

Planar polarization of cilia in the zebrafish floor-plate involves Par3-mediated posterior localization of highly motile basal bodies

Antoine Donati, Isabelle Anselme, Sylvie Schneider-Maunoury, Christine

Vesque

▶ To cite this version:

Antoine Donati, Isabelle Anselme, Sylvie Schneider-Maunoury, Christine Vesque. Planar polarization of cilia in the zebrafish floor-plate involves Par3-mediated posterior localization of highly motile basal bodies. Development (Cambridge, England), 2019, 148 (13), 10.1101/702282. hal-03375285

HAL Id: hal-03375285 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-03375285

Submitted on 12 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Full title: Planar polarization of cilia in the zebrafish floor-plate involves
2	Par3-mediated posterior localization of highly motile basal bodies
3	
4 5	Short title: Par3 controls cilia posterior positioning in zebrafish floor plate
6	
7	Antoine Donati ¹ , Sylvie Schneider-Maunoury ^{1*} and Christine Vesque ^{1*}
8	
9	
10	
11	¹ Sorbonne Université, CNRS UMR7622, INSERM U1156, Institut de Biologie Paris
12	Seine (IBPS) - Developmental Biology Unit, 75005, Paris, France
13	
14	*co-senior authors, co-corresponding authors.
15	sylvie.schneider-maunoury@upmc.fr 0144272154
16	christine.vesque@upmc.fr 0144272154
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Keywords: planar cell polarity, cilium, basal body, zebrafish floor plate, Par3, Vangl2.
22	
23	

24 Non-standard abbreviations:

- **BB**: basal body (a modified centriole localized at the base of cilia)
- **FP**: floor plate (a multi-functional epithelium localized at the ventral side of the neural
- tube. Floor plate motile cilia generate the cerebro-spinal fluid directional flow)

- . .

48 ABSTRACT

49

To produce a directional flow, ciliated epithelia display a uniform orientation of ciliary 50 51 beating. Oriented beating requires planar cell polarity (PCP), which leads to planar 52 orientation and asymmetric positioning of the ciliary basal body (BB) along the 53 polarity axis. We took advantage of the polarized mono-ciliated epithelium of the 54 embryonic zebrafish floor plate to investigate by live-imaging the dynamics and 55 mechanisms of BB polarization. We showed that BBs, although bearing a cilium, 56 were highly motile along the antero-posterior axis. BBs contacted both the anterior 57 and the posterior membranes, with a bias towards posterior contacts from early 58 somitogenesis on. Contacts exclusively occurred at junctional Par3 local enrichments 59 or "patches" and were often preceded by transient membrane digitations extending 60 towards the BB, suggesting focused cortical pulling forces. Accordingly, BBs and 61 Par3 patches were linked by dynamic microtubules. We showed that Par3 became 62 posteriorly enriched prior to BB posterior positioning and that floor plate polarization 63 was impaired upon Par3 patches disruption triggered by Par3 or aPKC 64 overexpression. In the PCP mutant Vangl2, where floor plate cells fail to polarize, we 65 observed that BB were still motile but presented behavioral defects, such as ectopic 66 contacts with lateral membranes that correlated with Par3 patch fragmentation and 67 spreading to lateral membranes. Our data lead us to propose an unexpected function 68 for posterior local Par3 enrichment in controlling BB asymmetric positioning 69 downstream of the PCP pathway via a microtubule capture/shrinkage mechanism.

70

72 INTRODUCTION

73

Cilia are conserved microtubule-based organelles with sensory and motile functions 74 that are nucleated from a modified centriole called the basal body (BB). Motile cilia 75 76 generate forces sufficient to propel whole organisms or bodily fluids within cavities in animals (^{1,2}). In order to generate a directional flow, ciliated epithelia display a 77 uniform orientation of ciliary beating, which is a form of planar cell polarity (PCP). 78 79 Oriented beating of a cilium usually involves two PCP processes: the off-centering of 80 the cilium BB (translational polarity, in monociliated epithelia and ependymal cells) 81 and the orientation of its beating relative to the main tissue axis (rotational polarity) 82 $(^{1}).$

83 In many vertebrate ciliated tissues such as the mouse cochlea and ependyma, the 84 laterality organ of mouse and zebrafish, the Xenopus larval skin and the zebrafish 85 floor plate, cilium polarity requires the PCP pathway. In these tissues, PCP proteins 86 such as Van Gogh like 2 (Vangl2), Frizzled (Fz3/6), Cadherin EGF LAG seven-pass 87 G-type receptors (Celsr1-3) and Dishevelled (Dvl1-3), localize asymmetrically in ciliated epithelia, and are required for proper cilia/BB positioning (³, ⁴, ⁵, ⁶, ⁷, ⁸). 88 89 Outside the PCP pathway, the cellular and molecular mechanisms of BB positioning 90 remain poorly understood. Non-muscle myosin II is required for ependymal translational polarity in murine ependymal multiciliated cells (⁹) and the murine 91 92 Myosin Id mutant exhibit defects in both translational and rotational polarity in these cells (¹⁰). Translational polarity has been shown to require Rac1 in monociliated cells 93 of the mouse node and cochlea (¹¹,¹²) and G protein signalling in cochlear hair cells 94 (¹³, ¹⁴). Ciliary proteins themselves have been involved in planar polarization of cilia in 95

several contexts (⁶, ¹⁵, ¹⁶, ¹⁷, ¹⁸). However, the relationships between these different
actors and how they impact basal body movement is unclear.

98 Understanding the mechanisms of cilium polarization would highly benefit from a 99 dynamic analysis of BB movements. A major drawback is the difficulty to follow the dynamics of BB polarization in vivo in whole embryos, or to reproduce PCP and 100 101 cilium polarization *in vitro* in cultured cells. So far, live imaging of cilium polarization 102 has been performed only in cochlear explants where only confined Brownian motion of centrioles was observed (¹⁹) and in the mouse node (¹¹) and ependyma (⁹) with 103 104 limited temporal resolution. In this paper, in order to get a better understanding of the 105 mechanisms leading to BB off-centering in epithelia, we have used the zebrafish 106 embryonic floor-plate (FP) as a convenient system to investigate the dynamics of the 107 polarization process in live embryos. The FP is a simple mono-ciliated epithelium 108 whose posterior-positioned motile cilia allow circulation of the embryonic 109 cerebrospinal fluid (²⁰).

110 Our results show that planar polarization of BBs and their associated cilia is 111 progressive during somitogenesis and is accompanied by a change in the behavior of 112 the BBs, which are highly motile at early stages and tend to spend an increasing 113 amount of time in contact with the posterior membrane as development proceeds. 114 We found that BBs always contacted membranes at Par3-enriched apical junctions. 115 Par3 became enriched at the posterior apical side of FP cells before BB polarization. 116 Par3 and aPKC overexpression disrupted FP polarization and Par3 distribution along 117 apical junctions was also disrupted in a Vangl2 mutant. Thus, we propose that a major role of the PCP pathway in the FP is to drive Par3 asymmetric localization, 118 119 which in turn mediates BB posterior positioning.

120 **RESULTS**

121

122 Floor-plate polarization shows temporal progression but no spatial123 synchronization

Posterior positioning of the BB in the zebrafish FP is visible as soon as 18 hours post-fertilization (hpf) (17) and is maintained at least until 72 hpf (21). From 24 hpf onward, coupled to posterior tilting of cilia, it is instrumental in propelling the cerebrospinal fluid in the spinal cord central canal (5 , 22). At late gastrulation stages (10 hpf), ectodermal cells already display a slight posterior bias of centrioles (23).

129 To define the time-course of FP cell polarization, we assessed basal-body (BB) 130 position along the antero-posterior (A/P) axis on fixed embryos from the 6 s to the 26 131 s stage ("s" stands for "somites")(Fig. 1b, c). For each cell we defined a BB 132 polarization index (p.i. in Fig. 1a). BBs already exhibited a posterior bias at 6 s, and 133 the polarization state did not change significantly until 10 s. From 10 s onward, there 134 was a progressive increase in FP polarization, mostly due to an increase in the 135 percentage of cells with a BB in contact with the posterior membrane, with a 136 concomitant disappearance of anterior BBs and a reduction of median BBs. The 137 polarization state of the FP was considered complete at 18 s, since no significant 138 difference could be detected between the 18 s and 26 s stages (Fig. 1c). 139 Interestingly, we did not detect a gradient of polarization index along the A/P axis of 140 the spinal cord (Fig. S1a), and single non-polarized cells were often intermingled 141 among polarized neighbors (Fig. S1b), arguing against the existence of polarization 142 waves.

143 BBs are highly motile in FP cells

144 We then turned to live-imaging to obtain a dynamic view of the polarization process. 145 We followed BB movements within the apical surface of individual FP cells at 146 different developmental stages (4 s to 21 s). We found that BBs displayed a highly 147 motile behavior within the apical surface (Fig. 2a-d and Movies S1-S4), moving both 148 anteriorly and posteriorly (Fig. S1d, first column and Fig. 6a 'wt'). At early stages, 149 there was a clear BB movement orientation bias along the antero-posterior axis: 70% 150 of BB movements were oriented along this axis, whereas only 30% were oriented 151 along the left-right axis (Fig. 6a, b, wt). However there was no overall significant 152 difference between the number of anterior and posterior movements (although there 153 seems to be a tendency towards more posterior movements, as seen in Fig. 6a).

154 Cell deformations along the AP axis were more important at early stages (4-10 s) 155 (Fig. 2a, b) than at later stages (14-21 s) (Fig. 2c, d), probably as a consequence of 156 convergence-extension movements, but even at early stages we could see many 157 long BB movements that did not correlate with cell deformation (see for example the 158 two large anterior and then posterior movements around 55 and 75 min in Fig. 2a). 159 This suggest that BBs are actively moving within FP cell apical surfaces and not just 160 passively moved by cell deformation. One possible explanation for the presence of 161 unpolarized cells is that they could have just undergone mitosis (during which one of 162 the centrosomes migrates to the anterior side). However, mitoses were rare in FP 163 cells at early stages (6 / 79 cells, 9 embryos at 4-8 s) and absent at later stages (118 164 cells from 15 embryos at 13-21s). Thus the impact of cell shape changes and mitosis 165 on FP polarization is likely very small.

166 **FP polarization involves a change in BB behavior**

167 In order to characterize BB behavioral changes during development, we determined 168 the percentage of time that BBs spent in contact with the posterior membrane (Fig. 169 2e). At early stages, BBs spent in average 44% of their time in contact with the 170 posterior membrane, versus more than 70% at later stages (13-21 s). This was 171 largely due to an increase in the number of cells in which the BB stayed in contact 172 with the posterior membrane during the whole movie (Fig. 2c). We refer to this 173 situation as "posteriorly docked BB". At early stages (4-8s), we did not observe any 174 cell with posteriorly docked BB (41 cells, 5 embryos), whereas they made up 34% of 175 the FP cell population at 13-17s stages (13/38 cells, 6 embryos) and almost half 176 (46%) the FP population at later stages (17-21s, 27/59 cells, 7 embryos). We also 177 noted a decrease in the frequency of BB direction changes, as well as an increase in 178 the mean duration of BB/posterior membrane contact events and mean polarization 179 index, suggesting that, as development proceeds, BB movements are less dynamic 180 and more confined to the posterior side of the cell (Fig. S1d, first line). Posteriorly 181 docked BBs made a significant contribution to these behavioral changes. In order to 182 determine if changes in the behavior of non-posteriorly docked BBs contributed to the 183 increase of FP polarization during somitogenesis, we quantified the same 184 parameters, but taking into account only these motile BBs (Fig. S1d, second line): 185 although less drastic, the same trend in BB behavior change was observed.

To further characterize the behavior of non-posteriorly docked BB, we quantified the frequency of contact events between the BB and either the anterior or the posterior membrane (Fig. 2f and g, respectively). First, posterior contacts were more frequent than anterior ones even at 4-8s (compare Fig. 2f and g), confirming that FP cells already had a posterior polarization bias at these early stages. Second, contacts with

191 the anterior membrane were frequently observed at early stages (50% of BBs made 192 at least one anterior contact per hour, see for example at t=70' in Fig. 2b), but almost 193 never observed at later stages (only 3/57 cells displayed one anterior contact). 194 Contact frequency with the posterior membrane was also significantly higher at 195 earlier stages (1.3 contact/h on average) than at later stages (around 0.8 196 contact/hour in average within the 13-21s stage window, Fig. 2g). This reduction in 197 the number of contacts could be due to an increase in their duration (Fig. S1d, plot 2nd column, 2nd line) and to a reduction in BB speed. Indeed, we found that BBs 198 199 moved faster at earlier stages (Fig. S1c, median speed was 0.2 μ m/min at 4-8 s 200 versus 0.1 μ m/min at 13-21 s). Thus, the observed changes in FP polarization are 201 explained both by an increase in the posteriorly docked BB population and by 202 behavioral changes (reduced speed, less direction changes, longer posterior contact 203 events) in other BBs.

