T_{COL}</i>	$\theta_\pi^\dagger$	$\theta_s^\ddagger$	TD	S
1	5000	0.0013	0.0011	0.531	23599
	15000	0.0013	0.0012	0.405	24094
	50000	0.0017	0.0016	0.406	32201
	$\infty^\dagger$	0.0161	0.0139	0.669	283564
5	5000	0.0017	0.0016	0.361	32443
	15000	0.0019	0.0018	0.191	37712
	50000	0.0028	0.0028	0.035	56474
	∞	0.0177	0.0150	0.749	306786
10	5000	0.0019	0.0018	0.180	36561
	15000	0.0021	0.0022	-0.087	44380
	50000	0.0031	0.0034	-0.364	69436
	∞	0.0180	0.0158	0.585	321619
15	5000	0.0019	0.0019	0.048	38919
	15000	0.0022	0.0024	-0.274	48479
	50000	0.0032	0.0038	-0.608	77391
	∞	0.0181	0.0163	0.465	331816

[†] Equilibrium model obtained by simulating $T_{COL}=\infty$.

[‡] Theta values are expressed per site per generation.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Evolutionary scenarios considered in this study (to both infer parameters in real data under an ABC framework and to perform coalescent simulations). SST (FIM) model is a simplified version of SST-CH (FIM-CH) in which connectivity Nm is constant after T_{COL} . Details on each parameter are presented in the main text.

Figure 2. Posterior distribution of the number of migrants per generation Nm (panel A) and of the colonisation time of the array of deme T_{COL} (panel B) estimated under the stepping stone model (SST) for *Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos* (red), *Carcharhinus limbatus* (green) and *Carcharhinus melanopterus* (blue).

Figure 3. Panel A: variation of the effective population size (N_e) through time and its 75% confidence interval estimated by the *stairwayplot*. Panel B: normalized SFS computed as in (Lapierre et al., 2017). *Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos* is represented in red, *Carcharhinus limbatus* in green, *Carcharhinus melanopterus* in blue, and *Galeocerdo cuvier* in purple.

Figure 4. *stairwayplot* (maximum likelihood N_e and 75% confidence interval) (panel A) and normalized SFS (panel B) computed in simulated non-equilibrium SST scenarios with $Nm=1$, averaged over 100 replicates. Colonisation time of the array of deme T_{COL} occurred 5,000 (red), 15,000 (blue), and 50,000 (green) generations B.P., visually represented by the vertical dashed lines in panel A. The normalized SFS expected under a constant size non-structured model (NS constant size) is also shown (grey dashed line in panel B).

Figure 5. *stairwayplot* (maximum likelihood N_e and 75% confidence interval) (panel A) and normalized SFS (panel B) computed in simulated non-equilibrium SST scenarios with $Nm=10$, averaged over 100 replicates. Colonisation time of the array of deme T_{COL} occurred 5,000 (red), 15,000 (blue), and 50,000 (green) generations B.P., visually represented by the vertical dashed lines in panel A. The normalized SFS expected under a constant size non-structured model (NS constant size) is also shown (grey dashed line in panel B).

Figure 6. *stairwayplot* (maximum likelihood N_e) (panel A) and normalized SFS (panel B) computed in simulated non-equilibrium SST scenarios with $T_{COL}=15,000$ generations B.P. and an instantaneous decrease of the deme size (N_{DEME}) forward in time at $T_{CH}=10$ generations B.P. Colours represent the long-term connectivity values: $Nm=1$ (blue), $Nm=5$ (green), $Nm=10$ (red), $Nm=15$ (black). Line style represents the 10-fold (small dashes) or 100-fold (dots) reduction of N_{DEME} , or constant Nm (continuous line). The vertical grey dashed line in panel A represents the simulated colonisation time T_{COL} .

Figure 7. Schematic diagram representing the different coalescence phases in the history of lineages sampled from a deme belonging to a non-equilibrium meta-population. Each phase and related parameters are represented by a colour. Parameters influencing the coalescence rate in each phase are: the effective size of the deme (N_{DEME}) and the migration rate (m) for the *scattering* phase; the number of migrants exchanged per generation (Nm) and the number of demes (d) for the *collecting* phase; and the ancestral effective size (N_{ANC}) for the *ancestral* phase.

Figures

656

657

① $\Delta_{CR} < 0$: bottleneck

② $\Delta_{CR} > 0$: expansion

view Only