204 Transverse membrane digitations elongate towards motile floor-plate205 BBs

206 Live-imaging revealed the presence of membrane digitations extending between the 207 BB and transverse membranes (Fig. 3; Movies S5 and S6). At early stages, we could 208 detect such digitations in 44% of FP cells (taking into account only non-posteriorly 209 docked BBs) (26/59 cells, 9 embryos), most of which were linking the posterior 210 membrane and the BB (83%, 45/54 digitations, Fig. 3a upper row, white arrow, 211 MovieS5), although digitations from the anterior membrane were also seen (Fig. 3a 212 second row, Movie S6) (Fig. 3b). These early stage digitations were most of the time 213 observed on a single time frame (anterior digitations) or two consecutive timeframes 214 in time-lapse movies with a 2min or 5min time interval (At2min or At5min,

215 respectively) between two images, but we could not detect a significant difference of 216 digitation lifetime between anterior and posterior digitations (Fig. 3c). Posterior 217 digitations were followed by a posterior directed BB movement in 67% of cases 218 (26/39 digitations), whereas anterior digitations were followed by a BB anterior 219 movement in only 22% of cases (2/9 anterior digitations) (Fig. 3d). Membrane 220 digitations were rarely seen at later stages (after 14s, 9/40 cells, 10 embryos), 221 probably in part because BBs spent a higher fraction of their time associated with the 222 posterior membrane (see Fig. 1 and Fig. S1).

223 In order to better characterize these membrane digitations at early developmental 224 stages, we imaged the floor-plate of wt embryos at a high temporal resolution and 225 acquired a z-stack every 10 seconds (hereafter, Δt10sec movies). Digitations were 226 seen in 80% of FP cells (25/31 cells from 17 embryos), showing that these structures 227 are very common in FP cells but can be missed in $\Delta t2min$ or $\Delta t5min$ movies due to 228 their short lifetime. Indeed, we found that digitations had a median lifetime of 50sec 229 (FigS2b). In many cases, we could witness both digitation extension and retraction. 230 Their median length at their maximal extension was 2μ m (Fig. S2b) and almost all digitations pointed towards the BB (95%, 90/95 digitations, 25 cells from 14 embryos) 231 232 and about 45% of them touched the BB. As previously mentioned, almost all 233 digitations extended from transverse membranes (92%, 88/95, FigS2a) and most 234 digitations formed at a spot were we could previously see another digitation 235 ("recurrent" digitations, 85%, 44/52); the median time lapse between two successive 236 digitations was 70sec (Fig. S2b). As with our Δt2min and Δt5min movies, there was 237 not a good correlation between digitation position (anterior or posterior membrane) 238 and BB movements: posterior digitations were followed by a posteriorward BB

239 movement in 40% of cases (16/40) whereas anterior digitations were followed by an 240 anteriorward BB movement in only 30% of cases (13/34) (Fig. S2c) suggesting that 241 these digitations are not responsible for BB movements but rather a consequence of 242 the forces exerted on BBs.

243 Dynamic microtubules link BBs and transverse membranes

244 Since centrosomes are the main microtubule organizing centers of animal cells and 245 their positioning in many systems has been shown to depend on microtubules we 246 then set out to investigate microtubule dynamics within the apical surface of FP cells 247 in early stage embryos. Live-imaging of microtubules with EB3-GFP revealed a highly 248 dynamic network of microtubules originating from the centrosome/BB and directed to 249 apical junctions (FigS2d, Movie S7). The time interval between an EB3 comet coming 250 from the BB touching a spot at the transverse membrane and the occurrence of 251 either a digitation at this spot or a BB movement towards it was very short: 10 sec in 252 50% of the cases and less than 1 min in 95% of the cases (Fig. S2e). These results 253 show the existence of dynamic microtubules linking the BB and particular spots of the 254 apical transverse membranes before BB movement toward these spots and/or 255 digitation formation at these spots. This suggests that the mechanical forces 256 responsible for the back-and-forth BB movements between the anterior and posterior 257 transverse membranes are mediated by dynamic microtubules.

Overall, our dynamic analysis reveals a highly motile behavior of BBs in FP cells at early somite stages. This was unexpected, given that FP cells are already ciliated at these stages (Fig. 1b) (⁵). As somitogenesis proceeds, BB motility decreases. BBs progressively stop shuttling from anterior to posterior cell junctions and their contacts with the posterior membrane last longer. We also uncover membrane digitations

forming at precise spots of transverse apical membranes and show that these spots are linked to BBs by dynamic microtubules. These results suggest the existence of a molecular complex at precise spots of transverse membranes, probably at the level of apical junctions, which is able to exert mechanical forces on the BB via microtubules, and whose distribution becomes biased to the posterior side of each FP cell as development proceeds.

269 Posterior enrichment of Par3 precedes BB/posterior membrane contact

270 In Drosophila, the apical junction protein Par3/Bazooka modulates centrosome positioning in the male germline and embryonic ectoderm (²⁴,²⁵). In order to test a 271 272 potential role for Par3 in BB posterior positioning in FP cells, we first assessed Par3 273 localization by immunostaining (Fig. 4a). At the 14 s stage, Par3 localized at apical 274 junctions of FP cells (Fig. 4a). Par3 patches were detected on transverse membranes and in close contact with posteriorly docked BBs (white arrows, Fig. 4a). This 275 276 distribution was confirmed using the BazP1085 antibody (Fig. S3a), which recognizes a conserved Par3 phosphorylation site (²⁶). Par3 patches were also present in FP 277 278 cells in which the BB was not yet in contact with the posterior membrane (Fig. 4a and 279 S3a right panels) showing that this enrichment precedes stable BB/posterior 280 membrane contact.

In order to test whether Par3 is asymmetrically enriched in FP cells, we used a mosaic expression approach of Par3-RFP and centrin-GFP in live embryos. Quantification of Par3 expression showed that, among fully polarized (p.i. =1) individual Par3-RFP expressing FP cells, both at early (6-12s, Fig. 4b, left cell) and late (14-20s, Fig. 4b, right cell) stages, almost all cells had a Par3-RFP post/ant ratio greater than 1 (Fig. 4b right plot) (29/30 cells, 20 embryos; 6-12s, mean ratio= 1.42,

287 14-20s mean ratio =1.38). To determine whether Par3 posterior enrichment preceded 288 BB/posterior membrane contact, we imaged BB movements and quantified Par3-RFP 289 posterior/anterior ratio at each time-point; we found that Par3-RFP was enriched 290 posteriorly before BB/posterior membrane contact (Fig. 4c, d) (12/14 cells, 12 291 embryos) (Movie S8). In contrast, BBs of FP cells with weak or no posterior Par3 292 enrichment remained unpolarized (either making no contact (2/5 cells, 5 embryos) or 293 unstable contacts (3/5 cells, 5 embryos) with the posterior membrane (Fig. 4d and 294 Movie S9).

Thus, Par3 forms patches at FP apical transverse membranes and BBs are posteriorly docked at these patches. In addition, Par3 is enriched posteriorly before BB/posterior membrane contact. Together, our data strongly suggest that Par3 is a key player in BB posterior positioning.

At early stages, BBs contact transverse membranes exclusively at Par3 patches

301 During the second half of somitogenesis, Par3 formed a continuous belt at apical 302 junctions of FP cells, although it was locally enriched, forming patches that 303 associated with centrosomes, as described above. In contrast, at the 4 to 8 s stages, 304 Par3 formed discrete patches at FP apical transverse membranes, but not at lateral 305 membranes. These patches were roughly aligned with the AP axis of the embryo 306 (Fig. 4e, white arrows). Strikingly, BBs made contacts with anterior and posterior 307 transverse membranes (as described in Fig. 1) exclusively at these patches (58 cells 308 from 18 embryos) as shown in Fig. 4f and Movies S10 and S11.

309 In 40% of these cells (23/58), the discrete Par3 patches stretched towards the BB 310 (for example, Fig. 4f yellow arrows) and was actually covering a membrane digitation 311 originating from either the posterior (Fig. 4f, t=0', see also inset on the right) or the 312 anterior membrane (Fig. 4f, t=64') and extending towards the BB (Movie S11). Of the 313 39 digitations we saw, 92% were located at a Par3 patch (36/39 digitations from 23 314 cells and 14 embryos)(see Movie S11 at t=32min for a rare example of a digitation 315 not originating at a Par3 patch). The presence of membrane digitations and their 316 overlap with Par3 patches point to the existence of mechanical forces between BBs 317 and membranes at Par3 patches and suggests that Par3 could be required for local 318 force generation. Strinkingly, this role of Par3 could be more general than just BB 319 antero-posterior contacts, since in dividing FP cells at early stages, after cytokinesis 320 the centrosomes always rapidly (within 10min) moved back towards Par3 patches, 321 next to the midbody (9/9 cells from 9 embryos, Fig. S3b and Movie S12).

Par3 over-expression or aPKC-mediated clustering defects disrupt BB positioning

324 To test whether Par3 is required for posterior BB positioning in the FP, we first used 325 loss-of-function approaches. MO-mediated knock-down of Par3ab (also known as 326 Pard3 or ASIP) did not disrupt FP PCP (Fig. S4a), nor could we see a defect in a *MZpar3ab* mutant (²⁷) (Fig. S4c). However, in both cases, Par3 patches could still be 327 328 detected in the FP by immunostaining (Fig. S4b, d-f), suggesting that par3ab loss-of-329 function was compensated for by its paralogous genes (par3aa, par3ba or par3bb), 330 which could also be detected by our Par3 antibodies thanks to the high conservation 331 of the epitopes. In situ hybridization for all *par3* genes showed that *par3aa*, *ab* and *ba* 332 were broadly expressed in the neural tube during somitogenesis (Fig. S5a).

Combining MOs against these three genes did not lead to FP polarization defects, but Par3 patches were still present and their prominence and number not affected, despite a significant loss in Par3 immunostaining signal (Fig. S5b-f): we could not test the effect of higher doses of MOs on FP polarization due to a developmental arrest before somitogenesis.

338 We thus turned to a mild over-expression approach to disrupt Par3 posterior 339 enrichment and patch formation. Over-expressed Par3-RFP in the FP localized to apical junctions and did not disrupt apico-basal polarity (Fig.5a). Par3-RFP over-340 341 expression disrupted BB posterior positioning in the FP (median p.i. of 0.8 versus 1 in Par3-RFP negative cells, Fig. 5a,). Furthermore, isolated Par3-RFP negative cells 342 343 and Par3-RFP negative cells adjacent to Par3-RFP over-expressing cells had similar 344 polarization indexes, showing that Par3 overexpression effect is cell autonomous 345 (147 isolated negative cells and 391 non-isolated negative cells from 20 embryos, 346 Wilcoxon test p-value=0.19).

In order to confirm these results, we used another approach to disrupt Par3 347 endogenous distribution via aPKC over-expression (^{25,28}). We found that mosaically 348 349 over-expressing aPKC with the KalTA4-UAS system (a Zebrafish-optimized GAL4-UAS system²⁹) led to BB polarization defects similar to Par3 overexpression (Fig. 350 351 5b). In addition, in these experiments, the extent of Par3 localization defects 352 correlated with that of BB polarization defects. In one experiment where we observed 353 a significant decrease in Par3 patch prominence in aPKC overexpressing cells, we 354 also observed a significant decrease in BB polarization (Fig. 5d, "experiment 1"). In 355 another experiment where the change in Par3 patches prominence was present but 356 not statistically significant, BB positioning was less affected (Fig. 5d, "experiment 2").

357 Together, these results indicate that the extent to which the polarization is affected

indeed depends on the strength of the effect on Par3 patches.

359 These results strongly suggest that Par3 posterior enrichment and patch formation

are required for proper BB positioning in the FP.

361 In the PCP mutant vangl2 BB are still motile but make more contacts

362 with lateral membranes

Vangl2, a core PCP protein, is involved in zebrafish FP PCP (⁵) but the downstream mechanisms linking Vangl2 to centrosome posterior positioning are unknown. We thus analyzed the dynamics of FP polarization in the *vangl2^{m209}* mutant (³⁰). At 18 s, the BB of *vangl2^{m209/m209}* FP cells was mispositioned at the center of the apical surface, while *vangl2^{m209/+}* and wt embryos had normally polarized BBs (median p.i.=0.6 versus 1 for wt or *vangl2^{m209/+}*) (Fig. 7a, FP polarization plot). Live-imaging of *vangl2^{m209/m209}* FP revealed several BB behavior changes in *vangl2^{m209/m209}*.

At early stages in *vanal2*^{m209/m209} FP cells. BBs were still motile and even displayed 370 371 an overall speed increase (Fig. 6d). Moreover, BB movements were still biased along the antero-posterior axis (Fig6b, 60% of total BB movements; 9 embryos, 20 cells, 372 373 220 movements), but BBs made more lateral movements and less antero-posterior 374 movements compared to wt (10% less antero-posterior movements, Fig. 6a and 6b. 375 Movie S13). The length of BB movements, which was smaller in the lateral than in the antero-posterior direction in wt, was equivalent in all directions in vangl2^{m209/m209} 376 377 mutants (Fig. 6a).

378 Despite the preserved antero-posterior bias in BB movements, *vangl2* mutants 379 showed a striking loss of antero-posterior bias in BB/membrane contacts. The overall

380 proportion of BB movements resulting in BB/membrane contacts was the same as in 381 wt (around 16% of total BB movements), but the positions of these contacts were 382 very different: in wt, most contacts occurred with the posterior membrane (75%) and almost none with the lateral membranes (3%), whereas in vangl2^{m209/m209}, BB 383 384 contacts occurred equally with anterior, posterior or lateral membranes (around 33% each) (Fig. 6d, middle barplot). In addition, vangl2^{m209/m209} BBs spent less of their 385 386 time in contact with either anterior, posterior and lateral membranes (Fig. 6d, right 387 plot).

388 At later stages, almost half of the BBs remained in contact with the posterior 389 membrane in wt, as previously described (Fig. 2e) (posteriorly docked BBs). In vangl2^{m209/m209} embryos, the vast majority of BBs did not stably dock at any 390 membrane (except for 2 BB out of 42, docked at the anterior membrane). Instead, as 391 392 suggested by our immunostaining, BBs remained at the center of the apical surface. 393 The few BB movements of wt cells as well as the many BB movements seen in *vangl2^{m209/m209}* cells were much smaller than at early stages (which is illustrated by a 394 395 decrease in BB speed (Fig. 6e)) and had no preferential orientation (Fig. 6a). 396 BB/membrane contacts were half less frequent than at early stages, both in wt and vangl2^{m209/m209} (around 7% of total BB movements), but we could still detect a 397 significant difference in their position between wt and vangl2^{m209/m209}, with more 398 lateral and anterior contacts in *vangl2*^{m209/m209} (Fig. 6e, middle barplot). 399

BB behavior defects in *vangl2* mutants are associated with abnormal Par3 clustering and localization

402 Since in wt embryos BBs only made contacts at Par3 patches, we wondered if it 403 would still be the case in *vangl2* mutants. Par3 localized at apical junctions in

vangl2^{m209/m209} as in wt embryos (Fig. 7b). However, guantification of Par3 patches 404 405 along the transverse apical junctions revealed a significant difference in the number and prominence of these patches. In wt, 90% of FP cells had at least a major Par3 406 407 patch (Fig. 7b, yellow arrows), with 39% of cells also having smaller secondary patches (Fig. 7c), while in *vangl2^{m209/m209}* embryos, the number of FP cells with at 408 409 least one phospho-Par3 patch was unchanged (around 90% of cells) but the number 410 of cells with more than one patch was increased (54% of cells). In addition, the 411 prominence of phospho-Par3 patches fluorescence intensity was decreased in vangl2^{m209/m209} embryos (see Fig. 5c for prominence definition and quantification). 412 413 Thus, Par3 forms more numerous and smaller patches in *Vangl2* mutants, showing a 414 role for Vangl2 in Par3 clustering.

415 To further analyze a potential link between abnormal BB behavior and Par3 patches mis-localization in vangl2^{m209/m209} embryos, we made time-lapse movies of mutant 416 417 embryos mosaically injected with Par3-RFP (Fig. 7f) (Movie S13). In vangl2 mutants, 418 FP cell BBs contacted the membrane only at Par3 patches (Fig. 7e), as seen in wt, 419 suggesting that Vangl2 did not directly affects the ability of Par3 patches to attract 420 BBs. However the distribution of Par3 patches was very different. Early vangl2^{m209/m209} embryos displayed many more cells with lateral Par3 patches 421 422 compared to wt (70% vs 20%, Fig. 7g). In addition, they had more cells with an 423 anterior Par3 patch (82% vs 67%) and less cells with a posterior patch (65% vs 424 87%).

These results strongly suggest that, in *vangl2^{m209/m209}* embryos, abnormal BB
behavior and polarization failure are due to the fragmentation and mispositioning of
Par3 patches along the apical junctions of FP cells.

428 **DISCUSSION**

429 In this paper we have analyzed the dynamics of BB posterior positioning in the 430 embryonic zebrafish FP. We show that, during early somitogenesis, BBs are highly 431 motile and able to contact apical junctions several times per hour. As somitogenesis 432 proceeds, BBs settle down posteriorly at junctions enriched in Par3, and we show 433 that Par3 enrichment is essential for BB posterior localization. In the PCP mutant 434 Vangl2, BBs show poorly oriented movements and this correlates with Par3 signal 435 fragmentation and spreading to lateral junctions (Fig. 8a). Our data lead us to 436 propose a model in which Par3 posterior enrichment, controlled by the core PCP 437 pathway, increases microtubule-driven pulling forces from the posterior side, which 438 eventually results in BB docking at the posterior Par3 patch (Fig.8b).

439 Ciliary basal bodies exhibit high motility in FP cells of wt and vangl2 440 mutant embryos

Analysis of fixed samples showed that posterior positioning of BBs within the apical 441 442 surface of FP cells progressed regularly within the 8 hours time frame of our study 443 and was complete at the 18 s stage. Surprisingly, live imaging revealed that BBs 444 make fast back and forth movements within the apical surface. This high motility of 445 the BB (median speed 0.2 μ /min at 4-8s) is unexpected given the presence of a 446 growing cilium anchored to its distal part. It contrasts with the situation in the mouse 447 cochlea, where live-imaging of explants have suggested very slow and regular 448 movements of the BBs to the lateral cortex of inner hair cells (estimated speed of 10-50 nm/h) (¹⁹). BB motility decreases at later stages of polarization, coincident with 449 450 their posterior docking. Live imaging also uncovered a clear antero-posterior bias in 451 BB movements, indicating that the orientation of the forces underlying these

452 movements is biased along the polarization axis from early stages on. However, we 453 could not detect a significant global posteriorward bias in these movements in early-454 stages FP cells (Fig. 6a).

455 In fixed samples of *vanal2* mutants, the BBs remain at the center of the FP cell apical surfaces (⁵ and Fig. 7a-b), suggesting a decrease in their motility. Strikingly, our 456 dynamic analysis revealed that in *vangl2^{m209/m209}* embryos, BBs are still highly motile. 457 Major differences with wt BBs are that they make many contacts with lateral 458 459 membranes, whereas wt BBs do not, and that their contacts are shorter than for wt 460 BBs. This suggests that there are molecular cues at the membrane organizing/driving 461 BB movements, and that these cues are still present but disorganized in space in 462 PCP mutants.

Thus, our live imaging study uncovers a totally unexpected motile behavior of BBs during a cilia planar polarization process. It will be interesting to investigate whether this behavior is conserved in other tissues undergoing cilia translational polarity.

466 Par3 cortical patches recruit the BB.

We proposed the asymmetric maturation of cell junctions as a possible cause for 467 468 posterior BB positioning. Accordingly, we found that Par3 accumulated in patches at 469 the posterior apical junctions of FP cells before BB posterior docking. Moreover, we 470 showed that perturbed Par3 localization affected BB polarization. Interestingly, in the 471 Drosophila early gastrula ectoderm, Par3 isotropic distribution around apical junctions 472 contributes to epithelial integrity, but in aPKC loss of function mutants, Par3 473 accumulates as discrete patches that align along the dorso-ventral axis and "recruit" centrosomes (²⁵). Centrosome docking at discrete Par3 patches has also been 474

observed in Drosophila germ stem cells and is critical for proper division orientation
(²⁴). Together with these published data, our results on BB positioning in zebrafish FP
strongly suggest that Par3 may be broadly involved in recruitment of centrosomes
and BBs in different systems.

479 Our live imaging data strongly suggest that Par3 is involved in generating mechanical forces on BBs to pull it toward the membrane. First, the BB contacts the membrane 480 exclusively at Par3 patches. Second, membrane deformations support the existence 481 482 of mechanical forces between Par3 patches and BBs. Third, the predominance of 483 posterior digitations over anterior ones (Fig. 3b) suggests that more force is exerted on the BB from the posterior side, where Par3 is enriched. Such membrane 484 485 digitations have been previously observed during cell division in the C. elegans zygote $\binom{31}{1}$, in the *C. intestinalis* embryo ectoderm $\binom{32}{1}$ and in rare cases at the 486 immunological synapse (33). In all cases, the existence of pulling forces between the 487 488 centriole and the membrane has been proposed.

489 We propose that digitations are a consequence of mechanical forces between the BB 490 and Par3 patches rather than a driver of BB movement (as was previously hypothesized in Ciona embryos $(^{32})$). First, digitations were rare (even in Δ t10sec 491 492 movies, only half of BB movements were associated with digitations) and second, there was not a good correlation between the location of a digitation and the direction 493 494 of BB movement. One can wonder why digitation formation occurs for some BB 495 movements and not others. A possibility is that digitation formation depends both on 496 mechanical forces pulling the membrane and on cortical stiffness. BB movement and 497 digitation formation could thus depend on the balance between these two forces. It

would therefore be interesting to investigate the dynamics of cortical actin during BBmovements and digitation formation.

500 Possible role of microtubules in BB recruitment to Par3 patches

501 Our results suggest that mechanical forces between Par3 and the BB could be 502 exerted by microtubules. Dynamic microtubules link the BB and Par3 patches before 503 BB movements and/or digitation formation. Microtubules are required for membrane 504 digitations formation in several systems (³²,³¹) and are thus very likely to transmit the 505 mechanical forces between BB and Par3 patches that lead to BB movements and/or 506 membrane digitations.

507 An interesting further question concerns the mechanisms that regulate microtubule 508 dynamics to lead to BB movements. BB movements towards Par3 patches could 509 involve local microtubule depolymerization at the patch (Fig. 8b), coupled to 510 microtubule anchoring by dynein as proposed for the migration of the centrosome 511 toward the immunological synapse ("end-on-capture-shrinkage" mechanism)(³³). Indeed, Par3 can interact with Dynein (³⁴) and also with microtubules, directly (³⁵) or 512 indirectly via 14-3-3 proteins (³⁶). Consistent with a role for cortical dynein, a recent 513 514 study in mouse ependymal multi-ciliated cells showed a role of cortical dynein in the off-centering of BB clusters (37). Moreover, dynein cortical localization depends on 515 516 Daple, which is a known partner of Par3. Par3 could also regulate microtubule depolymerization via Rac1, which mediates Par3 function in the mouse cochlea (³⁸). 517 518 In different systems, Par3 regulates the local activity of Rac via the RacGEFs Tiam1 and Trio (^{39,40,41}). Par3 can increase microtubule catastrophe rate by inhibiting Trio in 519 neural crest cells (⁴²), and Rac1 can regulate microtubule dynamics via CLIP-170 or 520 Stathmin in other systems $(^{43}, ^{44})$. 521

522 Interestingly, microtubules also actively maintain BB polarity at later stages, as recently demonstrated (²¹), but the authors could not distinguish between a role of 523 microtubules as mechanical forces generators or as tracks for PCP proteins transport 524 525 and asymmetric localization: indeed in nocodazole-treated embryos, Vangl2 526 asymmetric localization is lost. This is an important caveat for studies that will try to 527 address the role of microtubules in BB positioning in a PCP context in more details, 528 since microtubules role in PCP protein transport and asymmetric localization seems to be widely conserved (^{45,46}). Finally, Par3 apical localization also depends on 529 microtubules in zebrafish embryo neural tube (⁴⁷): thus it might prove difficult to 530 531 disentangle the different potential roles of microtubules in the asymmetric positioning 532 of BBs of planar polarized ciliated epithelia.

533 The core PCP protein Vangl2 is involved in BB positioning via Par3 534 enrichment to the posterior membrane

In vangl2^{m209/m209} embryos, BBs show behavioral defects, making more lateral 535 movements and more contacts with lateral membranes than in wt embryos. 536 Strikingly, in vangl2 mutants as in wt, BBs always contacted the apical junctions at 537 Par3-positive patches. The altered behavior of BBs in *vangl2^{m209/m209}* embryos 538 539 correlated with a mislocalization of Par3 around the apical junctions of FP cells. Since 540 Par3 mislocalization affected BB polarization, we propose that Par3 posterior 541 enrichment and patch formation under the control of the PCP pathway is a main actor 542 in BB posterior positioning (Fig.8a).

543 How PCP proteins act on Par3 localization in the FP remains to be uncovered. In FP 544 cells, Vangl2 localizes anteriorly (⁴⁸); thus, Vangl2 effect on Par3 could be mediated 545 by Dvl. Indeed, Vangl2 is required for proper asymmetric localization of Dvl in planar

546 polarized tissues and DvI can recruit Par3 to the posterior membrane in Drosophila sensory organ precursors (⁴⁹). Dvl could also recruit Par3 via Daple, as this protein 547 colocalizes with Par3 in the mouse cochlea and can bind both Dvl and Par3 in yeast 548 two-hybrid assays (⁵⁰). Recent studies have shown that Par3 is asymmetrically 549 550 localized within the plane of the epithelium in several systems, like Drosophila ommatidia (⁵¹) and sensory organ precursors just before asymmetric division (⁵²), in 551 Xenopus embryo ectoderm (⁵³) and in the mouse cochlea (³⁸), suggesting that in 552 553 addition to its classical role in apico-basal polarization, Par3 might also be involved in 554 PCP across species and even considered a bona fide core PCP protein. It will be 555 interesting to investigate whether this Par3 posterior enrichment involves a positive feedback loop between BB and Par3 patches either directly by contact (54) or via 556 dynamic microtubule (+) ends $(^{55})$. 557

Finally, as asymmetric centriole positioning is now recognized as a conserved readout of PCP (56 , 57), it will be interesting to investigate whether Par3 has a conserved role in centriole/BB positioning in other species where BB/centriole offcentering has been described and also depends on PCP proteins, for example in the embryo of the jellyfish Clytia *hemisphaerica* (58) or in Drosophila pupal wing (56).

563

564

566 MATERIALS AND METHODS

567 Zebrafish handling and experimentation

568 Wild-type and mutant zebrafish embryos were obtained by natural spawning. We used wild-type AB or (TL x AB) hybrid strains, *vangl2*^{m209} mutants (³⁰, ZDB-GENO-569 190204-5), par3ab fh305 mutants (²⁷, ZDB-FISH-150901-20689) and created a 570 571 transgenic Netrin-KalTA4 line, expressing the KalTA4 transcriptional activator in 572 floor-plate cells. The Netrin-KalTA4 line was generated by injecting at the 1 cell stage 573 15pg of pNetrin-KalTA4 plasmid along with 20pg of Tol2 mRNA. To obtain the early 574 stages (4-8s), embryos were collected at 10 am and incubated for 9 h in a 33°C 575 incubator. To obtain later stages (14-20s), embryos were collected at 10 am and incubated for 2 h at 28 °C before being placed overnight in a 24 °C incubator. All our 576 577 experiments were made in agreement with the European Directive 210/63/EU on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes, and the French application decree 578 579 'Décret 2013-118'. The projects of our group have been approved by our local ethical 580 committee 'Comité d'éthique Charles Darwin'. The authorization number is 581 2015051912122771 v7 (APAFIS#957). The fish facility has been approved by the 582 French 'Service for animal protection and health' with approval number A-75-05-25.

583

584 **Plasmid construction**

585 **pUAS:flag-aPKC**: the rat aPKC (PKC zeta) coding sequence was amplified by 586 PCR from addgene plasmid #10799 using primers (flagaPKC-forward and reverse) 587 with 15bp 5' and 3' overhangs. Primers homologous to these overhangs (UASRho-588 forward and reverse) were used to amplify the backbone of the pUAS-RhoAwt 589 plasmid (Hanovice 2016) (thus removing the mCherry-RhoA sequence). The two

590 DNA fragments were then ligated into one plasmid with the InFusion HD cloning kit 591 (Takara).

592 pNetrin:KalTA4: a 1.4kb fragment from pCSKalTA4 comprising the KalTA4 593 promoter was amplified using the KalTA4-forward and KalTA4-reverse primers, then 594 digested with XhoI and NotI (NEB) and placed under the control of the floor-plate (⁵⁹) 595 specific 898bp Netrin1 enhancer from а Xhol/Notl-digested 596 pNetrin898:membCherry plasmid (Marie Breau, unpublished) by ligation with the T4 597 DNA ligase (NEB).

598

599 mRNA, morpholino and plasmids injection

600 mRNAs were synthesized from linearized pCS2 vectors using the mMESSAGE 601 mMACHINE SP6 transcription kit (Ambion). The following amounts of mRNA were 602 injected into one-cell stage embryos: 22 pg for Centrin-GFP, 40 pg for mbCherry 603 (membrane Cherry) or Membrane-GFP (Gap43-GFP). For Par3-RFP mosaic 604 expression, mRNAs were injected at the 16-cell stage in a single blastomere, using 605 50 pg for Par3-RFP live-imaging or 150pg Par3-RFP for over-expression experiments 606 along with Centrin-GFP and membrane-GFP mRNAs at the same concentration as for one-cell stage injections. Par3ab-MO was injected at a concentration of 0.3 mM at 607 608 one-cell stage. For triple MO injections (Par3aa, ab and ba coinjection) each MO was 609 diluted to 0.25 mM. MO sequences are given in the supplementary methods.

For flag-aPKC mosaic experiments, 25pg of pUAS:flag-aPKC were injected in
NetKalTA4 embryos at the 1 cell stage. This leads to mosaic expression due both to
the stochastic expression of KalTA4 and the mosaic delivery of plasmid to some cells

and not others.

614 Immunostaining

615 For immunostaining, embryos were fixed in Dent fixative (80% Methanol, 20% 616 DMSO) at 25°C for 2 h, blocked in 5% goat serum, 1% bovine serum albumin and 617 0.3% triton in PBS for 1 h at room temperature and incubated overnight at 4 °C with 618 primary antibodies and 2 h at room temperature with secondary antibodies. The yolk 619 was then removed and the embryo mounted dorsal side up in Vectashield medium on 620 a slide. Imaging was done using a Leica TCS SP5 AOBS upright confocal 621 microscope using a 63X oil lens. A list of antibodies is given in the supplementary 622 methods.

623 Live imaging

624 Embryos were dechorionated manually and mounted in 0.5% low-melting agarose in 625 E3 medium. Movies were recorded at the temperature of the imaging facility room (22 626 °C) on a Leica TCS SP5 AOBS upright confocal microscope using a 63X (NA 0.9) 627 water immersion lens. The embryos were mounted either with dorsal side up (for 628 early stages or after 18s, when FP cells apical surface is guite large) or on the side 629 (for most 13-18s embryos, when the apical surface of FP cells is narrower and when 630 the images are more blurred when taken from a dorsal view, maybe because of the 631 thickness of the overlying neural tube). The anterior side of the embryos was 632 positioned on the left and their antero-posterior axis aligned horizontally. A z-stack 633 was acquired every 2 or 5min (or in some rarer cases every 4min) for most analysis 634 ($\Delta t2min$ and $\Delta t5min$ movies) and every 10sec for some movies (digitations analysis 635 and microtubule dynamics in Fig.S2, Δ t10sec) with a z-step of 0.3 μ m. For each time-

point the z-stack extended from the most dorsal side of the notochord to neural cells above the FP for Δ t2min and Δ t5min movies but was narrower for Δ t10sec movies (to allow fast acquisition and reduce photobleaching and photodamage). For embryos mounted on the side, the z-stack extended through all the width of the FP. In every case, the z-stack encompassed FP cells apical surface with the moving BBs. For each time-point we then make a z-projection from a 3 μ m thick substack that encompass the apical centrioles/BB.

643

644 In situ hybridization

Embryos at the 16 s and 24 hpf were processed as previously described (⁶⁰). Matrices for probe synthesis were synthesized by PCR using either adult genomic DNA (for *par3aa*, *ba* and *bb*) or cDNA from 18 s embryos (for *par3ab*). Primer sequences are available in the supplementary methods.

649 Quantification and statistical analysis

All bar-plots, boxplot and violin plots and statistical tests (Wilcoxon or Fisher tests, see figure legends) were generated with R (version 3.3.2) and Rstudio (Version 1.1.463). ns (non-significant): p>0.05 , *: p<0.05, **: p<0.01, ***: p<0.001, ****: p<0.0001.

654 **BB position and movements**

In all our images, the antero-posterior axis (easily visualized thanks to the underlying notochord, whose cells have an elongated shape orthogonal to the antero-posterior axis) is horizontal and the anterior side of the embryo is toward the left. To assess the polarization of FP cells, we used FIJI to first make a *z*-projection of thickness 3µm

659 around the centrioles. We then manually measured the distance "a" by drawing a line 660 between the most posterior centriole and the posterior membrane that was parallel to 661 the antero-posterior axis of the embryo (ie parallel to the horizontal axis of the image) 662 and the distance "b" by drawing a similar line, at the same level and also parallel to 663 the antero-posterior axis, between the anterior and posterior membrane (Fig. 1a, 664 dorsal view). We used a similar method for embryos mounted on the side (Fig. 1a 665 lateral view). The polarization index (p.i.) was then calculated as 1-(a/b). In some rare 666 cases, the transverse membranes in our z-projection around the centrioles are blurry (Fig.2b); in these cases however, going slightly more basally through the z-stack 667 668 allows us to see a sharper membrane which is usually located just beneath the 669 boundary of the above blurry region.

To follow the evolution of polarization index, the distances "a" and "b" were measured manually at each time-frame in FIJI. These distances and the polarization index were then plotted using python matplotlib (Python 2.7.13) and analyzed with a custom python script to extract relevant information such as the frequency of contact with posterior membrane or percentage of total time spent in contact with posterior membrane. (Fig2 and FigS1).

For automatic tracking of BB movements (Fig5), BB were tracked using Image J TrackMate (⁶¹). The movements were then manually curated to only keep active BB movements and not BB movements due to global shift of cells (especially at early stages when convergence-extension movements are still important), and to indicate whether a contact with an anterior, posterior or lateral membrane occurred. The results were then processed using a custom python script to calculate each movement length and angle relative to the horizontal axis (ie the antero-posterior axis

of the embryo) and plotted using Python Matplotlib and R ggplot2. We defined
antero-posterior movements as the ones with angles relative to horizontal axis
inferior to 45° (ie in the intervals [315-45°] and [135-225°] in Fig. 6a).

For all analyzes, lateral membranes are defined as the ones more parallel to the horizontal axis and transverse membranes as the ones more orthogonal to the horizontal axis. The transition between lateral and transverse (anterior and posterior) membranes is evidenced by "Y"-shaped tri-cellular junctions (as in Fig. 4a) or sharp turns in the membrane (as in Fig. 7f).

691 **Par3-RFP posterior/anterior ratio**

Fluorescence intensity was measured along the anterior-posterior length of isolated labelled FP cells in FIJI. A custom python script was then used to extract the first quarter (cell anterior side) and last quarter (cell posterior side) of fluorescence intensity values, to determine the area under each curve (corresponding to fluorescence intensity), calculate the post/ant ratio and plot it along with the polarization index (see BB movements analysis section).

698 Par3 peaks quantification

Fluorescence intensity from immunostained embryos was measured along FP cells transverse membranes and exported to Matlab (R2018a). For each cell, the "findpeaks" function was used to detect Par3 fluoresence peaks and measure their prominence, which was then normalized by the minimal Par3 fluorescence value along the junction.

704

705 Additional softwares

Adobe Photoshop was used to assemble the figures. Fig. 1a was done in Microsoft
Powerpoint and Fig. 8 with Adobe Illustrator.

708

709 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

710

711 We are grateful to the aquatic animal and cell imaging facilities of the IBPS (Institut 712 de Biologie Paris-Seine FR3631, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Paris, France) for their 713 technical assistance. We thank Teresa Ferraro for sharing her expertise in image 714 analysis, Marie Breau for her help in setting up the live imaging protocol, Isabelle 715 Anselme for participation in genotyping and Sophie Gournet for her help in the design 716 of Fig. 8. We thank Paula Alexandre for the kind gift of Par3-RFP construct, Andreas 717 Wodarz for the BazP1085 antibody, Maximilien Furthauer for the vangl2^{m209} line. We 718 thank Nicolas David and Marie Breau for critical reading and insightful comments on 719 the manuscript. This work was supported by funding from the Agence Nationale pour 720 la Recherche (ANR, project CILIAINTHEBRAIN to SSM) and the Fondation pour la 721 Recherche Médicale (Equipe FRM DEQ20140329544 and EQU201903007943 funding 722 to SSM). A.D was supported by fellowships from the Ecole Normale Supérieure de 723 Cachan and from the Fondation ARC contre le Cancer. The authors declare no 724 competing financial interests.

725

726

727

729 **REFERENCES**

730

731 1. Wallingford, J. B. Planar cell polarity signaling, cilia and polarized ciliary beating.

732 *Current Opinion in Cell Biology* **22**, 597–604 (2010).

- 733 2. Meunier, A. & Azimzadeh, J. Multiciliated Cells in Animals. *Cold Spring Harb*
- 734 Perspect Biol 8, a028233 (2016).
- 7353.Montcouquiol, M. *et al.* Identification of Vangl2 and Scrb1 as planar polarity genes

736 in mammals. **423**, 5 (2003).

737 4. Mitchell, B. *et al.* The PCP Pathway Instructs the Planar Orientation of Ciliated

Cells in the Xenopus Larval Skin. *Current Biology* **19**, 924–929 (2009).

5. Borovina, A., Superina, S., Voskas, D. & Ciruna, B. Vangl2 directs the posterior

tilting and asymmetric localization of motile primary cilia. *Nature Cell Biology* 12, 407–
412 (2010).

742 6. Mirzadeh, Z., Han, Y.-G., Soriano-Navarro, M., Garcia-Verdugo, J. M. & Alvarez-

Buylla, A. Cilia Organize Ependymal Planar Polarity. *Journal of Neuroscience* 30, 2600–
2610 (2010).

745 7. Song, H. *et al.* Planar cell polarity breaks bilateral symmetry by controlling ciliary
746 positioning. *Nature* 466, 378–382 (2010).

7478.Boutin, C., Goffinet, A. M. & Tissir, F. Chapter Seven - Celsr1-3 Cadherins in PCP

and Brain Development. in *Current Topics in Developmental Biology* (ed. Yang, Y.) vol.

749 101 161–183 (Academic Press, 2012).

750 9. Hirota, Y. *et al.* Planar polarity of multiciliated ependymal cells involves the

anterior migration of basal bodies regulated by non-muscle myosin II. *Development* **137**,

752 3037–3046 (2010).

10. Hegan, P. S., Ostertag, E., Geurts, A. M. & Mooseker, M. S. Myosin Id is required for

planar cell polarity in ciliated tracheal and ependymal epithelial cells. *Cytoskeleton* **72**,

755 503–516 (2015).

Hashimoto, M. *et al.* Planar polarization of node cells determines the rotational
axis of node cilia. *Nature Cell Biology* **12**, 170–176 (2010).

758 12. Grimsley-Myers, C. M., Sipe, C. W., Geleoc, G. S. G. & Lu, X. The Small GTPase Rac1

Regulates Auditory Hair Cell Morphogenesis. Journal of Neuroscience 29, 15859–15869

760 (2009).

13. Ezan, J. *et al.* Primary cilium migration depends on G-protein signalling control of
subapical cytoskeleton. *Nature Cell Biology* **15**, 1107–1115 (2013).

763 14. Tarchini, B., Jolicoeur, C. & Cayouette, M. A Molecular Blueprint at the Apical

Surface Establishes Planar Asymmetry in Cochlear Hair Cells. *Developmental Cell* **27**, 88–

765 102 (2013).

766 15. Ross, A. J. *et al.* Disruption of Bardet-Biedl syndrome ciliary proteins perturbs

planar cell polarity in vertebrates. *Nature Genetics* **37**, 1135–1140 (2005).

Jones, C. *et al.* Ciliary proteins link basal body polarization to planar cell polarity
regulation. *Nature Genetics* 40, 69–77 (2008).

Mahuzier, A. *et al.* Dishevelled stabilization by the ciliopathy protein Rpgrip1l is
essential for planar cell polarity. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **198**, 927–940 (2012).

18. Ohata, S. *et al.* Mechanosensory Genes Pkd1 and Pkd2 Contribute to the Planar

Polarization of Brain Ventricular Epithelium. *Journal of Neuroscience* 35, 11153–11168
(2015).

19. Lepelletier, L., de Monvel, J. B., Buisson, J., Desdouets, C. & Petit, C. Auditory Hair

776 Cell Centrioles Undergo Confined Brownian Motion Throughout the Developmental

777 Migration of the Kinocilium. *Biophysical Journal* **105**, 48–58 (2013).

778 20. Thouvenin, O. *et al.* Origin and role of the cerebrospinal fluid bidirectional flow in

- the central canal. *eLife* **9**, e47699 (2020).
- 780 21. Mathewson, A. W., Berman, D. G. & Moens, C. B. Microtubules are required for the
- 781 maintenance of planar cell polarity in monociliated floorplate cells. *Developmental*
- 782 *Biology* (2019) doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2019.04.007.
- 783 22. Fame, R. M., Chang, J. T., Hong, A., Aponte-Santiago, N. A. & Sive, H. Directional
- cerebrospinal fluid movement between brain ventricles in larval zebrafish. *Fluids and*
- 785 *Barriers of the CNS* **13**, (2016).
- 786 23. Sepich, D. S., Usmani, M., Pawlicki, S. & Solnica-Krezel, L. Wnt/PCP signaling
- 787 controls intracellular position of MTOCs during gastrulation convergence and extension
- 788 movements. *Development* **138**, 543–552 (2011).
- 789 24. Inaba, M., Venkei, Z. G. & Yamashita, Y. M. The polarity protein Baz forms a
- platform for the centrosome orientation during asymmetric stem cell division in the
- 791 Drosophila male germline. *Elife* **4**, e04960 (2015).
- 792 25. Jiang, T., McKinley, R. F. A., McGill, M. A., Angers, S. & Harris, T. J. C. A Par-1-Par-3-
- 793 Centrosome Cell Polarity Pathway and Its Tuning for Isotropic Cell Adhesion. *Current*
- 794 *Biology* **25**, 2701–2708 (2015).
- 795 26. Krahn, M. P., Egger-Adam, D. & Wodarz, A. PP2A Antagonizes Phosphorylation of
- 796 Bazooka by PAR-1 to Control Apical-Basal Polarity in Dividing Embryonic Neuroblasts.
- 797 Developmental Cell **16**, 901–908 (2009).
- 798 27. Blasky, A. J., Pan, L., Moens, C. B. & Appel, B. Pard3 regulates contact between
- neural crest cells and the timing of Schwann cell differentiation but is not essential for
- 800 neural crest migration or myelination: PARD3 IN NC MIGRATION AND SCHWANN CELL
- 801 MYELINATION. *Developmental Dynamics* **243**, 1511–1523 (2014).
- 802 28. Liu, Z. *et al.* Par complex cluster formation mediated by phase separation. *Nat*
- 803 *Commun* **11**, 2266 (2020).

804 29. Distel, M., Wullimann, M. F. & Köster, R. W. Optimized Gal4 genetics for

805 permanent gene expression mapping in zebrafish. *Proceedings of the National Academy*

806 of Sciences **106**, 13365–13370 (2009).

807 30. Solnica-Krezel, L. *et al.* Mutations affecting cell fates and cellular rearrangements
808 during gastrulation in zebrafish. 14.

809 31. Redemann, S. et al. Membrane Invaginations Reveal Cortical Sites that Pull on

810 Mitotic Spindles in One-Cell C. elegans Embryos. *PLoS ONE* **5**, e12301 (2010).

811 32. Negishi, T., Miyazaki, N., Murata, K., Yasuo, H. & Ueno, N. Physical association

812 between a novel plasma-membrane structure and centrosome orients cell division. *eLife*

813 **5**, e16550 (2016).

814 33. Yi, J. *et al.* Centrosome repositioning in T cells is biphasic and driven by

815 microtubule end-on capture-shrinkage. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **202**, 779–792 (2013).

816 34. Schmoranzer, J. *et al.* Par3 and Dynein Associate to Regulate Local Microtubule

817 Dynamics and Centrosome Orientation during Migration. Current Biology 19, 1065–

818 1074 (2009).

819 35. Chen, S. *et al.* Regulation of Microtubule Stability and Organization by Mammalian
820 Par3 in Specifying Neuronal Polarity. *Developmental Cell* 24, 26–40 (2013).

821 36. Benton, R. & St Johnston, D. Drosophila PAR-1 and 14-3-3 inhibit Bazooka/PAR-3

to establish complementary cortical domains in polarized cells. *Cell* **115**, 691–704

823 (2003).

37. Takagishi, M., Esaki, N., Takahashi, K. & Takahashi, M. Cytoplasmic Dynein
Functions in Planar Polarization of Basal Bodies within Ciliated Cells. *iScience* 23,
101213 (2020).

827 38. Landin Malt, A. *et al.* Par3 is essential for the establishment of planar cell polarity
828 of inner ear hair cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 201816333
- 829 (2019) doi:10.1073/pnas.1816333116.
- 830 39. Nishimura, T. *et al.* PAR-6–PAR-3 mediates Cdc42-induced Rac activation through
- the Rac GEFs STEF/Tiam1. *Nature Cell Biology* **7**, 270–277 (2005).
- 832 40. Matsuzawa, K. et al. PAR3-aPKC regulates Tiam1 by modulating suppressive
- 833 internal interactions. *Mol Biol Cell* **27**, 1511–1523 (2016).
- 834 41. Zhang, H. & Macara, I. G. The polarity protein PAR-3 and TIAM1 cooperate in
- dendritic spine morphogenesis. *Nature Cell Biology* **8**, 227–237 (2006).
- 836 42. Moore, R. *et al.* Par3 controls neural crest migration by promoting microtubule
- 837 catastrophe during contact inhibition of locomotion. *Development* **140**, 4763–4775
- 838 (2013).
- 839 43. Fukata, M. *et al.* Rac1 and Cdc42 capture microtubules through IQGAP1 and CLIP840 170. *Cell* **109**, 873–885 (2002).
- 841 44. Wittmann, T., Bokoch, G. M. & Waterman-Storer, C. M. Regulation of Microtubule
- 842 Destabilizing Activity of Op18/Stathmin Downstream of Rac1. Journal of Biological
- 843 *Chemistry* **279**, 6196–6203 (2004).
- 844 45. Shimada, Y., Yonemura, S., Ohkura, H., Strutt, D. & Uemura, T. Polarized Transport
- of Frizzled along the Planar Microtubule Arrays in Drosophila Wing Epithelium.
- 846 *Developmental Cell* **10**, 209–222 (2006).
- 847 46. Vladar, E. K., Bayly, R. D., Sangoram, A. M., Scott, M. P. & Axelrod, J. D.
- 848 Microtubules Enable the Planar Cell Polarity of Airway Cilia. *Current Biology* 22, 2203–
 849 2212 (2012).
- 850 47. Buckley, C. E. *et al.* Mirror-symmetric microtubule assembly and cell interactions
- drive lumen formation in the zebrafish neural rod. *The EMBO Journal* **32**, 30–44 (2012).
- 48. Davey, C. F., Mathewson, A. W. & Moens, C. B. PCP Signaling between Migrating
- 853 Neurons and their Planar-Polarized Neuroepithelial Environment Controls Filopodial

B54 Dynamics and Directional Migration. *PLOS Genetics* **12**, e1005934 (2016).

- 855 49. Banerjee, J. J. *et al.* Meru couples planar cell polarity with apical-basal polarity
- during asymmetric cell division. *eLife* **6**, (2017).
- 857 50. Siletti, K., Tarchini, B. & Hudspeth, A. J. Daple coordinates organ-wide and cell-
- 858 intrinsic polarity to pattern inner-ear hair bundles. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
- *of Sciences* 201716522 (2017) doi:10.1073/pnas.1716522115.
- 860 51. Aigouy, B. & Le Bivic, A. The PCP pathway regulates Baz planar distribution in
- epithelial cells. *Scientific Reports* **6**, 33420 (2016).
- 862 52. Besson, C. *et al.* Planar Cell Polarity Breaks the Symmetry of PAR Protein
- 863 Distribution prior to Mitosis in Drosophila Sensory Organ Precursor Cells. *Current*
- 864 *Biology* **25**, 1104–1110 (2015).
- 865 53. Chuykin, I., Ossipova, O. & Sokol, S. Y. Par3 interacts with Prickle3 to generate
- apical PCP complexes in the vertebrate neural plate. *eLife* **7**, e37881 (2018).
- 867 54. Saturno, D. M. *et al.* Sustained centrosome-cortical contact ensures robust
- 868 polarization of the one-cell C. elegans embryo. *Developmental Biology* (2017)
- 869 doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2016.12.025.
- 870 55. Akhmanova, A., Stehbens, S. J. & Yap, A. S. Touch, Grasp, Deliver and Control:
- Functional Cross-Talk Between Microtubules and Cell Adhesions. *Traffic* 10, 268–274
 (2009).
- S6. Carvajal-Gonzalez, J. M., Roman, A.-C. & Mlodzik, M. Positioning of centrioles is a
 conserved readout of Frizzled planar cell polarity signalling. *Nature Communications* 7,
 11135 (2016).
- 876 57. Carvajal-Gonzalez, J. M., Mulero-Navarro, S. & Mlodzik, M. Centriole positioning in
 877 epithelial cells and its intimate relationship with planar cell polarity. *BioEssays* 38,
 878 1234–1245 (2016).

- 879 Momose, T., Kraus, Y. & Houliston, E. A conserved function for Strabismus in 58.
- 880 establishing planar cell polarity in the ciliated ectoderm during cnidarian larval
- 881 development. Development 139, 4374-4382 (2012).
- 882 59. Rastegar, S. et al. A Floor Plate Enhancer of the Zebrafish netrin1 Gene Requires
- 883 Cyclops (Nodal) Signalling and the Winged Helix Transcription Factor FoxA2.
- 884 *Developmental Biology* **252**, 1–14 (2002).
- 885 Iowett, T. & Lettice, L. Whole-mount in situ hybridizationon zebrafish embryos 60.
- 886 using a mixture of digoxigenin- and fluorescein-labelled probes. *Trends in Genetics* **10**,
- 887 73-74 (1994).
- 888 Tinevez, J.-Y. et al. TrackMate: An open and extensible platform for single-particle 61. 889
- tracking. *Methods* 115, 80-90 (2017).
- 890 62. Wei, X. *et al.* The zebrafish Pard3 ortholog is required for separation of the eye
- 891 fields and retinal lamination. *Developmental Biology* **269**, 286–301 (2004).
- 892 63. Megason, S. G. In Toto Imaging of Embryogenesis with Confocal Time-Lapse
- 893 Microscopy. in Zebrafish (eds. Lieschke, G. J., Oates, A. C. & Kawakami, K.) vol. 546 317-
- 894 332 (Humana Press, 2009).
- 895 64. Pouthas, F. *et al.* In migrating cells, the Golgi complex and the position of the
- 896 centrosome depend on geometrical constraints of the substratum. *Journal of Cell Science*
- 897 121, 2406-2414 (2008).
- 898 65. Alexandre, P., Reugels, A. M., Barker, D., Blanc, E. & Clarke, J. D. W. Neurons derive
- 899 from the more apical daughter in asymmetric divisions in the zebrafish neural tube.
- 900 *Nature Neuroscience* **13**, 673–679 (2010).
- 901 Hanovice, N. J., McMains, E. & Gross, J. M. A GAL4-inducible transgenic tool kit for 66.
- 902 the in vivo modulation of Rho GTPase activity in zebrafish: Modulating Rho GTPase
- 903 Activity in zebrafish. *Developmental Dynamics* **245**, 844–853 (2016).

904 FIGURE LEGENDS

905

906 Figure 1 Zebrafish floor-plate progressive planar polarization during 907 somitogenesis.

908 a) Experimental set-up used to study floor-plate planar polarization in fixed or live 909 embryos. Early stage embryo (4-12s stages) or late (after 18s) stage embryos, which 910 displayed floor-plate cells with large apical surfaces were usually imaged from the top 911 (dorsal view, upper part of the figure, see also b)), whereas embryos at intermediate 912 stages (with narrower apical surfaces) were imaged from the side (lateral view, 913 bottom half of the figure). A polarization index (defined as p.i.=1-(a/b) where "a" is the 914 distance between the BB and the posterior membrane and "b" the distance between 915 anterior and posterior membranes) was used to quantify BB position along the 916 antero-posterior axis.

917 **b-c)** Time-course of floor-plate polarization between the 6 s and 26 s stages **b**) 918 Dorsal views of the floor-plate of flat-mounted embryos showing immunostaining 919 against Centrin (green, BB), ZO1 (magenta, apical junctions) and Acetylated-Tubulin 920 (white, cilia) at 12 s (left) and 26 s (right). Note that cilia are already visible at 12 s 921 but are much longer at 26 s. The yellow arrow points at an anterior BB associated to 922 a cilium. Scale bar: 2 µm c) Quantification of BB position measured from immuno-923 stained samples as shown in a. BB position along the anterior-posterior axis was 924 quantified using the polarization index. Cells were then allocated to different 925 categories depending on their polarization index for each developmental stage (6 s: 926 7embryos, 108 cells ; 8 s: 14 embryos, 224 cells ; 10 s: 14 embryos, 354 cells ; 12 s: 927 5 embryos, 156 cells ; 14 s: 9 embryos, 208 cells ; 16 s,: 9 embryos, 220 cells ; 18 s:

928 5 embryos, 143 cells ; 26 s: 4 embryos, 119 cells). Statistical significance was
929 assessed using a Wilcoxon test.

930

Figure 2 Floor-plate planar polarization involves a change in basal body (BB) motile behavior.

933 a-d) Live imaging of BB movements during the polarization process. Images were 934 taken every 5 minutes; a selection of images is presented here from two early stage 935 embryos (a, b, movies between the 6 s and 9 s stages; d yellow arrow in b points at 936 an anterior contact event) and two late stage embryos (c, d, movies between the 18 937 s and 21 s stages). The distances between BBs and posterior membranes were then 938 plotted (green curve) along with the distance between the anterior and posterior 939 membranes (magenta curve) and the p.i. (dashed blue curve). Black arrows on the 940 graphs indicate the position of the images displayed on the left. e) Quantification of 941 the percentage of total movie time spent by the BB in contact with the posterior 942 membrane. (4-8s: 5 embryos, 41 cells; 13-17s: 6 embryos, 38 cells; 17-21s: 7 943 embryos, 59 cells). f, g) Number of contact events per h between BB and anterior (f) 944 or posterior (**g**) membrane in embryos filmed at different developmental stages: 4 to 945 8 s (5 embryos, 41 cells), 13 to 17 s (5 embryos, 25 cells) and 17 to 21 s (7 embryos, 946 32 cells). Cells with a BB in contact with the posterior membrane during the whole 947 movie (points at 100% in Fig. 1e) were not plotted in f and g. Statistical significance 948 was assessed using a Wilcoxon test. Scale bars: $2 \mu m$.

949

Figure 3 Membrane digitations link BBs to transverse membranes during FP polarization

952 **a)** Images taken from live-imaging ($\Delta t = 2$ or 5 min between two images) showing a 953 posterior digitation (top) and an anterior digitation (bottom) (white arrows). Time (in 954 min) is indicated in the upper-left corner. Short mbCherry-positive digitations, 955 presumably corresponding to cilia, were in some cases associated to the BB (yellow 956 arrowheads). These membrane digitations were rare in late stage embryos (6/57 957 cells out of 10 embryos) compared to early embryos (44/68 cells from 9 embryos), 958 suggesting that Mb-Cherry entry into cilia is less common at later stages, which could 959 reflect a maturation of the "ciliary gate", a set of proteins regulating entry in and exit 960 out of cilia. b) Proportion of posterior and anterior digitations (54 digitations, 8 961 embryos, 22 cells) c) Number of timepoints where anterior or posterior digitations 962 were detected in time-lapse movies with $\Delta t = 2$ or 5 minutes ($\Delta t 2 min$: 6 anterior, 23 963 posterior digitations, 4 embryos, 8 cells. At5min: 3 anterior and 22 posterior 964 digitations, 3 embryos, 13 cells, Wilcoxon tests) d) BB movements after anterior (left 965 bar) or posterior digitation (48 digitations, 8 embryos, 22 cells, Fisher test)

966

- 967
- 968

969 Figure 4. Par3 is asymmetrically localized in FP cells and forms

970 patches at which almost all BB/membrane contacts occur.

a) Individual cells from dorsal views of 14 s stage embryos showing IF with a Par3
antibody in FP cells. Two distinct cells are shown. Par3 localizes at apical junctions

973 and is enriched at tricellular junctions (yellow arrowhead) and in patches at 974 transverse membranes (white arrows), whether the BB is in contact with the posterior 975 membrane (left images) or not (right image). b) Representative images of mosaically 976 labelled FP cells expressing Par3-RFP and Centrin-GFP at early (8 s, left) or late (17 977 s, right) stages. Yellow arrows point at posterior Par3-RFP enrichment. Boxplots on 978 the right show quantification of Par3-RFP posterior/anterior fluorescence intensity 979 ratio in fully polarized FP cells at early and late stages. The red dotted line indicates a 980 ratio of 1 (corresponding to a symmetric Par3-RFP distribution) (6-12s, mean ratio= 981 1.42, 7 embryos, 9 cells; 14-20s mean ratio =1.38, 13 embryos, 21 cells, Wilcoxon 982 test). **c-d)** Images of time-lapse movies showing individual FP cells from embryos 983 mosaically expressing Par3-RFP (magenta) and centrin-GFP (green) (lateral view). 984 Par3-RFP posterior/anterior fluorescence intensity ratio is plotted on the right plots 985 (magenta curve) along with the polarization index (« p.i. », dashed blue curve). Black 986 arrows on plots indicate the time-points corresponding to the images displayed on the 987 left. c) FP cell with Par3 posterior enrichment in an embryo filmed between the 15 s 988 and 17 s stages. Par3 posterior enrichment starts 20 min after the beginning of the 989 movie (magenta arrow), 10 min before BB/posterior membrane contact (green 990 arrow). d) FP cell with no posterior Par3 enrichment (Par3-RFP post/ant ratio close 991 to 1) with a BB oscillating around the middle of the apical surface, in an embryo 992 filmed between 17 s and 19 s.

e-f) Images from time lapse movies of early stage embryos mosaically injected with centrin-GFP (green), Membrane-GFP (green) and Par3-RFP (magenta) mRNAs. All pictures are dorsal views of FP cells. **e)** global view of 6 adjacent FP cells; white arrows point at Par3 patches (aligned along the AP axis) with which BB will make

997 contacts during the movie. **f**) Example of a BB moving back and forth and contacting 998 the membrane at Par3 patches. Posterior and anterior membrane digitations 999 originating from Par3 patches and partially coated with Par3 can also be seen. Yellow 1000 arrows point to posterior (t=0') and anterior (t=64') digitations. White arrowheads 1001 point to Par3 patches. Par3 patch deformation can be seen at t=64' and at t=0' 1002 (images on the right show a close-up on the framed region at t=0'). Scale bars: 2μ m. 1003

1004 Figure 5 Disruption of FP polarization by Par3 or aPKC overexpression

a) Polarization index (p.i., cf Fig. 1) of Par3-RFP negative and positive FP cells from
 embryos mosaically over-expressing Par3-RFP. Representative immunostaining
 pictures of FP from embryos mosaically over-expressing Par3-RFP are displayed on
 the left; yellow arrows point at mispositioned BBs in Par3-RFP over-expressing cells.

1009 (538 Par3RFP negative cells and 375 Par3RFP positive cells from 20 embryos)

b) Polarization index of flag-aPKC negative and positive FP cells in Netrin-KalTA4
embryos injected with a UAS:flag-aPKC construct (241 negative cells and 79 positive
cells from 24 embryos). Representative immunostaining pictures of FP from embryos
mosaically over-expressing flag-aPKC are displayed on the left; yellow arrows point
at mispositioned BBs in flag-aPKC over-expressing cells.

c) Par3 patches prominence is defined as the height of Par3 fluorescence peak
relative to the highest and nearest valley (local fluorescence minimum). For each cell,
prominence is normalized by the lowest Par3 intensity value. Right scheme: yellow
arrows: tricellular junctions; white bar: orientation of the fluorescence measurement
along the transverse membrane, star: position of Par3 patch

d) Quantification of FP cell polarization index (upper plots) and Par3 patches
prominence (bottom plots) in embryos mosaically expressing flag-aPKC. (experiment
1:9 embryos, 23 flag-aPKC positive and 70 negative cells. experiment 2: 6 embryos,

1023 20 flag-aPKC positive and 56 negative cells).

1024 Scale bar: $2\mu m$. Comparison was done using a Wilcoxon test.

1025

1026 Figure 6 Abnormal BB behaviors in *vangl2^{m209}* mutant FP

a) BB movements in wt (left column) and *vangl2^{m209}* (right column) embryos at early 1027 1028 (first line) and late (second line) developmental stages. Each dot represents the 1029 endpoint of a single BB movement, the starting point being the center of the circle; 1030 thus, the angles outside the circle represent BB movements orientation relative to the 1031 embryo anteroposterior axis, and the distance relative to the center of the circle 1032 represents its length (each circle radius corresponds to 7 μ m). The color of the dots 1033 indicates whether a movements leads to a membrane contact and, if so, the nature of 1034 the contact (anterior, posterior or lateral). (early stages: wt 8 embryos, 20 cells, 238 movements; vangl2^{m209}: 9 embryos, 20 cells, 220 movements. Late stages: wt 4 1035 embryos, 19 cells, 255 movements; $vangl2^{m209}$: 4 embryos, 42 cells, 708 1036 1037 movements).

b, c) Orientation of BB movements in wt and *vangl2^{m209}* embryos at early (b) or late
(c) stages. d, e) BB movements speed , nature of membrane contacts and total time
spent in contact with membranes at early (d) and late (e) stages.

1041 Statistical tests: Wilcoxon test for comparison of BB speeds; Fisher test for 1042 comparison of BB movements orientation and BB/membrane contacts.

1043 Figure 7 Par3 clustering and localization in *vangl2^{m209}* mutant FP

a) Polarization index of *vangl2^{m209/m209}* determined from immunostaining data wt: 2 1044 embryos, 49 cells; *vangl2^{m209/+}*: 3 embryos, 66 cells; *vangl2^{m209/m209}*: 5 embryos, 57 1045 cells. b) Immunostaining of phoshorylated Par3 (BazP1085 antibody) in vanal $2^{+/+}$ 1046 (wt) and *vangl2^{m209/m209}* embryo FP at 18 s. In each case ZO1 staining was removed 1047 in the right image to reveal Par3 patches (yellow arrows). c) Quantification of the 1048 1049 number of Par3 patches per cell on transverse membranes from immunostaining data as shown in b. d) Prominence of Par3 patches (BazP1085 antibody) in wt and 1050 vangl2^{m209/m209} mutant embryo FP at 18 s In a-d, vangl2^{+/+}: 7 embryos, 186 cells; 1051 $vangl2^{m209/+}$: 5 embryos, 112 cells; $vangl2^{m209/m209}$: 7 embryos, 129 cells. e) 1052 Percentage of cells displaying a lateral Par3-RFP patch in live-imaging experiments 1053 (such as the one described in f). $vangl2^{+/+}$ and $vangl2^{m209/+}$: 16 embryos, 45 cells; 1054 vangl2^{m209/m209}: 7 embryos, 17 cells. **f)** Images from 1055 movies of 5s vangl2^{m209/m209} embryos mosaically injected with Par3-RFP, Centrin-GFP and 1056 1057 Membrane-GFP mRNA at the 16-32 cell stage. Yellow arrows point at contact events 1058 between lateral Par3 patches and BBs.

1059 Statistical tests: Wilcoxon test for comparison of p.i. and prominence; Fisher test for 1060 comparison of patch number and percentage of cells with lateral patches.

1061 Figure 8 Summary of the main findings and hypothetical mechanism

a) Scheme showing BB behavior at early and late stages in the FP of wt and vangl2 mutants. In wt at early stages of polarization, the BB makes back and forth movements (dotted lines) between anterior and posterior Par3 patches (magenta) but very few lateral movements. In contrast, in *vangl2* mutants, BBs make more lateral

movements and contacts and these contacts always occur at ectopic lateral Par3 patches. At later stages, the BB displays low motility. In wt, BBs are either docked to posterior Par3 patch or move in the posterior side of the cell, close to the patch, whereas in *vangl2* mutants BBs move around the center of the apical surface, which is probably due to earlier polarization defects and the still abnormal dispersion of Par3 patches around the apical junctions. Note that in both wt and *vangl2* embryos, at all stages studied, cilia are present and do not seem to impair BB movements.

1073 **b)** Hypothetical microtubule-based mechanism for BB polarization.

Within the apical surface, dynamic microtubules emanate in all direction from the BB but can be captured at Par3 patches, at which their depolymerization is triggered (in this case at the posterior patch). The resulting mechanical force pulls on the membrane and the BB, which can result in digitation formation and/or BB movement towards the patch. The posterior Par3 enrichment makes it more likely for posterior pulling to happen (compared to anterior pulling) which ultimately results in a stably docked posterior BB at later stages.

1081

1083 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

1084 Methods

1085 Antibodies

Antibody	Source	Identifier	Dilution
Mouse monoclonal IgG2a	Merck Millipore	# 04-1624	1:200
anti-centrin (clone 20H5)		RRID: AB_10563501	
Mouse monoclonal IgG1	Invitrogen	RRID: AB_2533147	1:400
anti-ZO1 (clone ZO1-1A12)			
Mouse monoclonal IgG2b	Sigma-Aldrich	#T 6793 RRID:	1:400
anti-acetylated-tubulin		AB_477585	
(clone 6-11B-1)			
Rabbit polyclonal anti-Par3	Merck Millipore	#07-330	1:200
		RRID:AB_11213581	
Rabbit polyclonal anti-	26	N/A	1:200
phosphorylated-Ser1085-			
Bazooka			
Rabbit polyclonal anti-	Takara	# 632496	1:400
DsRed		RRID:AB_10013483	
Mouse IgG1 anti-FLAG	Sigma	F3165	1:100
(M2)			
Goat anti-mouse IgG1	Molecular probes	# A-21126	1:400
Alexa633		RRID:AB_2535768	

Goat anti-mouse IgG2a	Molecular probes	# A-21134	1:400
Alexa568		RRID:AB_2535773	
Goat anti-mouse IgG2a	Molecular probes	# A-21131	1:400
Alexa488		RRID:AB_141618	
Goat anti-mouse IgG2b	Molecular probes	# A-21146	1:400
Alexa633		RRID:AB_2535782	
Goat anti-rabbit IgG	Molecular probes	# A-11011	1:400
Alexa568		RRID:AB_143157	

1086

1087 Oligonucleotides, Plasmides and Morpholinos

Construct/oligonucleotide	Source
Par3ab-MO tcaaaggctcccgtgctctggtgtc	62
Par3aa-MO	this paper
attcgcccgatattcccggagactc	
Par3ba-MO	this paper
ccgaaaatgaccgtcaccttcatct	
Par3aa-forward	this paper
gacaaccccgtaaaaaccccag	
Par3aa-reverse	this paper
taatacgactcactatagg tccccaccataatctctaagccc	
Par3ab-forward	this paper
ggctgatgagattaacctcacaatg	

Par3ab-reverse	this paper
taatacgactcactataggcctgctcgcttatttttcccctg	
Par3ba-forward	this paper
gcctgttgacgaatatccaaaccag	
Par3ba-reverse	this paper
taatacgactcactataggcaacggttacaggttttcacctttct	
Par3bb-forward	this paper
gcaccagctgaccctaatgagt	
Par3bb-reverse	this paper
taatacgactcactatagg cactcatgaagtgaatcatgctgtcg	
KalTA4-forward	this paper
atgcctcgaggccaccatg	
KalTA4-reverse	this paper
cggttacgtaacccgggccat	
flagaPKC-forward	this paper
aaagcaggctttgcc aaa catggactataaggacgatgatgac	
flagaPKC-reverse	this paper
caagaaagctgggtc atcgatacgcgtggtaccaga	
UASRho-forward	this paper
gacccagctttcttgtacaaagtgg	
UASRho-reverse	this paper
ggcaaagcctgcttttttgtac	

pCS2-Membrane-Cherry	63
pCS2-GFPhumcentrin1	64
pCS2+-Par3-RFP	65
pCS-Gap43-GFP	David Wilkinson, unpublished
pUAS:mCherry-F2A-myc-RhoA ^{w1}	66
pFLAG.PKCzeta	Addgene #10799
pUAS-flag-aPKC	this paper
pCSKalTA4	29
pNetrin898:membCherry	Marie Breau, unpublished
pNetrinKalTA4	this paper

_ . . .

1100 SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE LEGENDS

Supplementary Figure S1: Further characterization of FP polarization inspace and time.

a) Quantification of FP polarization along the AP axis at 12 s. Analysis was
performed on fixed immunostained embryos as described in Fig. 1a. Comparison
between stages was done using a Wilcoxon test.

1106 b) Still images from FP BB (green) and membrane (magenta) live imaging (dorsal 1107 view, start at 14s stage). The yellow arrow points to BB that will move and make 1108 contacts with the posterior membrane between 0 and 50 min after the movie started. 1109 White arrowheads point at BBs in adjacent cells that stay in contact with the posterior 1110 membrane during this time interval. c) BB speed measured from live-imaging data at 1111 different developmental stages. The speed of each BB movement was calculated by 1112 dividing the value of BB/posterior membrane variations (corresponding to green 1113 curves in Fig. 1c-f) by the total duration of the movement (4-8s: 4 embryos, 38 cells; 1114 13-17s: 6 embryos, 22 cells; 17-21s: 7 embryos, 32 cells). Comparison between 1115 stages was done using a Wilcoxon test. d) Movies described in Fig. 1 were used to 1116 quantify BB direction change frequency, mean duration of BB/posterior membrane 1117 contact events as a percentage of total imaging duration and mean polarization index 1118 during live-imaging. Plots in the first line take into account the BBs that stay in 1119 contact with the posterior membrane 100% of movie duration (posteriorly docked 1120 BBs) whereas the second line only represents BBs that are not posteriorly docked. 1121 Comparison between stages was done using a Wilcoxon test. Scale bar: $2\mu m$.

1122

1123 Supplementary Figure S2: High temporal resolution imaging reveals 1124 numerous anterior and posterior digitations and dynamic microtubules 1125 between BB and target membrane

1126 a-c) Characterization of digitations with high temporal resolution live-imaging (one 1127 image every 10sec) (12 embryos, 20 cells, 44 anterior digitations, 44 posterior digitations, 7 lateral digitations). a) Repartition of digitation types. Anterior, lateral and 1128 1129 posterior correspond to the membrane from which the digitation forms. There were as 1130 many anterior as posterior digitations, in contrast to what we observe in our $\Delta t=2$ or 5 1131 min movies (Fig. 3) b) Quantification of digitation lifetime, recurrence time (time 1132 elapsed before a particular digitation reforms at the same spot) and maximal length. 1133 Dots in magenta correspond to digitations that had formed before the beginning of 1134 live-imaging or had not disappeared yet when we stopped filming, and for which we 1135 could not determine the exact lifetime (which is thus under-estimated) (comparison 1136 done with Wilcoxon test). c) Direction of BB movements after an anterior (left) or 1137 posterior (right) digitation disappears. The BB either moved anteriorly, posteriorly or 1138 remained still (comparison done with Fisher test).

1139 There was no difference in digitation lifetime, which median value was 50sec both for 1140 anterior and posterior digitations. The time elapsed between two recurrent digitations 1141 was also not significantly different between anterior (median of 70sec) and posterior 1142 (median of 80sec) digitations. The presence of more posterior digitations in $\Delta t2min$ 1143 and $\Delta t5$ min movies but not in $\Delta t10$ sec movies could be due to the fact that we miss 1144 most very short-lived digitations in At2min or At5min movies and that the short 1145 duration of our At10sec movies (in average 20min long) prevented us to see some 1146 long-lived digitations from extension to retraction (pink dots). Anterior and posterior

digitations had similar size of around 2μ m, although anterior digitations were slightly longer (2.4 μ m), probably due to the fact that BBs even at these early stages have a posteriorly biased position (Fig. S1d Mean polarization index plots). Posterior digitations were followed by a posteriorward BB movement in 40% of cases (16/40) whereas anterior digitations were followed by an anteriorward BB movement in only 30% of cases (13/34) suggesting that digitation formation is probably not a cause but rather a consequence of BB movements.

1154 d) Dorsal view of a FP cell of a 5s stage embryo mosaically injected with Centrin-GFP (BB), EB3-GFP (microtubule plus ends) and Membrane-Cherry, which was then 1155 1156 imaged every 10s. The dotted frame corresponds to the enlarged region on the right. 1157 Yellow arrows (10"): microtubule extending from the BB toward the spot of the 1158 posterior membrane that the BB will reach at t=90". White arrows: membrane 1159 digitation forming from the spot targeted by the microtubule highlighted at t=10" and 1160 toward the BB. e) Time elapsed between an EB3 comet reaching a membrane (such 1161 as in a at t=10") and the moment when a digitation forms or the BB starts moving 1162 towards this membrane (6 embryos, 10 cells, 58 EB3 comets).

1163

Supplementary Figure S3: Par3 phosphorylation in FP cells and rapid migration of centrosome to Par3 patches after mitosis

a) Individual cells from dorsal views of 14 s stage embryos showing IF with an antibody against Drosophila Par3 (Bazooka/Baz) phosphorylated on Ser1085 (BazP1085) in FP cells. Two distinct cells are shown. phospho-Par3 displays the same patchy localization as total Par3 (Fig4a) White arrows point at patches at

transverse membranes, which are present whether the BB is in contact with theposterior membrane (left images) or not (right image).

b) Example of FP cell mitosis in an early stage (5s) embryo mosaically injected with Par3-RFP, Centrin-GFP and Membrane-GFP mRNAs and imaged every 4 minutes (MovieS12). Yellow arrows point at Par3-RFP patches, at the onset of mitosis (t=0') and after cytokinesis, when the centrosome makes contact with the Par3 patch, in the posterior daughter cell (t=32') and anterior daughter cell (t=36').

- 1177
- 1178

Supplementary Figure S4: *Par3ab* morphants or mutants have normal FP polarization and Par3 patches

a) FP polarization index (p.i.) in non-injected (NI) and Par3ab morpholino (MO)-1181 1182 injected embryos at 18s stage (NI: 9 embryos, 171 cells; Par3ab MO: 16 embryos, 1183 244 cells). b) BazP1085 patch prominence (left) and number (right) in NI and Par3ab 1184 MO injected embryos at 18s stage. NI : 4, embryos, 66 cells ; Par3MO : 3 embryos, 1185 38. cells c) p.i. of maternal zygotic heterozygous ($MZpar3ab^{+/-}$) or homozygous (*Mpar3ab^{-/-}*) par3ab mutants at 18s stage. *MZpar3ab^{+/-}*: 7 embryos, 106 cells ; 1186 *MZpar3ab*^{-/-}: 9 embryos, 152 cells. **d)** Par3 patches prominence (left) and number 1187 (right) in maternal zygotic heterozygous (*MZpar3ab*^{+/-}) or homozygous (*MZpar3ab*^{-/-}) 1188 Par3ab mutants at 18s stage (*MZpar3ab*^{+/-}: 3 embryos, 27 cells; *MZpar3ab*^{-/-}: 3 1189 1190 embryos, 59 cells). p.i. and patch prominence are compared with a Wilcoxon test; 1191 Par3 or BazP1085 patches number are compared with Fisher test. e) 1192 Immunostaining of FP cells not injected (NI) or injected with Par3ab morpholino

1193 (Par3ab MO) showing the equivalent amount of BazP1085 staining in both 1194 conditions. **f)** Immunostaining of FP cells in *MZpar3ab*^{+/-} and *MZpar3ab*^{-/-} showing 1195 the equivalent amount of Par3 in both genotypes.

1196

1197 Supplementary Figure S5: *par3aa/ab/ba* are broadly expressed during 1198 somitogenesis but their MO-mediated knockdown does not affect FP

1199 polarization

a) In situ hybridization reveals broad expression of *par3aa*, *ab* and *ba* genes at 16 s
stage and 24 hpf. *par3bb* is not expressed at 16 s but is broadly expressed at 24 hpf
with a posterior to anterior decreasing gradient. Scale bar 200µm.

- b) Polarization index of 18 s stage embryos FP after coinjection of 3 MOs targeting *par3aa, ab* and *ba* at the one cell stage (non-injected: 4 embryos, 264 cells;
 morphants: 4 embryos, 133 cells).
- 1206 c) Global phosphorylated-Par3 immunostaining signal (sum of Par3 fluorescence on

a similar portion of the floor-plate, a.u. arbitrary unit, 4 embryos for each condition).

1208 d) Phospho-Par3 patches prominence in non-injected embryos and triple morphants

1209 e) Number of phospho-Par3 patches per cell in non-injected embryos and triple1210 morphants

f) Immunostaining of FP cells in non-injected and triple morphants showing the
 presence of phospho-Par3 patches (white arrows) in both conditions.

1213 c-e) non-injected: 3 embryos, 76 cells; morphants 4 embryos, 83 cells. Scale bar:
1214 2μm

b,c,d) Comparison done with a Wilcoxon test, e) Comparison done with a Fisher test

1217 SUPPLEMENTARY MOVIES LEGENDS

1218

1219 MovieS1: Live imaging of a BB bouncing off the posterior membrane in

1220 an early-stage FP cell.

1221 wt embryos were injected with Centrin-GFP (green) and membrane-Cherry 1222 (magenta) mRNAs at the one-cell stage. White arrows indicate the position of the BB 1223 at the first and last time-points. Images were taken every 5 minutes during the 6 s to

1224 9 s stages time-frame. Dorsal view. Corresponds to Fig2a.

1225

1226

1227 MovieS2: Live imaging of a BB bouncing off posterior and anterior 1228 membranes in an early-stage FP cell.

wt embryos were injected with Centrin-GFP (green) and membrane-Cherry
(magenta) mRNAs at the one-cell stage. White arrows indicate the position of the BB
at the first and last time-points. Images were taken every 5 minutes during the 6 s to
9 s stages time-frame. Dorsal view. Corresponds to Fig2b.

1233

1234 MovieS3: Live imaging of a BB staying in contact with the posterior 1235 membrane in a late-stage FP cell.

1236 wt embryos were injected with Centrin-GFP (green) and membrane-Cherry 1237 (magenta) mRNAs at the one-cell stage. White arrows indicate the position of the BB 1238 at the first and last time-points. Images were taken every 5 minutes during the 18 s to 1239 21 s stages time-frame. Dorsal view. Corresponds to Fig2c.

1240

MovieS4: Live imaging of BB bouncing against the posterior membrane in

1241

1242

1243

1244 a late-stage FP cell. wt embryos were injected with Centrin-GFP (green) and membrane-Cherry 1245 1246 (magenta) mRNAs at the one-cell stage White arrows indicate the position of the BB 1247 at the first and last time-points. Images were taken every 5 minutes during the 18 s to 1248 21 s stages time-frame. Dorsal view. Corresponds to Fig2d. 1249 1250 MovieS5: Live imaging of BB movements in a FP cell displaying a 1251 1252 membrane digitation between BB and the posterior membrane (yellow

1253 arrow at t=115 min).

1254 wt embryos were injected with Centrin-GFP (green) and membrane-Cherry
1255 (magenta) mRNAs at the one-cell stage. White arrows point at the BB. Images were
1256 taken every 5 minutes during the 6 s to 9 s stages time-frame. Dorsal view.
1257 Corresponds to Fig3a upper row.

1258

1259 MovieS6: Live imaging of BB movements in a FP cell displaying a 1260 membrane digitation between BB and the anterior membrane (yellow 1261 arrow at t=18 min).

1262 wt embryos were injected with Centrin-GFP (green) and membrane-Cherry 1263 (magenta) mRNAs at the one-cell stage. Membrane digitations between the posterior 1264 membrane and BB can also be seen at t=10min, t=26min and t=66min. White arrows

point at the BB. Images were taken every 2 minutes during the 8 s to 10 s stages
time-frame. Dorsal view. Corresponds to Fig3a lower row.

1267

1268 MovieS7: Live imaging of microtubule dynamics and BB movements in a

1269 **FP cell at the 5s stage**.

wt embryos were injected with EB3-GFP, Centrin-GFP (Fire LUT, coding for fluorescence intensity) and membrane-Cherry (green) mRNAs at the 16-cell stage. and then imaged for 8min every 10 seconds at the 5s stage. White arrow at t=0" points at the BB. White arrows at t=150", t=160", t=280" and t=290" point at posterior membrane deformation and yellow arrowheads at t=150" and t=280" point at microtubules linking BB and posterior membrane at the spot where the membrane bends and toward which BB will move. Dorsal view. Corresponds to FigS2d.

1277

1278 MovieS8: Live imaging of BB movements and Par3-RFP localization in a 1279 polarizing FP cell.

wt embryos mosaically expressing Centrin-GFP (green) and Par3-RFP (magenta).
White arrows point at the BB at t=0 and at t=30 min, when the BB touches the
posterior membrane. Images were taken every 2 min during the 15 s to 17 s stages
time-frame. Lateral view. Corresponds to Fig4c.

1284

1285

MovieS9: Live imaging of BB movements and Par3-RFP localization in a
non-polarizing FP cell.

1288 wt embryos mosaically expressing Centrin-GFP (green) and Par3-RFP (magenta).

1289 White arrows point at the BB at the beginning and end of movie. Images were taken

every 5 minutes during the 17 s to 19 s stages time-frame. Lateral view. Corresponds

1291 to Fig4d.

1292

MovieS10: Live imaging of BB/Par3 patch contacts in an early-stage FPcell.

wt embryo mosaically expressing Centrin-GFP, Membrane-GFP (green) and Par3-RFP (magenta). White arrows point at the BB at the beginning of the movie, when the BB is in contact with the anterior Par3 patch, at t=30 min when it makes a contact with the posterior Par3 patch and at the end of the movie. Images were taken every 2 min during the 4 s to 5 s stages time-frame. Dorsal view. Corresponds to the most anterior cell in Fig4e.

1301

MovieS11: Live imaging of membrane digitations at Par3 patches in early
stage FP cells.

wt embryo mosaically expressing Centrin-GFP, Membrane-GFP (green) and Par3-RFP (magenta). White arrows point at the BB at the beginning and at the end of the movie. Yellow arrows at t=0 and t=68 min point at membrane digitations originating from the posterior and the anterior Par3 patches, respectively. Images were taken every 4 min during the 7 s to 8 s stages time-frame. Dorsal view. Corresponds to Fig4f.

1310

1311 MovieS12: Live imaging of BB moving to Par3 patches after cytokinesis 1312 in dividing early stage FP cells.

- wt embryo mosaically expressing Centrin-GFP, Membrane-GFP (green) and Par3RFP (Fire LUT, coding for fluorescence intensity). Yellow arrows at t=0 point at the
 posterior and the anterior Par3 patches which are present in FP cells in interphase.
 Yellow arrows at t=32min and t=36min point at BB/Par3 patch contact in posterior
 and anterior daughter cells respectively. Images were taken every 4 min during the
 5s to 6s stages. Dorsal view. Corresponds to FigS3b.
- 1319
- 1320

MovieS13: Live imaging of BB/<u>lateral</u> Par3 patch contacts in an earlystage FP cell of a *vangl2^{m209/m209}* mutant.

- *vangl2^{m209/m209}* embryo mosaically expressing Centrin-GFP, Membrane-GFP (green)
 and Par3-RFP (magenta). White arrows point at the BB at the beginning and at the
 end of the movie. Images were taken every 4 min during the 5 s to 6 s stages timeframe. Dorsal view. Corresponds to Fig7f.
- 1327

- 1329
- 1330









Figure3 Donati et al. 2020



Figure4 Donati et al. 2020









Figure7 Donati et al. 2020



Figure8 Donati et al. 2020







70'

Centrin-GFP EB3-GFP Membrane-Cherry

е

2 µm

Figure St

80'

FigureS2 Donati et al. 2020

90'





FigureS3 Donati et al. 2020


Figure S4 Donati et al. 2020



Figure S5 Donati et al. 2020